



HAL
open science

Determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides in populations living close to fields: A systematic review

Raphaelle Teysseire, Guyguy Manangama Duki, Isabelle Baldi, Camille Carles, Patrick Brochard, Carole Bedos, Fleur Delva

► To cite this version:

Raphaelle Teysseire, Guyguy Manangama Duki, Isabelle Baldi, Camille Carles, Patrick Brochard, et al. Determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides in populations living close to fields: A systematic review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 2021, 761, pp.143294. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143294 . hal-03158101

HAL Id: hal-03158101

<https://hal.science/hal-03158101>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides in populations living close to fields: a systematic review

Authors

Raphaëlle **TEYSSEIRE** ^{a,b,c,d,*}, Guyguy **MANANGAMA** ^{a,b,c}, Isabelle **BALDI** ^{a,b},
Camille **CARLES** ^{a,b}, Patrick **BROCHARD** ^{a,b,c}, Carole **BEDOS** ^e, Fleur **DELVA** ^{a,b,c}

^a Bordeaux Population Health Research Center, Inserm UMR1219-EPICENE,
University of Bordeaux,

33076 Bordeaux

^b Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Public Health Pole,
Bordeaux Hospital, 33076 Bordeaux, France

^c Environmental health platform dedicated to reproduction, ARTEMIS center, 33076
Bordeaux, France

^d Regional Health Agency of Nouvelle-Aquitaine, 33000 Bordeaux, France

^e ECOSYS, INRA-AgroParisTech-Université Paris-Saclay, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon,
France.

***Correspondence:** raphaelle.teysseire@chu-bordeaux.fr; Bordeaux Hospital,
Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Place Amélie Raba-Léon,
33076 Bordeaux Cedex, France Tel.: +33-557-821-627

1 **Abstract**

2 Background: There is growing evidence in the scientific literature that individuals living near
3 fields are more exposed to agricultural pesticides than people living further away.

4 Objective: The main objective of this systematic review was to identify the non-dietary
5 determinants of pesticide exposure related to the drift pathway in residents living in
6 agricultural areas, including spatial indicators related to agricultural activities, hygiene
7 practices, behaviors and sociodemographic parameters.

8 Methods: Three databases were consulted (PubMed, Web of sciences, Scopus). At least two
9 experts selected the eligible studies.

10 Results: A total of 27 original studies (2002-2020) fulfilled the eligibility criteria of this review.
11 These publications explored pesticide exposure of individuals through measurements in
12 biological samples (n=13), environmental samples (n=11) or both (n=3). Spatial indicators,
13 including residential proximity to fields, crop acreage around the residence and amounts of
14 pesticides applied in the vicinity were identified as determinants of pesticide exposure in
15 many studies (n=17), including publications considered to be of very good or good quality
16 (n=12). Season of spraying tended to increase the levels of pesticides measured in five
17 publications out of seven. Meteorological parameters and physical barriers showed an
18 inconsistent and complex influence on the presence and levels of pesticides in urine samples
19 and house dust. Frequent housekeeping reduced the presence of pesticides at home and
20 consequently in biological matrices in three studies out of six. Finally, the effect of the
21 occupants' sociodemographic characteristics, behaviors, and hygiene practices on the
22 exposure measurement were less well documented and results were fairly inconsistent.

23 Discussion and conclusion: This study consolidates our knowledge of the determinants
24 influencing pesticide exposure levels in people living in agricultural areas. Nevertheless, the
25 available scientific data is still too limited to serve as a basis for developing risk management
26 measures. More research is needed to improve knowledge of the determinants of exposure.

27 **Keywords**

28 Pesticides, Agriculture, Environmental exposure, Residents, Spray drift, Exposure
29 determinants

30 **1. Introduction**

31 Individual exposure to pesticides may result from a combination of several potential sources:
32 1/agricultural and non-agricultural work associated with direct and/or indirect pesticide
33 exposure, 2/contact with people occupationally exposed to pesticides, 3/household pesticide
34 use, 4/dietary ingestion of contaminated food and water, and 5/environmental exposures
35 related to pesticide application in fields or manufacturing (U.S. EPA, 2020). The relative
36 contribution of each of these sources can be difficult to discern and human can be exposed
37 through dermal, oral, or respiratory routes leading to short-term and chronic health impacts
38 (Kim et al., 2017).

39 For people living close to agricultural areas, the agricultural drift pathway could contribute
40 substantially to total exposure. Several studies have indeed demonstrated higher levels of
41 pesticides in both biological and environmental matrices among agricultural communities
42 compared to people residing away from agricultural land (Dereumeaux et al., 2020). The
43 agricultural drift pathway includes several transfer processes. Transfer of pesticides into the
44 atmosphere can occur directly during pesticide application by spray drift from the fields which
45 then forms deposits (primary drift). Aerial emissions can also arise for several days or weeks
46 after application by volatilization of pesticides from soils and plants or by wind erosion
47 (secondary drift) (Bedos et al., 2002; FOCUS, 2008). These phenomena can result in the
48 exposure of residents to pesticides via dermal, inhalation and ingestion routes (EFSA, 2014).
49 Associations between pesticide exposure and the onset of a variety of adverse health
50 outcomes such as cancers, neurological diseases, and adverse effects on fertility or
51 pregnancy in populations living close to agricultural crops have been reported in the scientific
52 literature (Teyssere et al., 2020). Improving knowledge of the factors that may influence
53 pesticide exposure near treated fields is essential in order to design intervention strategies
54 that reduce potential impacts on the population's health. We found two systematic reviews,

55 by the same authors, on the determinants of non-dietary exposure to pesticides in
56 agricultural areas. Deziel et al. (2015) published a review on non-occupational pathways of
57 pesticide exposure in women living in agricultural areas in North America, not involved in
58 farm work: ten out of 35 publications (1995–2013) reported significant or suggestive ($p < 0.1$)
59 associations between agricultural drift pathway and pesticides in house dust or biomarker
60 levels. The authors found moderate evidence of the efficiency of hygiene behaviors in
61 reducing exposure. The second review on the relative contribution of non-occupational
62 pathways to pesticide levels in house dust was based on seven studies covering the period
63 1995-2015, and indicated that pesticide concentrations in house dust decreased sharply and
64 non-linearly as the distance of the home from treated fields increased, the magnitude
65 depending on the type of pesticides (Deziel et al., 2017). These reviews focused on specific
66 populations (women or children, often members of farming families), sample types (house
67 dust mainly) and location (North America). We propose a broader systematic review to
68 describe the existing literature on non-dietary determinants of exposure related to the drift
69 pathway in populations living near treated fields, including spatial indicators related to
70 agricultural activities, hygiene practices, behaviors and sociodemographic parameters.

71 **2. Methods**

72 **2.1. General framework for the review**

73 We conducted a systematic review driven by the following question: “What are the
74 determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides in people living near treated
75 fields?”. We defined the determinants of exposure as the factors explored in the studies that
76 could explain the levels of pesticide exposure as spatial indicators related to agricultural
77 activities, hygiene parameters, behaviors, and sociodemographic data.

78 This work has been reported following the PRISMA guidelines (Moher et al., 2009).

79 **2.2. Literature Search**

80 The search for articles relied on three online bibliographic databases chosen for their
81 complementarity in terms of the research areas covered: PubMed

82 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>), Web of sciences (
83 <https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/solutions/web-of-science>) and Scopus
84 (<https://www.scopus.com>). We used the following algorithm: (pesticide* OR fungicide* OR
85 herbicide* OR insecticide*) exposure* AND ((proximity AND (field OR crop* OR agricultur*))
86 OR (residen*) OR ((agricultural OR rural) AND (communit* OR area*))). We added articles
87 identified from websites or from the bibliographic references of the selected studies. The
88 references were managed using Mendeley Reference Manager (Mendeley - Reference
89 Management Software & Researcher Network).

90 **2.3. Study Eligibility Criteria**

91 To be included in our review, studies had to fulfill the following criteria:

92 1) original human studies published in English, French or Spanish.

93 2) studies referring to ground application of pesticides in agriculture. We excluded studies
94 mentioning aircraft-mounted sprayers. The scale and speed of this kind of operation differ
95 from ground spraying; the levels and profiles of exposure are *a priori* different for populations
96 in the vicinity.

97 3) studies including a 'spatialized' definition of the term 'resident', e.g. studies providing
98 numerical spatial indicators such as the residential distance from fields or the agricultural
99 amounts of pesticides applied in the vicinity. We did not consider a maximum distance from
100 the treated field. Indeed, there is no harmonized definition of the term "resident" in the
101 scientific literature based on distance to fields. A qualitative definition such as "rural area"
102 was not considered as sufficient to define a resident but this information could have been
103 studied as a potential determinant of exposure. As our main objective was to study exposure
104 determinants linked to the agricultural drift pathway, we chose to exclude studies including
105 exclusively farmworkers and/or their families. Indeed, previous reviews have demonstrated
106 that it is difficult to disentangle the contribution of the agricultural drift pathway from other
107 sources in these populations because of the major contribution of the take-home exposure
108 route (Deziel et al., 2015; Hyland and Laribi, 2017). However, studies including farmers'

109 families and other people living near crops but not involved in agricultural work were
110 retained.

111 4) non-experimental studies characterizing residents' exposure to pesticides using
112 biomonitoring or environmental monitoring and which quantitatively assess the effect of
113 potential exposure determinants.

114 No limitations on the date of publication or the geographical location were applied.

115 The relevant studies were selected by three reviewers (RT, GM, FD). For each article, the
116 eligibility criteria were checked by two reviewers independently. A consensus was found
117 between all the reviewers about inclusion/exclusion of the article in the event of
118 disagreement.

119 **2.4. Data collection and analysis**

120 For each article, the following information was collected: study reference, location, time
121 period, population, pesticides or metabolites searched for, matrices of exposure explored,
122 determinants of exposure to the agricultural pesticides studied, methods of measuring these
123 determinants, and key findings. The results were summarized in tables. We also collected
124 information on the type of statistical analysis performed and the associations found by the
125 authors, which we have presented in the appendices.

126 We presented results of multivariable tests rather than univariate statistical tests when both
127 were available, focusing on the results on the determinants explaining the presence/absence
128 of pesticides rather than the factors predicting the levels of pesticide exposure. When only
129 univariate statistical tests were performed, this was specified in the presentation of the
130 results.

131 We designed a specific reading grid to assess the adequacy of the selected publications to
132 answer the research question presented above, based on the analysis of the potential bias of
133 each study. Indeed, we did not find any validated grid to assess the quality of studies in the
134 field of exposure science directly applicable to our subject. The grid detailing the score
135 calculation method is available in a supplemental file (Appendix A). Briefly, five criteria were

136 used: 1) population adequacy, 2) reliability of exposure measurement, 3) reliability of spatial
137 determinants measurement, 4) reliability of non-spatial determinants measurement, 5)
138 consideration of other sources of exposure for the pesticides under study. A score from 0 to
139 2 was calculated for each item when applicable, according to the criteria presented in the
140 grid. The total score for an article was a mean of the score obtained for each relevant item
141 expressed as a percentage of the maximal possible score. Publications were classified into
142 four categories based on their adequacy score: A 'very good quality' (score above 75%), B
143 'good quality' (between 50 and 74%), C 'medium quality' (between 25 and 49%), D 'low
144 quality' (less than 25%).

145 **3. Results**

146 ***3.1. Study selection***

147 The search for articles in the selected databases was conducted on January 10, 2020. We
148 included 27 publications in our review: 26 were identified through the databases and one was
149 found in the grey literature (Figure 1). This document was a thesis. The main reasons for
150 exclusion were: no mention of pesticides used in agriculture, no human population included,
151 and inclusion of populations not considered as residents (workers or general population).

152 ***3.2. General characteristics of included studies***

153 The 27 articles included in our review were published between 2002 and 2020. They were
154 from North America (n=14, 51.9%), Europe (n=8, 29.6%), Asia (n=3, 11.1%), South America
155 (n=1, 3.7%), and Australia (n=1, 3.7%). The description of the type of crops near the
156 residence was often missing (n=13, 48.1%). When the information was available, the crops
157 identified, sometimes in association, were fruit trees (n=9, 33.3%), arable crops (n=6,
158 22.2%), vineyards (n=4, 17.8%), vegetables (n=4, 14.8%), and flowers (n=3, 11.1%).

159 In 13 studies (48.1%), pesticide exposure was assessed only by biomonitoring, mainly
160 measurements of pesticides in urine samples (n=12, 44.4%), maternal blood, umbilical cord
161 blood and placental tissue (n=1) (Takser et al., 2004) and one study sampled prenatal dentin
162 of shed teeth (n=1) (Gurier et al., 2013). The publications covered mainly insecticides and

163 their metabolites, such as organophosphates (OP) and their metabolites and notably Dialkyl
164 phosphate (DAPs) (n=7), pyrethroids (PYR) and their metabolites (n=4) or imidacloprid and
165 its metabolite (n=1). Two studies searched for herbicides and two for fungicides and their
166 potential metabolites, such as ethylenethiourea (u-ETU). Finally, two searched for
167 manganese (Mn) as an indirect measure of Mn-based fungicides such as maneb or
168 mancozeb. The populations included in studies performing biomonitoring were children (n=7,
169 53.8%), pregnant or post-partum women (n=4, 30.8%) or families (n=2, 15.4%). The main
170 results yielded by the biomonitoring studies regarding exposure determinants potentially
171 related to the agricultural drift pathway are presented in Table 1. More details on these
172 results (type of statistical analysis performed, associations found) are presented in Appendix
173 B.

174 Pesticides were measured only in environmental matrices in 11 studies (40.7%). Nine
175 sampled house dust (n=9, 33.3%). Occasionally, pesticides were measured in air or in
176 surface deposition samples using passive air samplers (n=1, 3.7%) and silicone wristbands
177 (n=1, 3.7%). Pesticides investigated in the environment were mainly insecticides including
178 OP (n=6) and/or PYR compounds (n=2), followed by herbicides (n=3) and other pesticides
179 (n=4). In studies conducting environmental monitoring, populations living in the dwellings
180 were rarely described. Results of environmental studies are presented in Table 2 and
181 Appendix B.

182 Finally, three studies explored both biological and environmental matrices (11.1%): two
183 combined measurements in house dust and urines and one collected wipe samples from
184 hands, feet, diverse surfaces in the home, and blood samples. Two of these three studies
185 investigated OP pesticides and one PYR pesticides. Results of these studies are presented
186 in Table 3 and Appendix B.

187 The quality of the studies was variable: seven articles were classified in category A (25.9%),
188 eight in category B (29.6%), nine in category C (33.3%) and finally three in category D

189 (11.1%). Details on the score calculated for each study are presented in a supplemental file
190 (Appendix C).

191 In addition, 18 articles (66.7%) also investigated occupational and para-occupational
192 determinants of exposure, 16 (59.3%) explored the contribution of factors related to the
193 household use of pesticides, and 9 (33.3%) investigated dietary factors.

194 **3.3. Spatial determinants of exposure**

195 *3.3.1. Place of residence (rural vs. urban)*

196 Studies of various quality established a positive association between living in a rural area
197 and the detection rate or levels of pesticides in urine samples (Babina et al., 2012; Chevrier
198 et al., 2014; Dereumeaux et al., 2018), silicone wristbands (Harley et al., 2019) and blood
199 (Takser et al., 2004). Two medium or low quality studies found, conversely, a positive
200 association between living in an urban area and levels of OP, PYR and/or herbicide
201 metabolites in urine samples (Fernandez et al., 2020; Munoz-Quezada et al., 2012).

202 *3.3.2. Residential proximity to fields*

203 Residential proximity to fields was the most common spatial determinant explored in the
204 publications (n=16, 59.3%). A significant association between residential distance from the
205 fields and pesticide measurements in different matrices was found in 12 of 16 studies (75%)
206 of varying quality, seven being classified in category A or B and five in category C or D.

207 Seven studies showed a positive association between residential distance from fields within
208 100 meters and elevated detection rates or concentrations of pesticides in urine samples and
209 house dust. Three of these studies found an association for OP insecticides or its
210 metabolites (DAPs) (Bradman et al., 2011; Butler-Dawson et al., 2018; Hung et al., 2018),
211 two for the herbicide Dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate (DCPA) (Harley et al., 2019; Harnly et
212 al., 2009) , one for a metabolite of fungicides (ETU) (Sammartano et al., 2019), and one for
213 several herbicides (Ward et al., 2006). Three studies did not report any association with
214 pesticide exposure indicators in urine samples (Babina et al., 2012; Koch et al., 2002) or

215 house dust (Hogenkamp et al., 2004) and living within 100 meters of fields. The pesticides
216 explored were diverse, including insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides.

217 Six studies explored associations for greater distances. Of these, five showed a positive
218 association between residential distance from fields less than 500 meters and elevated
219 pesticide concentrations in urine samples or air for OP pesticides and its metabolites (n=4)
220 (Coronado et al., 2011; Fernandez et al., 2020; Gibbs et al., 2017; Munoz-Quezada et al.,
221 2012) and for atrazine and triazine metabolites (n=1) (Chevrier et al., 2014). One study found
222 no association between distances less than 500 meters and levels of OP metabolites in urine
223 samples (Royster et al., 2002).

224 3.3.3. Crop acreage in one or several buffers around the home

225 Five publications studied the influence of crop acreage in one or more buffers around the
226 home. In GIS, a buffer is a zone specified around a point or a line or a polygon area. In all
227 the studies selected, this zone was a circular polygon with a center determined by the
228 residential location. Three out of five studies (60%), considered to be of very good or good
229 quality, found a positive association between areas of arable crops, vineyards and/or
230 orchards within 500 to 1000 meters from the home and higher levels of pesticides in house
231 dust (Béranger et al., 2014; Glorennec et al., 2017; Ward et al., 2006). Among these studies,
232 one also found an association between the distance to the closest crop field and herbicide
233 exposure; however, distance alone accounted for less of the variance than crop acreage
234 (Ward et al., 2006). One very good quality study found no association between surface area
235 of orchards and residential concentrations of pesticides (Plascak et al., 2019). One medium
236 quality study showed no association between the number of fields in the vicinity and urinary
237 DAPs levels (Royster et al., 2002).

238 3.3.4. Amounts of pesticides applied around the dwelling

239 Four analyses conducted in California from the Pesticide Use Report (PUR) dataset explored
240 the effect of the amounts of agricultural pesticides applied near the home on the presence of
241 pesticides in several matrices. In California, all agricultural and non-agricultural pesticide

242 uses are reported to the California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Information about
243 crops, active substances, date and amount of pesticides applied, location of application, are
244 compiled into the PUR data set with a resolution of 1.6 km (one mile) square sections
245 (California Department of Pesticide Regulation). Three studies found significant
246 associations between pesticide amounts applied around the home ($\leq 3,000$ meters) and the
247 presence in house dust of chlorpyrifos, iprodione, DCPA (Gunier et al., 2011; Harnly et al.,
248 2009), phosmet, simazine (Gunier et al., 2011) and manganese (Mn) (Gunier et al., 2014).
249 One of these studies also searched for the influence of the distance to the nearest field and
250 found an influence of this parameter for DCPA (Harnly et al., 2009). Higher Mn levels in
251 prenatal dentin of shed teeth collected from a population of 7-year-old children were also
252 associated with the use of Mn-based fungicides within 3000 meters of the maternal
253 residence during pregnancy in a medium quality study (Gunier et al., 2013).

254 3.3.5. Other spatial indicators

255 Two publications found positive associations between proximity of school or child care to
256 fields and the insecticide levels in children's urines and/or house dust (Glorennec et al.,
257 2017; Tao et al., 2019) while two others did not find any association with urinary
258 concentrations of several fungicides or insecticide metabolites (Bradman et al., 2011;
259 Sammartano et al., 2019).

260 The observation by subjects of pesticide spraying from home showed no influence on the
261 measure of pesticides in silicone wristbands (Harley et al., 2019). These results were
262 inconsistent with those in another lower quality publication reporting a positive association for
263 pesticide spraying less than 1 km away (Takser et al., 2004).

264 **3.4. Meteorological and topographical determinants of exposure**

265 3.4.1. Season

266 The effect of season was investigated in seven studies. Four showed a significant increase in
267 the urinary levels of OP metabolites (Bradman et al., 2011; Koch et al., 2002; Munoz-
268 Quezada et al., 2012) and chlormequat (Galea et al., 2015) during spraying season

269 compared with the agricultural low-season, and two studies found higher urinary
270 concentrations of atrazine and chlorpyrifos during winter (Chevrier et al., 2014; Galea et al.,
271 2015). Variations of carbaryl concentrations in house dust were found in one study: levels
272 were higher in fall than summer, spring, and winter (Gunier et al., 2011). One Asian study
273 found no difference in pesticide concentrations in indoor and outdoor dust according to the
274 season considered (warm/cold) (Hung et al., 2018).

275 3.4.2. Meteorological and topographical determinants of exposure

276 All studies that included meteorological and topographical parameters were considered to be
277 of very good or good quality.

278 The influence of daily rainfall prior to sampling yielded contradictory results. In two studies,
279 an increase in precipitation on sampling days or in the month before sampling was
280 associated with lower levels in urine samples and house dust for some OP pesticides
281 (Bradman et al., 2011; Harnly et al., 2009), and with higher levels for other OP pesticides
282 (Bradman et al., 2011). One publication found no association between precipitations during
283 the last 4 and 8 weeks before sampling and Mn levels in house dust (Gunier et al., 2014).

284 The effect of temperature was studied in only one publication: increased temperatures on
285 days prior to sampling were only associated with higher DCPA levels (Harnly et al., 2009).

286 The influence of wind direction on pesticide exposure was taken into account through the
287 calculation of spatial indicators. Chevrier et al. (2014) developed a wind-weighted score for
288 residential proximity to corn, while Béranger et al. (2014) investigated the influence of the
289 crop acreage around the home for different buffer sizes, wind-weighted. Both studies found a
290 positive association between these indicators and pesticide levels in biological and
291 environmental matrices. Béranger et al. (2014) et al. found that the use of a wind-weighted
292 indicator provided additional information compared with acreage alone and significantly
293 improved the models used, whereas Chevrier et al. (2014) concluded that adding the
294 prevailing wind into the models did not improve the prediction of urinary herbicide
295 concentrations much. Finally, one study showed that the presence of vegetative barriers

296 between fields and homes, determined using GIS, reduced the presence and concentration
297 of pesticides in house dust (Béranger et al., 2014).

298 **3.5. Sociodemographic determinants of exposure**

299 *3.5.1. Age, gender and weight*

300 In children, a study observed that associations between determinants of pesticide exposure
301 and urinary levels of insecticide metabolites differed by age when this parameter was
302 considered as an interaction term in the models (Bradman et al., 2011). Two studies describe
303 an inverse relationship among older children going to kindergarten or school (Munoz-
304 Quezada et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2019). Three studies found no influence of age on exposure
305 (Galea et al., 2015; Koch et al., 2002; Sammartano et al., 2019). Results for the effect of
306 gender were also inconsistent: DAP levels in children's urines were higher in boys in one
307 publication (Koch et al., 2002) and in girls in another (Bradman et al., 2011), while three
308 studies observed no association (Munoz-Quezada et al., 2012; Sammartano et al., 2019;
309 Tao et al., 2019).

310 In adults, one study found higher pesticide exposure in younger adults (Dereumeaux et al.,
311 2018), whereas five found no association (Chevrier et al., 2014; Fernandez et al., 2020;
312 Galea et al., 2015; Sammartano et al., 2019; Takser et al., 2004). The effect of gender was
313 investigated in a single study which found that ETU concentration in urine samples was not
314 associated with gender (Sammartano et al., 2019). A higher body mass index or weight was
315 associated with lower pesticide levels (PYR, OP and herbicides) in one study (Fernandez et
316 al., 2020) but was not found to be a determinant of pesticide exposure in two publications
317 with a better quality score (Dereumeaux et al., 2018; Sammartano et al., 2019).

318 *3.5.2. Other sociodemographic parameters*

319 No association was found between pesticide indicators of exposure and the educational level
320 or the household income of subjects (Chevrier et al., 2014; Fernandez et al., 2020; Munoz-
321 Quezada et al., 2012; Takser et al., 2004). Results were contradictory regarding the house
322 density metrics (Béranger et al., 2014; Gunier et al., 2014; Harnly et al., 2009).

323 **3.6. Hygiene factors**

324 3.6.1. Housekeeping

325 Three studies with a high level of confidence found that the more frequently the house was
326 cleaned, the lower the presence or concentrations of several pesticides in urine samples
327 (Glorennec et al., 2017), house dust (Harnly et al., 2009), and silicone wristbands (Harley et
328 al., 2019). These associations were not reported for all the pesticides studied, and two
329 publications considered to be of very good or good quality observed no association between
330 the frequency or the quality of house cleaning and pesticide contamination (Bradman et al.,
331 2011; Butler-Dawson et al., 2018).

332 Increased time or frequency of window opening was associated with higher concentrations of
333 certain pesticides only, i.e. p-nitrophenol in urine samples (Fernandez et al., 2020) and
334 esfenvalerate in silicone wristbands (Harley et al., 2019). Two studies found no association
335 at all (Butler-Dawson et al., 2018; Siritwat et al., 2019). Similarly, results regarding the
336 influence of a ventilation system on OP pesticide exposure were inconsistent (Butler-Dawson
337 et al., 2018; Harnly et al., 2009).

338 3.6.2. Other hygiene factors

339 Personal hygiene practices were studied in a single medium quality publication where
340 frequent hand washing was associated with lower concentrations of chlorpyrifos residue on
341 feet (Siritwat et al., 2019).

342 Three high quality studies found no influence of the presence of pets in the dwelling on
343 urinary concentrations of DAPs (Bradman et al., 2011) or on the pesticides' presence or
344 concentrations in indoor dust (Béranger et al, 2014) or in silicone wristbands (Harley et al.,
345 2019).

346 Finally, the presence of carpeting in homes was associated only with the presence of DCPA
347 in silicone wristbands (Harley et al., 2019); this parameter showed no influence on the
348 urinary concentrations of DAPs (Bradman et al., 2011). The presence of doormats was
349 associated with a lower presence of permethrin and piperonyl butoxide, a synergist

350 compound of pesticide products, in silicone wristbands (Harley et al., 2019) and with lower
351 Mn in house dust (Gunier et al., 2014).

352 **3.7. Activities of the occupants**

353 Activities and behaviors of persons residing near agricultural fields were not extensively
354 investigated. In one study, pesticide exposure was not associated with child behavior
355 indicators (Bradman et al., 2011). Duration of play or sitting on the floor was associated with
356 lower concentrations of chlorpyrifos on hands and feet in one study (Siriwat et al., 2019).
357 Time spent inside and outside a building located near agricultural activities was not
358 associated with pesticide levels in urine samples (Galea et al., 2015; Sammartano et al.,
359 2019), except for one study of a child population (Bradman et al., 2011). Four studies found
360 no influence of smoking on pesticide levels in urine samples (Chevrier et al., 2014;
361 Fernandez et al., 2020; Galea et al., 2015; Takser et al., 2004), while one observed an
362 association between the concentrations of pyrethroids in pregnant women's urines and their
363 smoking habits (Dereumeaux et al., 2018). One publication found lower Mn levels in the
364 deciduous teeth of children whose mothers smoked during pregnancy (Gunier et al., 2013).
365 Smoking habits could lead to pesticide exposure, not only through the consumption of
366 pesticide-treated tobacco leaves (Cai et al., 2002), but also because smoking is likely to
367 increase hand-to-mouth carrying (Dereumeaux et al., 2018). None of the studies
368 incorporating smoking habits was considered to be of good quality.

369 **4. Discussion**

370 The main objective of this systematic review was to identify the non-dietary determinants of
371 exposure to agricultural pesticides in populations living near treated fields. Twenty-seven
372 relevant studies were analyzed and provided consistent evidence about the role of the drift
373 pathway in the overall pesticide exposure of people residing in agricultural areas, which is
374 expected to be linked with atmospheric dispersion at the local range. Spatial indicators,
375 reflecting agricultural activities, were the determinants studied most frequently and were
376 found to be associated to pesticide levels or presence in urine samples or house dust in a

377 majority of studies, including high quality publications. Indicators of exposure were more
378 frequently associated with the amounts of pesticides applied in the vicinity than other metrics
379 such as crop acreage around the home or residential proximity to fields. Studies that
380 explored several indicators confirmed that the amount of pesticide used locally better
381 explained the level of exposure observed (Harnly et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2006). The studies
382 reviewed here also found frequently increased urinary levels of pesticides during the
383 treatment season compared with the non-spraying period, which is an additional argument in
384 favor of the contribution of the agricultural drift pathway in exposure. These findings are in
385 line with those from previous reviews conducted in other populations (farmworkers and their
386 families, or other agricultural communities) which also identified many studies that concluded
387 that people living near agricultural lands were more exposed to pesticides than people living
388 elsewhere (Dereumeaux et al., 2020; Deziel et al., 2017; Deziel et al., 2015).

389 However, although these results may support the importance of the agricultural drift pathway
390 overall, they remain inconsistent from one study to another, even for the same family of
391 pesticides. The values of the spatial indicators associated with a significant effect on
392 pesticide exposure varied greatly between publications. They ranged between 30 and 750
393 meters for the residential distance from the fields. The indicators based on crop surfaces and
394 amounts of pesticides applied, associated with exposure, had buffer radii ranging between
395 500 and 3,000 meters. The disparities observed could be due to the large variations in study
396 protocols. Sampling strategies were indeed very diverse regarding the matrix considered, the
397 choice of exposure marker, the population followed, the temporality of measurements, and
398 the analysis techniques. Moreover, in the scientific literature, several parameters have been
399 identified as influencing the emission and dispersion of agricultural pesticides in ambient air,
400 including the physico-chemical characteristics of compounds, the type of vegetation treated,
401 the spraying equipment used (FOCUS, 2008). The values of these parameters were highly
402 variable in the reviewed studies and could partially explain the differences observed in the
403 results. Meteorological conditions during and after spraying also play a role in the emission

404 and transport of pesticides (FOCUS, 2008). High temperatures, or elevated wind velocity
405 generally enhance the volatilization rate from plant and soils and consequently increase
406 pesticide losses into the atmosphere (Bedos et al., 2002; FOCUS, 2008). Some of the
407 studies we reviewed showed an influence of several of these variables on pesticide levels in
408 urine samples or house dust but the results could sometimes be contradictory depending on
409 the substance considered (Bradman et al., 2011; Harnly et al., 2009). Controlling some of the
410 parameters linked to pesticides and their conditions of application could be a relevant way to
411 reduce exposure among people living near treated fields and prevent adverse health effects.
412 Sammartano et al. (2019) observed a significant reduction of u-ETU in adults and children
413 after local stakeholders banned the use of mancozeb on vineyards. Other mitigation
414 measures could also reduce residents' pesticide exposure, such as applying pesticides
415 under favorable weather conditions, using drift reduction techniques or installing windbreaks
416 at the edges of fields. The delimitation of no-spray buffer zones could also be proposed
417 (FOCUS, 2007). As argued by Gunier et al. (2017), determining a safe distance or buffer
418 should be based on the published literature about health effects related to pesticide
419 exposure. However, the authors estimated that the scientific data was still limited to identify
420 the correct distance that would protect public's health and that more research is needed in
421 this field.

422 Exposure to pesticides also seems to depend on some sociodemographic parameters. In
423 children, half of the studies investigating an effect of age showed that this was a possible
424 determinant of exposure. In toddlers, some authors observed elevated levels of pesticides at
425 12 and 24 months compared to 6-month-old children and they related these to changes in
426 behaviors and diet (Bradman et al., 2011). After three years old, publications found an
427 inverse relation between age and insecticide levels in urine samples. The authors argued
428 that the higher concentrations found in younger children could be due to their smaller body
429 size or their immature metabolism which might lead to longer pesticide half-lives in their
430 body, but could also be related to specific behaviors (e.g., mouthing activity, hygiene habits,

431 time spent on the floor) (Munoz-Quezada et al., 2012; Tao et al., 2019). Some studies
432 confirmed a possible effect of children's behaviors on their exposure to OP pesticides. Sitting
433 on the floor, playing periods and time spent in child care have been associated with urinary
434 levels of DAPs (Bradman et al., 2011; Siriwat et al., 2019). The effect of gender on the level
435 of pesticide exposure remains inconsistent since two studies described as being of good or
436 medium quality found opposite results, whereas the rest of the publications did not find any
437 association. One article speculates that some differences in behavioral and/or activity
438 patterns between boys and girls could cause exposure disparities. Boys may be more
439 exposed because of a higher frequency of hand-to-mouth activity or strenuous physical
440 activities (Koch et al., 2002). Finally, the effect of parental socioeconomic status has not
441 been extensively studied. Parental education was investigated in a single publication and
442 was not related to children's pesticide exposure. No conclusion can be drawn from this single
443 study. The relationships between socioeconomic status and chemical levels of exposure in
444 biological matrices can be very complex and give heterogeneous results according to the
445 pollutant considered; higher exposures are not always found in poor and minority
446 communities (Morrens et al., 2012; Tyrrell et al., 2013). In the case of pesticide exposure
447 driven by the agricultural drift pathway, it would be difficult to hypothesize the effect of
448 socioeconomic status on exposure and this question needs to be explored in future studies.

449 In adults, the results extracted from the selected studies do not favor an effect of age and
450 gender on pesticide exposure, but information is still limited and comes from a study
451 considered to be of lower quality. However, some biological factors could cause physical and
452 metabolic disparities between men and women. Some behavioral differences could also lead
453 to differentiate results according to gender. Women spend in general more time in a
454 residential setting than men (Schweizer et al., 2007) and could be more exposed to
455 pesticides by the agricultural drift pathway. Effects of behaviors and activities have not been
456 investigated in the reviewed studies, except for smoking habits, which showed poor evidence
457 for predicting the levels of pesticides in urine samples. Nor is it possible to distinguish the

458 contribution of hand-to-mouth contact that could be linked to contamination of homes located
459 near agricultural fields by the consumption of pesticide-treated tobacco leaves.

460 The effects of age, gender, socioeconomic status and behaviors have been only rarely
461 explored in adults and children and the trends observed need to be confirmed in further
462 studies. Indeed, they are not usually investigated as determinants for exposure but are
463 frequently used as *a priori* variables of adjustments in statistical modelling. By improving
464 knowledge of these determinants, it could be possible to design targeted effective
465 interventions in order to reduce exposure.

466 Residential exposure to pesticides could be modified by hygiene factors. Three of the studies
467 we reviewed showed a positive impact of an elevated frequency or quality of house cleaning
468 in the reduction of pesticides for several matrices (house dust, silicone wristbands and
469 urines). These findings are consistent with those obtained in the environment of farmworkers
470 and their families (Deziel et al., 2015; Quandt et al., 2006). Improving cleaning practices
471 could be an effective leverage for reducing pesticide exposure. However, previous
472 interventions promoting hygiene practices in farmworkers' homes gave mixed results (Griffith
473 et al., 2019; Salvatore et al., 2015; Thompson et al., 2008). Two publications showing a
474 positive effect of interventions on reducing pesticide exposure provided limited information
475 about which behaviors or combination of behaviors and what level of compliance would most
476 effectively prevent residential pesticide contamination (Griffith et al., 2019; Salvatore et al.,
477 2015). Other hygiene factors were less explored: personal hygiene in children, and presence
478 of a doormat were associated with lower indicators of exposure, whereas open windows
479 seem related to higher exposure values. The presence of pets in the house and carpets on
480 the floor were not associated with the pesticides measured in the environment. Hygiene
481 factors should be investigated further in order to identify potential leverage to reduce
482 residents' exposure to agricultural pesticides.

483 To our knowledge, this study is the first qualitative systematic review exploring the state of
484 knowledge about non-dietary determinants of exposure conducted in a non-specific

485 population of residents. It can be compared with previous work carried out in other
486 populations (children, women living in agricultural areas). This work has been reported using
487 the PRISMA Guidelines. In addition, we created a subject-specific evaluation grid to interpret
488 the biases of the studies from the perspective of our research question. However, there are
489 limitations to this review. Few of the publications we selected were specifically designed to
490 answer our research question. The populations selected in the reviewed publications could
491 be very heterogeneous and frequently included farmworkers or their families. The
492 contribution of the agricultural drift pathway may therefore be difficult to distinguish from
493 other routes, such as the take-home or the residential exposure pathways. Indeed, some
494 previous studies have shown that these routes could contribute substantially to overall
495 exposure (Deziel et al., 2015; Hyland and Laribi, 2017; Quandt et al., 2006). Although the
496 selected studies performed adjustments to the statistical modeling, some potential
497 confounding factors could remain. Finally, the review we conducted was perhaps not totally
498 exhaustive. Using a definition of “residents” based on spatial indicators, we may have
499 wrongly considered some people living near treated fields as “general population”. Studies
500 conducted by scientific institutions as part of environmental health policy are not usually
501 published in the databases we consulted, and could probably not be identified despite
502 consulting the grey literature. We might also have missed studies published in other
503 languages than English, French or Spanish.

504 The results provided by this review are still too limited to comprehensively identify the
505 determinants contributing substantially to the pesticide exposure linked to the drift pathway
506 and they should be interpreted with caution. Future studies are needed to confirm the trends
507 observed and acquire data on the poorly or insufficiently studied determinants. These studies
508 should be specially designed to assess drift exposure. In their review published in 2020,
509 Dereumeaux et al. (2020) developed a list of study characteristics to accurately measure
510 spray-drift exposure in residents, and this could be used as a framework reference. It is
511 indeed essential to improve knowledge of the determinants of exposure in order to design

512 appropriate interventions and thus reduce exposure and prevent health effects related to
513 agricultural pesticides in populations at risk.

514 **5. Conclusion**

515 A majority of the studies we selected in our systematic review provided robust evidence for
516 the contribution of the agricultural drift pathway in overall pesticide exposure in people living
517 near fields. Several spatial indicators reflecting pesticide use around residences have been
518 associated with elevated concentrations of agricultural pesticides in both biological and
519 environmental matrices in residents. Other potential determinants of exposure such as
520 sociodemographic characteristics, behaviors and hygiene practices have been less
521 extensively studied. It is hoped that our knowledge of determinants of pesticide exposure
522 could be improved from future studies specifically designed to explore this research question.
523 Improving knowledge of the determinant of pesticide exposure due to the agricultural drift
524 pathway is essential in order to design efficient and proportionate interventions and thus
525 prevent the onset of adverse health effects in populations living near treated fields.

526 **Funding sources**

527 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
528 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

529

530

531 **References**

532 Babina, K., Dollard, M., Pilotto, L., Edwards, J.W., 2012. Environmental exposure to
533 organophosphorus and pyrethroid pesticides in South Australian preschool children: A
534 cross sectional study. *Environ. Int.* 48, 109–120.
535 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.07.007>.

536 Bedos, C., Cellier, P., Calvet, R., Barriuso, E., Gabrielle, B., 2002. Mass transfer of
537 pesticides into the atmosphere by volatilization from soils and plants: overview.
538 *Agronomie* 22, 21–33. <https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2001003>.

539 Béranger, R., Billoir, E., Nuckols, JR., Faure E., Blain J., Chasles, V., Philip, T., Schüz J.,
540 Fervers, B., 2014. Environmental determinants of the indoor exposure to agricultural
541 pesticides, in Testicular germ cell tumors : assessing the impact of occupational and
542 environmental exposure to pesticides. Université Claude Bernard - Lyon I, NNT :
543 2014LYO10309. tel-01132375, pp. 142-169.

544 Bradman, A., Castorina, R., Barr, D.B., Chevrier, J., Harnly, M.E., Eisen, E.A., McKone, T.E.,
545 Harley, K., Holland, N., Eskenazi, B., 2011. Determinants of organophosphorus
546 pesticide urinary metabolite levels in young children living in an agricultural community.
547 *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 8, 1061–1083. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph8041061>.

548 Butler-Dawson, J., Galvin, K., Thorne, P.S., Rohlman, D.S., 2018. Organophosphorus
549 pesticide residue levels in homes located near orchards. *J. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 1–24.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2018.1515489>.

551 Cai, J., Liu, B., Zhu, X., Su, Q., 2002. Determination of pyrethroid residues in tobacco and
552 cigarette smoke by capillary gas chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* 964, 205–211.
553 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673\(02\)00586-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(02)00586-1).

554 California Department of Pesticide Regulation. Pesticide Use Reporting (PUR).
555 <https://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/purmain.htm> (accessed 8.20.19).

556 Chevrier, C., Serrano, T., Lecerf, R., Limon, G., Petit, C., Monfort, C., Hubert-Moy, L.,

557 Durand, G., Cordier, S., 2014. Environmental determinants of the urinary concentrations
558 of herbicides during pregnancy: The PELAGIE mother-child cohort (France). *Environ.*
559 *Int.* 63, 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.10.010>.

560 Coronado, G.D., Holte, S., Vigoren, E., Griffith, W.C., Barr, D.B., Faustman, E., Thompson,
561 B., 2011. Organophosphate pesticide exposure and residential proximity to nearby
562 fields: Evidence for the drift pathway. *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 53, 884–891.
563 <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e318222f03a>.

564 Dereumeaux, C., Fillol, C., Quenel, P., Denys, S., 2020. Pesticide exposures for residents
565 living close to agricultural lands: A review. *Environ. Int.*
566 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105210>.

567 Dereumeaux, C., Saoudi, A., Gorla, S., Wagner, V., De Crouy-Chanel, P., Pecheux, M.,
568 Berat, B., Zaros, C., Guldner, L., 2018. Urinary levels of pyrethroid pesticides and
569 determinants in pregnant French women from the Elfe cohort. *Environ. Int.* 119, 89–99.
570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.04.042>.

571 Deziel, N.C., Beane Freeman, L.E., Graubard, B.I., Jones, R.R., Hoppin, J.A., Thomas, K.,
572 Hines, C.J., Blair, A., Sandler, D.P., Chen, H., Lubin, J.H., Andreotti, G., Alavanja,
573 M.C.R., Friesen, M.C., 2017. Relative contributions of agricultural drift, para-
574 occupational, and residential use exposure pathways to house dust pesticide
575 concentrations: Meta-regression of published data. *Environ. Health Perspect.*
576 <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP426>.

577 Deziel, N.C., Friesen, M.C., Hoppin, J.A., Hines, C.J., Thomas, K., Freeman, L.E.B., 2015. A
578 review of nonoccupational pathways for pesticide exposure in women living in
579 agricultural areas. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 123, 515–24.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408273>.

581 EFSA, 2014. Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and
582 bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products. *EFSA J.* 12, 3874.
583 <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3874>.

584 Fernandez, S.F., Pardo, O., Adam-Cervera, I., Montesinos, L., Corpas-Burgos, F., Roca, M.,
585 Pastor, A., Vento, M., Cernada, M., Yusa, V., Fernández, S.F., Pardo, O., Adam-
586 Cervera, I., Montesinos, L., Corpas-Burgos, F., Roca, M., Pastor, A., Vento, M.,
587 Cernada, M., Yusà, V., BETTERMILK, 2020. Biomonitoring of non-persistent pesticides
588 in urine from lactating mothers: Exposure and risk assessment. *Sci. Total Environ.* 699,
589 134385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134385>.

590 FOCUS, 2008. Pesticides in Air: Considerations for Exposure Assessment. Report of the
591 FOCUS Working Group on Pesticides in Air. EC Document Reference
592 SANCO/10553/2006.

593 FOCUS, 2007. Landscape And Mitigation Factors In Aquatic Risk Assessment. Volume 1.
594 Extended 35 Summary and Recommendations. Report of the FOCUS Working Group
595 on Landscape and Mitigation 36 Factors in Ecological Risk Assessment. EC Document
596 Reference SANCO/10422/2005.

597 Galea, K.S., MacCalman, L., Jones, K., Cocker, J., Teedon, P., Cherrie, J.W., Van Tongeren,
598 M., 2015. Urinary biomarker concentrations of captan, chlormequat, chlorpyrifos and
599 cypermethrin in UK adults and children living near agricultural land. *J. Expo. Sci.*
600 *Environ. Epidemiol.* 25, 623–631. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.54>.

601 Gibbs, J.L., Yost, M.G., Negrete, M., Fenske, R.A., 2017. Passive sampling for indoor and
602 outdoor exposures to chlorpyrifos, azinphos-methyl, and oxygen analogs in a rural
603 agricultural community. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 125, 333–341.
604 <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP425>.

605 Glorennec, P., Serrano, T., Fravallo, M., Warembourg, C., Monfort, C., Cordier, S., Viel, J.-F.,
606 Le Gléau, F., Le Bot, B., Chevrier, C., 2017. Determinants of children's exposure to
607 pyrethroid insecticides in western France. *Environ. Int.* 104, 76–82.
608 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.04.007>.

609 Griffith, W.C., Vigoren, E.M., Smith, M.N., Workman, T., Thompson, B., Coronado, G.D.,
610 Faustman, E.M., 2019. Application of improved approach to evaluate a community

611 intervention to reduce exposure of young children living in farmworker households to
612 organophosphate pesticides. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 29, 358–365.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0028-y>.

614 Gunier, R.B., Bradman, A., Harley, K.G., Eskenazi, B., 2017. Will buffer zones around
615 schools in agricultural areas be adequate to protect children from the potential adverse
616 effects of pesticide exposure? *PLoS Biol.* 15.
617 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2004741>.

618 Gunier, R.B., Bradman, A., Jerrett, M., Smith, D.R., Harley, K.G., Austin, C., Vedar, M.,
619 Arora, M., Eskenazi, B., 2013. Determinants of manganese in prenatal dentin of shed
620 teeth from CHAMACOS children living in an agricultural community. *Environ. Sci.*
621 *Technol.* 47, 11249–11257. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es4018688>.

622 Gunier, R.B., Jerrett, M., Smith, D.R., Jursa, T., Yousefi, P., Camacho, J., Hubbard, A.,
623 Eskenazi, B., Bradman, A., 2014. Determinants of manganese levels in house dust
624 samples from the CHAMACOS cohort. *Sci. Total Environ.* 497–498, 360–368.
625 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.005>.

626 Gunier, R.B., Ward, M.H., Airola, M., Bell, E.M., Colt, J., Nishioka, M., Buffler, P.A.,
627 Reynolds, P., Rull, R.P., Hertz, A., Metayer, C., Nuckols, J.R., 2011. Determinants of
628 agricultural pesticide concentrations in carpet dust. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 119, 970–
629 976. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002532>.

630 Harley, K.G., Parra, K.L., Camacho, J., Bradman, A., Nolan, J.E.S., Lessard, C., Anderson,
631 K.A., Poutasse, C.M., Scott, R.P., Lazaro, G., Cardoso, E., Gallardo, D., Gunier, R.B.,
632 2019. Determinants of pesticide concentrations in silicone wristbands worn by Latina
633 adolescent girls in a California farmworker community: The COSECHA youth
634 participatory action study. *Sci Total Env.* 652, 1022–1029.
635 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.276>.

636 Harnly, M.E., Bradman, A., Nishioka, M., Mckone, T.E., Smith, D., McLaughlin, R., Kavanagh-
637 Baird, G., Castorina, R., Eskenazi, B., 2009. Pesticides in dust from homes in an

638 agricultural area. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 8767–8774.
639 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9020958>.

640 Hogenkamp, A., Vaal, M., Heederik, D., 2004. Pesticide exposure in dwellings near bulb
641 growing fields in the Netherlands: An explorative study. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.* 11,
642 149–153.

643 Hung, C.-C., Huang, F.-J., Yang, Y.-Q., Hsieh, C.-J., Tseng, C.-C., Yiin, L.-M., 2018.
644 Pesticides in indoor and outdoor residential dust: a pilot study in a rural county of
645 Taiwan. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 23349–23356. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2413-4)
646 [018-2413-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2413-4).

647 Hyland, C., Laribi, O., 2017. Review of take-home pesticide exposure pathway in children
648 living in agricultural areas. *Environ. Res.* 156, 559–570.
649 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.04.017>.

650 Kim, K.-H., Kabir, E., Jahan, S.A., 2017. Exposure to pesticides and the associated human
651 health effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* 575, 525–535.
652 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.009>.

653 Koch, D., Lu, C., Fisker-Andersen, J., Jolley, L., Fenske, R.A., 2002. Temporal association of
654 children's pesticide exposure and agricultural spraying: Report of a longitudinal
655 biological monitoring study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 110, 829–833.
656 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.02110829>.

657 Mendeley. Reference Management Software & Researcher Network.
658 <https://www.mendeley.com/> (accessed 7.31.19).

659 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G., Altman, D., Antes, G., Atkins, D., Barbour,
660 V., Barrowman, N., Berlin, J.A., Clark, J., Clarke, M., Cook, D., D'Amico, R., Deeks, J.J.,
661 Devereaux, P.J., Dickersin, K., Egger, M., Ernst, E., Gøtzsche, P.C., Grimshaw, J.,
662 Guyatt, G., Higgins, J., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Kleijnen, J., Lang, T., Magrini, N., McNamee,
663 D., Moja, L., Mulrow, C., Napoli, M., Oxman, A., Pham, B., Rennie, D., Sampson, M.,
664 Schulz, K.F., Shekelle, P.G., Tovey, D., Tugwell, P., 2009. Preferred reporting items for

665 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med.*
666 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>.

667 Morrens, B., Bruckers, L., Hond, E. Den, Nelen, V., Schoeters, G., Baeyens, W., Van
668 Larebeke, N., Keune, H., Bilau, M., Loots, I., 2012. Social distribution of internal
669 exposure to environmental pollution in Flemish adolescents. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health*
670 215, 474–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.008>.

671 Munoz-Quezada, M.T., Iglesias, V., Lucero, B., Steenland, K., Barr, D.B., Levy, K., Ryan,
672 P.B., Alvarado, S., Concha, C., 2012. Predictors of exposure to organophosphate
673 pesticides in schoolchildren in the Province of Talca, Chile. *Environ. Int.* 47, 28–36.
674 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.06.002>.

675 Plascak, J.J., Griffith, W.C., Workman, T., Smith, M.N., Vigoren, E., Faustman, E.M.,
676 Thompson, B., 2019. Evaluation of the relationship between residential orchard density
677 and dimethyl organophosphate pesticide residues in house dust. *J Expo Sci Env.*
678 *Epidemiol* 29, 379–388. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0074-5>.

679 Quandt, S.A., Hernández-Valero, M.A., Grzywacz, J.G., Hovey, J.D., Gonzales, M., Arcury,
680 T.A., 2006. Workplace, household, and personal predictors of pesticide exposure for
681 farmworkers. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114, 943–952. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8529>.

682 Royster, M.O., Hilborn, E.D., Barr, D., Carty, C.L., Rhoney, S., Walsh, D., 2002. A pilot study
683 of global positioning system/geographical information system measurement of
684 residential proximity to agricultural fields and urinary organophosphate metabolite
685 concentrations in toddlerst. *J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* 12, 433–440.
686 <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jea.7500247>.

687 Salvatore, A.L., Castorina, R., Camacho, J., Morga, N., López, J., Nishioka, M., Barr, D.B.,
688 Eskenazi, B., Bradman, A., 2015. Home-based community health worker intervention to
689 reduce pesticide exposures to farmworkers' children: A randomized-controlled trial. *J.*
690 *Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* 25, 608–615. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2015.39>.

691 Sammartano, F., Castriotta, L., Chermaz, E., Moro, G., Bolzan, S., Bortoletto, M., Little,

692 D.A.A., Bartolucci, G.B.B., Carrieri, M., Salamon, F., Cinquetti, S., Barbone, F., Bravo,
693 G., Cinquetti, S., Barbone, F., 2019. Levels of Ethylenethiourea (u-ETU) in a Population
694 Living Near Vineyards. *Expo. Heal.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-019-00307-x>.

695 Schweizer, C., Edwards, R.D., Bayer-Oglesby, L., Gauderman, W.J., Ilacqua, V., Juhani
696 Jantunen, M., Lai, H.K., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Künzli, N., 2007. Indoor time-
697 microenvironment-activity patterns in seven regions of Europe. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ.*
698 *Epidemiol.* 17, 170–181. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jes.7500490>.

699 Siriwat, S., Rohitrattana, J., Nganchamung, T., Ong-artborirak, P., Robson, M., Siriwong, W.,
700 2019. Exposure of chlorpyrifos in toddlers living in an agricultural area in Sakon Nakhon
701 province, North-East Thailand. *J. Heal. Res.* 33, 151–161. [https://doi.org/10.1108/JHR-](https://doi.org/10.1108/JHR-07-2018-0057)
702 [07-2018-0057](https://doi.org/10.1108/JHR-07-2018-0057).

703 Takser, L., Lafond, J., Bouchard, M., St-Amour, G., Mergler, D., 2004. Manganese levels
704 during pregnancy and at birth: Relation to environmental factors and smoking in a
705 Southwest Quebec population. *Environ. Res.* 95, 119–125.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2003.11.002>.

707 Tao, Y., Dong, F., Xu, J., Phung, D., Liu, Q., Li, R., Liu, X., Wu, X., He, M., Zheng, Y., 2019.
708 Characteristics of neonicotinoid imidacloprid in urine following exposure of humans to
709 orchards in China. *Env. Int.* 132, 105079. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105079>.

710 Teyssiere, R., Manangama, G., Baldi, I., Carles, C., Brochard, P., Bedos, C., Delva, F., 2020.
711 Assessment of residential exposures to agricultural pesticides: A scoping review. *PLoS*
712 *One* 15, e0232258. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232258>.

713 Thompson, B., Coronado, G.D., Vigoran, E.M., Griffith, W.C., Fenska, R.A., Kissel, J.C.,
714 Shirai, J.H., Faustman, E.M., 2008. Para niños saludables: A community intervention
715 trial to reduce organophosphate pesticide exposure in children of farmworkers. *Environ.*
716 *Health Perspect.* 116, 687–694. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.10882>.

717 Tyrrell, J., Melzer, D., Henley, W., Galloway, T.S., Osborne, N.J., 2013. Associations
718 between socioeconomic status and environmental toxicant concentrations in adults in

719 the USA: NHANES 2001-2010. *Environ. Int.* 59, 328–335.
720 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.06.017>.

721 U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2020. Pesticides.
722 <https://www.epa.gov/pesticides> (accessed 9.10.20).

723 Ward, M.H., Lubin, J., Giglierano, J., Colt, J.S., Wolter, C., Bekiroglu, N., Camann, D.,
724 Hartge, P., Nuckols, J.R., 2006. Proximity to crops and residential to agricultural
725 herbicides in Iowa. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 114, 893–897.
726 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.8770>.
727




Figure 1 - Flow Diagram of study selection

Table 1 – Evidence for determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides linked to spray drift in people living close to fields in biomonitoring studies (n=13)

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Babina et al., 2012)	Australia, 2003–2004, children aged <6 years (N=115 urban, 111 peri-urban, 114 rural)	Urines (1 sample): 8 OP and 4 PYR metabolites	Residential proximity to fields (<50 m or < 200m), area of residence (rural, urban), smoking	Self-administered questionnaire	– <i>3-Methyl-p-nitrophenol</i> , and <i>DMTP</i> detection Living in a periurban or rural area (+)	C
(Bradman et al., 2011)	USA, California, 2000-2003, children <2 years old, CHAMACOS cohort (N=416)	Urines (1 sample at 6, 12, 24 months of age): 6 OP metabolites (DAPs)	Age, gender, time in child care, child behavior, season, daily rainfall in the past week, residential or child care proximity to fields ≤60m, house cleaning frequency, presence of carpets, pets at home	Face-to-face interview	– <i>DEAPs</i> and <i>DMAPs</i> levels Average daily rainfall (- for <i>DMAPs</i> , -/+ for <i>DEAPs</i>), living ≤60m from fields (+), summer/spring (+)	A
(Chevrier et al., 2014)	France, 2002-2006, pregnant women, PELAGIE cohort (N=579)	Urines (1 sample): 4 herbicides (acetochlor, alachlor, metolachlor, atrazine) and metabolites	Rural place of residence (<15% artificial surfaces in a 500-m radius), score of residential proximity to corn crops (wind-weighted or not), season, age, educational level, smoking	Self-administered questionnaire, GIS	– <i>Alachlor</i> presence Living in rural areas (+) – <i>Atrazine</i> and <i>triazine</i> metabolites presence Residential proximity to crops wind-weighted (+), winter (+)	B
(Dereumeaux et al., 2018)	France, 2011, pregnant women, Elfe cohort (N=1077)	Urines (1 sample): 5 PYR metabolites	Presence of crops <2000m from home, age, household income, BMI, smoking	Self-administered questionnaire, interview, GIS	– <i>Sum of pyrethroids</i> levels Smoking (+), living near orchards or vineyards (+), age (-) – <i>3-PBA</i> levels Living near orchards or vineyards (+)	B

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Fernandez et al., 2020)	Spain, 2015, post-partum women (n = 116)	Urines (1 sample): 20 metabolites of OP, PYR and herbicides	Age, BMI, country of birth, education level, occupational status, residential proximity to farms <200m, area of residence (rural, urban), daily time with windows open, smoking	Self-administered questionnaire	– <i>PNP concentrations (metabolite of parathion)</i> Living in rural area (-), windows opening (+) – <i>TCP concentrations (metabolite of chlorpyrifos-ethyl)</i> BMI (-), living < 200m from farming areas (+)	D
(Galea et al., 2015)	UK, 2011-2012, adults (N=118) and children (N=22) residing <100 m from fields	Urines (N=1518 samples): chlormequat and metabolites of captan (THPI), chlorpyrifos (TCP) or cypermethrin (DCVA)	Season (1-2 days after a spray event, during spraying season, during non-spraying season), gender, age, time spent indoors and outdoors	Self-administered questionnaire	– <i>Chlormequat levels</i> Sampling after spray event (+) – <i>Chlorpyrifos levels</i> Sampling after spray event (-)	A
(Gunier et al., 2013)	USA, California, 1999-2000, 7-year-old children, CHAMACOS cohort (N=207)	Prenatal dentin of shed teeth (N=324): Mn	Agricultural use of Mn fungicides near the maternal residence during pregnancy (<1, 3, and 5 km), smoking during pregnancy	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– <i>Mn levels</i> Agricultural use of pesticide within 3km around home (+), Smoking during pregnancy (-)	C
(Koch et al., 2002)	USA, Washington State, 1997-1998, 2–5-year-old children (N=44)	Urines (16 to 26 samples): five OP metabolites (DAPs)	Residential proximity to fields (<60m vs. >60m), season, age, gender	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– <i>Dimethyl and diethyl DAPs levels</i> Sampling during spray months (+), Male gender (+)	B
(Munoz-Quezada et al., 2012)	Chile, 2010-2011, 6-12-year-old children (N=190)	Urine samples (1 in fall and 1 in summer): 6 OP metabolites (DAPs)	Season, age, gender, parental education, residential proximity to farm (≤500m, > 500m), residential location (urban, rural)	Questionnaire	– <i>Sum of DEAPs levels</i> Age < 9 years (+), Living in urban area (+) – <i>DMAPs detection</i> Summer (+), Proximity to a farm ≤500m (+)	C

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Royster et al., 2002)	USA, California, 2000, Children aged 12–18 months (N=20)	Urines (1 sample): OP metabolites (DAPs)	Residential proximity to fields (<400m; 400-800m; 800-1600m; <1600m), living near multiple fields	Face-to-face interview, GIS	No association *	C
(Sammartano et al., 2019)	Italy, 2011-2014, 3–5-year-old children (N=126) and adults (N=260)	Urine (1 sample): u-ETU, metabolite of dithiocarbamates	Residential or school proximity to vineyards (\leq 30m, 31–200m, > 200m), size of the closest vineyards, gender, age, weight, time spent indoors	Self-administered questionnaire	– <i>u-ETU levels in children</i> Living \leq 30m from vineyards (+)	C
(Takser et al., 2004)	Canada, pregnant women (N=101)	Maternal blood (1 to 3 samples), umbilical cord blood and placental tissue: Mn	Pace of living, reported pesticide spraying <1 km, educational level, age, gender, smoking	Face-to-face interview	– <i>Mn blood levels</i> Living in urban and/or agricultural areas vs. living in a village (+) *, pesticide spraying <1 km from house (+) *	D
(Tao et al., 2019)	China, 2017, orchard workers families (N=275), kindergarten children, (N=247), urban residents (N=95)	Urines (1 sample): IMI and 6-CNA	School proximity to fields, gender, age	Questionnaires administered to parents	– <i>IMI and 6-CAN levels</i> Children schooling close to orchards (+) *	D

(+) positive associations; (-) negative associations; * results from univariate statistical tests

Abbreviations: 6-CNA: 6-chloronicotinic acid, BMI: Body Mass Index, DAPs: Dialkyl phosphates, DCCA: *cis- and trans-3-(2,2-dichlorovinyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid*, DCVA: *cis- and trans- 2,2-dichlorovinyl-3,3-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid*; DEAPs: Diethylalkylphosphates, DEP: diethyl phosphate, DMAPs: dimethylalkylphosphates, DMTP: dimethyl thiophosphate, GIS: Geographic Information System, IMI: Imidacloprid, Mn: Manganese, OP: Organophosphorus pesticides, PBA: 3-phenoxybenzoic acid; PNP: *p*-nitrophenol; PYR: Pyrethroids, u-ETU: urinary ethylenethiourea, TCP: 3,5,6-trichloropyridinol, THPI: *cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalimide*

Table 2 – Evidence for determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides linked to spray drift in people living close to fields in environmental monitoring studies (n=11)

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Beranger et al., 2014)	France, 2012, homes <1000m from orchards, cereals or vineyards (N=203)	House dust: 64 agricultural pesticides	Crop acreage around home (wind-weighted or not), barriers (vegetative, topographic, structural), presence of pets, number of occupants	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– <i>Presence or levels of pesticides</i> Crop acreage (+), prevailing wind (+), barriers (-)	A
(Butler-Dawson et al., 2018)	USA, 2008-2011, agricultural (N=138) and non-agricultural families (N=140)	House dust (2 samples 1 year apart): azinphos-methyl, phosmet, malathion, chlorpyrifos	Residential proximity to fields or orchards <25m, window/door opening frequency, presence of ventilation, house cleaning frequency	Face-to-face interview	– <i>Azinphos-methyl and phosmet levels (in the non-agricultural household)</i> Living <25m from fields or orchards	B
(Gibbs et al., 2017)	USA, Washington State, 2011, farmworker (N=14) and non-farmworker (N=9) households	Polyurethane foam passive air samplers, polypropylene deposition plates: OP (chlorpyrifos, azinphos-methyl) and their oxygen analogs	Residential proximity to orchards ≤250m	GIS	– <i>Concentrations in outdoor air/Indoor air/ Surface deposition of OP and their oxygen analogs</i> Residential proximity to fields ≤ 250m (+) *	C
(Gunier et al., 2011)	USA, California, 2001-2006, homes where >25% of land area within 500m in fields (N=89)	House dust: carbaryl, chlorpyrifos, diazinon, phosmet, DCPA, simazine, iprodione	Agricultural use of pesticide near home (<500m; <1250m), season	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– <i>Chlorpyrifos, DCPA, iprodione, phosmet, and simazine levels</i> Pesticide use <1,250m from home during the past 365 days (+) – <i>Carbaryl levels</i>	B

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
					Season (fall>summer> spring>winter) (+)	
(Gunier et al., 2014)	USA, California, 1999-2000, residences, CHAMACOS cohort (N=465)	Dust (N=378 in the first sampling and N=90 in the second): Mn	Housing and population density (pers/room, pers/m ²), precipitations in the past weeks, elevation (m), Mn-fungicide use near home (500/1000/3000 m) in the past 2 months, Mn-fungicide use near home wind-weighted (<3000m), housekeeping quality, number of doormats	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– Concentrations or loading of Mn in dust collected at both visits Agricultural Mn fungicide use near home in past 4 weeks wind-weighted (+), Poor housekeeping (+)	B
(Harley et al., 2019)	USA, 2016, 14–16-year-old girls living in California (N=97)	Silicone wristbands worn for 1 week: 63 pesticides and related breakdown products	Residential proximity to fields <100m, observing sprayings from home, living in the city, window open at night, having carpets and doormats, house cleaning frequency	Face-to-face interview	– DCPA presence Carpet in home (+) *, living in the city (-) *, crops within 100 m of home (+) * – Permethrin presence Doormats (-) * – Esfenvalerate presence Window open at night (+) *, – Piperonyl butoxide presence Clean daily (-) *	A

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Harnly et al., 2009)	USA California, 1999-2000, residences, CHAMACOS cohort (N=168)	House dust (3 samples per dwelling): 14 OP, two PYR, and 4 other pesticides (iprodione, DCPA, vinchlozolin, methomyl)	Agricultural pesticide use near home for different periods, residential proximity to fields <60m, temperature and rainfall, housekeeping quality, having an air conditioner, housing density (pers/room)	Face-to-face interview, GIS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Chlorpyrifos concentrations</i> Agricultural pesticide use in past month (+), air conditioner in home (-) - <i>Diazinon concentrations</i> Rainfall in last days (-), housing density ≥ 2 (+) - <i>DCPA concentrations</i> Agricultural pesticide use in past month, season (+), living ≤ 60m from fields (+), temperatures in past days, month and season (+), rainfall in last days, month, season (-), home less clean (+) - <i>Iprodione concentrations</i> Agricultural pesticide use near home in past month/season (+) - <i>Trans-permethrin</i> Air conditioner in home (+) 	B
(Hogenkamp et al., 2004)	Netherlands, homes <400m from bulb fields of farmers (N=12) and non-farmers (N=15)	House dust: chlorizadon, chloroprotham, metamidron, flutolanil procymidon, toclofos-methyl, vinchlozolin	Residential proximity to fields <20m	Checklist during sampling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Chlorizadon, chloroprotham, flutolanil procymidon, toclofos-methyl</i> No association 	C

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Hung et al., 2018)	Taiwan, 2016, pregnant women (N=56)	Indoor and outdoor dust: Carbamate (carbofuran), OP (chlorpyrifos, terbufos), PYR (cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, permethrin, prallethrin, tetramethrin)	Season, presence of farms around home (25, 50, 100, 200m)	Face-to-face interview, GIS	- <i>Detection of chlorpyrifos outdoors</i> Farm within 50m and 100m (+) *	C
(Plascak et al., 2019)	USA, Washington State, 2011, families (N=99)	House dust (n=418): OP (azinphos-methyl, phosmet, malathion)	Orchard density around home	Face-to-face interview, GIS	- <i>Summed dimethyl OP concentrations</i> Increasing orchard density for the 750m and 1250m radius around home (-)	A
(Ward et al., 2006)	USA, Iowa, 1998–2000, homes of adults (N=112)	House dust (1 sample per home): 14 herbicides	Acres of corn and soybeans around home (< 100, 101–250, 251–500, 501–750m), distance from fields	GIS	- <i>Detection or concentrations of herbicides</i> Acreages of corn and soybean < 750m (+), Distance from fields (-)	A

(+) positive associations; (-) negative associations; * results from univariate statistical tests

Abbreviations: DCPA: Dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate, GIS: Geographic Information System, Mn: Manganese, OP: Organophosphorus pesticides, PYR: Pyrethroids


Table 3– Evidence for determinants of non-dietary exposure to agricultural pesticides linked to spray drift in people living close to fields in environmental and bio-monitoring studies (n=3)

Study reference	Location, Time period, Population	Exposure measurement	Determinants of exposure to agricultural pesticides studied	Measurement of exposure determinants	Determinants of exposure to pesticides (results from multivariate models)	Study score
(Coronado et al., 2011)	USA, Washington State, 2005-2006, farmworker and non-farmworker adults (N=200) and children (N=199)	Urines (3 samples): 3 OP metabolites (DMP, DMTP and DMDTP) House dust: azinphos-methyl and phosmet	Residential proximity to fields	Face-to-face interview, GIS	– <i>DMTP levels</i> Residential distance from fields (-)	A
(Glorennec et al., 2017)	France, 6-year-old children, PELAGIE cohort (N=245)	Urines: 5 PYR metabolites House dust: 5 PYR (cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, permethrin, tetramethrin)	Crop acreages around home and school (<500m), house cleaning frequency	Self-administered questionnaire, GIS	– <i>Cis-DBCA, 3-PBA, Tetramethrin presence or levels</i> Crop acreages around home and school (+) – <i>Trans-DCCA and Cis-DCCA presence or levels</i> House cleaning ≥ 2/week (+)	B
(Siriwat et al., 2019)	Thailand, 2016, children aged 12-36 months living < 50m from fields (N=65)	Wipe samples on hands, feet, and surfaces (toys, beds, floors): chlorpyrifos Blood cholinesterase levels	Showers and hand washing frequency, house cleaning frequency, window opening frequency, children’s activities and behaviors	Face-to-face interview	– <i>Chlorpyrifos concentrations (feet)</i> Frequent hand washing (-), playing duration (-), sitting on floor, lying on wooden bed (-) – <i>Blood cholinesterase activity</i> House cleaning frequency (+)	C

(+) positive associations; (-) negative associations

Abbreviations: DBCA: *cis*-3-(2,2-dibromovinyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropane carboxylic acid, DCCA: *cis*- and *trans*-3-(2,2-dichlorovinyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid, DMP: dimethylphosphate, DMDTP: dimethyldithiophosphate, DMTP: dimethyl thiophosphate, GIS: Geographic Information System, OP: Organophosphorus pesticides, 3-PBA: 3-phenoxybenzoic acid; PNP: *p*-nitrophenol; PYR: Pyrethroids

DETERMINANTS OF NON-DIETARY HUMAN EXPOSURE TO AGRICULTURAL PESTICIDES



Associations found in the scientific literature with the risk or the level of pesticide exposure:
(+): positive associations, (-): negative associations (+/-): contradictory results, (0): no association