

BCRP and P-gp relay overexpression in triple negative basal-like breast cancer cell line: a prospective role in resistance to Olaparib

Robin Dufour, Pierre Daumar, Emmanuelle Mounetou, Corinne Aubel, Fabrice Kwiatkowski, Catherine Abrial, Catherine Vatoux, Frédérique Penault-Llorca, Mahchid Bamdad

To cite this version:

Robin Dufour, Pierre Daumar, Emmanuelle Mounetou, Corinne Aubel, Fabrice Kwiatkowski, et al.. BCRP and P-gp relay overexpression in triple negative basal-like breast cancer cell line: a prospective role in resistance to Olaparib. Scientific Reports, 2015, 5 (1), $10.1038/\text{srep12670}$. hal-03124552

HAL Id: hal-03124552 <https://hal.science/hal-03124552v1>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 05 January 2015 accepted: 29 June 2015 Published: 03 August 2015

BCRP and P-gp relay **overexpression in triple negative basal-like breast cancer cell line: a prospective role in resistance to Olaparib**

Robin Dufour^{1,2}, Pierre Daumar¹, Emmanuelle Mounetou^{4,*}, Corinne Aubel^{3,*}, **Fabrice Kwiatkowski2 , Catherine Abrial2 , Catherine Vatoux1 , Frédérique Penault-Llorca2,* & Mahchid Bamdad1,*,†**

The triple negative basal-like (TNBL) breast carcinoma is an aggressive and unfavorable prognosis disease. Inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase such as Olaparib could represent a promising targeted therapy but their sensitivity against Multidrug Resistance proteins (MDR), which causes resistance, is not well defined. Thus, our work focused on the analysis of P-gp and BCRP coexpression in the SUM1315 TNBL human cell line, in correlation with Olaparib intracellular concentration. Western blot analyses showed a clear coexpression of P-gp and BCRP in SUM1315 cells. A low cytotoxic Olaparib treatment clearly led to an increased expression of both BCRP and P-gp in these cells. Indeed, after 1.5h of treatment, BCRP expression was increased with a 1.8 fold increase rate. Then, P-gp took over from 3h to 15h with an average increase rate of 1.8 fold, and finally returned to control value at 24h. HPLC-UV analyses showed that, in the same treatment conditions, the intracellular Olaparib concentration increased from 1h to 3h and remained relatively stable until 24h. Results suggest that the resistance mechanism induced by Olaparib in TNBL SUM1315 cell line may be overpassed if a cytotoxic and stable intracellular level of the drug can be maintained.

Basal-like (BL) carcinomas represent 10–15% of all invasive breast cancers (BC). These tumors are recognized in clinical practice by their "triple negative" (TN) immunophenotype, *i.e.* estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and HER2 negative, associated with expression of one or more high molecular weight cytokeratins (5/6,14 or 17) and/or endothelial growth factor receptor (EGFR)¹. The triple negative basal-like (TNBL) carcinoma is an aggressive and unfavorable prognosis disease. It appears frequently

1 Clermont Université - Université d'Auvergne - ERTICa – EA 4677 - Institut Universitaire de Technologie, Département Génie Biologique, Ensemble universitaire des Cézeaux, B.P. 86 - 63172 AUBIERE CEDEX, France. 2 Clermont Université - Université d'Auvergne - ERTICa – EA 4677. Centre Jean Perrin, 58 Rue Montalembert, BP 392 - 63011 CLERMONT-FERRAND CEDEX France. 3 Clermont Université - Université d'Auvergne - ERTICa – EA 4677. Faculté de médecine, 28 place Henri Dunant, BP 38 - 63001 Clermont-Ferrand, France. 4 UMR 990 INSERM - Université d'Auvergne, BP 184, 63005 CLERMONT-FERRAND CEDEX, France. *These authors contributed equally to this work. † Current address: Institut Universitaire de Technologie de Clermont-Ferrand – Université d'Auvergne, Département Génie Biologique, Ensemble Universitaire des Cézeaux, 5 avenue Blaise Pascal, CS30086 - 63172 AUBIERE CEDEX France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.B. (email: [mahchid.](mailto:mahchid.bamdad@udamail.fr) [bamdad@udamail.fr](mailto:mahchid.bamdad@udamail.fr))

in young women, shows an unusual sarcoma-like pattern of metastasis, and is highly associated with constitutive mutations of the BRCA1 gene².

The management of TNBL carcinomas is not standardized yet. It is based on the use of classical cytotoxic drugs *i.e.* anthracyclines and/or taxanes, although conventional chemotherapy is not always effective in these tumors. Indeed, due to their high proliferative capacity, TNBL tumors first respond to neoadjuvant treatments, but a systematic relapse is then detected, probably caused by resistance³. There is an urgent need for more specific therapies for TNBL and BRCA1-mutated tumors². Therapeutic progress relies on a better understanding of underlying molecular alterations that occur in these tumors. BRCA1 and BRCA2 play a major role in DNA repair. As a consequence, their defects lead to genetic instability and resistance to the classical drugs used in BC treatment, *i.e.* anthracyclines and taxanes^{4,5}.

Thus, new targeted therapies in general and inhibitors of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) in particular could represent a promising therapeutic approach for this type of cancer6–8. BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes regulate the repair of damaged DNA *via* the homologous recombination (HR) mechanism, one of the DNA repair pathway9,10. Therefore, TNBL tumors, which are often BRCA1/2 deficient, are HR-deficient². PARP is a nuclear enzyme involved in another DNA repair pathway called base excision repair (BER)10. Through the concept of synthetic lethality, inhibition of PARP in TNBL-BRCA deficient cells causes tumor cells death $\overline{1}$. Several PARP inhibitors are currently under clinical trial, including Olaparib (AZD 2281; AstraZeneca, London, UK). About ten clinical studies are underway to test Olaparib for breast and ovarian cancer treatments¹². However, despite the great interest in this type of targeted therapy, several anti-PARPs resistance mechanisms have been identified by *in vitro* and *in vivo* preclinical approaches. The PARP inhibitors action can be altered, either by molecular mechanisms involving the mode of operation of anti-PARPs such as partial HR deficiency, genetic reversion of BRCA mutations or loss of PARP1 expression, or by the Multidrug Resistance transporters (MDR) resistance mechanism¹³⁻¹⁷.

The so-called MDR proteins were discovered as membrane transporters inducing chemotherapy resistance in cancer. MDR transporters belong to the "ATP-binding cassette" (ABC) superfamily of proteins, which were highly conserved during evolution. Indeed, these proteins are naturally expressed in all living organisms from prokaryotes to eukaryotes¹⁸. The two major MDR proteins, Permeability-glycoprotein (P-gp) and Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP), which belong to the ABCB and ABCG subfamily, respectively, have been showed to be frequently expressed in human cancer. MDR proteins act as xenobiotics efflux pumps able to transport various drugs out of cells. They recognize a large variety of substrates with different structures and properties, including many classical chemotherapeutic agents¹⁹. Target substrates of these transporters are mainly hydrophobic, but also ionic amphipathic molecules. The MDR system complexity is particularly reflected in the fact that one compound can be the substrate of several MDR transporters. During evolution, the MDR system has formed a cell membrane-localized network that protects the cell from xenobiotics. At present, MDR transporters are considered to be the essential part of an innate cellular defense system, the "chemoimmunity" network, which has a number of reminiscent features of classical immunology19.

In this context, the present work focused on the analysis of P-gp and BCRP coexpression in the SUM1315 TNBL human cell line, in correlation with Olaparib intracellular concentration.

Results

BCRP and P-gp coexpression in SUM1315 TNBL cell line. Intrinsic expression of BCRP and P-gp was studied in SUM135 cell line, using Western blot analysis. Results showed that the monoclonal anti-P-gp antibody C219 and the anti-BCRP MAB4146 antibody clearly marked two proteins of 170kDa and 72 kDa, respectively. More, the monoclonal anti-tubulin antibody DM1A marked a tubulin protein with a molecular weight of about 50 kDa, which was used as the internal protein control (Fig. 1A and supplementary Fig. S1). The expression level of BCRP in TNBL cells was 0.34 ± 0.03 a.u. and that of P-gp was 0.54 ± 0.05 a.u. (Fig. 1B).

SUM1315 cell viability in presence of increasing concentrations of Olaparib. The rate of SUM1315 cell viability was analyzed after treatment with increasing Olaparib concentrations (20, 40, 50, 60, 80 and 100 μ M) during 24h, using sulforhodamine B (SRB) viability assay. In parallel, a 0.1% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) control without Olaparib was also performed. The results (Fig. 2) showed that the SUM1315 cell viability was $100 \pm 5\%$ for 0.1% DMSO control. 0.1% DMSO had no effect on the cell viability. In presence of 20 to $40 \mu M$ Olaparib, the cell viability rate decreased slightly but significantly (a mean $94 \pm 4\%$) as compared to control values. For 50 and 60 μ M Olaparib, the cell viability rate decreased to a mean of about 90 \pm 3%. In presence of higher Olaparib concentrations (80 and 100 μ M) the viability cell rate decreased to $85 \pm 5\%$.

Coexpression kinetic level of BCRP and P-gp in SUM1315 cell line in presence of Olaparib. The kinetic of BCRP and P-gp coexpression levels in SUM1315 cell line was then investigated during 24h, with or without 50μ M Olaparib treatment, using Western blot analysis (Fig. 3). Control and DMSO 0.1% control cells were also performed (Fig. 3A,B). The BCRP expression levels remained stable from 1.5h to 24h in the control cells $(0.31 \pm 0.04 \text{ a.u.}$ and $0.33 \pm 0.04 \text{ a.u.}$, respectively) and in the 0.1% DMSO controls $(0.32 \pm 0.03$ and 0.31 ± 0.03 a.u., respectively). Statistical analysis showed no significant difference

Figure 1. Basal coexpression of BCRP and P-gp in SUM1315 cell line. (**A**) P-gp and BCRP expression were analyzed using Western blot analysis. P-gp and BCRP weighed 170 kDa and 72 kDa, respectively. α-tubulin was used as internal control and presented a molecular weight of about 50 kDa. The fulllength blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S1. (**B**) P-gp and BCRP expression level was quantified using QuantityOne software (Biorad®). Statistical analyses were performed by student's t test. *indicates a significant difference $(p < 0.05)$ in P-gp and BCRP expression.

between control cells and the 0.1% DMSO control. Therefore, 0.1% DMSO had no effect on the expression level of BCRP in TNBL SUM1315 cell line (Fig. 3A). In parallel, P-gp expression levels in the SUM1315 cell line were measured during 24h (Fig. 3B,D). As for BCRP, the P-gp expression levels remained stable from 1.5h to 24h in control cells (0.52 ± 0.02 , 0.53 ± 0.03 a.u., respectively) and in 0.1% DMSO control $(0.53 \pm 0.02, 0.52 \pm 0.06 \text{ a.u.})$, respectively). Similarly, statistical analysis showed no significant difference between control cells and 0.1% DMSO control, for P-gp expression during the experiment. Consequently, 0.1% DMSO had no effect on the P-gp expression in SUM1315 cell lines (Fig. 3B).

The kinetic coexpression of BCRP and P-gp in SUM1315 cell cultures was then analyzed after 1.5h, 3h, 4.5h, 6h, 15h and 24h of treatment with 50μM Olaparib (Fig. 3C,D). After adding 50μM Olaparib in cell culture, BCRP expression (Fig. 3C) increased significantly from 1.5h and remained high until $4.5h (0.59 \pm 0.05 a.u.$ and $0.51 \pm 0.08 a.u.$, respectively). In control 0.1% DMSO control, the BCRP level was 0.32 ± 0.04 a.u. at $1.5h$ and 0.28 ± 0.01 a.u. at $4.5h$. Then, the rate of BCRP expression decreased and remained relatively close to controls from 6h to 24h (0.31 ± 0.02 a.u. and 0.28 ± 0.02 a.u., respectively). In the same TNBL treated cell culture, P-gp expression level increased significantly from 3h to 6h after 50µM Olaparib treatment (Fig. 3D) (1.21 \pm 0.14 a.u. and 0.94 \pm 0.07 a.u. against 0.55 \pm 0.04 a.u. and 0.52 ± 0.05 a.u. for 0.1% DMSO control, respectively). Then, the P-gp expression level slightly decreased at 15h (0.71 ± 0.15 a.u.) but still remained significantly higher than the control 0.1% DMSO (0.52 ± 0.03 a.u.). After 24h of treatment, the level of P-gp expression returned to the control level $(0.58 \pm 0.05 \text{ a.u.} \text{ for P-gp and } 0.52 \pm 0.06 \text{ a.u.} \text{ for } 0.1\% \text{ DMSO control}).$

Figure 3. P-gp and BCRP coexpression induction in SUM1315 cell line in the presence of Olaparib. P-gp and BCRP expression levels were analyzed in total protein extract from SUM1315 cells using Western blot analysis. Protein expression was quantified using QuantityOne software (Biorad®). (**^A**) Expression level of BCRP in untreated cells and cells treated with 0.1% DMSO. (**B**) Expression level of P-gp in untreated cells and cells treated with 0.1% DMSO. (**C**) Expression level of BCRP in 0.1% DMSO control cells and in cells treated with 50μM Olaparib. (**D**) Expression level of P-gp in 0.1% DMSO control cells and in cells treated with 50μM Olaparib. Statistical analyses were performed by student's t test. *indicate a significant difference $(p<0.05)$ in BCRP or P-gp expression between control 0.1% DMSO and Olaparib treated cells.

Intracellular quantification of Olaparib in SUM1315 cell line. In parallel of MDR proteins expression level studies, a high performance liquid chromatography analytical method with UV detection (HPLC-UV) was developed. This method was used to determine the intracellular quantity of Olaparib in SUM1315 cells after a 50 μ M treatment with the drug. The analytical method was used to determine intracellular Olaparib quantities both in cells and in the cell media after different incubation times.

The retention time (t_R) for Olaparib under the chromatographic conditions was 6.4min. It was checked each day an experiment was performed with a freshly prepared standard solution of the drug in acetonitrile. The UV detection was performed at 218nm and compared with the standard Olaparib UV spectrum (200–380nm). Quantitative analyses were performed at 1, 2, 3, 6, 15 and 24hours. Results are normalized to the highest concentration value and are expressed in percentage. For each incubation time, an untreated control sample was prepared and injected on the chromatographic system.

Results of intracellular Olaparib quantification are shown in Fig. 4. Olaparib was detected at every time points, from 1 to 24h. The Olaparib level in TNBL cells increased regularly over time from 1h to 3h and then remained stable until 24h. It increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) from 1h to 3h (61.9 ± 2.2% and 93.9 \pm 10.8%, respectively). Then, the quantity of Olaparib remained steady until 24h with no statistically different variation. No degradation product was detected. Also, analysis of the culture media showed that the Olaparib extracellular level remained stable until the latest 24h time point (Supplementary Fig. S2), and that Olaparib was stable under the incubation conditions as no degradation product was observed.

SUM1315 cell viability in presence of 50μM Olaparib over time. The rate of SUM1315 cell viability was analyzed in presence of $50 \mu M$ Olaparib until 120h, using sulforhodamine B (SRB) viability assay. In parallel, a 0.1% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) control without Olaparib was also performed. The results (Fig. 5) showed that the SUM1315 cells viability was $100 \pm 5\%$ for the 0.1% DMSO control. In presence of 50 μ M Olaparib, the cell viability rate decreased to 90 \pm 3%, 76 \pm 13% and 36 \pm 4% after 24, 72 and 120h, respectively.

Discussion

Breast cancer is one of the most diagnosed diseases in the world. Among the subtypes of breast cancer, the TNBL phenotype, which affects mainly young women, is particularly aggressive and presents a poor prognosis. Indeed, the lack of estrogen, progesterone and HER2 receptors expression in these tumor cells makes them insensitive to targeted anti-hormone and/or anti-HER2 therapies¹. As a consequence, the main treatment remains conventional chemotherapy, with good initial response in some cases, but the tumor is rarely eradicated. Therefore, new targeted therapies represent an urgent need^{20,21}. PARP inhibitors, a new class of targeted drugs, specifically targeting TNBL tumor cells is being developed and is currently being tested in clinical research^{6,7}. Based on the synthetic lethality concept, anti-PARPs specifically target TNBL-BRCA deficient tumor cells, thus causing their death¹¹. Olaparib (AZD 2281; AstraZeneca, London, UK) is an anti-PARP, which was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and European commission for the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer in patients with BRCA mutations. This drug is also currently in clinical research for various cancers such as solid tumors, breast, prostate, lung, esophagi, gastric, colorectal and brain and central nervous system cancers. In these studies, Olaparib is either used alone or in combination with other anticancer drugs 12 . Regarding breast cancer, several clinical studies are currently underway with Olaparib on triple negative breast cancer¹². However, despite the great interest in this type of targeted therapy, three mechanisms of resistance to PARP inhibitors have been identified. Namely, secondary BRCA gene mutations, the loss of PARP target protein expression, and the upregulation of MDR efflux transporters^{13,15,17,22}.

MDR proteins were identified long ago as a major cause of drug resistance in tumor cells. This defense system causes the efflux of cytotoxic drugs outside the tumor cells¹⁹. At present, ABCB1/MDR1/P-gp, ABCC1/MRP1, ABCC10/MRP7 and ABCG2/BCRP/MXR are recognized as the major clinically relevant MDR transporters¹⁴. In this context, the present work focused on the respective implication of P-gp and BCRP, in SUM1315 TNBL cell line model, in response to the PARP inhibitor Olaparib.

The analysis of the expression of P-gp and BCRP in untreated TNBL SUM1315 cell line showed a clear basal coexpression of the two MDR proteins. However, P-gp expression was 1.6 times higher than BCRP. These results suggest that this mechanism of cellular resistance is already present in this TNBL cell line before treatment. Interestingly, the basal coexpression of these two MDR proteins may be partly responsible for the TNBL tumors aggressiveness and poor outcomes reported in clinical practice. Little data exists on the implication of MDR proteins in TN tumors. For instance, it was reported that chemotherapy treatments on TN breast cancers could be individualized and their efficiency rose based on the expression patterns of P-gp obtained from immunohistochemical analyses of patients' biopsies²³. Regarding BCRP, it was reported that the IMP3 protein promotes chemoresistance in SUM1315 and MDA-468 TNBL cell lines by regulating this MDR protein expression²⁴. Moreover, BCRP expression was also clearly detected in side population of MDA-MB-231 TNBL cell lines²⁵.

After basal co-expression of P-gp and BCRP analysis, the impact toxicity of Olaparib was analyzed in SUM1315 cell line in presence of increasing concentrations of drug, ranging from 20 to 100μ M. SRB viability test highlighted few toxicity of Olaparib on these TNBL cell line, with a decrease of about 10% of cell viability at 50μM and 15% at 100μ M, after a 24h exposure. The low toxicity concentration of 50μ M Olaparib was then chosen for the next experiments.

To analyze the "resistance response" of TNBL tumor cells against Olaparib in SUM1315 model cell line, the coexpression of P-gp and BCRP was studied in relationship with intracellular concentration of this anti-PARP, during 24h. The coexpression pattern of BCRP and P-gp over time with a $50 \mu M$ Olaparib treatment clearly showed relay overexpression of the two MDR proteins. Indeed, after 1.5h of treatment, only BCRP expression was clearly increased, with a rate 1.8 fold higher than the control. BCRP expression level remained stable and still high to 4.5h and then returned to control level at 6h. P-gp expression level was clearly induced after BCRP, from 3h to 15h of treatment, with an average rate of 1.8 fold higher than control. P-gp expression level decreased to the control value after 24h. These results first showed that Olaparib clearly induced the expression increase of both MDR proteins in this TNBL cell line, which may represent a defense system against this drug. Interestingly, Rucaparib, another PARP inhibitor, was also showed to be transported by both BCRP and P-gp, in polarized monolayers MDCKII human cell line²⁶. In our study, the coexpression pattern in SUM1315 cell line of both MDR against Olaparib suggests that BCRP would constitute the first line of defense against this drug. This defense line was strengthened and then relayed by P-gp. All these results suggest that in TNBL tumors, a resistance network settles rapidly against Olaparib. The recognition of Olaparib by P-gp was already reported in *in vitro* models such as in the overexpressing drug-resistant IGROVCDDP cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cell line, in the KB-8-5-11 multidrug resistant epidermal carcinoma cell line and in BRCA1-mutated mouse mammary tumors27,28. In *in vivo* mouse model, it was shown that the resistance to Olaparib could be acquired by the upregulation of Abcb1a/b genes in a TN tumor model¹⁶.

In parallel of MDR proteins expression studies, the intracellular level of Olaparib in SUM1315 cell line treated in the same experimental conditions was investigated. To that end, a new high performance liquid chromatography method was developed. Results showed that Olaparib rapidly enters the cells. Intracellular Olaparib quantities in cells increased steadily and significantly from 1h to 3h (52% increase) and then remained stable at the same level until 24h. No degradation products were detected in cell samples or in the culture media, which showed that Olaparib was stable under the incubation conditions even after 24hours. Moreover, the Olaparib concentration in the culture media remained constant at each time point.

Overall, our results show that the presence of Olaparib in the intracellular milieu leads to the significant overexpression of BCRP. That first line of defense settles very rapidly after the beginning of drug exposure and, while dropping back to its basal level, is relayed by the marked overexpression of P-gp. Interestingly, the P-gp overexpression occurs after 3h, when the intracellular Olaparib reaches its highest level. As mentioned before, this intracellular level remained stable at later time points until 24h, but the MDR protein expression slowly returned to basal level at 24h. Thus, in presence of a low toxic Olaparib concentration, a stable level of PARP inhibitor remains into cells despite the early induction of MDR proteins. This intracellular level of Olaparib led to the establishment of a progressive cytotoxicity in these cells, which could explain the return to basal expression of both MDR proteins that was observed. This hypothesis was further supported by the significant decrease in cell viability that was observed after a longer term of 50μM Olaparib treatment.

In conclusion, a BCRP and P-gp relay overexpression induced by Olaparib in TNBL SUM1315 cell line may be involved in resistance to PARP inhibitor Olaparib. Our results further suggest that this resistance mechanism may be overpassed if a cytotoxic and stable intracellular level of the drug can be maintained. As Olaparib adverse effects are moderate and generally well manageable, this strategy could be adapted to clinical settings and foster the optimization of TNBL Olaparib treatment regimen.

Methods

Chemicals and Reagents. All chemicals, unless otherwise noted, were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (France) and were used as received without further purification. Olaparib was obtained from Sequoia Research Products (Pangbourne, UK). Sulforhodamine B (SRB) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (France). All chemicals and solvents used were of HPLC or analytical-reagent grade, and water was purified using Milli-Q gradient A10 (Merck Millipore, UK). All the solvents were filtered through a 0.45μm Durapore PVDF membrane filter (Merck Millipore, UK) before being used in the chromatographic system. DMSO was of molecular biology grade.

SUM1315 Cell Culture. SUM1315 cells were obtained from Asterand (Royston, UK) and maintained by weekly serial passage in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C. Cells were cultured in Ham's F12 medium (GIBCOTM, France) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, 10 mM HEPES buffer, 20 μ g/mL gentamicin, 10ng/mL EGF and 4μg/mL insulin.

Preparation of Olaparib solutions and cell culture exposure. Olaparib was dissolved in DMSO as a stock solution at 50mM. For each experiment, 250 000 cells/ml were first seeded in 5ml of culture medium and incubated at 37 °C during 24h for cell adhesion to the flask. The cell cultures were then exposed to 50μM Olaparib during 1.5h, 3h, 4.5h, 6h, 15h and 24h. For each Olaparib concentration studied, the required volume of Olaparib was removed from the Olaparib stock solution. This was then supplemented with the appropriate volume of DMSO, so that the final DMSO concentration remained always constant *i.e.* 0.1%, in all analyzed cell cultures. In parallel, two controls (i) untreated cells and (ii) cells treated with 0.1% DMSO were carried out.

Cell Viability Assay. SUM1315 cell viability was measured using the Sulforhodamine B (SRB) colorimetric assay²⁹. For this, 5000 cells/well in 200 μ L of culture medium were seeded in 96-well microplates and incubated for 24h at 37°C and 5% CO₂, for cells adhesion to the well. In each microplate, a range of controls (untreated cells), controls 0.1% DMSO was performed. In a first series of assays, increasing Olaparib concentrations (20, 40, 50, 60, 80 and 100μ M) were tested and the cell viability was measured after 24h of incubation. In another series of assays, a fixed $50 \mu M$ Olaparib concentration was chosen and the cell viability was measured after various incubation times (24h, 48h and 120h). The final DMSO concentration in each well was always 0.1%. After treatment, cell culture was fixed with trichloroacetic acid at the final concentration of 10% per well. After 1h incubation at 4 °C, the medium was removed. Cells were washed three times with distilled water. Then, cells were stained by adding 50μL of 0.4% SRB in 1% acetic acid solution, for 15min. After three washing with 1% acetic acid, each well was treated with 200μL of Tris base (10mM; pH 10.5) and the plate was stirred 30min for homogenization at room temperature. The absorbance of each well was measured at 540nm using a microplate reader (Multiskan FC, Thermo Scientific). The viability rate of control cells was determined by the ratio of 0.1% DMSO treated cells/untreated cells. For Olaparib treated cells, viability rate was determined for each concentration by calculating the ratio Olaparib treated cells/0.1% DMSO treated cells.

MDR expression studies by Western blot analysis. For each experiment, with or without Olaparib treatment, SUM1315 cell cultures pellet were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$, trypsinized (1X trypsin) and re-suspended in culture medium (10 mL) before centrifugation at 4 °C (250 g, 10min). Cell lysates were prepared from cell pellets using a lysis buffer of 20mM tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 6 mM 2-mercaptoethanol $(100 \mu L)$ supplemented with 1% protease inhibitor (Protease Inhibitor Cocktail P8340, Sigma-Aldrich, France) in conjunction with sonication $(5 \times 3s)$ at 4 °C. Protein concentration was determined using a protein assay kit (Coomassie Plus – The Better Bradford AssayTM Kit, Thermo Scientific, France) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Western blotting was then carried out according to Valton *et al.*, 2013¹⁸. Briefly, each lysate was diluted in a sample buffer (1.25M tris-HCl, 20% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 20% glycerol, 2M dithiothreitol, 0.5% bromophenol blue, pH 6.8) to a protein concentration of $3μg/μL$, and $20μL$ of each diluted sample were electrophoresed. P-gp and BCRP were probed using the C219 monoclonal antibody (Calbiochem, Millipore, France) at a 1:500 dilution and the MAB4146 monoclonal antibody (Calbiochem, Millipore, France) at a 1:400 dilution, respectively, and a goat anti-mouse secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Promega, France) at a 1:10000 dilution. The α -tubulin loading control was probed using the DM1A mouse monoclonal antibody at a 1:100000 dilution and the same HRP conjugated secondary antibody. Immune complexes were visualized by chemiluminescence using the ECL2-enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Pierce, Thermo Scientific, France) according to the manufacturer's specifications. Densitometric analyses were performed using Quantity-One software (version 4.6.6, Bio-Rad, France). P-gp or BCRP expression was determined by calculating the ratio of the density of the corresponding band over the density of the α -tubulin band. This ratio was expressed in arbitrary unit (a.u.).

High performance liquid chromatography for intracellular Olaparib quantification. For intracellular Olaparib quantification, analytical HPLC experiments were performed on a HP 1100 series LC system equipped with an online degasser, a quaternary pump, a column oven, a photodiode array detector and an autosampler. Solvents A (H₂O with 0.1% v/v TFA) and B (acetonitrile with 0.1% v/v TFA) used for the preparation of the mobile phase were filtered before use. The temperature of the column oven was kept at 25 °C. A gradient elution was applied at a flow rate of 0.8mL/min through a reversed-phase Nova-Pak® 4^μm C18 column (150× 3.9mm; Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) with an additional Nova-Pak® guard column. The following gradient was employed: $0-11$ min, $5-80\%$ B; $11-13$ min, 80% B; $13-15$ min, $80-5\%$ B; $15-19$ min, 20% , $20\,\mu$ L were injected into the HPLC system. The photodiode array detection was carried out from 200 to 380nm. Olaparib was detected at 218nm and quantified by measuring the area under the curve (AUC) of the corresponding chromatographic peak at a retention time of 6.4min. Results are expressed in percentage of the highest AUC value and the purity of the peak was assessed by comparing the UV spectrum (200–380nm) with the one obtained with a 50μM standard solution of Olaparib in acetonitrile. HP Chemstation software (version B.04.03-SP1, Agilent Technologies, France) was used for acquisition of chromatograms and integration data.

SUM1315 cell culture (250 000 cells/mL) was treated with 50μM Olaparib during 1h, 2h, 3h, 6h, 15h and 24h). After each incubation time, the culture medium was removed and stored at 4 °C for analysis. Cells were subsequently washed with ice-cold PBS $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ and then lysed by scraping in acetonitrile (2mL) in conjunction with sonication (5 \times 3s). After centrifugation of the samples at 4 °C (350 g, 10 min), the supernatant was filtered through a $0.45 \mu m$ PVDF membrane filter and pipetted into a 1mL autosampler vial for injection.

For each experiment, the concentration of Olaparib in the cell culture medium was also determined. The culture medium was diluted (1:2) with acetonitrile and the mixture was subsequently stirred and centrifuged at $4^{\circ}C$ (350 g, 10 min). The supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μ m PVDF membrane filter and pipetted into a 1mL autosampler vial for injection.

Data analysis. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Results are presented as means +/**−** standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed with Microsoft Excel using a two-sided Student's *t* test. p< 0.05 was considered as significant.

References

- 1. Badve, S. *et al.* Basal-like and triple-negative breast cancers: a critical review with an emphasis on the implications for pathologists and oncologists. *Mod Pathol* **24,** 157–167 (2011).
- 2. Dawson, S. J., Provenzano, E. & Caldas, C. Triple negative breast cancers: clinical and prognostic implications. *Eur J Cancer* **45 Suppl 1**, 27–40 (2009).
- 3. Haupt, B., Ro, J. Y. & Schwartz, M. R. Basal-like breast carcinoma: a phenotypically distinct entity. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* **134,** 130–133 (2010).
- 4. D'Andrea, A. D. Susceptibility pathways in Fanconi's anemia and breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* **362,** 1909–1919 (2010).
- 5. Penault-Llorca, F. & Arnould, L. Adjuvant breast cancer: which clinical and pathological characteristics in 2007? *Bull Cancer* **97,** 1421–1426 (2010).
- 6. Annunziata, C. M. & Bates, S. E. PARP inhibitors in BRCA1/BRCA2 germline mutation carriers with ovarian and breast cancer. *F1000 Biol Rep* **2** (2010), doi: 10.3410/B2-10.
- 7. Drew, Y. & Plummer, R. PARP inhibitors in cancer therapy: two modes of attack on the cancer cell widening the clinical applications. *Drug Resist Updat* **12,** 153–156 (2009).
- 8. Duffy, M. J., McGowan, P. M. & Crown, J. Targeted therapy for triple-negative breast cancer: where are we? *Int J Cancer* **131,** 2471–2477 (2012).
- 9. Hoeijmakers, J. H. DNA damage, aging, and cancer. *N Engl J Med* **361,** 1475–1485 (2009).
- 10. Tutt, A. & Ashworth, A. The relationship between the roles of BRCA genes in DNA repair and cancer predisposition. *Trends Mol Med* **8,** 571–576 (2002).
- 11. Lord, C. J. & Ashworth, A. Targeted therapy for cancer using PARP inhibitors. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **8,** 363–369 (2008).
- 12. ClinicalTrials.gov. A service of the U.S. National Institutes of Health. Available at : [https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=olaparib&pg=1) results?term=[olaparib&pg](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=olaparib&pg=1)=1. (Accessed: 06th May 2015).
- 13. Bouwman, P. & Jonkers, J. Molecular pathways: how can BRCA-mutated tumors become resistant to PARP inhibitors? *Clin Cancer Res* **20,** 540–547 (2014).
- 14. Kathawala, R. J., Gupta, P., Ashby, C. R., Jr. & Chen, Z. S. The modulation of ABC transporter-mediated multidrug resistance in cancer: A review of the past decade. *Drug Resist Updat* **18C,** 1–17 (2015).
- 15. Lord, C. J. & Ashworth, A. Mechanisms of resistance to therapies targeting BRCA-mutant cancers. *Nat Med* **19,** 1381–1388 (2013)
- 16. Rottenberg, S. *et al.* High sensitivity of BRCA1-deficient mammary tumors to the PARP inhibitor AZD2281 alone and in combination with platinum drugs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **105,** 17079–17084 (2008).
- 17. Oplustilova, L. *et al.* Evaluation of candidate biomarkers to predict cancer cell sensitivity or resistance to PARP-1 inhibitor treatment. *Cell Cycle* **11,** 3837–3850 (2012).
- 18. Valton, E., Amblard, C., Wawrzyniak, I., Penault-Llorca, F. & Bamdad, M. P-gp expression in brown trout erythrocytes: evidence of a detoxification mechanism in fish erythrocytes. *Sci Rep* **3,** 3422 (2013).
- 19. Sarkadi, B., Homolya, L., Szakacs, G. & Varadi, A. Human multidrug resistance ABCB and ABCG transporters: participation in a chemoimmunity defense system. *Physiol Rev* **86,** 1179–1236 (2006).
- 20. De Laurentiis, M. *et al.* Treatment of triple negative breast cancer (TNBC): current options and future perspectives. *Cancer Treat Rev* **36 Suppl 3,** S80–S86 (2010).
- 21. Pal, S. K. & Mortimer, J. Triple-negative breast cancer: novel therapies and new directions. *Maturitas* **63,** 269–274 (2009).
- 22. Tangutoori, S., Baldwin, P. & Sridhar, S. PARP inhibitors: A new era of targeted therapy. *Maturitas* **81(1),** 5–9 (2015).
- 23. Chekhun, V. F., Zhylchuk, V. E., Lukyanova, N. Y., Vorontsova, A. L. & Kudryavets, Y. I. Expression of drug resistance proteins in triple-receptor-negative tumors as the basis of individualized therapy of the breast cancer patients. *Exp Oncol* **31(2),** 123–124 (2009).
- 24. Samanta, S., Pursell, B. & Mercurio, A. M. IMP3 protein promotes chemoresistance in breast cancer cells by regulating breast cancer resistance protein (ABCG2) expression. *J Biol Chem* **288,** 12569–12573 (2013).
- 25. Britton, K. M. *et al.* Breast cancer, side population cells and ABCG2 expression. *Cancer Lett* **323,** 97–105 (2012).
- 26. Durmus, S. *et al.* Breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) and P-glycoprotein (P-GP/ABCB1) restrict oral availability and brain accumulation of the PARP inhibitor rucaparib (AG-014699). *Pharm Res* **32,** 37–46 (2015).
- 27. Jaspers, J. E. *et al.* Loss of 53BP1 causes PARP inhibitor resistance in Brca1-mutated mouse mammary tumors. *Cancer Discov* **3,** 68–81 (2013).
- 28. Lawlor, D. *et al.* PARP Inhibitors as P-glyoprotein Substrates. *J Pharm Sci* **103,** 1913–1920 (2014).
- 29. Vichai, V. & Kirtikara, K. Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxicity screening. *Nat Protoc* **1,** 1112–1116 (2006).

Author Contributions

M.B., P.D., F.P.L. and E.M. conceived and designed the study; R.D., P.D., C.o.A. and C.V. performed the experimental work; R.D., P.D. M.B., C.A. and E.M. analyzed the data; F.K. performed statistical analysis; P.D., M.B. and R.D. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Dufour, R. *et al.* BCRP and P-gp relay overexpression in triple negative basallike breast cancer cell line: a prospective role in resistance to Olaparib. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 12670; doi: 10.1038/ srep12670 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>