



**HAL**  
open science

# Infection of the *Biomphalaria glabrata* vector snail by *Schistosoma mansoni* parasites drives snail microbiota dysbiosis

Anaïs Portet, Eve Toulza, Ana Lokmer, Camille Huot, David Duval, Richard Galinier, Benjamin Gourbal

## ► To cite this version:

Anaïs Portet, Eve Toulza, Ana Lokmer, Camille Huot, David Duval, et al.. Infection of the *Biomphalaria glabrata* vector snail by *Schistosoma mansoni* parasites drives snail microbiota dysbiosis. 2018. hal-03134818

**HAL Id: hal-03134818**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03134818>**

Preprint submitted on 11 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Infection of the *Biomphalaria glabrata* vector snail by *Schistosoma mansoni***  
2 **parasites drives snail microbiota dysbiosis.**

3

4 Anaïs Portet <sup>1</sup>, Eve Toulza <sup>1</sup>, Ana Lokmer <sup>2</sup>, Camille Huot <sup>1</sup>, David Duval <sup>1</sup>, Richard Galinier <sup>1</sup> and  
5 Benjamin Gourbal <sup>1, §</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup> IHPE, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, Univ. Perpignan Via Domitia, Perpignan France

8

9 <sup>2</sup> Department Coastal Ecology, Wadden Sea Station Sylt, Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz  
10 Centre for Polar and Marine Research, List/Sylt, Germany.

11

12 AP: [ap2133@cam.ac.uk](mailto:ap2133@cam.ac.uk), ET: [eve.toulza@univ-perp.fr](mailto:eve.toulza@univ-perp.fr), AL: [Ana.Lokmer@awi.de](mailto:Ana.Lokmer@awi.de), CH:  
13 [camillehuot86@gmail.com](mailto:camillehuot86@gmail.com), DD: [david.duval@univ-perp.fr](mailto:david.duval@univ-perp.fr), RG: [richard.galinier@univ-perp.fr](mailto:richard.galinier@univ-perp.fr),  
14 BG: [benjamin.gourbal@univ-perp.fr](mailto:benjamin.gourbal@univ-perp.fr)

15

16 <sup>§</sup> Corresponding author :

17 Benjamin GOURBAL

18 UMR5244 IHPE, Université de Perpignan

19 58 avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan

20 benjamin.gourbal@univ-perp.fr

21

22 Running title: *Biomphalaria glabrata* snail microbiota dysbiosis

23

24 **Summary**

25 Host-associated microbiota can affect the fitness of its host in a number of ways, including the  
26 modification of host-parasite interactions and thus the outcome of disease. *Biomphalaria*  
27 *glabrata* is the vector snail of the trematode *Schistosoma mansoni*, the agent of human  
28 schistosomiasis, causing hundreds of thousands of deaths every year. Here, we present the first  
29 study of the snail bacterial microbiota in response to *Schistosoma* infection. To examine the  
30 interplay between *B. glabrata*, *S. mansoni* and snail microbiota, snails were infected and the  
31 microbiota composition was analysed by massive 16S rDNA amplicon sequencing approach. We  
32 characterized the *Biomphalaria* bacterial microbiota at the individual level in both naïve and  
33 infected snails. Sympatric and allopatric strains of parasites were used for infections and re-  
34 infections to analyse the modification or dysbiosis of snail microbiota in different host-parasite  
35 co-evolutionary contexts. Concomitantly, using RNAseq data, we investigated the link between  
36 bacterial microbiota dysbiosis and snail anti-microbial peptide immune response. This work  
37 paves the way for a better understanding of snail/schistosome interaction, and would have  
38 critical consequences in terms of snail control strategies for fighting schistosomiasis disease in  
39 the field.

40

41

42 **Key words:** microbiota; bacteria; *Biomphalaria* snail; *Schistosoma* infection; immune response;  
43 dysbiosis

44

## 45 Introduction

46 Several studies have shown that the microbiota interacts with pathogens and/or host  
47 immunity in invertebrates. For example, the midgut microbiota of the mosquito *Aedes sp.*  
48 elicits a basal immune activity of the immune system (Ramirez et al., 2012) mostly by activating  
49 Toll pathways (Xi et al., 2008). In *Anopheles gambiae*, some members of its microbiota can limit  
50 malaria transmission, by inducing a wide antimicrobial immune response (Gendrin, 2017). More  
51 precisely, bacteria of the genus *Enterobacter*, found in the mosquito microbiota, were shown to  
52 produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) that inhibit *Plasmodium* development (Cirimotich et al.,  
53 2011). Furthermore, the endosymbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia* induce expression of immune  
54 genes, like TEP1 (Thioester-containing protein 1), LRIM1 (Leucine-Rich Immune Molecule 1) or  
55 defensin 1 (Joshi et al., 2017). In *Drosophila*, the bacterial microbiota is necessary to produce  
56 the Pvf2, a PDGF/VEGF-like growth factor that restricts enteric viral infection (Sansone et al.,  
57 2015). The microbiota may also play a role in immune priming. For example, in *Anopheles*  
58 *gambiae*, hemocyte priming during the *Plasmodium* infection is naturally induced by the gut  
59 microbiota, whose absence results in more severe infections and re-infections (Rodrigues et al.,  
60 2010). Similarly, the gut microbiota is necessary for immune priming in *Tribolium castaneum*  
61 and efficient response against *Bacillus thuringiensis for Tenebrionis* (Futo et al., 2015). Finally,  
62 host immunity can influence the tolerance or control the microbiota. In the tick *Ixodes*  
63 *scapularis*, the protein PIXR, secreted by the tick's gut, inhibits the bacterial biofilm formation  
64 and supports gut eubiosis (healthy gut microbiota), its inactivation leading to dysbiosis. This  
65 change in gut microbiota facilitates the colonization by *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the Lyme disease  
66 agent (Narasimhan et al., 2017). In *Drosophila*, for example, the intestinal homeobox gene  
67 Caudal regulates the commensal-gut mutualism by repressing nuclear factor kappa B-

68 dependent antimicrobial peptide genes (Ryu et al., 2008). In Hydra, the innate immune sensors  
69 and effectors protect not only against pathogens but also control microbiota homeostasis  
70 (Augustin et al., 2010). Moreover, host specific antimicrobial peptides (Fraune et al., 2010;  
71 Franzenburg et al., 2012) and a rich repertoire of pattern recognition receptors (Bosch, 2013)  
72 are involved in maintaining homeostasis between the host and the resident microbiota.  
73 Similarly, bactericidal permeability-increasing proteins (BPIs) shape bacterial communities in  
74 the light organ of the squid *Euprymna scolopes* and prevent their spill over to other tissues  
75 (Chen et al., 2017).

76 *Biomphalaria glabrata* is a freshwater snail (Lophotrochozoa, Planorbidae), living in inter-  
77 tropical regions of Latin America, in rivers, ponds, waterways and other freshwater  
78 environments. *B. glabrata* snails have important medical and epidemiological impacts due to  
79 their role as the main vector of *Schistosoma mansoni* (Lophotrochozoa, Platyhelminthes,  
80 Trematoda), the agent of intestinal schistosomiasis. Schistosomiasis is the second most  
81 widespread human parasitic disease after malaria, affecting over 200 million people worldwide  
82 and causing 200 000 deaths annually (WHO, 2002). The *Schistosoma* adult parasites mate in the  
83 human host venous system. Female worms produce eggs that cross endothelial mesenteric  
84 vessels and intestinal epithelium to reach faeces and finally the aquatic environment. Once in  
85 the water, eggs hatch and release miracidia, the free-living snail-infective parasite stage. At the  
86 following step of the life cycle, the miracidium needs to infect the freshwater snail *B. glabrata*.  
87 Intensive asexual multiplication in the snail tissues leads to the continuous production of  
88 hundreds of generations of cercariae, the free-living human-infective parasite stage.  
89 At present, there is no effective vaccine against schistosomiasis and the treatment relies on a  
90 single chemotherapeutic treatment, the Praziquantel (Doenhoff et al., 2009), against which

91 resistance has been observed (Fallon and Doenhoff, 1994). Molluscicides have been also used  
92 to impair *Schistosoma* transmission in the field. However, the dramatic effects of molluscicides  
93 on natural environments prompt us to seek new ways to prevent and / or control this disease in  
94 the field (Tennessen et al., 2015). We propose that a better understanding of ecological  
95 interactions between, *Schistosoma mansoni*, *Biomphalaria glabrata* snails and its associated  
96 microbiota might represent an interesting approach in search for alternative control strategies.

97 In this context, we have conducted numerous studies on immunological interactions  
98 between the snail and the parasite (Mitta et al., 2005; Bouchut et al., 2008; Moné et al., 2011;  
99 Coustau et al., 2015; Galinier et al., 2017; Mitta et al., 2017). We have demonstrated that the  
100 nature of the snail immune response depends on the strain/species of host or of parasite  
101 considered. Depending on both the host and the parasite intrinsic capacities, parasites either  
102 develop normally in snail tissue (compatible interaction) or are encapsulated by hemocytes (the  
103 snail immune cells) (incompatible interaction) (Mone et al., 2010; Mitta et al., 2012; Coustau et  
104 al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Depending on the ecological context, totally different immunobiological  
105 interactions have been described in *B. glabrata/S. mansoni* interactions. If immunosuppression  
106 occurs during a sympatric interaction, an activation of the immune response is observed during  
107 an allopatric interaction (Portet et al., 2019). Moreover, following primo-infection and  
108 successive challenges, a shift from a cellular immune response toward a humoral immune  
109 response has been described (Pinaud et al., 2016) (Fig. 1).

110 However, in the present host-parasite model, only few studies examined the bacterial  
111 microbiota of *Biomphalaria glabrata* so far (Ducklow et al., 1979; Silva et al., 2013). The study  
112 of aerobic heterotrophic cultivable flora of 200 snails (Ducklow et al., 1979) revealed five  
113 predominant bacterial genera including *Pseudomonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Aeromonas*, *Vibrio* and

114 *Enterobacter*. In a more recent study, the *Biomphalaria glabrata* bacterial microbiota has been  
115 characterized using 16S rRNA sequences (Silva et al., 2013) of cultured isolates, identifying six  
116 additional bacteria genera: *Citrobacter*, *Cupriavidus*, *Rhizobium*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Klebsiella*  
117 and *Sphingomonas*. In addition, diversity and composition of bacterial microbiota differed  
118 between resistant and susceptible *Biomphalaria* phenotypes, with differences in relative  
119 abundances of *Gemmatimonas aurantiaca* and *Micavibrio aeruginosavorus*. This observation  
120 supports the potential link between the resistance against *Schistosoma* parasite and the  
121 structure of snail-associated microbiota (Allan et al., 2018). However, no studies have examined  
122 changes in bacterial microbiota of *Biomphalaria* snails by culture-independent methods nor  
123 following *Schistosoma* infections so far.

124 Taking into account all the peculiarities of this system, the aim of the present study was to  
125 investigate variation of microbiota in snail populations as well as to assess the influence of  
126 varying immune response against *Schistosoma* on the host microbiota composition and  
127 dynamics. To reach this goal, we first characterized the bacterial microbiota of naive  
128 *Biomphalaria glabrata* snails using 16S rDNA metabarcoding. Then, we analysed changes in  
129 microbiota composition following, (i) primo-infections with sympatric and allopatric *S. mansoni*  
130 parasite isolates displaying the same prevalence and intensity phenotypes and, (ii) subsequent  
131 secondary challenges with homologous or heterologous parasite strains. As snail immune  
132 response strongly differs between such diverse snail/parasite combinations, we concomitantly  
133 analysed the snail immune response using a massive sequencing transcriptomic approach  
134 (RNAseq) in order to link it with the observed changes in the composition and diversity of the  
135 microbiota.

136

137 **Results**

138 *Characterization of healthy B. glabrata microbiota*

139 To examine the stability of naïve BgBRE snail microbiota, we first inspected the microbiota  
140 diversity and composition in the control naïve snails at day 0 and 25 of the experiment. We  
141 found no significant differences between the B0 and B25 snails in any of the alpha diversity  
142 indices (Table S3, Table S4).

143 Naïve snails showed little inter-individual variability and a stable composition at the  
144 phylum level over time (Fig. 2A, Table S3, Table S4), with Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes,  
145 Cyanobacteria and Planctomycetes phyla being the most represented (Fig 2A). Moreover, the  
146 bacterial microbiota of naïve BgBRE snails displayed a considerable temporal and inter-  
147 individual stability at the family level (Fig. 2B). The stability of naïve snail microbiota  
148 composition over time (Fig. 2) could be related to the laboratory rearing conditions and  
149 laboratory environmental abiotic variables (water composition, temperature, pH, food) that  
150 were tidily controlled and that did thus not result in changes in host snail physiology or  
151 metabolism.

152 In terms of composition, we observed that 67 (69%) and 86 (89%) out of 97 identified  
153 families were shared by all individuals of B0 or B25 naïve snails respectively (Fig. 2B). Those  
154 results were used to determine the core microbiota. We defined core microbiota as the families  
155 that were present in 100% of the naïve snails. Applying this definition, we identified 62 out of  
156 97 families found in all naïve individual snails (B0 and B25 altogether), and thus constituting the  
157 *B. glabrata* core-microbiota (Table S7 and S8).

158

159 *Microbiota dynamics following B. glabrata infections by S. mansoni*



160 After establishing the stability of naive *Biomphalaria* bacterial microbiota, we investigated  
161 whether *Schistosoma mansoni* infections affected the microbiota structure and dynamics.

162 To investigate the influence of parasite infections on the bacterial microbiota, we analysed  
163 microbiota dynamics following sympatric or allopatric primo-infections and homologous or  
164 heterologous challenges (Fig. 1).

165

166 We did not observe any significant changes in alpha diversity during the course of primo-  
167 infection compared to naive snails, excepted a decrease in the Shannon's H diversity index at  
168 the fourth day after sympatric infection (Fig. 3, Table S3, Mann-Whitney U test: Table S4).

169 Conversely, all indices changed significantly following homologous or heterologous challenges  
170 (Fig. 3, Table S3, Table S4). Indeed, the observed species richness (Mann-Whitney U test,  
171  $p=0.002$ ), the Chao 1 richness index (Mann-Whitney U test,  $p=0.006$ ), Shannon diversity index  
172 ( $p=0.0004$ ) and Pielou evenness index (Mann-Whitney U test,  $p=0.009$ ) were significantly  
173 reduced following homologous or heterologous challenges compared to naive and primo-  
174 infected snails (Fig. 3, Table S3, Table S4). However, the observed drop in alpha diversity  
175 disappeared by the fourth day after heterologous challenge (Fig. 3, Table S4). In addition, alpha  
176 diversity was mainly affected by the challenge, regardless of its type (homologous or  
177 heterologous). The primo-infection did not significantly affect alpha diversity except for the  
178 sympatric combination at day 4 after infection (Table S4).

179 Regarding the beta diversity, Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities  
180 revealed that BB25 samples grouped together with the naive snail samples (B0 and B25) and  
181 were separated from the infected-snail samples along both axes (Fig. 4A, Table S5). However,  
182 the BB25 samples were significantly different from the naive snail samples (Table S5). The fact

183 that, BB25 grouped with naive snails rather than with infected-snail samples suggests that the  
184 snail microbiota is resilient to infection, with a tendency to recover between day 4 and day 25  
185 after the primo-infection (Fig. 4A). Analysis of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index revealed a  
186 significant difference between naive and primo-infected samples ( $p=0.001$ ) and also between  
187 naive and challenged samples ( $p=0.001$ ) (Table S5). Concerning the infected-snail samples, all  
188 experimental samples were significantly different from each other with the exception of BB1  
189 versus BB4 and BBB1 versus BBV1 (Table S5).

190 In addition, the second PcoA axis separated the samples according to the course of infection  
191 (i.e. the day 1 from the day 4 samples) (Fig. 4A), whereas the day 1 after-challenge (BBB1,  
192 BBV1), the day 1 after-primo-infection (BB1, BV1) and all of the day 4 infection samples (BB4,  
193 BV4, BBB4, BBV4) were separated along the first axis (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, day 1 after-  
194 challenge samples (BBB1, BBV1) were more different from the naive microbial communities  
195 than the day 1 primo-infection samples (BB1, BV1). Moreover, the second axis separated the  
196 sympatric primo-infection (BB1) from the allopatric primo-infection (BV1) (Fig. 4A). Finally, all  
197 day 4 samples grouped together reflecting the similarity between these samples regardless of  
198 experimental infection conditions (Fig. 4A). Even if the day of infection appears as the main  
199 explaining factor, these results indicate that the snail microbiota response to the infection also  
200 depends on the type of infection (sympatric vs. allopatric or primo-infection vs. challenge) and  
201 suggest the existence of predictable dynamics.

202

203 We further investigated the microbial community dynamics following infection, and we  
204 observed some consistent changes in response to experimental infection type (Fig. 4B). The  
205 relative abundance of *Tenericutes* increased after infection, particularly at day 1 after challenge

206 (BB25 vs BBB1, student T test  $P = 0.0114$ ; BB25 vs BBV1 student T test  $P = 0.0209$ ). Increase in  
207 Verrucomicrobia following allopatric primo-infection (B0/B25 vs BV4, student T test  $P = 6.53 \times 10^{-6}$ ) and homologous / heterologous challenge (B0/B25 vs BBB4/BBV4, student T test  $P =$   
208  $0.0012$ ) was most prominent at day 4 after infection (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, high relative  
209 abundance of Verrucomicrobia in BB25 represents the main difference with naive snail  
210 microbial community composition (B0/B25 vs BB25, student T test  $P = 1.83 \times 10^{-5}$ ) (Fig. 4B). We  
211 further observed decrease in Planctomycetes following sympatric primo-infection (B0/B25 vs  
212 BB1/BB4, student T test  $P = 5.7 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and a decrease in Cyanobacteria following allopatric  
213 primo-infection (B0/B25 vs BV1/BV4, student T test  $P = 0.00254$ ). Interestingly, the relative  
214 abundance of both phyla decreased following the challenge regardless of the challenge type  
215 (Planctomycetes B0/B25/BB25 vs BBB1/BBB4/BBV1/BBV4, student T test  $P = 0.0017$  and  
216 Cyanobacteria B0/B25/BB25 vs BBB1/BBB4/BBV1/BBV4, student T test  $P = 0.000568$ ) (Fig. 4B).  
217 Bacteroidetes decreased 25 days after sympatric primo-infection (B0/B25 vs BB25, student T  
218 test  $P = 0.0467$ ) but increased 4 days after heterologous challenge (BB25 vs BBV4, student T  
219 test  $P = 0.00577$ ). To conclude, depending on the phylum, we observed the largest  
220 modifications in microbiota composition at day 1 or 4 after primo-infections and challenges.  
221 Whereas the microbiota responded differently to sympatric and allopatric primo-infections, we  
222 found no significant differences between the homologous or heterologous challenge (see BBB1  
223 vs BBV1, Fig. 4) (Table S5).

225

226 Finally, we examined the core microbiota dynamics during infection. Similarly, to the complete  
227 microbiota, the core-microbiota, consisting of 62 families, was affected by the type of infection  
228 (naive vs primo-infection ( $p=0.003$ ) and naive vs challenge ( $p=0.003$ )), by the time of infection

229 (early (1 day) vs late (4 days)) ( $p=0.006$ ) and also by the strain of parasite used for primo-  
230 infection (SmbRE vs SmVEN) ( $p=0.016$ ) (Table S6). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) based  
231 on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between the core microbiotas (Fig. S1) yielded very similar results  
232 to those based on the entire dataset (Fig. 4A).

233 In addition, we observed that 69.4% (43) of the core microbiota families were significantly  
234 affected by infection. Among those, 6.5% (4 families) were affected regardless of the infection  
235 type (Table S7). Interestingly, these families belong to the most abundant ones (Table S8).  
236 Nineteen families (30.6%) were never affected by infection (Table S7). Those families belong to  
237 the less represented ones, with the exception of *Xanthomonadaceae*, which was the 7<sup>th</sup> most  
238 represented family (see Table S8). Further 32.6% (14 families) of the core microbiota responded  
239 solely to the primo-infection and 4.7% (2 families) to the challenge (Table S7). Similarly, 20.9%  
240 (9 families) of the core microbiota was affected early after infection (1 day) and 7% later (3  
241 families, day 4). Finally, 23.3% (10 families) were affected by the SmbRE infection and 9.3% (4  
242 families) by the SmVEN infection (Table S7).

243

#### 244 *Link between the microbiota dysbiosis and B. glabrata antimicrobial immune response*

245 The expression level of transcripts encoding antimicrobial peptides and antimicrobial proteins  
246 (AMP) was investigated following sympatric or allopatric primo-infection and homologous or  
247 heterologous challenges using RNAseq data (Fig. 5 and Fig. S2). Two achacin genes, 5  
248 lipopolysaccharide-binding protein / bactericidal permeability-increasing protein (LBP/PBI)  
249 genes, and 5 biomphamacin genes were selected based on the *Biomphalaria glabrata* genome  
250 annotation (Adema et al., 2017). In comparison with the naive snails, the LBP/PBI 3.1 and 3.2  
251 were over-expressed at day 25 after infection and following the challenges, while all other

252 genes of this family were under-expressed in all conditions (Fig. S2). The achacins were under-  
253 expressed following primo-infection in the sympatric combination only, and at day 25 after  
254 primo-infection and following both challenges (Fig. S2). No changes in expression were  
255 observed following allopatric primo-infection compared to the naive snails (Fig. S2). Finally, the  
256 AMP biomphamacins 1, 4, 5 and 6 were over-expressed throughout the infection stages, except  
257 for BV1 and BB25 (Fig.6). The biomphamacin 3 was mainly under-expressed except at BV4 and  
258 BBB, where no differential expressions have been detected compared with naive snails (Fig. 5).

259

260 Based on our observations of microbial community shifts, changes in expression of  
261 antimicrobial molecules were expected to occur following primo-infections and challenges and  
262 not for BB25, where resilience of microbial communities has been observed (Fig. 4 and Fig. S1).  
263 In this context, the LBP/BPI and achacin seem not to be linked with dysbiosis, as both remained  
264 highly down regulated even at BB25 when communities had already recovered (Fig. S2). All  
265 biomphamacins, except the biomphamacin 3, were over-expressed after infection, from day 1  
266 in BB infection and day 4 in BV infection and for homologous or heterologous challenges (BBB  
267 and BBV). Finally, only a subset of biomphamacins (1, 4, 5, 6) changed in the course of infection  
268 but were not differentially expressed at BB25 compared with the naive snails (Fig. 5),  
269 suggesting their possible link with dysbiosis.

270

## 271 **Discussion**

272 Fine-tuned interactions between microbiota, host immunity and pathogens have been  
273 observed in many vertebrate and invertebrate models (Rodrigues et al., 2010; Ramirez et al.,  
274 2012; Futo et al., 2015; Sansone et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017). Following an infection by a

275 pathogen, a host displays an innate or adaptive immune response against the intruder. The  
276 activation of such immune response may in turn affect the microbial community structure.  
277 Herein, we investigated the interactions between the host immune system, parasite and the  
278 bacterial microbiota in an invertebrate model - the gastropod snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* and  
279 its trematode parasite *Schistosoma mansoni*. Depending on the past evolutionary history  
280 between snails and schistosomes, different immune responses against *S. mansoni* have been  
281 observed. We showed recently that in a sympatric interaction, the parasite that coevolved with  
282 its host induced a strong immunosuppression, whereas allopatric interaction resulted in a  
283 strong host cellular immune response (Portet et al., 2019). Moreover, a cellular immune  
284 response was observed following primo-infection, but a humoral immune response was  
285 observed following homologous or heterologous challenges (Pinaud et al., 2016). So using  
286 appropriate parasite-host combinations, we have the opportunity to modulate the host  
287 immune response type and to test changes in bacterial community composition and diversity  
288 (dysbiosis). First, studying the global bacterial microbiota community of *Biomphalaria* snails, we  
289 showed that the bacterial alpha diversity was not modified following primo-infection whatever  
290 the parasite strain or the time point of infection (Fig. 3, S3 Table). A decrease in alpha diversity  
291 is observed exclusively following challenge infections, as reflected by multiple indices (Fig. 3, S3  
292 Table). Conversely, primo-infection and challenge strongly affected the bacterial OTU  
293 composition (Fig. 4). Moreover, differences in immunobiological interactions  
294 (immunosuppression, immune cellular response or immune humoral response) resulted in  
295 different microbiota dysbiosis reflected by specific changes in the snail microbial community  
296 (Fig. 4). Interestingly, homologous and heterologous challenges activated similar humoral  
297 immune response (Pinaud et al., 2016; Portet et al., 2019) that resulted in a similar change of

298 microbiota alpha diversity and composition (Fig. 3, S3 Table, Fig. 4). Then, four days after  
299 infection, regardless of its type, the microbiota was still very different from the one in the naïve  
300 snails, but the differences between the primo-infection and challenge disappeared, as apparent  
301 from the grouping of BB4, BV4, BBB4 and BBV4 in the PCoA (see Fig. 4). Furthermore, most of  
302 the OTUs affected by the primo-infection, returned to their initial state by the day 25, indicating  
303 that the snail microbiota is resilient against the infection (Fig. 4). However, some differences  
304 persisted: the Verrucomicrobia phylum remained highly represented in the infected snails at  
305 day 25 after primo-infection (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, some Verrucomicrobia species have been  
306 recently proposed as a hallmark of healthy gut due to its anti-inflammatory and immune-  
307 stimulant properties and its ability to improve gut barrier function (Fujio-Vejar et al., 2017).  
308 High abundance of Verrucomicrobia in the recovered snails could thus potentially reflect their  
309 role in community restoration (Fig. 4). Moreover, the expansion of a Verrucomicrobia species  
310 *Akkermansia muciniphila* has been demonstrated in the gut of *S. mansoni*-infected mice,  
311 suggesting a potentially functional role of Verrucomicrobia in *Schistosoma* infection processes  
312 in both final and intermediate hosts (Jenkins et al., 2018). We also paid a particular attention to  
313 the core-microbiota. Given the various ways to define core microbiota, we considered  
314 exclusively the persistent occurrence in the bacterial community in naïve *Biomphalaria glabrata*  
315 snails (Astudillo-García et al., 2017). Similarly, to the entire microbiota, the core microbiota was  
316 affected by the type of infection (naive vs challenge), by the time of infection (day 1 vs day 4) as  
317 well as by the parasite strain (SmBRE vs SmVEN) (Table S6). Core microbiota seemed to be  
318 affected by immunosuppression or the activation of the immune response in a similar way than  
319 the whole microbiota (Fig. S1 and Fig. 4A). Understanding the shifts in core microbiota  
320 following infection is important as the long-term stability and persistent occurrence of

321 beneficial microbes and their associated functions may contribute to host health and well-being  
322 to maintain host functionality and fitness toward changing ecological environment or  
323 environmental stress (Nyholm and McFall-Ngai, 2004; Lozupone et al., 2012; McFall-Ngai et al.,  
324 2013).

325         Given that infections affect the total and core microbiota structure, we explored the  
326 antimicrobial immune response following infection to find potential molecular mechanisms  
327 involved in the observed microbiota dysbiosis (Fig. 5 and Fig. S2). We analysed antimicrobial  
328 peptides (AMP) and antimicrobial proteins and our results suggest that only the AMP belonging  
329 to biomphamacin family may potentially affect the microbial communities in response to  
330 *Schistosoma* infection. Indeed, even though antimicrobial families can be involved in complex  
331 process of regulation or of control of microbiota communities, solely the biomphamacins AMP  
332 family members specifically displayed an expression pattern that can be linked with the  
333 observed dysbiosis of *Biomphalaria* bacterial communities (Fig. 5). Even if AMPs is often  
334 considered as the main immune pathway responsible for microbiota regulation (Onchuru and  
335 Kaltenpoth, 2019), an overall modification of the immune system may also be considered as a  
336 cause of dysbiosis. The potential role of immune recognition or ROS/NOS pathways as to be  
337 considered (Wang et al., 2014; Budachetri and Karim, 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016)  
338 and this will deserve further investigations in the present biological experimental model.

339 It has been shown that the immune system is a key determinant of host-associated bacterial  
340 communities in many biological systems. Two mechanisms have been proposed to explain host-  
341 microbiota interactions through crosstalk with the host innate immune system. The first one  
342 proposes that the host immune system exerts constant pressure on the microbiota in order to  
343 maintain homeostasis (Hooper et al., 2012), the host immune system can thus control the



344 composition of the resident microbiota (Zhang et al., 2015). According to this hypothesis, any  
345 changes in host immune response to infection would potentially affect the resident microbiota  
346 diversity and composition. The second mechanism proposes that the immune system would be  
347 tolerant to weak and continuous antigenic immune stimulations experienced during a lifespan  
348 (Pradeu and Eric, 2014), and thus that host immune system would not exert any pressure on  
349 the resident microbiota. In this case, any changes in host immune response to infection would  
350 potentially not affect the resident microbiota diversity and composition.

351 Interestingly, numerous studies demonstrate a direct control of microbial communities by the  
352 host immune system. As an example, species-specific antimicrobial peptides can shape species-  
353 specific bacterial associations in *Hydra* (Franzenburg et al., 2013). Other immune pathways  
354 have been also demonstrated to regulate or control the microbiota communities, like the  
355 intestinal homeo-box gene *Caudal* in *Drosophila* (Ryu et al., 2008), or neuropeptides with  
356 antibacterial activity which are secreted to shape the microbiome on the body surface of *Hydra*  
357 (Augustin et al., 2017), even host lectins were demonstrated to stabilize microbiota  
358 communities (Dinh et al., 2018).

359 Thus, if biotic stress (i.e. an infection) modifies the expression of antimicrobial peptides or  
360 other immune related pathways, an effect on the microbial communities can be expected. Our  
361 results indicate that bacterial communities could indeed be shaped by the immune system of *B.*  
362 *glabrata*. Based on the hypothesis proposed by Hooper and collaborators (Hooper and  
363 Macpherson, 2010; Hooper et al., 2012; Dinh et al., 2018), it seems that the immune system of  
364 *B. glabrata* snails maintains or controls the microbial communities permanently. Therefore,  
365 following an infection, the immune system is diverted from its function of managing the  
366 microbiota and consequently the bacterial communities evade its regulation, resulting in

367 changes of their composition and diversity. When the immune response returns to a basal level,  
368 the microbiota is again under-control and the microbiota shows its resilience by returning to its  
369 “healthy” state (Hooper and Macpherson, 2010; Hooper et al., 2012), as observed in the  
370 present study (Fig. 4). In other words, the immune system is likely no longer able to maintain  
371 the microbiota homeostasis after infection (resulting in dysbiosis), which, may in turn affect  
372 host homeostasis or fitness (Contijoch et al., 2019; Warne et al., 2019) (Contijoch, Britton et al.  
373 2019, Warne, Kirschman et al. 2019).

374 Changes in microbiota composition may result from shifts in the abundance of specific bacterial  
375 groups participating in anti-pathogen response, or just be a collateral effect of the immune  
376 response activation against metazoan parasite infection. This question will deserve further  
377 investigation, by testing *S. mansoni* prevalence and intensity in experimental infections of  
378 *Biomphalaria glabrata* snails following antibiotic treatment or microbiota transplantation.

379

380 In order to fully understand Schistosomiasis transmission and to develop new ways to  
381 control the expansion of this widespread human parasitic disease in the field, it will be crucial  
382 to determine if the snail-associated bacterial communities affect the parasite transmission. For  
383 example, expanding knowledge on *Biomphalaria* snail microbiota is an essential step for  
384 developing paratransgenic solutions to the spread of Schistosomiasis, involving the use of  
385 transgenic bacteria expressing foreign gene products (i.e., schistosomicidal compounds) that  
386 can reduce host competence and block pathogen development or transmission when  
387 introduced into the microbiota of vector snail field populations (Aksoy et al., 2008; Coutinho-  
388 Abreu et al., 2010; Gilbert et al., 2016).

389

390 **Experimental procedures**

391 *Ethical statements*

392 Our laboratory holds permit # A66040 for experiments on animals, which was obtained from  
393 the French Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries and the French Ministry of National Education,  
394 Research, and Technology. The housing, breeding and care of the utilized animals followed the  
395 ethical requirements of our country. The experimenter possesses an official certificate for  
396 animal experimentation from both of the above-listed French ministries (Decree # 87-848,  
397 October 19, 1987). The various protocols used in this study have been approved by the French  
398 veterinary agency of the DRAAF Languedoc-Roussillon (Direction Régionale de l'Alimentation,  
399 de l'Agriculture et de la Forêt), Montpellier, France (authorization # 007083).

400

401 *Biological material*

402 In this study, we used a single strain of the host snail, the albino Brazilian strain of *Biomphalaria*  
403 *glabrata* (BgBRE) and two strains of the trematode parasite *Schistosoma mansoni*: a Brazilian  
404 strain (SmbRE, for sympatric infection) and a Venezuelan strain (SmVEN, for allopatric  
405 infection). BgBRE and SmbRE strains originate from the locality of Recife, Brazil; the SmVEN  
406 parasite strain was recovered from the locality of Guacara, Venezuela. All host and parasite  
407 strains were maintained in the laboratory on their respective sympatric snail hosts (SmbRE on  
408 BgBRE and SmVEN on BgVEN). The snails were reared at constant temperature of 26°C and fed  
409 only with washed salad every 3 days.

410

411 *Experimental Infections (Fig. 1)*

412 In order to decipher the inter-individual structure of snail microbiota as well as investigate the

413 influence of different snail immune stimulations on its microbiota structure and dynamics, we  
414 applied a two-step experimental infection protocol (Fig. 1).  
415 Briefly, BgBRE snails were primo-infected with one of the two parasite strains (SmBRE  
416 sympatric or SmVEN allopatric). The snails were then sampled 1 and 4 days following primo-  
417 infection by both parasite strains and 25 days after primo-infection for SmBRE infection  
418 condition. Then, the BgBRE snails primo-infected with SmBRE were challenged (25 days after  
419 the primo-infection) with SmBRE or SmVEN parasite strains for homologous or heterologous  
420 challenges respectively. The challenged snails were then sampled 1 and 4 days following  
421 challenge (Fig. 1). For all experimental infections, the snails were individually exposed for 12h  
422 to 10 miracidia in 5mL of pond water, thereafter snails were returned to the water tanks and  
423 separated according to the parasite strain and infection conditions.

424

#### 425 Infections and sampling for microbiota analysis

426 We performed a primo-infection of 63 BgBRE snails with either SmBRE (sympatric, 49 snails) or  
427 SmVEN (allopatric, 14 snails) strains. Then, 25 days after the primo-infection, we challenged a  
428 subset (28 snails) of SmBRE-primo-infected snails with either SmBRE (14 snails) (homologous  
429 challenge) or SmVEN (14 snails) (heterologous challenge).

430 To examine the effect of primo-infection on the snail microbiota, we sampled 7 whole snails for  
431 each infection combination on day one (named BB1 and BV1; the first letter refers to the  
432 *Biomphalaria* strain BgBRE and the second letter refers to the origin of *S. mansoni* strains used  
433 for primo-infection, SmBRE or SmVEN), on day four (BB4 and BV4), and on day twenty-five  
434 (BB25, no SmVEN-infected snails were sampled on day 25) after the primo-infection. This BB25  
435 sample is used as control for microbiota changes observed following challenge infections. Thus

436 then, we sampled 7 whole-snails on day one after the challenge (BBB1 and BBV1; the third  
437 letter refers to the origin of the *S. mansoni* strain used for the challenge, SmbRE or SmVEN) and  
438 on day four (BBB4 and BBV4) after the challenge. In addition, we used 6 naive snails collected at  
439 the beginning of the experiment (B0) and 6 naive snails collected at the time of challenge (i.e.  
440 day 25, named B25) as controls to assess the variability and stability of the BgBRE snail's  
441 bacterial communities in our breeding and rearing laboratory conditions. Snails were not fed 24  
442 hours before the DNA extraction for metabarcoding analysis.

443

#### 444 Infection and sampling for host antimicrobial immune response

445 We performed a primo-infection of 180 BgBRE snails with either SmbRE (sympatric, 140 snails)  
446 or SmVEN (allopatric, 40 snails). Then, 25 days after infection, we challenged a subset (80  
447 snails) of SmbRE-infected snails with either SmbRE (40 snails) (homologous challenge) or  
448 SmVEN (40 snails) (heterologous challenge) (Portet et al., 2019).

449 To study the primo-infection transcriptomic immune response of the snail, we took 20 snails  
450 pooled for each infection type on day one (BB1 and BV1), four (BB4 and BV4), and twenty-five  
451 (BB25). After the challenge with SmbRE or SmVEN, we sampled 20 snails in each condition at  
452 days 1 and 4. Then samples of day 1 and day 4 were mixed together into a single sample  
453 referring to BBB or BBV. In addition, we used 2 pools of 30 naive snails (B0.1 and B0.2) to  
454 establish the basal snail transcriptomic activity (Portet et al., 2019).

455

#### 456 *Extraction and sequencing*

##### 457 DNA extraction and 16S rDNA sequencing

458 Immediately after sampling, snail shells were cleaned with alcohol and removed, whole snails  
459 were then frozen in liquid nitrogen and grounded. The total DNA was extracted with DNeasy  
460 Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA quantification  
461 was performed by Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer, using dsRNA BR Assay kit. Individual 16S rDNA  
462 amplicon libraries were generated using the 341F (CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG) and 805R  
463 (GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) primers targeting the variable V3-V4 loops (Klindworth et al.,  
464 2013). Paired-end sequencing with 250 bp read length was performed on the Illumina MiSeq  
465 sequencing system (Genome Québec, Montréal, Québec, Canada) using the v2 chemistry  
466 according to the manufacturer's protocol.

467

#### 468 RNA extraction and transcriptomic sequencing

469 Immediately after sampling, snail shells were cleaned with alcohol and removed, then snails  
470 were pooled according to infection type. Total RNA was extracted using TRIZOL (Sigma Life  
471 Science, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For BBB and BBV equimolar  
472 amounts of RNA extracted from molluscs challenged at both 1 and 4 days were mixed together  
473 into a single sample. mRNAs were sequenced in paired-end 72-bp read lengths, using the  
474 mRNA-Seq kit for transcriptome sequencing on Illumina Genome Analyzer II (MGX-Montpellier  
475 GenomiX, Montpellier, France).

476

#### 477 *Microbiota analysis*

#### 478 Data analysis of 16S sequences

479 The FROGS pipeline (Find Rapidly OTU with Galaxy Solution) implemented on a galaxy instance  
480 (<http://sigenae-workbench.toulouse.inra.fr/galaxy/>) was used for data processing (Escudié et

481 al., 2017). Briefly, paired reads were merged using FLASH (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011). After  
482 denoising and primer/adapters removal (Didion et al., 2017), de novo clustering was done using  
483 SWARM, which uses a local clustering threshold, with aggregation distance  $d=3$  after denoising.  
484 Chimeras were removed using VSEARCH (Rognes et al., 2016). We filtered out the singletons  
485 and performed taxonomic assignment using Blast+ against the Silva database (release 128).

486 All statistical analyses were done using R v3.3.1 (R: a language and environment for  
487 statistical computing, 2008; R Development Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing,  
488 Vienna, Austria [<http://www.R-project.org>]). We used the phyloseq R package for community  
489 composition analysis (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) to infer alpha diversity metrics as well as  
490 beta diversity (between sample distance). Beta diversity was examined by Principal Coordinate  
491 Analysis (PCoA) using the Bray-Curtis distance matrices. We performed a Mann Whitney U test  
492 ( $p<0.05$ ) to compare alpha diversity and one-way PERMANOVA with a Benjamini & Hochberg  
493 post-hoc to analyze beta diversity between the experimental groups. For all analyses, the  
494 threshold significance level was set at 0.05.

#### 495 Analysis of core-microbiota

496 We defined the core-microbiota as the set of bacterial families that were present in 100% of  
497 the naive individuals excluding unknown or multi-affiliations at lower taxonomic ranks (Table  
498 S1). We then used the abundances of OTUs belonging to these families to examine the  
499 composition of the core-microbiota.

500 To check if the core-microbiota is affected by infection, we compared the abundance of core  
501 families between infected snails and naive conditions with a one-way PERMANOVA with a  
502 Benjamini & Hochberg post-hoc correction. Moreover, a frequency test was performed to  
503 determine which specific families were affected during infection. The number of significantly

504 differentially represented families at each sampling day (1, 4 and 25 days after primo infections  
505 and 1, 4 days after challenges) was calculated to assess the temporal variability during the  
506 course of infection.

507

#### 508 *Transcriptome analysis of antimicrobial immune response*

##### 509 Antimicrobial response

510 An antimicrobial transcriptome was built from transcripts known to be involved in *Biomphalaria*  
511 immune response against bacteria (antimicrobial peptides: biomphamacin or antimicrobial  
512 proteins: LBP/BPI and achacin; see Table S2 for details). The full-length sequences of these  
513 transcripts were recovered from GenBank and the *Biomphalaria* genome (Adema et al., 2017)  
514 and joined in a subset that represents the antimicrobial transcriptome of *B. glabrata*. This  
515 antimicrobial transcriptome was then concatenated with a de-novo assembled transcriptome  
516 of *Biomphalaria* available in our laboratory (see (Dheilly et al., 2015; Pinaud et al., 2016;  
517 Galinier et al., 2017) for details) and uploaded on the Galaxy server. Before concatenation, a  
518 blastn (70 % identity and 90% coverage) was conducted to identify redundant transcripts across  
519 the transcriptomes. Redundant transcripts were then discarded using CDhitEst to avoid  
520 mapping errors and bias in read counts when using Bowtie2 package.

##### 521 Differential expression analysis

522 High-quality reads (Phred score >29) were aligned to the concatenated transcriptome using  
523 Bowtie2 (v.2.0.2), which was run locally on a Galaxy server. The DESeq2 (v2.12) R package was  
524 used to identify differential expression levels between uninfected (B0.1 and B0.2) and infected  
525 conditions (p value < 0.05).

526



527 **Acknowledgments**

528 BG was supported by ANR JCJC INVIMORY (number ANR 13-JSV7-0009) from the French  
529 National Research Agency (ANR). The authors want to thanks the DHOF program of the  
530 UMR5244/IHPE (<http://ihpe.univ-perp.fr/en/ihpe-transversal-holobiont/>) for partial financial  
531 support. This study is set within the framework of the "Laboratoires d'Excellences (LABEX)"  
532 TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41).

533

534 **Reference**

535 Adema, C.M., Hillier, L.W., Jones, C.S., Loker, E.S., Knight, M., Minx, P. et al. (2017) Whole  
536 genome analysis of a schistosomiasis-transmitting freshwater snail. *Nature communications* **8**:  
537 15451.

538 Aksoy, S., Weiss, B., and Attardo, G. (2008) Paratransgenesis applied for control of tsetse  
539 transmitted sleeping sickness. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **627**: 35-48.

540 Allan, E.R.O., Tennessen, J.A., Sharpton, T.J., and Blouin, M.S. (2018) Allelic Variation in a Single  
541 Genomic Region Alters the Microbiome of the Snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *J Hered* **109**: 604-  
542 609.

543 Astudillo-García, C., Bell James, J., Webster Nicole, S., Glasl, B., Jompa, J., Montoya Jose, M.,  
544 and Taylor Michael, W. (2017) Evaluating the core microbiota in complex communities: A  
545 systematic investigation. *Environmental Microbiology* **19**: 1450-1462.

546 Augustin, R., Fraune, S., and Bosch, T.C.G. (2010) How Hydra senses and destroys microbes.  
547 *Seminars in Immunology* **22**: 54-58.

548 Augustin, R., Schröder, K., Murillo Rincón, A.P., Fraune, S., Anton-Erxleben, F., Herbst, E.-M. et  
549 al. (2017) A secreted antibacterial neuropeptide shapes the microbiome of Hydra. *Nature*  
550 *communications* **8**: 698.

551 Bosch, T.C. (2013) Cnidarian-microbe interactions and the origin of innate immunity in  
552 metazoans. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **67**: 499-518.

553 Bouchut, A., Roger, E., Gourbal, B., Grunau, C., Coustau, C., and Mitta, G. (2008) The  
554 compatibility polymorphism in invertebrate host/trematode interactions: research of molecular  
555 determinants. *Parasite* **15**: 304-309.

556 Budachetri, K., and Karim, S. (2015) An insight into the functional role of thioredoxin reductase,  
557 a selenoprotein, in maintaining normal native microbiota in the Gulf Coast tick (*Amblyomma*  
558 *maculatum*). *Insect Mol Biol* **24**: 570-581.

559 Chen, F., Krasity, B.C., Peyer, S.M., Koehler, S., Ruby, E.G., Zhang, X., and McFall-Ngai, M.J.  
560 (2017) Bactericidal Permeability-Increasing Proteins Shape Host-Microbe Interactions. *mBio* **8**:  
561 e00040-00017.

562 Cirimotich, C.M., Dong, Y., Clayton, A.M., Sandiford, S.L., Souza-Neto, J.A., Mulenga, M., and  
563 Dimopoulos, G. (2011) Natural microbe - mediated refractoriness to Plasmodium infection in  
564 *Anopheles gambiae*. *Science* **332**: 855-858.

565 Contijoch, E.J., Britton, G.J., Yang, C., Mogno, I., Li, Z., Ng, R. et al. (2019) Gut microbiota density  
566 influences host physiology and is shaped by host and microbial factors. *Elife* **8**: pii: e40553.

567 Coustau, C., Gourbal, B., Duval, D., Yoshino, T.P., Adema, C.M., and Mitta, G. (2015) Advances in  
568 gastropod immunity from the study of the interaction between the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*  
569 and its parasites: A review of research progress over the last decade. *Fish & Shellfish*  
570 *Immunology* **46**: 5-16.

571 Coutinho-Abreu, I.V., Zhu, K.Y., and Ramalho-Ortigao, M. (2010) Transgenesis and  
572 paratransgenesis to control insect-borne diseases: current status and future challenges.  
573 *Parasitol Int* **59**: 1-8.

574 Dheilly, N.M., Duval, D., Mouahid, G., Emans, R., Allienne, J.-F., Galinier, R. et al. (2015) A family  
575 of variable immunoglobulin and lectin domain containing molecules in the snail *Biomphalaria*  
576 *glabrata*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **48**: 234-243.

577 Didion, J.P., Martin, M., and Collins, F.S. (2017) Atropos: specific, sensitive, and speedy  
578 trimming of sequencing reads. *PeerJ* **5**: e3720.

579 Dinh, C., Farinholt, T., Hirose, S., Zhuchenko, O., and Kuspa, A. (2018) Lectins modulate the  
580 microbiota of social amoebae. *Science* **361**: 402-406.

581 Doenhoff, M.J., Hagan, P., Cioli, D., Southgate, V., Pica-Mattocchia, L., Botros, S. et al. (2009)  
582 Praziquantel: its use in control of schistosomiasis in sub-Saharan Africa and current research  
583 needs. *Parasitology* **136**: 1825-1835.

584 Ducklow, H.W., Boyle, P.J., Maugel, P.W., Strong, C., and Mitchell, R. (1979) Bacterial flora of  
585 the schistosome vector snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*  
586 **38**: 667-672.

587 Escudié, F., Auer, L., Bernard, M., Mariadassou, M., Cauquil, L., Vidal, K. et al. (2017) FROGS:  
588 Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics*: btx791.

589 Fallon, P.G., and Doenhoff, M.J. (1994) Drug-Resistant Schistosomiasis: Resistance to  
590 Praziquantel and Oxamniquine Induced in *Schistosoma Mansoni* in Mice is Drug Specific. *The*  
591 *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **51**: 83-88.

592 Franzenburg, S., Fraune, S., Künzel, S., Baines, J.F., Domazet-Lošo, T., and Bosch, T.C.G. (2012)  
593 MyD88-deficient Hydra reveal an ancient function of TLR signaling in sensing bacterial  
594 colonizers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*  
595 **109**: 19374-19379.

- 596 Franzenburg, S., Walter, J., Künzel, S., Wang, J., Baines, J.F., Bosch, T.C.G., and Fraune, S. (2013)  
597 Distinct antimicrobial peptide expression determines host species-specific bacterial  
598 associations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*  
599 **110**: E3730-E3738.
- 600 Fraune, S., Augustin, R., Anton-Erxleben, F., Wittlieb, J., Gelhaus, C., Klimovich, V.B. et al. (2010)  
601 In an early branching metazoan, bacterial colonization of the embryo is controlled by maternal  
602 antimicrobial peptides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*  
603 *America* **107**: 18067-18072.
- 604 Fujio-Vejar, S., Vasquez, Y., Morales, P., Magne, F., Vera-Wolf, P., Ugalde, J.A. et al. (2017) The  
605 Gut Microbiota of Healthy Chilean Subjects Reveals a High Abundance of the Phylum  
606 Verrucomicrobia. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 1221.
- 607 Futo, M., Armitage, S.A., and Kurtz, J. (2015) Microbiota Plays a Role in Oral Immune Priming in  
608 *Tribolium castaneum*. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 1383.
- 609 Galinier, R., Roger, E., Moné, Y., Duval, D., Portet, A., Pinaud, S. et al. (2017) A multistrain  
610 approach to studying the mechanisms underlying compatibility in the interaction between  
611 *Biomphalaria glabrata* and *Schistosoma mansoni*. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **11**:  
612 e0005398.
- 613 Gendrin, M. (2017) A Swiss Army Knife to Cut Malaria Transmission. *Cell Host & Microbe* **22**:  
614 577-579.
- 615 Gilbert, J.A., Medlock, J., Townsend, J.P., Aksoy, S., Ndeffo Mbah, M., and Galvani, A.P. (2016)  
616 Determinants of Human African Trypanosomiasis Elimination via Paratransgenesis. *PLoS Negl*  
617 *Trop Dis* **10**: e0004465.

618 Hooper, L.V., and Macpherson, A.J. (2010) Immune adaptations that maintain homeostasis with  
619 the intestinal microbiota. *Nat Rev Immunol* **10**: 159–169.

620 Hooper, L.V., Littman, D.R., and Macpherson, A.J. (2012) Interactions between the microbiota  
621 and the immune system. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **336**: 1268-1273.

622 Jenkins, T.P., Peachey, L.E., Ajami, N.J., MacDonald, A.S., Hsieh, M.H., Brindley, P.J. et al. (2018)  
623 *Schistosoma mansoni* infection is associated with quantitative and qualitative modifications of  
624 the mammalian intestinal microbiota. *Sci Rep* **8**: 12072.

625 Joshi, D., Pan, X., McFadden, M.J., Bevins, D., Liang, X., Lu, P. et al. (2017) The Maternally  
626 Inheritable *Wolbachia* wAlbB Induces Refractoriness to *Plasmodium berghei* in *Anopheles*  
627 *stephensi*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**: 366.

628 Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., and Glockner, F.O.  
629 (2013) Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-  
630 generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: e1.

631 Lozupone, C.A., Stombaugh, J.I., Gordon, J.I., Jansson, J.K., and Knight, R. (2012) Diversity,  
632 stability and resilience of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* **489**: 220-230.

633 Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2011) FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve  
634 genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2957-2963.

635 McFall-Ngai, M., Hadfield, M.G., Bosch, T.C., Carey, H.V., Domazet-Loso, T., Douglas, A.E. et al.  
636 (2013) Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*  
637 *S A* **110**: 3229-3236.

638 McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S. (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive  
639 analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**: e61217.

640 Mitta, G., Adema, C.M., Gourbal, B., Loker, E.S., and Theron, A. (2012) Compatibility  
641 polymorphism in snail/schistosome interactions: From field to theory to molecular  
642 mechanisms. *Dev Comp Immunol* **37**: 1-8.

643 Mitta, G., Gourbal, B., Grunau, C., Knight, M., Bridger, J.M., and Théron, A. (2017) Chapter  
644 Three – The Compatibility Between *Biomphalaria glabrata* Snails and *Schistosoma mansoni*: An  
645 Increasingly Complex Puzzle. *Advances in Parasitology* **97**: 111-145.

646 Mitta, G., Galinier, R., Tisseyre, P., Allienne, J.F., Girerd-Chambaz, Y., Guillou, F. et al. (2005)  
647 Gene discovery and expression analysis of immune-relevant genes from *Biomphalaria glabrata*  
648 hemocytes. *Developmental and comparative immunology* **29**: 393-407.

649 Mone, Y., Gourbal, B., Duval, D., Du Pasquier, L., Kieffer-Jaquinod, S., and Mitta, G. (2010) A  
650 large repertoire of parasite epitopes matched by a large repertoire of host immune receptors in  
651 an invertebrate host/parasite model. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **4**: pii: e813.

652 Moné, Y., Ribou, A.-C., Cosseau, C., Duval, D., Théron, A., Mitta, G., and Gourbal, B. (2011) An  
653 example of molecular co-evolution: Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and ROS scavenger levels in  
654 *Schistosoma mansoni*/*Biomphalaria glabrata* interactions. *International Journal for Parasitology*  
655 **41**: 721-730.

656 Narasimhan, S., Schuijt, T.J., Abraham, N.M., Rajeevan, N., Coumou, J., Graham, M. et al. (2017)  
657 Modulation of the tick gut milieu by a secreted tick protein favors *Borrelia burgdorferi*  
658 colonization. *Nature communications* **8**: 184.

659 Nyholm, S.V., and McFall-Ngai, M.J. (2004) The winnowing: establishing the squid-vibrio  
660 symbiosis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2**: 632-642.

661 Onchuru, T.O., and Kaltenpoth, M. (2019) Established cotton stainer gut bacterial mutualists  
662 evade regulation by host antimicrobial peptides. *Appl Environ Microbiol* pii: **AEM.00738-19**. .

663 Pinaud, S., Portela, J., Duval, D., Nowacki, F.C., Olive, M.-A., Allienne, J.-F. et al. (2016) A Shift  
664 from Cellular to Humoral Responses Contributes to Innate Immune Memory in the Vector Snail  
665 *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *PLOS Pathogens* **12**: e1005361.

666 Portet, A., Pinaud, S., Chaparro, C., Galinier, R., Dheilly, N.M., Portela, J. et al. (2019) Sympatric  
667 versus allopatric evolutionary contexts shape differential immune response in *Biomphalaria* /  
668 *Schistosoma* interaction. *PLoS Pathog* **15**: e1007647.

669 Pradeu, T., and Eric, V. (2014) The discontinuity theory of immunity. *Sci Immunol* **1**: 1-9.

670 Ramirez, J.L., Souza-Neto, J., Cosme, R.T., Rovira, J., Ortiz, A., Pascale, J.M., and Dimopoulos, G.  
671 (2012) Reciprocal tripartite interactions between the *Aedes aegypti* midgut microbiota, innate  
672 immune system and dengue virus influences vector competence. *PLOS Neglected Tropical*  
673 *Diseases* **6**: 1-11.

674 Rodrigues, J., Brayner, F.A., Alves, L.C., Dixit, R., and Barillas-mury, C. (2010) Hemocyte  
675 Differentiation Mediates Innate Immune Memory in *Anopheles gambiae* Mosquitoes. *Science*  
676 **329**: 1353-1355.

677 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahe, F. (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open  
678 source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* **4**: e2584.

679 Ryu, J.-H., Kim, S.-H., Lee, H.-Y., Bai, J.Y., Nam, Y.-D., Bae, J.-W. et al. (2008) Innate Immune  
680 Homeostasis by the Homeobox Gene *Caudal* and Commensal-Gut  
681 Mutualism in *Drosophila*. *Science* **319**: 777.

682 Sansone, C.L., Cohen, J., Yasunaga, A., Xu, J., Osborn, G., Subramanian, H. et al. (2015)  
683 Microbiota-dependent priming of antiviral intestinal immunity in *Drosophila*. *Cell Host and*  
684 *Microbe* **18**: 571-581.

685 Silva, T.M., Melo, E.S., Lopes, A.C.S., Veras, D.L., Duarte, C.R., Alves, L.C., and Brayner, F.A.  
686 (2013) Characterization of the bacterial microbiota of *Biomphalaria glabrata* (Say, 1818)  
687 (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from Brazil. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* **57**: 19-25.

688 Tennessen, J.A., Théron, A., Marine, M., Yeh, J.-Y., Rognon, A., and Blouin, M.S. (2015)  
689 Hyperdiverse Gene Cluster in Snail Host Conveys Resistance to Human Schistosome Parasites.  
690 *PLoS Genetics* **11**: e1005067.

691 Wang, X.W., Xu, J.D., Zhao, X.F., Vasta, G.R., and Wang, J.X. (2014) A shrimp C-type lectin  
692 inhibits proliferation of the hemolymph microbiota by maintaining the expression of  
693 antimicrobial peptides. *J Biol Chem* **289**: 11779-11790.

694 Warne, R.W., Kirschman, L., and Zeglin, L. (2019) Manipulation of gut microbiota during critical  
695 developmental windows affects host physiological performance and disease susceptibility  
696 across ontogeny. *J Anim Ecol* **Epub ahead of print**.

697 WHO (2002) TDR Strategic Direction for Research: Schistosomiasis. *World Health Organization*  
698 *Information*.

699 Xi, Z., Ramirez, J.L., and Dimopoulos, G. (2008) The *Aedes aegypti* toll pathway controls dengue  
700 virus infection. *PLOS Pathogens* **4(7):e1000098**.

701 Yang, H.T., Yang, M.C., Sun, J.J., Shi, X.Z., Zhao, X.F., and Wang, J.X. (2016) Dual oxidases  
702 participate in the regulation of intestinal microbiotic homeostasis in the kuruma shrimp  
703 *Marsupenaeus japonicus*. *Dev Comp Immunol* **59**: 153-163.

704 Yang, H.T., Yang, M.C., Sun, J.J., Guo, F., Lan, J.F., Wang, X.W. et al. (2015) Catalase eliminates  
705 reactive oxygen species and influences the intestinal microbiota of shrimp. *Fish Shellfish*  
706 *Immunol* **47**: 63-73.



707 Zhang, H., Sparks, J.B., Karyala, S.V., Settlage, R., and Luo, X.M. (2015) Host adaptive immunity

708 alters gut microbiota. *ISME J* **9**: 770-781.

709

710

711

712

713

714 **Legends to Figures**

715

716 **Fig. 1: Experimental protocol**

717

718 **Fig. 2: *Biomphalaria glabrata* microbiota characterization**

719 Characterisation of the *Biomphalaria* bacterial microbiota of six naive snails recovered at the  
720 start of experimentation (B0.1; B0.2; B0.3; B0.4; B0.5 and B0.6) and 6 naive snails recovered 25  
721 days after the start of experimentation (B25.1; B25.2; B25.3; B25.4; B25.5 and B25.6). A.  
722 Phylum level composition of the 20 most abundant OTUs phyla among the 12 naive snails. B.  
723 The Venn diagram represents the number of the 97 OTUs families which shared between the 6  
724 naive snails at B0 (left Venn diagram), and between the 6 naive snails at B25 (right Venn  
725 diagram).

726

727 **Fig. 3: Microbiota alpha Diversity**

728 Boxplots of Chao1 and Shannon indices for all samples. For the Naive condition, B0 and B25  
729 snails were pooled; BB: primo infection of BgBRE by SmBRE; BV: primo infection of BgBRE by  
730 SmVEN; BBB: primo infection of BgBRE by SmBRE and challenge by SmBRE; BBV: primo  
731 infection of BgBRE by SmBRE and challenge by SmVEN. The time point is mentioned with 1, 4 or  
732 25 corresponding to the day after primo-infection or challenge. The differences between naive  
733 and infected conditions were tested with a Mann-Whitney U test and significant differences  
734 mentioned with “\*”.

735

736 **Fig. 4: Beta diversity and bacterial communities following *Biomphalaria* infection**

737 Dynamics of the bacterial microbiota of *Biomphalaria glabrata* following *Schistosoma* primo-  
738 infection and challenge. A. Functional diversity comparisons of *Biomphalaria* microbiota along  
739 infection. Principal coordinate analysis of pairwise Bray-Curtis distances between all infection  
740 type and time samples. Axes represent the two synthetic variables explaining the greatest  
741 proportion of variation in the data set. The sample name indicated in the figure corresponds to  
742 the centroid of all the biological replicates points of the respective experimental sample. B.  
743 Phylum level composition of the 20 most abundant OTUs among all points of the kinetic. In this  
744 representation, the replicate naive snails were pooled for more readability.

745

746 **Fig. 5: Differential gene expression of Biomphamacin antimicrobial peptides**

747 Log<sub>2</sub>FC (fold change) of antimicrobial immune transcripts between naive and infected snails  
748 inferred from previous RNAseq analysis on the same experiment. A positive Log<sub>2</sub> fold-change  
749 indicates over-expression in infected snails compared to the naive snails. Antimicrobial peptide  
750 families included 6 Biomphamacins (macin-like AMPs) consisting of 6 genes (shade of green).

751

752 **Fig. S1: Core Microbiota analysis**

753 Modifications of the bacterial core microbiota of *Biomphalaria glabrata* following *Schistosoma*  
754 primo-infection and challenge. After a one-way Permanova with a Benjamini & Hochberg post-  
755 hoc, a frequency test was realised to determine which specific families were affected during  
756 infection. A. Proportion of OTU Families affected by infection are represented by colour circles.  
757 The Venn diagram shows more precisely the specific impact of infections on the OTU families  
758 partially influenced. B. Heatmap corresponding to all core microbiota with clustering on Family

759 modification patterns between conditions. The scale of red correspond to ratio between naive  
760 condition and each point of infection.

761

762 **Fig. S2: Differential gene expression of LBP/BPI and Achacin antimicrobial protein families**

763 Log<sub>2</sub>FC (fold change) of antimicrobial immune transcripts between naive and infected snails  
764 inferred from previous RNAseq analysis on the same experiment. A positive Log<sub>2</sub> fold-change  
765 indicates over-expression in infected snails compared to the naive snails. Antimicrobial protein  
766 families: LBP/BPIs (*Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein / Bactericidal Permeability-Increasing*  
767 *protein*) consisting of 5 genes (in blue) and achacins consisting of 2 genes (in orange).

768




769



770

771


Primo Infection

Challenge




**A****B**


## Estimate Richness (Chao 1)




## Shannon Diversity Index



A



B





Expression [Log2FC]

