

Diversity and convergence in the mechanisms establishing L / R asymmetry in metazoa

Jean-Baptiste Coutelis, Nicanor González-Morales, Charles Géminard, S.

Noselli

► To cite this version:

Jean-Baptiste Coutelis, Nicanor González-Morales, Charles Géminard, S. Noselli. Diversity and convergence in the mechanisms establishing L / R asymmetry in metazoa. EMBO Reports, 2014, 15 (9), pp.926-937. 10.15252/embr.201438972 . hal-03137275

HAL Id: hal-03137275 https://hal.science/hal-03137275v1

Submitted on 10 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Diversity and convergence in the mechanisms establishing L/R asymmetry in metazoa

Jean-Baptiste Coutelis^{1,2,3}, Nicanor González-Morales^{1,2,3}, Charles Géminard^{1,2,3} & Stéphane Noselli^{1,2,3,*}

Abstract

Differentiating left and right hand sides during embryogenesis represents a major event in body patterning. Left–Right (L/R) asymmetry in bilateria is essential for handed positioning, morphogenesis and ultimately the function of organs (including the brain), with defective L/R asymmetry leading to severe pathologies in human. How and when symmetry is initially broken during embryogenesis remains debated and is a major focus in the field. Work done over the past 20 years, in both vertebrate and invertebrate models, has revealed a number of distinct pathways and mechanisms important for establishing L/R asymmetry and for spreading it to tissues and organs. In this review, we summarize our current knowledge and discuss the diversity of L/R patterning from cells to organs during evolution.

Keywords L/R asymmetry; symmetry breaking; directional morphogenesis; evolution, invertebrates; vertebrates
DOI 10.15252/embr.201438972 | Received 28 April 2014 | Revised 3 July 2014 | Accepted 16 July 2014
EMBO Reports (2014) 15: 926–937

Introduction

The first mutation affecting the whole body plan was isolated a century ago and was shown to invert shell coiling in a small aquatic snail (*Lymnaea peregra*) [1,2]. Despite this early finding and important work describing genetic and cellular aspects of L/R asymmetry [3–11], the first molecular study of L/R asymmetry was described only recently, showing for the first time asymmetric expression of the *nodal* gene in vertebrates [12]. A possible reason for this lag is the fact that in contrast to A/P and D/V asymmetries, laterality is not obvious at first sight, when looking at the external body shape, with snail shell coiling being an exception. Indeed, despite looking mostly bilaterally symmetrical, metazoa also differentiate along the "invisible" L/R axis, leading to asymmetric positioning of unique organs, such as the heart, liver and stomach, and asymmetrical morphogenesis of bilateral ones, as for example the lung and brain.

*Corresponding author. Tel: +33 4 9207 6433; E-mail: noselli@unice.fr

In addition, L/R asymmetry controls the looping of tubular organs (heart tube, gut, and other ducts) toward one direction. Laterality is thus essential for the correct arrangement of visceral organs in the abdomen and thorax, but is also essential for the asymmetric morphogenesis, hence the function, of the heart and brain, for example. Clinical studies led to an estimation of 1/5,000–1/10,000 humans suffering from L/R defects (*situs inversus*, heterotaxia, and isomerism), being responsible for a number of complex congenital heart defects, misrotation of the intestine, and spontaneous miscarriage. Furthermore, L/R asymmetry defects, which often originate from ciliopathies, are associated with polycystic renal disease, Kartagener and Ivemark syndromes, and others.

L/R asymmetry is therefore essential, and outstanding questions remain to be addressed to understand how body shape and function are established during evolution. What is, or what are, the origin(s) of L/R asymmetry? Where and when does it take place in the embryo? Are there any conserved features among metazoa and how did L/R asymmetry establishment evolve in metazoa (Sidebar A)?

A specificity of L/R asymmetry is the fact that it has to be coordinated with the other two—A/P and D/V—body axes and thus is established relative to and after them as a "secondary" axis. This important notion was summarized by Brown and Wolpert in their elegant F-molecule model [13]. The incremental/two-step establishment of body patterning is particularly interesting, as it implies that L/R asymmetry establishment depends on mechanisms that integrate existing 2D positional information. Over the last few years, several studies using different model organisms helped to identify unique mechanisms at play during the establishment of L/R asymmetry. Although a variety of mechanisms have been discovered, fascinating similarities between quite distant phyla are emerging. On the following pages, we will discuss the various mechanisms and synthesize common principles of L/R asymmetry establishment in vertebrates and invertebrates.

Vertebrate embryonic node and Nodal flow in L/R patterning

A well-established model for the determination of the body situs in several vertebrate species is that of the Nodal flow occurring at the

¹ Institut de Biologie Valrose, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis, Nice, France

² CNRS, Institut de Biologie Valrose, UMR 7277, Nice, France

³ INSERM, Institut de Biologie Valrose, U1091, Nice, France

Glossary	
A/P	anterior/posterior
Abd-B	abdominal-B
ASEL, ASER	left-right asymmetric bilateral sensory neurons in
	C. elegans
D/V	dorsal/ventral
dul	dishevelled-like
FGF	fibroblast growth factor
GSK3	glycogen synthase kinase 3
Heterotaxia	also situs ambiguus, uncoordinated placing of the
	internal organs
Isomerism	situation in which both sides of the body adopt the
	same fate
iv	inversus viscerum
L/R	left–right
LPM	lateral plate mesoderm
myoID	myosin ID
PCP	planar cell polarity
РН	Pleckstrin Homology
Pitx2	paired-like homeodomain transcription factor 2
Pkd1l1	polycystic kidney disease-like 1
Pkd2	polycystic kidney disease 2
Shh	sonic hedgehog
situs inversus	inverted placing of the internal organs
situs solitus	normal placing of the internal organs
TGF-β	transforming growth factor beta
vangl	Van Gogh-like

late-gastrulation-neurulation stage in the mouse node and node-like structures of other animals (Posterior Notochordal Plate in rabbit, Kupffer's Vesicle in zebrafish, Gastrocoel Roof Plate in Xenopus) [14–16].

The Nodal flow model is best described in mouse, which serves as the model paradigm; hence, we focus in the following on the description of the data obtained in mouse. The node is a transitory structure located on the ventral side of the embryo at the end of the developing notochord (Fig 1A). The node is a cavity covered by a monociliated epithelium-like monolayer of cells, which appears decisive for proper lateralization [17]. Indeed, when the node cilia are missing, mice show abnormal L/R patterning with random lateralization, that is, both the normal *situs solitus* and the inverted *situs inversus* are observed. This is for instance the case in mice mutant for the Kif3A or Kif3B subunits of the kinesin-II complex, a microtubule motor essential for proper ciliogenesis and maintenance of the cilium. In these mutants, cilia fail to assemble [18,19].

However, it is not merely the presence of these cilia that is important, but rather their motility. Indeed, *inversus viscerum* (*iv*) mutant mice, in which the cilia are present but immotile, show similar randomized lateralization phenotypes [20,21]. *iv* encodes the L/R dynein, another microtubule motor essential for node cilia motility [20]. Node cilia rotate clockwise, thereby producing a leftward flow of extra-embryonic fluid, which appears to determine the directionality of embryo lateralization [18,19,22,23]. Cilia have been known for some time to be important for lateralization [24], but their role in the production of the Nodal flow was only recently described [18] (Fig 1A). Impairing the flow genetically (with mutant mice) or experimentally (by increasing the viscosity of the medium) leads to L/R patterning defects [25]. When the node cilia are missing or immotile, the Nodal flow is abolished





Figure 1. Left/Right determination in vertebrates.

(A) Schematic depiction of a E8.5 mouse embryo. Nodal is expressed around the node. Nodal flow (i) leads to stronger expression of Nodal on the left side (ii) and in the Lateral Plate Mesoderm (LPM) where it positively regulates its own expression by a positive feedback loop. Nodal also activates expression of the homeobox transcription factor Pitx2 and of the TGF- β homologues Lefty2 and Lefty1 in the LPM near the notochord. Lefty1/2 antagonize Nodal diffusion to the right side of the embryo and ultimately shut down Nodal signaling. Pitx2 expression is self-maintained and induces left-sided morphogenesis of the LPM. (B) Schematic depiction of a stage 4 chick embryo's primitive streak and Hensen's node. The leftward movement of cells from the right of Hensen's node induces the asymmetric remodffieling of the node's morphology as well as asymmetric gene expression patterns (e.g. Shh, green) due to the intermingling of cells with different genetic programs [57,58]. (C) Xenopus embryo at the 4-cell stage shows right-sided enrichment in subunit-A of the proton pump H⁺-V-ATPase, whose activity is necessary for proper lateralization of the animal. Interestingly, this early L/R asymmetric localization appears to be sensitive to actin but not microtubule depolymerization [60].

and the L/R *situs* is consequently randomized. Interestingly, the restoration of an artificially generated leftward Nodal flow is sufficient to reinstate normal L/R patterning of mutant mice [25]. Conversely, in wild-type mice, superimposition of an artificial

rightward Nodal flow is able to override normal patterning and leads to inversion of the axis, demonstrating the importance of the flow in this process [25].

The normal mouse node is thought to comprise between 200 and 300 motile cilia, nevertheless only a couple of them seem to be required for normal lateralization [26]. This precision was achieved through thorough analysis of the phenotype of mutant mice, in which ciliogenesis was strongly impaired but that nevertheless retain some cilia at the node. This is for instance the case in mice mutant for the Rfx3 transcription factor necessary for ciliogenesis. The discovery that only two motile cilia-but not one-wherever their position in the node, were sufficient to trigger normal L/R patterning questions the sensitivity of the Nodal flow signal or the existence of a on/off effect of the flow [26]. Remarkably, the generation of a small difference or initial bias between the left and right sides by the Nodal flow appears to be sufficient to be turned into robust asymmetry [27]. Similar analyses of flow dynamics in various genetic conditions showed that in zebrafish, the flow generated by thirty motile cilia or more reliably predicts the future laterality of the animal [28]. Interestingly, the authors revealed distinct sensitivities of different organs to the flow. These observations could account for heterotaxia in conditions in which the flow is compromised but not abolished.

How is the information provided by the Nodal flow implemented for asymmetric morphogenesis, and how does the Nodalsignaling cascade initiate left-sided morphogenesis? Originally detected on both sides of the node, Nodal expression is reinforced on the left side by the Nodal flow. Nodal, a TGF-β family member, diffuses to the LPM surrounding the node where it activates a positive feedback loop inducing its own expression, as well as those of Lefty2 and Pitx2 in the LPM and that of Lefty1 around the midline [29] (Fig 1A). Lefty1 and Lefty2 molecules are monomeric TGF- β family members that compete with Nodal signaling in the extracellular medium. The expression of Lefty1 at the midline antagonizes the Nodal produced on the left side of the embryo LPM, thus preventing the diffusion of Nodal activity to the right side and subsequent ectopic left-sided development [30,31]. Consistently, nodal mutants display right-sided characteristics on both sides (right isomerism), whereas both sides of Lefty1 mutants show left-sided characteristics [27,29,30]. Downstream of Nodal signaling is the homeodomain-bearing transcription factor Pitx2. Pitx2 expression once activated by Nodal remains expressed in the LPM. Its expression dictates left-sided morphogenesis of the asymmetric organs, thus presaging the development of morphological asymmetries of the body [32–35].

These data show the importance of the flow generated by the node cilia in locking the directionality of the L/R axis. However, cilia rotating around their axis (from their base to their tip) should produce a vortex without any clear directionality and not the laminar flow that is observed experimentally. How can the clockwise rotation of the cilia produce a leftward flow? The answer is twofold. First, the apical surface of the node cells forming the embryonic cavity appears to be convex, and second, their basal body (that anchors the cilium in the cell) is asymmetrically positioned. In the node epithelium, the cilia basal bodies are not positioned in the middle of the apical side but at the posterior end [36,37]. These two factors lead to a posterior tilt of the cilia, which in turn leads to an effective stroke toward the left side and an

ineffective recovery stroke toward the right side, thereby creating the observed leftward flow [36–38].

How is this coordinated localization of the node cell basal bodies from their initial central apical location to the posterior attained across the node epithelium? A well-known example of the uniform orientation of all cells in the plane of an epithelium is that of PCP. PCP was first described in Drosophila ommatidia and wing bristles, whose coordinated orientation was shown to genetically depend on so-called PCP genes [39]. Proper L/R axis establishment is also impaired in mice mutant for the PCP genes *dvl* and *vangl*, due to the randomization of the cilia position at the surface of the node pit cells. Thanks to PCP signaling, all node cells have their cilium basal body located similarly at the posterior end of their apical domain and can thus participate in the generation of the coordinated Nodal flow [40–43]. Interestingly, the positioning of the cilia basal bodies also depends on actin cytoskeleton remodeling, as the cooperation of the PCP core protein Vangl2 and the actin-severing protein Cofilin1 appears to be important in this process [44]. In vangl2;cofilin1 double mutant mice, the basal body fails to migrate posteriorly and remains centrally located leading to L/R patterning randomization [44]. Taken together, these data link the generation of the extra-embryonic Nodal flow to the intracellular cell cytoskeleton organization and A/P axis.

Several questions remain, as for example, how does the Nodal flow induce organism lateralization and subsequent asymmetric morphogenesis? How is the Nodal flow sensed? It is now clear that in addition to the node pit cell cilia, a second population of cilia located on the crown cells around the node is crucial for sensing the flow. To date, two not mutually exclusive hypotheses are debated, the first chemical and the other mechanical (for review see [36,45]). The former asserts that a morphogen gradient is established by the Nodal flow and sensed by the perinodal crown cells. Nodal Vesicular Parcels are membrane-sheathed vesicles originating from the node cell that are released in an FGF-dependent fashion [46]. These Nodal Vesicular Parcels are suggested to be transported by the Nodal flow and to produce a putative gradient of molecules, such as Shh and retinoic acid [18]. This hypothesis needs to gather firmer experimental confirmation in order to be corroborated. The latter hypothesis, the mechanical one, claims that the signal carried by the Nodal flow is actually the pressure that is sensed by the sensory cilia of the perinodal crown cells [21].

Whichever the mechanism, it has been shown that the perception of the Nodal flow requires the Ca²⁺ channel encoded by the Pkd2 and Pkd1l1 genes [47,48]. Interestingly, this complex appears to be required solely in the perinodal crown cells for proper L/R establishment. In Pkd2 null-mutant mice, Pkd2 expression was reintroduced by transgenesis in the perinodal crown cells but not in the node pit cells. This localized expression was sufficient to restore normal L/R patterning [49]. Consistently, mice with normal Pkd2 expression, in which cilia are absent from node pit cells and only present in the perinodal crown cells, are able to respond to an artificial flow and trigger proper left-sided morphogenesis [19]. This suggests that the Pkd2 and Pkd111 complex could be responsible for the detection of the Nodal flow and possibly for the resultant Ca^{2+} signal observed on the left side of the node [47,48,50]. However, how this Ca²⁺ signal impacts on Nodal expression and the subsequent signaling cascade remains to be resolved.

The Nodal flow model is very popular as it provides a comfortable mental frame to link cell polarity to structural chirality and ultimately to organism lateralization, but additional mechanisms could be at play during vertebrate L/R axis establishment. Although no early L/R asymmetry has yet been described in mouse, one study found that blastomere repositioning at the 4- and 8-cell stages affects the stereotypical embryonic axial rotation occurring days later [51]. Furthermore, the left-right dynein encoded by the iv locus and known for its role in L/R asymmetry (as mentioned above) has recently been implicated in the process of chromatid segregation [52], thus opening the way for a "chromatid segregation" model hypothesizing a L/R asymmetric imprinting of the chromatin from the zygote first cell division on [53]. In addition, recent investigations suggest that a Nodal-independent mechanism, relying on actin polymerization and myosin II activity, could control heartlooping lateralization in zebrafish [54]. Other Nodal flow independent mechanisms of L/R patterning in vertebrates and invertebrates are discussed in more detail below.

Ion flux and left-right determination in vertebrates

Several vertebrate species with a node-like structure do not seem to rely on the Nodal flow for their L/R axis determination. In chick for instance, the homologous structure, the Hensen's node, differs from the mouse node. The mouse or rabbit node is formed of mesodermal pit cells whose motile cilia produce a flow [36,55]. In the chick, on the other hand, Hensen's node cells are endodermal cells with shorter and immotile cilia [56]. Interestingly, the chick node itself becomes morphologically asymmetric and adopts a leftward tilt due to cellular rearrangements, cell migration, and interactions with the surrounding tissues (Fig 1B) [57,58]. This observation does not seem to be a peculiarity of the chick, or of non-mammalian vertebrates, as it was also reported in the pig embryo [58]. Remarkably, these cell migration properties, which precede asymmetric Nodal expression by several hours, directly depend on the L/R program and are downstream of the earlier H^+/K^+ ATPase activity [58].

A whole body of work has shown the involvement of ion pumps of various kinds in L/R patterning at the earliest stages of development. Initially identified through pharmacological screening for the effect of drugs on lateralization, ion pumps and ion channels such as H⁺/K⁺-ATPase, H⁺-V-ATPase, or Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, were found to possess asymmetric localizations and activities at developmental stages prior to the "Node" and as early as the first cleavages in several vertebrate species (Fig 1C) [59-61]. The asymmetric expression of these pumps and channels on one side of the embryo is thought to generate a localized ion flow creating steady differences in pH and transmembrane voltage between left and right sides of the embryo. These pH or electrical gradients are thought to orient lateralization or to mediate the local concentration of small signaling molecules (for review see [14,16]). Indeed, when the ion pump or channel activity is missing, the resultant phenotype is often heterotaxia, that is, an uncoordinated L/R axis [59-61]. Interestingly, some data indicate that the initial asymmetry of these ion pumps during early development depends on the correct organization of the cell cytoskeleton [60]. To our knowledge, no data on whether ion pumps, channels or other mechanisms preceding the Nodal flow stage could be at play in mouse L/R asymmetry establishment are yet available. Taken together, it appears that in several vertebrate species, L/R asymmetry is

established at different times of development and via different mechanisms.

Left–right asymmetry determination in non-vertebrate deuterostomes

Several of the actors and mechanisms found in vertebrate L/R determination appear to be conserved in non-vertebrate deuterostomes without Node-like structures, such as the ascidian Ciona intestinalis and Halocvnthia roretzi or the echinodermata sea urchin. The C. intestinalis larva possesses two asymmetrically located sensory pigment spots near the brain as well as an asymmetric gut [62]. Similarly to the aforementioned vertebrates, Nodal signaling is detected on the left side of C. intestinalis and leads to the expression of the Pitx2 homologue, which in turn directs left-sided morphogenesis [62]. Interestingly, H⁺/K⁺ ATPase activity also appears to act shortly before Nodal expression in C. intestinalis and its perturbation affects the left-sided expression of the *Pitx2* homologue, indicating the requirement for the ion channel in C. intestinalis L/R patterning as well [62]. In H. roretzi, another ascidian, Nodal signaling is also detected on the left side of the embryo for L/R morphogenesis. However, in H. roretzi, Nodal expression depends on embryo-wide movements that bring the embryo epidermis and the vitelline membrane in contact. Indeed, a recent study shows that Nodal expression originates from this contact [63]. Interestingly, the contact zone is consistently fixed through a cilium-driven stereotypical rotation of the neurula-stage embryo, called the "neurula rotation" [63]. These data, once more linking Nodal and ciliary function, suggest that cilia could act in more than one way for L/R determination. Finally, in the sea urchin pluteus larva, the adult rudiment (the progenitor tissue for the future sea urchin) forms on one side of the mesodermal tissues [64,65]. Here, Nodal and H^+/K^+ ATPase activities are also involved in L/R patterning [65,66]. But there is a twist to it, as in sea urchin, Nodal is not a left side marker or inducer but is instead found to be expressed on the larval right side, where it prevents left-sided development of the adult rudiment [65,66].

Left-right asymmetry determination in invertebrates

Although they do not all possess asymmetrically positioned organs, most bilaterian animals show some kind of internal L/R asymmetry. Bilateria is a big clade containing the Deuterostomes and Protostomes phyla. All the aforementioned species belong to the Deuterostomes, yet the Protostomes (usually referred to as "invertebrates") are key to understand the basis of L/R patterning both at the morphological and at the functional level [14,67]. Among those, studying three different genera, snails of the *Lymnaea* genus, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* nematode, and the *Drosophila melanogaster* fruit fly, led to some major advances in our understanding of L/R asymmetry, which are discussed below.

Lymnaea snails

In snails, L/R asymmetry can be seen in the asymmetric positioning of organs such as the gonad or renal organ but is most evident in the coiling of their shell, whose direction is firmly controlled. There are snail species with dextral coiling, others with sinistral coiling of their shell. Yet, snails with inverted shell coiling can naturally occur within a strain and prove invaluable to the study of L/R axis determination and patterning. In snails, both *nodal* and *Pitx* homologues are asymmetrically expressed during embryogenesis. Their expression is localized to the right side of dextral snails and to the left in sinistral snails and is important for the normal asymmetric production of the shell. Indeed, treatment with a general chemical inhibitor of the TGF- β superfamily (to which Nodal belongs) led to some individuals with non-coiled shells, which could suggest a loss of asymmetry [68]. A possible downstream effector of *Nodal/Pitx* signaling guiding the asymmetric growth of the shell could be the morphogen Dpp, another TGF- β family member. Indeed, *Dpp* expression appears to predict shell coiling in several species [69].

What controls the asymmetric Nodal/Pitx expression in snails? The exact symmetry-breaking event is unknown, but it appears to happen at the earliest stages of embryo development. At the 8-cell stage, the blastomere arrangement appears chiral. The four micromeres on top have their "axis" slightly shifted to one side compared to the bottom macromeres (Fig 2A). This "spiral" positioning of the blastomeres occurs at the third cleavage and predicts the coiling direction of the shell. It is thus found to the left in sinistral species and to the right in dextral ones [68,70,71]. Yet, the situation is strikingly different between variants of a given species, at least for the first stages. Until the 8-cell stage, the situs inversus embryos have all their blastomeres aligned, thus lacking the top micromere tilt of the situs solitus embryos of the same species [70,71]. But from the 8-cell stage onwards, an inversed tilt happens and the situs solitus and situs inversus individuals appear to be mirror images. These observations raised the possibility that two distinct mechanisms could be at play to control the dextral and sinistral fates [70]. Furthermore, micromanipulations of the blastomere arrangement during the third cleavage (leading to the 8-cell stage) can impose lateralization on the embryos (Fig 2A). Indeed, inversing the normal tilt of the blastomeres in situs solitus embryos or restoring a spiral blastomeric arrangement in situs inversus ones triggers the coiling of the shell of the resulting adults in the direction imposed by the manipulation, as well as Nodal and Pitx asymmetric expression during development [70]. These results indicate the crucial importance of the early asymmetric mechanisms at play at the third cleavage stage for L/R axis establishment. Interestingly, treatment of 4-cell stage embryos with the microtubule depolymerizing agent nocodazole does not affect proper L/R development, whereas treatments with actin depolymerizing agents such as latrunculin A or B at the same four-cell stage do impair snail lateralization, indicating the importance of the actin cytoskeleton in this process [71].

In spite of these indications, the molecular mechanisms regulating snail chirality remain unknown. Genetic experiments have shown that shell chirality depends on a single gene [72,73]. Taking advantage of the naturally occurring sinistral individuals of *Lymnaea peregra*, geneticists performed crossing experiments and found that shell directionality depends on a single locus of the maternal genome [73]. Furthermore, injection of dextral egg cytoplasm into sinistral eggs was sufficient to induce normal dextral development, whereas the injection of sinistral egg cytoplasm into dextral eggs had no effect, indicating that the dextral allele is dominant over the sinistral one [73]. Interestingly, phylogeny modeling has shown that determination of shell coiling by a single gene is evolutionary conserved [74] and that it could reflect an adaptive prey/predator response to snake asymmetric mandibles [75]. However, the exact gene that controls dextral coiling has not yet been identified, despite several attempts [72]. And thus, the nature of this maternally inherited and dominant dextral cytoplasmic factor, which is present in the egg and likely acts on the actin cytoskeleton during the first developmental cleavages, remains unknown.

Caenorhabditis elegans

Caenorhabditis elegans is a popular model system, for which the stereotypical developmental fate of each of the one thousand or so cells has been precisely mapped. *Caenorhabditis elegans* possesses many LR asymmetric features as well as asymmetrically positioned organs, such as the gonad, spermatheca, or vulva (for review on L/R patterning in *C. elegans* see [76,77]). Although the exact symmetry-breaking event during *C. elegans* development is unknown, the genetic regulation controlling asymmetric morphogenesis has been carefully dissected.

The dextral positioning of blastomeres occurring at the 4- to 6-cell stage transition is the first apparent sign of L/R asymmetry. This process has been heavily used to study early L/R patterning [76,78,79]. During the transition from the 4- to 6-cell stage, the anterior and posterior dorsal blastomeres slightly turn to the right, thus orientating the mitotic spindle rightward (Fig 2B). Upon cytokinesis, this asymmetric division leads to the rightward daughter cells to be positioned posteriorly relative to the leftward ones, the whole embryo thus adopting a dextral orientation (Fig 2B). The bias in the direction of the mitotic spindle appears to originate from the earliest stage of embryonic development. The one-cell embryo stereotypically rotates by 120° always in the same direction prior to the first mitosis. This process relies on the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, as depletion of the WAVE-Arp2/3 complex or of the CYK-1 Formin homologue impairs embryo rotation and C. elegans laterality, thus revealing the existence of an actin-based intrinsic chirality [80]. This initial chirality seems to be transmitted to the astral microtubules of the spindle, through the cortical G-alpha protein encoded by the gpa-16 gene. Loss of gpa-16 G-alpha protein activity leads to random lateralization of the 6-cell stage blastomere [81]. Consistently, disruption of the spindle orientation process similarly results in the randomization of 6-cell blastomere positioning [81,82]. These data suggest that these mechanisms are used to orient the mitotic spindle in order to fix consistent L/R development. Among these mechanisms, the noncanonical Wnt signaling pathway has been suggested to act on the cytoskeleton and thereby control blastomere spindle orientation [83,84]. From stage 12 onwards, a series of Notch inductions controls L/R patterning [85]. Indeed, after the asymmetric blastomere division at the 6-cell stage, a first Notch induction instructs asymmetric L/R patterning [80]. Thus, the original L/R asymmetries in spindle orientation are at the basis of later L/R patterning in worms [80,86].

Finally, the *C. elegans* brain shows two kinds of neuronal L/R asymmetries. First is the stochastic expression of GFP in a reporter line in a set of two neurons that are thus termed "On/Off" [87,88]. Through calcium signals between these two neurons, only one of the pair expresses the odorant receptor gene *str-2* [88]. This process rather corresponds to anti-symmetry than to proper stereotyped L/R



Figure 2. Left/right determination in Protostomes.

(A) In snails, L/R asymmetry is manifested in the coiling of the shell. The direction of this coiling depends on the orientation of the first two cell cleavages. The asymmetric spatial arrangement of the blastomeres leads to the spiral orientation of the spindles. Whereas forced inversion at the 2- to 4-cell stage causes only a temporal L/R perturbation, mended at the 4-cell stage, forced inversion at the 4- to 8-cell stage results in a permanent inversion of the L/R axis highlighted by asymmetric Nodal and Pitx expression (green spot). (B) The first clear asymmetric marker in *Caenorhabditis elegans* is the dextral placement of blastomeres during the 4–6 cell stage transition. The anterior cell and the posterior cell slightly spin so that the mitotic spindle orients rightward, with the result that the midline reorients slightly dextrally. This early asymmetry is propagated later on; one example is the appearance of the functionally asymmetric ASEL/ASER neurons, controlled by the specific expression of *lys2* and *lys6* genes. (C) Terminalia looping in *Drosophila* depends on the rotations of two independent rings, the A8a and the A8p, each contributing 180° (white arrowheads on A8a and A8p) to the 360° rotation (blue arrowheads). Although they are in close proximity, the direction of rotation of each of these rings, dextral or sinistral, is independent of each other and only depends on the presence and absence of the dextral determinant MyoID. (D) The gut of the *Drosophila* embryo is divided in three parts, foregut (red), midgut (blue), and hindgut (green), each displaying a complex L/R asymmetry attern.

asymmetry. Second is the stereotyped L/R asymmetry of the neuron pair ASEL/ASER (Fig 2B). Although the ASEL/ASER fate also depends on the 6-cell stage blastomere asymmetry, their future differentiation is determined at the 24-cell stage through two rounds of Notch inductions that leave a L/R mark on the postmitotic neurons [89,90]. Recent work identified the nature of the L/R marks and found that a miRNA, encoded by the *lsy-6* locus, induced chromatin de-compaction in the neuron committed to the ASEL fate [91,92].

Drosophila melanogaster

In all the model systems reviewed so far, the animal L/R axis appears to be established sequentially from an initial symmetrybreaking event, yet in *Drosophila* the various L/R organs seem to be able to individually lateralize owing to the existence of L/R organizing centers [93,94 and González-Morales N *et al*, in preparation]. Furthermore, it is a striking feature of *Drosophila* that a reset of the lateralization can occur at metamorphosis (for review on L/R patterning in *Drosophila* see [95]). In *Drosophila*, most L/R research has been performed on the lateralization of two organs at two different times of development: first, the dextral looping of the embryonic hindgut during embryogenesis, and second, the dextral 360° rotation of the male terminalia and the associated coiling of the spermiduct during metamorphosis (Fig 2C and D, [95,96]). The dextral orientation of these organs, as well as that of the other Drosophila L/R asymmetric organs, depends on the activity of a single gene: myosin ID (myoID). When myoID activity is missing, Drosophila L/R asymmetry is inverted, thus revealing the activity of an underlying sinistral pathway [94,97]. Interestingly, in some of these organs, L/R organizers could be identified in which MyoID activity was exclusively required for normal dextral development of the organ [94 and González-Morales N et al, in preparation]. Using temporally and spatially controlled genetic tools, it was shown that L/R establishment of the embryonic hindgut and terminalia is independent and happens at two distinct developmental times [94,97,98].

Further thorough analysis of *myoID* expression yielded unanticipated results. Indeed, in the L/R organizer controlling terminalia rotation, MyoID is expressed in two distinct cell rows [94]. Interestingly, these two MyoID expression domains each correspond to the two independent rings contributing to the 360° terminalia rotation. Selective depletion of *myoID* activity in one, the other, or both domains shows that each cell ring contributes 180° to the rotation and that they behave as two genetically independent mini-L/R organizers. Consequently, when *myoID* activity is present, the ring rotates dextrally by 180° and by 180° sinistrally when *myoID* activity is missing. These data open startling evolutionary perspectives which could explain the observed diversity in terminalia rotation in diptera, through the appearance and later duplication of a 180° L/R unit [99].

Recently, the Hox transcription factor Abd-B was identified as the upstream regulator of L/R determination in Drosophila (Fig 3A). Abd-B and other Hox genes are key to establish A/P identity [100], nevertheless this new function in L/R patterning appears to be separate. Upon depletion of Abd-B activity in the embryonic hindgut or the male terminalia L/R organizer, loss of myoID expression is observed [93]. Nevertheless, unlike myoID loss of function, Abd-B depletion does not result in an inverted asymmetric development of the L/R axis but in the loss of asymmetry leading to a symmetric development of the organs [93]. Remarkably, restoring MyoID expression is sufficient to rescue this phenotype indicating that Abd-B controls the expression of the symmetry-breaking factor, the dextral determinant MyoID. Furthermore, Abd-B depletion in a myoID null, and so sinistral, background similarly yields flies developing symmetrically, showing that a genuine sinistral pathway, also under the control of Abd-B, exists (Fig 3A) [93]. These data suggest that factors involved in L/R axis establishment might be able to "read" the A/P axis.

Molecularly, the dextral determinant MyoID is a type I unconventional myosin, a one-headed, monomeric actin-based motor, that is very well conserved in evolution [94,97,101]. Type I myosins comprise three domains: an N-terminal single-headed motor domain coupled to a C-terminal tail via an alpha-helical neck [102,103]. The motor domain binds actin and hydrolyses ATP. The neck contains a number of IQ domains and binds light chains acting as a lever-arm, thus transmitting the conformational changes that occur in the motor domain after ATP hydrolysis [104,105]. Finally, the tail



Figure 3. Genetic and cellular determination of Drosophila L/R asymmetry.

Schematic depiction of genetic and cellular aspects of *Drosophila* lateralization. (A) The wild-type, or "dextral", orientation depends on the activity of MyoID (Blue). Dextral determination is dominant over sinistral determination (Red), which only becomes apparent in *myoID* null flies. Interestingly, Abd-B (Yellow) controls the expression and/or activity of the two opposite pathways. In *Abd-B* depleted flies, the L/R organs develop symmetrically [93]. To date, the putative sinistral counterpart to MyoID is still unknown. (B) In the cells of the L/R organizer, MyoID (blue) binds to cortical actin (red) and needs to associate with the adherens junction components E-cadherin (yellow) and β-catenin (green) at the apical membrane for proper L/R determination [94,109]. (C) Several lines of cultured vertebrate cells orient themselves according to their nucleus– centrosome axis (arrow) and are thus able to migrate in a L/R asymmetric manner.

domain is thought to interact with cargos and binds membrane phospholipids through its Pleckstrin Homology domain, a positively charged lipid-binding region [106,107].

How does MyoID act during L/R determination? Interestingly, MyoID activity appears to be required only for a short time to induce a dextral bias [94]. To date, the exact mechanism of MyoID action remains unknown, but the actin-binding head domain appears to be central for L/R patterning [98]. Additionally, in the cells of the organizer, MyoID requires the adherens junction components β -catenin and E-cadherin as well as a properly organized cortical actin cytoskeleton (Fig 3B) to induce dextral L/R development [94,97,98,108,109]. In the epithelium of the embryonic hindgut, MyoID has been shown to cell-autonomously bias cell chirality and induce membrane bending [108]. Interestingly, computer simulations showed that mild membrane bending in each cell is sufficient to induce a complete dextral loop of the hindgut [108]. MyoID-dependent membrane bending appears to be mediated by E-cadherin, as membranes in *E-cadherin* null mutants do not

bend [108]. Taken together, these data suggest that L/R morphogenesis could originate from asymmetric membrane tension generated by a MyoID/E-cadherin complex. Interestingly, unlike in the absence of E-cadherin or β -catenin when no consistent orientation is seen, in the absence of MyoID cell membranes of the hindgut still bend, but this time in the opposite direction [108,109]. These observations suggest that the sinistral factor(s), whose activity is only apparent in the absence of MyoID, is also able to induce an orientated cell membrane bias.

Innate cellular chirality

As mentioned above, asymmetric traits are not specific to multicellular structures but can also appear at the single cell level. Indeed, numerous cell types exhibit chiral structures, orientated movements as well as chiral behaviors [110–113]. These observations argue that intracellular elements might underlie L/R asymmetry determination. This idea, termed the "intracellular model", has been around for some time and proposes that the origin of asymmetry in the body plan relies on intracellular structures and in particular the actin cytoskeleton [16]. Supporting this model is the fact that in cultured migrating cells, a clear 3D cell polarity can be seen. In addition to the first two axes, rear-front and top-bottom, a third one, drawn from the center of the nucleus to that of the centrosome, demonstrates clear cell chirality and corresponds loosely to the direction followed by these cells during their migration [113]. However, when cultured in contact with a repeated pattern, cells consistently migrate with a clear bias toward the left side of this third axis (Fig 3C), strongly suggesting the existence of an intracellular bias present in each individual cell [110,112,113].

The cell chirality depends on the cell cytoskeleton. Disrupting microtubule integrity leads to randomization, revealing the need for an intact microtubule cytoskeleton for this leftward bias [113]. Disruption of the actin cytoskeleton instead leads to the "inversion of the cell L/R axis" that is, a rightward bias in cell migration [110]. Consistently, the expression of constitutively active GSK3 similarly inverts the cell "L/R axis". The cells now polarize to the right of the nucleus-to-centrosome axis. These data suggest that GSK3 could act as a link between the unknown original chiral template and the cytoskeleton sensing the spatial cues and orienting cell polarity [113]. These data, obtained in vertebrate cells, are reminiscent of the link between the actin cytoskeleton and L/R patterning in Drosophila, C. elegans or the Lymnaea snails, suggesting a conserved mechanism. Furthermore, they also support the existence of a sinistral factor, as cell or organismal orientation can be consistently inverted and not simply randomized. However, a diversity of L/R orientations exists in cultured cells with some cell types having a dextral bias, others a sinistral one and some with no bias at all [111,112]. To conclude, cell culture experiments revealed the crucial role of actin dynamics for internal cell chirality and suggest that both dextral and sinistral L/R patterning might originate from intracellular polarity.

Indeed, several pieces of evidence obtained from studies of type I myosins and actin dynamics support the idea that L/R asymmetries can be created *de novo* from basic cell components [114]. Type I myosins, to which *Drosophila* MyoID belongs, are members of the myosin superfamily of actin-based motors and are found in most eukaryotic cells [115,116]. In vertebrates, eight type I myosins (myosin I a–h) are found, whereas only two members exist in *Drosophila* (myosin ID and IC) [117,118]. Recent work, using *in vitro* binding of murine MyoIc to actin, revealed that



Figure 4. L/R asymmetry in metazoa: diversity and convergence.

Common and divergent principles of L/R asymmetry establishment in the model systems discussed in this review (see text for details). Species are aligned along a phylogenetic tree discerning Protostomes (yellow) and Deuterostomes (purple). The mechanisms breaking symmetry (actin-based: red; ion flow: green; cell movement (Cell MVT): orange; cilia-based Nodal flow (Cilia): light blue) are vertically aligned along the developmental time (DVPT TIME) at which they act (early, down; later, up). The direct link between a mechanism and a subsequent one or ultimately to the *Nodal*-signaling pathway (Nodal, dark blue) is indicated by the color gradient.

Sidebar A: In need of answers

- (i) How is symmetry broken at the cellular level?
- (ii) What are the mechanisms and molecular elements at the basis of *situs inversus* phenotypes?
- (iii) What is, or what are, the origin(s) of L/R asymmetry?
- (iv) How did L/R asymmetry establishment evolve in metazoa?

MyoIc can asymmetrically guide motility, leading to actin filaments that curl counterclockwise [114]. Importantly, this generation of asymmetric motility appears to be a property of MyoIc and not a universal characteristic of myosin I motors, since neither murine MyoIa nor Ib are able to generate a similar asymmetric actin movement [114]. Although it is not directly stated, the head domain seems to be responsible for this feature, which is consistent with the fact that, *in vivo*, the L/R activity of *Drosophila* MyoID also appears to depend on its head domain [98]. The finding that specific myosins can make actin fibers chiral are the earliest described signs of asymmetry somehow related to L/R patterning.

Taken together, it appears that from all the model systems discussed, Nodal flow is rather an exception in L/R axis establishment (Fig 4). Evolutionarily, it could correspond to a refinement that was added to earlier mechanisms happening at the cellular level. The conserved involvement of fundamental cellular elements such as ion channels or cytoskeletal components may point to common ancestral L/R asymmetry mechanisms. Additionally, they allow for the generation of a theoretical model for how, from core molecules at the cellular level, such as the actin cytoskeleton, L/R patterning may be created in metazoans.

Acknowledgements

Work in SN laboratory is supported by CNRS, Inserm, ANR, LABEX SIGNALIFE (Program reference # ANR-11-LABX-0028-01), ARC, FRM and University of Nice. We are grateful to Gaëlle Le Breton for critical reading of this manuscript. We apologize to the colleagues, whose work could not be cited due to space limitation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Boycott AE, Diver C (1923) On the inheritance of sinistrality in *Limnaea* peregra. Proc R Soc Lond B, Contain Papers Biol Charact 95: 207 – 213
- Gurdon JB (2005) Sinistral snails and gentlemen scientists. *Cell* 123: 751–753
- Danos MC, Yost HJ (1995) Linkage of cardiac left-right asymmetry and dorsal-anterior development in Xenopus. *Development* 121: 1467–1474
- Fujinaga M, Baden JM (1991) A new method for explanting early postimplantation rat embryos for culture. *Teratology* 43: 95–100
- Hoyle C, Brown NA, Wolpert L (1992) Development of left/right handedness in the chick heart. *Development* 115: 1071–1078
- Hummel KP, Chapman DB (1959) Visceral inversion and associated anomalies in the mouse. J Hered 50: 9–13

- Layton WM, Layton MW, Binder M, Kurnit DM, Hanzlik AJ, Van Keuren M, Biddle FG (1993) Expression of the IV (reversed and/or heterotaxic) phenotype in SWV mice. *Teratology* 47: 595–602
- Schreiner CM, Scott WJ Jr, Supp DM, Potter SS (1993) Correlation of forelimb malformation asymmetries with visceral organ situs in the transgenic mouse insertional mutation, legless. *Dev Biol* 158: 560–562
- 9. Stalsberg H (1969) The origin of heart asymmetry: right and left contributions to the early chick embryo heart. *Dev Biol* 19: 109–127
- Yokoyama T, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, Montgomery CA, Elder FF, Overbeek PA (1993) Reversal of left-right asymmetry: a situs inversus mutation. Science 260: 679–682
- Yost HJ (1991) Development of the left-right axis in amphibians. *Ciba* Found Symp 162: 165–176; discussion 176–181
- Levin M, Johnson RL, Stern CD, Kuehn M, Tabin C (1995) A molecular pathway determining left-right asymmetry in chick embryogenesis. *Cell* 82: 803–814
- Brown NA, Wolpert L (1990) The development of handedness in left/ right asymmetry. *Development* 109: 1–9
- Speder P, Petzoldt A, Suzanne M, Noselli S (2007) Strategies to establish left/right asymmetry in vertebrates and invertebrates. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 17: 351–358
- 15. Hirokawa N, Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Takeda S (2006) Nodal flow and the generation of left-right asymmetry. *Cell* 125: 33–45
- Vandenberg LN, Levin M (2013) A unified model for left-right asymmetry? Comparison and synthesis of molecular models of embryonic laterality. *Dev Biol* 379: 1–15
- 17. Lee JD, Anderson KV (2008) Morphogenesis of the node and notochord: the cellular basis for the establishment and maintenance of left-right asymmetry in the mouse. *Dev Dyn* 237: 3464–3476
- Nonaka S, Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Takeda S, Harada A, Kanai Y, Kido M, Hirokawa N (1998) Randomization of left-right asymmetry due to loss of nodal cilia generating leftward flow of extraembryonic fluid in mice lacking KIF3B motor protein. *Cell* 95: 829–837
- Takeda S, Yonekawa Y, Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Nonaka S, Hirokawa N (1999) Left-right asymmetry and kinesin superfamily protein KIF3A: new insights in determination of laterality and mesoderm induction by kif3A^{-/-} mice analysis. J Cell Biol 145: 825–836
- Supp DM, Witte DP, Potter SS, Brueckner M (1997) Mutation of an axonemal dynein affects left-right asymmetry in inversus viscerum mice. *Nature* 389: 963–966
- McGrath J, Somlo S, Makova S, Tian X, Brueckner M (2003) Two populations of node monocilia initiate left-right asymmetry in the mouse. *Cell* 114: 61-73
- Supp DM, Brueckner M, Kuehn MR, Witte DP, Lowe LA, McGrath J, Corrales J, Potter SS (1999) Targeted deletion of the ATP binding domain of left-right dynein confirms its role in specifying development of left-right asymmetries. *Development* 126: 5495–5504
- Okada Y, Nonaka S, Tanaka Y, Saijoh Y, Hamada H, Hirokawa N (1999) Abnormal nodal flow precedes situs inversus in iv and inv mice. *Mol Cell* 4: 459–468
- 24. Kartagener H (1935) Bronchiektasien bei situs viscerum inversus. Schweiz Med Wocshenschr 65: 782–784
- Nonaka S, Shiratori H, Saijoh Y, Hamada H (2002) Determination of left-right patterning of the mouse embryo by artificial nodal flow. *Nature* 418: 96–99
- Shinohara K, Kawasumi A, Takamatsu A, Yoshiba S, Botilde Y, Motoyama N, Reith W, Durand B, Shiratori H, Hamada H (2012) Two rotating

cilia in the node cavity are sufficient to break left-right symmetry in the mouse embryo. *Nat Commun* 3: 622

- Nakamura T, Mine N, Nakaguchi E, Mochizuki A, Yamamoto M, Yashiro K, Meno C, Hamada H (2006) Generation of robust left-right asymmetry in the mouse embryo requires a self-enhancement and lateral-inhibition system. *Dev Cell* 11: 495–504
- Sampaio P, Ferreira RR, Guerrero A, Pintado P, Tavares B, Amaro J, Smith AA, Montenegro-Johnson T, Smith DJ, Lopes SS (2014) Left-right organizer flow dynamics: how much cilia activity reliably yields laterality? *Dev Cell* 29: 716–728
- Brennan J, Norris DP, Robertson EJ (2002) Nodal activity in the node governs left-right asymmetry. *Genes Dev* 16: 2339–2344
- Meno C, Shimono A, Saijoh Y, Yashiro K, Mochida K, Ohishi S, Noji S, Kondoh H, Hamada H (1998) Lefty-1 is required for left-right determination as a regulator of lefty-2 and nodal. *Cell* 94: 287–297
- Yamamoto M, Mine N, Mochida K, Sakai Y, Saijoh Y, Meno C, Hamada H (2003) Nodal signaling induces the midline barrier by activating nodal expression in the lateral plate. *Development* 130: 1795–1804
- Logan M, Pagan-Westphal SM, Smith DM, Paganessi L, Tabin CJ (1998) The transcription factor Pitx2 mediates situs-specific morphogenesis in response to left-right asymmetric signals. *Cell* 94: 307–317
- Piedra ME, Icardo JM, Albajar M, Rodriguez-Rey JC, Ros MA (1998) Pitx2 participates in the late phase of the pathway controlling left-right asymmetry. *Cell* 94: 319–324
- Ryan AK, Blumberg B, Rodriguez-Esteban C, Yonei-Tamura S, Tamura K, Tsukui T, de la Peña J, Sabbagh W, Greenwald J, Choe S *et al* (1998) Pitx2 determines left-right asymmetry of internal organs in vertebrates. *Nature* 394: 545–551
- Yoshioka H, Meno C, Koshiba K, Sugihara M, Itoh H, Ishimaru Y, Inoue T, Ohuchi H, Semina EV, Murray JC *et al* (1998) Pitx2, a bicoid-type homeobox gene, is involved in a lefty-signaling pathway in determination of left-right asymmetry. *Cell* 94: 299–305
- Okada Y, Takeda S, Tanaka Y, Izpisua Belmonte JC, Hirokawa N (2005) Mechanism of nodal flow: a conserved symmetry breaking event in left-right axis determination. *Cell* 121: 633–644
- Nonaka S, Yoshiba S, Watanabe D, Ikeuchi S, Goto T, Marshall WF, Hamada H (2005) *De novo* formation of left-right asymmetry by posterior tilt of nodal cilia. *PLoS Biol* 3: e268
- Cartwright JH, Piro O, Tuval I (2004) Fluid-dynamical basis of the embryonic development of left-right asymmetry in vertebrates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 7234 – 7239
- Goodrich LV, Strutt D (2011) Principles of planar polarity in animal development. *Development* 138: 1877–1892
- Antic D, Stubbs JL, Suyama K, Kintner C, Scott MP, Axelrod JD (2010) Planar cell polarity enables posterior localization of nodal cilia and left-right axis determination during mouse and Xenopus embryogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 5: e8999
- Borovina A, Superina S, Voskas D, Ciruna B (2010) Vangl2 directs the posterior tilting and asymmetric localization of motile primary cilia. *Nat Cell Biol* 12: 407–412
- Hashimoto M, Shinohara K, Wang J, Ikeuchi S, Yoshiba S, Meno C, Nonaka S, Takada S, Hatta K, Wynshaw-Boris A *et al* (2010) Planar polarization of node cells determines the rotational axis of node cilia. *Nat Cell Biol* 12: 170–176

- Song H, Hu J, Chen W, Elliott G, Andre P, Gao B, Yang Y (2010) Planar cell polarity breaks bilateral symmetry by controlling ciliary positioning. *Nature* 466: 378–382
- Mahaffey JP, Grego-Bessa J, Liem KF Jr, Anderson KV (2013) Cofilin and Vangl2 cooperate in the initiation of planar cell polarity in the mouse embryo. *Development* 140: 1262–1271
- Norris DP (2012) Cilia, calcium and the basis of left-right asymmetry. BMC Biol 10: 102
- Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Hirokawa N (2005) FGF-induced vesicular release of Sonic hedgehog and retinoic acid in leftward nodal flow is critical for left-right determination. *Nature* 435: 172–177
- Field S, Riley KL, Grimes DT, Hilton H, Simon M, Powles-Glover N, Siggers P, Bogani D, Greenfield A, Norris DP (2011) Pkd1l1 establishes left-right asymmetry and physically interacts with Pkd2. *Development* 138: 1131–1142
- Pennekamp P, Karcher C, Fischer A, Schweickert A, Skryabin B, Horst J, Blum M, Dworniczak B (2002) The ion channel polycystin-2 is required for left-right axis determination in mice. *Curr Biol* 12: 938–943
- Yoshiba S, Shiratori H, Kuo IY, Kawasumi A, Shinohara K, Nonaka S, Asai Y, Sasaki G, Belo JA, Sasaki H *et al* (2012) Cilia at the node of mouse embryos sense fluid flow for left-right determination via Pkd2. *Science* 338: 226–231
- Kamura K, Kobayashi D, Uehara Y, Koshida S, Iijima N, Kudo A, Yokoyama T, Takeda H (2011) Pkd1l1 complexes with Pkd2 on motile cilia and functions to establish the left-right axis. *Development* 138: 1121–1129
- Gardner RL (2010) Normal bias in the direction of fetal rotation depends on blastomere composition during early cleavage in the mouse. *PLoS ONE* 5: e9610
- 52. Armakolas A, Klar AJ (2007) Left-right dynein motor implicated in selective chromatid segregation in mouse cells. *Science* 315: 100–101
- 53. Sauer S, Klar AJ (2012) Left-right symmetry breaking in mice by left-right dynein may occur via a biased chromatid segregation mechanism, without directly involving the Nodal gene. *Front Oncol* 2: 166
- Noel ES, Verhoeven M, Lagendijk AK, Tessadori F, Smith K, Choorapoikayil S, den Hertog J, Bakkers J (2013) A Nodal-independent and tissue-intrinsic mechanism controls heart-looping chirality. *Nat Commun* 4: 2754
- Feistel K, Blum M (2006) Three types of cilia including a novel 9+4 axoneme on the notochordal plate of the rabbit embryo. *Dev Dyn* 235: 3348-3358
- 56. Stephen LA, Johnson EJ, Davis GM, McTeir L, Pinkham J, Jaberi N, Davey MG (2014) The chicken left right organizer has nonmotile cilia which are lost in a stage-dependent manner in the talpid ciliopathy. *Genesis* 52: 600–613
- 57. Cui C, Little CD, Rongish BJ (2009) Rotation of organizer tissue contributes to left-right asymmetry. *Anat Rec* 292: 557–561
- Gros J, Feistel K, Viebahn C, Blum M, Tabin CJ (2009) Cell movements at Hensen's node establish left/right asymmetric gene expression in the chick. Science 324: 941–944
- Levin M, Thorlin T, Robinson KR, Nogi T, Mercola M (2002) Asymmetries in H⁺/K⁺-ATPase and cell membrane potentials comprise a very early step in left-right patterning. *Cell* 111: 77–89
- Adams DS, Robinson KR, Fukumoto T, Yuan S, Albertson RC, Yelick P, Kuo L, McSweeney M, Levin M (2006) Early, H⁺-V-ATPase-dependent proton flux is necessary for consistent left-right patterning of non-mammalian vertebrates. *Development* 133: 1657–1671

- Aw S, Adams DS, Qiu D, Levin M (2008) H,K-ATPase protein localization and Kir4.1 function reveal concordance of three axes during early determination of left-right asymmetry. *Mech Dev* 125: 353–372
- Shimeld SM, Levin M (2006) Evidence for the regulation of left-right asymmetry in Ciona intestinalis by ion flux. *Dev Dyn* 235: 1543–1553
- Nishide K, Mugitani M, Kumano G, Nishida H (2012) Neurula rotation determines left-right asymmetry in ascidian tadpole larvae. *Development* 139: 1467–1475
- 64. Raff RA, Snoke Smith M (2009) Chapter 7. Axis formation and the rapid evolutionary transformation of larval form. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 86: 163–190
- Molina MD, de Croze N, Haillot E, Lepage T (2013) Nodal: master and commander of the dorsal-ventral and left-right axes in the sea urchin embryo. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 23: 445–453
- Duboc V, Rottinger E, Lapraz F, Besnardeau L, Lepage T (2005) Leftright asymmetry in the sea urchin embryo is regulated by nodal signaling on the right side. *Dev Cell* 9: 147–158
- Frasnelli E, Vallortigara G, Rogers LJ (2012) Left-right asymmetries of behaviour and nervous system in invertebrates. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 36: 1273–1291
- 68. Grande C, Patel NH (2009) Nodal signalling is involved in left-right asymmetry in snails. *Nature* 457: 1007–1011
- Shimizu K, Iijima M, Setiamarga DH, Sarashina I, Kudoh T, Asami T, Gittenberger E, Endo K (2013) Left-right asymmetric expression of dpp in the mantle of gastropods correlates with asymmetric shell coiling. *Evodevo* 4: 15
- Kuroda R, Endo B, Abe M, Shimizu M (2009) Chiral blastomere arrangement dictates zygotic left-right asymmetry pathway in snails. *Nature* 462: 790-794
- Shibazaki Y, Shimizu M, Kuroda R (2004) Body handedness is directed by genetically determined cytoskeletal dynamics in the early embryo. *Curr Biol* 14: 1462–1467
- Liu MM, Davey JW, Banerjee R, Han J, Yang F, Aboobaker A, Blaxter ML, Davison A (2013) Fine mapping of the pond snail left-right asymmetry (chirality) locus using RAD-Seq and fibre-FISH. *PLoS ONE* 8: e71067
- Freeman G, Lundelius JW (1982) The developmental genetics of dextrality and sinistrality in the gastropod Lymnaea peregra. Wilhelm Roux's Arc Dev Biol 191: 69–83
- 74. Ueshima R, Asami T (2003) Evolution: single-gene speciation by leftright reversal. *Nature* 425: 679
- Hoso M, Kameda Y, Wu SP, Asami T, Kato M, Hori M (2010) A speciation gene for left-right reversal in snails results in anti-predator adaptation. *Nat Commun* 1: 133
- 76. Pohl C (2011) Left-right patterning in the *C. elegans* embryo: unique mechanisms and common principles. *Commun Integr Biol* 4: 34–40
- Wood WB (1998) Handed asymmetry in nematodes. Semin Cell Dev Biol 9: 53-60
- Hutter H, Schnabel R (1995) Establishment of left-right asymmetry in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo: a multistep process involving a series of inductive events. *Development* 121: 3417–3424
- Hutter H, Schnabel R (1995) Specification of anterior-posterior differences within the AB lineage in the *C. elegans* embryo: a polarising induction. *Development* 121: 1559–1568
- Pohl C, Bao Z (2010) Chiral forces organize left-right patterning in C. elegans by uncoupling midline and anteroposterior axis. Dev Cell 19: 402–412
- Bergmann DC, Lee M, Robertson B, Tsou MF, Rose LS, Wood WB (2003) Embryonic handedness choice in *C. elegans* involves the Galpha protein GPA-16. *Development* 130: 5731–5740

- Johnston CA, Afshar K, Snyder JT, Tall GG, Gonczy P, Siderovski DP, Willard FS (2008) Structural determinants underlying the temperature-sensitive nature of a Galpha mutant in asymmetric cell division of *Caenorhabditis elegans. J Biol Chem* 283: 21550–21558
- Cabello J, Neukomm LJ, Gunesdogan U, Burkart K, Charette SJ, Lochnit G, Hengartner MO, Schnabel R (2010) The Wnt pathway controls cell death engulfment, spindle orientation, and migration through CED-10/ Rac. *PLoS Biol* 8: e1000297
- Bischoff M, Schnabel R (2006) A posterior centre establishes and maintains polarity of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo by a Wnt-dependent relay mechanism. *PLoS Biol* 4: e396
- Hermann GJ, Leung B, Priess JR (2000) Left-right asymmetry in C. elegans intestine organogenesis involves a LIN-12/Notch signaling pathway. Development 127: 3429–3440
- Wood WB (1991) Evidence from reversal of handedness in *C. elegans* embryos for early cell interactions determining cell fates. *Nature* 349: 536-538
- Hobert O, Johnston RJ Jr, Chang S (2002) Left-right asymmetry in the nervous system: the *Caenorhabditis elegans* model. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3: 629–640
- Troemel ER, Sagasti A, Bargmann CI (1999) Lateral signaling mediated by axon contact and calcium entry regulates asymmetric odorant receptor expression in *C. elegans. Cell* 99: 387–398
- Poole RJ, Hobert O (2006) Early embryonic programming of neuronal left/right asymmetry in *C. elegans. Curr Biol* 16: 2279–2292
- Bertrand V, Bisso P, Poole RJ, Hobert O (2011) Notch-dependent induction of left/right asymmetry in C. elegans interneurons and motoneurons. Curr Biol 21: 1225–1231
- Cochella L, Hobert O (2012) Embryonic priming of a miRNA locus predetermines postmitotic neuronal left/right asymmetry in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 151: 1229–1242
- Zhang F, O'Meara MM, Hobert O (2011) A left/right asymmetric neuronal differentiation program is controlled by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* lsy-27 zinc-finger transcription factor. *Genetics* 188: 753–759
- Coutelis JB, Geminard C, Speder P, Suzanne M, Petzoldt AG, Noselli S (2013) Drosophila left/right asymmetry establishment is controlled by the Hox gene abdominal-B. *Dev Cell* 24: 89–97
- Speder P, Adam G, Noselli S (2006) Type ID unconventional myosin controls left-right asymmetry in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 440: 803–807
- Geminard C, Gonzalez-Morales N, Coutelis JB, Noselli S (2014) The myosin ID pathway and left-right asymmetry in Drosophila. *Genesis* 52: 471–480
- 96. Coutelis JB, Petzoldt AG, Speder P, Suzanne M, Noselli S (2008) Left-right asymmetry in *Drosophila. Semin Cell Dev Biol* 19: 252–262
- 97. Hozumi S, Maeda R, Taniguchi K, Kanai M, Shirakabe S, Sasamura T, Spéder P, Noselli S, Aigaki T, Murakami R *et al* (2006) An unconventional myosin in *Drosophila* reverses the default handedness in visceral organs. *Nature* 440: 798–802
- Hozumi S, Maeda R, Taniguchi-Kanai M, Okumura T, Taniguchi K, Kawakatsu Y, Nakazawa N, Hatori R, Matsuno K (2008) Head region of unconventional myosin I family members is responsible for the organ-specificity of their roles in left-right polarity in *Drosophila*. *Dev Dyn* 237: 3528 – 3537
- Suzanne M, Petzoldt AG, Speder P, Coutelis JB, Steller H, Noselli S (2010) Coupling of apoptosis and L/R patterning controls stepwise organ looping. *Curr Biol* 20: 1773–1778
- 100. Maeda RK, Karch F (2009) The bithorax complex of *Drosophila* an exceptional Hox cluster. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 88: 1–33

- 102. Krendel M, Mooseker MS (2005) Myosins: tails (and heads) of functional diversity. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 20: 239–251
- 103. Nambiar R, McConnell RE, Tyska MJ (2010) Myosin motor function: the ins and outs of actin-based membrane protrusions. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 67: 1239–1254
- Barylko B, Binns DD, Albanesi JP (2000) Regulation of the enzymatic and motor activities of myosin I. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1496: 23-35
- Greenberg MJ, Ostap EM (2013) Regulation and control of myosin-I by the motor and light chain-binding domains. *Trends Cell Biol* 23: 81–89
- 106. Hokanson DE, Laakso JM, Lin T, Sept D, Ostap EM (2006) Myo1c binds phosphoinositides through a putative pleckstrin homology domain. *Mol Biol Cell* 17: 4856–4865
- 107. Hokanson DE, Ostap EM (2006) Myo1c binds tightly and specifically to phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 3118-3123
- 108. Taniguchi K, Maeda R, Ando T, Okumura T, Nakazawa N, Hatori R, Nakamura M, Hozumi S, Fujiwara H, Matsuno K (2011) Chirality in planar cell shape contributes to left-right asymmetric epithelial morphogenesis. *Science* 333: 339–341
- 109. Petzoldt AG, Coutelis JB, Geminard C, Speder P, Suzanne M, Cerezo D, Noselli S (2012) DE-Cadherin regulates unconventional Myosin ID and Myosin IC in Drosophila left-right asymmetry establishment. *Development* 139: 1874–1884

- 110. Chen TH, Hsu JJ, Zhao X, Guo C, Wong MN, Huang Y, Li Z, Garfinkel A, Ho CM, Tintut Y *et al* (2012) Left-right symmetry breaking in tissue morphogenesis via cytoskeletal mechanics. *Circ Res* 110: 551–559
- 111. Wan LQ, Ronaldson K, Park M, Taylor G, Zhang Y, Gimble JM, Vunjak-Novakovic G (2011) Micropatterned mammalian cells exhibit phenotype-specific left-right asymmetry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 12295–12300
- 112. Wan LQ, Vunjak-Novakovic G (2011) Micropatterning chiral morphogenesis. *Commun Integr Biol* 4: 745–748
- 113. Xu J, Van Keymeulen A, Wakida NM, Carlton P, Berns MW, Bourne HR
 (2007) Polarity reveals intrinsic cell chirality. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 9296-9300
- 114. Pyrpassopoulos S, Feeser EA, Mazerik JN, Tyska MJ, Ostap EM (2012) Membrane-bound myolc powers asymmetric motility of actin filaments. Curr Biol 22: 1688–1692
- 115. Kim SV, Flavell RA (2008) Myosin I: from yeast to human. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 65: 2128–2137
- 116. Richards TA, Cavalier-Smith T (2005) Myosin domain evolution and the primary divergence of eukaryotes. *Nature* 436: 1113–1118
- 117. Berg JS, Powell BC, Cheney RE (2001) A millennial myosin census. *Mol Biol Cell* 12: 780–794
- 118. Tzolovsky G, Millo H, Pathirana S, Wood T, Bownes M (2002) Identification and phylogenetic analysis of *Drosophila melanogaster* myosins. *Mol Biol Evol* 19: 1041–1052