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A NOTE ON THE MEAN-FIELD LIMIT FOR THE PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION

HUI HUANG

ABSTRACT. Recently a continuous description of particle swarm optimization (PSO) based on a system of stochastic differential equations was proposed in [10] for solving global optimization problems. This note is devoted to providing a proof of the well-posedness, and the large particle limit under the assumption of the finite exponential moment.
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1. Introduction

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) has fascinated the scientific community ever since it was initially introduced to model the intelligent collective behavior of complex biological systems such as flocks of birds or schools of fish [14][15][19], and it is now widely recognized as an efficient method for tackling complex optimization problems [18]. However the mathematical understanding of PSO is still in its infancy. Recently Grassi and Pareschi [10] took a significant first step towards a mathematical theory for PSO based on a continuous description in the form of a system of stochastic differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    dX^i_t &= V^i_t \, dt, \\
    dV^i_t &= -\frac{\alpha}{m} V^i_t \, dt + \frac{\Delta}{m} (X^\alpha_t \rho_N - X^i_t) \, dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} D(X^\alpha_t \rho_N - X^i_t) \, dB^i_t, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(X^i_t, V^i_t \in \mathbb{R}^d\) denote the position and velocity of the \(i\)-th particle at time \(t\), \(m\) is the inertia weight, \(\gamma = 1 - m \geq 0\) is the friction coefficient, \(\lambda > 0\) is the acceleration coefficient, \(\sigma > 0\) is the diffusion coefficient, and \(\{(B^i_t)_{t \geq 0}\}_{i=1}^N\) are \(N\) independent \(d\)-dimensional Brownian motions. Moreover, we use the notations \(D(X_t) := \text{diag}\{(X^1_t), \ldots, (X^N_t)\} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}\), and the weighted average \(X^\alpha_t \rho_N := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \omega^\alpha_t(x) \rho_N(t,x) \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega^\alpha_t(x) \rho_N(t,x) \, dx}\) with the empirical measure \(\rho_N(t,x) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X^i_t}(x)\). Here the initial data \((X^0_0, V^0_0)\) is i.i.d. with common distribution \(f_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)\), where \(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)\) denotes the set of probability measures with finite second moment, which is endowed with the 2-Wasserstein distance \(W_2\) [1]. The choice of the weight function \(\omega^\alpha_t(x) := \exp(-\alpha \mathcal{E}(x))\) comes from the well-known Laplace’s principle [6][16], a classical asymptotic method for integrals, which states that for any probability measure \(\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)\), it holds

\[
\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \left( -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega^\alpha(x) \, d\rho(x) \right) \right) = \inf_{x \in \text{supp}(\rho)} \mathcal{E}(x).
\]

Thus for \(\alpha\) large enough, one expects that \(X^\alpha_t \rho_N \approx \text{argmin}\{\mathcal{E}(X^1_t), \ldots, \mathcal{E}(X^N_t)\}\). This note works as a completion for some theory gaps suggested in [10] by providing a proof of the well-posedness, and the derivation of the mean-field limit under the assumption of the finite exponential moment.

In the following we give the assumption on our given cost function \(\mathcal{E}\) in this section.

Assumption 1. The given cost function \(\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}\) is globally Lipschitz continuous and satisfies the properties

1. \(|\mathcal{E}(v) - \mathcal{E}(u)| \leq L|v - u|\) for all \(u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d\), where \(L > 0\) is the global Lipschitz constant;
2. \(\mathcal{E}\) is bounded, i.e. \(-\infty < \underline{\mathcal{E}} := \inf \mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{E} \leq \sup \mathcal{E} =: \overline{\mathcal{E}} < +\infty\) and define \(\delta_\mathcal{E} := \overline{\mathcal{E}} - \underline{\mathcal{E}}\).
For later use let us denote \([N] := \{1, \cdots, N\}\). As \(N \to \infty\), the mean-field limit result \([2,5,12,13,20]\) shall show that our PSO dynamic (1.1) well approximates solutions of the following mean-field kinetic McKean-Vlasov type equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\frac{dX^i_t}{dt} &= V^i_t dt, \\
\frac{dV^i_t}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{m} V^i_t dt + \frac{\lambda}{m} (X^i_t(\rho) - X^i_t) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} D(X^i_t - \overline{X}^i_t) dB^i_t, & i \in [N],
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

(1.3)

where

\[
X^i_t(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \omega^i_\alpha(x) \rho(t, x) dx, \quad \rho(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, v) dv,
\]

(1.4)

and the initial data \((\overline{X}^i_0, V^i_0)\) is the same as in (1.1). Then the processes \((\overline{X}^i_t, V^i_t)_{t \geq 0}\) are independent since the initial conditions and driving Brownian motions are independent. Here \(f(t, x, v)\) is the common distribution of \((\overline{X}^i_t, V^i_t)\) at time \(t\) for all \(i \in [N]\), which makes the set of equations (1.3) nonlinear. As a direct application of Itô’s formula, the law \(f_t := f(t, \cdot, \cdot)\) at time \(t\) is a weak solution to the following nonlinear Vlasov-Fokker-Plank equation

\[
\partial_t f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f_t = \nabla_v \cdot \left( \frac{\nu}{m} v f_t + \lambda (x - X^i_t(\rho)) f_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2m^2} D (x - X^i_t(\rho))^2 \nabla_v f_t \right),
\]

(1.5)

with the initial data \(f_0(x, v) = \mathcal{L}(\overline{X}^i_0, V^i_0)\). It was formally showed in \([10]\) that, in the zero inertia limit \((m \to 0)\), one expects the macroscopic density in the mean-field PSO equation (1.5) to be well approximated by the mean-field Consensus Based Optimization (CBO) \([3,5,8,9,11,17]\) equation of the form

\[
\partial_t \rho_t + \lambda \nabla_x \cdot (\rho_t (X^i_t(\rho) - x)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial x_j^2} \left( \rho_t (x_j - (X^i_t(\rho)))^2 \right).
\]

(1.6)

In the sequel we shall use the notation \(m^2 f_t := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (|x|^2 + |v|^2) f(t, x, v) dxdv\) for the second moment of \(f_t\).

2. Well-posedness for the particle system (1.1) and the mean-field dynamic (1.3)

To start with, we recall a lemma from \([8]\) Lemma 2.1, which implies that the coefficients in (1.1) are locally Lipschitz and have linear growth.

Lemma 2.1. \([8]\) Lemma 2.1| Let \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), \(\alpha > 0\) be arbitrary and \(E\) satisfy Assumption 1. Then for any \(\rho^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\overline{X}^i}\) and \(\overline{\rho}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\hat{X}^i}\), it holds

\[
|X^i_t(\rho^N)| \leq C_{\alpha, E} \frac{\alpha}{N} \|X^N\|_1
\]

(2.1)

and

\[
|X^i_t(\overline{\rho}^N)| - X^i_t(\overline{\rho}^N) \leq \left( \frac{C_{\alpha, E} \alpha}{N} + \frac{2C_{\alpha, E} \alpha L}{N} \|\hat{X}^N\|_\infty \right) \|X^N - \hat{X}^N\|_1,
\]

(2.2)

where \(C_{\alpha, E} = e^{\alpha \delta_E} \) with \(\delta_E = E - \mathcal{E}\). Here we used the notations for norms of vectors \(\|X^N\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in [N]} |X^i|\) and \(\|X^N\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N |X^i|\). Let \(X^i_t(\rho)\) be as in (1.4), then it holds

\[
|X^i_t(\rho)| \leq C_{\alpha, E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \rho(t, x) dx = C_{\alpha, E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| f(t, x, v) dv \leq C(C_{\alpha, E}, m^2 f_t).
\]

(2.3)

Theorem 2.1 (Well-posedness of (1.1)). Let \(f_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\), and assume that \((X^i_0, V^i_0)_{i=1}^N\) are i.i.d. with the common law \(f_0\). Then the particle system (1.1) admits a unique global solution with the initial data \((X^i_0, V^i_0)_{i=1}^N\). Moreover one has

\[
E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N (|X^i|^2 + |V^i|^2) \right] \leq C \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N (|X^i_0|^2 + |V^i_0|^2) \right] e^{C t},
\]

(2.4)

for all \(t \geq 0\), where \(C\) depends only on \(\lambda, m, \sigma\) and \(C_{\alpha, E}\).
Proof. We can rewrite (1.1) as the SDE
\[
d\mathbf{Z}_t^N = \sigma^N(X_t^N)\,dB_t^N + b(X_t^N)\,dt,
\]in \(\mathbb{R}^{2dN}\), where \(X_t^N = (X_t^1, V_t^1, \ldots, X_t^N, V_t^N)\). Then it holds
\[
\|\sigma^N(X_t^N)\| \leq \frac{\sigma}{m} |X_t^\alpha(\rho^N) - X_t^i|^2 \leq \frac{2\sigma}{m} (|X_t| + |X_t^\alpha(\rho^N)|)
\leq \frac{2\sigma}{m} \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2 + \frac{C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |X_t^i|^2 \leq \frac{2\sigma}{m} \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2 + \frac{C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{N} \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2.
\]
Moreover one has
\[
\langle \mathbf{Z}_t^N, b(X_t^N) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_t^i \cdot V_t - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\gamma}{m} |V_t|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda}{m} V_t \cdot (X_t^\alpha(\rho^N) - X_t^i)
\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2m} \right) \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (|V_t|^2 + N |X_t^\alpha(\rho^N)|)
\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{m} \right) \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2 + \frac{N \lambda C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |X_t^i|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{m} + \frac{\lambda C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{2m} \right) \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2.
\]
This is a sufficient condition for the global existence and path-wise uniqueness [7] Chapter 5, Theorems 3.7 and 3.11. Moreover for any \(i \in [N]\) we compute
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^i|^2 + |V_t^i|^2] = 2X_t^i \cdot V_t - \frac{2\gamma}{m} |V_t|^2 + \frac{2\lambda}{m} V_t \cdot (X_t^\alpha(\rho^N) - X_t^i) + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (X_t^\alpha(\rho^N) - X_t^i)^2.
\]
Summation of the previous equality over \(i \in [N]\) yields
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{Z}_t^N, b(X_t^N))] + \frac{2N\sigma^2}{m^2} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^\alpha(\rho^N)|^2] + \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2]
\leq (1 + \frac{2\gamma}{m} + \frac{2\lambda C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{2m} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} + \frac{2\sigma^2 C_{2,\varepsilon}^2}{m^2}) \|\mathbf{Z}_t^N\|^2,
\]
which leads to (2.4) by Gronwall’s inequality. 

To prove the well-posedness of (1.3), let us first introduce a related linear problem:
\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dX_t}{dt} = \nabla_t dt,
\frac{d\nabla_t}{dt} = -\frac{2}{m} \nabla_t dt + \frac{\Delta}{m} (X_t^\alpha(\bar{\rho}) - X_t) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} D(X_t^\alpha(\bar{\rho}) - X_t) dB_t,
\end{cases}
\]
where
\[
X_t^\alpha(\bar{\rho}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega_{\gamma}(x) \bar{\rho}(t,x) dx,
\]
and \(\bar{\rho}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}(t,x,v) dv\) for any given \(\tilde{f} : [0,T] \to \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\).

**Proposition 2.2** (Well-posedness of (2.10)). For any \(T > 0\), let \(f_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\) and \(\tilde{f} : [0,T] \to \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\) be a given continuous curve in the \(W_2\) topology. Assume that \((\nabla_0, X_0)\) is distributed according to \(f_0\). Then the linear SDE (2.10) admits a unique solution up to time \(T\) with the initial data \((\nabla_0, X_0)\). Moreover one has
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}[|\nabla_t|^2 + |X_t|^2] \leq (\mathbb{E}[|\nabla_0|^2 + |X_0|^2] + C) e^{CT},
\]
where \(C\) depends only on \(\lambda, m, \sigma, C_{\alpha,\varepsilon}\) and \(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m_{f_t}^2\). Here we have used the second moment notation
\[
m_{f_t}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^2 \tilde{f}(t,x,v) dx dv.
\]
Proof. We rewrite (2.10) as
\[ dZ_t = \sigma(Z_t)dB_t + b(Z_t)dt. \]

It easy to check that
\[ \|\sigma(Z)\| \leq 2\sigma \left( C_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^2 \tilde{f}(t, x, v) dx dv + |Z|^2 \right) \]
and
\[ \langle Z, b(Z) \rangle = \dot{X} \cdot \nabla - \frac{\gamma}{m} |\nabla|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m} \cdot (X^\alpha(\bar{\rho}) - \dot{X}) \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{m} \right) |Z|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2m} C_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^2 \tilde{f}(t, x, v) dx dv. \]

Notice that \( \tilde{f} : [0, T] \to \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), so we again obtain a sufficient condition for the global existence and path-wise uniqueness.

Moreover by Itô’s formula one has
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_t|^2 + |\nabla_t|^2] = 2\mathbb{E}[\langle Z_t, b(Z_t) \rangle] + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (X^\alpha_t(\bar{\rho}) - \dot{X}_t)_k^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}[\langle Z_t, b(Z_t) \rangle] + \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_t|^2 + |X^\alpha_t(\bar{\rho})|^2] \]
\[
\quad \leq C(\lambda, m, \sigma) \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_t|^2 + |\nabla_t|^2] + C(\lambda, m, \sigma, C_{\alpha,\varepsilon}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |x|^2 \tilde{f}(t, x, v) dx dv. \]

So Gronwall’s inequality implies that the second moment \( \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_t|^2 + |\nabla_t|^2] \) is bounded on \([0, T]\) as desired in (2.11).

Before we state our theorem on the well-posedness of (1.3), let us introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. [8, Lemma 2.2] Assume that \( f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then the following stability estimate holds
\[ |X^\alpha(\rho) - X^\alpha(\bar{\rho})| \leq C W_2(\rho, \bar{\rho}), \]
where \( C \) depends only on \( C_{\alpha,\varepsilon}, \alpha, L, m^2 \tilde{f} \) and \( m^2 \).

Theorem 2.3 (Well-posedness of (1.3)). For any \( T > 0 \), let \( f_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \), and assume that \((\dot{X}_0, \nabla_0)\) is distributed according to \( f_0 \). Then the following nonlinear SDE
\[
\begin{cases}
  d\dot{X}_t = \nabla_t dt, \\
  d\nabla_t = -\frac{\gamma}{m} \nabla_t dt + \frac{\lambda}{m} (X_t^\alpha(\rho) - \dot{X}_t) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} D(X_t^\alpha(\rho) - \dot{X}_t) dB_t.
\end{cases}
\]
has a unique solution up to time \( T \) with the initial data \((\dot{X}_0, \nabla_0)\). Here the nonlinearity comes from the requirement that \((\dot{X}_t, \nabla_t)\) has the law \( f_t \), and \( \rho(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_t(x, v) dv \). Moreover it holds that
\[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_t|^2 + |\nabla_t|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[|\dot{X}_0|^2 + |\nabla_0|^2] e^{CT}, \]
where \( C \) depends only on \( \lambda, \sigma, m \) and \( C_{\alpha,\varepsilon} \).

Proof. Take the random variable \((\dot{X}_0, \nabla_0)\) with distribution \( f_0 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). We define the stochastic process \((\dot{X}_t^n, \nabla_t^n)\) recursively by
\[
\begin{cases}
  d\dot{X}_t^n = \nabla_t^n dt, \\
  d\nabla_t^n = -\frac{\gamma}{m} \nabla_t^n dt + \frac{\lambda}{m} (X_t^n(\rho^{n-1}) - \dot{X}_t^n) dt + \frac{\sigma}{m} D(X_t^n(\rho^{n-1}) - \dot{X}_t^n) dB_t,
\end{cases}
\]
for \( n \geq 1 \), where
\[ X_t^n(\rho^{n-1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x \omega_{\alpha}^n(x) \rho^{n-1}(t, x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega_{\alpha}^n(x) \rho^{n-1}(t, x) dx} dx, \quad \rho^{n-1}(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{n-1}(t, x, v) dv. \]
Here $f^n_t(n \geq 1)$ is the distribution function of $(X^n_t, V^n_t)$ and $f^n_0 := f_0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Below we will also use the alternate notation $f^n(t, x, v)$ for $f^n_t(x, v)$. According to Proposition 2.2 for any given $f^{n-1}$, the linear SDE (2.18) is well-posed up to time $T$, and the second moment $m^2_{f^n_t}$ of $(X^n_t, V^n_t)$ is bounded on $[0, T]$.

**Step 1: Cauchy estimate.** Denote

$$y^n_t := X^{n+1}_t - X^n_t, \quad u^n_t := V^{n+1}_t - V^n_t, \quad e^n_t := |y^n_t|^2 + |u^n_t|^2. \quad (2.20)$$

We compute by Itô’s formula and for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E[|y^n_t|^2] = 2E[\langle y^n_t, u^n_t \rangle] \leq E[|y^n_t|^2 + |u^n_t|^2] = E[e^n_t], \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}E[|u^n_t|^2] = 2E\left[\left\langle u^n_t, \frac{\gamma}{m} u^n_t - \frac{\gamma}{m} y^n_t + \frac{\gamma}{m} (X^n_t - X^n_t) (\rho^n - \rho^{n-1}) \right\rangle\right] + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} E\left[|X^n_t - X^n_t| (\rho^n - \rho^{n-1}) - y^n_t|^2\right]$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{m} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2}\right) E[e^n_t] + 2E\left[\left\langle u^n_t, \frac{\gamma}{m} (X^n_t - X^n_t) (\rho^n - \rho^{n-1}) \right\rangle\right] + \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} E[|X^n_t - X^n_t| (\rho^n - \rho^{n-1})|^2].$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the definition of Wasserstein metric that one has

$$|X^n_t - X^n_t| (\rho^n - \rho^{n-1})|^2 \leq CW^2(t, \rho^n, \rho^{n-1}) \leq CE[|y^n_t|^2], \quad (2.22)$$

where $C$ depends only on $C_{\alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha, L}$, $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$, and $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$. Thus we have

$$2\langle u^n_t, X^n_t (\rho^n) - X^n_t (\rho^{n-1}) \rangle \leq |u^n_t|^2 + |X^n_t (\rho^n) - X^n_t (\rho^{n-1})|^2 \leq |u^n_t|^2 + C E[|y^n_t|^2]. \quad (2.23)$$

This yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[|u^n_t|^2] \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{m} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2}\right) E[e^n_t] + \frac{\gamma}{m} E[|u^n_t|^2] + CE[|y^n_t|^2] + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} CE[|y^n_t|^2]$$

$$\leq CE[e^n_t] + E[e^n_t - 1]. \quad (2.24)$$

Collecting estimates (2.21) and (2.24), we conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[e^n_t] \leq CE[e^n_t] + CE[e^n_{t-1}]. \quad (2.25)$$

Let us denote $\eta^n(t) := E[e^n_t]$, then one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \eta^n(t) \leq C \eta^n(t) + C \eta^{n-1}(t). \quad (2.26)$$

Applying Gronwall’s inequality leads to

$$\eta^n(t) \leq C \int_0^t e^{C(t-s)} \eta^{n-1}(s) ds, \quad (2.27)$$

and iterating this inequality gives

$$\eta^n(t) \leq C^n \int_0^t e^{C(t-s)} \eta^0(s)^{(t-s)^{n-1}} (n-1)! ds \leq C^n e^{Ct^n} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \eta^0(s) \leq C^n e^{Ct^n} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \eta^0(s). \quad (2.28)$$

Hence we obtain

$$\eta^n(t) \leq \exp (n(Ct + \ln(Ct))) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \eta^0(s), \quad (2.29)$$

where $C$ depends only on $C_{\alpha, \varepsilon, \alpha, L}$, $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$, and $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$. Note here that $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$, and $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} m^2 f^n$ can be uniformly bounded in $n$. Choosing $0 < T_* < T$ small enough such that $\beta := CT + \ln(CT*) < 0$, then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T_*]} \eta^n(t) \leq e^{\beta n} \sup_{s \in [0,T_*]} \eta^0(s) \to 0, \quad \text{as} \ n \to \infty. \quad (2.30)$$
Applying Itô’s formula one has

\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t^n - X_t|^2 + |V_t^n - V_t|^2] \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \]  

(2.31)

Assume that \((X_t, V_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) has the distribution \((f_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\), then by the definition of the Wasserstein metric one has

\[ \mathcal{W}_2^T(f^n, f) := \sup_{t \in [0,T]} W_2(f^n_t, f_t) \leq \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\mathbb{E}[|X_t^n - X_t|^2 + |V_t^n - V_t|^2])^{1/2} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \]  

(2.32)

and \(f \in C(0, T; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))\) since \(C(0, T; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))\) is complete w.r.t. the metric \(\mathcal{W}_2^T\). Lastly, taking \(n \to \infty\) (up to a subsequence) in (2.18), one obtains that the limit process \((X_t, V_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) is a solution to the Nonlinear SDE \((2.16)\) up to time \(T_*\). Next, we can easily extend the existence to the whole interval \([0, T]\) by iterating this procedure starting from \(T_*\).

**Step 3: Uniqueness.** Assume that \((X^1_t, V^1_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) and \((X^2_t, V^2_t)_{t \in [0,T]}\) are two solutions to SDE \((2.16)\) with the same Brownian motion. Similar to **Step 1**, it is easy to derive

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2 + |V^1_t - V^2_t|^2], \]

and

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[|V^1_t - V^2_t|^2] \leq \left( \frac{\gamma}{m} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \right) \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2 + |V^1_t - V^2_t|^2] \]

\[ + \frac{\gamma}{m} \mathbb{E}[|V^1_t - V^2_t|^2] + C \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2] + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} C \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2]. \]

Applying Gronwall’s inequality yields

\[ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}[|X^1_t - X^2_t|^2 + |V^1_t - V^2_t|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X^1_0 - X^2_0|^2 + |V^1_0 - V^2_0|^2] e^{CT}, \]

(2.33)

showing uniqueness of solutions to \((2.16)\).

**Step 4: Second moment bound.** It obvious that

\[ |X_t|^2 = |X_0|^2 + 2 \int_0^t X_s \cdot V_s ds \leq |X_0|^2 + \int_0^t (|X_s|^2 + |V_s|^2) ds. \]  

(2.34)

Applying Itô’s formula one has

\[ |V_t|^2 = |V_0|^2 - \int_0^t \frac{2 \lambda}{m} |V_s|^2 ds + \frac{2 \lambda}{m} \int_0^t V_s \cdot (X_s^\alpha(\rho) - X_s) ds + \frac{2 \sigma}{m} \int_0^t V_s \cdot D(X_s^\alpha(\rho) - X_s) dB_s \]

\[ + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \int_0^t |X_s^\alpha(\rho) - X_s|^2 ds \]

\[ \leq |V_0|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{m} \int_0^t |V_s|^2 ds + \left( \frac{\lambda}{m} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \right) \int_0^t |X_s^\alpha(\rho) - X_s|^2 ds + \frac{2 \sigma}{m} \int_0^t V_s \cdot D(X_s^\alpha(\rho) - X_s) dB_s. \]

(2.35)

Notice that

\[ \mathbb{E}[|X^\alpha_t(\rho) - X_t|^2] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ f_{\mathbb{R}^d}(x) \omega^\alpha_n(x) \rho(t, dx) - y \right] \rho(t, dy) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\omega^\alpha_n(x) \rho(t, dx) \rho(t, dy)]} \leq 4C_{\alpha, \epsilon} \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^2]. \]  

(2.36)
Then collecting estimates \((2.35)\) and \((2.34)\) yields
\[
E[|\overline{X}_t|^2 + |\overline{V}_t|^2] \leq E[|\overline{X}_0|^2 + |\overline{V}_0|^2] + \frac{\lambda}{m} \int_0^t E[|\overline{X}_s|^2 + |\overline{V}_s|^2]ds + \left(\frac{\lambda}{m} + \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2}\right) \int_0^t E[|X^\alpha_s(\rho) - \overline{X}_s|^2]ds
\]
which leads to \((2.17)\) by Gronwall’s inequality.

\[\blacksquare\]

3. Mean-field limit

Let us start this section with introducing the following lemma on a large deviation bound.

**Lemma 3.1.** [8 Lemma 3.1] For any \(T > 0\), let \((\overline{X}_t, \overline{V}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}\) be the solution to the mean-field dynamics \((1.3)\), which are i.i.d. with common distribution \(f \in C([0, T], \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))\). Then it holds
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E \left[ |X^\alpha_t(\rho^N) - X^\alpha_t(\rho)|^2 \right] \leq \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} m^2_{ij} \right) C_{\alpha, \varepsilon} N^{-1},
\]
where we have used the notation \(\rho^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\overline{X}_i}\).

We now state our main result on the mean-field limit, which states that the intermediate dynamics \((1.3)\) well approximates the interacting particle system \((1.1)\).

**Theorem 3.1.** For any \(T > 0\), assume that \(\{(X^i_t, V^i_t)_{t\in[0,T]}\}_{i=1}^N\) and \(\{(\overline{X}_t, \overline{V}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}\}_{i=1}^N\) are solutions to \((1.1)\) and \((1.3)\) respectively up to time \(T\) with the same i.i.d. initial data distributed according to \(f_0\). Furthermore assume that there exists some constants \(a, C_* > 0\) such that the solutions satisfy for any \(i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}\)
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left\{ E[|X^i_t|^8 + |\overline{X}_t|^8 + e^{|X^i_t|^4}] \right\} \leq C_*.
\]
Then it holds that for any \(\varepsilon > 0\)
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{i=1, \cdots, N} E[|X^i_t - \overline{X}_t|^2 + |V^i_t - \overline{V}_t|^2] \leq \frac{C}{N^{1-\varepsilon}},
\]
where \(C\) depends only on \(\gamma, a, \lambda, \sigma, m, T, C_*\) and \(C_{\alpha, \varepsilon}\).

**Proof.** For all \(i = 1, \cdots, N\), one can compute
\[
\frac{d}{dt} E[|X^i_t - \overline{X}_t|^2] = 2E[\langle X^i_t - \overline{X}_t, V^i_t - \overline{V}_t \rangle] \leq E[|X^i_t - \overline{X}_t|^2 + |V^i_t - \overline{V}_t|^2].
\]
Applying the Itô formula to \(|V^i_t - \overline{V}_t|^2\) we get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} E[|V^i_t - \overline{V}_t|^2] = 2E\left[ \frac{\gamma}{m} (V^i_t - \overline{V}_t) - \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} \left( X^i_t - \overline{X}_t \right) \right] \leq \frac{2\sigma^2}{m^2} E[|X^i_t - \overline{X}_t|^2 + |V^i_t - \overline{V}_t|^2].
\]
It follows from estimate \((2.2)\), Lemma 3.1 and the definition of Wasserstein distance that
\[
E \left[ |X^\alpha_t(\rho^N) - X^\alpha_t(\rho)|^2 \right] \leq 2E \left[ |X^\alpha_t(\rho^N) - X^\alpha_t(\overline{\rho})|^2 \right] + 2E \left[ |X^\alpha_t(\overline{\rho}) - X^\alpha_t(\rho)|^2 \right] \leq 2C \sup_{i \in [N]} E[|\overline{X}_t|^2]|X^i_t - \overline{X}_t|^2 + 2\left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} m^2_{ij} \right) C_{\alpha, \varepsilon} N^{-1}.\]
Notice that
\[
E[|X_t^i|^2] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{|X_t^i| \leq R^2}|X_t^i|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{|X_t^i| > R^2}|X_t^i|^2] \leq R^2 \mathbb{E}[|X_t^i|^2] + (\mathbb{E}[|X_t^i|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{E}[|X_t^i|^8])^{1/2}
\]
and
\[
(\mathbb{E}[|X_t^i|^2])^{1/2} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} a R^4} (\mathbb{E}[e^{a|X_t^i|^4}])^{1/2} \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2} a R^4},
\]
This implies that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sup_{i \in [N]} E[|V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] \leq C(1 + R^2) \sup_{i \in [N]} E[|X_t^i - X_t^s|^2 + |V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] + C N^{-1} + C e^{-\frac{1}{2} a R^4},
\]
where $C$ depends only on $C_{a,\varepsilon}, a, m, \gamma, L, \lambda, \sigma, T$ and $C_s$. Collecting (3.4) and (3.7), one has
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \sup_{i \in [N]} E[|X_t^i - X_t^s|^2 + |V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] \leq C(1 + r) \sup_{i \in [N]} E[|X_t^i - X_t^s|^2 + |V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] + C(N^{-1} + e^{-r^2}).
\]
where $r = \frac{1}{2} a R^2$. If we choose $r = (\ln N)^{1/2}$, Gronwall’s inequality leads to
\[
\sup_{i \in [N]} E[|X_t^i - X_t^s|^2 + |V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] \leq C \frac{2}{N} T e^{C(r+1)T} = 2 C T e^{CT} e^{C(\ln N)^{1/2}T} N^{-1},
\]
for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $C$ depends only on $C_{a,\varepsilon}, a, m, \gamma, L, \lambda, \sigma, T$ and $C_s$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some constant $\theta$ such that
\[
C(\ln N)^{1/2} T - \ln N \leq \theta - (1 - \varepsilon) \ln N.
\]
This leads to
\[
\sup_{i \in [N]} E[|X_t^i - X_t^s|^2 + |V_t^i - \nabla t^i|^2] \leq 2 C T e^{CT+\theta} N^{-(1-\varepsilon)},
\]
which completes the proof. \hfill \square
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