

Measuring the Sun's motion with stellar streams Khyati Malhan, Rodrigo A Ibata

▶ To cite this version:

Khyati Malhan, Rodrigo A Ibata. Measuring the Sun's motion with stellar streams. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2017, 471 (1), pp.1005-1011. 10.1093/mnras/stx1618 . hal-03153292

HAL Id: hal-03153292 https://hal.science/hal-03153292v1

Submitted on 27 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Measuring the Sun's motion with stellar streams

Khyati Malhan^{*} and Rodrigo A. Ibata

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg, UMR 7550, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

Accepted 2017 June 23. Received 2017 June 23; in original form 2017 March 13

ABSTRACT

We present a method for measuring the Sun's motion using the proper motions of Galactic halo star streams. The method relies on the fact that the motion of the stars perpendicular to a stream from a low-mass progenitor is close to zero when viewed from a non-rotating frame at rest with respect to the Galaxy, and that the deviation from zero is due to the reflex motion of the observer. The procedure we implement here has the advantage of being independent of the Galactic mass distribution. We run a suite of simulations to test the algorithm we have developed, and find that we can recover the input solar motion to good accuracy with data of the quality that will soon become available from the ESA/*Gaia* mission.

Key words: Sun: fundamental parameters – stars: kinematics and dynamics – Galaxy: fundamental parameters – Galaxy: halo – Galaxy: structure.

1 INTRODUCTION

In physics, it is always of fundamental importance to know the properties of the frame from which measurements are being made. Observations of astrophysical or cosmological systems, using satellites or ground-based telescopes, can be corrected into the Heliocentric frame with ease. However, knowledge of the Sun's Galactic velocity V_{\odot} is required to transform any observed Heliocentric velocity into the Galactic frame. This is necessary, for instance, for scientific interpretation when studying Galactic dynamics or for correcting the motion of many extragalactic systems (see e.g. Salomon et al. 2016). Moreover, the related circular velocity at the solar radius ($v_{circ} \odot \equiv v_{circ}(R_{\odot})$) also serves as a crucial constraint on the mass models of the Milky Way (e.g. Dehnen & Binney 1998a). Therefore, the determination of V_{\odot} is a crucial task of Galactic astronomy.

It is important to realize that the Sun's Galactic velocity V_{\odot} needs to be measured with respect to some other reference or tracer. A conceptually straightforward way to measure V_{\odot} is to determine the Sun's motion with respect to a presumed motionless object with respect to the Galaxy. Such measurements are derived from the observed proper motion of Sgr A^* (Reid & Brunthaler 2004). But such an approach requires an accurate measurement of R_{\odot} and a critical assessment of measurements coming from the dense region at the Galactic Centre, with its complex dynamical mix of gas, dust, stars and central black hole.

Alternatively, analyses can be based on local tracers, where one assumes that the solar motion can be decomposed into a circular motion of the local standard of rest (LSR) plus the so-called peculiar motion of the Sun with respect to the LSR: $V_{\odot} = V_{circ\odot} + V_{p\odot}$. Such a study was presented by Dehnen & Binney (1998b), who applied the Strömberg relation (Strömberg 1946) in their method

to a sample of ~15 000 main-sequence stars from the Hipparcos catalogue. They determined the peculiar velocity to be $V_{p\odot} = (10.0 \pm 0.36, 5.25 \pm 0.62, 7.17 \pm 0.38) \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (in the conventional U, V, W directions, respectively). However, Schönrich, Binney & Dehnen (2010) caution against this employment of Strömberg's Relation and illustrate, using their chemodynamical model of the Galaxy, that the metallicity gradient of the disc population causes a systematic shift in the estimation of the kinematics of the Sun. They describe an alternative method to determine the Sun's velocity with respect to the LSR from the velocity offset that optimizes their model fit to the observed velocity distribution. Using their chemodynamical evolution model of the Galaxy, described in Schönrich & Binney (2009), they find the Sun's peculiar motion to be $V_{p\odot} = (11.1^{+0.69}_{0.75}, 12.24^{+0.47}_{0.47}, 7.25^{+0.37}_{0.36} \text{ km s}^{-1})$ and estimate roughly the systematic uncertainties as $(1.0, 2.0, 0.5) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. However, their approach has the disadvantage being based on an extensive modelling of the Milky Way, and hence of being sensitive to the adopted approximations in dynamics and chemistry.

Once the Sun's peculiar velocity is known, one still needs to add the velocity of the LSR to obtain the Sun's velocity with respect to the Galaxy. It is interesting in this context to examine what it is currently possible to measure with respect to nearby tracers. In a recent contribution, Bobylev (2013) determined the solar Galactocentric distance R_{\odot} and Galactic rotational velocity $v_{circ\odot}$, as modified by Sofue et al. (2011), using data of star-forming regions and young Cepheids near the solar circle. Based on a sample of 14 long-period Cepheids with Hipparcos proper motions they obtained $R_{\odot} = 7.66 \pm 0.36 \,\text{kpc}$ and $v_{\text{circ}\odot} = 267 \pm 17 \,\text{km s}^{-1}$. However, with a sample of 18 Cepheids with UCAC4 proper motions (among which two were taken from Hipparcos) they found $R_{\odot} = 7.64 \pm 0.32 \,\text{kpc}$ and $v_{\text{circ}\odot} = 217 \pm 11 \,\text{km s}^{-1}$. The difference in the derived v_{circ} values highlights the difficulty of such measurements, and their sensitivity to the adopted tracers and data. Masers located in regions of massive star formation have

^{*} E-mail: kmalhan07@gmail.com

also yielded estimates of the LSR motion ($254 \pm 16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Reid et al. 2009; $236 \pm 11 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, Bovy, Hogg & Rix 2009), though these results are derived from a small number of sources (18) and require knowledge of the velocity lag of the masers with respect to circular motions (Rygl et al. 2010).

Here, we will examine the power that streams hold to constrain the solar velocity with respect to the Galaxy. A growing number of stellar streams have been detected in recent years from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey and Pan-STARRS (Odenkirchen et al. 2001; Grillmair & Dionatos 2006; Bernard et al. 2014; Bernard et al. 2016). The most recent contributions come from the AT-LAS survey and surveys with CTIO/DECam (the Atlas stream in Koposov et al. 2014, and the Eridanus and Palomar 15 streams in Myeong et al. 2017). The kinematics of these structures will soon be revealed in the second data release of the *Gaia* mission survey (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016). *Gaia* would possibly also uncover many new low-contrast star streams that are currently below detection limits in star-count surveys.

The key insight about streams that we exploit here is that stream stars move approximately along their orbits, not perpendicular to them. That is, the velocity vector of a stream star in the Galaxy's rest frame must be a tangent vector to the orbit of this extended structure at that stellar position. Thus, if we measure any motion perpendicular to the orbital path of the stream at this position, we must reconcile it with the apparent (reflex) motion that emerges due to the motion of the observer's frame (from the Sun). Hence, by measuring this perpendicular motion vector for the stars in the streams, we can constrain the Sun's velocity in the Galaxy. This is not an entirely new insight. Several studies made in the past that have examined the kinematics of stellar streams have had to include (implicitly or explicitly) the solar motion or the circular velocity of the LSR as a nuisance parameter to fit the stream in kinematics space (Ibata et al. 2001; Koposov, Rix & Hogg 2010; Küpper et al. 2015; Bovy et al. 2016). Although, Dehnen et al. (2004) comment on the fact that in their modelling of the tidal dissolution of the Palomar 5 globular cluster, the ensuing stream actually deviates slightly from the path of the orbit (rather than not at all, as would be naively expected). Such an offset of the stream structure from the underlying orbit could, in principle, create a bias in the solar velocity measurements using the method proposed in this contribution. However, we show that by analysing multiple streams on different orbits the bias is largely eliminated.

A similar, but less general version of the idea presented here, was explored in Majewski et al. (2006). They suggest measuring the Sun's reflex motion using the Sagittarius (Sgr) stream (Ibata et al. 2001; Majewski et al. 2003), making use of the fact that the orbital plane of the Sgr stream is polar and that the Sun lies close to this plane. Thus, the V motions of the stars in the Sgr stream are almost entirely due to the solar reflex motion. Since the method requires fitting the Sgr stream to spatial and velocity data to predict its 6D phase-space configuration, it relies heavily on the shape of the Galactic potential, which also involves the value of the Galactocentric radius of the Sun (R_{\odot}) . Moreover, the method only constrains the V component of the Sun's motion. They estimate being able to recover the solar velocity to within $10 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ (using data of the quality that was expected from NASA's former Space Interferometry Mission project, Unwin et al. 2008, which aimed to measure trigonometric parallaxes to an accuracy of 4 µas).

In contrast to these previous studies, here we do not attempt to present physical models of one or more of the Galaxy's stellar streams, but rather, we develop an algorithm that is based entirely on simple geometry, and that can be applied to a sample of streams. The



Figure 1. Vector diagram. Red dots represent the positions at successive (equal interval) time-steps along a tiny segment of an orbit. v_d is the vector that measures the path along the orbit. The proper motion vector $v_{o\mu}$ that gets measured in observations should lie along v_d in a non-rotating frame in the Galaxy. But due to the reflex motion of the Sun, the perpendicular vector $v_{r\perp}$ emerges, causing the deviation of $v_{o\mu}$ from vector v_d .

new alternative method to measure the Sun's motion that we present in this paper is not correlated with the value of R_{\odot} , it saves us from having to analyse observations of densely populated regions in the centre of the Galaxy, it requires only 5D phase-space information about stream stars (radial velocity constraints are not needed) and does not invoke the need to model the gravitational potential of the Milky Way or the stellar populations of the disc.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the method employed in our study. Section 3 presents our methodology to measure the Sun's velocity using (Section 3.1) perfect orbits and (Section 3.2) *N*-body tidal stellar stream models, demonstrating the success of the method. Section 3.3 discusses the deviation of Sun's velocity from its true value given by a systematic bias in distance measurements of stream stars. Finally, in Section 4, we present the conclusion of this study.

2 METHOD

Our approach makes use of the assumption that for a thin stream (originating from a low mass progenitor) all the stars lie close to a single test-particle orbit (see e.g. Dehnen et al. 2004). In general, the stars in a tidal stream have different energies, but the approximation that stream stars trace the same orbit is admissible for thin streams from low-mass progenitors.

Consider a small segment of an orbit on the Galactic sky (as shown in Fig. 1). The red points represent the positions of the stars (members of some stream) along their orbital structure. These points can also be viewed as different time positions for a given orbit. We define a tangent vector v_d , which locally gives the direction of motion of the star's orbit on this 2D Galactic sky. This vector is generated by connecting position at time '1' to position at time '2', along the direction of motion of the orbit. Vector v_d is then given by

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{d}} = \cos(b_1)(\ell_2 - \ell_1)\boldsymbol{\hat{\ell}} + (b_2 - b_1)\boldsymbol{\hat{b}}, \qquad (1)$$

where \hat{l} is the unit Galactic longitude vector and \hat{b} is the unit Galactic latitude vector.

1007

Our assumption that stellar streams follow the orbit of the constituent stars means that the path depicted in Fig. 1 can be recovered from the position of the stream on the sky, so that the two time intervals '1' and '2' along the path can be equivalently thought of as two stars '1' and '2' along the orbit.

With this assumption, in the Galaxy's non-rotating frame, the observed proper motion vector of star '1' (see Fig. 1) should align along the vector v_d , since '1' must practically trace out the orbit of the succeeding star '2' (by the definition of an orbit). But due to the motion of the observer's frame in the Galaxy, the observed proper motion vector is different in direction and magnitude. We define this observed proper motion vector $v_{o\mu}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{0\mu} = \mu_{l_1} \cos(b_1) \boldsymbol{\hat{\ell}} + \mu_{b_1} \boldsymbol{\hat{b}} \,. \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the perpendicular component (which we call $v_{r\perp}$) of vector $v_{o\mu}$ to v_d emerges totally due to the reflex motion of the Sun as seen at position '1' and is given by

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{r}\perp} = (|\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathrm{o}\mu}|\,\sin\theta)\,\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\mathrm{r}\perp},\tag{3}$$

where θ is the angle between v_d and $v_{o\mu}$, and $\hat{v}_{r\perp}$ is the unit vector normal to v_d .

However, even for a simple orbit, the precession of the orbital plane in the Galaxy will also contribute to the vector $v_{r\perp}$. We can estimate approximately the contribution of precession to $v_{r\perp}$, using the analytic approximation of Steiman-Cameron & Durisen (1990). Their formula is valid for a very simple case assuming a circular orbit evolving in a spheroidal potential in which the reference frame is not tumbling. The precession rate is then

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm p} = -\frac{3\Phi_2(r)}{2r \, v_{\rm circ\odot}} \cos i, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\Phi_2(r) = \frac{v_{\rm circ}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1 - q_{\phi}^2}{q_{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \,. \tag{5}$$

Here, Ω_p is the longitude of the ascending node of an orbit, *i* is the inclination of the orbital plane, $\Phi_2(r)$ is one of the components of the expansion of the scale-free logarithmic potential function $\Phi(r)$ and q_{ϕ} is the (spheroidal) flattening of the potential. Taking an orbit at a typical radius of r = 30 kpc in a potential with density flattening of $q_{\rho} = 0.8$ and with circular velocity of $v_{circ\odot} = 200$ km s⁻¹, yields $| \dot{\Omega}_p | = 0.11 \cos i \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$. The component along the vector $\mathbf{v}_{r\perp}$ then becomes $\mathbf{v}_{r\perp}' = 0.055 \cos(2i - 90)$ mas yr⁻¹, i.e. with a maximum at $i = 45^{\circ}$ of $| \dot{\Omega}_p | = 0.055$ mas yr⁻¹. This corresponds to a maximum of 4 per cent of the proper motion of the corresponding circular orbit. This estimate shows that the effect of precession should be relatively small. Note also that if we consider multiple streams that are on different orbits, then they will also have different orbital plane inclinations *i*. Hence, the precession corrections will tend to cancel out on average.

The procedure we follow is to sample different values of the Sun's 3D velocity $V_{\odot} = (u_{\odot}, v_{\odot}, w_{\odot})$ using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm. The apparent stream motion is calculated as the reflex motion vector $v_{r\perp}$ and is compared against $v_{r\perp}$ obtained from data. The figure of merit we adopt is the likelihood of the data, given the stream model. In Section 3.1, we investigate first the results given a set of perfect orbit streams, while in Section 3.2, we will take the more realistic case of an *N*-body stream due to tidally disrupted satellites.

For these calculations, we will make use of the realistic Galactic potential model of Dehnen & Binney (1998a) (their model 1), which contains a bulge, thin disc, thick disc, interstellar medium and a halo

component. We stress that this potential model is only used to set up the artificial stream realizations, and is in no way used to deduce the solar velocity vector. The method we present here is independent of any models of the Galactic potential.

3 ESTIMATION OF THE SUN'S VELOCITY USING STREAM MODELS

3.1 Employing perfect orbit models

In order to be completely assured of our method, we first demonstrate a proof of concept, using perfect orbit models (which, in principle, can be considered as an ideal stream case). Since orbits are infinitely thin curves, the Sun's velocity V_{\odot} should be perfectly recovered to within the biasses created by the orbital precession.

To achieve this, we selected three 6D phase-space positions drawn randomly to give the orbits' initial conditions. Each of these initial conditions was then integrated for T = 0.06 Gyr in the Galactic potential model described above to form an orbit (the value of T was chosen, somewhat arbitrarily, just so that the orbits appear long enough to mimic observed streams found in the SDSS). Since we need to constrain three components of the Sun's velocity, we either need a single stream that probes different regions of the sky in such a way that each component of the reflex motion dominates, or we need a minimum collection of three stream segments that again explore the sky so that the corresponding reflex motion components are significant. The latter possibility is considered here, since all low-mass globular cluster streams currently known are approximately greatcircle segments, at most a few tens of degrees long. Once integrated, the complete phase-space information of these three orbits was then transformed from the Galactocentric Cartesian frame to a Heliocentric (observable) frame using the Sun's parameters (that we refer to as the *true* parameters) as $(\mathbf{R}_{\odot}, \mathbf{V}_{\odot}) = (\mathbf{R}_{\odot, \mathrm{T}}, u_{\odot, \mathrm{T}}, v_{\odot, \mathrm{T}}, w_{\odot, \mathrm{T}})$ = $(8.34 \text{ kpc}, 9.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 255.20 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 7.0 \text{ km s}^{-1})$. However, only 5D information was retained in the form of $(\ell, b, d_{\odot}, \mu_{\ell}, \mu_{b})$. The resulting spatial projection of the randomly-chosen orbits is shown in Fig. 2.

An MCMC algorithm is used to survey the parameter space of solar velocity components $(u_{\odot}, v_{\odot}, w_{\odot})$, where the model likelihood is taken to be

$$L[u_{\odot}, v_{\odot}, w_{\odot}] = \sum_{\text{Data}} -\ln(\sigma_{\ell} \sigma_{b}) - \left(\frac{\mu_{\perp,\ell}^{\text{data}} - \mu_{\perp,\ell}^{\text{model}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\ell}}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{\mu_{\perp,b}^{\text{data}} - \mu_{\perp,b}^{\text{model}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{b}}\right)^{2},$$
(6)

where $\mu_{\perp,\ell}^{\text{data}}$ and $\mu_{\perp,b}^{\text{data}}$ are the observed ℓ , *b* components of $\mathbf{v}_{r\perp}$, and $\mu_{\perp,\ell}^{\text{model}}$ and $\mu_{\perp,b}^{\text{model}}$ are the corresponding model predictions. In Section 3.2, σ_l and σ_b will represent proper motion uncertainties of the stream stars in, respectively, the Galactic longitude and latitude directions. However, for the perfect orbit model tests, we allow the MCMC algorithm to fit a global value for these two dispersion parameters (in this situation, they can be considered as model mismatch errors).

Fig. 3 shows the resulting distribution of solar velocity components explored by the MCMC algorithm in 1.5×10^6 iterations in the form of a triangular correlation diagram. The most likely values are found to be $(u_{\odot}, v_{\odot}, w_{\odot}) = (9.03, 255.26, 7.001)$ km s⁻¹, which shifts the measured values from the true values by $(u_{\odot} - u_{\odot,T}, v_{\odot} - v_{\odot,T}, w_{\odot} - w_{\odot,T}) = (0.03, 0.06, 0.001)$ km s⁻¹, and the corresponding uncertainties $(\sigma_u, \sigma_v, \sigma_w) = (0.11, 0.68, 0.13)$ km s⁻¹. Thus, the results from this idealized example



Figure 2. Sky view of the perfect orbits. (a) shows the path of the orbits on the Galactic sky and (b) represents the Heliocentric distances that these orbits span. The orbits were integrated in the Galactic potential model 1 of Dehnen & Binney (1998a). The zoomed-in panel in (a) represents a small segment of the orbit detailing the geometry of our procedure. It is the vector $v_{r\perp}$ that our model is compared against.

clearly establish the proof of concept. We next test if the method works on more physical stellar stream systems and if these could actually be used to constrain the solar motion in the Galaxy.

3.2 Employing N-body simulated stream models

In reality, star streams form from the tidal disruption and dissolution of satellites. The escaping stars need to be lifted out of the potential well of their progenitor, and in so doing, they end up with different energies (and hence on different orbits) than their progenitor. Thus to obtain a more realistic description of streams, we decided to produce a set of *N*-body models in the Dehnen & Binney (1998a) Galactic potential model 1. For this, we used the GyrafalcON *N*-body integrator (Dehnen 2000) from the NEMO software package (Teuben 1995).

The initial phase-space distribution of the progenitors of the streams was selected as follows. The initial position of each satellite was drawn at a random direction as seen from the Galactic Center, and with a uniform probability of lying in the Galactocentric distance range of 10-30 kpc. The mean velocity of each satellite was selected randomly from an isotropic Gaussian distribution with (1D) dispersion of 100 km s^{-1} (Harris 1976; van den Bosch et al. 1999).



Figure 3. Correlation function plot for the perfect orbit test. The panels represent the probability distribution function and parameter–parameter correlations of the Sun's velocity components obtained through the application of the MCMC algorithm. The blue lines represent the true input values of the Sun's velocity.

At these phase-space positions, each progenitor was constructed using a King model (King 1966). The mass, tidal radius and ratio between central potential and velocity dispersion were sampled uniformly between the ranges $M_{\text{sat}} = 2-5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, $r_t = 20-80 \text{ pc}$ and $W_{\text{sat}} = 2-4$.

Somewhat arbitrarily, we chose to model a set of 22 streams. At present, ~9 low-mass streams of probable globular cluster progenitors are known within approximately one-fourth of the sky in the North Galactic SDSS footprint: Acheron, Lethe, Cocytos, Styx, Hermus, Hyllus, Palomar 5, NGC 5466 and GD-1 (see e.g. Grillmair 2016). An additional six narrow streams (Ophiuchus, PS1-A,PS1-B, PS1-C, PS1-D and PS1-E) were discovered in the approximately three-fourth of the sky covered by the Pan-STARRS survey (Bernard et al. 2014; Bernard et al. 2016). We expect several more to come to light thanks to the *Gaia* survey, which will cover the full sky and allow for de-contamination of foreground populations by proper motion. Hence, a choice of ~20 systems for our sample of streams is a conservative estimate of what should be well measured by *Gaia* within a few years.

Once the progenitors were initialized in phase space, they were then evolved independently over a time period between 2–8 Gyr in the same Galactic mass model mentioned above. During this period of time, most of the progenitors were tidally disrupted, giving rise to streams. Those that did not disrupt were re-sampled and evolved. The simulated streams were then transformed from the Galactocentric to the Heliocentric frame (using the *true* parameter set for the Sun) and again only the 5D phase-space information was preserved. Fig. 4(a) represents the Galactic sky structure of these tidal stream models. While we of course do not as yet know the stream discoveries that will be made with the Gaia DR2 catalogue, the distribution shown in Fig. 4 does not appear to be implausible.

To make a fair comparison, the stream models were degraded with realistic uncertainties.



Figure 4. Sky view of the *N*-body tidal stellar streams. The left-hand panels show the positions in Galactic sky (a) and Heliocentric distance (c) that the simulated streams span. The right panels display the same information once the errors in proper motion and distance are applied (consistent with *Gaia* and CFIS survey uncertainties). The red points are the mock stellar points and the blue curves are the fitted curves to these data points. On average, we keep 230 stellar data points per stream.

(i) Uncertainty in observed proper motions: We introduced endof-mission Gaia uncertainties for the proper motions into the simulated data. The Gaia errors depend upon the colour and magnitude of the stars. For this, we needed to assign magnitudes to the mock data points. This was implemented using the Padova stellar population models (Marigo et al. 2008). A star in the mock stream was selected and its absolute magnitude (M_g) was drawn in the g band from the isochrone metallicity [Fe/H] = -1.5 and age 10 Gyr, appropriate for a halo globular cluster. Using perfect distance information of this stellar point and the absolute magnitude, an apparent magnitude was assigned to every star. Using the colour transformations detailed in Jordi et al. (2010), we converted the magnitude to the Gaia G band, and limited these to G = 20.5. Once the magnitude value was assigned, the uncertainty in proper motion (μ_{ℓ}, μ_{b}) was generated using the 'End-of-Mission Sky Average Astrometric Performance Chart'.1 We also assumed a minimum stream velocity dispersion of 5 km s⁻¹, which is converted into proper motion and added in quadrature to the observational uncertainties.

(ii) Uncertainty in distance measurements: We also introduce a 10 per cent uncertainty error in the heliocentric distance (d_{\odot}) measurements to the model stream stars. The motivation for this is that although *Gaia* parallaxes will be excellent for bright nearby stars, the majority of Galactic halo tracers will lie near *Gaia's* faint detection limit, with no geometric parallax information. However, photometric parallaxes will be measurable for such stars, using, for instance, the metallicity-magnitude-distance calibration of Ivezić et al. (2008), which is applicable to main-sequence stars. Ivezić et al. (2008) show that 5 per cent distance uncertainties are achievable with this method with good photometry; our 10 per cent uncertainty value is chosen to be a plausible average value. The necessary photometry (in particular, the *u* band) is currently being obtained in the Northern hemisphere as part of the Canada–France Imaging Survey,² and starting in ~2021, it will also be available in the Southern hemisphere, thanks to the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope.

After this procedure, the simulated stream particles get smeared out in phase space, as shown in Fig. 4. However, we need the streams to be approximated by a curve along which the vector v_d can be calculated over the full length of the stream. It is thus necessary to curve-fit the stream data. We implemented this using a simple quadratic polynomial function. The fitting procedure was conducted only in the (2D) sky frame in a coordinate system similar to the Galactic system, but rotated to ensure that both arms of the stream run, as closely as possible, to the equator of the new rotated coordinate frame (this is only approximate, since, in general,

¹ Available on *Gaia's* official website https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/ gaia/sp-table1

² http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Science/CFIS/



Figure 5. Correlation function plot for the *N*-body stream tests. The model distributions of the u_{\odot} , v_{\odot} and w_{\odot} components of the Sun's velocity, are shown, as predicted by our method in 2.0×10^5 MCMC iterations. The input values that were used for the Sun's velocity are displayed with the blue lines and are $(u_{\odot}, v_{\odot} \text{ and } w_{\odot}) = (9.0, 255.2, 7.0) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The MCMC method is clearly able to recover these values to useful accuracy from the stream kinematics.

streams do not follow precisely great circle paths). We define the coordinates of the new rotated frame to be ℓ_{new} and b_{new} . We fitted for b_{new} and d_{\odot} in the transformed coordinate system (l_{new} , b_{new}) using a Singular Value Decomposition algorithm with a polynomial functional form

$$b_{\rm new} = a_1 + b_1 \ell_{\rm new} + c_1 \ell_{\rm new}^2 \tag{7}$$

and

$$d_{\odot} = a_2 + b_2 \ell_{\text{new}} + c_2 \ell_{\text{new}}^2 \,, \tag{8}$$

where a_i , b_i and c_i are the fitting parameters. The two arms in all of these 22 streams were fitted independently. Once the fitting procedure was complete, the fitted curves were then transformed back to Galactic coordinates. Fig. 4 (right-hand panels) represents the streams with uncertainties introduced along with the curves fitted to them. Once fitting is done, equations (7) and (8) are then used to calculate the vector v_d and the heliocentric distances at every stellar point. θ is still the angle between v_d and $v_{o\mu}$, where $v_{o\mu}$ is the observed proper motion vector.

In this case, Sun's Galactic velocity solution (shown in Fig. 5) was recovered as : $(u_{\odot}, v_{\odot}, w_{\odot}) = (7.80, 258.25, 7.69) \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This means that the bias estimated between the observed and true value of the Sun's velocity is $(u_{\odot} - u_{\odot,T}, v_{\odot} - v_{\odot,T}, w_{\odot} - w_{\odot,T})$ = $(-1.20, 3.05, 0.69) \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with uncertainties $(\sigma_u, \sigma_v, \sigma_w) =$ $(4.16, 3.04, 2.74) \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

3.3 Systematic bias in distance

For completeness, we consider next what the effect of a ± 5 per cent distance bias would have on the derivation of the solar velocity; such a bias could arise, in principle, from an incorrect calibration in the photometric distances. To this end, we reran the algorithm on the simulated data and simply forced all of the stellar particles



Figure 6. As Fig. 5, but for an underestimated 5 per cent systematic bias introduced into the simulated Heliocentric distance information. In this case, we find a significant bias of $\sim 10 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ in the ν component of the Sun's motion. The MCMC algorithm was made to run for 2.0×10^5 iterations in this case.

to be 5 per cent less distant. The resulting most likely solution has: $(u_{\odot} - u_{\odot,T}, v_{\odot} - v_{\odot,T}, w_{\odot} - w_{\odot,T}) = (-2.75, -9.69, 0.66)$ km s⁻¹, and uncertainty $(\sigma_u, \sigma_v, \sigma_w) = (3.73, 2.79, 2.45)$ km s⁻¹. The correlation function plot for this case is shown in Fig. 6. Rerunning this test using distances that are systematically 5 per cent overestimated gives qualitatively similar results, but with a velocity bias of $(u_{\odot} - u_{\odot,T}, v_{\odot} - v_{\odot,T}, w_{\odot} - w_{\odot,T}) = (-0.99, 13.58, 1.56)$ km s⁻¹.

The bias in the distance measurements clearly affects the vector $v_{r\perp}$ (calculated at the stellar points) and hence affects the solar velocity \mathbf{V}_{\odot} estimation. In our study (and as can be seen in Fig. 6, v_{\odot} panel), a large shift was observed in the v_{\odot} component of the velocity of the Sun from the true v_{\odot} value, but the other components were less affected. This is not specific to the technique but rather to the overall phase-space distribution of stellar streams with respect to the Sun's motion. The phase-space distribution and orientation of the streams in the example happened to be such that the v_{\odot} component faced a higher offset from the *true* value than the other two components.

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The Galactic Astronomy and Galactic Dynamics communities are greatly looking forward to the second data release of the *Gaia* astrometric satellite. The data from this mission are expected to unveil the phase-space structure of our Galaxy, curing a sort of kinematic 'blindness' we have had until now. *Gaia* will reveal the transverse motion dimensions of the phase space of known stellar streams, and is expected to reveal more stream structures of low contrast that remain hidden in present-day star-count surveys.

In this paper, we presented a geometrical procedure that successfully gauges the Sun's velocity V_{\odot} by allowing one to exploit a very basic behaviour of low-mass streams: that the proper motion of the stars should be closely directed along the structure in a frame that is

at rest with respect to the Galaxy. Any perpendicular motion of the stream stars arises (primarily) due to the reflex motion of the observer. This effect is not correlated with the Sun's Galactic distance R_{\odot} value. The method was demonstrated using *N*-body simulated streams (degraded with *Gaia*-like uncertainties in proper motions and CFHT CFIS-like uncertainties in distance measurements). The reason for using low-mass streams for constraining the solar motion using this method is simple. The high-mass (thick) streams like the Sgr stream formed from the disruption of dwarf galaxies, are highly dispersed in phase space (Ibata et al. 2002; Johnston, Spergel & Haydn 2002). Although their broad trajectory could be curve fitted (or modelled using an orbit) for our purposes, the dispersion in the stream track would result in higher uncertainties in the measured solar motion values.

Our method does not assume any Galactic mass model, which we view as a strength of the technique. If the Galactic potential were well known, it could clearly be used to refine the streams and hence obtain better constraints on V_{\odot} , but that would also require much more sophisticated modelling of individual tidal streams.

It should also be noted that our analysis determines the Sun's velocity with respect to a sample of streams in the Galactic halo, and this velocity might turn out to be different from the velocity measured with respect to the Galactic Centre or with respect to the LSR for a variety of interesting astrophysical reasons. This could happen, if, for instance, if Sgr A^* is not at rest with respect to the Galaxy, or if the disc possesses significant non-circular motions, or if there is a bulk motion of the streams with respect to the disc (as might happen if there is a substantial ongoing accretion: e.g. the LMC or the Sgr dwarf). Using two independent measurement techniques might give us some insight about the relative motion between the dynamical centres of the inner Milky Way and the outer Milky Way (around which the streams actually orbit).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for very useful comments that contributed to the clarity and overall improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

Bernard E. J. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 443, L84 Bernard E. J. et al., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 1759 Bobylev V. V., 2013, Astron. Lett., 39, 95 Bovy J., Hogg D. W., Rix H.-W., 2009, ApJ, 704, 1704

- Bovy J., Bahmanyar A., Fritz T. K., Kallivayalil N., 2016, ApJ, 833, 31
 - Dehnen W., 2000, ApJ, 536, L39
 - Dehnen W., Binney J., 1998a, MNRAS, 294, 429
 - Dehnen W., Binney J. J., 1998b, MNRAS, 298, 387
 - Dehnen W., Odenkirchen M., Grebel E. K., Rix H.-W., 2004, AJ, 127, 2753
 - Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016, A&A, 595, A2
 - Grillmair C. J., 2016, Tidal Streams in the Local Group and Beyond. Springer International Publishing, Cham
 - Grillmair C. J., Dionatos O., 2006, ApJ, 643, L17
 - Harris W. E., 1976, AJ, 81, 1095
 - Ibata R., Lewis G. F., Irwin M., Totten E., Quinn T., 2001, ApJ, 551, 294
 - Ibata R. A., Lewis G. F., Irwin M. J., Quinn T., 2002, MNRAS, 332, 915
 - Ivezić Z. et al., 2008, ApJ, 684, 287
 - Johnston K. V., Spergel D. N., Haydn C., 2002, ApJ, 570, 656
 - Jordi C. et al., 2010, A&A, 523, A48
 - King I. R., 1966, AJ, 71, 64
 - Koposov S. E., Rix H.-W., Hogg D. W., 2010, ApJ, 712, 260
 - Koposov S. E., Irwin M., Belokurov V., Gonzalez-Solares E., Yoldas A. K., Lewis J., Metcalfe N., Shanks T., 2014, MNRAS, 442, L85
 - Küpper A. H. W., Balbinot E., Bonaca A., Johnston K. V., Hogg D. W., Kroupa P., Santiago B. X., 2015, ApJ, 803, 80
 - Majewski S. R., Skrutskie M. F., Weinberg M. D., Ostheimer J. C., 2003, ApJ, 599, 1082
 - Majewski S. R., Law D. R., Polak A. A., Patterson R. J., 2006, ApJ, 637, L25
 - Marigo P., Girardi L., Bressan A., Groenewegen M. A. T., Silva L., Granato G. L., 2008, A&A, 482, 883
 - Myeong G. C., Jerjen H., Mackey D., Da Costa G. S., 2017, ApJ, 840, L25
 - Odenkirchen M. et al., 2001, ApJ, 548, L165
 - Reid M. J., Brunthaler A., 2004, ApJ, 616, 872
 - Reid M. J. et al., 2009, ApJ, 700, 137
 - Rygl K. L. J., Brunthaler A., Reid M. J., Menten K. M., van Langevelde H. J., Xu Y., 2010, A&A, 511, A2
 - Salomon J. B., Ibata R. A., Famaey B., Martin N. F., Lewis G. F., 2016, MNRAS, 456, 4432
 - Schönrich R., Binney J., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 203
 - Schönrich R., Binney J., Dehnen W., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1829
 - Sofue Y., Nagayama T., Matsui M., Nakagawa A., 2011, PASJ, 63, 867
 - Steiman-Cameron T. Y., Durisen R. H., 1990, ApJ, 357, 62
 - Strömberg G., 1946, ApJ, 104, 12
 - Teuben P., 1995, in Shaw R. A., Payne H. E., Hayes J. J. E., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 77, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 398
 - Unwin S. C. et al., 2008, PASP, 120, 38
 - van den Bosch F. C., Lewis G. F., Lake G., Stadel J., 1999, ApJ, 515, 50

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.