



HAL
open science

Quantum electrodynamical corrections to a magnetic dipole in general relativity

J. Pétri

► **To cite this version:**

J. Pétri. Quantum electrodynamical corrections to a magnetic dipole in general relativity. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2016, 456 (4), pp.4455-4460. 10.1093/mnras/stv2967 . hal-03138525

HAL Id: hal-03138525

<https://hal.science/hal-03138525v1>

Submitted on 11 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Quantum electrodynamical corrections to a magnetic dipole in general relativity

J. Pétri[★]

Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, UMR 7550, 11 rue de l'université, F-67000 Strasbourg, France

Accepted 2015 December 17. Received 2015 December 17; in original form 2015 November 16

ABSTRACT

Magnetized neutron stars are privileged places where strong electromagnetic fields as high as $B_Q = 4.4 \times 10^9$ T exist, giving rise to non-linear corrections to Maxwell equations described by quantum electrodynamics (QED). These corrections need to be included to the general relativistic (GR) description of a magnetic dipole supposed to be anchored in the neutron star. In this paper, these QED and GR perturbations to the standard flat space–time dipole are calculated to the lowest order in the fine structure constant α_{sf} and to any order in the ratio R_s/R where R is the neutron star radius and R_s its Schwarzschild radius. Following our new 3+1 formalism developed in a previous work, we compute the multipolar non-linear corrections to this dipole and demonstrate the presence of a small dipolar $\ell = 1$ and hexapolar $\ell = 3$ component.

Key words: gravitation – magnetic fields – plasmas – methods: analytical – stars: neutron.

1 INTRODUCTION

Neutron stars are exquisite objects to test our theories of gravity and electromagnetism in the strong field regime. Indeed curvature of space–time is important in the vicinity of the star due to its compactness

$$\Xi = \frac{R_s}{R} \approx 0.345 \left(\frac{M}{1.4 M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{R}{12 \text{ km}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where R is the neutron star radius, M its mass, $R_s = 2GM/c^2$ its Schwarzschild radius, c the speed of light and G the gravitational constant. Moreover, strong magnetic fields are present, as high as $B_Q = 4.4 \times 10^9$ T and even higher. These strong fields are unreachable in Earth laboratories. They act together to modify the standard expression for a pure magnetic dipole in flat space–time and weak magnetic fields $B \ll B_Q$. Whereas exact analytical solutions are known in general relativity since Ginzburg & Ozernoy (1964) for a dipole and also for multipolar terms in a spherically symmetric vacuum gravitational field as presented by Wald (1972), there are no such solutions including quantum electrodynamics (QED) effects. The only work we are aware of is from Heyl & Hernquist (1997a) who already computed the corrections to a dipole to first order for any strength of the magnetic field following Heisenberg & Euler (1936) effective Lagrangian. However, their description was restricted to flat space–time. The properties of strong electric and magnetic fields following the Euler–Heisenberg Lagrangian and related experiments are reviewed by Battesti & Rizzo (2013). The self-consistent Maxwell equations in curved space–time and

in strong electromagnetic fields have been derived using a 3+1 formalism developed by Pétri (2015b). We apply these equations to the simple case of a pure static magnetic dipole. Such corrections would be most important for magnetars, i.e. neutron stars with the strongest magnetic fields known in the Universe with $B \approx 10\text{--}100 B_Q$ (Turolla, Zane & Watts 2015).

More generally, implications of strong magnetic fields to neutron star physics have been reported by Harding & Lai (2006). As claimed by Lai & Ho (2003), X-ray polarization could be an efficient tool to diagnose radiation in strong electromagnetic fields. See also Heyl & Shaviv (2000) and Mazur & Heyl (2011). Strong magnetic fields impact on atomic and molecular structure, on condensed matter, on high-energy astrophysical phenomena, on accretion flows around compact objects and wave propagation as summarized by Lai (2015). Radiative and plasma processes in strong fields are discussed in length in Mészáros (1992).

In this paper, we solve the magnetostatic equations in general relativity with the effective Euler–Heisenberg QED Lagrangian to lowest order in spherical coordinates by using our vector spherical harmonics expansion. The magnetostatic equations and the solution techniques are reminded in Section 2. Results for the dipole in flat space–time and with general-relativistic (GR) corrections are presented in Section 3 and discussed in Section 4. We conclude about possible extensions of this work in the concluding remarks of Section 5.

2 MAGNETOSTATIC EQUATIONS

The general formalism to describe QED corrections including GR effects for Maxwell equations in a fixed background metric has been

[★] E-mail: jerome.petri@astro.unistra.fr

presented by Pétri (2015b). These equations simplify in the case of magnetostatic in vacuum as shown in this section. The fact that exact analytical expressions exist in GR without QED perturbations helps us greatly in looking for a series expansion in the QED Lagrangian as discussed later.

2.1 The field equations

To start with, we assume a non-rotating dipolar magnetic field in vacuum, thus setting $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J} = 0$ in the equations of Pétri (2015b). Summarizing these simplifications in the standard notation for Maxwell equations in a medium we found the magnetostatic relations following

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0} \quad (2a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (2b)$$

The constitutive relation for the magnetic field taking into account the metric and QED in the 3+1 decomposition of space–time reads

$$\mu_0 \mathbf{H} = \alpha \xi_1 \mathbf{B}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\alpha = \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_s}{r}} \quad (4a)$$

$$\xi_1 = 1 - \frac{2\alpha_{sf}}{45\pi} \frac{B^2}{B_Q^2} = 1 - \varepsilon b^2 \quad (4b)$$

are the lapse function and the first order perturbation of the Lagrangian of the electromagnetic field for $B \ll B_Q$. See for instance Pétri (2013, 2014), for more details about the metric written in 3+1 and Pétri (2015b) for the definition of ξ_1 . Note also by assumption that

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{2\alpha_{sf}}{45\pi} \ll 1 \quad (5a)$$

$$b \equiv \frac{B}{B_Q} \ll 1 \quad (5b)$$

and that εb^2 measures the strength of the perturbation accounted by QED effects. It remains a small parameter and we use ε as the variable on to which we perform the series expansion.

2.2 The field expansion

From the general theory of vector spherical harmonics in curved space exposed in Pétri (2013), in the limit of static fields, the divergencelessness constraint on the magnetic field \mathbf{B} reduces to a set of scalar functions $f_{\ell,m}^B$ such that

$$\mathbf{B}(r, \vartheta, \varphi, t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \nabla \times [f_{\ell,m}^B(r, t) \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m}] \quad (6)$$

t is the coordinate time and (r, ϑ, φ) are the spherical coordinates. This expansion automatically satisfies equation (2b). Note that differential operators have to be defined with respect to the metric. The second order linear differential equations satisfied by the potentials $f_{\ell,m}^B$ are found by inserting equation (3) into equation (2a).

For the special case in classical vacuum with $\varepsilon = 0$, the exact solution for a static dipole in general relativity is well known

since Ginzburg & Ozernoy (1964), see also Rezzolla & J. Ahmedov (2004) and Pétri (2013), and given by

$$f_{1,0}^{B(\text{dip})} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}} B R^3 \frac{3r}{R_s^3} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r} \right) + \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{R_s^2}{2r^2} \right]. \quad (7)$$

We now seek for approximate solutions in quantum vacuum with $\varepsilon \neq 0$.

2.3 Perturbation expansion

We are looking for the first order corrections to this dipolar field and write therefore

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}_1, \quad (8)$$

where \mathbf{B}_0 and $\varepsilon \mathbf{B}_1$ independently satisfy the divergencelessness conditions. Thus we can also expand the perturbed component according to equation (6).

The unperturbed magnetic dipole is depicted by a 0 subscript and satisfies the relations

$$\nabla \times (\alpha \mathbf{B}_0) = \mathbf{0} \quad (9a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_0 = 0 \quad (9b)$$

with the exact solution given by equation (7). To first order in ε the solution is given by

$$\mu_0 \mathbf{H} \approx \alpha (1 - \varepsilon (b_0 + \varepsilon b_1)^2) (\mathbf{B}_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{B}_1) \quad (10a)$$

$$\approx \alpha \mathbf{B}_0 + \alpha \varepsilon (\mathbf{B}_1 - b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0). \quad (10b)$$

From the equilibrium condition in the unperturbed fields we get the inhomogeneous equation satisfied by the first order perturbation as

$$\nabla \times (\alpha \mathbf{B}_1) = \nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0). \quad (11)$$

The curl on the left-hand side is transformed into second order differential operators acting on $f_{\ell,m}^B$, i.e. the coefficients for the perturbation \mathbf{B}_1 such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} -\alpha \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\alpha^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r f_{\ell,m}^B) \right) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} f_{\ell,m}^B \right] \times \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m} = \nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0). \quad (12)$$

Because the set of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m}$ forms an orthonormal basis functions, we project the above relation on to a particular $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m}$ by integration over the solid angle $d\Omega$ and get

$$-\alpha \left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\alpha^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r f_{\ell,m}^B) \right) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} f_{\ell,m}^B \right] = \int \nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m} d\Omega. \quad (13)$$

It can be shown that $\nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0)$ has only components along the vectors $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\ell,m}$. Therefore, we do not miss any coefficient in the expansion of the magnetic field. Several examples are given below.

3 RESULTS

Although our final goal is to compute QED corrections in general relativity, it is worthwhile to explore the Newtonian case separately. To this end, we start with exact analytical solutions to the first order

QED perturbations in flat space–time and then also corrections to arbitrary order in the parameter R_s/R in the GR case.

3.1 Non-relativistic corrections

In flat space–time geometry, the equation satisfied by the perturbed magnetic field equation (11) simplifies into

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}_1 = \nabla \times (b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0). \quad (14)$$

We solve this equation with help of vector spherical harmonics and write for an aligned dipole

$$\nabla \times (b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) = \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^{10}} \left[\frac{24 \sqrt{6\pi}}{5} \Phi_{1,0} + \frac{16}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{7}} \Phi_{3,0} \right]. \quad (15)$$

The presence of only two terms with $(\ell, m) = \{(1, 0), (3, 0)\}$ is a direct consequence of the cubic dependence on the magnetic field for the source term in the right-hand side in equation (14). The perturbed magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 is expanded according to equation (6). Straightforward algebra detailed in Pétri (2013) and using equation (13) shows that only two coefficients are non-zero. Indeed, for the aligned dipole field, these coefficients of the magnetic field expansion are given by the exact expressions

$$f_{1,0}^{B^{\text{NR}}} = -\frac{4}{15} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^8} \quad (16a)$$

$$f_{3,0}^{B^{\text{NR}}} = -\frac{4}{55} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{7}} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^8}. \quad (16b)$$

These functions correspond to a particular solution of the inhomogeneous problem. Moreover, we set the solutions of the homogeneous part to zero because they can be included in the background magnetic field before QED corrections are applied. It would simply require a rescaling of the stellar magnetic field strength. As we are not interested in this shift in magnetic field intensity, we cancel this irrelevant part. Therefore, the components of the corrections to the dipolar field are explicitly given for the $\ell = 1$ mode by

$$B_{1,0}^{\text{NR}} = \frac{4}{15} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \cos \vartheta \quad (17a)$$

$$B_{1,0}^{\vartheta \text{NR}} = \frac{14}{15} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \sin \vartheta \quad (17b)$$

and for the $\ell = 3$ mode by

$$B_{3,0}^{\text{NR}} = \frac{3}{110} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} (3 \cos \vartheta + 5 \cos 3 \vartheta) \quad (18a)$$

$$B_{3,0}^{\vartheta \text{NR}} = \frac{21}{440} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} (\sin \vartheta + 5 \sin 3 \vartheta). \quad (18b)$$

The $B_{1,0}^{\vartheta \text{NR}}$ and $B_{3,0}^{\vartheta \text{NR}}$ components vanish everywhere. Our technique avoids the introduction of an artificial inner boundary as used by Heyl & Hernquist (1997a).

QED perturbations to first order give rise to a correction in the dipolar component $(\ell, m) = (1, 0)$ but also produce a hexapolar component $(\ell, m) = (3, 0)$ with a falling like r^{-8} thus very different from the standard vacuum fall off as $r^{-(\ell+1)}$. The presence of a hexapole has already been noticed by Heyl & Hernquist (1997a). We also found the dependence on the cube of the magnetic moment represented by a term proportional to $B^3 R^9$. Performing a judicious

coordinate transform we can in principle find the components for any inclination of the dipole with respect to the z axis. Nevertheless, having in mind to apply these corrections to an oblique rotating dipole, we found it more convenient to directly computed the corrections to an orthogonal rotator. Thus playing the same game for this perpendicular rotator we have

$$\nabla \times (b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) = 2 \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^{10}} \text{Re} \left[-\frac{24 \sqrt{3\pi}}{5} \Phi_{1,1} + \frac{12}{5} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{7}} \Phi_{3,1} - 4 \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{35}} \Phi_{3,3} \right]. \quad (19)$$

The perturbed magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 is expanded according to equation (6). Again, using equation (13) for the perpendicular dipole field, these coefficients are given by

$$f_{1,1}^{B^{\text{NR}}} = \frac{8 \sqrt{3\pi}}{45} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^8} \quad (20a)$$

$$f_{3,1}^{B^{\text{NR}}} = -\frac{6}{55} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{7}} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^8} \quad (20b)$$

$$f_{3,3}^{B^{\text{NR}}} = \frac{2}{11} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{35}} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^8}. \quad (20c)$$

Explicitly, the components of the corrections to the dipolar fields are for the $(\ell, m) = (1, 1)$ mode

$$B_{1,1}^{\text{NR}} = \frac{4}{15} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \quad (21a)$$

$$B_{1,1}^{\vartheta \text{NR}} = -\frac{14}{15} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \cos \vartheta \cos \varphi \quad (21b)$$

$$B_{1,1}^{\varphi \text{NR}} = \frac{14}{15} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \sin \varphi \quad (21c)$$

for the $(\ell, m) = (3, 1)$ mode

$$B_{3,1}^{\text{NR}} = -\frac{9}{220} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} (3 + 5 \cos 2 \vartheta) \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \quad (22a)$$

$$B_{3,1}^{\vartheta \text{NR}} = \frac{21}{1760} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} (\cos \vartheta + 15 \cos 3 \vartheta) \cos \varphi \quad (22b)$$

$$B_{3,1}^{\varphi \text{NR}} = -\frac{21}{880} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} (3 + 5 \cos 2 \vartheta) \sin \varphi \quad (22c)$$

and for the $(\ell, m) = (3, 3)$ mode

$$B_{3,3}^{\text{NR}} = \frac{3}{22} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \sin^3 \vartheta \cos 3 \varphi \quad (23a)$$

$$B_{3,3}^{\vartheta \text{NR}} = -\frac{21}{88} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \cos \vartheta \sin^2 \vartheta \cos 3 \varphi \quad (23b)$$

$$B_{3,3}^{\varphi \text{NR}} = \frac{21}{88} \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^9} \sin^2 \vartheta \sin 3 \varphi. \quad (23c)$$

These expressions can be deduced from equations (17) and (18) by rotating the system of coordinates about the y -axis.

3.2 GR corrections

In curved space–time geometry, the equation satisfied by the perturbed magnetic field is equation (11). Hereto vector spherical harmonics are helpful. Getting exact analytical expression for the first order perturbation is cumbersome so we only give correction to a specified order in the ratio R_s/R . Knowing that for an aligned dipole we have

$$\nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) = \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^{10}} \left[\frac{24\sqrt{6}\pi}{5} \delta_{1,0}^R \Phi_{1,0} + \frac{16}{5} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{7}} \delta_{3,0}^R \Phi_{3,0} \right] \quad (24a)$$

$$\delta_{1,0}^R = \left(1 + \frac{79}{36} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{61}{18} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{6509}{1440} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{24959}{4480} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{5275691}{806400} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5} \right) \quad (24b)$$

$$\delta_{3,0}^R = \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{41}{24} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{419}{240} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{22459}{13440} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{203621}{134400} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5} \right). \quad (24c)$$

The perturbed magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 is expanded according to equation (6). Straightforward algebra here again shows that only two coefficients are non-zero. Nevertheless, it is impossible to get an exact analytical expression for the particular solution to equation (13). We therefore resort to a series expansion in R_s/R . A particular solution to this inhomogeneous equation is found through the usual technique employing the Wronskian for the homogeneous equation as described in Morse & Feshbach (1953). Letting the new unknown function $y_{\ell,m} = r f_{\ell,m}^B$, we rewrite the problem as

$$y_{\ell,m}''(r) + \frac{R_s}{\alpha^2 r^2} y_{\ell,m}'(r) - \frac{l(l+1)}{\alpha^2 r^2} y_{\ell,m}(r) = - \int \frac{r}{\alpha^3} \nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) \cdot \Phi_{\ell,m} d\Omega, \quad (25)$$

where the unperturbed static magnetic field is \mathbf{B}_0 and the right-hand side is known explicitly according to equation (24).

The particular solution can be represented by an integral including the Wronskian and two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation. For the aligned dipole field, the coefficients $f_{\ell,m}^B$ of the magnetic field expansion are given by

$$f_{1,0}^B = f_{1,0}^{B^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^L \quad (26a)$$

$$f_{3,0}^B = f_{3,0}^{B^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{3,0}^L \quad (26b)$$

$$\delta_{1,0}^L = 1 + \frac{417}{140} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{7011}{1232} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{19917}{2240} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{225441}{18200} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{691443}{43120} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5} \quad (26c)$$

$$\delta_{3,0}^L = 1 + \frac{151}{60} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{163}{39} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{73667}{12740} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{3680413}{509600} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{9544139}{1128960} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5}. \quad (26d)$$

The superscript ^L stands for the longitudinal part, the radial component. Explicitly, the components of the corrections to the dipolar fields are for the $\ell = 1$ mode

$$B_{1,0}^r = B_{1,0}^{r^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^L \quad (27a)$$

$$B_{1,0}^\vartheta = B_{1,0}^{\vartheta^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^T \quad (27b)$$

$$\delta_{1,0}^T = 1 + \frac{1423}{490} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{236713}{43120} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{368927}{43120} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{597977}{50050} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{4881733783}{313913600} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5} \quad (27c)$$

and for the $\ell = 3$ mode they are

$$B_{3,0}^r = B_{3,0}^{r^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{3,0}^L \quad (28a)$$

$$B_{3,0}^\vartheta = B_{3,0}^{\vartheta^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{3,0}^T \quad (28b)$$

$$\delta_{3,0}^T = 1 + \frac{499}{210} \frac{R_s}{r} + \frac{41611}{10920} \frac{R_s^2}{r^2} + \frac{5513087}{1070160} \frac{R_s^3}{r^3} + \frac{270893431}{42806400} \frac{R_s^4}{r^4} + \frac{625810279}{85612800} \frac{R_s^5}{r^5}. \quad (28c)$$

The superscript ^T stands for the transverse part, the spherical components (ϑ, φ).

We can play the same game for the orthogonal rotator. Knowing that for an orthogonal dipole we have

$$\nabla \times (\alpha b_0^2 \mathbf{B}_0) = \frac{B^3 R^9}{B_Q^2 r^{10}} \left[-\frac{48\sqrt{3}\pi}{5} \delta_{1,0}^R \Phi_{1,1} + \frac{24}{5} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{7}} \delta_{3,0}^R \Phi_{3,1} - 8 \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{35}} \delta_{3,0}^R \Phi_{3,3} \right]. \quad (29a)$$

The perturbed magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 is expanded according to equation (6). Straightforward algebra detailed in Pétri (2013) shows that only three coefficients are non-zero. Indeed, for the perpendicular dipole field, these coefficients are given by

$$f_{1,1}^B = f_{1,1}^{B^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^L \quad (30a)$$

$$f_{3,1}^B = f_{3,1}^{B^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{3,0}^L \quad (30b)$$

$$f_{3,3}^B = f_{3,3}^{B^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{3,0}^L. \quad (30c)$$

Explicitly, the components of the corrections to the dipolar fields are for the $(\ell, m) = (1, 1)$ mode

$$B_{1,1}^r = B_{1,1}^{r^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^L \quad (31a)$$

$$B_{1,1}^\vartheta = B_{1,1}^{\vartheta^{\text{NR}}} \delta_{1,0}^T \quad (31b)$$

$$B_{1,1}^\varphi = B_{1,1}^{\varphi\text{NR}} \delta_{1,0}^T \quad (31c)$$

for the $(\ell, m) = (3, 1)$ mode

$$B_{3,1}^r = B_{3,1}^{r\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^L \quad (32a)$$

$$B_{3,1}^\vartheta = B_{3,1}^{\vartheta\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^T \quad (32b)$$

$$B_{3,1}^\varphi = B_{3,1}^{\varphi\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^T \quad (32c)$$

and for the $(\ell, m) = (3, 3)$ mode

$$B_{3,3}^r = B_{3,3}^{r\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^L \quad (33a)$$

$$B_{3,3}^\vartheta = B_{3,3}^{\vartheta\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^T \quad (33b)$$

$$B_{3,3}^\varphi = B_{3,3}^{\varphi\text{NR}} \delta_{3,0}^T \quad (33c)$$

The $\ell = 3$ modes possess the same GR corrections as expected from the geometric transform through a rotation about the y -axis. The orthogonal rotator does not lead to new radial dependences of the magnetic field components compared to the aligned rotator. This is expected because through a judicious coordinate system transform we can retrieve the latter geometry. In realistic neutron stars, the ratio R_s/R is at most 0.5 such that the series of terms $S_n = (R_s/R)^n$ converge to zero geometrically. As the series in δ only converges slowly, we had to take several terms in the summation to reach an acceptable accuracy. The rate of convergence depends strongly on the stellar compactness $R_s/R \lesssim 0.5$.

4 DISCUSSION

The above results show the effect of vacuum polarization on the structure of a purely magnetic field. In a real astrophysical context such as magnetospheres of magnetars, the strength of the magnetic field at the surface can largely exceed the quantum critical field B_Q . The associated electromagnetic stress-energy tensor acts as a supplementary source for the gravitational field. However, even for such extreme field strengths the generation of gravitational fields remains marginal. Indeed the induced curvature in the metric geometry can be estimated by the ratio of magnetic energy density over rest mass energy density of the star by the expression

$$\frac{2\pi}{3\mu_0} \frac{B^2 R^3}{M c^2} \approx 3.1 \times 10^{-10} \left(\frac{B}{B_Q}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R}{12 \text{ km}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{M}{1.4 M_\odot}\right)^{-1} \quad (34)$$

assuming constant and homogeneous magnetization and density inside the star. Thus space–time distortions from the electromagnetic field remain rather weak compared to equation (1).

Moreover, rotation of the magnet, assuming to be a perfect conductor inside the star, induces an electric field at the surface of the order

$$\frac{E}{cB} = \frac{R}{r_L} = \frac{2\pi R}{cP} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{R}{12 \text{ km}}\right) \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ s}}\right)^{-1}. \quad (35)$$

For magnetars, the observed period P of rotation is more than one second (between 2 and 12 seconds according to Turolla et al. 2015). This small rotation rate implies a large light-cylinder radius of more than 9×10^7 metres and a ratio $R/r_L \lesssim 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$. Therefore, we

do not expect the electric field to drastically change the properties of quantum vacuum. Moreover, in the slow rotation approximation, corrections to the magnetic field inside the light-cylinder are of the order r/r_L , see for instance appendix B of Pétri (2015a). Consequently, rotation of the neutron star will not affect the multipole geometry described in the previous section. In any way, the field of a magnetar is significantly affected by currents in its magnetosphere. In the standard picture pulsar magnetospheres, the current induced by the corotation of charges distorts the electromagnetic field to the same order of magnitude than rotation in vacuum alone. However, in the twisted magnetosphere of magnetars, the supporting currents for the magnetic twists are much larger than the currents induced by the corotation charge density (Beloborodov & Thompson 2007). Such high current flows are evidenced by their non-thermal emission properties and should be taken into account for an accurate description of the magnetic topology of neutron star magnetic fields.

5 CONCLUSION

Strong electromagnetic fields in neutron stars and especially in magnetars are at the heart of pulsar machinery, the crucial electron–positron pair creation scenario and the related radiative processes. If the emission observed at Earth emanates from the base of the magnetosphere, close to the stellar surface, we should have indirect evidence of these strong fields. The magnetic topology is also of great importance to understand the observations. In this paper, we have shown that QED corrections induce multipolar components in the magnetic field. We gave exact analytical expressions for flat space–time and a power expansion series in R_s/R in curved space–time. The strength of the corrections decrease very steeply with radius at least as the ninth power of r , $B_{\text{QED}} \propto r^{-9}$. Therefore, QED corrections to the field can only lead to palpable effects in the vicinity of the neutron star surface.

What would happen if this GRQED dipole is put into rotation and emits a large amplitude electromagnetic wave in vacuum? Would the classical magnetodipole formula still be valid or should we expect an enhancement in the radiating fields. We answer quantitatively this question in another paper by performing time-dependent numerical simulations of an inclined rotating dipole in curved space–time including vacuum polarization. We also plan to extend these corrections to other magnetic field topologies such as a quadrupole, a hexapole or an octupole as well as to an arbitrary strong static magnetic field with any value of B , not necessarily $B \ll B_Q$, using for instance an analytical formula for the effective Lagrangian derived by Heyl & Hernquist (1997b).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to the referee for helpful comments. This work has been supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the grant no. ANR-13-JS05-0003-01 (project EMPERE).

REFERENCES

- Battesti R., Rizzo C., 2013, Rep. Prog. Phys., 76, 016401
- Beloborodov A. M., Thompson C., 2007, ApJ, 657, 967
- Ginzburg V. L., Ozernoy L. M., 1964, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 47, 1030
- Harding A. K., Lai D., 2006, Rep. Prog. Phys., 69, 2631
- Heisenberg W., Euler H., 1936, Z. Phys., 98, 714
- Heyl J. S., Hernquist L., 1997a, J. Phys. A Math. Gen., 30, 6475
- Heyl J. S., Hernquist L., 1997b, Phys. Rev. D, 55, 2449

- Heyl J. S., Shaviv N. J., 2000, MNRAS, 311, 555
Lai D., 2015, Space Sci. Rev., 191, 13
Lai D., Ho W. C., 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett., 91, 071101
Mazur D., Heyl J. S., 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1381
Mészáros P., 1992, High-Energy Radiation from Magnetized Neutron Stars, Astrophysics/Physics. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago
Morse P., Feshbach H., 1953, Methods of Theoretical physics, International Series in Pure and Applied Physics., Vol. 1. McGraw-Hill, New York
Pétri J., 2013, MNRAS, 433, 986
Pétri J., 2014, MNRAS, 439, 1071
Pétri J., 2015a, MNRAS, 450, 714
Pétri J., 2015b, MNRAS, 451, 3581
Rezzolla L. J., Ahmedov B., 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1161
Turolla R., Zane S., Watts A. L., 2015, Rep. Prog. Phys., 78, 116901
Wald R. M., 1972, Phys. Rev. D, 6, 1476

This paper has been typeset from a \TeX/L\AA\TeX file prepared by the author.