

# Allometric relationship in the bioaccumulation of radionuclides (134Cs & 241Am) and delineation of contamination pathways (food and seawater) in bloody cockle Anadara senilis using radiotracer techniques

Harriet Kuranchie-Mensah, Simon Pouil, Jean-Louis Teyssié, François Oberhänsli, Michel Warnau, Marc Metian

# ▶ To cite this version:

Harriet Kuranchie-Mensah, Simon Pouil, Jean-Louis Teyssié, François Oberhänsli, Michel Warnau, et al.. Allometric relationship in the bioaccumulation of radionuclides (134Cs & 241Am) and delineation of contamination pathways (food and seawater) in bloody cockle Anadara senilis using radiotracer techniques. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 2018, 192, pp.448-453. 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2018.07.018. hal-03163215

HAL Id: hal-03163215

https://hal.science/hal-03163215

Submitted on 5 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1  | Allometric relationship in the                          | e bioaccumulation of radionuclides (154Cs & 241Am) and                                        |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | delineation contamination p                             | eathways (food and seawater) in bloody cockle Anadara                                         |
| 3  | senil                                                   | lis using radiotracer techniques                                                              |
| 4  |                                                         |                                                                                               |
| 5  | Harriet Kuranchie-Mensah <sup>1,2</sup> , Sin           | mon Pouil <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Louis Teyssié <sup>1</sup> , François Oberhänsli <sup>1</sup> , |
| 6  | Michel Warnau <sup>1,3</sup> , Marc Metian <sup>1</sup> |                                                                                               |
| 7  |                                                         |                                                                                               |
| 8  |                                                         |                                                                                               |
| 9  | 1 Radioecology Laborator                                | ry, International Atomic Energy Agency - Environment                                          |
| 10 | Laboratories (IAEA-EL)                                  | ), 4a Quai Antoine Ier, 98000 Monaco, Principality of                                         |
| 11 | Monaco                                                  |                                                                                               |
| 12 | 2 Nuclear Chemistry and I                               | Environmental Research Centre, National Nuclear Research                                      |
| 13 | Institute, Ghana Atomic                                 | Energy Commission, P. O. Box LG 80, Legon, Accra,                                             |
| 14 | Ghana                                                   |                                                                                               |
| 15 | 3 Division for Africa, Dep                              | partment of Technical Cooperation, International Atomic                                       |
| 16 | Energy Agency (Vienna                                   | International Centre), PO Box 100, 1400 Vienna, Austria                                       |
| 17 |                                                         |                                                                                               |
|    |                                                         |                                                                                               |
| 18 | * Corresponding author:                                 | Marc Metian                                                                                   |
| 19 |                                                         | Radioecology Laboratory                                                                       |
| 20 |                                                         | IAEA Environment Laboratories                                                                 |
| 21 |                                                         | 4a Quai Antoine 1er                                                                           |
| 22 |                                                         | MC-98000 Principality of Monaco                                                               |
| 23 |                                                         | Telephone: +377 97 97 72 17                                                                   |

# 24 Abstract:

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

The uptake and depuration kinetics of <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am were investigated in the bloody cockle Anadara senilis exposed via seawater and food in controlled conditions, using animals of different weight groups in order to assess how their bioaccumulation is affected by allometry and, hence, the individual's age. This study is one of the few experiments investigating bioaccumulation capacities of radionuclides in a West-African bivalve. Results showed that allometric relationships were mainly dependent on the exposure pathway considered. Significant relationships with body weight of bloody cockles were found during the uptake from dissolved phase for both radionuclides; they followed inverse power functions: smaller cockles concentrated both radionuclides more than larger ones. In contrast, radionuclide absorption and assimilation efficiencies from food did not show any significant relationship with weight: only slight variation was observed between small and large organisms for the retention of <sup>241</sup>Am accumulated from food. A bioaccumulation model was used to assess the contribution of each pathway of exposure (food vs. water) in organisms grouped in small and large individuals. We found that, regardless of the size, <sup>134</sup>Cs was mainly bioaccumulated through the dietary pathway. In the case of <sup>241</sup>Am, the relative contribution of each pathway is weight-dependent: major contribution of dissolved pathway in smaller organisms and the major dietary contribution in larger organisms.

42 <u>Keywords:</u> Ghana, Bloody cockle; Radionuclides; Allometry; Food and water exposure

# 1. Introduction

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

Radionuclides of cesium and americium are waste products from nuclear-related activities such as nuclear power generation in nuclear power plants or research reactors (Al-Trabulsy et al., 2011; El Mamoney and Khater, 2004). Although nuclear industries in Western Africa are underdeveloped, Ghana operates a 30-kW miniature neutron source reactor (MNSR) and is currently prospecting for the introduction of nuclear power as supplement to its energy mix to boost industrialization and national development (Dampare et al., 2005) (Diawuo and Kaminski, 2017). At present time, operational safety performances of nuclear facilities worldwide are trustful. However, the occurrence of accidental releases of radionuclides cannot be disregarded since it may impact the public and the environment, including aquatic systems. Such possibilities are part of the preparedness and emergencies scenarios that are examined prior to the installation of any nuclear premise. Prior and during operations, surveys of environmental occurrence of radionuclides are also required to assess initial situation and to allow detecting and identifying any radionuclide release and contamination. Among the common approaches carried out to study environmental contamination, the use of bioindicator species has proven to be a relevant and informative approach (e.g. Nielsen et al., 2007). The criteria for selecting an organism to be used as a bioindicator have been described by many authors (e.g. Jones and Kaly, 1996; Phillips, 1977; Rainbow, 1995). The main criterion is to bioaccumulate the target contaminants. Bioindicators should also be preferentially sessile or sedentary organisms, widely distributed and easy to collect all year round. In addition, after selection of a candidate bioindicator, influence of biotic and abiotic factors (such as temperature, salinity or body size) that are likely to affect its ability to bioaccumulate the target contaminants should be characterized (Ke et al., 2000; Wang and Fisher, 1998; Warnau and Bustamante, 2007). Among these factors, organism body size,

which for bivalves is correlated with age and weight, is known to be of primary importance 67 (Boyden et al., 1974; Hédouin et al., 2006; Strong and Luoma, 1981; Tang et al., 2017). 68 Since they fulfill the criteria of relevant bioindicators, bivalves are widely used in 69 biomonitoring programs (Fernández-Tajes et al., 2011; Rainbow, 1995; Yusof et al., 2004; 70 Zuykov et al., 2013). In Ghana, the bloody cockle Anadara senilis, a seafood locally 71 consumed due to high nutrient content (Gabriel et al., 2011), is a good candidate bioindicator 72 since it is long-lived, abundant and easy to identify in the field (Mirsadeghi et al., 2013; 73 74 Sarkar et al., 1994, 2008; Theng et al., 2004). Some studies have already highlighted the ability of this species to bioaccumulate trace elements, including radionuclides such as <sup>210</sup>Po 75 76 (Theng et al., 2004), which can potentially expose human consumers to contamination by ingestion. 77 The main objectives of the present study was to (1) assess the bioaccumulation capacity of the 78 bloody cockles Anadara senilis for two radionuclides (134Cs and 241Am) when exposed via 79 80 seawater and through the food, (2) assess the relative contribution of dissolved vs. trophic 81 exposure pathways to the whole-body radionuclide bioaccumulation, and (3) describe the 82 possible influence of the body weight of the bloody cockles A. senilis on the bioaccumulation of these radionuclides in order to select the most appropriate individual weight range to be 83 used in biomonitoring studies. 84

2. Materials and Methods

85

86

87 2.1. Origin and acclimation of bloody cockles

Bloody cockles of different sizes and weights (shell length: 21-63 mm, whole-body wet weight: 5-75 g) were hand-picked from the Narkwa lagoon located in the Central region of Ghana. The cockles were transported to the premises of the Environment Laboratories of the

91 IAEA in the Principality of Monaco, where experimental work was carried out. Upon

reaching the labortory, cockles were cleansed of adhering sediments, sorted into different weight batches and held in a 140-L aquarium containing 0.45  $\mu$ m-filtered seawater. The cockles were then acclimated to experimental conditions in a constantly aerated, open-circuit aquarium (salinity: 38 p.s.u.; water renewal rate: 50 L h<sup>-1</sup>; temperature: 27  $\pm$  0.5 °C; pH: 8.0  $\pm$  0.1; light/dark cycle: 12h/12h depicting natural light conditions using fluorescent tubes) for two months prior to experiments. During the acclimation period, the bloody cockles were fed daily a phytoplankton diet (*Isochrysis galbana* and *Skeletonema costatum*). Observed mortality was limited to few individuals.

# 2.2. Experimental procedures

101 2.2.1. Radiotracers and counting

Uptake and depuration kinetics of radionuclides in bloody cockles were determined using high-specific activity radionuclides ( $^{134}$ Cs as chloride in H<sub>2</sub>0, t<sub>1/2</sub> = 2 years;  $^{241}$ Am as nitrate in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub>, t<sub>1/2</sub> = 433 years) purchased from Czech Metrological Institute and Isotope Products. The radioactivity of Cs and Am in water, cockles and phytoplankton were measured using a high-resolution  $\gamma$ -spectrometry system equipped with five Germanium - N or P type - detectors (EGNC 33-195-R, Canberra® and Eurysis®) connected to a multi-channel analyzer and a computer employing spectral analysis software (Interwinner 6, Intertechnique). To assure quality of radioanalyses, standards were used. These standards were consisting of "phantoms" for each of the types of sample used (liquids and three sizes of bloody cockles), spiked with known radionnuclide activities in order to get the appropriate density and geometry (Cresswell et al., 2017). To briefly describe the preparation of the "phantoms" of bloody cockles, threee different size standards were done, covering the whole range of sizes, using empty shells filled with imbibed (2M HCL) paper towel, spiked with known concentrations of radiotracers and then closed and placed in a counting box identical to the one used to count the living bloody cockles. The position of "phantoms", defined for the

calibration of our detectors, and living bloody cockles was kept the same during the experiment in order to ensure a constant geometry. Corrections were made for background and physical radioactive decay. The counting time was adjusted to obtain a propagated counting error less than 5% (Rodriguez y Baena et al., 2006).

# 121 2.2.2. Seawater exposure

117

118

119

120

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

Bloody cockles of different weights/sizes (7.51-74.17 g, 23-63 mm of length, 16-40 mm of width, 20-52 mm of height; n = 43) were placed in a 70-L aguarium (constantly aerated, closed-circuit; salinity: 38 p.s.u.; temperature:  $27 \pm 0.5$  °C; pH:  $8.0 \pm 0.1$ ; light/dark cycle: 12h/12h) and exposed for 28 d to dissolved radiotracers in 0.45-mm filtered seawater (1 Bq <sup>134</sup>Cs mL<sup>-1</sup> and 0.2 Bg <sup>241</sup>Am mL<sup>-1</sup>). Seawater and spikes were renewed daily for 5 days, then every second day in order to keep exposure activities as constant as possible. The radioactivity in seawater was checked before and after each spike renewal to yield a time integrated activity of  $0.94 \pm 0.09$  Bq mL<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.13 \pm 0.08$  Bq mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively for <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am. Prior to the renewal of seawater and spike, the cockles were fed for 1 h on a mixed diet of *I. galbana* and *S. costatum* (5 10<sup>4</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) in clean seawater in order to avoid ingestion of radiotracer via the food. Twenty tag-identified bloody cockles from two weight classes were collected at different time intervals. Class "small" had an average weight of 9.8  $\pm$  2.2 g (Mean  $\pm$  SD; with a length of 26.5  $\pm$  2.9 mm, width of 20.6  $\pm$  2.3 mm, height of 25.0  $\pm$ 2.4 mm, n= 10) and class "big" had an average weight of  $36.3 \pm 6.7$  g (with a length of  $43.4 \pm$ 3.3 mm, width of  $31.0 \pm 2.6$  mm, height of  $38.6 \pm 2.8$  mm; n = 10) were collected at different time intervals and were whole-body radioanalysed alive in order to follow the uptake kinetics of the radiotracers. Prior to radioanalysis, radiolabelled cockles were rinsed in uncontaminated seawater for 2 min to remove loosely bound radionuclides. At the end of the 28-d exposure period, all the bloody cockles were radioanalysed and then placed in clean water in the same conditions for 35 d and 10 tag-identified individuals were regularly radioanalysed alive in order to follow the depuration kinetics of the radiotracers. During the 35-d depuration period, non-exposed individuals were used as controls of possible tracer recycling through seawater. Bloody cockles were fed daily with non-radiolabeled *I. galbana* and *S. costatum* (5 10<sup>4</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>).

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

142

143

144

145

# 2.2.3. Dietary exposure

Transfer of radionuclides (134Cs and 241Am) to bloody cockles through their diet was studied using the Haptophyceae *I. galbana*. Phytoplankton cells were exposed to 10 Bq <sup>134</sup>Cs mL<sup>-1</sup> and 2 Bq <sup>241</sup>Am mL<sup>-1</sup> during their exponential growth phase (5 d). After that period, the phytoplankton medium was filtered (1-µm mesh size; Osmonic® filters) and filters were rinsed with non-contaminated seawater. Since the ingestion rate (IR) is dependent of the weight of the organisms, bloody cockles of two weight classes had been placed into two different 20-L aquaria (closed-circuit; same parameters as previously described), before the dietary experiment. Class "small" had an average weight of 12.20 ± 1.96 g (Mean ± SD; with a length of 29.8  $\pm$  2.9 mm, width of 22.3  $\pm$  2.1 mm, height of 27.1  $\pm$  2.9 mm; n= 9) and class "big" had an average weight of  $28.77 \pm 5.07$  g (with a length of  $40.9 \pm 2.3$  mm, width of 29.9 $\pm$  2.3 mm, height of 38.0  $\pm$  4.9 mm; n = 7). Bloody cockles were single-fed with radiolabeled phytoplankton for 2 h in closed-circuit conditions by resuspension of the filtered cells to a density of 5 10<sup>4</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup> to avoid pseudofeces production by the bivalves and thus to avoid the loss of a part of the ingested radionuclides prior to the first analysis (Kuranchie-Mensah et al., 2016; Pouil et al., 2015). After the feeding period, the radiolabelled cockles were rinsed in a non-radioactive free flowing seawater aquarium for 2 min to remove possibly adhering radiolabeled phytoplankton, pat dry with absorbent paper and subsequently γ-counted alive. Seawater flow was restored and depuration was followed during 28 d in open circuit conditions. Non-exposed individuals were used as controls for possible tracer recycling.

- During the 28-d depuration period, bloody cockles were fed daily with non-radiolabeled *I*.
- 168 galbana and S. costatum (5  $10^4$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup>).

169

- 170 2.3. Data analysis
- **171** 2.3.1. Biokinetics
- Whole-body uptake and depuration kinetics were fitted using nonlinear regression routines
- and iterative adjustment (Statistica® 7) as described by Warnau et al. (1996). Whole-body
- uptake kinetics of radiotracers were expressed in terms of changes in bioconcentration factor
- over time (CF, ratio between activity of the radiotracer in the whole organism or in a body
- compartment –Bq g<sup>-1</sup> wet weight– and time-integrated activity of radiotracer in seawater –Bq
- 177 g<sup>-1</sup>–). Radiotracer uptake kinetics were best described using a first-order saturation
- 178 exponential model (Eq. 1):

179 
$$CF_t = CF_{ss}(1 - e^{-k_e t})$$
 (1)

- where  $CF_t$  and  $CF_{ss}$  are the bioconcentration factors at time t (d) and at steady state,
- 181 respectively;  $k_e$  is the depuration rate constant (d<sup>-1</sup>).
- Depuration of radiotracers was expressed as the percentage of remaining radioactivity over
- time (radioactivity at time t divided by the initial radioactivity measured in the organism at
- the period x 100). The depuration kinetics for both radiotracers were best described using a
- two-component exponential model (Eq. 2):

186 
$$A_t = A_{0s}.e^{-k_{es}t} + A_{0l}.e^{-k_{el}t}$$
 (2)

- where  $A_t$  and  $A_0$  are the remaining activities (%) at time t (d) and 0, respectively;  $k_e$  is the
- depuration rate constant (d<sup>-1</sup>); 's' and 'l' are the subscripts for the 'short-lived' and 'long-
- 189 lived' components respectively.

190

191 2.3.2. Allometric relationships

- 192 Concentration Factors and remaining activities measured at the end of uptake and depuration 193 periods, respectively, were plotted against individual whole-body weight (g) and allometric 194 relationships were tested using simple linear, power, hyperbolic or exponential models (Zar,
- 195 1996). When an allometric relationship was found, the power model was always the best
- 196 fitting model with the following equation (Eq. 3):

$$197 CF or A = aW^b (3)$$

- where CF = Concentration Factor, A = remaining activity (%), a = constant, W= body weight
- 199 (g, wet wt) and b = allometric coefficient. Kinetic parameters can be correlated positively (b >
- 200 0), negatively (b < 0).
- 201 Best fitting regression models were selected according to highest determination coefficient
- and examination of residuals. The level of significance for statistical analyses was always set
- 203 at  $\alpha$ =0.05.
- 204 2.3.3. Bioaccumulation model
- 205 The relative contribution of dissolved and food pathways was determined using a
- bioaccumulation model (Landrum et al., 1992; Thomann, 1981; Thomann et al., 1995) as
- 207 modified by Hédouin et al. (2010b). Briefly, the total activity of radionuclides in the
- organisms is equal to the sum of each activity resulting of the two different uptake pathways
- 209 (Eq. 4):

$$210 C_t = C_{w,ss} + C_{f,ss} (4)$$

- 211 where  $C_{w,ss}$  is the radiotracer steady-state activity (Bq g<sup>-1</sup>) accumulated in bloody cockles
- from dissolved phase (Eq. 5) and  $C_{f,ss}$  is the radiotracer steady-state activity (Bq g<sup>-1</sup> wet wt)
- 213 accumulated in cockles via the food (Eq. 6):

214 
$$C_{w,SS} = \frac{(A_{0l} \ k_u \ C_w)}{k_{el.w}}$$
 (5)

where  $C_w$  is the radionuclide activity in seawater (Bq g<sup>-1</sup> wet wt),  $A_{0l}$  is the absorption

efficiency (%) of the radionuclide from seawater,  $k_u$  is the uptake rate constant (d<sup>-1</sup>) from seawater and  $k_{el,w}$  is the efflux rate constant (d<sup>-1</sup>) after the exposure through seawater.

218 
$$C_{f,SS} = \frac{(AE \ IR \ C_f)}{k_{elf}} \quad \text{with } C_f = \frac{Kd_f}{C_w}$$
 (6)

- where  $C_f$  is the radionuclide activity in food (Bq g<sup>-1</sup> wet wt),  $Kd_f$  is the partition coefficient for
- phytoplankton, AE is the assimilation efficiency (%) of the radiotracer ingested with food, IR
- 221 is the ingestion rate (g g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, wet wt basis),  $k_{el,f}$  is the efflux rate constant (d<sup>-1</sup>) after the
- dietary exposure.
- 223 The relative contribution (%) of each uptake pathway can thus be estimated, using the
- 224 relation:

225 
$$\% food = \frac{C_{f,ss}}{C_{w,ss} + C_{f,ss}} \times 100$$
 (7)

226 
$$\%$$
seawater =  $\frac{C_{w,ss}}{C_{w,ss} + C_{f,ss}} \times 100$  (8)

- In addition to the parameters obtained from each biokinetic equation, ingestion rate (IR) of
- bloody cockles of two different weight classes was experimentally assessed (see Metian et al.,
- 229 2008). On average, IRs were 0.053 g g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and 0.032 g g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> wet wt basis for the "small"
- and the "big" size classes, respectively (Table 1).  $Kd_f$  values used in the calculations were 140
- 231  $\pm$  70 for <sup>134</sup>Cs (IAEA, 2014; Pouil et al., 2015) and 2.10<sup>5</sup>  $\pm$  1.10<sup>4</sup> for <sup>241</sup>Am (Fisher et al.,
- 232 1983; IAEA, 2014).

233

234

# 3. Results and Discussion

- 235 Body size and body weight are factors that are well known to affect trace element and
- 236 radionuclides bioconcentrations in organisms (e.g. Boyden, 1974; Hédouin et al., 2006;
- Strong and Luoma, 1981; Warnau et al., 1995). In the light of this, biomonitoring programs
- must take into account the size of the organisms used.

The present work investigated the effect of body weight on the bioaccumulation of radionuclides (<sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am) in the bloody cockles *A. senilis* exposed via dissolved and trophic pathways. The approach followed in this study is somewhat different from the one usually carried out to study allometric effect. Indeed, this latter is usually investigated only for the dissolved pathway, although the trophic pathway can be the major pathway for contaminant bioaccumulation in bivalves (Hédouin et al., 2010b; Metian et al., 2016; Pouil et al., 2015).

Significant allometric relationships were found in bloody cockles after a 28-d exposure period to <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am (Fig. 1A). Inverse relationships between <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am CFs and bivalve weights displayed quite high determination coefficients (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.51 and 0.80, respectively, Table 1), indicating a decrease in radionuclide uptake efficiency with increasing individual weight. In contrast, no allometric relationship was found in bloody cockles during the depuration period whether after dissolved or trophic exposure (Fig. 1B and 2). However, for <sup>241</sup>Am, the remaining activities appeared to be higher in the larger individuals after feeding experiment (Fig. 2), suggesting a retention of assimilated <sup>241</sup>Am positively related to the weight of the bivalve. Nevertheless, further investigations using a higher number of individuals are needed to statistically confirm this trend.

Bivalves showing greater accumulation of dissolved radionuclides in small than larger individuals have been described for <sup>134</sup>Cs (e.g. in the green mussel *Perna viridis*; Ke et al., 2000) as well as for <sup>241</sup>Am (e.g. in the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*; Güngör et al., 2001). Nevertheless, in the same mussel species, Güngör et al. (2001) found no allometric relationship for <sup>134</sup>Cs. Decreasing bioaccumulation capacity of dissolved radiotracers in larger/older individuals can be related to size-dependent gill surface area to volume ratio, as well as to physiological differences of the organisms such as higher metabolic demands in smaller organism with consequent higher fluid filtration (Strong and

Luoma, 1981; Pan and Wang, 2008; McKinley et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2017). In the present study, the degree of allometric coefficient (*b* values) for the dissolved exposure experiment varied between the two studied radioelements: Cs and Am recorded -0.44 and -0.35, respectively (Table 1). This indicates that the effect of body weight of <sup>241</sup>Am on the cockles is

not as strong as its effect on <sup>134</sup>Cs.

Although literature exists on the influence of size, weight or age on the capacity of radionuclide uptake from the dissolved phase, the present study also investigated the influence of the weight of bivalves on their capacity to retain radionuclides after their exposure to <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am from dissolved and food pathways. Results showed that this capacity did not differ significantly between the two weight classes. This seems similar to what Melinda et al. (2015) observed about the release of Cs by different weights groups of humpback grouper fish *Cromileptes altivelis*. Lee et al. (1998) also reported that efflux rates of Cd, Cr and Zn were poorly influenced by bivalve weight in the species *Potamocorbula amurensis* and *Macoma balthica*.

There seems to be a wide gap in knowledge about the bioaccumulation of elements (radionuclides or metals) in aquatic species commonly found in coastal African waters, in particular about the incidence of weight-related effect on  $^{134}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{241}\text{Am}$  bioaccumulation. The relative contribution of each exposure pathway to the whole-body bioaccumulation of  $^{134}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{241}\text{Am}$  in the bloody cockles of two different classes of weight was calculated using the kinetic parameters determined from the experiments (see Table 2) and other parameters such as the Kd<sub>f</sub> of  $^{134}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{241}\text{Am}$  in phytoplankton (1.4  $10^2 \pm 0.7 \ 10^2$  and 2.0  $10^5 \pm 0.1 \ 10^5$  respectively; Fisher et al., 1983; IAEA, 2014; Pouil et al., 2015) and the ingestion rates (IR =  $0.053 \pm 0.011$  g g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.032 \pm 0.006$  g g<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for the "small" and the "big" cockles respectively; present study).

Results of the computations indicate that the food pathway was the major contributor (74-

97%) to the whole-body bioaccumulation of <sup>134</sup>Cs in the two classes of weights (Fig. 3). Since <sup>241</sup>Am is differently taken up (seawater) and assimilated (food) by the cockles of the two different weight classes (Table 2), the relative contribution of each pathway is weight-dependent for this radionuclide although the uncertainties associated with the estimates are high (Fig. 3). Thus, seawater seems to be the major pathway of accumulation of <sup>241</sup>Am in the "small" cockles, whereas food dominates the bioaccumulation of <sup>241</sup>Am in the "big" cockles (Fig. 3). Ingested particles as the main uptake pathways of Cs in invertebrates is, in many cases, quite well established (e.g. Børretzen and Salbu, 2009; Metian et al., 2016; Pouil et al., 2015). For Am, only few experimental studies were carried out and it is reported that > 80% of the whole-body bioaccumulation is derived from food in the blue mussel *M. edulis* (Wang et al., 1996). The current study, which is the first one that examined the influence of weight on <sup>241</sup>Am, showed that the latter relative contribution should be further studied, taking into account weight classes, to ensure it is actually true for bigger organisms, which could have substantial impact on results interpretation of environmental survey campaigns.

# 4. Conclusions

The present study indicates that if the bloody cockle is used as a bioindicator for radionuclide monitoring in coastal marine environments, focus on small individuals would be recommended as their bioconcentration capacity is higher than bigger individuals. However, results would mainly reflect the contamination situation in the food of cockles for Cs, whereas it would inform on dissolved contamination for Am. Using concomitantly larger individuals would allow obtaining information on Am contamination in their food as well.

# 312 References cited

- 313 Al-Trabulsy, H.A., Khater, A.E.M., Habbani, F.I., 2011. Radioactivity levels and radiological
- hazard indices at the Saudi coastline of the Gulf of Agaba. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 80, 343–348.
- Belivermiş, M., Kılıç, Ö., Sezer, N., Kalaycı, G., Metian, M., 2017. Trophic transfer of <sup>134</sup>Cs
- in the Manila clam *Ruditapes philippinarum*. J. Environ. Radioact. 177, 165–168.
- Belivermiş, M., Warnau, M., Metian, M., Oberhänsli, F., Teyssié, J.-L., Lacoue-Labarthe, T.,
- 318 2015. Limited effects of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on metal and radionuclide
- bioaccumulation in a sessile invertebrate, the oyster Crassostrea gigas. ICES J. Mar. Sci. J.
- 320 Cons. fsv236.
- Børretzen, P., Salbu, B., 2009. Bioavailability of sediment-associated and low-molecular-
- mass species of radionuclides/trace metals to the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. J. Environ. Radioact.
- 323 100, 333–341.
- 324 Bhat, Y.M., Sastry, H., Shah, S.M., Krishnamurthy, T.M., 1968. Manganese and cobalt
- 325 content of some marine bivalves from Bombay. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad. Proceeding Biol. 34,
- 326 283–287.
- Boyden, C.R., 1974. Trace element content and body size in molluscs. Nature 251, 311–314.
- 328 Cresswell, T., Metian, M., Golding, L.A., Wood, M.D., 2017. Aquatic live animal
- 329 radiotracing studies for ecotoxicological applications: Addressing fundamental
- methodological deficiencies. J. Environ. Radioact. 178–179.
- 331 El Mamoney, M.H., Khater, A.E.M., 2004. Environmental characterization and radio-
- ecological impacts of non-nuclear industries on the Red Sea coast. J. Environ. Radioact. 73,
- 333 151–168.
- Fernández-Tajes, J., Flórez, F., Pereira, S., Rábade, T., Laffon, B., Méndez, J., 2011. Use of
- three bivalve species for biomonitoring a polluted estuarine environment. Environ. Monit.
- 336 Assess. 177, 289–300.

- Fisher, N.S., Bjerregaard, P., Fowler, S.W., 1983. Interactions of marine plankton with
- transuranic elements 1: Biokinetics of neptunium, plutonium, americium, and californium in
- phytoplankton. Limnol. Oceanogr. 28, 432–447.
- 340 Gabriel, U.U., Akinrotimi, O.A., Orlu, E.E., 2011. Haematological characteristics of the
- 341 bloody cockle Anadara senilis (L.) from Andoni Flats, Niger delta, Nigeria. Sci. World J. 6,
- 342 1-4.
- Genta-Jouve, G., Cachet, N., Oberhänsli, F., Noyer, C., Teyssié, J.-L., Thomas, O.P., Lacoue-
- Labarthe, T., 2012. Comparative bioaccumulation kinetics of trace elements in Mediterranean
- marine sponges. Chemosphere 89, 340–349.
- 346 Güngör, N., Tugrul, B., Topcuoglu, S., Güngör, E., 2001. Experimental studies on the
- 347 biokinetics of <sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>241</sup>Am in mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*). Environ. Int. 27, 259–
- 348 264.
- 349 Hédouin, L., Metian, M., Lacoue-labarthe, T., Fichez, R., Teyssié, J.-L., Bustamante, P.,
- Warnau, M., 2010a. Influence of food on the assimilation of selected metals in tropical
- bivalves from the New Caledonia lagoon: Qualitative and quantitative aspects. Mar. Pollut.
- 352 Bull. 61, 568–575.
- Hédouin, L., Metian, M., Teyssié, J.-L., Fichez, R., Warnau, M., 2010b. Delineation of heavy
- metal contamination pathways (seawater, food and sediment) in tropical oysters from New
- 355 Caledonia using radiotracer techniques. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 61, 542–553.
- 356 Hédouin, L., Metian, M., Teyssié, J.-L., Fowler, S.W., Fichez, R., Warnau, M., 2006.
- 357 Allometric relationships in the bioconcentration of heavy metals by the edible tropical clam
- 358 *Gafrarium tumidum*. Sci. Total Environ. 366, 154–163.
- 359 IAEA, 2014. Handbook of parameter values for the prediction of radionuclide transfer to
- 360 wildlife. Technical Reports Series 479. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna,
- 361 Austria.

- Jones, G.P., Kaly, U.L., 1996. Criteria for selecting marine organisms in biomonitoring
- studies, in: Schmitt, R. J., Osenberg C. W. (Eds), Detecting Ecological Impacts: Concepts and
- 364 Applications in Coastal Habitats. San Diego, California, USA, pp. 29-48.
- 365 Ke, C., Yu, K.N., Lam, P.K.S., Wang, W., 2000. Uptake and depuration of cesium in the
- green mussel *Perna viridis*. Mar. Biol. 137, 567–575.
- Kuranchie-Mensah, H., Teyssié, J.-L., Oberhänsli, F., Tumnoi, Y., Pouil, S., Warnau, M.,
- 368 Metian, M., 2016. Bioconcentration of Ag, Cd, Co, Mn and Zn in the mangrove oyster
- 369 (Crassostrea gasar) and preliminary human health risk assessment: A radiotracer study. Bull.
- 370 Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 97, 413–417.
- 371 Landrum, P.F., Lydy, M.J., Lee, H., 1992. Toxicokinetics in aquatic systems: Model
- 372 comparisons and use in hazard assessment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 11, 1709–1725.
- Lee, B.-G., Wallace, W.G., Luoma, S.N., 1998. Uptake and loss kinetics of Cd, Cr and Zn in
- 374 the bivalves *Potamocorbula amurensis* and *Macoma balthica*: Effects of size and salinity.
- 375 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 175, 177–189.
- 376 McKinley, A.C., Taylor, M.D., Johnston, E.L., 2012. Relationships between body burdens of
- 377 trace metals (As, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Se, and Zn) and the relative body size of small tooth
- flounder (*Pseudorhombus jenynsii*). Sci. Total Environ. 423, 84–94.
- Melinda, K., Suseno, H., Prihatini, W., 2015. Bioaccumulation and distribution of <sup>137</sup>cesium
- in the humpback grouper fish (*Cromileptes altivelis*). Nusant. Biosci. 7, 180–184.
- Metian, M., Bustamante, P., Cosson, R.P., Hédouin, L., Warnau, M., 2008. Investigation of
- 382 Ag in the king scallop *Pecten maximus* using field and laboratory approaches. J. Exp. Mar.
- 383 Biol. Ecol. 367, 53–60.
- Metian, M., Pouil, S., Hédouin, L., Oberhänsli, F., Teyssié, J.-L., Bustamante, P., Warnau,
- 385 M., 2016. Differential bioaccumulation of <sup>134</sup>Cs in tropical marine organisms and the relative
- importance of exposure pathways. J. Environ. Radioact. 152, 127–135.

- Metian, M., Warnau, M., Teyssié, J.-L., Bustamante, P., 2011. Characterization of <sup>241</sup>Am and
- 388 <sup>134</sup>Cs bioaccumulation in the king scallop *Pecten maximus*: Investigation via three exposure
- 389 pathways. J. Environ. Radioact. 102, 543–50.
- 390 Mirsadeghi, S.A., Zakaria, M.P., Yap, C.K., Gobas, F., 2013. Evaluation of the potential
- 391 bioaccumulation ability of the blood cockle (Anadara granosa L.) for assessment of
- environmental matrices of mudflats. Sci. Total Environ. 454, 584–597.
- Nielsen, K.S., Mattson, K.M., Kelly, D.G., Bennett, L.G., 2007. Environmental radionuclide
- monitoring programme. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 271, 621–627.
- 395 Pan, K., Wang, W.X., 2008. Allometry of cadmium and zinc concentrations and
- bioaccumulation in the scallop *Chlamys nobilis*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 365, 115–126.
- 397 Phillips, D.J.H., 1977. The use of biological indicator organisms to monitor trace metal
- 398 pollution in marine and estuarine environments: A review. Environ. Pollut. 1970 13, 281–317.
- Pouil, S., Bustamante, P., Warnau, M., Oberhänsli, F., Teyssié, J.-L., Metian, M., 2015.
- 400 Delineation of <sup>134</sup>Cs uptake pathways (seawater and food) in the variegated scallop
- 401 *Mimachlamys varia*. J. Environ. Radioact. 148, 74–79.
- 402 Prihatiningsih, W.R., Suseno, H., Zamani, N.P., Soedharma, D., 2016. Bioaccumulation and
- retention kinetics of cesium in the milkfish *Chanos chanos* from Jakarta Bay. Mar. Pollut.
- 404 Bull. 110, 647–653.
- Rainbow, P.S., 1995. Biomonitoring of heavy metal availability in the marine environment.
- 406 Mar. Pollut. Bull. 31, 183–192.
- 407 Rodriguez y Baena, A.M., Metian, M., Teyssié, J.-L., De Broyer, C., Warnau, M., 2006.
- 408 Experimental evidence for <sup>234</sup>Th bioaccumulation in three Antarctic crustaceans: Potential
- implications for particle flux studies. Mar. Chem. 100, 354–365.
- Sarkar, S.K., Bhattacharya, B., Debnath, S., 1994. The suitability of tropical marine bivalves
- as biomonitors of heavy metals in deltaic sundarbans, North-East India. Chemosphere 29,

- 412 759–770.
- 413 Sarkar, S.K., Cabral, H., Chatterjee, M., Cardoso, I., Bhattacharya, A.K., Satpathy, K.K.,
- Alam, M.A., 2008. Biomonitoring of heavy metals using the bivalve molluscs in Sunderban
- 415 mangrove wetland, northeast coast of Bay of Bengal (India): Possible risks to human health.
- 416 CLEAN-Soil Air Water 36, 187–194.
- 417 Sezer, N., Belivermiş, M., Kiliç, Ö., Topcuoğlu, S., Çotuk, Y., 2014. Biokinetics of
- 418 radiocesium in shrimp (Palaemon adspersus): Seawater and food exposures. J. Environ.
- 419 Radioact. 132, 15–20.
- 420 Shah, S.M., Sastry, V.N., Bhatt, Y.M., 1973. Trace element distribution in some mollusca
- 421 from Bombay coast. Curr. Sci. 42, 589–592.
- 422 Strong, C.R., Luoma, S.N., 1981. Variations in the correlation of body size with
- 423 concentrations of Cu and Ag in the bivalve Macoma balthica. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 38,
- 424 1059–1064.
- 425 Tang, W., Evans, D., Kraemer, L., Zhong, H., 2017. Body size-dependent Cd accumulation in
- 426 the zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* from different routes. Chemosphere 168, 825–831.
- Theng, T., Ahmad, Z., Mohamed, C., 2004. Activity concentrations of <sup>210</sup>Po in the soft parts
- of cockle (Anadara granosa) at Kuala Selangor, Malaysia. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 262,
- 429 485–488.
- 430 Thomann, R.V., 1981. Equilibrium model of fate of microcontaminants in diverse aquatic
- 431 food chains. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 38, 280–296.
- Thomann, R.V., Mahony, J.D., Mueller, R., 1995. Steady-state model of biota sediment
- accumulation factor for metals in two marine bivalves. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 14, 1989-
- 434 1998.
- Tu, N.P.C., Ha, N.N., Agusa, T., Ikemoto, T., Tuyen, B.C., Tanabe, S., Takeuchi, I., 2011.
- 436 Trace elements in *Anadara* spp. (Mollusca: Bivalva) collected along the coast of Vietnam,

- with emphasis on regional differences and human health risk assessment. Fish. Sci. 77, 1033–
- 438 1043.
- Twining, J.R., Ferris, J.M., Markich, S.J., 1996. Bioaccumulation of <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>85</sup>Sr by an
- 440 Australian freshwater teleost (*Bidyanus bidyanus*). Sci. Total Environ. 192, 245–257.
- Wang, W., Ke, C., Yu, K.N., Lam, P.K.S., 2000. Modeling radiocesium bioaccumulation in a
- marine food chain. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 208, 41–50.
- Wang, W.-X., Fisher, N.S., 1998. Modeling the influence of body size on trace element
- accumulation in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. Oceanogr. Lit. Rev. 45, 1423–1424.
- Wang, W.-X., Fisher, N.S., Luoma, S.N., 1996. Kinetic determinations of trace element
- bioaccumulation in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 140, 91–113.
- Warnau, M., Ledent, G., Temara, A., Alva, V., Jangoux, M., Dubois, P., 1995. Allometry of
- heavy metal bioconcentration in the echinoid *Paracentrotus lividus*. Arch. Environ. Contam.
- 449 Toxicol. 29, 393–399.
- Warnau, M., Teyssié, J.-L., Fowler, S.W., 1996. Biokinetics of selected heavy metals and
- 451 radionuclides in the common Mediterranean echinoid Paracentrotus lividus: Seawater and
- food exposures. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 141, 83–94.
- Wang, W.-X., Fisher, N.S., Luoma, S.N., 1996. Assimilation of trace elements ingested by the
- 454 mussel *Mytilus edulis*: Effects of algal food abundance. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 129, 165–176.
- 455 Yu, P.K.N., Lam, P.K.S., Ng, B.K.P., Li, A.M.Y., 2000. Biokinetics of cesium in Perna
- 456 *viridis*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 19, 271–275.
- Yusof, A.M., Yanta, N.F., Wood, A.K.H., 2004. The use of bivalves as bio-indicators in the
- assessment of marine pollution along a coastal area. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 259, 119–127.
- 459 Zar, J.H., 1996. Biostatistical Analysis, 3rd ed. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Zuykov, M., Pelletier, E., Harper, D.A.T., 2013. Bivalve mollusks in metal pollution studies:
- 461 from bioaccumulation to biomonitoring. Chemosphere 93, 201–208.

Captions to figures 462 463 Figure 1. Relationships between (A) Concentration Factor (CF), (B) remaining activity and 464 whole-body weight (g) of bloody cockles A. senilis after a dissolved exposure to radionuclides 465 (134Cs & 241Am). Each dot represents values measured in one individual (n=19-43). Equations 466 467 best fitting the relationships are given in Table 1. 468 469 Figure 2. Relationships between remaining activity and whole-body weight (g) of A. senilis after a trophic exposure to radionuclides (134Cs & 241Am). Each dot represents values 470 471 measured in on individual (n=14). 472 Figure 3. Relative contributions (%) of the uptake pathways (seawater and food) to the total 473 bioaccumulation of radionuclides (134Cs & 241Am) in the bloody cockles of two different 474 475 classes of weights. Values of parameters used are given in Table 2.





Figure 1



479 Figure 2




Figure 3

Table 1. Parameters and statistics of the power function (CF or Remaining activity =  $a*weight^b$ ) best describing the relationships between concentration factor (CF) of radionuclides ( $^{134}$ Cs and  $^{241}$ Am) and whole-body wet weight (g) in bloody cockle *A. senilis* at the end of the 28-d dissolved exposure period (n=38-43).

| Radionuclide      | a (Mean ± ASE)   | p(a)    | b (Mean ± ASE)   | p(b)    | $\mathbb{R}^2$ |
|-------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----------------|
| <sup>134</sup> Cs | 5.41 ± 1.11      | <0.0001 | $-0.44 \pm 0.08$ | <0.0001 | 0.51           |
| <sup>241</sup> Am | 1422.19 ± 111.26 | <0.0001 | $-0.35 \pm 0.03$ | <0.0001 | 0.80           |

Table 2. Numeric values of physiological and geochemical factors used in modeling the radionuclide (<sup>134</sup>Cs & <sup>241</sup>Am) transfer in the bloody cockles of two different classes of weights.

| oathway                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| $C_w$ (Bq g <sup>-1</sup> , Mean $\pm$ SD) | $A_{0l}$ (%, Mean ± ASE)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | $k_u$ (d <sup>-1</sup> , Mean ± ASE)                        | $k_{el}$ (d <sup>-1</sup> , Mean ± ASE)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |
| ights (9.8±2.2 g)                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| $0.94 \pm 0.05$                            | $76.26 \pm 4.91$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | $0.59 \pm 0.16$                                             | $0.010 \pm 0.003$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| $0.13 \pm 0.01$                            | $92.18 \pm 0.85$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 63.75 ± 4.42                                                | $0.018 \pm 0.001$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| hts (36.3±6.7 g)                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| $0.94 \pm 0.05$                            | $66.83 \pm 0.02$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | $0.49 \pm 0.14$                                             | $0.015 \pm 0.003$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| $0.13 \pm 0.01$                            | 92.50 ± 1.01                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 34.81 ± 2.55                                                | $0.019 \pm 0.001$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| thway                                      | 1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| Kdf<br>(Mean ± ASE)                        | AE (%, Mean ± ASE)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | <i>IR</i> * (g g <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> , Mean ± SD) | $k_{el}$ (d <sup>-1</sup> , Mean ± ASE)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |
| ights (12.2±1.9 g)                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| 140 ± 7                                    | $36.88 \pm 2.70$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | $0.053 \pm 0.011$                                           | $0.021 \pm 0.005$                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| 200000 ± 10000                             | 0**                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | $0.032 \pm 0.006$                                           | 0**                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |  |
| hts $(28.8 \pm 5.1 \text{ g})$             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| 140 ± 7                                    | 31.10 ± 11.14                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | $0.053 \pm 0.011$                                           | 0.002                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |  |
| 200000 ± 10000                             | 27.75 ± 5.56                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | $0.032 \pm 0.006$                                           | 0.012                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |  |
|                                            | $C_w$ (Bq g <sup>-1</sup> , Mean ± SD)<br>ights (9.8±2.2 g)<br>$0.94 \pm 0.05$<br>$0.13 \pm 0.01$<br>ints (36.3±6.7 g)<br>$0.94 \pm 0.05$<br>$0.13 \pm 0.01$<br><b>thway</b> $Kdf$ (Mean ± ASE)<br>ights (12.2±1.9 g)<br>$140 \pm 7$<br>$200000 \pm 10000$<br>ints (28.8 ± 5.1 g)<br>$140 \pm 7$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$       | $\begin{array}{ c c c }\hline C_w & C_w & A_{0l} & K_u & K_u \\ (\text{Bq g}^{-1}, \text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}) & C_w & K_u \\ (\text{gh}^{-1}, \text{Mean} \pm \text{ASE}) & C_w & C_w \\ \hline \text{lights } (9.8\pm2.2 \text{ g}) & 0.94\pm0.05 & 76.26\pm4.91 & 0.59\pm0.16 \\ 0.13\pm0.01 & 92.18\pm0.85 & 63.75\pm4.42 \\ \hline \text{ats } (36.3\pm6.7 \text{ g}) & 0.94\pm0.05 & 66.83\pm0.02 & 0.49\pm0.14 \\ \hline 0.13\pm0.01 & 92.50\pm1.01 & 34.81\pm2.55 \\ \hline \textbf{thway} & Kdf & AE & (Mean\pm ASE) & (g g^{-1} d^{-1}, Mean\pm SD) \\ \hline \text{lights } (12.2\pm1.9 \text{ g}) & 140\pm7 & 36.88\pm2.70 & 0.053\pm0.011 \\ \hline & 200000\pm10000 & 0** & 0.032\pm0.006 \\ \hline \text{ats } (28.8\pm5.1 \text{ g}) & 140\pm7 & 31.10\pm11.14 & 0.053\pm0.011 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

<sup>\*</sup> IR were experimentally assessed according to Metian et al. (2008)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Values not significant different from 0 (p>0.05).