



HAL
open science

The Art of Picopoly

Olivier Ridoux

► **To cite this version:**

| Olivier Ridoux. The Art of Picopoly. 2021. hal-03155296

HAL Id: hal-03155296

<https://hal.science/hal-03155296>

Preprint submitted on 1 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

The Art of Picopoly

Olivier Ridoux - IRISA/ISTIC/University of Rennes 1

April 2020

Abstract

The various forms of handouts in university studies cover a large spectrum from complete reference texts to cheat-sheets. We present our experiences in very compact handouts, "pico" handouts, that we call "picopoly" in French. We have been using them consistently in various learning formats, from full semester modules to conferences, and in very different domains, from epistemology to algorithmics. The initial aim was to clarify for the students the expected inputs, i.e. prerequisites and context knowledge, and outputs, i.e. learning outcomes. Very compact, they only give an overview of these inputs and outputs, but they are intended as maps of territories that will be explored during the learning experience.

1 Introduction

The forms of handouts in university studies go from complete reference texts to cheat-sheets, including exercise sheets, practical work instructions, or printed copies of slideshows, etc. Each form assumes a different role for the handout, but our experience is that very often the form is not really chosen on purpose but simply out of local traditions and personal feelings. For instance, an institution or a student association may assume that a copy of a slideshow is a mandatory part of the handout package. Note that even assuming that a slideshow exists is another *cliché* since the actual relevance of a slideshow is highly dependent on domains and personal approaches. Among personal feelings is the fear that too complete handouts will favor absenteeism. This often leads to handout strategies where copies of slideshows are distributed after the corresponding lecture. We believe that nobody should be incriminated in particular, because all parties, the teachers, the students, and the institutions, are equally driven by parasitic feelings. Students want to be reassured by a lot of documentation, teachers want to be reassured that students are not dissatisfied, and the institutions are happy that everybody is happy. Several articles describe these ambiguities and conflicting views on handouts [8, 5]. Some even conclude that there is no evidence that the mode of distribution of handouts, and even their very existence, has a measurable effect [9, 4]. They often describe these phenomena from the point of view of one teaching discipline or one teaching level, but we believe these observations can be generalized to most disciplines and levels.

Altogether, we consider that even if the only effect of handouts is to make everybody happy, it is a useful effect. However, what we want to do is to go further than using handouts as a reassuring balm, but rather to use them in a global strategy.

The day-to-day teaching experience shows that this tradition-laden approach to university teaching causes severe anomalies. We focus on two of them, namely the lack of agreement on prerequisites and context knowledge, and the lack of agreement on learning outcomes. This leads to contentious situations in which all the unsaid assumptions make surface.

The lack of agreement on prerequisites and context knowledge leads to situations where teachers complain that the education level is decreasing (eg. measured by results of teaching interactions or by the results of homeworks), or students complain that the course is too difficult, too abstract, too ... The standard institutional answer to this is to make explicit the dependencies between courses, e.g. CS101 is a prerequisite to CS205. However, this does not work very well because it ignores the fact that most students pass final exams with gaps in their acquisitions, and that all the outcomes of the first course are not used as prerequisites to the second course. This also ignores the fact that mobility students may have studied a different first course, or even a really different cursus that has been validated as a whole as roughly equivalent to the CS1 cursus. This shows that the institutional communication on course dependencies is not precise enough. However, we believe that institutions do their part fine. It is not the institutions that should make their documentation more precise, because it would not be the proper level of detail for their purpose. It is the course teacher in her¹ own documentation who should be more explicit.

The lack of agreement on the learning outcomes leads to situations where teachers complain that the education level is decreasing (eg. measured as the results of the final exam), or students complain that the exams was unfair. A crude manifestation of this is when a fellow teacher is worried by her next exam subject, and suddenly is very happy to have found a bright idea, a "very interesting" subject. It is in fact "Chronicle of a Death Foretold" [7]! An exam should not be "interesting" to the teacher, but it must be fair with the students. To be fair is to be faithful with some explicit contract.

Our answer to these two anomalies is to develop a compact format of handouts that presents on one side the prerequisites, and on the other side an overview of the outcomes. We have called this format a "picopoly" from the SI² prefix "pico" (itself derived from Italian "piccolo", small, and Spanish "pico", sharp), and the French diminutive for "polycopié", a handout. We then build a global strategy in which the picopoly is used during teaching, including preparation of evaluation.

¹Her stands for her/his, and similarly she stands for she/he.

²International system of units - Système international d'unités ; ISO 80000-1:2009




Figure 1: A picopoly as a flat sheet




Figure 2: Folding a picopoly

2 The structure of a picopoly

2.1 The folding

The structure of a picopoly is made of a single sheet of paper folded as shown in Figure 1 and 2.

Figure 1 shows the structure of a picopoly before folding it. Fold lines (mountain folds as red dotted lines, and valley folds as grey dotted lines) mark the borders of 8 pages. The numbers in the figure show the pagination and the orientation of pages. The solid red segment marks a slot that must be opened in the paper sheet.

Figure 2 shows the folding itself. Again, numbers represent pages. This folding belongs to folklore, sometimes called "hotdog" booklet, and it is used as

a routine in many primary schools to initiate children to the joy of in-folio composition. It is also often called "origami" booklet, though it would be preferable to call it "kirigami" booklet, because of the cut in the paper.

It is readily apparent that once folded a face of the paper sheet is hidden. We call it the verso side. The visible face is the recto side. In usual instances of the hotdog booklet folding, the verso side is simply wasted. Instead of a flaw we make of this accessibility difference a feature. The verso face is used to present the prerequisites or context knowledge, and the recto face is used for the learning outcomes. The inputs become what is readily accessible before folding, and the output is what is accessible after folding. Thus, folding is kind of a metaphor for preparing the course.

Next two sections explain how we have chosen to inhabit the physical constraints of the hotdog booklet format. It constitutes our design rules for picopolies.

2.2 The recto side — 8 pages

The recto face is paginated, and we chose to use that as a strict guide to redaction. Page 1 is the front page, with a title, authorship, and introduction/motivations. Page 8 is the back page, with a conclusion and bibliography. Remains 6 pages for an overview of the subject. This is the first of the main two difficulties in composing a picopoly. Indeed, we prefer to align the structure of the overview on the 6 pages, which leads to 6 sub-subjects, which might not be so natural at first sight. So a large part of the reflection required to prepare a picopoly is how to align the subject on 6 equally-sized pages. This can be relaxed by accepting that two facing pages treat a same subject, or that one page treats 2 small sub-subjects.

Picopolies are printed in A3³, 29.7 cm × 42.0 cm. Texts are composed in 8 pt, using a sans-serif police. That sounds small but experience shows it can be read comfortably, especially because pages are small. Pages are 14.8 cm high and 10.5 cm wide (equivalent to A6: A3 area divided by 8). Left, right and top margins are about 0.5 cm. Bottom margin is 1.0 cm to allow for page numbers.

Since folding lines are marked on the paginated face, it is important that they fall in their exact due position. However, there is a technical difficulty with many printers. They add a margin, called technical margin, and they reduce the document to fit in what remains of the physical size of the sheet of paper minus the technical margin. This process slightly changes the proportions of the printed document. The mid-width fold and the mid-length fold will be correct if the technical margin is the same along each border. However, the quarter-length folds (e.g. between pages 6 and 7) will be off-position. A solution is to anticipate the technical margin, but it is a never-ending story because technical margins depend too much on the printer. Another solution is to find a printer that do not add any technical margin, or a printer pilot that format the document to the physical dimensions of the paper sheet. In the latter case, a technical margin

³ISO 216

may still exist, but it is taken from the document instead of being taken from the sheet of paper. It is the solution we have chosen, calibrating the picopoly margins so that it corresponds to the technical margin.

As a basis, we decided that texts can be highlighted in one of two colors:

- a faded blue, mainly for definitions and highlighted terms;
- a faded red, mainly for examples, and opposition with other highlighted terms (e.g. **this** and not **that**).

More colors could be used, but we try to limit to two. All highlighted text is composed in bold to compensate for the faded shades of colors, and for accessibility to colour-blind people.

Underlining or boldface are never used as such in paragraphs. Page titles are composed in 10 pt bold.

Most of our experience with picopolies is for courses in computer science curriculae. As is usual in this discipline, we also use `courier` police for program-like texts (texts that are meant to be presented as it to a computer system), and *italics* for specification texts.

Page limits are marked grey or red, according to how they should be folded: red for mountain folds, and grey for valley folds. At the point where pages 1, 2, 3 and 8 meet, a red dot is printed, as well as at the point where pages 4, 5, 6 and 7 meet. They delimit the slot that must be cut between pages 8-7 and 3-4.

2.3 The verso side — a mosaic of text items

On the opposite, the verso page is not paginated, and we chose to compose it as a mosaic of small items (tiles). We think it is in accordance with the role of this page which addresses a reader whom we do not know precisely the actual background. Composing this page is the second difficulty. It forces to lay down what are the precise prerequisites or useful context knowledge. Tiles from different themes are filled in with different colors. Tiles from a given theme have the same color, and they are numbered to help finding one's way in the mosaic. Reading and folding instructions are written on top of the page, including the key for background colors.

Tile texts are composed using the same rules as page texts, except for the dimensions of the tiles. In effect, tiles are often rectangular, in a landscape orientation, but not always. Some tiles are even not rectangular. Some tiles are large, other very small. They are not meant to be read in a strict order, but they are partially numbered to help the reader who wants to read the whole text. A secondary difficulty is to design the tiles so that they pave the page as exactly as possible. A difficulty in the difficulty is to try to ensure that the slot cut in the page does not go through text.

3 Usage

3.1 The student

The role attributed to the reader is twice. First, she must read the verso page, the prerequisites or context knowledge, before folding, and acknowledge whether she already knows the recalled notions. If she acknowledges she does, all is right, but the reader is warned that these notions are important to start the course. She is also warned of the actual notations/definitions that will be in use during the course. If the reader acknowledges she does not know/remember a notion, she is invited to search by herself some help to acquire the notion. The help could be a book, a tutorial, a video, a friend, etc. To give the substance of all prerequisites is not the goal of the verso page. It is only a kind of autotest.

After folding the picopoly, the second role of the reader is to browse the course overview. She must read it as a tourist reads a map, or as an aviator contemplates a bird view of a territory. It is normal to not understand everything at first sight, but as the course progresses the reader should understand more and more. So, the overview part is also a kind of autotest. The contract is that though not everything is given in full details in the overview, it must be faithful to the actual content of the course.

3.2 The teacher

As for the teacher side, picopolies are not meant to replace all other handouts. They are more like a vademecum to accompany the other documents. We use them alongside copies of slideshows, exercise sheets, instructions for practical works, etc. However, while all other handouts are sequence, or even event, oriented, the picopoly gives the broad picture of the entire course. To be consistent with this role we use it at a reference frame to mark the progress of the course or the important use of some prerequisite. Hence, we use the picopoly as a map: "here we are", "now, we are entering this area", etc. Note that in our usage, the picopolies are the only paper printed handouts; all other documents are available in electronic form right from the beginning of the course.

There is a debate on whether distributing handouts arms note-taking and memorization abilities. The debate may focus on the very existence of handouts, their completeness, or the moment they are distributed (before or after the lectures). We believe that if note-taking and memorization are considered a desired ability (as we do), the best is to incorporate them in the teaching and evaluation process. We refuse to consider that such operational ability is a prerequisite, because it would be avoiding the issue and charging our predecessor level colleagues of all responsibilities. As a general rule, we believe it is never too late to acquire these kinds of operational abilities, including spelling and argumentation.

So, we first explain the articulation between handouts, lectures, and note-taking, and between all documents and a memory aid or cheat-sheet. In particular, we explain that the picopoly is definitely not a good memory aid because

of its bird view position. The global picture should be remembered by the students, but we agree that some technical details may need a memory aid. We do not like the cheat part of cheat-sheet as it seems to imply an immoral stance, but we insist on the aid part of memory aid as it implies an auxiliary role to an existing memory. Second, we organize exams on the closed-book mode with personal hand-written memory aids allowed. A study [2] shows it is an effective compromise between closed- and open-book modes.

We do not evaluate the memory aids per se, because we think it is a very personal affair with the student and herself, but being in a continuous assessment framework, we comment after each control on the memory aids we have seen. For instance, we observe that first memory aids are often dense unstructured texts. After having commented on the possibility to use more visual tools like tables, diagrams, mind-maps, etc., we observe that the students adopt progressively a mixed approach, sometimes very creative, that combines different tools for what they are the most useful to. In doing so, we believe we helped students in developing their note-taking and memorizing ability.

Very often, students observe themselves that they did not need use their memory aid during the exam. It is the paradox of hand-writing a memory aid. The very effort and concentration needed for writing it helps in memorizing [1, 6]. Some authors even show that it stimulates specific brain activities [3].

Though the initial motivation for picopolies was to clarify the prerequisites and outcomes of an entire course, we departed slightly from this initial role by designing picopolies for parts of courses, or even picopolies for specific prerequisites. In the later case, the verso will be devoted to context knowledge, and the recto will present the great lines of the prerequisites. We also often distribute to mobility students the picopolies of the courses they did not follow but are prerequisites to courses that they will follow.

We noticed an interest about the picopoly format from the students. This cannot come from the content since it is plain academic content in a rather terse form! We believe it comes from the form itself that intrigues the reader. We also noticed that many students are puzzled by the folding. In fact, we believe it transgresses a tacit line that separates intellectual skills from manual skills. It is something we already observed when instructing students to design tangible models (e.g. made of building blocks, or of cans and straws) of abstract concepts (e.g. a file system, or a Turing machine). We think this transgression is a positive by-product. Finally, we observed that most students used to picopolies expect the next course will also handout picopolies. Some students with the sense of collection even bind together the whole set of picopolies.

4 Two examples

We present two examples of picopolies. Their two sides are included as illustration only to give a concrete perception of their organization. They are not




Figure 4: On databases: recto (oriented as in Figure 1; page 1 bottom right, and other pages counter-clockwise)

4. The SQL query language: the concrete programming language that allows to configure, populate, and query databases;
5. Database design and entity-association diagrams: even with the same content, all database organizations are not equally good, there is formal reasons for that, and methods for avoiding bad organizations;
6. Database normalization: ...and methods for repairing bad organizations also exist;
7. Concurrent accesses and ACID properties: many databases are vastly multi-users, very often users are concurrent (e.g. an hotel reservation system), this must be tackled for;
8. Concurrent and bibliography.

This picopoly is handed out at the beginning of the course on databases, and at the beginning of other courses to mobility students who did not follow the database course, but should be in sync with their comrades.

The second example is representative of variations on the theme. It is about agile methods for software development. It is used as a vademecum in a course where agility is the recommended approach for the practical works but about half the students are mobility students. The prerequisite mosaic (see Figure 5)

evolves into a context mosaic, on software development cycles (filled in green), software development risk analysis (filled in red), and on software maturity (filled in blue). The overview side (see Figure 6) is paginated as follows:

1. Title and motivation: developing software is difficult, exposed to many risks, agility is a way for coping for these risks;
2. Historical context: first variants of agility date from the 90's, an Agile Manifesto reconciled different approaches at the beginning of 2000, a few approaches became de facto standard;
3. The Agile Manifesto: exposes values and principles that govern agile methods;
- 4 and 5. Concepts from SCRUM: one of the de facto standard approaches (an example of a large subject treated on 2 facing pages);
6. Concepts from Extreme programming: one of the pioneer approaches, remains as traces in modern approaches; Agility and time management: how agility helps managing time, especially as one comes close to deadlines (an example of 2 small subjects treated on the same page);
7. Agility and practical works: how to be agile in student works;
8. Conclusion and bibliography.

Other subjects that have been treated as picopolies are:

- algorithmic (primarily as a companion to a full course in an engineering school program, secondarily as a prerequisite for many other courses), used⁵ for about 8 years and 100 students per year;
- Turing machine (companion to a short course from a continuous education program for secondary school teachers, and prerequisite to many others), first edition in 2019 and 100 students per year;
- λ -calculus (companion to a short course from a continuous education program for secondary school teachers, and prerequisite to many others), first edition in 2020 and about 100 students per year;
- formal language theory (full course in an engineering school program and prerequisite in others), used for about 10 years and 50 students per year;
- compilation (full course in an engineering school program and prerequisite in others), used for about 10 years and 50 students per year;
- green IT (full course in an engineering school program and a bachelor-level program), used for about 10 years and more than 200 students per year;

⁵including a yearly revision

Compléments de lecture : maturité, cycles de vie et risques. Pliage : verso de cette page au-dessus, traits gris rentrants, traits rouges saillants. Découper selon le trait rouge entre deux points, puis achever le pliage.

● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement
● Cycle de vie de développement

● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V
● Cycle de vie de V

Figure 5: On agile software development: verso

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Figure 6: On agile software development: recto (oriented as in Figure 1; page 1 bottom right, and other pages counter-clockwise)

- history of innovation theories (full course from an innovation program in an engineering school and context information in an epistemology program), used for about 6 years and about 30 students per year;
- revolution and disruption in science and innovation (full course from an innovation program in an engineering school and context information in an epistemology program), used for about 6 years and about 30 students per year;
- the art of the demo (prerequisite and context information in an engineering school program and others), used for about 5 years and about 20 students per year;
- principles of computer systems (full course in a computer science bachelor program), first edition in 2021 and about 250 students per year;
- the curriculum of our Computer Science 2nd year.

Note that the "Turing machine" picopoly contains in itself a tangible Turing machine. So, not only the picopoly format allows to carry in one's pocket all the courses of a semester, but it allows to take with them a universal calculator!

5 Conclusion

We presented a compact format of handouts, which we call picopoly, as a way to clarify prerequisites and learning outcomes of university courses. This role progressively evolved into summarizing prerequisites or summarizing outcomes.

We started developing this approach in 2010, and published 10 picopolies that are used either in courses they were designed for, or in courses where they happen to be useful as a compact reference, including in courses where the teacher is not the author of the picopoly.

Though we made picopolies the cornerstone of our handout strategy, we do not advocate generalizing all the details to every situations. What we advocate is that the picopoly format is an adequate support for making prerequisites and learning outcomes explicit, and that the constraints it imposes on redaction are interesting for themselves. We observe that picopolies are welcomed by students, and that it actually helps to clarify didactic situations. Maybe their unusual form is enough to create a surprise effect that captures the interest of the students and helps them remember what they should remember.

References

- [1] D. C. Bui, J. Myerson, and S. Hale. Note-taking with computers: Exploring alternative strategies for improved recall. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 105(2):299–309, 2013.

- [2] Michael de Raadt. Student created cheat-sheets in examinations: impact on student outcomes. In *Australasian Computing Education Conference*, volume 123, 2012.
- [3] Karin H. James and Laura Engelhardt. The effects of handwriting experience on functional brain development in pre-literate children. *Trends in Neuroscience and Education*, 1(1):32–42, 2012.
- [4] E. Marsh and H. Sink. Access to handouts of presentation slides during lecture: Consequences for learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 24(5):691–706, 2009.
- [5] M. McLennan and Geoff Isaacs. The role of handouts, note-taking and overhead transparencies in veterinary science lectures. *Australian Veterinary J.*, 80(10):626–629, 2002.
- [6] Pam A. Mueller and Daniel M. Oppenheimer. The pen is mightier than the keyboard: Advantages of longhand over laptop note taking. *Psychological Science OnlineFirst*, 2014.
- [7] Gabriel García Márquez. *Crónica de una muerte anunciada*. Oveja Negra, 1981.
- [8] Erika Nelson-Wong, Heidi Eigsti, Amy Hammerich, and Nicole Ellison. Influence of presentation handout completeness on student learning in a physical therapy curriculum. *J. Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 13(3):33–47, 2013.
- [9] Babu Raj Stephenson and Belgin P.K. The role of structured handouts in improving the teaching learning process of final year MBBS students. *J. Pediatric Research*, 4(08):497–503, 2017.