

# Contribution of Posidonia oceanica meadows in the context of climate change mitigation in the Mediterranean Sea

Christine Pergent-Martini, Gérard Pergent, Briac Monnier, Charles-François Boudouresque, Christophe Mori, Audrey Valette-Sansevin

# ▶ To cite this version:

Christine Pergent-Martini, Gérard Pergent, Briac Monnier, Charles-François Boudouresque, Christophe Mori, et al.. Contribution of Posidonia oceanica meadows in the context of climate change mitigation in the Mediterranean Sea. Marine Environmental Research, 2020, 10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105236. hal-03150734

# HAL Id: hal-03150734 https://hal.science/hal-03150734

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Contribution of *Posidonia oceanica* meadows in the context of climate change mitigation in the Mediterranean Sea

3

4 Christine Pergent-Martini<sup>(1)</sup>, Gérard Pergent<sup>(1)</sup>, Briac Monnier<sup>(1)</sup>, Charles-François

- 5 Boudouresque<sup>(2)</sup>, Christophe Mori<sup>(1)</sup>, Audrey Valette<sup>(1)</sup>
- 6
   7 <sup>(1)</sup>FRES 3041 UMR 6134, Coastal Ecosystems Team, University of Corsica, 20250 Corte, France
- 8 <sup>(2)</sup>Aix-Marseille University and University of Toulon, MIO (Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography),
- 9 CNRS, IRD, Campus de Luminy, Marseille, France
- 10 11

# 12 Abstract

13 Coastal marine vegetation has been recently highlighted for its highly efficient carbon 14 storage capacity. Among the sixty-four species of seagrass, Posidonia oceanica, a 15 Mediterranean endemic species, appears to be the most effective in carbon fixation and 16 storage. Based on new data from the study of one of the largest *P. oceanica* meadows in the 17 Mediterranean Sea (100 km of coastline, 20 425 ha), and a synthesis of available data from 18 the whole of the Mediterranean basin, the aim of this work is to evaluate the amount of 19 carbon fixed each year by *P. oceanica* and sequestered in the matte, in relation with the 20 mitigation of the impact of climate change (carbon sink).

The mean total carbon fixation (blades, sheaths and rhizomes) per year varies between 33.5 and 426.6 g C.m<sup>-2</sup> and the mean carbon sequestration (long-term sink in the matte), corresponding to the sheath and rhizome tissues, varies between 7.7 and 84.4 g C.m<sup>-2</sup>, with a clear decreasing trend according to depth because of the meadow density decrease.

25 The synthesis of a hundred measurements made throughout the Mediterranean Sea and at 26 depths between 0.5 and 32.0 m provides a basis for estimating the average annual carbon 27 fixation and sequestration rate throughout the Mediterranean basin. The fixation of the 28 blades is estimated at 1 024 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, that of the sheaths at 220 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and that of 29 the rhizomes at 58 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>; *i.e.* a total fixation rate of 1 302 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and 30 sequestration rate (dead sheaths and rhizomes) of 278 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>. This annual carbon 31 fixation represents only 0.61% on average of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions/releases for all Mediterranean 32 countries but in the large Mediterranean islands this fixation is on average 3.1% and can 33 reach almost 14.4% for Corsica. Moreover, the major advantage of the P. oceanica meadow 34 lies in its capacity to store carbon from annual carbon sequestration for centuries to 35 millennia and can be compared to several terrestrial ecosystems considered to be efficient in 36 carbon storage (peatlands).

37

38 Key words: Seagrass; *Posidonia oceanica;* Carbon Fixation; Carbon Sequestration;
 39 Mediterranean Sea; Corsica.

- 40
- 41

## 42 Introduction

43 At the 21st meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP 21) of the Climate Change

44 Convention, all participants adopted the Paris Agreement. This agreement stipulates in

45 Article 5 that 'Parties should take action to conserve and enhance, as appropriate, sinks and

- 46 *reservoirs of greenhouse gases'* (FCCC, 2015). On the other hand, while previous conferences
- 47 had focused on forest-based sinks (Kyoto Protocol), for the first time COP 21 noted 'the

*importance of ensuring the integrity of all ecosystems, including oceans*'. Major carbon sinks
 such as wetlands, peatlands, coastal vegetation and phytoplankton were, therefore, for the
 first time taken into account.

51

52 The concept of carbon sink covers several very different compartments, which are often 53 erroneously confused in the literature. (i) Long-term sinks correspond to carbon which is not 54 re-mineralized after the death of the primary producer and therefore sequestrated for 55 thousand or million years. This sink corresponds to coal, peat and modern deposits. (ii) 56 Short-term sinks correspond to the amount of carbon which is incorporated into living 57 matter, for a few days to millennia, depending upon the life span of the organisms: days for 58 phytoplankton, months for leaves, centuries to millennia for tree trunks. In fact, this 59 corresponds to the biomass. Part of the so-called carbon sequestration by the European 60 forests is just due the expansion of forests, following agricultural decline, and to the ageing 61 of forests, which accumulate carbon in the wood; this carbon is more or less slowly 62 mineralized after the death of the leaves or of the whole plant. (iii) A number of plants and 63 habitats, such as *Posidonia oceanica* (Linnaeus) Delile (see below), may fall in between, due 64 to the very low rate of re-mineralization of some tissues (rhizomes and roots), accumulated 65 in the soil, able to persist during several millennia.

66

Despite a very small surface area (less than 0.5% of the oceans), coastal vegetation plays a
major role in the fixation and sequestration of Blue Carbon (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009;
Fourqurean *et al.*, 2012). In fact, these ecosystems, such as seagrass beds, kelp forests,
mangroves, salt marshes and wetlands, are major carbon sinks (Chmura *et al.*, 2003; Duarte *et al.*, 2005; Bouillon *et al.*, 2008; Reed & Brzezinski, 2009).

72

73 Carbon sequestration by seagrass is estimated at 15% of the blue carbon while covering only 74 17.7 to 61.0 million hectares at the biosphere scale (Duarte & Chiscano, 1999; Spalding et 75 al., 2003; Kennedy & Björk, 2009; UNEP-WCMC, 2018). Among the sixty-four species of 76 seagrass (Guiry & Guiry, 2020), P. oceanica, a Mediterranean endemic species, appears to be 77 the most effective in carbon storage (Pergent et al., 1994; Mateo et al., 2006; Kennedy & 78 Björk, 2009; Pergent et al., 2014; Jamaludin, 2015. The P. oceanica meadow is the only 79 ecosystem able to 'compete' with peatlands (IPS, 2008) and mangroves (Bouillon et al., 80 2008) because it builds a unique structure: the matte (Boudouresque et al., 2012). Made up 81 of rhizomes and roots with sediment that fills the interstices, this little putrescible structure 82 can reach several meters in height, and the organic and inorganic carbon inside can persist 83 for millennia (Romero et al., 1994; Mateo et al., 1997; Lo Iacono et al., 2008; Tomasello et 84 al., 2009; Boudouresque et al., 2016; Monnier et al., in press).

85

The surface area covered by *P. oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean Sea has been the subject of several estimates (Pasqualini *et al.*, 1998, UNEP-MAP-RAC/SPA, 2009; Giakoumi *et al.*, 2013; Telesca *et al.*, 2015). The scarcity of data for the eastern basin detracts from the accuracy of these estimates, although recent mapping works of Topouzelis *et al.* (2018) and Traganos *et al.* (2018), for the Greek coastline (32% of the Mediterranean coastline), Akçali *et al.* (2019) and Duman *et al.* (2019) for 3 000 km of Turkish Mediterranean coastline, have made it possible to improve the accuracy of the previous estimates.

94 On the other hand, the increase of the amount of data concerning primary production by P. 95 oceanica at many sites including different locations, depths, meadow structure and human 96 pressures, has made it possible to estimate a probable mean value of the amount of carbon 97 fixed by P. oceanica at the scale of the Mediterranean basin (Buia et al., 1992; Pergent-98 Martini et al., 1994; Pergent et al., 1997; Torricelli & Peirano, 1997; Zupo et al., 1997; 99 Guidetti et al., 2000; Cebrian & Duarte, 2001; Vela et al., 2006). Moreover, the use of 100 lepidochronology associated with the analysis of the elementary composition of tissues 101 allows determination of the part of this carbon sequestered within the matte mainly through 102 rhizomes and dead sheaths (Pergent et al., 1994; Pergent-Martini et al., 1994; Cebrian & 103 Duarte, 2001; Gobert *et al.*, 2005; Vela *et al.*, 2006; Scartazza *et al.*, 2017).

104

Based on new data concerning one of the largest *P. oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean Sea (100 km of coastline, 20 425 ha; Valette *et al.*, 2019) and the synthesis of available data from the whole of the Mediterranean basin, the aim of this work is (i) to evaluate the amount of carbon fixed each year by *P. oceanica* and sequestered in the matte, and (ii) to better understand this ecosystem service in relation with the mitigation of the impact of

- 110 climate change (carbon sink) for each Mediterranean country.
- 111
- 112

## 113 Material and method

The distribution of *P. oceanica* along the Corsican coast is conventionally subdivided into 14 sectors with similar environmental conditions (Meinesz *et al.*, 1990; Pasqualini *et al.*, 1998; Valette *et al.*, 2019). The study area is located along the eastern coast of Corsica (Western Mediterranean Sea) characterized by the presence of an extensive *P. oceanica* meadow, designated as a NATURA 2000 site 'FR9402014 - Grand Herbier de la Côte Orientale' (Valette *et al.*, 2019; Fig. 1). Sampling stations are located at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 m depth and distributed from north to south.



125

Figure 1: Left: Corsica island, with sectors 1 through 14. Middle: The studied sector (sector 2). Right: Location of the study sites and sampling stations of *P. oceanica* shoots.

126 The meadow density (number of shoots per square meter – shoot.m<sup>-2</sup>) was measured for 127 each station (0.16 m<sup>2</sup> quadrat, 20 replicates) while 20 orthotropic shoots of *P. oc*eanica were 128 collected every three months, from July 2015 to July 2016.

129

130 A phenological analysis (Giraud, 1979), and a lepidochronological study (Pergent, 1990) were 131 performed. The primary production was estimated according to the crossed 132 lepidochronological method (Vela, 2006), derived from Pergent & Pergent-Martini (1990). A 133 leaf is formed by a basal non-photosynthetic sheath and a blade. The blade primary 134 production was estimated considering: the average number of annually produced leaves, the 135 average length of the rank 3 adult blades (usually the longest leaf of the shoot), the average 136 width of the rank 1 adult blades, the average density (ratio of leaf area to leaf dry mass) of 137 the rank 1 adult blades (leaf growth completed). The sheath production was estimated 138 considering: the average number of annually produced leaves, the average length, width and 139 density of the rank 1 adult sheaths.

140

141 Rhizome production was assessed from the segments of the rhizome, with inserted roots, 142 between each pair of dead sheaths of minimum thickness (corresponding to the tissue 143 produced during a one-year period) cut and then dried for 48 h at 70 °C, until constant dry 144 weight was achieved. Hereafter, 'rhizomes' always means 'rhizomes and roots' due to the 145 fact than root tissues are very limited. The portions corresponding to the two most recent

- 146 years were not considered because their growth is not yet complete (Boudouresque *et al.*147 1984).
- 148 The carbon content (% C) of adult blades, sheaths and rhizomes, expressed in dry mass (DM) 149 percentage, were determined for each sample using elementary analysis (Elementar Vario 150 MICRO Cube<sup>®</sup>, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Germany).
- 151
- A 95% confidence interval for each mean was computed. A Shapiro-Wilk test is applied to check the normality of the means; this is one of the most powerful normality tests (Ghasemi & Zahediasl, 2012). Student's t-test is used for comparing the means, while confidence interval (95%) is reported for non-linear regression (Minitab software<sup>™</sup>). Inter-relationships between variables were investigated by performing Pearson correlation test. The correlation coefficient was calculated together with p-values to determine the significance and strength of each relationship. A significant difference is considered as a p-value<0.05.
- 159
- 160

#### 161 Results

- Meadow density decreases regularly with depth, from 550.5 shoots.m<sup>-2</sup> at -5 m to 106.1 shoots.m<sup>-2</sup> at -30 m (Fig. 2). The number of leaves produced each year also exhibits a declining trend from shallow water to deep water (from 8.55 to 6.35 leaves.yr<sup>-1</sup>; Fig. 2).
- 165



166

Figure 2: Meadow density (mean number of shoots.m<sup>-2</sup> and confidence level) and number of leaves produced annually (mean number per shoot and confidence level) according to depth. Density trend curve:  $y = -226.1 \times \ln(depth) + 914.8 (R^2 = 0.91)$ ; leaf number trend curve:  $y = -1.045 \times \ln(depth) + 10.093 (R^2 = 0.73)$ .

171

Whatever the depth, the adult blade of rank 1 (external leaf) is on average shorter than the adult blade of rank 3 (t-test, p-value<0.0001) due to the higher percentage of leaves broken by herbivore grazing and water movement. The mean density of the blades of rank 1 and

175 rank 3 is not significantly different (3.68  $\pm$  0.44 and 3.85  $\pm$  0.38 mg DM.cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively; t-

176test, p-value=0.579). Conversely, the density of blades is always significantly smaller than177sheaths (9.11  $\pm$  1.27 mg DM.cm<sup>-2</sup>; t-test, p-value<0.0001). Blade and sheath density decrease</td>178significantly with depth (respectively R<sup>2</sup> = 0.98, p-value<0.0001 and R<sup>2</sup> = 0.89, p-value<0.005;</td>179Fig. 3).

- 180
- 181



182 183

Figure 3: Blades and sheaths density (mean and confidence level 95%) according to depth. The high
 values of the confidence interval are related to seasonal variations in leaf tissue density.

185

The mean carbon content in the leaves shows no significant difference between blades (41.3  $\pm$  0,4 %) and sheaths (41.1  $\pm$  0.7 %) (t-test, p-value=0.663; Table I). However, the mean carbon content in rhizomes is higher (42.2  $\pm$  0.7 %) and exhibits a significant difference with blades (t-test, p-value=0.022) and sheaths (t-test, p-value=0.024).

190

192 depth and sampling period (July 2015 to July 2016).

	-5 m		-10	) m	-15 m	
	Blades	Sheaths	Blades	Sheaths	Blades	Sheaths
July	41.2 ± 1.9	43.0 ± 2.5	41.9 ± 1.2	43.0 ± 2.2	42.2 ± 0.6	42.6 ± 2.8
October	41.3 ± 1.1	40.2 ± 1.2	42.9 ± 0.3	41.7 ± 2.3	40.4 ± 1.1	41.0 ± 0.3
January	40.7 ± 0.2	37.7 ± 3.1	40.6 ± 0.4	38.2 ± 3.7	40.1 ± 0.2	37.6 ± 2.7
April	40.5 ± 4.2	40.0 ± 3.1	41.7 ± 2.6	40.4 ± 3.9	42.4 ± 4.8	41.6 ± 1.5
	-20	m	-25 m		-30 m	
	Blades	Sheaths	Blades	Sheaths	Blades	Sheaths
July	42.2 ± 0.9	43.2 ± 2.4	41.7 ± 1.1	41.7 ± 3.0	41.5 ± 1.6	39.8 ± 1.6
October	41.3 ± 0.5	$41.8 \pm 0.4$	41.5 ± 0.8	41.3 ± 0.2	40.4 ± 8.0	45.3 ± 7.3
January	40.4 ± 0.2	39.0 ± 1.8	39.4 ± 0.2	38.6 ± 5.8	40.5 ± 0.3	40.2 ± 2.7
April	41.5 ± 1.2	40.0 ± 2.5	41.6 ± 2.7	40.1 ± 5.5	41.0 ± 1.4	40.6 ± 1.3

<sup>191</sup> Table I: Carbon content (in %; mean and confidence level 95%) in *P. oceanica* leaves according to

- 194 Blade and sheath production can be estimated for each depth (Table II). The entire leaf
- 195 production varies between 1 844.3 mg DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> at -5 m and 736.1 mg DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>
- 196 at -30 m (752.2 to 301.4 mg C.shoot<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>). Rhizome production varies between 59.2 and
- 197 34.8 mg DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> (24.9 to 14.7 mg C.shoot<sup>-1</sup>.year<sup>-1</sup>). Total primary production (blades,
- 198 sheaths and rhizomes) decreases with depth. The mean production, in carbon per shoot,
- 199 corresponds to 79 % for blades, 17 % for sheaths and 4 % for rhizomes.
- 200

201 Table II: Leaf primary production according to depth at the studied site.

Depth	Mean leaf	Mean blade	Mean blade   Blade production		Blade production
(m)	number.yr <sup>-1</sup>	mass (mg DM)	(mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	(mg C.shoot⁻¹)
5	8.6	177.7	1 519.3	40.9	621.5
10	7.5	139.5	1 046.3	41.7	436.8
15	6.6	164.7	1 093.6	41.3	451.4
20	7.0	121.6	851.2	41.4	352.0
25	7.3	124.1	909.7	41.0	373.2
30	6.4	93.8	595.6	40.8	243.1
Depth	Mean leaf	Mean sheath	Sheath production	Carbon	Sheath production
Depth (m)	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup>	Mean sheath mass (mg DM)	Sheath production (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon (%)	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )
Depth (m) 5	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup> 8.6	Mean sheath mass (mg DM) 38.0	Sheath production (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 324.9	Carbon (%) 40.2	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 130.7
Depth (m) 5 10	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup> 8.6 7.5	Mean sheath mass (mg DM) 38.0 33.1	Sheath production (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 324.9 248.6	Carbon (%) 40.2 40.8	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 130.7 101.5
Depth (m) 5 10 15	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup> 8.6 7.5 6.6	Mean sheath mass (mg DM) 38.0 33.1 32.7	Sheath production           (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )           324.9           248.6           217.3	Carbon (%) 40.2 40.8 40.7	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 130.7 101.5 88.4
Depth (m) 5 10 15 20	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup> 8.6 7.5 6.6 7.0	Mean sheath mass (mg DM) 38.0 33.1 32.7 25.2	Sheath production           (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )           324.9           248.6           217.3           176.3	Carbon (%) 40.2 40.8 40.7 41.0	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )           130.7           101.5           88.4           72.2
Depth (m) 5 10 15 20 25	Mean leaf number.yr <sup>-1</sup> 8.6 7.5 6.6 7.0 7.3	Mean sheath mass (mg DM) 38.0 33.1 32.7 25.2 26.1	Sheath production           (mg DM.shoot <sup>-1</sup> )           324.9           248.6           217.3           176.3           191.6	Carbon (%) 40.2 40.8 40.7 41.0 40.4	Sheath production (mg C.shoot <sup>-1</sup> ) 130.7 101.5 88.4 72.2 77.4

The mean total carbon fixation (blades, sheaths and rhizomes) varies between 426.6 and 33.5 g C.m<sup>-2</sup> with a clear decreasing trend according to depth (Fig. 4).

#### 205



- Figure 4: Annual mean carbon fixation (dotted line) and sequestration (solid line) by *P. oceanica* meadow (g  $C.m^{-2}$ ) according to depth; confidence interval (95%) is also reported. Fixation trend curve: y = -202.5 x ln(depth) + 724.6 (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.95); sequestration trend curve: y = -40.5 x ln(depth) + 145.5 (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.98).
- 211

The mean carbon sequestration (long-term sink in the matte), corresponding to the dead sheath and rhizome tissues, varies between 84.4 and 7.7 g C  $\cdot$ m<sup>-2</sup>.

214

The integration of the carbon fixation and sequestration values over the entire bathymetric range of the *P. oceanica* meadow at the study site makes it possible to estimate the total fixation rate at 22 068 t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>, *i.e.* an average value of 108.0 g C.m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, and the sequestration rate at 4 564.7 t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>, *i.e.* an average value of 22.3 g Cm<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to an average depth of 21 m over the entire site (Fig. 5).

220



Figure 5: Annual carbon fixation (A) and sequestration (B) by *P. oceanica* meadow (g C.m<sup>-2</sup>) according
 to depth.

224

#### 226 Discussion

Carbon fixation and sequestration by the *P. oceanica* meadow, measured in sector 2 (Fig. 1), corresponds to the sector with the largest extension of this habitat (more than 38% of the areas covered by *P. oceanica* along the Corsican coast; Valette *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, recorded values are similar to those measured sporadically in the Bay of Calvi, on the western coast of Corsica (Bay, 1984; Pergent-Martini *et al.*, 1994; Vela *et al.*, 2006).

232

Based on the values measured in sector 2, it is therefore possible to extrapolate the carbon fixation and sequestration, by *P. oceanica* meadows, for the entire coast of Corsica (Fig. 4). The areas covered in each sector as well as their average bathymetric extension provide a basis for determining the carbon fixation and sequestration rate (Table III). Total fixation is estimated at 69 275 t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>, an average of 1.29 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, while sequestration rate in the matte (dead sheaths and rhizomes) is estimated at 14 250 t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>, an average of 0.27 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table III).

240

242

241 Table III: *P. oceanica* meadow surface area, mean depth (from Valette *et al.,* 2019), carbon fixation (-

202.5 x  $\ln(depth)$  + 724.56 and carbon sequestration (-40.46 x  $\ln(depth)$  + 145.53) in the different

	J - / / /		0	<b>,</b>		,,
	P. oceanica surface area (ha)	Mean depth (m)	Mean C fixation (t C.ha <sup>-1</sup> .yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon fixation (t C.yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean C sequestration (t C.ha <sup>-1</sup> .yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon sequestration (t C.yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Sector 1	4 137	20	1.21	5 005	0.25	1 032
Sector 2	20 425	21*	1.08	22 068	0.22	4 565
Sector 3	3 078	19	1.34	4 116	0.27	846
Sector 4	6 179	16	1.68	10 395	0.34	2 124
Sector 5	3 534	18	1.42	5 001	0.29	1 026
Sector 6	1 430	16	1.70	2 424	0.35	495
Sector 7	2 765	18	1.38	3 804	0.28	781
Sector 8	2 981	18	1.40	4 168	0.29	856
Sector 9	763	20	1.21	927	0.25	191
Sector 10	719	18	1.44	1 038	0.30	213
Sector 11	1 505	18	1.35	2 029	0.28	417
Sector 12	2 940	20	1.21	3 572	0.25	736
Sector 13	1 784	17	1.55	2 766	0.32	566
Sector 14	1 497	19	1.31	1 961	0.27	403

sectors. In grey, sector 2, corresponding to the studied site, \*= updated in this study; C = carbon.

244

245 Although the *P. oceanica* meadow in sector 2 covers a very large area, it only allows an 246 average fixation rate of 1.08 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and a sequestration rate of 0.22 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, the 247 lowest values recorded along the coast of Corsica, because the average bathymetric range of 248 this meadow is the deepest one (-21 m; Table III). This is explained by its deep upper limit 249 due to significant hydrodynamic forces (exposed coast) and of areas unsuitable for P. 250 oceanica, near the mouth of coastal rivers (Fig. 1; Pasqualini et al., 1998; Boudouresque et 251 al., 2012). Conversely, for the sectors where the average bathymetric extension of the 252 meadow is shallower, the carbon fixation rate reaches 1.70 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and the 253 sequestration rate 0.35 t C.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> (sector 4 = East coast of the Natural Reserve of the Strait 254 of Bonifacio; sector 6 = Gulf of Valinco).

256 The primary production of the *P. oceanica* meadow has been the subject of numerous 257 studies, but they mainly concern the North-Western Mediterranean Sea (Bay, 1984; Buia et 258 al., 1992; Pergent-Martini et al., 1994; Cebrian et al., 1997; Torricelli & Peirano, 1997; 259 Guidetti & Fabiano 2000; Romero, 2004; Vela et al., 2006), even if some studies are available 260 for other areas of the Mediterranean Sea; Algeria (Boumaza & Semroud, 2000), Croatia 261 (Bakran-Petricioli & Schultz, 2010), Sicily (La Loggia et al., 2004), Turkey (Pergent-Martini et 262 al., 1994. These data confirm that, for a continuous meadow, primary production (mg 263 DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup>) decreases with depth (Fig. 6). However, for a given depth, the values can be 264 highly variable from one site to another (e.g. between 310 and 2 250 g DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup> at -2 m; 265 Boumaza & Semroud, 2000, Vela et al., 2006).

266



267

Figure 6: Annual primary production of *P. oceanica* (mg DM.shoot<sup>-1</sup>) according to depth and site, R<sup>2</sup> = determination coefficient is reported (from Pergent-Martini *et al.*, 1994; Bakran-Petricioli & Schultz, 270 2010 and this study).

271

The synthesis of a hundred measurements made throughout the Mediterranean Sea and at depths between 0.5 and 32.0 m provides a basis for estimation of the average primary leaf production (in g DM.m<sup>-2</sup>) according to the depth (Fig. 7). For a depth of 15 m, which corresponds to the average depth of *P. oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean Sea (mean extension between -2 and -28 m, in UNEP/MAP-RAC/SPA, 2015), annual primary leaf production (blades and sheaths) is estimated at 343 g DM.m<sup>-2</sup>.



287

Figure 7: Leaf annual primary production of *P. oceanica* meadow (g DM.m<sup>-2</sup>) according to depth in 281 the Mediterranean Sea (data from: Wittmann & Ott, 1982; Bedhomme et al., 1983; Bay, 1984; Thelin 282 & Giorgi, 1984; Wittmann, 1984; Esteban 1989; Romero, 1989; Esteban et al., 1990; Buia et al., 1992; 283 Pergent-Martini et al., 1994; Pergent et al., 1997; Torricelli & Peirano, 1997; Zupo et al., 1997; 284 Boumaza & Semroud, 2000; Guidetti, 2000; Guidetti et al., 2000; Guidetti & Fabiano, 2000; La Loggia 285 et al., 2004; Vela, 2006; Vela et al., 2006; Bakran-Petricioli & Schultz, 2010; this study). Trend curve: y 286  $= -227.2 \text{ x} \ln(\text{depth}) + 958.4 (R^2 = 0.43).$ 

288 Carbon concentrations in the leaf tissue of *P. oceanica* show great variability depending on 289 the site (Table IV); thus, they are on average higher in Corsica (38%, Gobert et al., 1995; 290 41.2%, this study), low at Alicante (27.9%, Romero et al., 1998) and intermediate at Ischia 291 (30.6 %, Romero et al., 1992; 31.3 %, Scartazza et al., 2017; 34.9 %, Garrard, 2013). 292 However, the carbon concentration in the tissues does not seem to be significantly impacted 293 by the depth or the season (Garrard, 2013; Table I) although Alcovero et al. (2000) highlights 294 a slight variation between winter and spring, probably related to the age of the leaf tissue. 295 The average of the values available in the Mediterranean Sea, for all sites and depths 296 combined, is estimated at  $37.8 \pm 1.6\%$  for leaf tissue and  $42.0 \pm 1.1\%$  for rhizomes (Table IV). 297 These values are lower than those measured for terrestrial Magnoliophyta: 47.5% as a mean 298 (Dupouey et al., 2000) and 44% in the case of mangrove trees (Bouillon et al., 2008).

- 299
- 300 Table IV: Carbon concentration (%) in leaf tissue and in the rhizomes of P. oceanica in the 301 Mediterranean Sea.

Country / Location	Depth (m)	% C in leaves	% C in rhizome	References
Spain / Catalonia	5.0 - 13.0	34.1 - 35.3	35.6	Romero, 1989
Italy / Ischia	20.0	30.6	35.7	Romero <i>et al.,</i> 1992
Spain	0.5 - 15	38.1		Enriquez <i>et al.,</i> 1995
France / Corsica	10.0	30.5 - 45.5		Gobert <i>et al.,</i> 1995
Spain / Alicante	5.0 - 20.0	26.9 - 29.0	38.1 - 38.6	Romero <i>et al.,</i> 1998
Spain / Catalonia	5.0	30.5 - 35.0	39.0	Alcovero <i>et al.,</i> 2000
Spain / Murcia	7.5	40.0		Ruiz, 2000

France / Corsica	10.0	32.1		Gobert <i>et al.,</i> 2005
France / Corsica	11.0	35.6		Leoni et al., 2007
France / Provence	2.0	38.2		Vela, 2006
France / Corsica	2.0	38.7		Vela, 2006
Italy / Sardinia	2.0	38.0		Vela, 2006
Tunisia / Cap Bon	2.0	37.7		Vela, 2006
Tunisia / South	2.0	37.4		Vela, 2006
Italy / Ischia	3.0	33.5 - 35.8		Garrard, 2013
Italy / Ischia	3.0	31.3	38.3	Scartazza et al., 2017
France / Corsica	5.0 - 30.0	37.6 - 43.2	38.0 - 45.8	This study
France / Corsica	8.0 - 37.0	35.1 - 42.4		Gobert unpublished

303 The average annual carbon fixation and sequestration rate throughout the Mediterranean 304 basin can be estimated from (i) the annual primary leaf production (blades and sheaths) for 305 the integrating depth of -15 m (343 g DM.m<sup>-2</sup>), (ii) the average proportion of the different 306 tissues produced estimated in this study (blades 79%, sheaths 17%, rhizomes 4%), and (iii) 307 the average carbon concentration of these tissues (37.8  $\pm$  1.6% for leaf tissue and 42.0  $\pm$ 1.1% for rhizomes). Thus, the fixation rate of the blades is estimated at 102.4 g C.m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>, 308 309 that of the sheaths at 22.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and that of the rhizomes at 5.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>; *i.e.* a total 310 fixation rate of 130.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup> and sequestration rate (dead sheaths and rhizomes) of 311 27.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>.

312

313 Based on the available meadow areas for each country, to date (UNEP-MAP-SPA/RAC, 2019), 314 it is possible to make a first evaluation of the amount of carbon fixed and sequestered by the 315 P. oceanica meadow each year (Table V). The total amount of carbon fixed is estimated at 3 316 638 909 tons per year and the amount sequestered at 776 971 tons per year, equivalent 317 respectively to 13 343 878 and 2 849 154 tons per year of CO<sub>2</sub> emission/release equivalent.

- 318

319 Table V: Annual carbon fixation and sequestration by P. oceanica meadows in different 320 Mediterranean countries. \* UNEP-MAP-SPA/RAC, 2019 ; \*\* Telesca et al., 2015 ; \*\*\* Duman et al., 321 2019 : \*\*\*\* Pergent-Martini *et al.*. 2013.

		=			
	Available P.	Surface	Estimated P.	Estimated C	Estimated
	oceanica	mapped	oceanica	fixation	sequestratio
	surface area	(%)**	surface (ha)	(t C.yr <sup>-1</sup> )	n
	(ha)*				(t C.yr <sup>-1</sup> )
Spain	115 904	100 %	115 904	150 907	32 221
France	87 680	100 %	87 680	114 159	24 379
Monaco	14	100 %	14	18	4
Italy	337 611	100 %	337 611	439 570	93 856
Slovenia	1	100 %	1	1	0
Croatia	31 437	14 %	224 550	292 364	62 425
Bosnia Herzegovina	Not present	100 %*	0		
Montenegro	51	10 %*	512	667	142
Albania	4 803	100 %	4 803	6 254	1 335
Malta	5 860	100 %	5 860	7 630	1 629
Greece	251 010	100 %*	251 010	326 815	69 781
Turkey	39 983	58 %***	68 937	89 756	19 164
Cyprus	3 627	23 %****	15 770	20 533	4 384

Syria, Lebanon,					
Palestinian territories,	Not present				
Israel					
Egypt	No data		0		
Libya	1 235	11 %	11 227	14 618	3 121
Tunisia	1 332 815	81 %	1 645 451	2 142 377	457 435
Algeria	4 085	16 %	25 531	33 241	7 098
Morocco	Not present	100 %	0		
Total	2 216 116		2 794 861	3 638 909	776 974

323 Fixation and sequestration of carbon in P. oceanica meadows can present a significant part 324 of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions/releases of the various Mediterranean countries (Table VI). Indeed, 325 even if this fixation represents only 0.61% on average for all Mediterranean countries, it 326 must be borne in mind that (i) seven countries, some of which have a large population (e.g. 327 Egypt, Morocco), have not identified any P. oceanica meadow, and (ii) that the length of the 328 Mediterranean coast is sometimes very limited compared to the surface area of the country 329 (e.g. Slovenia, Turkey, Morocco). However, this fixation may be high for certain countries 330 (e.g. 24.6% for Tunisia, 5.6% for Croatia).

Table VI: Carbon fixation in the different Mediterranean countries. Meadow surface area is estimated from the UNEP-MAP-SPA/RAC (2019), 2018 population and national CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the site http://www.globalcarbonatlas.org/en/CO2-emissions.

	Estimated	Estimated CO <sub>2</sub>	Population	Estimated CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> fixation
	C fixation	release*	(inhabitant)	release	by P. oceanica
	(t C)	(Mt yr⁻¹)		(t CO <sub>2</sub> .inhab <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)
Spain	150 907	268.0	46 692 858	5.74	0.21
France and Monaco	114 178	338.0	64 990 511	5.20	0.12
Italy	439 570	338.0	60 627 291	5.58	0.48
Slovenia	1.3	14.0	2 077 837	6.74	0.00
Croatia	292 364	19.0	4 156 405	4.57	5.64
Bosnia Herzegovina	0	22.0	3 323 925	6.62	0.00
Montenegro	667	2.0	627 809	3.19	0.12
Albania	6 254	4.6	2 882 740	1.60	0.50
Malte	7 630	1.6	439 248	3.64	1.75
Greece	326 815	74.0	10 522 246	7.03	1.62
Turkey	89 756	428.0	82 340 088	5.20	0.08
Cyprus	20 533	7.5	1 189 265	6.31	1.00
Syria	0	28.0	16 945 057	1.65	0.00
Lebanon	0	24.0	6 859 408	3.50	0.00
Ter. Palestinians	0	3.2	4 862 979	0.66	0.00
Israel	0	64.0	8 381 516	7.64	0.00
Egypt	0	239.0	98 423 598	2.43	0.00
Libya	14 618	54.0	6 678 559	8.09	0.10
Tunisia	2 142 377	32.0	11 565 201	2.77	24.55
Algeria	33 241	156.0	42 228 408	3.69	0.08
Morocco		66.0	36 029 093	1.83	0.00
Total (mean)	3 638 909	2 182.9	511 844 042	4.26	0.61

The large Mediterranean islands deserve special attention because the carbon fixation by *P. oceanica* is higher given the lower population density and the large areas of seagrass beds they shelter (Table VII). The annual carbon fixation is on average 3.1% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions/releases but can reach almost 14.4% for Corsica. Moreover, the percentage of carbon fixation in comparison with carbon release/emission in the large Mediterranean islands appears higher in the Western basin.

342

Table VII: Carbon fixation in the large Mediterranean islands. Meadow surfaces are estimated from the report of the UNEP-MAP-SPA/RAC (2019) and from Valette *et al*. (2019); national CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

345 from the site http://www.globalcarbonatlas.org/en/CO2-emissions; \* : This study.

	Surface P.	Carbon fixation	Release	Fixation by P.
	<i>oceanica</i> (ha)	(t C.yr⁻¹)	(t CO <sub>2</sub> .yr <sup>-1</sup> )	oceanica (%)
Balearic Islands	63 316	82 437	6 818 687	4.4
Corsica	53 736	69 275	1 763 983	14.4
Sardinia	153 382	199 703	9 143 077	8.0
Sicily	76 000	98 952	27 875 235	1.3
Cyprus	15 770	20 533	7 500 000	1.0
Crete	14 500	18 879	4 465 284	1.6
Total	396 927	516 799	59 166 267	3.1

346

Inter-relationships between variables were investigated by performing a Pearson correlation test (Table VIII); variables correspond to '**Po cover 0-40**' (% *P. oceanica* surface covered/total surface available between 0 and - 40 m in Valette *et al.*, 2019), '**C Fixation**' (total island carbon fixation by *P. oceanica* in t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>), . '**C Sequestration**' (total island carbon sequestration by *P. oceanica* in t C.yr<sup>-1</sup>), . '**C Sequestration**' (total island carbon released in t CO<sub>2</sub>.yr<sup>-1</sup>) and '**CO<sub>2</sub> Release Inhab**' (total island CO<sub>2</sub> released per inhabitant in t CO<sub>2</sub>.yr<sup>-1</sup>.inhab<sup>-1</sup> according to http://www.globalcarbonatlas.org/en/CO2-emissions).

354

355 The areas covered by the *P. oceanica* meadows between 0 and -40 m are significantly 356 greater for the islands located to the north (r = 0.941; p-value<0.01) and to the west (r = 357 0.904; p-value<0.05) of the Mediterranean Sea (*i.e.* Sardinia, Corsica, Balearic Islands). 358 Conversely, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita/inhabitant are negatively correlated with latitude (r = 359 0.863; p-value<0.05) reflecting higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for the inhabitants of the islands in the 360 south of the Mediterranean basin (i.e. Cyprus, Crete). Thus, the northwestern 361 Mediterranean islands, characterized by lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita/inhabitant and 362 greater coverage of P. oceanica meadows within the bathymetric range from 0 to -40 m, 363 appear as sectors where the ratio  $CO_2$  fixed /  $CO_2$  released is the highest (r = 0.880; p-364 value<0.05)

Finally, the carbon sequestered by *P. oceanica* meadows in the matte is significantly correlated with the fixation of carbon produced by the plant (r = 0.979; p-value<0.001).

367

Table VIII: Pearson's correlation matrix between geographical, environmental and carbon variables. Level of significance: \*p $\leq$ 0.05, \*\*p $\leq$ 0.01, \*\*\*p $\leq$ 0.001; NS, p $\geq$ 0.05; Significant correlations in bold (r value).

Variables	Longitude	Latitude	Po cover 0-40	C Fixation	C Sequestration	% CO₂ Fixed	CO₂ Release Inhab
Longitude		-0.854	-0.904	-0.574	-0.621	-0.529	0.720

Latitude	*		0.941	0.544	0.547	0.880	-0.863
Po cover 0-40	*	**		0.473	0.511	0.794	-0.681
<b>C</b> Fixation	NS	NS	NS		0.979	0.316	-0.594
<b>C</b> Sequestration	NS	NS	NS	***		0.312	-0.523
% CO <sub>2</sub> Fixed	NS	*	NS	NS	NS		-0.673
CO <sub>2</sub> Release Inhab	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	

372 Carbon fixation by *P. oceanica* is high with an average of 1 302 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>. Even if the areas

covered by *P. oceanica* meadows are smaller than those of other terrestrial ecosystems, this
ecosystem represents around 4% of the areas covered by forest ecosystems in all
Mediterranean countries (FAO & Plan Bleu, 2018) and more than 20% for the six large
Mediterranean islands (FAO & Plan Bleu, 2018).

377

378 Morever, the major advantage of the *P. oceanica* meadow lies in its capacity to store carbon 379 in soil from centuries to millennia. The average carbon stock found in the matte, resulting 380 from the accumulation of 21% of annual primary production, varies between 40 and 237 kg 381 C.m<sup>-2</sup> (Romero *et al.* 1994, Mateo *et al.* 1997, Serrano *et al.*, 2012; 2014). These values are 382 similar or higher than those measured in several terrestrial ecosystems considered to be efficient in carbon storage such as peatlands (120 kg C.m<sup>-2</sup>; Warner *et al.*, 1993), wetlands 383 384 (13-73 kg C.m<sup>-2</sup>; Laffoley & Grimsditch 2009) and the boreal forests (9-34 kg C.m<sup>-2</sup>; Serrano et 385 al. 2014) and Mediterranean forest (5.7 kg C.m<sup>-2</sup>; FAO & Plan Bleu, 2018).

386 387

#### 388 Acknowledgments

This work would not have been possible without the financial support of the Agence Française pour la Biodiversité, the Collectivité de Corse (PADDUC-CHANGE programme), the Uffiziu di Ambiente di a Corsica. A special thanks to Maria Cristina Buia (Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Ischia - Italy) and Sylvie Gobert (University of Liège) for providing raw data and Michael Paul for proof-reading the manuscript.

394

# 395

## **References**

- Akçalı B., Kaboğlu G., Güçlüsoy H., 2019. A review on *Posidonia oceanica* (Linnaeus) Delile
   coverage along the Turkish coasts until 2019. *J. Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment*, 25(1): 115-124.
- Alcoverro T., Manzanera M., Romero J., 2000. Nutrient mass balance of the seagrass
   *Posidonia oceanica*: the importance of nutrient retranslocation. *Marine Ecology Progress Serie*, 194: 13-21.
- Bakran-Petricioli T., Schultz S., 2010. Biometry and leaf lepidochronology of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* in the Croatian Adriatic. *Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> Mediterranean Symposium on marine vegetation* (Yasmine-Hammamet, Tunisia), 2-4 December
  2010, El Asmi S., Langar H., Belkacem W (eds.), RAC/SPA publ., Tunis: 28-31.
- 407 Bay D., 1984. A field study of the growth dynamics and productivity of *Posidonia oceanica*408 (L.) Delile in Calvi Bay, Corsica. *Aquatic Botany*, 20: 43-64.
- Bédhomme A.L., Thelin I., Boudouresque C.F., 1983. Mesure de la production primaire des
  feuilles de *Posidonia oceanica* : modification de la méthode de Zieman. *Botanica*

- 411 *marina*, 26 : 35-43.
- Boudouresque C.F., Jeudy de Grissac A., Meinesz A., 1984. Relation entre la sédimentation et
  l'allongement des rhizomes orthotropes de *Posidonia oceanica* dans la baie d'Elbu
  (Corse). International Workshop on *Posidonia oceanica* Beds. C.F. Boudouresque. A.
  Jeudy de Grissac and J. Olivier Eds. GIS Posidonie pub., Marseille: 185-191.
- Boudouresque C.F., Bernard G., Bonhomme P., Charbonnel E., Diviacco G., Meinesz A.,
  Pergent G., Pergent-Martini C., Ruitton S., Tunesi L., 2012. Protection and
  conservation of *Posidonia oceanica* meadows. RAMOGE and RAC/SPA publ., Tunis.
  202pp.
- Boudouresque C.F., Pergent G., Pergent-Martini C., Ruitton S., Thibaut T., Verlaque V., 2016.
  The necromass of the *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadow: Fate, role, ecosystem
  services and vulnerability. *Hydrobiologia*, 781: 25-42.
- Bouillon S., Borges A.V., Castañeda-Moya E., Diele K., Dittmar T., Duke N.C., Kristensen E.,
  Lee S.Y., Marchand C., Middelburg J.J., Rivera-Monroy V.H., Smith III T.J., Twilley R.R.,
  2008. Mangrove production and carbon sinks: A revision of global budget estimates.
  Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 22: 1-12.
- 427 Boumaza S., Semroud S., 2000. Evaluation of past and present primary production in 428 *Posidonia oceanica* beds from the cove of Kouali (Tipasa, Algeria) using the 429 lepidochronological method. *Biologia marina mediterranea*, 7 (2): 26-30.
- Buia M.C., Zupo V., Mazzella L., 1992. Primary production and growth dynamics in *Posidonia oceanica. PSZN Marine Ecology*, 13 (1): 2-16.
- 432 Cebrián J., Duarte C.M., 2001. Detrital stocks and dynamics of the seagrass *Posidonia* 433 *oceanica* (L.) Delile in the Spanish Mediterranean. *Aquatic Botany*, 70: 295-309.
- Cebrián J., Duarte C.M., Marbà N., Enríquez S., 1997. Magnitude and fate of the production
   of four co-occuring Western Mediterranean seagrass species. *Marine Ecology Progress Serie*, 155: 29-44.
- Chmura G.L., Anisfeld S.C., Cahoon D.R., Lynch J.C., 2003. Global carbon sequestration in
   tidal, saline wetland soils. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 17 (4).
- 439 Duarte C.M., Chiscano C.L., 1999. Seagrass biomass and production: a reassessment. Aquatic
   440 Botany, 65: 159–174.
- 441 Duarte C.M., Middelburg J.J., Caraco N., 2005. Major role of marine vegetation on the 442 oceanic carbon cycle. *Biogeosciences*, 2: 1-8.
- Duman M., Eronat A.H., Ilhan T., Talas E., Küçüksezgin F., 2019. Mapping *Posidonia oceanica*(Linnaeus) Meadows in the Eastern Aegean Sea Coastal Areas of Turkey: Evaluation of
  Habitat Maps Produced Using the Acoustic Ground-Discrimination Systems. *International Journal of Environment and Geoinformatics* (IJEGEO), 6(1): 67-75. DOI:
  10.30897/ijegeo.
- 448 Dupouey J.L., Pignard G., Badeau V., Thimonier A., Dhote J.F., Nepveu G., Berges L., Augusto
  449 L., Belkacem S., Nys C., 2000. Carbon stocks and flux in French forests; Stocks et flux
  450 de carbone dans les forets francaises. *Revue Forestiere Francaise* : 139-154.
- Enriquez S., Duarte C.M., Sand-Jensen K., 1995.Patterns in the photosynthetic metabolism of
   Mediterranean macrophytes. *Marine Ecology Progress Serie*, 199: 243-252.
- Esteban JL., 1989. Dinamica, ciclo de hojas y produccion foliar en praderas de *Posidonia oceanica* del litoral de la comunidad valenciana (Mediterraneo occidental). Tesis de
   Licenciatura, Universitat de Valencia: 1-142.
- Esteban, J.L., Giner, I.M., Benedito, V., Torres, J., Capaccioni, R., Garcia-Carrascosa, A.M.,
   1990. Leaf biomass and production of *Posidonia oceanica* at Spanish Eastern Coast.

- 458 Rapp. P-V Reun. Comm. Int. Explor. Sci. Mer. Mediterr. Monaco, 32: 8.
- FAO and Plan Bleu, 2018. State of Mediterranean Forests 2018.Food and Agriculture
   Organization of theUnited Nations, Rome and Plan Bleu, Marseille: 331pp.
- 461 FCCC, 2015. Conference of the Parties: Twenty-first session. United Nations Framework
   462 Convention on Climate Change. Paris, 30 November to 11 December 2015, 32pp.
- Fourqurean J.W., Duarte C.M., Kennedy H., Marbà N., Holmer M., Mateo M.A., Apostolaki
  E.T., Kendrick G.A., Krause-Jensen D., Mcglathery K.J., Serrano O., 2012. Seagrass
  ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. *Nature Geoscience*, 5: 505-509.
- Garrard S.L., 2013. The effect of ocean acidification on plant animal interactions in a
   *Posidonia oceanica* meadow. Thesis of the Open University Milton Keynes (UK) and
   Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn: 1-318.
- 469 Ghasemi A., Zahediasl S., 2012. Normality Tests for Statistical Analysis: A Guide for Non-470 Statisticians. *International Journal Endocrinology Metabolism*, 10 (2): 486–489.
- Giakoumi S., Sini M., Gerovasileiou V., Mazor T., Beher J., Possingham H.P., Abdulla A., Cinar
  M.E., Dendrinos P., Gucu A.C., Karamanlidis A.A., Rodic P., Panayotidis P., Taskin E.,
  Jaklin A., Voultsiadou E., Webster C., Zenetos A., Katsanevakis S., 2013. EcoregionBased Conservation Planning in the Mediterranean: Dealing with Large-Scale
  Heterogeneity. *PLoS ONE*, 8 (10) : e76449. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076449.
- 476 Giraud G., 1979. Sur une méthode de mesure et de comptage des structures foliaires de
   477 *Posidonia oceanica* (Linnaeus) Delile. *Bulletin Museum Histoire Naturelle Marseille*,
   478 39: 33-39.
- 479 Global Carbon Atlas. http://www.globalcarbonatlas.org/en/CO2-emissions. Accessed 28 May480 2020.
- 481 Gobert S., Belkhiria S., Dauby P., Havelange S., Soullard M., Bouquegneau J.M., 1995.
  482 Variations temporelles de la phénologie et de la composition biochimique de la 483 phanérogame marine *Posidonia oceanica* en baie de Calvi. *Bulletin Société Royale* 484 *Sciences Liège*, 64 (4-5) : 263-284.
- Gobert S., Lejeune P., Lepoint G., Bouquegneau J.M., 2005. C, N, P concentrations and requi rements of flowering *Posidonia oceanica* shoots. *Hydrobiologia*, 533: 253-259.
- 487 Guidetti P., 2000. Leaf primary production in *Posidonia oceanica*: two reconstructive aging 488 techniques give similar results. *Aquatic Botany*, 68: 337–343.
- Guidetti P., Buia M.C., Mazzella L., 2000. The Use of Lepidochronology as a Tool of Analysis
   of Dynamic Features in the Seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* of the Adriatic Sea. *Botanica Marina*, 43: 1-9.
- Guidetti P., Fabiano M., 2000. The use of lepidochronology to assess the impact of
   terrigenous discharges on the primary leaf production of the Mediterranean seagrass
   *Posidonia oceanica. Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 40 (5): 449-453.
- Guiry M.D., Guiry G.M., 2020. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication. National
   University of Ireland. Galway. https://www.algaebase.org; searched on 09 April 2020.
- IPS, 2008. Peatlands and Climate Change. Maria Strack, University of Calgary, Canada (Edit);
   International Peat Society (Pub.): 227pp.
- Jamaludin M.R., 2015. Carbon storage and preservation in seagrass meadows. Thesis in
   Environmental Science, Edith Cowan University Western Australia: 188pp.
- 501 Kennedy H., Björk M., 2009. Seagrass Meadows. In: Laffoley D., Grimsditch G. (Eds), The 502 Management of Coastal Carbon Sinks. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland: pp.23-30.
- La Loggia G., Calvo S., Ciraolo G., Mazzola A., Pirrotta M., Sara G., Tomasello A., Vizzini S., 2004. Influence of hydrodynamic conditions on the production and fate of *Posidonia*

- 505 *oceanica* in a semi-enclosed shallow basin (Stagnone di Marsala, Western Sicily). 506 *Chemistry Ecology*, 20 (3): 183-201.
- Laffoley D, Grimsditch G 2009. The Management of Natural Coastal Carbon Sinks. IUCN,Gland, Switzerland: 53pp.
- Leoni V., Pasqualini V., Pergent-Martini C., Vela A., Pergent G., 2007. Physiological responses
   of *Posidonia oceanica* to experimental nutrient enrichment of the canopy water.
   Journal of Experimental Marine Biology Ecology, 349 (1): 73-83.
- Lo Iacono C., Mateo M.A., Gracia E., Guasch L., Carbonell R., Serrano L., Serrano O.,
   Danõbeitia J., 2008. Very high-resolution seismo-acoustic imaging of seagrass
   meadows (Mediterranean Sea): Implications for carbon sink estimates. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35 (18): 1-5.
- Mateo M.A., Romero J., Pérez M., Littler M.M., Littler D.S., 1997. Dynamics of millenary
   organic deposits resulting from the growth of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica. Estuarine, Coastal Shelf Science.*, 44: 103-110.
- Mateo M.A., Cebrián J., Dunton K., Mutchler T., 2006. Carbon flux in seagrass ecosystems. In
   Seagrass: Biology, Ecology and Conservation, Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM eds,
   Springer, New York: 159-192.
- Meinesz A., Genot I., Hesse B., 1990. Données quantitatives sur les biocénoses littorales
   marines de la Corse et impact de l'aménagement du littoral. GIS Posidonie et DRAE
   Corse publ., Marseille : 1-22.
- 525Monnier B., Pergent G., Valette-Sansevin A., Boudouresque C.F., Mateo M.A., Pergent-526Martini C.; In Press. The Posidonia oceanica matte: A unique coastal carbon sink for527climate change mitigation and implications for management. Vie & Milieu.
- Nellemann C., Corcoran E., Duarte C.M., Valdés L., De Young C., Fonseca L., Grimsditch G.,
   2009. Blue carbon. The role of healthy oceans in binding carbon. A rapid response
   assessment. United Nations Environment Programme publ., GRID-Arendal, Norway:
   1-80.
- Pasqualini V., Pergent-Martini C., Clabaut P., Pergent G., 1998. Mapping of *Posidonia oceanica* using aerial photographs and side-scan sonar: Application of the island of
   Corsica (France). *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Science*, 47: 359-367.
- Pergent G., 1990. Lepidochronological analysis of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile:
  a standardized approach. *Aquatic Botany*, 37: 39-54.
- Pergent G., Bazairi H., Bianchi C.N., Boudouresque C.F., Buia M.C., Calvo S., Clabaut P.,
   Harmelin-Vivien M., Mateo. M.A., Montefalcone M., Morrl C., Orfanidis S., Pergent Martini C., Semroud R., Serrano O., Thibaut T., Tomasello T., Verlaque M., 2014.
   Climate change and Mediterranean seagrass meadows: a synopsis for environmental
   managers. *Mediterranean Marine Science*. 15/2 Free Open Access.
- Pergent G., Pergent-Martini C., 1990. Some Applications of Lepidochronological Analysis in
   the Seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*. *Botanica Marina*, 33: 299-310.
- 544Pergent G., Rico-Raimondino V., Pergent-Martini C., 1997. Fate of primary production in545Posidonia oceanica meadows of the Mediterranean. Aquatic Botany, 59: 307-321.
- Pergent G., Romero J., Pergent-Martini C., Mateo M.A., Boudouresque C.F., 1994. Primary
   production stocks and fluxes in the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*.
   *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 106: 139-146.
- 549Pergent-Martini C., Bonacorsi M., Bein A., Braschi J., Kremmer L., Pergent G., 2013. Mapping550of coastlines of the Republic of Cyprus Progress report, April 2013. Agreement

- 551 DFMR Republic of Cyprus, GIS Posidonie & AP Marine Environmental Consultancy Ltd, 552 Ref n°1115, GIS Posidonie Publ., Corte: 1-38.
- 553 Pergent-Martini C., Rico-Raimondino V., Pergent G., 1994. Primary production of *Posidonia* 554 *oceanica* in the Mediterranean basin. *Marine Biology*, 120: 9-15.
- 555Reed D.C., Brzezinski M.A., 2009. Kelp forests *in* Laffoley D. d'A.and Grimsditch G. (eds). The556management of natural coastal carbon sinks. IUCN. Gland. Switzerland: 31-37.
- Romero J., 2004. Las praderas de Fanerógamas marinas. La producción primaria y su destino.
   Caractérísticas de los restos de la planta. Luque A.A., Templado J. edit., *Praderas y bosques marinos de Andalucía*, Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Junta de Andalucía
   publ., Sevilla: 74-81.
- Romero J., 1989. Primary production of *Posidonia oceanica* beds in the Medas Islands
   (Girona, NE Spain). *International Workshop on Posidonia beds*, Boudouresque C.F.,
   Meinesz A., Fresi E., Gravez V. édit., GIS Posidonie publ., Marseille, 2: 85-91.
- 564Romero J., Pergent G., Pergent-Martini C., Mateo M.A., Regnier C., 1992. The detritic565compartment in a *Posidonia oceanica* meadow: litter features, decomposition rates566and mineral stocks. *PSZN Marine Ecology*, 13 (1): 69-83.
- Romero J., Perez M., Mateo M.A., Sala E., 1994. The belowground organs of the
   Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* as a biogeochemical sink. *Aquatic Botany*, 47: 13-19.
- Romero J., Perez M., Alcoverro T., Mateo M.A., Sanchez-Lizaso J.L., 1998. Production ecology
   of *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile meadows in Nueva Tabarca marine Reserve: growth,
   biomass and nutrient stocks along a bathymetric gradient. *Oecologia aquatica*, 11:
   111-121.
- 574Ruiz J.M., 2000. Respuesta de la fanerogama marina *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile. A575perturbaciones antropicas. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad de Murcia: 212pp.
- Scartazza A., Moscatello S., Gavrichkova O., Buia M.C., Lauteri M., Batistelli A., Lorenti M.,
   Garrard S.L., Calfapietra C., Brugnoli E., 2017. Carbon and nitrogen allocation strategy
   in *Posidonia oceanica* is altered by seawater acidification. *Science of the Total Environment*, 607-608: 954-964.
- 580Serrano O., Lavery PS, Rozaimi M, Mateo MA 2014. Influence of water depth on the carbon581sequestration capacity of seagrass. Global Biogeochem Cy 28: 950-961.
- 582 Serrano O., Mateo M.A., Renom P., Julià R., 2012. Characterization of soils beneath a 583 *Posidonia oceanica* meadow. *Geoderma*, 185: 26-36.
- 584 Spalding M., Taylor M., Ravilious C., Short F., Green E., 2003. The distribution and status of 585 seagrasses. World Atlas of Seagrasses: 5-26.
- Telesca L., Belluscio A., Criscoli A., Ardizzone G., Apostolaki E.T., Fraschetti S., Gristina M.,
   Knittweis L., Martin C.S., Pergent G., Alagna A., Badalamenti F., Garofalo G., Gerakaris
   V., Pace M.L., Pergent-Martini C., Salomidi M., 2015. Seagrass meadows (*Posidonia oceanica*) distribution and trajectories of change. *Scientific Reports*, 5: 1-14 (12505).
- Tomasello A., Di Maida G., Calvo S., Pirrota M., Borra M., Procaccini G., 2009. Seagrass
   meadows at the extreme of environmental tolerance: the case of *Posidonia oceanica* in a semi-enclosed coastal lagoon. *Marine Ecology*, 30: 288-300.
- Thelin I., Giorgi J., 1984. Production de feuilles dans un herbier superficiel à *Posidonia oceanica* évaluée par une méthode dérivée de la méthode de ZIEMAN. International *Workshop on Posidonia oceanica beds,* Boudouresque C.F., Jeudy De Grissac A.,
  Olivier J. édit., GIS Posidonie publ., Marseille, 1: 271-276.

- Topouzelis K., Makri D., Stoupas N., Papakonstantinou A., Katsanevakis S., 2018. Seagrass
   mapping in Greek territorial waters using Landsat-8 satellite images. *International Journal Applied Earth Observation Geoinformation*, 67: 98-113.
- Torricelli L., Peirano A., 1997. Primary leaf production in the *Posidonia oceanica* meadow of
   Monterosso al Mare (eastern Ligurian Sea): variations along a depth gradient (in
   Italian). *Biologia Marina Mediterranea*, 4 (1): 81-85.
- Traganos D., Aggarwal B., Poursanidis D., Topouzelis K., Chrysoulakis N., Reinartz P., 2018.
   Towards global-scale seagrass mapping and monitoring using Sentinel-2 on Google
   Earth Engine: The case study of the Aegean and Ionian seas. *Remote Sensing*, 10 (8):
   1227.
- UNEP-MAP-RAC/SPA. 2009. State of knowledge on the geographical distribution of marine
   magnoliophyta meadows in the Mediterranean. Information Document for the Ninth
   meeting of the Focal Points for SPAs. prepared by R. Leonardini. G. Pergent & C.F.
   Boudouresque. Floriana Malta. 3-6 June 2009. RAC/SPA Publ.. UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG
   331/Inf 5: 1-374.
- UNEP-MAP-RAC/SPA, 2015. Guidelines for Standardization of Mapping and Monitoring
   Methods of Marine Magnoliophyta in the Mediterranean. Christine Pergent-Martini,
   Edits., RAC/SPA publ., Tunis: 48pp + Annexes.
- UNEP-MAP-SPA/RAC, 2019. Updating of the Action Plan for the Conservation of Marine
   Vegetation in the Mediterranean Sea. Fourteenth Meeting of SPA/BD Thematic Focal
   Points. Portorož, Slovenia, 18-21 June 2019: 25pp + Annexes.
- UNEP-WCMC, 2018. Global distribution of seagrasses (version 6.0). Sixth update to the data
   layer used in Green and Short (2003). Cambridge (UK): UN Environment World
   Conservation Monitoring Centre. URL: http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/7.
- Valette-Sansevin A., Pergent G., Buron K., Damier E., Pergent-Martini C., 2019. Continuous
   mapping of benthic habitats along the coast of Corsica: A tool for the inventory and
   monitoring of blue carbon ecosystems. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 20 (3): 585 593.
- Vela A., 2006. Fonctionnement et production primaire des herbiers à *Posidonia oceanica* (L.)
   Delile en Méditerranée. Thèse Doctorat Université de Corse : 1-155.
- Vela A., Leoni V., Pergent G., Pergent-Martini C., 2006. Relevance of Leaf matter loss in the
   functioning of *Posidonia oceanica* system. *Biologia Marina Mediterranea*. 13(4): 102 106.
- 630Warner B.G., Clymo R.S., Tolonen K., 1993. Implications of peat accumulation at Point631Escuminac, New Brunswick. Quarternary Res., 39: 245-248.
- Wittmann K.J., 1984. Temporal and morphological variations of growth in a natural stand of
   *Posidonia oceanica. PSZN Marine Ecology*, 5 (4): 301-316.
- Wittmann K.J., Ott J.A., 1982. Effects of cropping on growth in the Mediterranean seagrass
   *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile. *PSZN Marine Ecology*, 3 (2): 151-159.
- 636 Zupo V., Buia M.C., Mazzella L., 1997. A production model for *Posidonia oceanica* based on
   637 temperature. *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Science*, 44: 485-492.
- 638