

# Long term high frequency sediment observatory in an alpine catchment: the Arc-Isère rivers, France

Fabien Thollet, Christophe Rousseau, B. Camenen, S. Boubkraoui, F.

Branger, F. Lauters, J. Némery

### ▶ To cite this version:

Fabien Thollet, Christophe Rousseau, B. Camenen, S. Boubkraoui, F. Branger, et al.. Long term high frequency sediment observatory in an alpine catchment: the Arc-Isère rivers, France. Hydrological Processes, 2021, 35 (2), 10.1002/hyp.14044 . hal-03118395

## HAL Id: hal-03118395 https://hal.science/hal-03118395

Submitted on 22 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Long term high frequency sediment observatory in an alpine catchment: the Arc-Isère rivers, France

Thollet F.<sup>1</sup>\*, Rousseau C.<sup>2</sup>, Camenen B.<sup>1</sup>, Boubkraoui S.<sup>2</sup>, Branger F.<sup>1</sup>, Lauters, F.<sup>3</sup>, Némery J.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRAE<sup>†</sup>, UR RiverLy, centre de Lyon-Grenoble, F-69616 Villeurbanne, France
<sup>2</sup> IGE<sup>‡</sup>, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP<sup>‡</sup>, F-38000, Grenoble, France
<sup>3</sup> EDF Hydro DTG <sup>L</sup>, service Environnement Aquatique, F-38000 Grenoble, France
\* Corresponding author: fabien.thollet@inrae.fr
<sup>†</sup> Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'alimentation et l'Environnement

<sup>L</sup> Electricité de France, Division Technique Générale

#### <u>Abstract</u>

We present a dataset on to the Arc-Isère long-term environmental research observatory, which is part of the Rhône Basin Long Term Environmental Research Observatory. This alpine catchment located in the French Alps is characterized by high Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM) in anthropogenized valleys. Suspended Sediment Concentrations (SSC) naturally observed in the river are very high, ranging from a few tens of milligrams per litre at low flow to tens of grams per litre during major natural hydrological events (floods, debris flows) or river dam hydraulic flushes. One research objective related to this site is to better understanding the SSC dynamics along the river using a system of nested catchments (Arvan, Arc, and Isère) in order to assess both temporal and spatial dynamics. The data allow the quantification of fine sediment yields and also the evaluation of possible morphological changes due to fine sediment deposition or resuspension. Additionally, the observatory database support studies on contaminants (either dissolved or particulate contaminants). Our monitoring

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/hyp.14044

includes six stations with high frequency (2 to 30 min) streamflow, SSC measurement using turbidity sensors, and associated automatic sampling. Discharge is measured via water level measurements and a rating curve. The oldest station (Grenoble-campus) started recording discharge and concentration data from April 2006 while others stations were built between 2009 and 2011. Data are available in an online data website called "Base de Données des Observatoires en Hydrologie" (Hydrological observatory database, https://bdoh.irstea.fr/ARC-ISERE/) with a DOI reference for the dataset. The hydrological and sediment transport time series are stored, managed and made available to a wide community with unfettered access in order to be used at their full extent. This database is used as a data exchange tool for both scientists and operational end-users and there is an associated online tool to compute integrated fluxes.

<u>Keywords:</u> long term observatory; database; suspended sediment concentration; turbidity, water discharge, sediment fluxes, Alpine rivers.

#### 1. DATA SET NAME

High frequency suspended sediment flux database on a French Alpine river system.

#### 2. OBJECTIVES AND SITE DESCRIPTION

The Arc-Isère observatory is located in the French Alps (outlet of the observatory near Grenoble: longitude 5.7689497E / latitude 45.1974416N / altitude 207.8 m). The site was designed to study alpine rivers that carry large amounts of fine sediments, typically yielded by erosive badland sub-catchments such as in the Arvan river system. The bed river sediment material consists of a mixture of coarse (gravel and cobble) and fine (sand, silt and clay) particles. The Arc and Isère rivers were significantly modified due to anthropogenic changes such as dykes linked to the construction of motorways and railways, numerous hydroelectric diversions and dams, and sediment mining. It resulted in the formation of a system of alternate gravel bars within a constrained river (Jaballah et al., 2015). In addition, a decrease of pasture land area and restoration works within the catchment modified the relative input quantity of the different types of sediments to the river. Since the end of the 20th century, there has been a relative shortage of coarse particle input, and an excess of fine particles. The resulting imbalance led to erosion of the main channel, aggradation and fixation of gravel bars due to massive fine sediment deposits and riparian vegetation growth (Jourdain et al., 2020).

The hydrological monitoring and resulting data from the catchments are useful in the assessment of:

- The characterization of deposition and erosion of fine sediments over gravel bars along alpine rivers;
- The assessment of the exchanges of fine sediments between flow and bed matrix (infiltration, exfiltration);
- The understanding of the spatial and temporal variations of fine sediment transport including sand in the river system;

- The quantification of the forcing effects of bar morphology, hydrology, sediment supply, riparian vegetation on fine sediment dynamics;
- The study of the contaminant transport.

The Arc-Isère river system is a reference site of the ZABR (Zone Atelier du Bassin du Rhône [Rhône Basin Long Term Environmental Research Observatory], https://www.zabr.assograie.org/) that has been monitored for more than 15 years (Mano et al., 2009; Némery et al., 2013; Antoine et al., 2020). Six hydro-sedimentary stations (see Figure 1) have been established in a system of nested catchments (Arvan, Arc and Isère catchments), and provide data for both water discharge and sediment concentration (operated by INRAE, IGE). The network is completed by stations operated by partners (EDF, DREAL Rhône-Alpes Auvergne: Directions Régionales, de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement [Regional board for the environment, planning and housing]). All the partners work in synergy, for example in choosing the location of the stations, to better investigate the whole catchment.

The three catchments have distinct characteristics (see Table 1): the Arvan River is a steep mountain torrent, highly responsive to precipitation and snow melt events. The Arc River is a mountain river with an average slope of 1%, with several river dams. Regular dam flushes (yearly if no significant flood occurs), produce substantial fine sediment transport. Lastly, the Isère River is a larger piedmont river where gravel bars are affected by fine sediment deposits and vegetation spreading and where flood risk is a significant issue. The Arc and the Arvan catchments produce substantial yields of suspended particulate matter (SPM), which is transported along the river system or temporarily stored over gravel bars or within dam reservoirs.

#### 3. DESCRIPTION OF HYDRO-SEDIMENTARY STATIONS

Water discharge and suspended sediment concentrations (SSC) are measured at each station. Data are recorded with time steps varying from two to thirty minutes. Times steps are adapted to accurately measure natural variations of these parameters.

Flow discharge time series are based on water level measurements recorded continuously on a datalogger. Using different gauging methods on each station (see Table 2), rating curves were established by applying either a classical stage/discharge relation or the index velocity method coupled to a stage/area relation with an average velocity (Thollet et al., 2017). The uncertainty of the calculated discharge is determined from the mean deviation between the measured flow rates and the rating curve using a dynamic approach described in Morlot et al. (2014) or a bayesian method (Baratin; Dramais et al., 2011; Le Coz et al., 2014) depending on the station.

Suspended Sediment Concentrations (SSC) are measured indirectly from a turbidimeter signal (see Table 3). Hach Lange SC100 or SC200 controllers are used in addition to numerical Solitax SC probes, all equipped with a mechanical cleaning system (wiper). Sensors use the infrared scattered light method with the optical response being dependent on sediment characteristics in the water. Therefore, a site- and sensor-specific calibration across a wide range of concentrations was established for each location. Each site relationship, SSC = f(turbidity), is assumed linear with a single segment. The calibration of this relationship is done using water samples obtained using ISCO 3700 or Sigma SD900 automatic samplers controlled by the turbidimeter (i.e. triggered if a minimum turbidity value is reached with a frequency of one sample every 30 min unless the turbidity drops again below the minimum value) or by the datalogger (i.e. triggered for a specific programmed period with a frequency of one sample every 30 min unless the turbidity and period with a frequency of one sample (ranging from 50 to 500 mL) through pre-weighed fiberglass filters and by drying them at 105 °C for 2 hours (Standard NF EN 872, ISO 4365, 2005). Results are expressed in mg L<sup>-1</sup>, and the uncertainty on triplicates was estimated to be below 5%. Sand was recently excluded from the analysis

Accepted Artic

(Dramais et al., 2018). The evaluation of the SPM fluxes as a product of discharge and concentration is premised on SPM concentration being homogeneous throughout the river section, which is not the case for sand concentration. Moreover, since turbidity is inversely sensitive to the size of the particles (Thollet et al., 2014), the inclusion of sand particles may significantly bias the turbidity/SSC relationships.

A particular effort has been made to quantify uncertainties of turbidimetric measurement and associated variables. The uncertainty of the calculated SSC is determined from the mean deviation between the measured SSC and the SSC obtained with the turbidity calibration curve (table 3). Taking into account the calibration curve and sample analysis, uncertainties on high frequency SSC data series are variable, generally between 10 to 20% (Navratil et al, 2011; Arnaud et al 2013). More precisely, on the Isère River calculated uncertainties reach 20% for SSC below 2 g/L and 8% for SSC above 2 g/L (Némery et al 2010). Because the turbidimeter is sensitive to the variation in size of suspended particles (Thollet, 2014), the established relationship turbidity/SSC can be unstable for stations that are relatively close to sediment production areas, for example on the Arvan River or on the Arc River at Pontamafrey. We also observed for these stations a seasonal effect according to hydrological event types (storm event, snow melt, flood event, low flow). At Pontamafrey, depending on the event type, the calibration coefficient for turbidity/SSC varies between 0.8 and 1.6. In contrast, on the Isère River at Grenoble, grain sorting with flow propagation yields a more stable grain size distribution and a more stable relationship. Sampling is carried out regularly to ensure there is no change in the relationship between turbidity and SSC (see Table 4). Such sampling is also necessary when a turbidity probe is replaced or after maintenance. Results of SSC analysis are displayed on the SSC time-series to check the accuracy of the turbidity/SSC relationships and to better appreciate the samplings frequency.

#### 4. DATA PROCESSING AND ONLINE STORAGE

Raw data is processed manually and individually for each station from one to four times a year, using DP+, a specific software from French hydrometric services (only available to the French hydrometric

d Artic Accepte community), spreadsheets and custom R programs. Processing includes removal of duplicated data, identifying gaps and aberrant points, applying linear corrections when staff gauges show data drifts etc. The process takes into account all the observations (maintenance and events that affected data values, staff gauge readings) made by technical staff during station visits. A quality level code is assigned to each data value related to the metrology and the corrections made during data processing. The quality codes are categorised as "valid", "doubtful", "estimated", a "no code" (because of no traceability for example). When no data are reported, the code is either "gap" (no measurement) or "invalid" (irrelevant measurement that was removed). Gaps and invalid measurements are marked as -9999 and they are graphically identified with a red line.

#### 5. DATA AVAILABILITY

The time series are made available on a public online database named "Base de Données des Observatoires en Hydrologie (BDOH)" (Branger et al, 2014) for Database of Hydrologic observatories (https://bdoh.irstea.fr/ARC-ISERE/) with a DOI reference (10.17180/OBS.ARC-ISERE). The database is available in French and in English. This database gives access to data in a homogeneous form that can be used by all scientists. Data can be browsed and visualized without limitation by anyone. However, an individual registration is requested to be able to download data. This allows us to keep in touch with data users, and let them know when updates occur. In addition, the registration provides us some statistics about the different user profiles that support our requests for funds to maintain and develop the BDOH application. Registered users can select and freely download the data they are interested in with functionalities like time step interpolations, average calculation. Data are exported as flat text files that contain all the necessary metadata (producer, variable, unit, time zone, conversion factors) and can be processed by any software (Excel, R, Matlab, etc.). Data managers also have access to additional functionalities, such as detection of duplication or inconsistency when importing new data in BDOH, rating curve management for stage to flow discharge or turbidity to SCC conversions, automatic calculations of derived data including integrated fluxes, and reporting tools. These tools help them to maintain complete, consistent and quality-controlled datasets.

Currently 37 users are registered on the Arc-Isère observatory. Since the database was created in 2013, an average of 70 downloads have been made every year.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

Acquiring this high-frequency database on the Arc-Isère observatory requires a significant investment in equipment, but above all an investment of technical and scientific labor and expertise. The length of the time-series exceeding a decade allows a study of sediment transport processes at inter-annual scales in connection with meteorological variability and land use planning. A nested basin scale approach is also relevant for the detailed spatio-temporal study of the flow and sediment propagation along the river for specific events such as spring (snowmelt) floods, debris flows, or hydraulic dam flushes. It also allows identification of deposition or resuspension processes, which are fundamental in the construction of hydro-sedimentary models. Finally, this study site, while highly developed for the production of hydroelectricity, is characterized by a mountain river with extreme suspended solid transport, and fine sediment deposit and vegetation issues. Thus, the database is of interest for river managers and water operators but also and above all for research at the regional level of the Rhône basin and the Mediterranean basin.

#### Funding

The observatory is mostly financed thanks to internal funds from research institutes, universities, research institutes, and operational companies (INRAE, IGE, Grenoble INP, ZABR, EDF). It is also supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the grant ANR-18-CE01-0019-01 (Deposition and Erosion of fine sediments in Alpine Rivers –DEAR- project) and by other project funds (EC2CO Bioheffect Structurante Initiative).

#### Contributors

Contributors to this observatory are INRAE, IGE, EDF, and DREAL Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes. One to three persons/month per station are needed to maintain the instruments, monitoring, and data processing.

#### Data ownership

Flow discharge and SSC data for each station belong to the producer (contributor), i.e. INRAE, IGE, EDF, DREAL Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes as presented in Tables 2 and 3.

#### Acknowledgements

The study took place on an experimental site of the ZABR (Zone Atelier du Bassin du Rhône) which is a CNRS-labelled site (<u>https://www.zabr.assograie.org/</u>). We would like to thank the guest editor Stephen Sebestyen and the two anonymous reviewers for their significant input to improve the quality of this paper. We are also grateful to the students and professors of ENSE3 who contribute to the flow measurements at Grenoble-Campus station and to the hydrologists of EDF, which is a partner in the production of the measurements of this station.

#### References

Antoine, G., Camenen, B., Jodeau, M., Némery, J. & Esteves M. (2020). Downstream erosion and deposition dynamics of fine suspended sediments due to dam flushing. Journal of Hydrology, 585: 1-12.

Arnaud J, Dutordoir S, Némery J & Belleudy P (2013). Influence de la mesure du débit sur l'incertitude liée au calcul de flux de mes et de carbone organique particulaire. Application sur un cours d'eau alpin (l'Isère à Grenoble, France). [Influence of flow measurement uncertainty related to the calculation of TSS and particulate organic carbon fluxes: application to an alpine river (Isère, France)]. La Houille Blanche 4:37-42 (in French)

Branger, F., Thollet, F., Crochemore, M., Poisbeau, M., Raidelet, N., Farissier, P., Lagouy, M., Dramais, G., Le Coz, J., Guérin, A., Tallec, G., Peschard, J., Mathys, N., Klotz, S. & Tolsa, M. (2014). Le projet base de données pour les observatoires en hydrologie : un outil pour la bancarisation, la gestion et la mise à disposition des données issues des observatoires hydrologiques de long terme à Irstea [Database for hydrological observatories: a tool for storage, management and access of data produced by the long-term hydrological observatories of Irstea]. La Houille Blanche 1: 33-38 (in French)

Dramais G., Le Coz J., Hauet A. & Camenen B. (2011). Advantages of a mobile LSPIV method for measuring flood discharges and improving stage-discharge curves. Journal of Hydro-environment Research 5(4): 301-312.

Dramais, G., Camenen B. & Le Coz, J. (2018). Comparaisons de méthodes pour la mesure des matières en suspension dans les cours d'eau en présence de sable [Methods comparison for river suspended sediment measurements containing sand]. La Houille Blanche. 5-6: 96-105 (in French).

ISO 4365(2005). Liquid flow in open channels – Sediment in streams and canals – Determination of concentration, particle size distribution and relative density, 47.

Jaballah, M., Camenen, B., Pénard, L., & Paquier, A. (2015). Alternate bar development in an alpine river following engineering works. Advance in Water Res. 81:103-113.

Jourdain, C.; Claude, N.; Cordier, P. Tassi. F. & Antoine, G. (2020). Morphodynamics of alternate bars in thepresence of riparian vegetation. Earth Surface Processes & Landforms, 2020, 45, 1100-1122

Le Coz, J., Renard, B., Bonnifait, L., Branger, F. & Le Boursicaud, R. Combining hydraulic knowledge and uncertain gaugings in the estimation of hydrometric rating curves: a Bayesian approach. Journal of Hydrology, 509, 573-587, 2014.

Mano, V.; Némery, J.; Belleudy, P. & Poirel, A. (2009). Suspended Particle Matter dynamics in four alpine watersheds (France): influence of climatic regime and optimization of load calculation. Hydrological Processes, 2009, 23 : 777–792.

Navratil, O.; Esteves, M.; Legout, C.; Gratiot, N.; Némery, J.; Willmore, S. & Grangeon, T. (2011). Global uncertainty analysis of suspended sediment monitoring using turbidimeter in a small mountainous river catchment. Journal of Hydrology, 2011, 398: 246-259.

Némery J, Mano V, Navratil O, Gratiot N, Duvert C, Legout C, Belleudy P, Poirel A & Esteves M (2010) Retour d'expérience sur l'utilisation de la turbidité en rivière de montagne [Feedback on the use of turbidity in mountainous rivers]. Technique Sciences et Méthodes. 1/2: 61-67 (in French)

Némery, J.; Mano, V.; Coynel, A.; Etcheber, H.; Moatar, F.; Meybeck, M.; Belleudy, P. & Poirel, A. (2013). Carbon and suspended sediment transport in an impounded alpine river (Isère, France). Hydrological Processes, 2013, 27 : 2498-2508.

Thollet F., Le Coz J., Antoine G., François P., Saguintaah L., Launay M. & Camenen B. (2014). Influence de la granulométrie des particules sur la mesure par turbidimétrie des flux de matières en suspension dans les cours d'eau [Influence of particle grain size to the suspended sediment concentration measurement by turbidity]. La Houille Blanche, 2013, 4: 50-56 (in French).

Thollet F., Le Coz J., Dramais G., Nord G., Le Boursicaud R., Jacob E. & Buffet A. (2017). Mesure de débit en rivière par station radar hauteur / vitesse selon la méthode de la vitesse témoin [Streamflow monitoring at stage / velocity radar stations using the index velocity method]. La Houille Blanche, 2017, 5: 9-15 (in French).

Table 1: Mean interannual Suspended sediment fluxes (SSF) on the Arc-Isère catchment (<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.17180/OBS.ARC-ISERE</u>)

Station / river	Start year	Catchment area (km²)	Annual average SSF (kt)	Specific SSF (t/km²)
Saint Jean d'Arves / Arvan	2010	58	50.3	867
St Jean de Maurienne/ Arvan	2009	222	250	1126
Pontamafrey / Arc	2009	1510	515 <sup>+</sup>	341 <sup>+</sup>
Chamousset / Arc	2011	2000	1200 <sup>+</sup>	600 *
Montmélian / Isère	2010	4840	1500	310
Grenoble - Campus / Isère	2006	5720	1440	251

<sup>+</sup> Because of hydropower plants and water diversions, specific fluxes at Pontamafrey and at Chamousset are underevaluated and overevaluated, respectively.

Station / river (Owner)	Frequenc y (min)	Range (min-max in m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Technology	Gauging methods and devices	Uncertainty	Data availability over the period <sup>†</sup>
Saint Jean d'Arves <sup>‡</sup> / Arvan (DREAL Auvergne Rhône- Alpes)	6	0.4-35	Stage Radar Cruzoe Paratronic (France) and velocity Radar RQ30 Sommer (Austria)	Ott C31 current meter (Germany), water surface velocity with radar SVR Decatur (Finland)	10% <sup>b</sup>	2010-2015 93%
St Jean de Maurienne / Arvan (INRAE)	2	0.2-80	Stage & velocity radar sensors Sommer RQ24 (Austria)	Electromagnetic current meter Flo-Mate 2000 Marsh Mc Birney (UK), water surface velocity with radar SVR Decatur (Finland)	20% <sup>b</sup>	2010-2018 73%
Pontamafrey / Arc (EDF)	6	1.6-356	Pressure sensor Hydrologic LPN8 (France)	ADCP Teledyne Rio Grande (USA)	5% <sup>b</sup>	2011-2020 97%
Chamousset / Arc (DREAL Auvergne Rhône- Alpes)	6	2.6-427	Stage & velocity radar sensors Sommer RQ30 (Austria)	Gauging truck equipped with OttC31 current meter, ADCP Teledyne Rio Grande (USA), water surface velocity with radar SVR Decatur (Finland)	10%ª	2011-2019 98%
Montmélian / Isère (DREAL Auvergne Rhône- Alpes)	6	26-780	Pressure sensor Hydrologic LPN8 (France)	Gauging truck equipped with OttC31 current meter, ADCP Teledyne Rio Grande (USA)	10%ª	2009-2015 <sup>‡‡</sup> 99%
Grenoble – Campus / Isère (EDF / IGE)	30	33-866	Pressure sensor Ott PLS 0- 10 m (Germany)	Gauging via cableways with Ott C31 current meter (Germany), ADCP Teledyne Rio Grande (USA), ADCP SonTek M9 (USA)	5%ª	2005-2019 99%

Table 2: Main characteristics on flow discharge measurements

<sup>+</sup> Percentage of the time series period without gap (0 means no data, 100% means no gap). Gaps are represented in the data product as -9999 values.

<sup>‡</sup> The station was moved 5 km upstream in 2019 because of the installation of a new hydraulic power station. Since there is no significant tributary on this section, the discharge could be affected only due to the small difference in the catchment area.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Only water level from 2015 to 2019. Flow discharge will be soon available for 2020.

Table 3: Main characteristics on sedimentary measurements

	Station / riverFrequencyRange (min-max in g/L)		Technology (Turbidimeter – Datalogger – Sampler)	Uncertainty	Data availability / for the period $^{\dagger}$	
	Saint Jean d'Arves <sup>‡</sup> / Arvan (INRAE)	2	0-114	Hach Lange SC100 & Solitax 0-150 g/L (Germany) – Ott Duosens (Germany) – Automatic sampler Sigma SD900 (	10 to 20%	2010-2020 53%
	St Jean de Maurienne/Arvan (INRAE)	2	0-132	Hach Lange SC100 & Solitax 0-150 g/L (Germany) – Ott Logosens (Germany) – Automatic sampler Sigma SD900	15 to 25%	2009-2018 76%
	Fontamafrey / Arc (INRAE / EDF)	2	0-137.6	Hach Lange SC100 & Solitax 0-150 g/L (Germany) – Ott NetDL (Germany)- Automatic sampler ISCO 3700 (USA)	10 to 20%	2009-2020 95%
C	Chamousset / Arc / NRAE / EDF)	2	0-83.5	Hach Lange SC100 & Solitax 0-150 g/L (Germany) – Ott NetDL (Germany) - Automatic sampler ISCO 3700 (USA)	8 to 20%	2011-2020 91%
	n ontmélian / Rère (EDF)	60	0-31.4	Hach Lange SC100 & Solitax 0-50 g/L (Germany) – Ott NetDL (Germany) - Automatic sampler ISCO 3700 (USA)	15 to 25%	2009-2019 67%
D T	Grenoble - impus / Isère (IGE / EDF)	30	0-46.9	Hach Lange SC200 & Solitax 0-50 g/L (Germany) - Campbell Scientific CR1000 (USA) -Automatic sampler ISCO 3700 (USA)	8% to 20%	2006-2019 99%

<sup>+</sup>Percentage of the period without gap (0% means no data; 100% means no gap). Gaps are represented in the data product as -9999 values

<sup>‡</sup> The turbidity sensor at Arvan amont is wintered to prevent from freezing. Only half of the year is taken into account. Winter flows are assumed to be negligible.

Table 4: Calibrations of turbidimeter and sampling

Stati	Station / river Scale set period		Turbidity/SSC coefficient	R²	Minimum SSC (mg/L)	Maximum SSC (mg/L)	Nb of sample analyzed
	Saint Jean d'Arves / Arvan	04/2010 - 01/2014	1.00	0.91	4	45880	170
Caliet		01/2014 -01/2015	4.01	0.91	12	4290	27
Saint		01/2015 - 12/2017	0.76	0.900	15	173278	103
Arva		12/2017 – 12/2018	1.05	0.96	21	32794	71
		12/2018 - in progress	0.6	0.70	5	22374	94
	1)	10/2009 - 08/2012	1.25	0.95	44	82580	215
		08/2012 - 04/2013	0.82	0.98	9	27713	43
		04/2013 - 04/2014	0.95	0.98	172	32658	73
Ci Jea	an de Maurienne	04/2014 - 05/2015	0.80	0.86	27	8832	153
	an	05/2015 - 01/2017	0.88	0.96	35	16637	67
		01/2017 - 11/2017	1.09	0.98	7	83963	79
		11/2017 - 08/2018	0.87	0.99	8	43758	75
		08/2020 - in progress	-	-	-	-	1
		04/2009 - 05/2010	0.99	0.95	40	52486	110
Don	amafrey / Arc	02/2011 - 04/2019	1.08	0.92	2	45000	680
•		04/2019 - in progress	1.32	0.93	300	23000	116
		05/2011 - 10/2012	1.08	0.98	2	18349	215
	nannousset / Arc	10-2012 - 05/2017	1.03	0.92	6	19818	205
dil		05/2017 - 06/2017	0.75	0.99	79	6895	18
		06/2017 - in progress	1.00	0.89	1	15920	161
		01/2011 - 04/2017	0.91	0.91	21	3071	215
Moņ	Montmélian / Isère	05/2017 - 06/2017	0.90	0.72	100	430	22
		06/2017 - in progress	1.15	0.83	32	88000	386
		03/2006 - 05/2010	1.07	0.98	6	13700	678
	$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}$	05/2010 - 01/2012	0.93	0.93	4	10210	530
		01/2012 - 10/2012	1.07	0.98	6	13700	678
Gren	oble - Campus /	10/2012 - 03/2015	0.72	0.91	4	7140	565
isere	iseré	03/2015 - 05/2017	0.54	0.96	3	6731	298
		05/2017-09/2017	0.66	0.95	41	6478	145
		09/2017-08/2018	0.66	0.92	9	28448	161
		11/2018- in progress	0.88	0.96	10	5578	149
Acce							

