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#### Abstract

Tropical Differential Algebraic Geometry considers difficult or even intractable problems in Differential Equations and tries to extract information on their solutions from a restricted structure of the input. The Fundamental Theorem of Tropical Differential Algebraic Geometry and its extensions state that the support of power series solutions of systems of ordinary differential equations (with formal power series coefficients over an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) can be obtained either, by solving a so-called tropicalized differential system, or by testing monomial-freeness of the associated initial ideals. Tropicalized differential equations work on a completely different algebraic structure which may help in theoretical and computational questions.

We show here that both of these methods can be generalized to the case of systems of partial differential equations, this is, one can go either with the solution of tropicalized systems, or test monomial-freeness of the ideal generated by the initials when looking for supports of power series solutions of systems of differential equations, regardless the (finite) number of derivatives. The key are the vertex sets of Newton polytopes, upon which relies the definition of both tropical vanishing condition and the initial of a differential polynomial.


Keywords: Differential Algebra, Tropical Differential Algebraic Geometry, Power Series

Solutions, Newton Polytope, Arc Spaces, Tropical Differential Equations, Initial of Differential Polynomials.

## 1. Introduction

Given an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero $K$, we consider the partial differential ring ( $R_{m, n}, D$ ), where

$$
R_{m, n}=K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}
$$

and $D=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k}}: k=1, \ldots, m\right)$ for $n, m \geq 1$ (see Section 2 for definitions). Up to now, tropical differential algebra has been limited to the study of the relation between the set of solutions $\operatorname{Sol}(G) \subseteq K[[t]]^{n}$ of differential ideals $G$ in $R_{1, n}$ and their corresponding tropicalizations, which are certain polynomials $p$ with coefficients in a tropical semiring $\mathbb{T}_{1}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup\{\infty\}\right.$, +, min $)$ with a set of solutions $\operatorname{Sol}(p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n}$, or with the additional characterisation of the differential version of the fundamental theorem in terms of monomial containment of tropical initial ideals. See (Grigoriev, 2017), Aroca et al., 2016) and (Hu and Gao, 2020).

In sum, the solutions $S \in \operatorname{Sol}(p)$ can be found by looking at the evaluations $p(S) \in \mathbb{T}_{1}$ where the usual tropical vanishing condition holds, or, by checking the monomial-freeness of a certain tropical initial ideal (Hu and Gao, 2020).

In this paper, which is an extended version of (Falkensteiner et al. 2020), we consider the case $m>1$ of these two methods. On this account, we work with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, which requires new techniques. We show that considering the Newton polytopes and their vertex sets is the appropriate method for formulating and proving our generalization of the Fundamental Theorem of Tropical Differential Algebraic Geometry. We remark that in the case of $m=1$ the definitions and properties presented here coincide with the corresponding ones from both (Aroca et al. 2016) and (Hu and Gao, 2020, Theorem 1, Lemma 3), therefore this work can indeed be seen as a generalization.

The problem of finding power series solutions of systems of partial differential equations has been extensively studied in the literature, but is very limited in the general case. In fact, we know from (Denef and Lipshitz, 1984, Theorem 4.11) that there is already no algorithm for deciding whether a given linear partial differential equation with polynomial coefficients has a solution or not. The Fundamental Theorem, as it is stated in here, helps to find necessary conditions for the support of possible solutions.

The last two sections of this paper contain the additional material, in which we extend the notion of initial ideals of a differential ideal to the partial case ( $m>1$ ), and we present the corresponding extension of the Fundamental Theorem about monomial containment.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we cover the necessary material from partial differential algebra. In Section 3 we introduce the semiring of supports $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, the semiring of vertex sets $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ and the vertex homomorphism Vert: $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{m}$. In Section 4 we introduce the support and the tropicalization maps. In Section 5 we define the set of tropical

[^0]differential polynomials $\mathbb{T}_{m, n}$, the notion of tropical solutions for them, and the tropicalization morphism trop: $R_{m, n} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{m, n}$. The main result is Theorem 25 , which is proven in Section 6 The proof we give here differs essentially from the one in Aroca et al. 2016) for the case of $m=1$. In Section 7 we give some examples to illustrate our results. The last part of the paper extends the previous work Falkensteiner et al. (2020). In Section 8 we define and prove basic properties of the initial part of a differential polynomial and of the initial ideal of a differential ideal. In Section 9 we extend the Fundamental Theorem with an extra characterization in terms of these initial ideals. The main result is Theorem 45, in which we present in a unified form the three descriptions of the same class of objects.

In the following we will use the conventions that for a set $S$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}(S)$ its power set, and by $K$ we denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

## 2. Partial differential algebra

Here we recall the preliminaries for partial differential algebraic geometry. The reference book for differential algebra is (Kolchin, 1973).

A partial differential ring is a pair $(R, D)$ consisting of a commutative ring $R$ with unit and a set $D=\left\{\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{m}\right\}$ of $m>1$ derivations which act on $R$ and are pairwise commutative. We denote by $\Theta$ the free commutative monoid generated by $D$. If $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ is an element of the monoid $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m},+, 0\right)$, we denote $\Theta(J)=\delta_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots \delta_{m}^{j_{m}}$ the derivative operator defined by $J$. If $\varphi$ is any element of $R$, then $\Theta(J) \varphi$ is the element of $R$ obtained by application of the derivative operator $\Theta(J)$ on $\varphi$.

Let $(R, D)$ be a partial differential ring with $R \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be $n$ differential indeterminates. The monoid $\Theta$ acts on the differential indeterminates, giving the infinite set of the derivatives which are denoted by $x_{i, J}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$. Given any $1 \leq k \leq m$ and any derivative $x_{i, J}$, the action of $\delta_{k}$ on $x_{i, J}$ is defined by $\delta_{k}\left(x_{i, J}\right)=x_{i, J+e_{k}}$ where $e_{k}$ is the $m$-dimensional vector whose $k$-th coordinate is 1 and all other coordinates are zero. One denotes $R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ the ring of the polynomials, with coefficients in $R$, the indeterminates of which are the derivatives. More formally, $R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ consists of all $R$-linear combinations of differential monomials, where a differential monomial in $n$ independent variables of order less than or equal to $r$ is an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M}:=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\\|J\|_{\infty} \leq r}} x_{i, J}^{M_{i, J}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m},\|J\|_{\infty}:=\max _{i}\left\{j_{i}\right\}=\max (J)$ and $M=\left(M_{i, J}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n \times(r+1)^{m}}$.
The pair $\left(R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, D\right)$ then constitutes a differential polynomial ring. A differential polynomial $P \in R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ induces an evaluation map from $R^{n}$ to $R$ given by

$$
P: R^{n} \rightarrow R,\left.\quad\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \mapsto P\right|_{x_{i, J}=\Theta(J) \varphi_{i}},
$$

where $\left.P\right|_{x_{i, J}=\Theta(J) \varphi_{i}}$ is the element of $R$ obtained by substituting $\Theta(J) \varphi_{i}$ for $x_{i, J}$.
A zero or solution of $P \in R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is an $n$-tuple $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$ such that $P(\varphi)=0$. An $n$-tuple $\varphi \in R^{n}$ is a solution of a system of differential polynomials $\Sigma \subseteq R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ if it is a solution of every element of $\Sigma$. We denote by $\operatorname{Sol}(\Sigma)$ the solution set of the system $\Sigma$.

A differential ideal of $R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is an ideal of that ring which is stable under the action of $\Theta$. A differential ideal is said to be perfect if it is equal to its radical. If $\Sigma \subseteq R\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, one
denotes by $[\Sigma]$ the differential ideal generated by $\Sigma$ and by $\{\Sigma\}$ the perfect differential ideal generated by $\Sigma$, which is defined as the intersection of all perfect differential ideals containing $\Sigma$. For $m, n \geq 1$, we will denote by $R_{m}$ the partial differential ring

$$
\left(K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right], D\right)
$$

where $D=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m}}\right\}$, and by $R_{m, n}$ the partial differential ring $\left(R_{m}\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, D\right)$. The proof of the following proposition can be found in (Boulier and Haiech, 2019).

Proposition 1. For any $\Sigma \subseteq R_{m, n}$, there exists a finite subset $\Phi$ of $\Sigma$ such that $\operatorname{Sol}(\Sigma)=\operatorname{Sol}(\Phi)$.

## 3. The semirings of supports and vertex sets

In this part we introduce and give some properties on our main idempotent semirings, namely the semiring of supports $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, the semiring of vertex sets $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ and the map Vert: $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{m}$ which is a homomorphism of semirings.

Recall that a commutative semiring $S$ is a tuple $(S,+, \times, 0,1)$ such that $(S,+, 0)$ and $(S, \times, 1)$ are commutative monoids and additionally, for all $a, b, c \in S$ it holds that

1. $a \times(b+c)=a \times b+a \times c$;
2. $0 \times a=0$.

A semiring is called idempotent if $a+a=a$ for all $a \in S$. A map $f: S_{1} \longrightarrow S_{2}$ between semirings is a morphism if it induces morphisms at the level of monoids.

For $m \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ the idempotent semiring whose elements are the subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ equipped with the union $X \cup Y$ as sum and the Minkowski sum $X+Y=\{x+y: x \in X, y \in Y\}$ as product. We call it the semiring of supports. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, the notation $n X$ will indicate $\underbrace{X+\cdots+X}_{n \text { times }}$. By convention we set $0 X=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$.

We define the Newton polytope $\mathcal{N}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ of $X \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ as the convex hull of $X+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$. We call $x \in X$ a vertex if $x \notin \mathcal{N}(X \backslash\{x\})$, and we denote by Vert $X$ the set of vertices of $X$.

The following lemma shows that the subset relation between Newton polytopes are preserved in a weaker form, which will be needed later in the extension of the Fundamental Theorem. As a consequence we will obtain that Newton polytopes are equal if and only if its vertices are equal.

Lemma 2. Let $S, T \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}(S)$. Then $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \operatorname{Vert}(S) \subset \operatorname{Vert}(T)$. Consequently, if $\mathcal{N}(T)=\mathcal{N}(S)$, then Vert $T=\operatorname{Vert} S$.

Proof. Let $s \in$ Vert $S$ and we assume that $s \in \mathcal{N}(T \backslash\{s\})$. Then there are $t_{i} \in T \backslash\{s\}, w_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ and positive $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ adding up to 1 such that

$$
s=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left(t_{i}+w_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $t_{i} \in \mathcal{N}(S)$, we can write the $t_{i}$ as

$$
t_{i}=\sum_{j} \mu_{i, j}\left(s_{i, j}+z_{i, j}\right),
$$

where $s_{i, j} \in S, z_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ and $\mu_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}$ are positive and adding up to 1 . Thus,

$$
s=\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i, j}\left(s_{i, j}+z_{i, j}+w_{i}\right)=\sum_{i, j} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i, j} s_{i, j}+v,
$$

where $v$ is a vector with non-negative coordinates. By excluding in the sum those summands $s_{i, j}$ which are equal to $s$, we obtain

$$
s=c s+\sum_{\substack{i, j \\ s, j \neq s}} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i, j} s_{i, j}+v
$$

where $c=\sum_{i, j: s_{i, j}=s} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i, j} \in[0,1]$. If $c<1$ we can solve the equation above for $s$ to get

$$
s=\sum_{\substack{i, j \\ s_{i, j} \neq s}} \frac{\lambda_{i} \mu_{i, j}}{1-c} s_{i, j}+\frac{v}{1-c} .
$$

The coefficients for the $s_{i, j}$ are positive and sum to 1 , so the summation in the right hand side gives an element of $\mathcal{N}(S \backslash\{s\})$. Since $\mathcal{N}(S \backslash\{s\})$ is closed under adding elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, and the coordinates of $v /(1-c)$ are non-negative, we then find that $s \in \mathcal{N}(S \backslash\{s\})$ in contradicting to the assumption that $s$ is a vertex of $S$. If $c=1$, then all $s_{i, j}$ are equal to $s$ and we get $s=s+v$. Therefore, $v=0$ and $t_{i}=s$ for each $i$, and in particular $s \in T \backslash\{s\}$, which is a contradiction. So we conclude that $s \notin \mathcal{N}(T \backslash\{s\})$ and $s$ is a vertex of $T$.

For the second part of this lemma we obtain from $\mathcal{N}(T) \cap \operatorname{Vert}(S) \subset \operatorname{Vert}(T)$ and $\operatorname{Vert}(S) \subset$ $\mathcal{N}(S)=\mathcal{N}(T)$ that $\operatorname{Vert}(S) \subset \operatorname{Vert}(T)$. The relation $\operatorname{Vert}(T) \subset \operatorname{Vert}(S)$ follows similarly and completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let $X \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$. Then $\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert} X)=\mathcal{N}(X)$.
Proof. By Dickson's lemma (Cox et al. 2007, chap. 2, Thm 5), there is a finite subset $S \subseteq X$ with $X \subseteq S+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$. For such $S$, it holds that $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}(S)$ and by Lemma 2 , we get Vert $X=$ Vert $S$. Therefore, replacing $X$ by $S$, we may assume that $X$ is finite.

We proceed by induction on $\# X$. Indeed, if $X=\emptyset$, the statement is obvious. Let $X$ be an arbitrary finite set. If every element of $X$ is a vertex of $X$, then $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}($ Vert $X)$ is trivially true. Else, take $x \in X \backslash$ Vert $X$ and let $Y=X \backslash\{x\}$. Then $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}(Y)$ by definition, so applying Lemma 2 again we obtain Vert $X=$ Vert $Y$. Since $\# Y<\# X$, we may apply the induction hypothesis to $Y$, and get that $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}(Y)=\mathcal{N}($ Vert $Y)=\mathcal{N}($ Vert $X)$.

Corollary 4. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ we have Vert $X=$ Vert $Y$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}(Y)$.
Lemma 5. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Vert}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) \cup \operatorname{Vert}(Y))=\operatorname{Vert}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) \cup Y)=\operatorname{Vert}(X \cup \operatorname{Vert}(Y))=\operatorname{Vert}(X \cup Y)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Vert}(\operatorname{Vert}(X)+\operatorname{Vert}(Y))=\operatorname{Vert}(\operatorname{Vert}(X)+Y)=\operatorname{Vert}(X+\operatorname{Vert}(Y))=\operatorname{Vert}(X+Y)
$$

Proof. Let $*$ be either $\cup$ or + . We have the following diagram of inclusions


We show that these four sets generate the same Newton polytope. For this, it is enough to show that $X * Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) * \operatorname{Vert}(Y))$.

For $*=\cup$, we have $X \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert} X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) \cup \operatorname{Vert}(Y))$ and similarly $Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) \cup$ $\operatorname{Vert}(Y))$. Hence, $X \cup Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert}(X) \cup \operatorname{Vert}(Y))$

Now suppose that $*=+$. Let $t \in X+Y$, and write $t=x+y$ with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Using the inclusions $X \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert} X)$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert} Y)$, there are $x_{i} \in \operatorname{Vert}(X), y_{j} \in \operatorname{Vert}(Y)$, $u_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ and $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}=1$ and $\sum_{j} \beta_{j}=1$ such that

$$
t=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}\left(x_{i}+u_{i}\right)+\sum_{j} \beta_{j}\left(y_{j}+v_{j}\right) .
$$

Rewriting this gives

$$
t=\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i} \beta_{j}\left(x_{i}+y_{j}+u_{i}+v_{j}\right) .
$$

For each pair $i, j$, the expression between parentheses is an element of $\operatorname{Vert}(X)+\operatorname{Vert}(Y)+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the coefficients are non-negative and sum up to 1 . This shows that $t \in \mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Vert}(X)+\operatorname{Vert}(Y))$, which ends the proof of the inclusions.

The next result shall be needed later.
Lemma 6. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, and let $t \in \operatorname{Vert}(X+Y)$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ and unique $y \in Y$ such that $t=x+y$. Moreover, $x$ and $y$ are vertices of $X$ and $Y$ respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 5 we have $\operatorname{Vert}(X+Y) \subset \operatorname{Vert}(X)+\operatorname{Vert}(Y)$. Therefore, $t$ is the sum of a vertex of $X$ and a vertex of $Y$. Thus it only remains to prove uniqueness. Therefore, suppose that $t=x+y=x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}$ with $x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and $y, y^{\prime} \in Y$. Suppose $x \neq x^{\prime}$. Then also $y \neq y^{\prime}$, and $x+y^{\prime} \neq t \neq x^{\prime}+y$. So we have that $x^{\prime}+y \in X+Y \backslash\{t\}$ and also $x+y^{\prime} \in X+Y \backslash\{t\}$. But then

$$
t=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{\prime}+y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x+y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{N}(X+Y \backslash\{t\})
$$

contradicting that $t$ is a vertex of $X+Y$.
Example 7. An element $X \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{m}\right)$ generates a monomial ideal which contains a unique minimal basis $B(X)$ (see e.g. (Cox et al., 2007). In general, $\operatorname{Vert}(X) \subset B(X)$ and this inclusion may be strict. Consider the set $X=\left\{A_{1}=(1,4), A_{2}=(2,3), A_{3}=(3,3), A_{4}=(4,1)\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$. The Newton polytope $\mathcal{N}(X)$ can be visualized as in Figure 1 and $\operatorname{Vert}(X)=\left\{A_{1}, A_{4}\right\}$ which is a strict subset of $B(X)=\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{4}\right\}$.


Figure 1: The Newton polytope of $X$. The vertex set of $X$ is $\left\{A_{1}, A_{4}\right\}$.

We deduce from Corollary 4 that the map Vert: $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ is a projection operator in the sense that Vert ${ }^{2}=$ Vert.
Definition 8. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ the image of the operator Vert, and call its elements vertex sets. For $S, T \in \mathbb{T}_{m}$, we define

$$
S \oplus T=\operatorname{Vert}(S \cup T) \quad \text { and } \quad S \odot T=\operatorname{Vert}(S+T)
$$

Corollary 9. The set $\left(\mathbb{T}_{m}, \oplus, \odot\right)$ is a commutative idempotent semiring, with the zero element $\emptyset$ and the unit element $\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$.

Proof. The only things to check are associativity of $\oplus$, associativity of $\odot$ and the distributive property. The associativity of $\oplus$ and $\odot$ follows from the equalities

$$
S \oplus(T \oplus U)=\operatorname{Vert}(S \cup T \cup U)=(S \oplus T) \oplus U
$$

and

$$
S \odot(T \odot U)=\operatorname{Vert}(S+T+U)=(S \odot T) \odot U
$$

which are consequences of Lemma5. The distributivity follows from

$$
S \odot(T \oplus U)=\operatorname{Vert}((S+T) \cup U)=\operatorname{Vert}((S+T) \cup(S+U))=(S \odot T) \oplus(S \odot U)
$$

Corollary 10. The map Vert is a homomorphism of semirings.
Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 5 and Corollary 9

## 4. The support map and the tropicalization map

We consider the differential ring $R_{m}$ from Section 2, and the semirings $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right), \mathbb{T}_{m}$ from Section 3 In this part we introduce the support and the tropicalization maps, which are related by the following commutative diagram


If $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, we will denote by $t^{J}$ the monomial $t_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots t_{m}^{j_{m}}$. An element of $R_{m}$ is of the form $\varphi=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} a_{J} t^{J}$ with $a_{J} \in K$.

Definition 11. The support of $\varphi=\sum a_{J} t^{J} \in R_{m}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=\left\{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m} \mid a_{J} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

For a fixed integer $n$, the map which sends $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in R_{m}^{n} \operatorname{to} \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{1}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{n}\right)\right) \in$ $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ will also be denoted by Supp. The set of supports of a subset $T \subseteq R_{m}^{n}$ is its image under the map Supp:

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(T)=\{\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in T\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}
$$

Definition 12. The map that sends each series in $R_{m}$ to the vertex set of its support is called the tropicalization map

$$
\begin{array}{lccc}
\text { trop: } & R_{m} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{T}_{m} \\
& \varphi & \mapsto & \operatorname{Vert}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 13. The tropicalization map is a non-degenerate valuation in the sense of (Giansiracusa and Giansiracusa 2016. Definition 2.5.1). This is, it satisfies

1. $\operatorname{trop}(0)=\emptyset, \operatorname{trop}( \pm 1)=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$,
2. $\operatorname{trop}(\varphi \cdot \psi)=\operatorname{trop}(\varphi) \odot \operatorname{trop}(\psi)$,
3. $\operatorname{trop}(\varphi+\psi) \oplus \operatorname{trop}(\varphi) \oplus \operatorname{trop}(\psi)=\operatorname{trop}(\varphi) \oplus \operatorname{trop}(\psi)$,
4. $\operatorname{trop}(\varphi)=\emptyset$ implies that $\varphi=0$.

Proof. The first point is clear. For the second point, note that the Newton polytope has the well-known homomorphism-type property (see (Sturmfels, 1996, Lemma 2.2))

$$
\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi \cdot \psi))=\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))+\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(\psi))=\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)+\operatorname{Supp}(\psi)) .
$$

Hence, the vertices of the left hand side coincide with the vertices of the right hand side. This gives $\operatorname{trop}(\varphi \cdot \psi)=\operatorname{Vert}(\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)+\operatorname{Supp}(\psi)))$. That this is equal to $\operatorname{trop}(\varphi) \odot \operatorname{trop}(\psi)$ follows from Lemma 5 The third point follows from the observation that $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi+\psi) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\psi)$ and Corollary 10 . The last point follows from the fact that the empty set is the only set with empty Newton polytope.

Remark 14. It is important to remark that for $m>1$, the valuation trop is not a classical (Krull) valuation, since the idempotent sum operation of the semiring $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ is not induced by a total order. Thus we need to develop new methods to work with this formalism.
Definition 15. For $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, we define the tropical derivative operator $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J): \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ as

$$
\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) T:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\left(t_{1}-j_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}-j_{m}\right) & \begin{array}{c}
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in T \\
t_{i}-j_{i} \geq 0 \text { for all } i
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

For example, if $T$ is the grey part in Figure 2 left and $J=(1,2)$, then informally $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) T$ is a translation of $T$ by the vector $-J$ and then keeping only the non-negative part. It is represented by the grey part in Figure 2 right.

Since $K$ is of characteristic zero, for all $\varphi \in R_{m}$ and $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Supp}(\Theta(J) \varphi)=\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) \operatorname{Supp}(\varphi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a differential monomial $E_{M}$ as in (1) and $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. We can now define the evaluation of $E_{M}$ at $S$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{M}(S)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\\|J\|_{\infty} \leq r}} M_{i, J} \Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) S_{i} \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: The operator $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J)$ for $J=(1,2)$ applied to $T$.

Lemma 16. Given $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in R_{m}^{n}$ and a differential monomial $E_{M}$, we have $\operatorname{trop}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right)=$ $\operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))\right)$.

Proof. By applying Vert to equation (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta(J) \varphi_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) \operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the multiplicativity of trop, equation (4) and Corollary 10 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trop}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right) & =\bigodot_{i, J} \operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta(J) \varphi_{i}\right)^{\odot M_{i, J}} \\
& =\bigodot_{i, J} \operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\operatorname{trop}}(J) \operatorname{Supp}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right)^{\odot M_{i, J}} \\
& =\operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 17. If $P=\sum_{M} \alpha_{M} E_{M} \in R_{m, n}$ and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in R_{m}^{n}$, then we can consider the upper support $U S(P, \varphi)$ of $P$ at $\varphi$ as

$$
U S(P, \varphi)=\bigcup_{M}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\alpha_{M}\right)+\operatorname{Supp}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right)\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)
$$

We now compute the vertex set of $U S(P, \varphi)$ by applying the operation Vert and Corollary 10 to the above expression to find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Vert}(U S(P, \varphi))=\bigoplus_{M} \operatorname{trop}\left(\alpha_{M}\right) \odot \operatorname{trop}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right) \\
=\bigoplus_{M} \operatorname{trop}\left(\alpha_{M}\right) \odot \operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

since $\operatorname{trop}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))\right)$ by Lemma 16. This motivates the definition of tropical differential polynomials in the next section.

## 5. Tropical differential polynomials

In this section we define the set of tropical differential polynomials $\mathbb{T}_{m, n}$ and the corresponding tropicalization morphism trop: $R_{m, n} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{m, n}$. Let us remark that in the case of $m=1$ the
definitions and properties presented here coincide with the corresponding ones in (Aroca et al. 2016. Moreover, later in Section 7 we illustrate in Example 29 the reason for the particular definitions given here.

Definition 18. For a set $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$ and a multi-index $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ we define

$$
\operatorname{Val}_{J}(S)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) S\right)
$$

Note that for $\varphi \in R_{m}$ and any multi-index $J$ this means that

$$
\operatorname{Val}_{J}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))=\operatorname{trop}(\Theta(J) \varphi) .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Val}_{J}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))=\emptyset$ if and only if $\Theta(J) \varphi=0$. It follows from Corollary 10 that

$$
\operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(S)\right)=\bigodot_{\substack{1 \leq \leq i \leq n \\\|\leq J\|_{\infty} \leq r}} \operatorname{Val}_{J}\left(S_{i}\right)^{\odot M_{i, J}}
$$

Definition 19. A tropical differential monomial in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of order less or equal to $r$ is an expression of the form

$$
\epsilon_{M}=\bigodot_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\\|J\|_{\infty} \leq r}} x_{i, J}^{\odot M_{i, J}}
$$

where $M=\left(M_{i, J}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n \times(r+1)^{m}}$.
A tropical differential monomial $\epsilon_{M}$ induces an evaluation map from $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ to $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ by

$$
\epsilon_{M}\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(E_{M}(S)\right)=\bigodot_{i, J} \operatorname{Val}_{J}\left(S_{i}\right)^{\oplus M_{i, J}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Val}_{J}\left(S_{i}\right)$ is given in Definition 18 and $E_{M}(S)$ as in (3). Let us recall that, by Corollary 9 , we can also write

$$
\epsilon_{M}\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\sum_{i, J} \operatorname{Val}_{J}\left(S_{i}\right)^{\oplus M_{i, J}}\right) .
$$

Definition 20. A tropical differential polynomial in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of order less or equal to $r$ is an expression of the form

$$
p=p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\bigoplus_{M \in \Delta} a_{M} \odot \epsilon_{M}
$$

where $a_{M} \in \mathbb{T}_{m}, a_{M} \neq \emptyset$ and $\Delta$ is a finite subset of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n \times(r+1)^{m}}$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_{m, n}=$ $\mathbb{T}_{m}\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ the set of tropical differential polynomials.

A tropical differential polynomial $p$ as in Definition 20 induces a map from $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ to $\mathbb{T}_{m}$ by

$$
p(S)=\bigoplus_{M \in \Delta} a_{M} \odot \epsilon_{M}(S)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\bigcup_{M \in \Delta}\left(a_{M}+\epsilon_{M}(S)\right)\right)
$$

The second equality follows again from Corollary 10 A differential polynomial $P \in R_{m, n}$ of order at most $r$ is of the form

$$
P=\sum_{\substack{M \in \Delta \\ 10}} \alpha_{M} E_{M}
$$

where $\Delta$ is a finite subset of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{n \times(r+1)^{m}}, \alpha_{M} \in K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]$ and $E_{M}$ is a differential monomial as in (1). Then the tropicalization of $P$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{trop}(P)=\bigoplus_{M \in \Delta} \operatorname{trop}\left(\alpha_{M}\right) \odot \epsilon_{M} \in \mathbb{T}_{m, n}
$$

where $\epsilon_{M}$ is the tropical differential monomial corresponding to $E_{M}$.
Definition 21. Let $G \subseteq R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal. Its tropicalization $\operatorname{trop}(G)$ is the set of tropical differential polynomials $\{\operatorname{trop}(P) \mid P \in G\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{m, n}$.

Lemma 22. Given a differential monomial $E_{M}$ and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right) \in K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]^{n}$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{trop}\left(E_{M}(\varphi)\right)=\epsilon_{M}(\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi))
$$

Proof. Follows from notations and Lemma 16.
The following tropical vanishing condition is a natural generalization of the case $m=1$, but now the evaluation $p(S)$ consists of a vertex set instead of a single minimum.

Definition 23. Let $p=\bigoplus_{M \in \Delta} a_{M} \odot \epsilon_{M}$ be a tropical differential polynomial. An $n$-tuple $S \in$ $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ is said to be a solution of $p$ if for every $J \in p(S)$ there exists $M_{1}, M_{2} \in \Delta$ with $M_{1} \neq M_{2}$ such that $J \in a_{M_{1}} \odot \epsilon_{M_{1}}(S)$ and $J \in a_{M_{2}} \odot \epsilon_{M_{2}}(S)$. Note that in the particular case of $p(S)=\emptyset, S$ is a solution of $p$.

For a family of differential polynomials $H \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{m, n}, S$ is called a solution of $H$ if and only if $S$ is a solution of every tropical polynomial in $H$. The set of solutions of $H$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Sol}(H)$.

Proposition 24. Let $G$ be a differential ideal in the ring of differential polynomials $R_{m, n}$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Sol}(G)$, then $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi) \in \operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G))$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a solution of $G$ and $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$. Let $P=\sum_{M \in \Delta} \alpha_{M} E_{M} \in G$ and $p=\operatorname{trop}(P)=$ $\bigoplus_{M \in \Delta} a_{M} \odot \epsilon_{M}$, where $a_{M}=\operatorname{trop}\left(\alpha_{M}\right)$. We need to show that $S$ is a solution of $p$. Let $J \in p(S)$ be arbitrary. By the definition of $\oplus$, there is an index $M_{1}$ such that

$$
J \in a_{M_{1}} \odot \epsilon_{M_{1}}(S)
$$

Hence, by Lemma 22, and multiplicative property of trop Lemma 13

$$
J \in \operatorname{Vert}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\alpha_{M_{1}} E_{M_{1}}(\varphi)\right)\right) .
$$

Since $P(\varphi)=0$, there is another index $M_{2} \neq M_{1}$ such that

$$
J \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\alpha_{M_{2}} E_{M_{2}}(\varphi)\right),
$$

because otherwise there would not be cancellation. Since $J$ is a vertex of $p(S)$, it follows that $J$ is a vertex of every subset of $\mathcal{N}(p(S))$ containing $J$ and in particular of $\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\alpha_{M_{2}} E_{M_{2}}(\varphi)\right)\right)$. Therefore,

$$
J \in a_{M_{2}} \odot \epsilon_{M_{2}}(S)
$$

and because $J$ and $P$ were chosen arbitrary, $S$ is a solution of $G$.

## 6. The Fundamental Theorem

Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal. Then Proposition 24 implies that $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G)) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G))$. The main result of this paper is to show that the reverse inclusion holds as well if the base field $K$ is uncountable.

Theorem 25 (Fundamental Theorem). Let $K$ be an uncountable, algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $G$ be a differential ideal in the ring $R_{m, n}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))=\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G)) .
$$

The proof of the Fundamental Theorem will take the rest of the section and is split into several parts. First let us introduce some notations. If $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, we define by $J$ ! the component-wise product $j_{1}!\cdots j_{m}$ !. The bijection between $K_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}}$ and $R_{m}$ given by

$$
\psi: \begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} & \rightarrow & R_{m} \\
\underline{a}=\left(a_{J}\right)_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}}^{m} & \mapsto & \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} \frac{1}{J!} a_{J} t^{J}
\end{array}
$$

allows us to identify points of $R_{m}$ with points of $K_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, if $I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, the mapping $\psi$ has the following property:

$$
\Theta(I) \psi(\underline{a})=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \geq 0}^{m}} \frac{1}{J!} a_{I+J} t^{J}
$$

which implies

$$
\underline{a}=\left(\Theta(I) \psi\left(\left.\underline{a}\right|_{t=0}\right)_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} .\right.
$$

Fix for the rest of the section a finite set of differential polynomials $\Sigma=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\} \subseteq G$ such that $\Sigma$ has the same solution set as $G$ (this is possible by Proposition 1 ). For all $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$ we define

$$
F_{\ell, I}=\left.\left(\Theta(I) P_{\ell}\right)\right|_{t_{1}=\cdots=t_{m}=0} \in K\left[x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n, J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right]
$$

and

$$
A_{\infty}=\left\{\left(a_{i, J}\right) \in K^{n \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)}: F_{\ell, I}\left(a_{i, J}\right)=0 \text { for all } 1 \leq \ell \leq s, I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right\} .
$$

The set $A_{\infty}$ corresponds to the formal power series solutions of the differential system $\Sigma=0$ as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 26. Let $\varphi \in K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]^{n}$ with $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\varphi_{i}=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} \frac{a_{i, J}}{J!} t^{J} .
$$

Then $\varphi$ is a solution of $\Sigma=0$ if and only if $\left(a_{i, J}\right) \in A_{\infty}$.
Proof. This statement follows from formula

$$
P_{\ell}\left(\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}\right)=\sum_{I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}} \frac{F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right)}{I!} t^{I}
$$

which is commonly known as Taylor formula for multivariate formal power series. To prove this formula, first notice that for arbitrary $P \in R_{m, n}$ we have $\left.P(\varphi)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\left.P\right|_{t=0}\right)\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right)$. Applying this to $P=\Theta(I)\left(P_{\ell}\right)$ for fixed $I$ and $\ell$, we find that

$$
\left.\Theta(I)\left(P_{\ell}(\varphi)\right)\right|_{t=0}=\left(\left.\Theta(I)\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right|_{t=0}\right)\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right)=F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right) .
$$

Therefore the coefficient of $t^{I}$ in $P_{\ell}(\varphi)$ is $F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right) / I!$, and this gives the formula above.

For any $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ we define

$$
A_{\infty, S}=\left\{\left(a_{i, J}\right) \in A_{\infty}: a_{i, J}=0 \text { if and only if } J \notin S_{i}\right\} .
$$

This set corresponds to power series solutions of the system $\Sigma=0$ which have support exactly $S$. In particular, $S \in \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))$ if and only if $A_{\infty, S} \neq \emptyset$.

The sets $A_{\infty}$ and $A_{\infty, S}$ refer to infinitely many coefficients. We want to work with a finite approximation of these sets. For this purpose, we make the following definitions. For each integer $k \geq 0$, choose $N_{k} \geq 0$ minimal such that for every $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $\|I\|_{\infty} \leq k$ it holds that

$$
F_{\ell, I} \in K\left[x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n,\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}\right] .
$$

Note that for $k_{1} \leq k_{2}$ it follows that $N_{k_{1}} \leq N_{k_{2}}$. Then we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k}=\left\{\left(a_{i, J}\right) \in K^{n \times\left\{1, \ldots, N_{k}\right\}^{m}}: F_{\ell, I}\left(a_{i, J}\right)=0\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad \text { for all } 1 \leq \ell \leq s,\|I\|_{\infty} \leq k\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A_{k, S}=\left\{\left(a_{i, J}\right) \in A_{k}: a_{i, J}=0 \text { if and only if } J \notin S_{i}\right\}
$$

Proposition 27. Let $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ and $K$ be an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. If $A_{\infty, S}=\emptyset$, then there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $A_{k, S}=\emptyset$.

Proof. Assume that $A_{k, S} \neq \emptyset$ for every $k \geq 0$; we show that this implies $A_{\infty, S} \neq \emptyset$. We follow the strategy of the proof of (Denef and Lipshitz, 1984, Theorem 2.10): first we use the ultrapower construction to construct a larger field $\mathbb{K}$ over which a power series solution with support $S$ exists, and then we show that this implies the existence of a solution with the same support and with coefficients in $K$. For more information on ultrafilters and ultraproducts, the reader may consult (Becker et al. 1979). For each integer $k \geq 0$, choose an element $\left(a_{i, J}^{(k)}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n,\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}} \in A_{k, S}$. Fix a non-principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ and consider the ultrapower $\mathbb{K}$ of $K$ along $\mathcal{U}$. In other words, $\mathbb{K}=\left(\prod_{r \in \mathbb{N}} K\right) / \sim$ where $x \sim y$ for $x=\left(x_{r}\right)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $y=\left(y_{r}\right)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if the set $\left\{r \in \mathbb{N}: x_{r}=y_{r}\right\}$ is in $\mathcal{U}$. We will denote the equivalence class of a sequence ( $x_{r}$ ) by $\left[\left(x_{r}\right)\right]$. We consider $\mathbb{K}$ as a $K$-algebra via the diagonal map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Now for each $i$ and $J$, we may define $a_{i, J} \in \mathbb{K}$ as

$$
a_{i, J}=\left[\left(a_{i, J}^{(k)}: k \in \mathbb{N}\right)\right]
$$

where we set $a_{i, J}^{(k)}=0$ for the finitely many values of $k$ with $\|J\|_{\infty}>N_{k}$. For all $\ell$ and $I$, we have that $F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}^{(k)}\right)_{i, J}\right)=0$ for $k$ large enough, and so $F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}\right)_{i, J}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{K}$, because the set of $k$ such that $F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(a_{i, J}^{(k)}\right)_{i, J}\right) \neq 0$ is finite. Moreover, for $J \in S_{i}$ we have, by hypothesis, $a_{i, J}^{(k)} \neq 0$ for all
sufficiently large $k$, so $a_{i, J} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{K}$. On the other hand, for $J \notin S_{i}$ we have $a_{i, J}^{(k)}=0$ for all $k$, so also $a_{i, J}=0$.

Now we will use that $K$ is uncountable. Consider the ring

$$
R=K\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n, J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m} \\
x_{i, J}^{-1}: 1 \leq i \leq n, J \in S_{i}^{\geq 0}
\end{array}\right] /\binom{F_{\ell, I}: 1 \leq \ell \leq s, I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}}{x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n, J \notin S_{i}}
$$

The paragraph above shows that the map $R \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by sending $x_{i, J}$ to $a_{i, J}$ is a well-defined ring map. In particular, $R$ is not the zero ring. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $R$. We claim that $K=R / \mathrm{m}$ in the sense that the map $K \rightarrow R / \mathrm{m}$ induced by the composition of the inclusion and the projection $K \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / \mathrm{m}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, $R / \mathrm{m}$ is a field, and as a $K$-algebra it is countably generated, since $R$ is. Therefore, it is of countable dimension as $K$-vector space (it is generated as $K$-vector space by the products of some set of generators as a $K$-algebra). If $t \in R / \mathfrak{m}$ were transcendental over $K$, then by the theory of partial fraction decomposition, the elements $1 /(t-\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K$ would form an uncountable, $K$-linearly independent subset of $R / \mathrm{m}$. This is not possible, so $R / \mathrm{m}$ is algebraic over $K$. Since $K$ is algebraically closed, we conclude that $K=R / \mathrm{m}$.

Now let $b_{i, J} \in K$ be the image of $x_{i, J}$ in $R / \mathfrak{m}=K$. Then by construction, the set $\left(b_{i, J}\right)$ satisfies the conditions $F_{\ell, I}\left(\left(b_{i, J}\right)\right)=0$ for all $\ell$ and $I$, and $b_{i, J}=0$ if and only if $J \notin S_{i}$. So $\left(b_{i, J}\right)$ is an element of $A_{\infty, S}$, and in particular $A_{\infty, S} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 25 We now prove the remaining direction of the Fundamental Theorem by contraposition. Let $S=\left(S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ be such that $A_{\infty, S}=\emptyset$, i.e. there is no power series solution of $\Sigma=0$ in $K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]^{n}$ with $S$ as the support. Then by Proposition 27there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $A_{k, S}=\emptyset$. Equivalently, the relation
holds, where $V$ denotes the implicitly defined algebraic set. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, there is an integer $M \geq 1$ such that

$$
E:=\left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq \leq \leq \leq \\
J \in S_{i} \\
\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}}} x_{i, J}\right)^{M} \in\left\langle\begin{array}{l}
F_{\ell, I}: 1 \leq \ell \leq s,\|I\|_{\infty} \leq k \\
x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n, J \notin S_{i},\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}
\end{array}\right\rangle .
$$

Therefore, there exist $G_{\ell, I}$ and $H_{i, J}$ in $K\left[x_{i, J}: 1 \leq i \leq n,\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}\right]$ such that

$$
E=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq s \\\|I I\|_{\infty} \leq k}} G_{\ell, I} F_{\ell, I}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ J \notin S_{i} \\\|J\|_{\infty} \leq N_{k}}} H_{i, J} x_{i, J} .
$$

Define the differential polynomial $P$ by

$$
P=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell_{\leq s} \leq s \\\|I\|_{\infty} \leq k}} G_{\ell, I} \Theta(I)\left(P_{\ell}\right)
$$

Then $P$ is an element of the differential ideal generated by $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$, so in particular $P \in G$. Since $F_{\ell, I}=\left.\Theta(I)\left(P_{\ell}\right)\right|_{t=0}$, there exist $h_{i} \in R_{m, n}$ such that

$$
P=E-\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ J \notin S_{i} \\\|J\|_{o} \leq N_{k}}} H_{i, J} x_{i, J}+t_{1} h_{1}+\ldots+t_{m} h_{m}
$$

Notice that the monomial $E$ occurs effectively in $P$, since it cannot cancel with other terms in the sum above. By construction we have $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S)=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$. However, we have $(0, \ldots, 0) \notin$ $\operatorname{trop}\left(H_{i, J} x_{i, J}\right)(S)$ because $J \notin S_{i}$, and we have $(0, \ldots, 0) \notin \operatorname{trop}\left(t_{i} h_{i}\right)(S)$ because the factor $t_{i}$ forces the $i$ th coefficient of each element of $\operatorname{trop}\left(t_{i} h_{i}\right)(S)$ to be at least 1 . Hence, the vertex $(0, \ldots, 0)$ in $\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)$ is attained exactly once, in the monomial $E$, and therefore, $S$ is not a solution of $\operatorname{trop}(P)$. Since $P \in G$, it follows that $S \notin \operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G))$, which proves the statement.

## 7. Examples and remarks on the Fundamental Theorem

In this section we give an example to illustrate the results obtained in the previous sections. Moreover, we show that some straight-forward generalizations of the Fundamental Theorem from (Aroca et al. 2016) and our version, Theorem 25, do not hold. Also we give more directions for further developments.

Example 28. Let us consider in $R_{2,2}$ the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma=\{ & P_{1}=x_{1,(1,0)}^{2}-4 x_{1,(0,0)}, P_{2}=x_{1,(1,1)} x_{2,(0,1)}-x_{1,(0,0)}+1, \\
& \left.P_{3}=x_{2,(2,0)}-x_{1,(1,0)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of elimination methods in differential algebra such as the ones implemented in the MAPLE DifferentialAlgebra package, it can be proven that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sol}(\Sigma)=\left\{\varphi_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=2 c_{0} t_{1}+c_{0}^{2}+\sqrt{2} c_{0} t_{2}+t_{1}^{2}+\sqrt{2} t_{1} t_{2}+\frac{1}{2} t_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \varphi_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=c_{2} t_{1}+c_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\left(c_{0}^{2}-1\right) t_{2}+c_{0} t_{1}^{2} \\
& \\
& \quad+\sqrt{2} c_{0} t_{1} t_{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{0} t_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{3} t_{1}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+\frac{1}{2} t_{1} t_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \sqrt{2} t_{2}^{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in K$ are arbitrary constants. By setting $c_{0}=c_{2}=0, c_{1} \neq 0$, we obtain for example that

$$
(\{(2,0),(1,1),(0,2)\},\{(0,0),(0,1),(3,0),(2,1),(1,1),(0,3)\})
$$

is in $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(\Sigma))$.
Now we illustrate that by our results necessary conditions and relations on the support can be found. Let $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}\right)^{2}$ be a solution of trop $([\Sigma])$. Let us first consider

$$
\operatorname{trop}\left(P_{1}\right)\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(2 \cdot \Theta_{\text {trop }}(1,0) S_{1} \cup S_{1}\right)
$$

If we assume that $(0,0) \in S_{1}$, then $(0,0)$ is a vertex of $S_{1}$. By the definition of a solution of a tropical differential polynomial, $(0,0)$ must be a vertex of the term $2 \cdot \Theta_{\text {trop }}(1,0) S_{1}$ as well, so we
then know that $(1,0) \in S_{1}$. Conversely, if $(1,0) \in S_{1}$, then $(0,0) \in S_{1}$ follows. This is what we expect since the corresponding monomials in $\varphi_{1}$ vanish if and only if $c_{0}=0$.
Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta(1,0) P_{1}\right)\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)= \\
& \operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}(1,0) S_{1}+\Theta_{\text {trop }}(2,0) S_{1} \cup \Theta_{\text {trop }}(1,0) S_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we assume that $(0,0)$ is not a vertex of this expression, which implies that $(1,0) \notin S_{1}$, and $(k, 0)$ is a vertex in $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(1,0) S_{1}$ for some $k \geq 1$, then we obtain from the two tropical differential monomials that necessarily $(k, 0)=(2 k-1,0)$. This is fulfilled only for $k=1$ and hence, $(2,0) \in S_{1}$.

Another natural way for defining $\odot$ and $\oplus$ in Section 3 would be to simply take the minimal basis of the monomial ideal generated by the support of the series rather than the (possibly smaller) vertex set, as we do. If we do this, then some intermediate results (and in particular Proposition 24) do not hold anymore as the following example shows.
Example 29. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}\right\}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}$. We consider the differential ideal in $R_{4,1}=K\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}\right]\right]\{x\}$ generated by

$$
P=x_{e_{3}} x_{e_{4}}+\left(-t_{1}^{2}+t_{2}^{2}\right) x_{e_{1}+e_{3}}=\frac{\partial x}{\partial t_{3}} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial t_{4}}+\left(-t_{1}^{2}+t_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} x}{\partial t_{1} \partial t_{3}}
$$

and the solution $\varphi=\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) t_{3}+\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) t_{4}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=\left\{e_{1}+e_{3}, e_{2}+e_{3}, e_{1}+e_{4}, e_{2}+e_{4}\right\}
$$

On the other hand, for $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)=\operatorname{Vert} & \left(\operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}\left(e_{3}\right) S+\Theta_{\text {trop }}\left(e_{4}\right) S\right)\right. \\
& \cup \operatorname{Vert}\left(2 e_{1}+\Theta_{\text {trop }}\left(e_{1}+e_{3}\right) S\right) \\
& \cup \operatorname{Vert}\left(2 e_{2}+\Theta_{\text {trop }}\left(e_{1}+e_{3}\right) S\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\operatorname{Vert}\left(\left\{2 e_{1}, e_{1}+e_{2}, 2 e_{2}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{2 e_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{2 e_{2}\right\}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Vert}\left(\left\{2 e_{1}, e_{1}+e_{2}, 2 e_{2}\right\}\right)=\left\{2 e_{1}, 2 e_{2}\right\}
$$

every $J \in \operatorname{trop}(P)(S)$, namely $2 e_{1}$ and $2 e_{2}$, occurs in three monomials in $\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)$ and $S$ is indeed in $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(P))$. Note that in the Newton polytope the point $e_{1}+e_{2}$, which is not a vertex, comes from only one monomial in $\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)$. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the vertices instead of the whole Newton polytope such that for instance Proposition 24 holds.

Remark 30. The Fundamental Theorem for systems of partial differential equations over a countable field such as $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ does in general not hold anymore by the following reasoning. According to (Denef and Lipshitz, 1984, Corollary 4.7), there is a system of partial differential equations $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ having a solution in $\mathbb{C}\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]$ but no solution in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right]$. Taking $K=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ as base field, we have $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G)) \neq \emptyset$ because $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G))=\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))$ is non-empty in $\mathbb{C}$, but $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))=\emptyset$.

In this paper we focus on formal power series solutions. A natural extension would be to consider formal Puiseux series instead. The following example shows that with the natural extension of our definitions to Puiseux series, the fundamental theorem does not hold, even for $m=n=1$.

Example 31. Let us consider $R_{1,1}=K[t]\{x\}$ and the differential ideal generated by the differential polynomial

$$
P=2 t x_{(1)}-x_{(0)}=2 t \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}-x
$$

There is no non-zero formal power series solution $\varphi$ of $P=0$, but $\varphi=c t^{1 / 2}$ is for any $c \in K$ a solution. In fact, $\{\varphi\}$ is the set of all formal Puiseux series solutions.

On the other hand, let $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$. Then every point $J$ in

$$
\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\operatorname{Vert}\left(\{1\}+\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}(1) S\right) \cup \operatorname{Vert}(S)\right)\right.
$$

occurs in both monomials except if $0 \in S$. Hence, for every $S \in \operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(P))$ we know that $0 \notin S$. For every $I \geq 0$ we have that

$$
\Theta(I) P=2 t x_{(I+1)}+(2 I-1) x_{(I)} \in[P]
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{trop}(\Theta(I) P)(S)=\operatorname{Vert}\left(\operatorname { V e r t } \left(\{(1)\}+\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}((I+1) S) \cup \operatorname{Vert}\left(\Theta_{\text {trop }}(I) S\right)\right)\right.\right.
$$

Similarly to above, every $J \in \operatorname{trop}(\Theta(I) P)(S)$ occurs in both monomials except if $I \in S$. Therefore, $I \notin S$ and so the only $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$ with $S \in \operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}([P]))$ is $S=\emptyset$. Hence, $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}([P]))=\{\emptyset\}=\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}([P]))$.

Now we want to consider formal Puiseux series solutions instead of formal power series solutions. Now let us set for $S \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}$ and $J=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}$, the set $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J) S$ defined as

$$
\left\{\left(s_{1}-j_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}-j_{m}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) \in S \\
\forall 1 \leq i \leq m, s_{i}<0 \text { or } s_{i}-j_{i} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}
\end{array}\right.\right\}
$$

This is the natural definition, since only in the case when the exponent of a monomial is a non-negative integer, the derivative can be equal to zero. We have that $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J)(\operatorname{Supp}(\psi))=$ $\operatorname{Supp}(\Theta(J) \psi)$ for all Puiseux series $\psi$. For $\mathrm{Val}_{J}$ and the operations $\odot$ and $\oplus$ the definitions remain unchanged.

Let $Q \in[P]$. Then

$$
Q=\sum_{k \in I} Q_{k} \cdot \Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P
$$

for some index-set $I$ and $Q_{k} \in R_{m, n}$. For every $I_{k}$ we know that $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=\{(1 / 2)\} \in \operatorname{Sol}\left(\operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right)\right)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap(0,1)$. Then for every $J \in \operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have that $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J)\{(1 / 2)\}=$ $\Theta_{\text {trop }}(J)\{(\alpha)\}+\{(1 / 2-\alpha)\}$. Thus, $\{\alpha\} \in \operatorname{Sol}\left(\operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right)\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{k} \cdot \Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right)=\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{k}\right) \odot \operatorname{trop}\left(\Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right)
$$

the solvability remains by multiplication with $Q_{k}$. Therefore, $\{\alpha\} \in \operatorname{Sol}\left(\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{k} \cdot \Theta\left(I_{k}\right) P\right)\right)$ and consequently, $\{\alpha\} \in \operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}([P]))$. However, $\{\alpha\} \notin \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}([P]))=\{\emptyset,\{1 / 2\}\}$ for $\alpha \neq 1 / 2$.

We remark that $P$ is an ordinary differential polynomial and by similar computations as here, the straight-forward generalization from formal power series to formal Puiseux series fails for the Fundamental Theorem in (Aroca et al., 2016) as well.

We conclude this section by emphasizing that the Fundamental Theorem may help to find necessary conditions on the support of solutions of systems of partial differential equations, but in general it cannot be completely algorithmic. In fact, according to (Denef and Lipshitz 1984 Theorem 4.11), already determining the existence of a formal power series solution of a linear system with formal power series coefficients is in general undecidable.

## 8. Initial parts and initial ideals

In this section we introduce the notion of initial of a differential polynomial and discuss some of its properties. We also define and discuss initial ideals. These definitions generalize those presented in ( Hu and Gao, 2020) from the ordinary to the partial case.

We use the following notations through the remainder of the section: We write $p=\operatorname{trop}(P)$ if $P \in R_{m, n}, S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$ if $\varphi \in R_{m}^{n}$, and $\epsilon=\operatorname{trop}(E)$ if $E$ is a differential monomial. Additionally, let $a=\sum_{I \in \Omega} \alpha_{I} I^{I} \in R_{m} \backslash\{0\}$ with $\alpha_{I} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ and hence, $\Omega=\operatorname{Supp}(a) \neq \emptyset$. Given $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)$, we denote by $\left.a\right|_{S}=\sum_{I \in \Omega \cap S} \alpha_{I} t^{I}$ the restriction of $a$ to $S$.

Definition 32. Let $a=\sum_{I \in \Omega} \alpha_{I} t^{I} \in R_{m} \backslash\{0\}$ with $\alpha_{I} \in K \backslash\{0\}$. We denote by $\bar{a}=\left.a\right|_{\text {Vert }(\Omega)}$ the restriction of $a$ to the vertices of its support.

It is worth noting that $\bar{a}$ is a polynomial, and that $\operatorname{trop}(a)=\operatorname{trop}(\bar{a})$.
According to Theorem 25 given a differential ideal $G \subset R_{m, n}$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$, for checking the existence of a solution $\varphi \in R_{m}^{n}$ of $G$ with support $S$ the following input is required:

1. the vertex set $p(S)$ for every $P \in G$, and
2. the monomials $a_{M} E_{M}$ such that $\operatorname{trop}\left(a_{M} E_{M}\right)(S)$ contributes to $p(S)$, where $P=\sum_{M} a_{M} E_{M}$.

Given $P \in R_{m, n}$, we construct some sort of localization of $P$ at $S$, denoted $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)$, which records these local properties of $p$ evaluated at $S$. We will call this object the initial of $P$ (with respect to $S$ ), and we will show later in Lemma 37that this is the case.

Definition 33. Let $P=\sum_{M \in \Lambda} a_{M} E_{M} \in R_{m, n}$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. Then we define the initial of $P$ (with respect to $S$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)=\sum_{\substack{M \in \Lambda \\ \operatorname{trop}\left(a_{M} E_{M}\right)(S) \cap p(S) \neq \emptyset}} \overline{a_{M}} E_{M} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the initial of a differential polynomial $P$ at $S$ is a simpler differential polynomial, formed with the restricted monomials $\overline{a_{M}} E_{M}$ which contribute, after tropicalization and evaluation at $S$, to the vertex set $p(S)$.

Remark 34. We remark that if $m=1$, then $p(S)$ consists of at most one point, and $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)$ coincides with the definition presented in ( Hu and Gao , 2020).

Note that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)=0$ if and only if $p(S)=\emptyset$. Moreover, it is immediate that if $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)$ is a single monomial, then $P$ has no solution with support $S$. The converse is in general not true as the following example shows.

Example 35. Let $P=x_{(1,0)}+x_{(0,1)} \in R_{2,1}$ and $\varphi=\alpha t_{1}^{2}+\beta t_{2}^{2}$. Then $\varphi$ is not a solution of $P=0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$, but we obtain $\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)=P$ for $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=\{(2,0),(0,2)\}$. For $J=(1,0)$ and $\Theta(J) P \in[P]$, however, we obtain the single monomial $\mathrm{in}_{S}(\Theta(J) P)=x_{(2,0)}$.

In the following we will need some basic properties of the initial of a differential polynomial, which we record in the remaining part of this section.

Lemma 36. Let $a E \in R_{m, n}$ be a differential monomial, and $\varphi \in R_{m}^{n}$ with $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{trop}(a E(\varphi))=\operatorname{trop}(a E)(S)=\operatorname{trop}(\bar{a} E)(S)=\operatorname{trop}(a) \odot \operatorname{trop}(E(S))
$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 16 plus the multiplicativity of trop.
In particular, the vertex set trop $(a E(\varphi))$ does not depend on the choice of $\varphi \in \operatorname{Supp}^{-1}(S)$. We deduce that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(a E)=0$ if and only if $E(S)=\emptyset($ or $a=0)$.
Lemma 37. Let $P \in R_{m, n}$ and $\varphi \in R_{m}^{n}$ with $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$. Then

1. $\mathcal{N}(\operatorname{Supp}(P(\varphi))) \subset \mathcal{N}(p(S))$.
2. $\left.P(\varphi)\right|_{p(S)}=\left.\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right|_{p(S)}$.
3. $p(S)=\operatorname{trop}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)\right)(S)$.

Proof. We write $P=\sum_{M} a_{M} E_{M}$.

1. We have

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(P(\varphi)) \subset \bigcup_{M} \operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{M} E_{M}(\varphi)\right) \subset \bigcup_{M}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{M}\right)+E_{M}(S)\right)
$$

and hence,

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}(P(\varphi)) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(\bigcup_{M}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{M}\right)+E_{M}(S)\right)\right)=\mathcal{N}(p(S)) .\right.
$$

2. We express $P(\varphi)=\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)+R(\varphi)$, and if $J \in \operatorname{trop}(P)(S)$ then $J \notin \operatorname{Supp}(R(\varphi))$, since $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)$ contains all the terms of $P$ that contribute to the coefficient of $t^{J}$.
3. We write $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{M \in \Lambda \mid \operatorname{trop}\left(a_{M} E_{M}\right)(S) \cap p(S) \neq \emptyset\right\}$, so $\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)=\sum_{M \in \Lambda^{\prime}} \overline{a_{M}} E_{M}$. Let $Y=\bigcup_{M \in \Lambda}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(a_{M}\right)+E_{M}(S)\right)$ and $X=\bigcup_{M \in \Lambda^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\overline{a_{M}}\right)+E_{M}(S)\right)$, so it is clear that $X \subset Y$. We want to show that $\operatorname{Vert}(Y) \subset X$ : if $J \in \operatorname{Vert}(Y)$, then $J \in \operatorname{trop}\left(a_{M} E_{M}\right)(S)$ for some $M \in \Lambda$. Thus, by definition there exists $M \in \Lambda^{\prime}$ such that $J \in \operatorname{trop}\left(\overline{a_{M}} E_{M}\right)(S)=$ $\operatorname{trop}\left(a_{M} E_{M}\right)(S)$, so $J \in X$. The chain $\operatorname{Vert}(Y) \subset X \subset Y$ yields $\mathcal{N}(X)=\mathcal{N}(Y)$, so $p(S)=$ $\operatorname{Vert}(Y)=\operatorname{Vert}(X)=\operatorname{trop}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)\right)(S)$.

Definition 38. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. Then we define the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ of $G$ (with respect to $S$ ) as the algebraic ideal generated by $\left\{\mathrm{in}_{S}(P): P \in G\right\}$ in $R_{m, n}$.

Note that for $P \in G \subset R_{m, n}$ it holds that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P) \in \mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$. However, not every element belonging to $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ arises as $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)$ for some $P \in G$, as it can be seen in Hu and Gao 2020, Example 2.11).
Remark 39. One could consider defining the initial ideal of $G$ as the differential ideal generated by $\left\{\mathrm{in}_{S}(P): P \in G\right\}$, rather than the algebraic ideal. For our purposes, however, this would not be an appropriate choice. For example, consider the differential ideal $G$ generated by $P=x_{(0)}-1 \in$ $R_{1,1}$. The initial of $P$ with respect to $S=\{(0)\}$ is $P$ itself. All the initials of derivatives of $P$ are zero, and so $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ is the (monomial-free) algebraic ideal defined by $P$. But $\Theta(1) P=x_{(1)}$ is a monomial, so the differential ideal generated by $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains a monomial. Since $G$ has solutions with support $S$, we expect that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ is monomial-free. The algebraic ideal has this property, while the differential ideal does not.

## 9. Extended Fundamental Theorem

In this section we extend the Fundamental Theorem 25 from Section 6 to Theorem 45 and show that the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ with respect to $S$ of a differential ideal $G$ is monomial-free if and only if $G$ admits a solution with support $S$. This generalizes Theorem 1 in Hu and Gao , 2020) from the ordinary to the partial case. A related construction can also be found in (Fink and Toghani 2020, Theorem 3.9).

First, we note that one direction is already implicitly proved in Section 6 .
Proposition 40. Let $K$ be an uncountable, algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal. Let $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$ be such that $G$ has no solution with support $S$. Then $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains a monomial.

Proof. Near the end of the proof of Theorem 25, under the assumption that $S \notin \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))$, a differential polynomial $P \in G$ is constructed with $\operatorname{trop}(P)(S)=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ where the origin corresponds to a single monomial $E$. For this $P$, we have $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)=E \in \mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ and the statement follows.

It remains to prove the converse: if $G$ admits a solution with support $S$, then $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ is differential monomial-free. We start by showing that if $P(\varphi)=0$, then cancellation occurs in the evaluation $\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)$.

Lemma 41. Let $P \in R_{m, n}$ and $\varphi \in R_{m}^{n}$ with $S=\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)$. If $P(\varphi)=0$ then $p(S) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)\right)=$ $\emptyset$. In particular, if $p(S) \neq \emptyset$ then

$$
\operatorname{trop}^{\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right) \neq \operatorname{trop}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)\right)(S) .}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 372 we have $\left.\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right|_{p(S)}=\left.P(\varphi)\right|_{p(S)}=0$, so $p(S) \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)=\emptyset$. On the other hand, from Lemma 37 , 1 we have the chain of subsets

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)\right) \subset \mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{trop}^{\left.\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)\right)(S)\right)=\mathcal{N}(p(S)), ~}\right.
$$

and so Lemma 2 gives $p(S) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{trop}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right) \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)$. Combined, this give $p(S) \cap \mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}(P)(\varphi)\right)\right)=\emptyset$ as we wanted.

The following proposition generalizes Lemma 41; if $\varphi$ is a solution of $G$, then for any $R \in$ $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ there is cancellation in $R(\varphi)$. Since such cancellation is usually impossible for monomials, this almost implies the result that we are looking for. However, one has to be careful to account for monomials $a E$ for which $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S)=\emptyset$, and doing this leads to Corollary 43

Proposition 42. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. Let $R \in \mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Sol}(G)$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=S$. Then $r(S) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(R(\varphi))=\emptyset$. In particular, if $r(S) \neq \emptyset$ then

$$
\operatorname{trop}(R(\varphi)) \neq r(S)
$$

Proof. We will proceed by contradiction, so suppose that $J \in r(S) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(R(\varphi))$. If $R=$ $\sum_{k} Q_{k} \mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)$, then at least one of the terms, say $Q_{1}(\varphi) \mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)(\varphi)$, has $t^{J}$ in its support. Hence, there exist $U$ and $W$ with $J=U+W$ such that

$$
U \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(Q_{1}(\varphi)\right), W \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)(\varphi)\right) .
$$

Since we have the inclusions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(Q_{1}(\varphi)\right)+\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)(\varphi)\right)\right) & \subset \mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{1}\right)(S) \odot \operatorname{trop}^{\left.\left(\operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)(S)\right)}\right. \\
& \subset \mathcal{N}(r(S))
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2, we deduce that

In particular, since $J \in r(S)$ and $J \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(Q_{1}(\varphi)\right)+\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)(\varphi)\right)$, we have that $J \in$ $\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{1}\right)(S) \odot \operatorname{trop}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)\right)(S)=\operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{1}\right)(S) \odot \operatorname{trop}\left(P_{1}\right)(S)$. Hence, by Lemma 6 ,

$$
U \in \operatorname{trop}\left(Q_{1}\right)(S), W \in \operatorname{trop}\left(P_{1}\right)(S) .
$$

However, since $\varphi$ is a solution of $G$, also $P_{1}(\varphi)=0$ and we deduce by Lemma 41 that $W \notin$ $\mathcal{N}\left(\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{1}\right)(\varphi)\right)\right)$, a contradiction.

Corollary 43. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. If $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains a monomial $a E \neq 0$ such that $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S) \neq \emptyset$, then $G$ has no solution with support $S$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\varphi \in \operatorname{Sol}(G)$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(\varphi)=S$. We have

$$
\operatorname{trop}(a E)(S)=\operatorname{trop}(a) \odot \operatorname{trop}(E)(S) \neq \emptyset,
$$

because both factors are non-empty. By applying Proposition 42 to $R=a E$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{trop}(a E(\varphi)) \neq \operatorname{trop}(a E)(S),
$$

in contradiction to Lemma 36 which implies the opposite.
It remains to check that if $\operatorname{in}_{S}(G)$ contains a monomial, then it contains a monomial which tropicalization is not vanishing on $S$ in order to apply Lemma 36

Proposition 44. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal and $S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}$. Suppose $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains a monomial $a E \neq 0$. Then it contains a monomial bF such that $\operatorname{trop}(b F)(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S) \neq \emptyset$ there is nothing to prove. So we assume that $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S)=\emptyset$ and write

$$
E=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n, J \in \mathbb{N}^{m}} x_{i, J}^{M_{i, J}} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S)=\emptyset$, there are indexes $i_{0}, J_{0}$ with $M_{i_{0}, J_{0}}>0$ and $\Theta_{\text {trop }}\left(J_{0}\right) S_{i_{0}}=\emptyset$ and consequently, $\operatorname{trop}\left(x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}\right)(S)=\emptyset$. On the other hand, $a E$ can be written as

$$
a E=\sum_{k=1}^{r} Q_{k} \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)
$$

for some non-zero $P_{k} \in G$ and $Q_{k} \in R_{m, n}$. By definition of the initial, for every $1 \leq k \leq r$, no monomial of $\mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)$ contains $x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}$ as factor.

We now consider the factors $Q_{k}=\sum_{M \in \Omega_{k}} b_{M} V_{M}$ (with $0 \neq b_{M} \in R_{m}$ and $V_{M}$ a differential monomial) modulo the algebraic ideal ( $x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}$ ):

$$
\widetilde{Q_{k}}:=\sum_{\substack{M \in \Omega_{k} \\ V_{M} \neq 0}} b_{M} V_{M} .
$$

By the choice of $\widetilde{Q_{k}}$, it follows that $Q_{k}-\widetilde{Q_{k}}=0 \bmod \left(x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}\right)$ and therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(Q_{k}-\right.$ $\left.\widetilde{Q_{k}}\right) \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)=0 \bmod \left(x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}\right)$. Since

$$
a E=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \widetilde{Q_{k}} \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(Q_{k}-\widetilde{Q_{k}}\right) \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)=0 \quad \bmod \left(x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}\right),
$$

also $\sum_{k=1}^{r} \widetilde{Q_{k}} \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)=0 \bmod \left(x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}\right)$. Because $x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}$ is neither a factor of any monomial in $\widetilde{Q_{k}}$ nor in in ${ }_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)$, it follows that $\sum_{k=1}^{r} \widetilde{Q_{k}} \mathrm{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)=0$ and

$$
a E=\sum_{k=1}^{r}\left(Q_{k}-\widetilde{Q_{k}}\right) \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right)
$$

The monomial $x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}$ divides all $Q_{k}-\widetilde{Q_{k}}$ and we can set $E_{1}=E / x_{i_{0}, J_{0}} \in R_{m, n}$ such that

$$
a E_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{Q_{k}-\widetilde{Q_{k}}}{x_{i_{0}, J_{0}}} \operatorname{in}_{S}\left(P_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{in}_{S}(G)
$$

If $\operatorname{trop}\left(a E_{1}\right)(S) \neq \emptyset$, we are done. Otherwise, by considering a monomial factor of $E_{1}$ whose tropicalization vanishes at $S$, we can iterate the previous reduction, and since the degree strictly decreases in this process, we construct in this way a finite sequence of monomials $\left(a E_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq s} \in$ $\operatorname{in}_{S}(G)^{s}$ until $\operatorname{trop}\left(E_{s}\right)(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 45 (Extended Fundamental Theorem). Let $K$ be an uncountable, algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $G \subset R_{m, n}$ be a differential ideal. Then the following three subsets of $\left(\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)\right)^{n}$ coincide:

1. $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))$,
2. $\operatorname{Sol}(\operatorname{trop}(G))$,
3. $\left\{S \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m}\right)^{n}: \mathrm{in}_{S}(G)\right.$ contains no monomial $\}$.

Proof. The equivalence of 1 and 2 is Theorem 25. If $S \in \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Sol}(G))$, then Corollary 43 implies that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains no monomial $a E$ with $\operatorname{trop}(E)(S) \neq \emptyset$, and by Proposition 44 this implies that $\mathrm{in}_{S}(G)$ contains no monomial at all. The other direction is Proposition 40
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