

Exploring the origin of low-metallicity stars in Milky-Way-like galaxies with the NIHAO-UHD simulations

Federico Sestito, Tobias Buck, Else Starkenburg, Nicolas Martin, Julio Navarro, Kim Venn, Aura Obreja, Pascale Jablonka, Andrea Macciò

To cite this version:

Federico Sestito, Tobias Buck, Else Starkenburg, Nicolas Martin, Julio Navarro, et al.. Exploring the origin of low-metallicity stars in Milky-Way-like galaxies with the NIHAO-UHD simulations. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2021 , 500 (3), pp.3750-3762. $10.1093/mnras/staa3479$. hal-03154955

HAL Id: hal-03154955 <https://hal.science/hal-03154955v1>

Submitted on 2 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exploring the origin of low-metallicity stars in Milky-Way-like galaxies with the NIHAO-UHD simulations

Federico Sestito[®][,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2027-399X)^{1★} Tobias Buck ®,² Else Starkenburg,^{2,3} Nicolas F. Martin,^{1,4} Julio F. Navarro,⁵ Kim A. Venn^{o[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4196-8555) 5} Aura Obreja^{to}, ⁶ Pascale Jablonka^{7,8} and Andrea V. Macciò^{to [4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8171-6507),9,10}

¹*Universite de Strasbourg, CNRS, Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg, UMR 7550 F-67000, France ´*

²*Leibniz Institute for Astrophysics Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, D-14482 Potsdam, Germany*

³*Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, Landleven 12, NL-9747 AD Groningen, The Netherlands*

⁸*GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, Universite PSL, CNRS, Place Jules Janssen, F-92190 Meudon, France ´*

¹⁰*Center for Astro, Particle and Planetary Physics (CAP*3*), New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Saadiyat Island, Abu Dhabi, UAE*

Accepted 2020 November 5. Received 2020 November 3; in original form 2020 September 28

ABSTRACT

The kinematics of the most metal-poor stars provide a window into the early formation and accretion history of the Milky Way (MW). Here, we use five high-resolution cosmological zoom-in simulations ($\sim 5 \times 10^6$ star particles) of MW-like galaxies taken from the NIHAO-UHD project, to investigate the origin of low-metallicity stars ([Fe/H] ≤ -2.5). The simulations show a prominent population of low-metallicity stars confined to the disc plane, as recently discovered in the MW. The ubiquity of this finding suggests that the MW is not unique in this respect. Independently of the accretion history, we find that \gtrsim 90 per cent of the retrograde stars in this population are brought in during the initial build-up of the galaxies during the first few Gyr after the Big Bang. Our results therefore highlight the great potential of the retrograde population as a tracer of the early build-up of the MW. The prograde planar population, on the other hand, is accreted during the later assembly phase and samples the full galactic accretion history. In case of a quiet accretion history, this prograde population is mainly brought in during the first half of cosmic evolution $(t \le 7 \text{ Gyr})$, while, in the case of an ongoing active accretion history, later mergers on prograde orbits are also able to contribute to this population. Finally, we note that the MW shows a rather large population of eccentric, very metal-poor planar stars. This is a feature not seen in most of our simulations, with the exception of one simulation with an exceptionally active early building phase.

Key words: Galaxy: abundances – Galaxy: disc – Galaxy: evolution – Galaxy: formation – Galaxy: halo – Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics.

1 INTRODUCTION

The most chemically pristine stars, which likely include some of the oldest stars in the Milky Way, are relics of the early formation and assembly of our Galaxy (e.g. Freeman & Bland-Hawthorn 2002; Karlsson, Bromm & Bland-Hawthorn 2013). For example, El-Badry et al. (2018) show that, in the cosmological FIRE simulations, stars with $[Fe/H] \le -2.5$ formed at most 3 Gyr after the big bang. During that time, the Milky Way (hereafter MW) was still assembling. The expectation is therefore that these stars are distributed in a pressure-supported fashion, i.e. the spheroid; either located in the deepest part of the MW potential well if accreted at early times, or spread out to the outer reaches of the stellar halo if born in accreted dwarf galaxies (White & Springel 2000; Brook et al. 2007; Gao

et al. 2010; Salvadori et al. 2010; Tumlinson 2010; Ishiyama et al. 2016; Starkenburg et al. 2017a; El-Badry et al. 2018; Griffen et al. 2018). Some orbits from the pressure-supported distribution might be kinematically coincident with the disc, although for this distribution, the number of prograde and retrograde stars would be expected to be similar. Alternatively, some of the low-metallicity stars can cross the disc with halo kinematics.

However, thanks to the exquisite data from the *Gaia* mission (hereafter *Gaia* DR2; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018), Sestito et al. (2019, hereafter S19) found that a surprisingly large fraction (11 stars, ∼26 per cent) of the 42 ultra metal-poor stars (UMP, $[Fe/H] \le -4.0$) known at the time do not venture far out from the MW plane ($|z_{\text{max}}| \lesssim 3 \text{ kpc}$) and have orbits that span a wide range of eccentricities, from quasi-circular to rosette-shaped orbits. Out of the 11 stars confined to the disc, 10 UMPs have prograde motion, sharing the same sense of rotation as the MW disc, while one UMP has a retrograde orbit. Sestito et al. (2020, hereafter S20)

⁴*Max-Planck-Institut fur Astronomie, K ¨ onigstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany ¨*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, PO Box 3055, STN CSC, Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada*

⁶*Universitats-Sternwarte M ¨ unchen, Scheinerstraße 1, D-81679 M ¨ unchen, Germany ¨*

⁷*Institute of Physics, Laboratoire d'astrophysique, Ecole Polytechnique F ´ ed´ erale de Lausanne (EPFL), Observatoire, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland ´*

⁹*New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Saadiyat Island, Abu Dhabi, UAE*

⁻ E-mail: federico.sestito@astro.unistra.fr

extended the kinematical analysis to the very metal-poor regime (VMP, $[Fe/H] \le -2.0$) using 583 VMP stars from the Pristine survey (Starkenburg et al. 2017b; Aguado et al. 2019) and 4838 VMPs from a cleaned sample of the LAMOST survey (Cui et al. 2012; Li, Tan & Zhao 2018). S20 found that a similarly large fraction of the sample kinematically inhabits the plane of the MW, from the VMP to the UMP regime. They also show that the prograde motion is largely favoured compared to retrograde orbits. S19 and S20 propose three non mutually-exclusive scenarios to explain the observations. The first scenario is that this population was brought in by accretion events where satellites deposited their stars into the disc by dynamical friction and tidal interactions. In the second scenario, these stars were born in the gas-rich building blocks that formed the backbone of the proto-MW disc. Finally, in the last scenario, these stars formed *in situ* after the interstellar medium (hereafter ISM) of the disc settled, presumably from still chemically pristine pockets of gas.

Di Matteo et al. (2020) analysed a sample of 54 VMPs from the ESO Large Program 'First Stars' finding very similar kinematical signatures to S₁₉ and S₂₀. They suggest that the MW thick disc extends to the UMP regime, down to [Fe/H] ~ -6.0 and that the population of this early disc shares the same kinematical properties because it experienced the same violent heating process, i.e. the accretion of the *Gaia-Enceladus* satellite (Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018). Venn et al. (2020), analysing the high-resolution spectra of 28 bright low-metallicity stars ($[Fe/H] < -2.5$), also found that a subsample is confined to the MW disc, sharing a wide range of eccentricities, with the majority in prograde motion.

Because it is clear that the retrograde stars cannot be easily explained in *in situ* star formation scenarios, their presence – even if they represent a minority population – places important constraints on the early formation history of the galaxy. We note that, precisely for this reason, some of the important big merger events of the MW have been picked up from their retrograde signatures, such as, e.g. Gaia-Enceladus-Sausage (GES; Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018) and Sequoia (Barbá et al. 2019 ; Myeong et al. 2019) and Thamnos (Koppelman et al. 2019). Additional information on the kinematical components of the MW seems to be encoded in the chemical abundances of disc stars (e.g. Navarro et al. 2011). In fact, already prior to the *Gaia* mission such chemical peculiarities in combination with distinct kinematical features were used to identify debris stars associated with the merger event that brought *ω*Cen into the MW, which is most likely coincident with GES (Meza et al. 2005; Navarro et al. 2011). Helmi et al. (2018) and Koppelman et al. (2019) demonstrate a different [*α*/Fe] between some accreted halo populations and the presumed *in situ* population at a metallicity between \sim −2.5 and \sim −0.5. Monty et al. (2020) find that the stars dynamically associated with GES and Sequoia have a different [*α*/Fe] ratio than those of the Galactic halo. For the most metalpoor stars, which are the subject of this work, the measurement of [*α*/Fe] and other elements tracers of the star formation (i.e. neutroncapture elements) becomes challenging. Moreover, we know from previous works that differences between systems in [*α*/Fe] become less pronounced at very low metallicity (e.g. Venn et al. 2004; Frebel & Norris 2015; Jablonka et al. 2015), while the chemistry of neutroncapture elements, such as Yttrium and Europium, has shown to be a promising accretion diagnostic (Recio-Blanco et al. 2020).

In this paper, we use the MW-like simulated galaxies present in the Numerical Investigation of a Hundred Astronomical Objects $(NIHAO)-UHD¹$ cosmological zoom-in simulations (Buck et al. 2020) to investigate how the oldest and most metal-poor stars assemble into the main galaxy. In particular, we focus on those stars that are confined to the disc at the present day. This suite is composed of five high-resolution spiral galaxies with ∼ 200-pc resolution and are, therefore, ideal to disentangle the different structures of the galaxies (Buck et al. 2018, 2019c). The resolution allows us to analyse the spatial and kinematical distribution of the most metalpoor stars, focusing on the inner region of the galaxies.

Section 2 describes the main properties of the NIHAO-UHD simulations, while, in Section 3, the analysis and discussions on the origin of the most metal-poor stars are reported. In Section 3.1, we investigate the rotation of the VMP spheroids in the simulations. The comparison between the observations and the simulated galaxies is shown in Section 3.2. Section 3.3 describes the formation of the simulated galaxy and their accretion history. The age–metallicity relation is shown in Section 3.4, and the origin of the most metalpoor star particles is investigated in Section 3.5. The conclusions drawn from this analysis are presented in Section 4.

2 NIHAO-UHD COSMOLOGICAL ZOOM-IN SIMULATIONS

The NIHAO-UHD simulations (Buck et al. 2020) are a set of cosmological simulations with a higher mass resolution and the same initial conditions and feedback parameters as the NIHAO (Wang et al. 2015). All the NIHAO galaxies adopt cosmological parameters from the Planck Collaboration XVI (2014) cosmology. Therefore, $\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.3175$, $\Omega_{\rm A} = 0.6825$, $\Omega_{\rm b} = 0.0049$, $H_0 =$ 67.1 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, and $\sigma_8 = 0.8344$. The corresponding age of the Universe is $t_{\text{Universe}} = 13.83 \text{ Gyr}$. Each simulation consists of 64 snapshots equally spaced in time with a separation of ∼215 Myr. The final snapshots of the NIHAO-UHD simulations at $z = 0$ are publicly available at [https://tinyurl.com/nihao-uhd,](https://tinyurl.com/nihao-uhd) which redirects to [https://www2.mpia-hd.mpg.de/](https://www2.mpia-hd.mpg.de/~buck/#sim_data)∼buck/#sim data.

The NIHAO-UHD set is composed of six zoom-in simulations g2.79e12, g1.12e12, g8.26e11, g7.55e11, g7.08e11, and g6.96e11, for which the name corresponds to the halo mass of the dark matter (hereafter DM) only run. The stellar mass of the galaxies varies between 1.5×10^{10} and 15.9×10^{10} M_o. Each galaxy is resolved with more than 10^7 particles (gas+star+DM) inside the virial radius² while the stellar discs contain $\gtrsim 3 \times 10^6$ star particles. The mass of DM particles spans a range $(1-5) \times 10^5$ M_o, gas particles in the range $(2-9) \times 10^4$ M_{\odot}, and star particles in the range $(0.7-3.0) \times 10^4$ M_o. The simulations have been evolved with a modified version of the smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code GASOLINE2 (Wadsley, Keller & Quinn 2017) with star formation and feedback prescriptions as presented in Stinson et al. (2006) and Stinson et al. (2013). The adopted feedback prescriptions result in a spatial distribution of young stellar particles in good agreement with the spatial distribution of young stellar clusters in local galaxies (Buck, Dutton & Macciò 2019b). Chemical enrichment from core-collapse supernova (SN II) and Type Ia supernova (SN Ia) is implemented following Raiteri, Villata & Navarro (1996) using rescaled SN II yields from Woosley & Weaver (1995) and SN Ia yields from Thielemann, Nomoto & Yokoi (1986). No Population III pre-enrichment is assumed and iron and oxygen have been tracked individually.

²As described in Buck et al. (2020), the virial radius/mass is the radius/mass of a sphere containing 200 times the cosmic critical matter density.

Note. For each simulated galaxy, we report the masses of the single stellar, gas, and dark matter particles (m_{star} , m_{gas} , m_{DM}), together with the total mass components (stellar M_{star} , gas M_{gas} , and dark matter M_{DM}), the total mass at the virial radius M_{virial} , the virial radius R_{virial} , the galaxy's disc scale length R_{d} , its thick disc scale height $h_{\rm z, thick}$ at the solar circle as defined via double exponential fit to the vertical stellar density, the mass of the VMP star particles within 40 kpc from the Galactic Centre, and its percentage relative to the total stellar mass.

The NIHAO-UHD galaxies are characterised by a thin disc of scale length \sim 5 kpc and a total scale height of \leq 1 kpc that matches key observational properties of the MW, such as the age–velocity dispersion relation of the stellar disc (Buck et al. 2020) or the chemical bimodality of disc stars (Buck 2020). Furthermore, one of the simulated galaxies, g2.79e12, has recently been used to study intrinsic variations in the length of the galactic stellar bar (Hilmi et al. 2020). Because these simulations are so similar to the MW with respect to the physical scale lengths/heights of the stellar disc, the age–velocity dispersion relation, and the chemical bimodality, we refer to them as MW-like in this work, but we note that they do not necessarily match the MW in all properties. The galaxy g1.12e12 has a spheroidal shape and is therefore not considered in this study. Table 1 reports the main properties of the NIHAO-UHD simulated galaxies used in our study. For a more detailed discussion of the galaxy properties, we refer the reader to Buck et al. (2019a) and Buck et al. (2020).

For the simulated galaxy g8.26e11, several early snapshots have not been saved, complicating the tracking of the position of the star particles across time. Consequently, we exclude this galaxy when we are analysing snapshots at other times than the present day.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The NIHAO-UHD simulations provide a set of physical quantities for each particle, such as their position at redshift 0, (*x*, *y*, *z*), their Galactocentric velocity, (v_x, v_y, v_z) , their age, their metallicity, [Fe/H], and their birth position, (*x*birth, *y*birth, *z*birth). In all our analysis, we align the *z*-axis of the coordinate system with the total angular momentum of the disc stars such that the galactic disc lies within the *x*–*y* plane. Using the agama package (Vasiliev 2019), we further derive the orbital action momentum vector, (J_{ϕ}, J_{r}, J_{z}) for each star particle corresponding to its orbit in the fixed gravitational potential of the simulated galaxy at redshift $z = 0$.

In order to compare the population of planar stars in the simulations with that of the MW observations, as in Section 3.2, we need to mimic the window function of the photometric and spectroscopic surveys used to discover them. A deep analysis and reconstruction of the selection functions is not the aim of this work; however, we mimic the window function of the observed VMP stars in S20, which also contain the stars analysed in S₁₉, by selecting metal-poor star particles that are close to the location of stars in the observed sample. As also discussed in S₁₉ and S₂₀, the multiple selection functions imparted by different metal-poor surveys do not insert a bias against or in favour of the prograde/retrograde population. Moreover, mimicking the spatial window function is not expected to result in mimicking the kinematical features of the MW. This is also

a priori. Because of the arbitrary choice of the orientation of the Galactocentric Cartesian axes in the simulations, for each observed VMP star at position $(x_{obs}, y_{obs}, z_{obs})$, we first select all the VMP star particles that inhabit a torus with $R_{\text{torus}} = (x_{\text{obs}}^2 + y_{\text{obs}}^2)^{1/2}$, we set the width of the torus to 0.75 kpc, at the height $z = z_{obs}$. Usually, multiple star particles populate this volume, in which case the final choice of particle is done selecting the one inside the torus that minimises the difference in metallicity $|[Fe/H]_{obs} - [Fe/H]_{particle}|$. Since the observed samples in S19 and S20 exclude the bulge and the bar of the MW (see the appendix in S20), the orientation of the bar in the simulated galaxies does not influence this attempt in reproducing the window function. The mimicking of the window function only applies to Section 3.2, where we compare more directly with observations, while for Sections 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5, all VMP stars ([Fe/H] ≤ -2.0) that are taken into account have a distance from the centre of the galaxy $R \leq 40$ kpc and exclude the bulge region $(R \geq 4 \text{ kpc}).$

not desired, as we want to study these properties and not set them

3.1 Do the low-metallicity stars confined to the disc follow a spheroidal distribution?

The most metal-poor stars mainly inhabit the spheroid of the MW. However, as recently pointed out by observations (e.g. S19, S20; Di Matteo et al. 2020), a non-negligible fraction of these stars is kinematically confined to the disc, favouring the prograde motion. Naturally, even if all stars were to be distributed in a non-rotating or a slowly-rotating spheroid, one would expect a subset of them to overlap with the disc at any time, and an even smaller subset to be confined to the disc kinematically. Benefitting from the completeness of our simulations – in which, in contrast to observations, we can assess the complete metal-poor population – it is insightful to quantify if the number of metal-poor stars kinematically confined to the disc exceeds the expectations from a spheroidal (rotating or non-rotating) distribution.

To this end, we perform the following exercise: we select the low-metallicity star particles ([Fe/H] \le -2.5) of the NIHAO-UHD simulations that are spatially in the stellar disc $|z| \leq 3$ kpc (i.e. close to the *x*–*y* plane of the coordinate system), excluding the bulge region $(R \geq 4 \text{ kpc})$. Their distribution in the rotational velocity v_{ϕ} versus the perpendicular velocity $v_{\perp} = |v_z|$ space is shown in the top left-hand panel of Fig. 1 and indicates that the spheroid has a slow prograde rotation in this simulation (g8.26e11). This signal is consistently present in the 5 simulations studied in this analysis. In the top middle and top right-hand panels of Fig. 1, the coordinate system is rotated around the *x*-axis, such that the stellar disc moves out of the *x*–*y* plane and on to an angle of 45◦ and 90◦, respectively. In this new

Figure 1. Test of a spheroidal distribution for simulation g8.26e11. Top panels: rotational velocity v_{ϕ} versus perpendicular velocity $v_{\perp} = |v_z|$ for the star particles located in the disc ($|z| \leq 3$ kpc, $R \geq 4$ kpc) for three rotational angle (0°, 45°, 90°) and with [Fe/H] ≤ -2.5 . The dark orange marker represents the centroid of the distribution in this space. Middle row panels: the number of prograde and retrograde stars as a function of the perpendicular velocity is shown in blue and red, respectively, and for the three rotational angles $(0°, 45°, 90°)$. These distributions have been corrected by the mean rotation of the spheroid and selecting star particles with 50 ≤ v_{ϕ} ≤ 250 km s⁻¹ for the prograde and $-250 \le v_{\phi} \le -50$ km s⁻¹ for the retrograde. Bottom panels: the comparison between the number of the low-metallicity prograde ([Fe/H] \leq -2.5, thinner line) and the more metal-rich prograde population (-1.5 \leq [Fe/H] \leq -1.0, thicker line) as a function of *v*⊥.

frame of reference, we apply the same selection of star particles. As the rotational angle increase, the mean rotational velocity of the spheroid decreases, illustrating that the rotation is indeed strongest in the *x*–*y* plane. This rotation will lead to star particles being dragged towards the disc and co-rotate with it, although with smaller rotational velocity than the disc (see fig. 7 from Buck et al. 2020, for the rotation curve of these simulated galaxies).

In the second row of panels in Fig. 1, we correct the rotational velocity by the mean rotation of the spheroid and we measure the number of prograde and retrograde star particles as a function of the perpendicular velocity. These two populations are selected on their corrected rotational velocity, in the range $50 \le v_{\phi} \le 250 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ for the prograde star particles and in the same range with opposite signs for the retrograde star particles. In case of a spheroidal distribution around the mean rotation, the fraction of prograde and retrograde orbits should be equal and constant regardless of whether the chosen plane coincides with the galactic disc or is at an angle. We however see that at 0◦, there is a predominance of prograde metal-poor stars confined to small perpendicular velocities (i.e. not venturing far from the disc plane). For planes rotated out of the disc (second and third panels in the middle row of Fig. 1), the number of retrograde and prograde stars is similar and more weakly depends on the perpendicular velocity.

The bottom panels in Fig. 1 show a comparison between the lowmetallicity prograde population and the more metal-rich prograde population that contains the thick disc (−1.5 \leq [Fe/H] \leq -1.0). These panels indicate that the low-metallicity population has a similar distribution to that of the more metal-rich star particles, especially for low perpendicular velocities and for small rotational angle. This means that the population of low-metallicity prograde planar star particles behaves similarly to the thick disc, and likely exceeds the expected number of star particles drawn from a simple, slowlyrotating spheroidal distribution.

The preference for prograde motion among the VMP stars in the region of the simulated disc is qualitatively in agreement with the observations (e.g. S19, S20). While the simulations host spheroids that are clearly rotating, the mean motion of the MW is still under debate. Recently, thanks to the LAMOST survey (Cui et al. 2012), Tian et al. (2019, 2020) found that the MW spheroid is slowlyrotating in a prograde motion ($v_{\phi} \sim 29 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$) and that the rotational velocity decreases at larger distances. Accreted structures in the MW halo, either retrograde and prograde, complicate the estimation of the rotational velocity of the spheroid and can lead to different results depending on the tracers used (Deason, Belokurov & Evans 2011).

In conclusion, we see that, despite the more significant rotation of the spheroid in these simulations compared to the MW, there is

Figure 2. Action momentum space for star particles in the simulation and stars in the MW. Left-hand panel: sketch of the action space. The *x*-axis shows the azimuthal component of the action vector J_{ϕ}/J_{TOT} , a prompt for the rotational motion. Prograde orbits have $J_{\phi}/J_{\text{TOT}} \ge 0$, while star particles with retrograde motion have $J_{\phi}/J_{\text{TOT}} < 0$. The *y*-axis, $(J_z - J_r)/J_{\text{TOT}}$, is the difference between the vertical component, which tracks the vertical motion of the particle/star, and the radial component of the action vector, which is an indication of its radial motion. Both axes are normalised by the norm of the action vector, J_{TOT} . This is helpful for a comparison between galaxies with different physical properties. The black boxes represent the loci we define for prograde planar (right box, also shown with blue dots) and the retrograde planar stars (left box, also marked by red dots). The halo-like star particles are denoted by magenta dots, while the star particles with high eccentricity are marked by black dots. The dashed-line box represents the region where GES has been discovered (Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018). Central panel: the star particles with $[Fe/H] \le -2.5$ from simulation g2.79e12 selected to mimic observations. Right-hand panel: MW observations from S19 and S20. In the both the central and right-hand panels, the star particles are marked with black dots.

a clear signature on top of that of a very low-metallicity population that spatially and kinematically reside in a (thicker) disc, just as we see in the MW galaxy. Although none of these simulations exactly resemble the MW in its formation history, there is still a lot we can learn from studying the origin of this population that seems to be ubiquitous throughout the different simulated galaxies. In the next section, we will provide a more in-depth comparison between the types of orbits these star particles have in the simulations and the observations in the MW and we will pursue a deeper understanding of the origin of these populations with different orbital properties in Section 3.5.

3.2 NIHAO-UHD simulations versus the observed low-metallicity MW disc

The action-angle variables (hereafter action vector, or action) are a useful tool to analyse dynamical populations. In particular, the action coordinates J_{ϕ} and J_{z} can reveal a population of the VMP stars in the disc of the MW, as highlighted by S19 and S20.

The simulated galaxies are not models of the MW. This means that the kinematic of star particles may differ systematically from the MW's because of differences in the mass and spatial distribution of the DM, stars, and gas. To minimize these effects, we scale the components of the actions by their norm. This is illustrated in Fig. 2, where we compare the action space of g2.79e12 (central panel) with that of the MW observations from S19 and S20 (right-hand panel). The VMP star particles in the simulation are selected in the aforementioned way to mimic the observational window function. This plot shows the azimuthal action component J_{ϕ}/J_{TOT} versus the difference between the vertical and the radial action component (J_z) $-J_r$)/ J_{TOT} , where both axes are normalised by the norm of the action vector J_{TOT} . In this action space, stars with planar orbits, prograde and retrograde, inhabit regions with high $|J_{\phi}/J_{\text{TOT}}|$ and low $|(J_{z} J_r$)/ J_{TOT} , repsectively. Therefore, we define the star particles with prograde and planar orbits to be confined in the region with J_{ϕ}/J_{TOT} \geq 0.75 and the star particles with retrograde motion that are confined in the disc to have $J_{\phi}/J_{\text{TOT}} \leq -0.75$. At the bottom of this space are found star particles that are confined to the disc but have very radial orbits (i.e. eccentric) orbits. Star particles with halo-like kinematics inhabit the remainder of the space.

Fig. 3 shows the same action space as in Fig. 2 but divided in metallicity bins for all the NIHAO-UHD simulated galaxies³ as well as for the MW. From this plot, a population of planar stars is clearly recognisable in all simulations, from the VMP samples to the most metal-poor samples. The action space of Figs 2 and 3 show that this population of planar star particles in prograde motion spans a wide range of eccentricities (from low to high values of *Jr*, inhabiting the lower border of the action space). Moreover, as with the MW observations (S19, S20), the simulated galaxies show some stars that are confined to the disc with retrograde orbits. Similarly to observations, the prograde sample is more populated than the retrograde one for all galaxies and at all metallicities. Table 2 reports the ratio between the prograde and retrograde planar populations, $N_{\text{pro}}/N_{\text{retro}}$, as a function of the metallicity and the simulated galaxy. In most simulated galaxies, this ratio is *>*5.50, except for g7.55e11, which has a lower ratio of ∼3.4. These numbers are significantly higher than what is observed for the MW (∼1.7), as also reported in Table 2. This indicates that the simulations has an even larger population of prograde stars at low metallicity. As we already pointed out, each photometric and spectroscopic survey has its own selection function for hunting metal-poor stars; however, none of them should impart a bias for/against retrograde and prograde population. In Section 3.1, we report that the spheroids of the simulated galaxies are slowly rotating in a prograde motion while the MW spheroid is, at best, slowly rotating. This difference may impact the direct comparison of the *N*pro/*N*retro ratios.

Careful comparison between the observed metal-poor MW and the NIHAO-UHD simulated galaxies in Fig. 3 reveals another interesting feature at the bottom edge of the action space hemicircle (towards the allowed minimum values of $(J_z - J_r)/J_{\text{TOT}} \lesssim 0$ for −1 ≤ J_{ϕ}/J_{TOT} ≤ 1).

 3 For g8.26e11, the action-angle variables are safe to use as their calculation is independent of the snapshots at the early Universe.

Figure 3. Metallicity decomposition of the simulated galaxies and MW observations in action space. The first row of panels displays the observations from S19 and S20, while the other rows show the simulated galaxies of the NIHAO-UHD suite, corrected for the observational window function as explained in the text. Each row is divided into metallicity bins. To better visualise the population of the most metal-poor star particles ([Fe/H] \leq -2.5), the left-hand column of panels shows all stars in the most metal-poor sample. From the second to the fourth column, the sample of the VMP stars has been separated according to their metallicity, from the VMP to the UMP regime. In each panel, the black boxes on the right and on the left represent the loci the populations of prograde planar and retrograde planar star particles.

Galaxy	$[Fe/H] < -2.5$		$-2.5 <$ [Fe/H] < -2.0 $-3.0 <$ [Fe/H] < -2.5	$-4.0 <$ [Fe/H] < -3.0	$[Fe/H] < -4.0$
Milky Way	1.72	1.89	1.67	1.82	11.11
q2.79e12	7.14	9.09	8.33	6.25	2.33
q8.26e11	9.09	12.50	8.33		2.33
q7.55e11	3.45	3.13	3.23	6.25	7.14
q7.08e11	6.25	7.69	6.67	5.00	
q6.96e11	5.56	7.69	5.88	7.14	2.00

Table 2. Prograde versus retrograde planar asymmetry.

Note. The ratio $N_{\text{pro}}/N_{\text{retro}}$ between the number of star particles with prograde/retrograde planar orbits is reported as a function of the metallicity range for the simulated galaxies and the observed VMP stars in the MW (S20).

> 12 11 10

Looking at the MW panels, there is a pronounced overdensity of stars in this area, a feature that is not matched in most of the simulations except (qualitatively) in g7.55e11. In this locus of action space we find stars that have large motion in the radial component (large J_r), compared to a smaller motion on the vertical axis (J_z) ; therefore, these are planar stars with high eccentricity, the majority of them on prograde orbits (for a better visualisation of this population in the action space, look for the high-eccentricity sample in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2). A more in-depth discussion on the origin of this orbital structure is presented below.

3.3 Growth history of the galaxies

Tracking the haloes and their properties such as the mass (DM, gas, and stellar components) and position is helpful to better understand how the simulated galaxies grew. The total stellar mass within the virial radius of the main halo, the total stellar mass of accreted material (also measured within the virial radius of the dwarf galaxies prior to accretion), and the virial mass as a function of the cosmic time are shown in Fig. 4. For each simulated galaxy, the time at which the simulation assembles 25 (t_{25}) , 50 (t_{50}) , and 90 per cent (t_{90}) of their present stellar mass is indicated by vertical lines. Simulations g2.79e12, g7.55e11, and g7.08e11 reach *t*²⁵ and *t*⁵⁰ after \sim 4 and 5–6 Gyr, respectively. On the other hand, for simulation g6.96e11, this happens at ∼ 7 and ∼ 9 Gyr. This can be explained by a more continuous accretion of mass in this latter simulation, for which the total accreted mass is a large fraction of the final galaxy (see also fig. 3 of Buck et al. 2020).

Fig. 5 shows the projection on the *x*-*y* plane and the *r*-*z* plane (where the coordinate system is chosen such that the *z*-axis aligns with the total angular momentum of the stellar disc) of the VMP particle's position at four different time frames for the simulated galaxies g2.79e12 and g7.08e11. Figs 4 and 5 show that, in the first few Gyr, the galactic building blocks (of which the most massive will be the main MW progenitor) of mass 10^5-10^9 M_o merge together and assemble the proto-galaxy. These building blocks, bring in the most metal-poor star particles, together with the gas and the DM. Once the proto-galaxy is assembled, other merger events are responsible for bringing in more of the VMP star particles in the main structure. The number of late mergers and the mass they contribute varies from simulation to simulation, from a quiet accretion history after ∼4 Gyr for g2.79e12 and g7.08e11 to a more turbulent and continued merging history for g6.96e11 and g7.55e11.

As noted before, simulation g7.55e11 matches best the MW orbital property observations. This is true both for the ratio of metalpoor prograde versus retrograde star particles, as well as for the existence of a significant population of high-eccentricity planar star particles of low metallicity (see Fig. 3). Simulation g7.55e11

Figure 4. Virial mass (grey), main halo stellar mass (black), and accreted stellar mass (dark orange) as a function of time. The stellar mass in a sphere with a radius of $r = 75$ kpc centred in the main halo is denoted by black dots, the total accreted stellar mass from satellites within the same radius is marked with dark orange dots, and the total virial mass of the main halo is shown by grey dots. Vertical lines represent the time at which the stellar component of the main halo reached 25 (left vertical line), 50 (middle vertical line), and 90 per cent (right vertical line) of the total stellar mass. As previously mentioned, simulation g8.26e11 is not shown as no reliable distinction between accreted and *in situ* stars can be made. Note that the apparent reduction in main halo stellar mass for simulations g7.08e11 and g6.96e11 at very early cosmic times is due to the ongoing major mergers at those times.

Figure 5. Snapshots of the VMP particle distribution. Projection of the spatial distribution of the VMP star particles along the *x*–*y* and R_{xy} –*z* planes for the simulations g2.79e12 (on the left) and g7.55e11 (on the right) at four different time (*t* ∼ 1 Gyr, *t*25, *t*50, *t*90). Star particles with prograde planar orbits, retrograde planar motion, and halo-like distribution are denoted by blue, red, and black dots, respectively. To better visualise the component with the smaller number of particles, the halo-like star particles (black) have been plotted first, then the prograde planar components (blue), and the retrograde planar stars (red) have been overplotted on top of the others. In the right-hand panels for g7.55e11 at time t_{25} ($t = 4.33$ Gyr), one of the two massive mergers responsible for depositing star particles in the bottom part of the action space (see the discussion in Sections 3.2 and 3.3) is visible at *x* ∼ 30 kpc, *y* ∼ 20 kpc, *z* ∼ 0 kpc, R_{xy} ~ 35 kpc.

stands out from the others in its very active and chaotic early merging phase in which more building blocks are coming together than in other simulations. Tracing the star particles belonging to this higheccentricity feature in g7.55e11 back in time, we find that these belong to multiple merger events. Only ∼20 per cent of them are formed within 50 kpc of the centre of the main halo, while the remaining particles were born in multiple satellites initially up to a distance of 300 kpc. These later merge with the main galaxy. In particular, Fig. 4 shows that two massive satellites are merging at times \sim 4 (also visible in Fig. 5) and \sim 7 Gyr. The stellar masses of the merging satellites are $M_{\text{stellar}} = 3.0 \times 10^8$ ($M_{\text{TOT}} = 6.7 \times 10^{10}$ M_o) and $M_{\text{stellar}} = 1.3 \times 10^9 \,\text{M}_{\odot}$ ($M_{\text{TOT}} = 6.7 \times 10^{10} \,\text{M}_{\odot}$), respectively. In both cases, the total mass (DM+gas+stars) of the merging satellites is about ∼20–25 per cent of the main halo's mass. Before the first merger, \sim 24 (\sim 55) per cent of the prograde (retrograde) star particles present in the high-eccentricity feature are already in place as the result of this early merging phase. The first merger, accreted at the end of the building blocks phase, is responsible for bringing in ∼22 (∼40) per cent of the progrades (retrogrades) into the higheccentricity component, while the second merger has brought in ∼46 per cent of the prograde and none of the retrograde star particles. Exploring the Auriga simulations, Gómez et al. (2017) found that

Figure 6. Age versus [Fe/H] for the VMP star particles in the simulated galaxies. Each pixel of size 0.1 Gyr \times 0.1dex is colour-coded by the logarithm of the particle counts. Star particles with [Fe/H] *<* −6 are reported in the pixels of [Fe/H] = −6 for a better representation. A younger population of low-metallicity stars is visible in the panel of simulation q7.08e11 and it is indicated by an arrow, as discussed in Section 3.4, these star particles are brought in by a dwarf satellite, in which the older population has not been efficient in polluting the ISM, or pockets of pristine gas were accreted at a later moment. Tracking problems in simulation g8.26e11 might have affected the age, and to be cautious, g8.26e11 has been removed.

later massive merging events ($M_{\text{TOT}} \sim 10^{10} - 10^{11} \text{ M}_{\odot}$) are able to accrete stars with kinematics that resemble the disc population. This is in line with the two later massive merging events present in simulation g7.55e11.

It is clear that the MW experienced an active merging episode in its history, as evidenced by the recent discoveries of mainly the GES structure and several other structures (e.g. Gaia-Sequoia and Thamnos) that might or might not be related (see e.g. the review presented in Helmi 2020). Our finding that the significant population of high eccentricity planar star particles of low metallicity in the MW is not reproduced in all simulations, but rather might be the outcome of a more particular merger history, shows that this population in particular will be interesting to take into account when studying the merger picture of the MW in more detail. High-resolution spectroscopy of the low-metallicity planar stars, both prograde, retrograde, and highly eccentric, could provide further information on the precise formation history of the MW. On the other hand, larger suites of high-resolution cosmological simulations are needed to investigate a wider range of combinations of accretion histories and physical properties.

3.4 How old are the most metal-poor stars?

Since the abundance of metals in the ISM of the Galaxy increases gradually with successive generations of stars, the expectation is that VMP stars must have formed at early times, when the ISM was still relatively unpolluted. However, it is theoretically also possible that they form later from pockets of very isolated, unpolluted gas.

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the age of all the VMP star particles in the NIHAO-UHD simulations as a function of their metallicity. The metallicity–age plot is divided in a grid of 0.1 dex in metallicity and 0*.*1 Gyr in age and colour-coded by the number density of star particles in each pixel. Overall, the simulations indicate that indeed the most metal-poor stars are also the oldest ones. Only 0.7–12.0 per cent of all VMP star particles are younger than 12 Gyr, while 35–77 per cent are younger than 13 Gyr. Their minimum age is, across all

simulations, ≥ 9.1 Gyr. In the extremely metal-poor regime (EMP, [Fe/H] \le -3.0), 19–42 per cent of stars are younger than \sim 13 Gyr, while only a few star particles are younger than $12 \text{ Gyr } \left(\text{&0.7 per} \right)$ cent). Similarly, in the UMP regime, only 11–36 per cent are younger than ~ 13 Gyr, and <0.7 per cent are younger than 12 Gyr. This result is in agreement with findings from the APOSTLE (Starkenburg et al. 2017a) and FIRE simulations (El-Badry et al. 2018) of MW-like galaxies.

While most of the VMP stars are thus truly old, Fig. 6 also shows a population of stars in simulation g7.08e11 with [Fe/H] *<* −2.5 and an age ≤ 10.5 Gyr (this population is indicated by an arrow in the relative panel). Selecting this younger subsample of star particles, we find that they were born in the same dwarf galaxy $(M_{tot} =$ 4.89×10^{10} , $M_{\text{star}} = 1.95 \times 10^8$, and $M_{\text{gas}} = 5.46 \times 10^9$ M_o) that entered the virial radius ($R_{\text{virial}} = 174 \text{ kpc}$ for g7.08e11) at time *t* ∼ 5*.*84 Gyr after the big bang. This younger population of lowmetallicity star particles is not born during the first peak of star formation in this small galaxy (there are much older stars present in this system), but the older population has not been efficient in polluting the ISM to higher metallicity. Alternatively, an infall of new, chemically pristine gas has occurred between the star formation episodes.

3.5 Where do the most metal-poor stars come from?

An important goal of this paper is to answer the question of where the low-metallicity stars come from in order to distinguish between the three scenarios put forward in S19 and S20 (in short: later minor merging, came in with the early building block phase, or later *in situ* formation in a quiescent disc). To do so, the NIHAO-UHD simulations provide the birth position $(x_{\text{birth}}, y_{\text{birth}}, z_{\text{birth}})$ of the star particles, and, from each snapshot, we can track the position of the particles as a function of time $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$. With these quantities, it is possible to reconstruct the history of the most metal-poor stellar populations and connect the present kinematical properties to their counterparts at high redshift

Figure 7. Accretion times of the low-metallicity star particles. Top panels: accretion time versus age for low-metallicity ([Fe/H] ≤ −2.5) star particles within a Galactocentric radius of 50 kpc colour-coded by [Fe/H]. Bottom panels: the accretion time versus the age of the star particles as above, but now only for prograde planar star particles (displayed as blue rhombi) and retrograde planar particles (marked with a red dot). The horizontal dashed lines represent the time at which the main halo reached the 25 (lower line), 50 (middle line), and 90 per cent (upper line) of the total stellar mass. The region below the inclined dashed line is forbidden since star particles would have been accreted before their formation. Star particles that lie on the inclined line have a birth position below 50 kpc. Simulation g8.26e11 has been removed due to tracking problems.

From the previous analysis of the age–metallicity relation for the most metal-poor star particles (see Fig. 6), it becomes clear that the population of star particles with [Fe/H] ≤ -2.5 is also the oldest $(\geq 12 \text{ Gyr})$, with almost no younger contaminants (see Section 3.4) for the discussion on the youngest population in g7.08e11). For this reason, we select this low-metallicity population ($[Fe/H] < -2.5$) to better investigate when they were formed and accreted on to the main galaxy. We pay particular attention to the star particles that end up in prograde and retrograde planar orbits.

Fig. 7 shows the time at which the low-metallicity star particles first enter a sphere of radius 50 kpc centred on the main halo as a function of the age of the particle. In this figure, the overdensities of star particles with the same accretion time reflect the accretion of satellites. When these small galaxies merge with the MW-like galaxy, they often deposit stars with a range of stellar ages. Star particles accreted from less dense environments, such as from a filament or a stream from a disrupting satellite in the outskirts of the galaxy, appear as more sparse and uncorrelated events.

The percentages of low-metallicity star particles that enter the main halo (out to a radius of 50 kpc) at times t_{25} , t_{50} , and t_{90} are summarized in Fig. 8 and the fraction is reported in Table 3. In all simulations, a majority (between 54 and 72 per cent) of all lowmetallicity star particles are already brought in by *t*25. This percentage

is in line with the overall build-up of mass in their stellar haloes at these radii. The majority of these stars that are brought in by the early accretion events via the galactic building blocks (or are part of the main progenitor) of the proto-MW-like galaxy end up on halo orbits, consistent with the picture of a more metal-poor population that is distributed in a spheroid (e.g. El-Badry et al. 2018). However, as already demonstrated in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 and Fig. 3, a nonnegligible fraction of star particles are deposited with planar orbits and prograde motion.

Figs 7 and 8 and Table 3 provide a clear answer regarding the origin of this particular population. The majority of planar low-metallicity stars, both in prograde and retrograde orbits, are brought in at early times, with only a small role for the alternative scenario of later minor mergers. Some building blocks contribute star particles that end up in prograde motion as well as some that end up in retrograde orbits; this is especially true for merging events in the very chaotic early phases of the build-up of the proto-galaxies. In line with this scenario, Horta et al. (2020) detect an observational signature in the chemodynamical properties of the MW bulge, pointing out to an accretion event that happened during the building blocks phase. Because all VMP star particles in the simulations are born within \sim 4 Gyr (see Figs 6 and 7), before the formation of the stable, thin, and extended disc in these galaxies (see fig. 10 in Buck et al. 2020), we can rule out the hypothesis that this VMP population formed at later times in the disc itself.

However, there are also interesting differences between the galaxies in the populations of star particles that end up on retrograde and prograde planar orbits. For g7.55e11 and g6.96e11, ∼37 per cent of the present day prograde planar stars are already in place at t_{25} , whereas, for $q7.08e11$ and $q2.79e12$, this number is ∼62 and ∼90 per cent, respectively. This difference can be explained by a difference in the formation and accretion history. Simulated galaxies with a more extended merger/accretion history, such as g7.55e11 and g6.96e11, will homogeneously gain star particles with prograde planar and halo-like orbits across cosmic time compared to simulations, like g7.08e11 and g2.79e12, which have a very quiet accretion history after the first few Gyr. This is also in agreement with Gómez et al. (2017) , where they show with the Auriga simulations that later merger events can bring a significant percentage of old and metal-poor star particles with prograde planar motion into the stellar discs. A very similar result has been found by Scannapieco et al. (2011) looking at Λ CDM simulations, showing that late accretions can deposit their stars in a nearly co-planar orbits.

The population of retrograde planar stars, on the other hand, shows a more consistent picture among the simulations with different accretion histories. The majority of this population $(\gtrsim)90$ per cent of this final population) has been assembled at t_{25} in simulations g2.79e12, g7.55e11, and g7.08e11. For galaxy g6.96e11, this value is ∼74 per cent.

The picture that emerges here is that whereas retrograde planar low-metallicity stars are almost exclusively tracing a phase of very early build-up, their prograde counterparts are sampling more the full accretion history of the galaxy. This can be explained by the fact that prograde mergers experience the tidal forces of the main galaxy's gravitational potential well for a prolonged period (see e.g. Peñarrubia, Kroupa & Boily 2002; Abadi et al. 2003), meaning that later mergers have a much higher chance to sink deep into the potential well if they are prograde rather than retrograde. While retrograde mergers might still happen at later times as well, their higher relative impact speed results in a more violent tidal force, and their stars will typically be disrupted at much larger radii in the Galactic halo. Another reason for this might be given by a simple selection bias. The general suppression of late-time retrograde mergers results from the fact that we are looking at disc galaxies to start with. For example, Martin et al. (2018) showed that latetime retrograde mergers trigger stronger morphological changes compared to prograde mergers. Thus, by selecting galaxies with a strong stellar disc we might be biasing ourselves towards less retrograde late-time mergers.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We use the NIHAO-UHD cosmological zoom-in simulations, a suite of high-resolution simulated spiral galaxies, with the aim to explore the properties of the oldest and most metal-poor stars, such as their accretion time, their age, and the relation between their kinematical properties and the origin of the star particles. These properties are difficult to infer from observational data, and we use the cosmological simulations as a tool to interpret the observations. In particular, we detect in the NIHAO-UHD simulations the signature of a lowmetallicity population that spatially and kinematically resides in the disc. Such ensemble is composed of prograde and retrograde star particles, as also observationally detected by S19, S20, and Di Matteo et al. (2020). As in the observations, all the simulated

We find that the presence of the low-metallicity star particles that kinematically inhabit the disc is explained by two scenarios. The first, dominating, scenario is that during the first few Gyr, the protogalaxy is undergoing a violent process of assembling, during which the building blocks (and the main MW progenitor) of stellar mass of 10^5 – 10^9 M_{\odot} are merging together. During this phase, the protogalaxy and, therefore, the proto-disc are still assembling and the gravitational potential well is much shallower than at the present day. Therefore, the merging building blocks, often with a size comparable to the main MW progenitor (see e.g. Fig. 4), can deposit their star particles in the inner part of the main halo, either in prograde or retrograde planar orbits.

The second scenario is linked to later merger events. As the protogalaxy grows in mass and the disc forms, later accretions bring in more prograde planar star particles, but fewer star particles on retrograde planar orbits. This may result from the fact that satellites on prograde orbits tend to sink on to the plane before disrupting, whereas retrograde orbits less so. When those galaxies finally disrupt, they deposit their star particles on prograde planar orbits. Late time retrograde mergers, on the other hand, increase the relative impact speed of merging dwarf and main galaxy leading to more severe tidal forces that violently disrupt the dwarf and deposit star particles on more eccentric halo-like orbits.

A third possible scenario, the formation of the low-metallicity stars in the disc, has to be ruled out in these simulations. The VMP star particles formed within ∼ 4 Gyr, when the proto-galaxy is still assembling. The formation of the disc and the settling of the ISM happens after these low-metallicity star particles were already born, either in the building blocks, or in the satellites that will later be accreted.

There is ample evidence for (massive) merger events in the early MW (e.g. Belokurov et al. 2018; Helmi et al. 2018; Koppelman, Helmi & Veljanoski 2018; Barbá et al. 2019; Koppelman et al. 2019; Myeong et al. 2019; Bonaca et al. 2020). The properties of the VMP stars cannot be viewed in isolation from these events as, for instance, evidenced by how well they trace the spatial and kinematical structure of the thick disc in all simulations, and they can help to constrain this picture further. Additionally, we find that, independently of the exact formation history of the galaxy, the vast majority of the star particles on retrograde planar orbits has been deposited there at very early times; therefore, this population might provide a unique opportunity to investigate the very early merging phase of the MW.

In conclusion, the simulated MW-like galaxies in the NIHAO-UHD suite confirm that the low-metallicity stars are an ideal probe of the galaxy formation during the infant Universe, and therefore, Galactic Palaeontology (or Archaeology) surveys should hunt for the most metal-poor stars, not only in the spheroidal components of the MW, but also in its disc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Amina Helmi for insightful discussions that helped to improve this paper. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for their comments that undoubtedly helped in the improvement of this work. FS thanks the Initiative dExcellence IdEx from the $\langle 0:$ funding-source 3: href="http:

Figure 8. Fraction of the halo, prograde planar, and retrograde planar stellar population in the main halo out to a radius of 50 kpc as a function of time, relative to the distribution at the present time. From the first to the third panel: the percentage of the halo, the prograde planar, and the retrograde planar populations calculated at the time t_{25} , t_{50} , and t_{90} , respectively. Right-hand panel: ratio $N_{\text{pro}}/N_{\text{retro}}$ between the number of the prograde and retrograde planar star particles calculated at the time *t*25, *t*50, and *t*90. The legend is the same for all the panels.

	Accreted at $t \leq t_{25}$	Accreted at $t < t_{50}$	Accreted at $t < t_{90}$ All Halo Pro Retro
Simulation	All Halo Pro Retro $N_{\text{pro}}/N_{\text{retro}}$	All Halo Pro Retro $N_{\text{pro}}/N_{\text{retro}}$	$N_{\rm pro}/N_{\rm retro}$
q2.79e12	0.72 0.66 0.90 0.90 6.25	0.76 0.70 0.92 0.92 6.25	0.84 0.81 0.96 0.95 6.25
q7.55e11	0.67 0.69 0.38 0.97 1.54	0.68 0.71 0.38 0.99 1.52	0.94 0.92 0.97 0.99 3.85
q7.08e11	0.71 0.68 0.62 0.91 3.57	0.89 0.86 0.94 0.98 5.00	$0.90 \mid 0.87 \mid 0.95 \mid 0.98 \mid 5.00$
q6.96e11	0.54 0.55 0.37 0.74 2.44	0.56 0.58 0.38 0.75 2.50	$0.92 \mid 0.90 \mid 0.96 \mid 0.93 \mid 5.00$

Table 3. Fraction of low-metallicity star particles ($[Fe/H] \le -2.5$) in the main halo as a function of time.

Notes. The fractions are reported for all the sample, the halo low-metallicity population, the prograde planar, and the retrograde planar population brought in by the building blocks and merger events at the time *t*25, *t*50, and *t*90. At each time, the ratio between the prograde and the retrograde planar population, *N*pro/*N*retro is also reported. *t*25, *t*50, and *t*⁹⁰ are defined as the time when the main halo has assembled the 25, 50, and 90 per cent of the present stellar mass.

//dx.doi.org/10.13039/501100003768")University of Strasbourg (/0: funding-source) and the Programme Doctoral International PDI for funding his PhD. This work has been published under the framework of the IdEx Unistra and benefits from a funding from the state managed by the French National Research Agency as part of the investments for the future program. FS acknowledges the support and funding of the Erasmus+ programme of the European Union. TB acknowledges support by the European Research Council under ERC-CoG grant CRAGSMAN-646955. TB gratefully acknowledges [the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing e.V. \(https://www.gauss-ce](https://www.gauss-centre.eu) ntre.eu) for funding this project by providing computing time on the GCS Supercomputer SuperMUC at Leibniz Supercomputing Centre [\(https://www.lrz.de\)](https://www.lrz.de). This research was carried out on the High Performance Computing resources at New York University Abu Dhabi; Simulations have been performed on the ISAAC cluster of the Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie at the Rechenzentrum in Garching and the DRACO cluster at the Rechenzentrum in Garching. We greatly appreciate the contributions of all these computing allocations. FS and NFM gratefully acknowledge support from the French National Research Agency (ANR)-funded project 'Pristine' (ANR-18-CE31-0017) along with funding from CNRS/INSU through the Programme National Galaxies et Cosmologie and through the CNRS grant PICS07708. ES gratefully acknowledge funding by the Emmy Noether program from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

(DFG). AO is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – MO 2979/1-1. The authors acknowledge the support and funding of the International Space Science Institute (ISSI) for the international team 'Pristine'. This research made use of the following PYTHON packages: PYNBODY AND TANGOS (Pontzen et al. 2013; Pontzen & Tremmel 2018), MATPLOTLIB (Hunter 2007), SCIPY (Jones et al. 01), NUMPY (Walt, Colbert & Varoquaux 2011), IPYTHON, and JUPYTER (Pérez & Granger 2007; Kluyver et al. 2016).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this paper will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Abadi M. G., Navarro J. F., Steinmetz M., Eke V. R., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/378316) 597, 21
- Aguado D. S. et al., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2643) 490, 2241
- Barbá R. H., Minniti D., Geisler D., Alonso-García J., Hempel M., Monachesi A., Arias J. I., Gómez F. A., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaf811) 870, L24
- Belokurov V., Erkal D., Evans N. W., Koposov S. E., Deason A. J., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty982) 478, 611
- Bonaca A. et al., 2020, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab9caa) 897, L18
- Brook C. B., Kawata D., Scannapieco E., Martel H., Gibson B. K., 2007, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/511514) 661, 10
- Buck T., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3289) 491, 5435
- Buck T., Ness M. K., Macciò A. V., Obreja A., Dutton A. A., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac890) 861, 88
- Buck T., Macciò A. V., Dutton A. A., Obreja A., Frings J., 2019a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2913) 483, 1314
- Buck T., Dutton A. A., Macciò A. V., 2019b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz969) 486, 1481
- Buck T., Ness M., Obreja A., Macciò A. V., Dutton A. A., 2019c, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaffd0) 874, 67
- Buck T., Obreja A., Maccio A. V., Minchev I., Dutton A. A., Ostriker J. P., ` 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3241) 491, 3461
- Cui X.-Q. et al., 2012, [Res. Astron. Astrophys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/12/9/003) 12, 1197
- Deason A. J., Belokurov V., Evans N. W., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17785.x) 411, 1480
- Di Matteo P., Spite M., Haywood M., Bonifacio P., Gómez A., Spite F., Caffau E., 2020, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937016) 636, A115
- El-Badry K. et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1864) 480, 652
- Frebel A., Norris J. E., 2015, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122423) 53, 631
- Freeman K., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2002, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093840) 40, 487
- Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629272) 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration et al., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833051) 616, A1
- Gao L., Theuns T., Frenk C. S., Jenkins A., Helly J. C., Navarro J., Springel V., White S. D. M., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16225.x) 403, 1283
- Gómez F. A. et al., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2149) 472, 3722
- Griffen B. F., Dooley G. A., Ji A. P., O'Shea B. W., Gómez F. A., Frebel A., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2749) 474, 443
- Helmi A., 2020, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-astro-032620-021917) 58, 205
- Helmi A., Babusiaux C., Koppelman H. H., Massari D., Veljanoski J., Brown A. G. A., 2018, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0625-x) 563, 85
- Hilmi T. et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1934) 497, 933
- Horta D. et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/mnras/staa2987) 500, 1385
- Hunter J. D., 2007, [Comput. Sci. Eng.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55) 9, 90
- Ishiyama T., Sudo K., Yokoi S., Hasegawa K., Tominaga N., Susa H., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/9) 826, 9
- Jablonka P. et al., 2015, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525661) 583, A67
- Jones E. et al., 2001, SciPy: Open Source Scientific Tools for Python
- Karlsson T., Bromm V., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2013, [Rev. Mod. Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.809) 85, 809 Kluyver T. et al., 2016, Positioning and Power in Academic Publishing:
- Players, Agents and Agendas Koppelman H., Helmi A., Veljanoski J., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aac882) 860, L11
- Koppelman H. H., Helmi A., Massari D., Price-Whelan A. M., Starkenburg T. K., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936738) 631, L9
- Li H., Tan K., Zhao G., 2018, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aada4a) 238, 16
- Martin G., Kaviraj S., Devriendt J. E. G., Dubois Y., Pichon C., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1936) 480, 2266
- Meza A., Navarro J. F., Abadi M. G., Steinmetz M., 2005, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08869.x) 359, 93
- Monty S., Venn K. A., Lane J. M. M., Lokhorst D., Yong D., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1995) 497, 1236
- Myeong G. C., Vasiliev E., Iorio G., Evans N. W., Belokurov V., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1770) 488, 1235
- Navarro J. F., Abadi M. G., Venn K. A., Freeman K. C., Anguiano B., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17975.x) 412, 1203
- Peñarrubia J., Kroupa P., Boily C. M., 2002, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05414.x) 333, 779
- Pérez F., Granger B. E., 2007, [Comput. Sci. Eng.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.53) 9, 21
- Planck Collaboration et al., 2014, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321591) 571, A16
- Pontzen A., Tremmel M., 2018, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3847/1538-4365/aac832) 237, 23
- Pontzen A., Roškar R., Stinson G. S., Woods R., Reed D. M., Coles J., Quinn T. R., 2013, pynbody: Astrophysics Simulation Analysis for Python
- Raiteri C. M., Villata M., Navarro J. F., 1996, A&A, 315, 105
- Recio-Blanco A., Fernández Alvar E., de Laverny P., Antoja T., Helmi A., Crida A., 2020, A&A, preprint [\(arXiv:2007.08313\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08313)
- Salvadori S., Ferrara A., Schneider R., Scannapieco E., Kawata D., 2010, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2009.00772.x) 401, L5
- Scannapieco C., White S. D. M., Springel V., Tissera P. B., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19027.x) 417, 154
- Sestito F. et al., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz043) 484, 2166 (S19)
- Sestito F. et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slaa022) 497, L7 (S20)
- Starkenburg E., Oman K. A., Navarro J. F., Crain R. A., Fattahi A., Frenk C. S., Sawala T., Schaye J., 2017a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2873) 465, 2212
- Starkenburg E. et al., 2017b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1068) 471, 2587
- Stinson G., Seth A., Katz N., Wadsley J., Governato F., Quinn T., 2006, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.11097.x) 373, 1074
- Stinson G. S., Brook C., Maccio A. V., Wadsley J., Quinn T. R., Couchman ` H. M. P., 2013, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sts028) 428, 129
- Thielemann F. K., Nomoto K., Yokoi K., 1986, A&A, 158, 17
- Tian H., Liu C., Xu Y., Xue X., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf6e8) 871, 184
- Tian H., Liu C., Wang Y., Xu Y., Yang C., Zhang B., Xue X.-X., 2020, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba1ec) 899, 110
- Tumlinson J., 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/708/2/1398) 708, 1398
- Vasiliev E., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2672) 482, 1525
- Venn K. A. et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3546) 492, 3241
- Venn K. A., Irwin M., Shetrone M. D., Tout C. A., Hill V., Tolstoy E., 2004, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/422734) 128, 1177
- Wadsley J. W., Keller B. W., Quinn T. R., 2017, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1643) 471, 2357
- Walt S. v. d., Colbert S. C., Varoquaux G., 2011, [Comput. Sci. Eng.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2011.37) 13, 22
- Wang L., Dutton A. A., Stinson G. S., Maccio A. V., Penzo C., Kang X., ` Keller B. W., Wadsley J., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1937) 454, 83
- White S. D. M., Springel V., 2000, in Weis A., Abel T. G., Hill V., eds, The First Stars. Springer-Verlag, New York
- Woosley S. E., Weaver T. A., 1995, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/192237) 101, 181

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.