

Deciphering ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm hemodynamics in relation to biomechanical properties

Giuseppe de Nisco, Paola Tasso, Karol Calò, Valentina Mazzi, Diego Gallo, Francesca Condemi, Solmaz Farzaneh, Stéphane Avril, Umberto Morbiducci

▶ To cite this version:

Giuseppe de Nisco, Paola Tasso, Karol Calò, Valentina Mazzi, Diego Gallo, et al.. Deciphering ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm hemodynamics in relation to biomechanical properties. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2020. hal-03139655

HAL Id: hal-03139655 https://hal.science/hal-03139655

Submitted on 12 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

```
Title page
```

1	Deciphering ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm
2	hemodynamics in relation to biomechanical properties
3	
4	Giuseppe De Nisco ¹ , Paola Tasso ¹ , Karol Calò ¹ , Valentina Mazzi ¹ , Diego Gallo ¹ ,
5	Francesca Condemi ² , Solmaz Farzaneh ² , Stéphane Avril ² , Umberto Morbiducci ^{1†}
6	
7 8	¹ Polito ^{BIO} Med Lab, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy
9 10	² Mines Saint-Etienne, Université de Lyon, INSERM, U 1059 SAINBIOSE, F - 42023 Saint-Etienne France
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	⁺ Address for correspondence:
23	Umberto Morbiducci, Ph.D.
24	Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino
25	Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24 - 10129 Turin, Italy
26	Tel.: +39 011 0906882
27	Fax: +39 011 5646999
28	E-mail: <u>umberto.morbiducci@polito.it</u>
29	

30 Abstract

The degeneration of the arterial wall at the basis the ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm (ATAA) is a complex multifactorial process, which may lead to clinical complications and, ultimately, death. Individual genetic, biological or hemodynamic factors are inadequate to explain the heterogeneity of ATAA development/progression mechanisms, thus stimulating the analysis of their complex interplay.

36 Here the disruption of the hemodynamic environment in the ATAA is investigated integrating 37 patient-specific computational hemodynamics, CT-based in vivo estimation of local aortic stiffness and advanced fluid mechanics methods of analysis. The final aims are (1) deciphering the ATAA 38 39 spatiotemporal hemodynamic complexity and its link to near-wall topological features, and (2) identifying the existing links between arterial wall degeneration and hemodynamic insult. 40 41 Technically, two methodologies are applied to computational hemodynamics data, the wall shear 42 stress (WSS) topological skeleton analysis, and the Complex Networks theory. The same analysis was extended to the healthy aorta. 43 As main findings of the study, we report that: (1) different spatiotemporal heterogeneity 44 45 characterizes the ATAA and healthy hemodynamics, that markedly reflect on their WSS topological skeleton features; (2) a clear link (stronger than canonical WSS-based descriptors) emerges 46 47 between the variation of contraction/expansion action exerted by WSS on the endothelium along 48 the cardiac cycle, and ATAA wall stiffness. The findings of the study suggest the use of advanced methods for a deeper understanding of the hemodynamics disruption in ATAA, and candidate WSS 49

50 topological skeleton features as promising indicators of local wall degeneration.

Highlights 52

53	• Different levels of spatiotemporal heterogeneity characterize the aneurysmal and h	ealthy
54	ascending aorta hemodynamics, reflecting on wall shear stress topological skeleton	•
55	 Peculiar wall shear stress topological skeleton features are linked to local ascending 	g thoracic
56	aortic aneurysms stiffness.	
57	• The topological shear variation index, a measure of wall shear stress luminal	
58	contraction/expansion action variation along the cardiac cycle, is an indicator of loc	al aortic
59	wall degradation, performing better than canonical wall shear stress-based descrip	tors of flow
60	disturbances.	
61	• Wall shear stress topological skeleton analysis, combined with Complex Networks t	heory,
62	contributes to better determine whether arterial wall degeneration, in combination	າ with
63	hemodynamic insult, leads to aneurysmal progression/rupture.	
64		

65 Introduction

66 Ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm (ATAA) is an unphysiological localized ballooning of the aorta 67 at the ascending segment proximal to the brachiocephalic trunk, which can grow in size and eventually rupture, leading to serious complications and death. The common clinical treatment of 68 69 ATAA consists in surgical repair by replacing the diseased aortic segment with a synthetic graft 70 [1,2]. Due to significant mortality rates associated to prophylactic surgery (3-5 %) [1], indications 71 for surgical treatment depend on the estimated maximum aortic diameter, with a suggested 72 critical threshold value of 5.5 cm (except for patients with Marfan syndrome) [2–4]. However, the 73 diameter-based criterion for surgical intervention has been widely recognized as inadequate [5,6]. 74 To find complementary or alternative markers of ATAA risk of rupture, a plethora of studies have focused on, e.g., genetic, biological, structural and biomechanical factors involved in the ATAA wall 75 76 dilatation/degeneration [7–13]. However, current evidence is still insufficient to rely on 77 biomechanical factors alone [10,12], and rather suggests a possible relation between ATAA 78 hemodynamics and wall mechanical properties, which might better explain the complexity of ATAA development and progression. 79 80 In particular, the analysis of aortic hemodynamics, with the assessment of distinguishable 81 intravascular flow features and wall shear stress (WSS) distribution on the luminal surface as 82 obtained from in vivo [14–17] and patient-specific computational studies [18–22], have been 83 suggested to derive markers of rupture risk, giving the supposed link between hemodynamic features and ATAA wall mechanical properties. For instance, previous follow-up studies reported a 84 significant association between the luminal exposition to relatively low WSS values and ascending 85 86 aorta wall weakening and dilatation in ATAA patients [21,23,24]. 87 In this context, we propose a framework combining patient-specific computational fluid dynamics

(CFD), advanced fluid mechanics analysis and personalized *in vivo* estimates of the local aortic

89 stiffness. The aims are to interpret the complex role played by local hemodynamics in ATAA and to 90 decipher the existing links between near-wall features, intravascular flow structures and ATAA 91 wall stiffness. More specifically, changes in hemodynamics associated with pathologic aortic 92 dilatation were analyzed by applying two recently proposed methodologies on two subject-93 specific (1 healthy - 1 diseased) CFD models. The first approach relies on the analysis of WSS 94 vector field topological skeleton, essentially consisting of a collection of critical points (i.e., locations at the luminal surface of the vessel where the WSS vanishes), and special lines which 95 96 separate the luminal surface into areas of different WSS behavior, ultimately identifying where an 97 expansion/contraction action is exerted by WSS on the endothelium (which is expected to have biological relevance) [25][26]. The second one exploits Complex Networks (CNs) theory to describe 98 99 the spatiotemporal heterogeneity in near-wall and intravascular flow patterns, revealing 100 information embedded in hemodynamic quantities waveforms (along the cardiac cycle) and useful to describe nonlinear phenomena involving them [27]. Both approaches were combined here to 101 relate near-wall hemodynamics and intravascular flow patterns, which are expected to markedly 102 103 affect WSS features [28,29]. Finally, ATAA local stiffness was obtained through a recently proposed 104 inverse method based on gated CT scans [30]. Spatial correlations with WSS features were 105 extracted from the topological skeleton analysis to ultimately associate ATAA complex 106 hemodynamic features and wall mechanical properties.

108 Methods

One 36 years old healthy subject with no history of cardiovascular diseases, and one 59 years old subject with bicuspid aortic valve (BAV), moderate aortic valve insufficiency and a 6.03 cm x 5.95 cm ATAA were enrolled in the study after informed consent. An overview of the methods applied in this study is provided in Figure 1 and detailed onwards.

113 Medical imaging and geometry reconstruction

114 The two subjects were imaged by a 3T MR scanner (Siemens Magnetom Prisma) without contrast

agent, using the same 4D flow MRI protocol exhaustively detailed elsewhere [22]. Based on 4D

116 flow MRI images, the 3D geometries of each subject were reconstructed using CRIMSON

117 (CardiovasculaR Integrated Modelling and SimulatiON) [31]. The resulting geometries included the

ascending thoracic aorta, the aortic arch, the descending thoracic aorta and the supra-aortic

119 vessels (brachiocephalic artery - BCA, left common carotid artery - LCCA, and left subclavian artery

120 - LSA, as shown in Figure 1).

Additionally, the diseased subject underwent ECG gated CT imaging in order to identify ATAA wall

local stiffness with a recently proposed inverse method [30] detailed below.

123 In vivo aortic wall stiffness estimation

124 A recently proposed non-invasive inverse approach was applied here to identify aortic wall

stiffness for the diseased subject. Briefly, ATAA geometries at ten phases along the cardiac cycle,

including diastolic and systolic phases, were (1) reconstructed from gated CT scans using MIMICS

127 (v.10.01, Materialise NV), and (2) meshed ensuring a set of nodes attached to the same material

points at all the recorded cardiac phases. Based on the Fourier series analysis of temporal changes

in position of each node, the local strain distribution was reconstructed and the wall stiffness at

every location was derived by satisfying the local equilibrium equation under the acting tensions.

131 The strategy applied to estimate local wall stiffness *in vivo* is exhaustively detailed elsewhere [30].

132 Computational hemodynamics

The finite element-based open-source code SimVascular (http://simvascular.github.io/) was 133 134 adopted to solve the governing equations of fluid motion in the two models. In detail, the Navier-135 Stokes equations, in their discretized form and under unsteady flow conditions, were solved using 136 a stabilized finite element method supporting the use of linear tetrahedral elements (P1–P1) in the 137 SimVascular flow solver for velocity and pressure [32]. Newtonian blood rheology (with prescribed constant density and dynamic viscosity respectively equal to 1050 kg m⁻³ and 0.0035 Pa s) and rigid 138 wall with no-slip condition were assumed. To ensure a grid-independent solution, based on a 139 140 mesh sensitivity analysis, an average tetrahedral element size of 1.05·10⁻³ m with a near-wall 141 refinement consisting of 12 tetrahedral boundary layers with a decreasing ratio of 0.90 was adopted. The resulting computational grids consisted of 4.13 and 7.97 million elements for healthy 142 and diseased model, respectively. 143

Boundary conditions were prescribed using patient-specific 4D flow MRI measurements. In detail, 144 145 measured fully 3D phase velocity data were extracted along the cardiac cycle and imposed in 146 terms of Dirichlet inflow boundary conditions (BCs) at the ascending aorta (AAo) inlet section (as 147 detailed in [33]). As regards outflow BCs, 4D flow MRI measured flow rates were prescribed at the 148 supra-aortic vessels [34] in terms of fully developed velocity profiles. A three element Windkessel model was prescribed as BC at the descending aorta (DAo) outlet section, by applying a 3D-0D 149 coupling scheme [22,31]. The three element Windkessel model components (i.e., the impedance -150 151 Z_c, the distal resistance - R, and the capacitor - C) were tuned as described in [22], obtaining patient specific values for the healthy ($Z_C = 1.36 \cdot 10^7$ kg m⁻⁴ s⁻¹; $R = 2.28 \cdot 10^8$ kg m⁻⁴ s⁻¹; $C = 1.50 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 152

153 kg⁻¹ m⁴ s²) and the pathologic subject ($Z_C = 5.32 \cdot 10^6$ kg m⁻⁴ s⁻¹; $R = 9.02 \cdot 10^7$ kg m⁻⁴ s⁻¹; $C = 3.18 \cdot 10^{-8}$ 154 kg⁻¹ m⁴ s²).

155 On the simulated flow fields in the healthy and diseased models, advanced post-processing tools 156 were applied to better decipher the aortic hemodynamics complexity.

157 Deciphering aortic hemodynamics - WSS topological skeleton analysis

Based upon dynamical systems theory, the topological skeleton of a vector field is made of fixed points and manifolds, where: (1) a fixed point is a point where the vector field vanishes; (2) vector field manifolds, connecting fixed points, identify contraction/expansion regions (respectively, stable/unstable manifolds).

Here, a very recently proposed Eulerian method was considered to analyze the topological
 skeleton of the WSS vector field across the aortic luminal surface [25]. Based on the Volume
 Contraction Theory, it has been demonstrated [25] that specific features of the WSS vector field
 topological skeleton, i.e., WSS manifolds, can be easily captured using the divergence of the
 normalized WSS vector:

167
$$\text{DIV} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\tau}_u = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{\tau}}{|\mathbf{\tau}|}\right),$$
 (1)

where τ_u is the unit vector of WSS vector τ. Eq. (1), neglecting the WSS vector magnitude
 variation, identifies the WSS spatial contraction/expansion configuration patterns [25]: negative
 values of DIV identify contraction regions, approximating attracting manifolds; positive values of
 DIV identify expansion regions, approximating repelling manifolds (Figure 2a).

According to the scheme proposed elsewhere for the complete WSS topological skeleton
extraction [25], the identification of WSS fixed points location at the luminal surface was
performed by computing a mesh-independent topological index, named Poincaré index. Then, the
identified fixed points were classified using the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix, which provide
information about fixed points nature (Figure 2). Technically, the adopted eigenvalue-based

criterion allowed to distinguish between fixed point node or focus configurations. The combinations of the Poincaré index values and of eigenvalues λ_i (i = 1, 2, 3) of the Jacobian matrix corresponding to the possible WSS fixed points configurations are summarized in Figure 2b. As a first step, here the WSS topological skeleton of the cycle-average WSS vector field $\bar{\tau}$ at the luminal surface of both the healthy and diseased model was analyzed. The unsteady nature of the WSS vector field fixed points along the cardiac cycle was investigated using the WSS fixed points weighted residence time along the cardiac cycle [25]:

184
$$RT\nabla_{x_{fp}}(e) = \frac{\bar{A}}{A_e} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_e(\mathbf{x}_{fp}, t) |(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})_e| dt,$$
(2)

where $x_{fp}(t)$ is the WSS fixed point position at time $t \in [0, T]$, *e* is the generic triangular element 185 of the superficial mesh of area A_e , \overline{A} the average surface area of all triangular elements of the 186 superficial mesh, I is the indicator function and $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\tau})_e$ is the instantaneous WSS divergence. 187 188 Eq. (2) quantifies the fraction of cardiac cycle spent by a fixed point inside a generic mesh surface element e on the aortic luminal surface emphasizing the contribution of fixed points surrounded 189 by a marked local contraction/expansion action. In fact, from a physical point of view, the term 190 $|(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})_e|$ in eq. (2) allows to measure the strength of the contraction/expansion action of shear on 191 the wall. 192

Additionally, here a quantity based on WSS divergence, named Topological Shear Variation Index (*TSVI*), is introduced to measure the amount of variation in WSS contraction and expansion action exerted at the luminal surface of the vessel. To do that, the root mean square of the normalized WSS divergence is computed as follows:

197
$$TSVI = \left\{\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \left[\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{\tau}_u) - \overline{\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{\tau}_u)}\right]^2 dt\right\}^{1/2},$$
 (3)

Roughly speaking, eq. (3) allows to localize regions on the luminal surface of the vessel exposed to
large variations in the shear contraction/expansion action exerted by the flowing blood along the

200 cardiac cycle.

201

202 Deciphering aortic hemodynamics - Complex Networks analysis

The spatiotemporal heterogeneity of intravascular and near-wall flow patterns was here investigated applying a recently introduced approach based on the application of the CNs theory to computational hemodynamics data [27,35], where the nodes of the network are represented by the waveforms, along the cardiac cycle, of specific hemodynamic quantities at the nodes of the aortic computational grid.

Here, the CNs-based analysis was applied to the WSS vector magnitude $(|\tau|)$ waveforms along the 208 209 cardiac cycle, whereas intravascular hemodynamics was described in terms of axial velocity (Vax) 210 and kinetic helicity density (H_k). In detail, V_{ax} is the component of blood velocity vector along the 211 local axial direction, identified as the direction of the tangent to the vessel's centerline [36]. By 212 definition, V_{ax} is representative of the main flow direction. H_k is defined as the internal product between local velocity and vorticity vectors and its sign is an indicator of the (right- or left-handed) 213 direction of rotation of helical blood flow patterns. The latter descriptor was involved in the 214 215 analysis because of the established physiological significance of helical blood flow in main arteries 216 [29,37,46,38-45].

For both investigated aortic models, three different CNs were built, starting from V_{ax} , H_k and $|\tau|$ time-histories, respectively. In the here adopted approach [27], two generic nodes *i* and *j* of the CN are connected by a topological link {*i*, *j*} if the Pearson correlation coefficient R_{ij} between the waveforms of each considered hemodynamic quantity at the two nodes is larger than a given threshold \hat{R} . In this sense, each CN can be represented by its *adjacency matrix* A_{ij} containing the information about node connectivity as follows:

223
$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & if \{i,j\} \notin E \text{ or } i = j, \\ 1, & if \{i,j\} \in E. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Here, for each aortic model, and for each of the three CNs based on the hemodynamic quantities V_{ax} , H_k and $|\tau|$, respectively, the median values of the single R_{ij} distributions were set as threshold values \hat{R} to build up *adjacency matrices*, as proposed elsewhere [27,35].

Three metrics, based on A_{ij}, were used to characterize the structure of each CN. In detail, here we considered the *degree centrality DC_i* of node *i*, defined as the percentage of nodes of the network connected to node *i*, i.e., the so-called *neighbors* of *i*:

230
$$DC_i = 100 \left(\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} \right) = 100 \left(\frac{1}{N-1} dc_i \right).$$
 (5)

In eq. (5) dc_i is the non-normalized degree centrality of node *i*. To allow comparisons between the healthy and the diseased model networks, characterized by different number of nodes (*N*), dc_i was normalized to the total number (*N*-1) of possible neighbors of *i*. In summary, for a specific hemodynamic descriptor, DC_i measures the fraction of time-histories correlated above threshold with the time-history in node *i*, quantifying the spatiotemporal homogeneity/heterogeneity of the considered hemodynamic quantity waveform in each node, with respect to the whole network (i.e., the fluid volume for V_{ax} and H_k , or the luminal surface for $|\tau|$).

The second CNs metric used in this study was applied to the V_{ax} - and H_k -based networks to provide a quantitative measure of the anatomical length of persistence of the correlation of axial velocity and helical flow patterns inside the aorta. To do that, the so-called normalized *average Euclidean distance* (*AED_i*) [27,47] of each node *i* of the network from all its neighbors *n(i)* was evaluated, defined as:

243
$$AED_i = \frac{1}{D_{max}} \frac{\sum_{j \in n(i)} l_{ij}}{dc_i},$$
 (6)

where *D_{max}* is the maximum AAo diameter in the region upstream of the proximal supra-aortic
trunk, and *I_{ij}* is the Euclidean distance between neighbor nodes *i* and *j*. In eq. (6), *AED_i* was

normalized with respect to D_{max} , in order to account for AAo geometric variability between the healthy and the diseased model. To investigate the effect that the presence of the ATAA has on the anatomical extent of the spatiotemporal correlations between V_{ax} and H_k structures, in both models AED_i was computed only in the aortic region from the inlet section to the first supra-aortic vessel bifurcation point.

The length of persistence of the correlation of WSS spatiotemporal patterns at the aortic luminal surface was evaluated in topological terms in the $|\tau|$ -based network of the two aortic models. This was done computing the *average shortest path length* (\overline{SPL}_i) of node *i*, defined as:

254
$$\overline{SPL}_i = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j \in V, i \neq j} d_{ij} , \qquad (7)$$

255 where V is the set of nodes of the network, and $d_{ij} \in Z$ is the shortest topological distance between nodes *i* and *j*, i.e., the minimum number of links connecting node *i* with node *j* [48] (Figure 1). In 256 synthesis, \overline{SPL}_i is a measure of the topological length of the correlation persistence of the $|\tau|$ 257 waveform at each luminal surface node (i.e., the smaller the \overline{SPL}_i , the larger the topological 258 persistence length of the correlation between *i* and the rest of the network). In order to be 259 consistent with the computation of the anatomical persistence length of correlation (i.e., the AED_i 260 metric), also \overline{SPL}_i was computed only in the aortic region from the inlet section to the first supra-261 aortic trunk bifurcation point. 262

263 Deciphering aortic hemodynamics – Canonical WSS-based hemodynamic descriptors

In addition to the advanced methods for analyzing aortic hemodynamics introduced above, flow
disturbances were evaluated here also in terms of canonical WSS-based descriptors (Table 1),
namely the time-averaged wall shear stress (TAWSS), oscillatory shear index (OSI) [49], and
relative residence time (RRT) [50]. Moreover, a descriptor of WSS multidirectionality was
considered (Table 1), i.e., the transversal WSS (transWSS) [51], defined as the average WSS
component acting orthogonal to the time-averaged WSS vector direction.

270 Aortic hemodynamics vs. wall stiffness

271	To investigate the existence of possible relations between ATA	A hemodynamics and the
272	mechanical properties of the aortic wall, an analysis of co-loca	lization between exposure to
273	"disturbed" hemodynamics vs. wall stiffness was carried out a	ccording to schemes proposed
274	elsewhere [28,29,52]. More specifically, objective thresholds f	or WSS-based hemodynamic
275	descriptors and for wall stiffness were identified as the 2 nd ter	tile of the respective luminal
276	distribution (1 st tertile for TAWSS). The co-localization of lumin	nal surface areas (SAs) characterized
277	by stiffness and each one of OSI, RRT, transWSS, or TSVI value	s higher (lower for TAWSS) than the
278	respective thresholds was quantitatively assessed by the simil	arity index (SI) [52]:
279	$SI = \frac{2(SA_{high stiffness} \cap SA_j)}{SA_{high stiffness} + SA_j},$	(8)

with *j* indicating a generic WSS-based hemodynamic descriptor. SI ranges between 0 (the SAs have
no spatial overlap) and 1 (the SAs are equivalent and perfectly spatially overlapped).

282 **Results**

283 ATAA wall stiffness in vivo estimation

The *in vivo* estimated local wall stiffness distribution on the AAo of the diseased subject is 284 presented in Figure 3. Consistent with previous observations [53,54], local variations in the wall 285 286 mechanical properties are evident in the investigated model, where the highest values of the 287 stiffness are observed at the outer AAo wall. For this reason, in order to investigate the possible role played by hemodynamic features in the development and progression of aneurysm disease, 288 289 the analysis was here focused at the proximal AAo outer wall of both healthy and diseased 290 models, where the biomechanical wall alteration mainly occurred in the ATAA subject. WSS topological skeleton analysis 291 As suggested elsewhere [12], here the analysis of the WSS vector field topological skeleton at the 292 293 aortic luminal surface was applied in a two-step strategy. 294 As a first step, the aortic cycle-average WSS vector field topological skeleton was identified and 295 analyzed (Figure 4a) aiming at identifying (on average) differences between the healthy and ATAA 296 model. It emerged that on both models a line of marked WSS expansion was located at the 297 proximal AAo outer wall, as identified by positive DIV values. However, the healthy aorta only presented there an unstable node. Moreover, marked contraction lines characterized the WSS 298 299 topological skeleton on the ATAA outer wall, but they were not present on the luminal surface of

the healthy aorta.

In the second step, the impact of the WSS vector field dynamics (along the cardiac cycle) on topological skeleton features was analyzed. The analysis of the luminal surface distribution of fixed points weighted residence time ($RT\nabla_{x_{fp}}$, Figure 4b) highlighted the presence of a wide region at the AAo outer-wall of the diseased model where instantaneous WSS fixed points appear and reside for a longer fraction of cardiac cycle. Such region is surrounded by luminal regions with a

high local WSS contraction/expansion strength. On the other hand, a completely different distribution was observed on the outer wall of the healthy model (Figure 4b), mainly characterized by low $RT\nabla_{x_{fn}}$ values.

The analysis of the *TSVI* luminal distributions (Figure 4c) highlighted that: (1) the highest local variations in the contraction/expansion action exerted by the WSS on the endothelium along the cardiac cycle are located at two distinct regions of the AAo outer wall luminal surface, in both healthy and diseased models; (2) in the diseased model, high *TSVI* and high $RT\nabla_{x_{fp}}$ regions are markedly co-localized on the outer wall luminal surface.

314 CNs analysis

In the attempt to better describe differences between healthy and ATAA models accounting for
possible links between the biomechanical alteration of the wall and hemodynamics, CNs were
applied to the aortic flow and WSS fields.

The *DC* distributions of the V_{ax^-} , H_{k^-} and $|\tau|$ -based CNs are displayed in Figure 5 for both models.

For visualization purposes, in each CN the *DC* values were classified in "high" and "low" based on

320 the median value of the *DC* distribution of the healthy subject. Regarding the axial flow in the AAo

of the diseased model (Figure 5a), a predominance of V_{ax} waveforms with a neighborhood which is

322 representative of a portion of the whole aortic axial flow smaller than in the healthy model

323 emerged, as highlighted by the low value *DC* distribution in that region.

Regarding *H_k*, the *DC* distribution in the AAo (Figure 5b): (1) confirms the presence of counter-

rotating helical flow patterns both in the healthy and in the ATAA model [22,36,38,44], as

suggested elsewhere [27]; (2) highlights that helical flow (characterized considering *H_k* waveforms

327 along the cardiac cycle) presents a spatiotemporal heterogeneity that in the ATAA model is

328 markedly different from the healthy one, and which could be the consequence of the combination

329 of the AAo dilatation and of the different shape of the (measured and imposed) inflow velocity

330 profile (reminding that (1) the investigated ATAA subject is affected by BAV, and (2) that the presence of valvulopathy could markedly affect the AAo hemodynamics [55]. 331 Figure 5 confirms that the differences in the spatiotemporal intravascular complexity 332 characterizing the ATAA and healthy models reflect WSS magnitude waveforms heterogeneity. In 333 334 detail, the distal segment of the AAo of the ATAA model is characterized by an extended region of 335 the luminal surface of the dilated aorta exposed to $|\tau|$ waveforms presenting a neighborhood 336 which is smaller than in the healthy model (low *DC* values, Figure 5c). Therefore, in the diseased subject the pathologic expansion of the aortic wall where stiffness is higher (Figure 3) translates 337 into WSS magnitude waveforms with a shape markedly different from the rest of the vessel. 338 The impact of ATAA on the spatiotemporal hemodynamic heterogeneity in the AAo was evaluated 339 340 also in terms of anatomical persistence length of the correlation between neighbor waveforms. 341 AED maps (Figure 6a-b) highlight how the aortic dilation disrupts the persistence of the correlation of the V_{ax} and H_k waveforms, breaking the topological connections among waveforms in the AAo 342 and shortening the length of correlation, compared to the healthy model. Finally, the 343 heterogeneity of WSS magnitude spatiotemporal features induced by AAo dilatation, already 344 emerged from the DC maps, was confirmed by <u>SPL</u> maps. More specifically, it was observed that 345 the luminal surface of the distal dilated AAo presents larger areas where the functional length of 346 347 correlation of WSS magnitude waveforms is smaller than the healthy AAo (Figure 6c). Aortic hemodynamics vs. wall stiffness 348

Here we assess the co-localization of the *in vivo* estimated wall stiffness at AAo outer wall of the ATAA model with the WSS topological skeleton-based quantities, and with canonical WSS-based descriptors of disturbed shear. A visual inspection of Figure 7 suggests that an association might exist between instantaneous WSS fixed points dynamics at the luminal surface and the aortic stiffness. Interestingly, instantaneous WSS fixed points undergo longer combinations of residence 354 time and marked contraction/expansion strength of the WSS vector field (as expressed by the quantity $RTV_{x_{fp}}$) on those ATAA luminal surface areas where stiffness is high (Figure 7). 355 To explore more in depth the possible connections between peculiar WSS features and the altered 356 357 biomechanical properties of the diseased aortic wall, the extent of the co-localization of high aortic wall stiffness regions with SAs exposed to presumably disturbed shear was quantified. By 358 visual inspection of Figure 8a, it emerged that high stiffness co-localizes with high TSVI better than 359 with canonical WSS-based descriptors. Of note, it was observed that SAs exposed to low TAWSS 360 poorly co-localize with high stiffness regions. These observations were quantitatively confirmed by 361 the SI values (Figure 8b), remarking the stronger capability of the WSS vector field divergence-362 based quantity TSVI as indicator of altered arterial wall mechanical properties, than the canonical 363 WSS-based descriptors. Indeed, TSVI co-localizes with ATAA wall stiffness 206% more than TAWSS, 364 15% more than OSI and transWSS, and 45% more than RRT. 365

367 **Discussion**

368 Wall dilatation/degeneration of the ascending aorta is a complex multifactorial process promoted

369 by a unique bio-chemo-mechanical environment, which may ultimately lead to aortic wall

- dissection/rupture [7–13]. Despite a large body of literature focused on the role played by
- individual biological, chemical, or biomechanical factors in the ATAA development [12], the
- 372 heterogeneity characterizing ATAA disease cannot be exhaustively explained by individual features

and the analysis of their complex interplay is still poorly investigated [10,12].

374 In this context, here we aim to highlight the possible links between ATAA hemodynamics, obtained

- by CFD simulations and explored with advanced methods, and *in vivo* estimated wall mechanical
- 376 properties, inversely derived from gated CT scans [30].
- The findings of this study confirm, on the basis of an in-depth quantitative exploration, that the near-wall and intravascular hemodynamic environment characterizing the ATAA model markedly differs from the healthy aorta.

More in detail, the analysis of the WSS topological skeleton highlighted peculiar features on the 380 ATAA outer wall, which are not or are poorly present on the luminal surface of the healthy 381 382 ascending aorta (Figure 4), i.e.: (1) the presence of localized marked WSS contraction regions; (2) the appearance and persistence of instantaneous WSS fixed points along the cardiac cycle (as 383 quantified by $RTV_{x_{fn}}$, which additionally weights the strength of the local contraction/expansion 384 action exerted by the WSS); (3) a large luminal surface area undergoing marked variation in the 385 386 WSS contraction/expansion action on the endothelium over the cardiac cycle (as indicated by 387 TSVI).

From the CNs-based analysis, marked differences between ATAA and healthy aorta emerged in the
 organization of the intravascular hemodynamic patterns. In detail, here for the first time the
 disruption of spatiotemporal homogeneity of intravascular hemodynamic features induced by the

AAo dilatation was quantified in terms of the Euclidian length of persistence of the correlation between flow quantities. As indicated by *AED*, the presence of aortic dilation seems to reduce the anatomical length of correlation persistence both for the axial velocity and kinetic helicity density waveforms, compared to the healthy case (Figure 6).

This induced-by-aortic-dilation increase in AAo intravascular hemodynamics spatiotemporal 395 396 heterogeneity reflects on a reduced functional length of correlation in WSS magnitude waveforms , localized on the distal outer wall, as indicated by <u>SPL</u> (Figure 6). Such differences between ATAA 397 398 and healthy models are further confirmed by the observed WSS magnitude DC luminal 399 distribution, which highlights that in the dilated aorta the topologically isolated region is more extended than in the healthy one (Figure 5c). The latter result can be explained by the 400 demonstrated causative role of helical flow in determining WSS patterns at the aortic luminal 401 402 surface [28,29].

403 The evidences emerged from the WSS topological skeleton analysis and from the CNs-based 404 analysis support the hypothesis that a complex interplay exists between hemodynamic features, aortic wall dilatation and its degradation in terms of wall mechanical properties. This statement is 405 406 supported by the main finding of the study, i.e., that in the distal AAo outer wall of the ATAA 407 model, extended high stiffness regions (indicating a degradation of the mechanical properties of 408 the wall), co-localize with luminal surface areas of: (1) high instantaneous WSS fixed points 409 residence time (weighted by WSS divergence value) (Figure 7); (2) large variations in the WSS contraction/expansion action exerted by the flowing blood along the cardiac cycle, here quantified 410 by TSVI (Figure 8). 411

It is worth noting that the cross-sectional nature of this study does not allow to establish whether
the observed hemodynamic features are responsible for wall dilatation and degradation, or
whether they are consequence of the dilated geometry. However, previous studies suggested that

415 altered aortic flow predisposes the ascending thoracic aorta to wall dilatation/degradation [21,23] as a consequence of the purported role of endothelial shear in the pathogenesis of ATAA [56]. In 416 this sense, the findings of this study suggest that future studies addressing the role of 417 hemodynamics in the ascending thoracic aorta to wall dilatation/degradation should include 418 419 descriptors based on the WSS topological skeleton, such as TSVI (Figure 8). In fact, such descriptors 420 show a higher co-localization with regions at the aortic wall characterized by high stiffness than 421 canonical WSS-based descriptors. The hemodynamic quantities presented here may improve the 422 prediction of ATAA development and progression and contribute to a deeper understanding of the underlying hemodynamics-driven processes. 423

424

425 Limitations

426 Several limitations could weaken the findings of this study. The main limitation is that the present analysis is based on only one ATAA model and one healthy aorta model. For this reason, we 427 acknowledge that the here reported association between the WSS topological skeleton-based 428 429 descriptor TSVI and ATAA wall weakening indicator should be confirmed on a larger dataset. 430 The inverse approach here adopted to estimate local wall stiffness *in vivo* is based on the 431 assumption that the aortic wall behaves as a membrane with no through-thickness shear [30,57]. The regions near the supra-aortic branches may not satisfy such assumption and were therefore 432 433 excluded from the wall stiffness analysis. Moreover, in the perspective of extending the analysis of aortic stiffness at different stages of ATAA growth, an extensive use of the inverse approach may 434 be limited by the use of X-ray during the gated CT scans procedure. In this regard, other 435 436 techniques, such as ultrasounds [58] or MRI [59], may be adopted as a source of dynamic images 437 of the aortic wall during the cardiac cycle.

Finally, the main limitation regarding the numerical settings of the CFD study is represented by the rigid wall assumption. However, recent observations have demonstrated that aortic wall motion has a minor impact on WSS-based descriptors of disturbed shear [60], and on intravascular flow topology. Concerning the latter, for example, similar helical fluid structures have been observed *in vivo* [38,44,61] and *in vitro* both in rigid and distensible aortic phantoms [62,63]. Therefore, we believe that the assumption on aortic wall distensibility does not entail the generality of the present results.

445 **Conclusions**

In this study, the integration of computational hemodynamics, *in vivo* stiffness estimation and

447 advanced fluid mechanics methods allowed to explore more in depth the existence of links

448 between the altered hemodynamics in the ATAA and the wall biomechanical degradation.

449 Moreover, combining WSS topological skeleton analysis and CNs-based analysis has highlighted

450 that WSS topological skeleton features across the luminal surface of the ascending aorta are

451 markedly affected by the different level of spatiotemporal heterogeneity characterizing the ATAA

452 and healthy subjects' hemodynamics.

453 In conclusion, despite the exploratory nature of the study, advanced methods of analysis of the

454 aortic blood flow have shown their very strong potential to decipher the links between local

455 hemodynamics and the degradation of the mechanical properties of the aortic wall. In this sense,

456 WSS topological skeleton analysis [25,26] and CNs-based analysis promise to be very effective

457 tools [25,26].

459 **References**

- 460 [1] Isselbacher EM. Thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysms. Circulation 2005;111:816–28.
 461 https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000154569.08857.7A.
- 462 [2] Erbel R, Aboyans V, Boileau C, Bossone E, Bartolomeo R Di, Eggebrecht H, et al. 2014 ESC
 463 Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases: Document covering acute and
 464 chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult. The Task Force for
 465 the Diagnosis and Treatment of Aortic Diseases of the European . Eur Heart J 2014;35:2873–
 466 926. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehu281.
- 467 [3] Coady MA, Rizzo JA, Hammond GL, Kopf GS, Elefteriades JA. Surgical intervention criteria for
 468 thoracic aortic aneurysms: a study of growth rates and complications. Ann Thorac Surg
 469 1999;67:1922–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-4975(99)00431-2.
- 470 [4] Johansson G, Markstrom U, Swedenborg J. Ruptured thoracic aortic aneurysms: a study of
 471 incidence and mortality rates. J Vasc Surg 1995;21:985–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0741472 5214(95)70227-x.
- 473 [5] Pape LA, Tsai TT, Isselbacher EM, Oh JK, O'gara PT, Evangelista A, et al. Aortic diameter >or
 474 = 5.5 cm is not a good predictor of type A aortic dissection: observations from the
 475 International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). Circulation 2007;116:1120–7.
 476 https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.702720.
- 477 [6] Chau KH, Elefteriades JA. Natural history of thoracic aortic aneurysms: size matters, plus
 478 moving beyond size. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2013;56:74–80.
 479 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2013.05.007.
- 480 [7] Elefteriades JA, Farkas EA. Thoracic aortic aneurysm clinically pertinent controversies and
 481 uncertainties. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:841–57.
 482 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2009.08.084.
- [8] Poullis MP, Warwick R, Oo A, Poole RJ. Ascending aortic curvature as an independent risk
 factor for type A dissection, and ascending aortic aneurysm formation: a mathematical
 model. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2008;33:995–1001.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcts.2008.02.029.
- 487 [9] Gallo A, Agnese V, Coronnello C, Raffa GM, Bellavia D, Conaldi PG, et al. On the prospect of
 488 serum exosomal miRNA profiling and protein biomarkers for the diagnosis of ascending
 489 aortic dilatation in patients with bicuspid and tricuspid aortic valve. Int J Cardiol
 490 2018;273:230–6. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.10.005.
- 491 [10] Agnese V, Pasta S, Michelena HI, Minà C, Romano GM, Carerj S, et al. Patterns of ascending
 492 aortic dilatation and predictors of surgical replacement of the aorta: A comparison of
 493 bicuspid and tricuspid aortic valve patients over eight years of follow-up. J Mol Cell Cardiol
 494 2019;135:31–9. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2019.07.010.
- 495 [11] Pasta S, Agnese V, Di Giuseppe M, Gentile G, Raffa GM, Bellavia D, et al. In Vivo Strain
 496 Analysis of Dilated Ascending Thoracic Aorta by ECG-Gated CT Angiographic Imaging. Ann
 497 Biomed Eng 2017;45:2911–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-017-1915-4.

- 498 [12] Wagenseil JE. Bio-chemo-mechanics of thoracic aortic aneurysms. Curr Opin Biomed Eng
 499 2018;5:50–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COBME.2018.01.002.
- [13] Petit C, Mousavi SJ, Avril S. Review of the Essential Roles of SMCs in ATAA Biomechanics.
 Adv Biomech Tissue Regen 2019:95–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816390 0.00006-6.
- 503 [14] Bollache E, Guzzardi DG, Sattari S, Olsen KE, Di Martino ES, Malaisrie SC, et al. Aortic valve 504 mediated wall shear stress is heterogeneous and predicts regional aortic elastic fiber
 505 thinning in bicuspid aortic valve-associated aortopathy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg
 506 2018;156:2112-2120.e2. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2018.05.095.
- 507 [15] Suwa K, Rahman OA, Bollache E, Rose MJ, Rahsepar AA, Carr JC, et al. Effect of Aortic Valve
 508 Disease on 3D Hemodynamics in Patients With Aortic Dilation and Trileaflet Aortic Valve
 509 Morphology. J Magn Reson Imaging 2020;51:481–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26804.
- [16] Dux-Santoy L, Guala A, Teixidó-Turà G, Ruiz-Muñoz A, Maldonado G, Villalva N, et al.
 Increased rotational flow in the proximal aortic arch is associated with its dilation in
 bicuspid aortic valve disease. Eur Hear J Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;20:1407–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jez046.
- [17] Rodríguez-Palomares JF, Dux-Santoy L, Guala A, Kale R, Maldonado G, Teixidó-Turà G, et al.
 Aortic flow patterns and wall shear stress maps by 4D-flow cardiovascular magnetic
 resonance in the assessment of aortic dilatation in bicuspid aortic valve disease. J
 Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2018;20:28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12968-018-0451-1.
- [18] Youssefi P, Gomez A, Arthurs C, Sharma R, Jahangiri M, Alberto Figueroa C. Impact of
 Patient-Specific Inflow Velocity Profile on Hemodynamics of the Thoracic Aorta. J Biomech
 Eng 2017;140. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4037857.
- [19] Pasta S, Gentile G, Raffa GM, Bellavia D, Chiarello G, Liotta R, et al. In Silico Shear and
 Intramural Stresses are Linked to Aortic Valve Morphology in Dilated Ascending Aorta. Eur J
 Vasc Endovasc Surg 2017;54:254–63.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aivs.2017.05.016
- 524 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2017.05.016.
- [20] Mendez V, Di Giuseppe M, Pasta S. Comparison of hemodynamic and structural indices of
 ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm as predicted by 2-way FSI, CFD rigid wall simulation and
 patient-specific displacement-based FEA. Comput Biol Med 2018;100:221–9.
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2018.07.013.
- [21] Condemi F, Campisi S, Viallon M, Croisille P, Fuzelier J-F, Avril S. Ascending thoracic aorta
 aneurysm repair induces positive hemodynamic outcomes in a patient with unchanged
 bicuspid aortic valve. J Biomech 2018;81:145–8.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.09.022.
- [22] Condemi F, Campisi S, Viallon M, Troalen T, Xuexin G, Barker AJ, et al. Fluid- and
 Biomechanical Analysis of Ascending Thoracic Aorta Aneurysm with Concomitant Aortic
 Insufficiency. Ann Biomed Eng 2017;45:2921–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-0171913-6.
- 537 [23] Burk J, Blanke P, Stankovic Z, Barker A, Russe M, Geiger J, et al. Evaluation of 3D blood flow

- 538patterns and wall shear stress in the normal and dilated thoracic aorta using flow-sensitive5394D CMR. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2012;14:84. https://doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-14-84.
- 540 [24] Guzzardi DG, Barker AJ, van Ooij P, Malaisrie SC, Puthumana JJ, Belke DD, et al. Valve541 Related Hemodynamics Mediate Human Bicuspid Aortopathy: Insights From Wall Shear
 542 Stress Mapping. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:892–900.
 543 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.06.1310.
- 544 [25] Mazzi V, Gallo D, Calo K, Najafi M, Khan MO, De Nisco G, et al. A Eulerian method to analyze
 545 wall shear stress fixed points and manifolds in cardiovascular flows. Biomech Model
 546 Mechanobiol 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-019-01278-3.
- 547[26]Arzani A, Shadden SC. Wall shear stress fixed points in cardiovascular fluid mechanics. J548Biomech 2018;73:145–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.03.034.
- [27] Calo K, Gallo D, Steinman DA, Mazzi V, Scarsoglio S, Ridolfi L, et al. Spatiotemporal
 Hemodynamic Complexity in Carotid Arteries: an Integrated Computational Hemodynamics
 & Complex Networks-Based Approach. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2019.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.2019.2949148.
- 553 [28] De Nisco G, Kok AM, Chiastra C, Gallo D, Hoogendoorn A, Migliavacca F, et al. The
 554 Atheroprotective Nature of Helical Flow in Coronary Arteries. Ann Biomed Eng
 555 2019;47:425–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-018-02169-x.
- 556 [29] De Nisco G, Hoogendoorn A, Chiastra C, Gallo D, Kok AM, Morbiducci U, et al. The impact of
 557 helical flow on coronary atherosclerotic plaque development. Atherosclerosis 2020.
 558 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ATHEROSCLEROSIS.2020.01.027.
- [30] Farzaneh S, Trabelsi O, Avril S. Inverse identification of local stiffness across ascending
 thoracic aortic aneurysms. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 2019;18:137–53.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-018-1073-0.
- 562 [31] Dillon-Murphy D, Noorani A, Nordsletten D, Figueroa CA. Multi-modality image-based
 563 computational analysis of haemodynamics in aortic dissection. Biomech Model
 564 Mechanobiol 2016;15:857–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-015-0729-2.
- 565 [32] Updegrove A, Wilson NM, Merkow J, Lan H, Marsden AL, Shadden SC. SimVascular: An Open
 566 Source Pipeline for Cardiovascular Simulation. Ann Biomed Eng 2017;45:525–41.
 567 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-016-1762-8.
- [33] Morbiducci U, Ponzini R, Gallo D, Bignardi C, Rizzo G. Inflow boundary conditions for image based computational hemodynamics: impact of idealized versus measured velocity profiles
 in the human aorta. J Biomech 2013;46:102–9.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.10.012.
- 572 [34] Gallo D, De Santis G, Negri F, Tresoldi D, Ponzini R, Massai D, et al. On the use of in vivo
 573 measured flow rates as boundary conditions for image-based hemodynamic models of the
 574 human aorta: implications for indicators of abnormal flow. Ann Biomed Eng 2012;40:729–
 575 41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-011-0431-1.
- 576 [35] Calò K, De Nisco G, Gallo D, Chiastra C, Hoogendoorn A, Steinman DA, et al. Exploring wall 577 shear stress spatiotemporal heterogeneity in coronary arteries combining correlation-based

- 578analysis and complex networks with computational hemodynamics. Proc Inst Mech Eng H5792020:(under review).
- [36] Morbiducci U, Gallo D, Cristofanelli S, Ponzini R, Deriu MA, Rizzo G, et al. A rational
 approach to defining principal axes of multidirectional wall shear stress in realistic vascular
 geometries, with application to the study of the influence of helical flow on wall shear stress
 directionality in aorta. J Biomech 2015;48:899–906.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.02.027.
- [37] Gallo D, B. BP, Morbiducci U, Ye Q, (Joyce) XY, Maryam E, et al. Segment-specific
 associations between local haemodynamic and imaging markers of early atherosclerosis at
 the carotid artery: an in vivo human study. J R Soc Interface 2018;15:20180352.
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2018.0352.
- [38] Morbiducci U, Ponzini R, Rizzo G, Cadioli M, Esposito A, De Cobelli F, et al. In vivo
 quantification of helical blood flow in human aorta by time-resolved three-dimensional cine
 phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging. Ann Biomed Eng 2009;37:516–31.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-008-9609-6.
- Liu X, Sun A, Fan Y, Deng X. Physiological significance of helical flow in the arterial system
 and its potential clinical applications. Ann Biomed Eng 2015;43:3–15.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-014-1097-2.
- 596 [40] Stonebridge PA, Suttie SA, Ross R, Dick J. Spiral Laminar Flow: a Survey of a Three 597 Dimensional Arterial Flow Pattern in a Group of Volunteers. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg
 598 2016;52:674–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejvs.2016.07.018.
- 599 [41] Gallo D, Steinman DA, Bijari PB, Morbiducci U. Helical flow in carotid bifurcation as
 600 surrogate marker of exposure to disturbed shear. J Biomech 2012;45:2398–404.
 601 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2012.07.007.
- 602 [42] Gallo D, Steinman DA, Morbiducci U. An insight into the mechanistic role of the common
 603 carotid artery on the hemodynamics at the carotid bifurcation. Ann Biomed Eng
 604 2015;43:68-81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-014-1119-0.
- Morbiducci U, Ponzini R, Grigioni M, Redaelli A. Helical flow as fluid dynamic signature for
 atherogenesis risk in aortocoronary bypass. A numeric study. J Biomech 2007;40:519–34.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2006.02.017.
- [44] Morbiducci U, Ponzini R, Rizzo G, Cadioli M, Esposito A, Montevecchi FM, et al. Mechanistic
 insight into the physiological relevance of helical blood flow in the human aorta: an in vivo
 study. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 2011;10:339–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-010 0238-2.
- [45] De Nisco G, Kok AM, Chiastra C, Gallo D, Hoogendoorn A, Migliavacca F, et al. The
 Atheroprotective Nature of Helical Flow in Coronary Arteries. Ann Biomed Eng 2019;47.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-018-02169-x.
- [46] Kilner PJ, Yang GZ, Mohiaddin RH, Firmin DN, Longmore DB. Helical and retrograde
 secondary flow patterns in the aortic arch studied by three-directional magnetic resonance
 velocity mapping. Circulation 1993;88:2235–47. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.88.5.2235.

- [47] Scarsoglio S, Iacobello G, Ridolfi L. Complex networks unveiling spatial patterns in
 turbulence. Int J Bifurc Chaos 2016;26:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127416502230.
- 620[48]Boccaletti S, Latora V, Moreno Y, Chavez M, Hwang DU. Complex networks: Structure and
dynamics. Phys Rep 2006;424:175–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2005.10.009.
- Ku DN, Giddens DP, Zarins CK, Glagov S. Pulsatile flow and atherosclerosis in the human
 carotid bifurcation. Positive correlation between plaque location and low oscillating shear
 stress. Arteriosclerosis 1985;5:293–302. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.atv.5.3.293.
- [50] Himburg HA, Grzybowski DM, Hazel AL, LaMack JA, Li X-M, Friedman MH. Spatial
 comparison between wall shear stress measures and porcine arterial endothelial
 permeability. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2004;286:H1916-22.
 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00897.2003.
- [51] Peiffer V, Sherwin SJ, Weinberg PD. Computation in the rabbit aorta of a new metric the
 transverse wall shear stress to quantify the multidirectional character of disturbed blood
 flow. J Biomech 2013;46:2651–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.08.003.
- 632 [52] Gallo D, Steinman DA, Morbiducci U. Insights into the co-localization of magnitude-based
 633 versus direction-based indicators of disturbed shear at the carotid bifurcation. J Biomech
 634 2016;49:2413–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.02.010.
- [53] Choudhury N, Bouchot O, Rouleau L, Tremblay D, Cartier R, Butany J, et al. Local mechanical
 and structural properties of healthy and diseased human ascending aorta tissue. Cardiovasc
 Pathol 2009;18:83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2008.01.001.
- Khanafer K, Duprey A, Zainal M, Schlicht M, Williams D, Berguer R. Determination of the
 elastic modulus of ascending thoracic aortic aneurysm at different ranges of pressure using
 uniaxial tensile testing. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2011;142:682–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2010.09.068.
- 642 [55] Pirola S, Jarral OA, O'Regan DP, Asimakopoulos G, Anderson JR, Pepper JR, et al.
 643 Computational study of aortic hemodynamics for patients with an abnormal aortic valve:
 644 The importance of secondary flow at the ascending aorta inlet. APL Bioeng 2018;2:26101.
 645 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5011960.
- [56] Michel JB, Jondeau G, Milewicz DiM. From genetics to response to injury: Vascular smooth
 muscle cells in aneurysms and dissections of the ascending aorta. Cardiovasc Res
 2018;114:578–89. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvy006.
- 649 [57] Farzaneh S, Trabelsi O, Chavent B, Avril S. Identifying Local Arterial Stiffness to Assess the
 650 Risk of Rupture of Ascending Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms. Ann Biomed Eng 2019;47:1038–50.
 651 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-019-02204-5.
- [58] Wittek A, Karatolios K, Fritzen C-P, Bereiter-Hahn J, Schieffer B, Moosdorf R, et al. Cyclic
 three-dimensional wall motion of the human ascending and abdominal aorta characterized
 by time-resolved three-dimensional ultrasound speckle tracking. Biomech Model
 Mechanobiol 2016;15:1375–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10237-016-0769-2.
- Arani A, Arunachalam SP, Chang ICY, Baffour F, Rossman PJ, Glaser KJ, et al. Cardiac MR
 elastography for quantitative assessment of elevated myocardial stiffness in cardiac

658 amyloidosis. J Magn Reson Imaging 2017;46:1361–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25678. Lantz J, Renner J, Karlsson M. Wall shear stress in a subject specific human aorta - Influence 659 [60] of fluid-structure interaction. Int J Appl Mech 2011;03:759–78. 660 https://doi.org/10.1142/S1758825111001226. 661 662 [61] Frydrychowicz A, Berger A, Munoz Del Rio A, Russe MF, Bock J, Harloff A, et al. Interdependencies of aortic arch secondary flow patterns, geometry, and age analysed by 4-663 dimensional phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging at 3 Tesla. Eur Radiol 664 665 2012;22:1122–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-011-2353-6. [62] Gallo D, Gülan U, Di Stefano A, Ponzini R, Lüthi B, Holzner M, et al. Analysis of thoracic aorta 666 hemodynamics using 3D particle tracking velocimetry and computational fluid dynamics. J 667 Biomech 2014;47:3149–55. 668 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.06.017. 669 [63] Gulan U, Luthi B, Holzner M, Liberzon A, Tsinober A, Kinzelbach W. Experimental 670 Investigation of the Influence of the Aortic Stiffness on Hemodynamics in the Ascending 671 Aorta. IEEE J Biomed Heal Informatics 2014;18:1775-80. 672 https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2014.2322934. 673

Tables 675



Table 1: Definition of WSS-based hemodynamic descriptors of "disturbed flow".

680 Figures captions

Figure 1. Schematics of the study. The diagram shows how imaging data contribute to define vessel
 geometry, hemodynamic variables and wall mechanical properties. BCs: boundary conditions; CFD:
 computational fluid dynamics; ATAA: ascending thoracic aorta aneurysm; CNs: complex networks.

Figure 2. WSS topological skeleton features. (a) Configuration of each fixed point-type and contraction and expansion regions, colored by blue and red respectively. (b) Identification and classification of fixed points based on Poincaré index and eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix.

687 Figure 3. Estimated wall stiffness distribution at the luminal surface of ATAA model.

Figure 4. Luminal distributions of WSS topological skeleton-based metrics. Aortic luminal distributions of (a) cycle-average WSS topological skeleton, (b) WSS fixed points weighted residence time $(RT\nabla_{x_{fp}})$, and (c) *TSVI*. In panel (a), contraction/expansion regions are colored by blue/red, respectively, and Line Integral Convolution technique is adopted to visualize WSS vector. The ATAA and healthy aortic models are displayed in the top and bottom panel, respectively.

Figure 5. *DC* distributions of hemodynamic descriptors-based CNs. The *DC* distributions of the (a) V_{ax} , (b) H_k , and (c) $|\tau|$ CNs are displayed for the diseased (top) and healthy (bottom) subjects.

Figure 6. Anatomical and topological length of correlation persistence of hemodynamic spatiotemporal patterns. The *AED* distributions of the (a) V_{ax} , and (b) H_k CNs, and (c) \overline{SPL} distribution of the $|\tau|$ CNs are displayed for the diseased (top) and healthy (bottom) subjects. *AED* and \overline{SPL} were computed at the ascending aorta segment of each model.

Figure 7. Wall stiffness vs. weighted residence time analysis. Contour maps of estimated wall stiffness (left) and WSS fixed points weighted residence time ($RTV_{x_{fp}}$ - right) at the luminal surface of ATAA model. The black contour line represents the 2nd tertile of stiffness luminal distribution at the ATAA outer wall.

Figure 8. Wall stiffness vs. deranged aortic hemodynamics analysis. (a) co-localization maps of high stiffness and disturbed shear; (b) similarity indices quantifying the co-localization of luminal SAs characterized by high stiffness and each descriptor of deranged hemodynamics (i.e., low TAWSS; high OSI, RRT, transWSS, *TSVI*).

708 Figures



709

710 Figure 1. Schematics of the study. The diagram shows how imaging data contribute to define vessel geometry, hemodynamic

- variables and wall mechanical properties. BCs: boundary conditions; CFD: computational fluid dynamics; ATAA: ascending thoracic
- 712 aorta aneurysm; CNs: complex networks.
- 713
- 714



- Figure 2. WSS topological skeleton features. (a) Configuration of each fixed point-type and contraction and expansion regions,
 colored by blue and red respectively. (b) Identification and classification of fixed points based on Poincaré index and eigenvalues of
- 719 the Jacobian matrix.



725	
726	Figure 3. Estimated wall stiffness distribution at the luminal surface of ATAA model.
727	
728	





Figure 4. Luminal distributions of WSS topological skeleton-based metrics. Aortic luminal distributions of (a) cycle-average WSS topological skeleton, (b) WSS fixed points weighted residence time ($RTV_{x_{fp}}$), and (c) TSVI. In panel (a), contraction/expansion regions 731 732 are colored by blue/red, respectively, and Line Integral Convolution technique is adopted to visualize WSS vector. The ATAA and 733 healthy aortic models are displayed in the top and bottom panel, respectively.





735

736 Figure 5. DC distributions of hemodynamic descriptors-based CNs. The DC distributions of the (a) V_{ax} , (b) H_k , and (c) $|\tau|$ CNs are 737 displayed for the diseased (top) and healthy (bottom) subjects.

- 738
- 739



Figure 6. Anatomical and topological length of correlation persistence of hemodynamic spatiotemporal patterns. The *AED* distributions of the (a) V_{ax} , and (b) H_k CNs, and (c) \overline{SPL} distribution of the $|\tau|$ CNs are displayed for the diseased (top) and healthy (bottom) subjects. *AED* and \overline{SPL} were computed at the ascending aorta segment of each model.



Figure 7. Wall stiffness *vs.* **weighted residence time analysis.** Contour maps of estimated wall stiffness (left) and WSS fixed points weighted residence time ($RTV_{x_{fp}}$ - right) at the luminal surface of ATAA model. The black contour line represents the 2nd tertile of stiffness luminal distribution at the ATAA outer wall.



(b) similarity indices quantifying the co-localization of luminal SAs characterized by high stiffness and each descriptor of deranged
 hemodynamics (i.e., low TAWSS; high OSI, RRT, transWSS, *TSVI*).