

# **The C-terminal domain of piggyBac transposase is not required for DNA transposition**

Laura Helou, Linda Beauclair, Hugues Dardente, Peter Arensburger, Nicolas Buisine, Yan Jaszczyszyn, Florian Guillou, Thierry Lecomte, Alex Kentsis, Yves Bigot

# **To cite this version:**

Laura Helou, Linda Beauclair, Hugues Dardente, Peter Arensburger, Nicolas Buisine, et al.. The Cterminal domain of piggyBac transposase is not required for DNA transposition. Journal of Molecular Biology, 2021, 433 (7), pp.1-20. 10.1016/j.jmb.2020.166805. hal-03115098

# **HAL Id: hal-03115098 <https://hal.science/hal-03115098v1>**

Submitted on 26 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## **Highlights**

The C-terminal CRD in *pble* transposases is not essential for transposition

 Two CRD-deficient *pble* transposases trigger transposition of *Ifp2*  $2\,25$ 

Proper and improper insertions occur when CRD-deficient transposases mediate mobility 4 26

CRD-deficient and full-length *pble* transposases do not insert transposons at random

Features of the domesticated transposase PGBD5 originate from wild type transposase

#### **Abstract**

 *PiggyBac*(PB)-like elements (*pble*) are members of a eukaryotic DNA transposon family. This family is of interest to evolutionary genomics because *pble* transposases have been domesticated at least 9 times in vertebrates. The amino acid sequence of *pble* transposases can be split into three regions: an acidic N-terminal domain (~100 aa), a central domain (~400 aa) containing a DD[D/E] catalytic triad, and a cysteine-rich domain (CRD; ~90 aa). Two recent reports suggested that a functional CRD is required for *pble* transposase activity. Here we found that two CRD-deficient *pble* transposases, a PB variant and an isoform encoded by the domesticated PB-derived vertebrate transposase gene 5 (*pgbd5*) trigger transposition of the *Ifp2 pble*. When overexpressed in HeLa cells, these CRD-deficient transposases can insert *Ifp2* elements with proper and improper transposon ends, associated with deleterious effects on cells. Finally, we found that mouse CRD-deficient transposase *Pgbd5*, as well as PB, do not insert pbles at random into chromosomes. Transposition events occurred more often in genic regions, in the neighbourhood of the transcription start sites and were often found in genes predominantly expressed in the human central nervous system. 44 45 **Keywords:** transposon / DNA cleavage / neuron / insertion preference / vertebrate 52 

 

## **Abbreviations:**

- CRD: cysteine-rich domain
- gDNA: genomic DNA  $2\,55$
- GFP: green fluorescent protein 4 56
- ISCR: insertion sites-containing regions 6 57
- NeoR : neomycin resistance
- NLS: nuclear localisation signal
- ORF: open reading frame
- PB: *piggyBac* transposase 13 61
- *pble*: *piggyBac*-like element 15 62
- PGBD or *pgbd*: "*piggyBac* derived transposase" protein or gene  $\begin{array}{c}\n17 \\
18 \\
\end{array}$
- STIR: sub-terminal inverted repeats
- SV40: simian virus 40
- TE: transposable element
- TIR: terminal inverted repeats 24 67
- TSD: target site duplication 26 68

69 

#### **Introduction**

 Transposable elements (TEs) gather diverse discrete DNA sequences of prokaryotic and eukaryotic origins that use a wide range of mobility mechanisms to transpose within the genome of their hosts [1-4]. *PiggyBac-like* elements (*pble*) consist of a family of DNA transposons that have so far only been found in animal genomes and with copy numbers that vary widely between host species [5]. *Pbles* are able to jump from one chromosomal locus to another using cut-and-paste transposition which is enzymatically catalysed by the transposase they encode. The first *pble* to be identified was *Ifp2* (a.k.a. *piggyBac*) from the cabbage looper moth *Trichoplusia ni* (Lepidoptera) [6]. It is the reference element in the *piggyBac* family for academic research purposes [7]. The *Ifp2* DNA sequence is 2476 bp in length and contains an open reading frame (ORF) coding a 594 amino acid transposase named PB. The *Ifp2* DNA sequence is flanked by 13 bp long terminal inverted repeats (TIR) and by 19 bp long subterminal inverted repeats (STIR) located internally at 3 and 31 82 nucleotides of distance of 5' and 3' TIR inner ends, respectively. PB excises the transposon from its donor chromosomal locus and reinserts it into a TTAA motif, which gets duplicated upon insertion. Sequence analyses revealed that PB contains at least 3 domains. The first domain spans from residues 1 to 116, shows no overt structural features and displays an acidic pI of 4.41. The second domain is a macro domain that extends from residues 117 to 535 and has a basic pI (9.29); it contains several highly conserved residues, including the predicted catalytic residues (D268, D346 and D447) that are required for all transposition steps. On both sides of the catalytic domain (residues 263 to 457), recent structures obtained by cryo-electron-microscopy [8] revealed two DNA binding sub-domains (residues 117 to 263 and 457 to 535, respectively) that bind to *Ifp2* TIRs. Finally, the third domain spans from residues 559 to 594, and contains a cysteine-rich domain (CRD, pI=9.07) for which the atomic structure was first solved by nuclear magnetic resonance [9]. This CRD was shown to bind to a 5'-TGCGT-3'/3'-ACGCA-5' motif that is contained within the 19 bp subterminal inverted repeat between positions 178 and 199 of the *Ifp2* sequence [9]. The CRD was also found to be vital for the dimerization of PB [10], the removal of the last seven residues being sufficient to yield a monomeric protein that still binds to *Ifp2* ends *in vitro*. Finally, this CRD contains a nuclear localisation signal (NLS) that is required to mediate PB nuclear localisation [11].

 Two studies [9,10] proposed non-exclusive roles for the CRD and the ability of PB to mediate transposition. In the first study, the authors concluded that the CRD was essential for *Ifp2*  transposition because the use of a CRD-deficient PB (PB.1-558) in an integration assay performed in mammalian cells did not lead to an increase in integration activity compared to controls done in the absence of the transposase [9]. The second study proposed that PB dimerisation might serve to prevent excessive transposition of *Ifp2* since removal of the CRD led the monomeric PB to be more active in transposition excision [10]. It is also possible that the absence of the CRD may cause

105 cytosolic retention since it contains a nuclear localization sequence (NLS).

106 Here we aimed to determine whether PB.1-558 fused to a simian virus 40 (SV40) NLS was able to mediate the transposition of *Ifp2* into human cell chromosomes. First, we showed that both PB.1-558 and PB.NLS-1-558 had a negative effect on obtaining clones in integration assays. Second, we found that PB.NLS-1-558 was able to carry out the transposition of *Ifp2* elements. Third, we compared the properties of PB.NLS-1-558 with those of another CRD-deficient *piggyBac* protein, 111 the domesticated murine and human PGBD5 protein. Fourth, we evaluated the quality of *Ifp2* ends neo-integrated into chromosomes by PB and the two CRD-deficient proteins, PB.NLS-1-558 and PGBD5. Finally, we determined whether the three proteins used similar or different pools of chromosomal insertion sites, whatever the state of *Ifp2* transposon ends.  $106$  $^{2}107$ 4108 6109  $7\overline{)}$  8  $9<sub>1</sub>$  $10<sup>1</sup>$  $11<sub>1</sub>$ 12 13113 15114

#### **Results**

17115

14

16

18 19 **1** 

 $22<sub>1</sub>$  $23<sup>-</sup>$ 

25

27

 $29<sub>1</sub>$  $30<sub>T</sub>$  $31<sub>1</sub>$  $32<sup>1</sup>$ 

34

36

3

5

#### PB.1-558 needs an NLS to locate into nuclei.  $20_1$  $21<sup>1</sup>$

We made a CRD-deficient PB mutant (PB.1-558) and a construct in which its N-terminal end was fused with a SV40 NLS (PB.NLS-1-558). Such a position for the NLS does not modify the transposition activity of PB and preserves the activity of the added localization motif or protein domain [12,13]. To assess cellular localization of both proteins we made two more constructs in which the green fluorescent protein (GFP) was C-terminally fused to PB.1-558 and PB.NLS-1-558. An expression vector encoding GFP was used as a diffusion control within the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 1a-c) and a vector encoding a PB-GFP fusion was used as a control for active import into the nuclei (Fig. 1d-f) [11]. Our data revealed that PB.1-558 is not enriched in the nucleus (Fig. 1g-i), which contrasts with its PB.NLS-1-558 counterpart that is almost completely nuclear (Fig. 1j- $38$ <br>39127 l). 24119 26120 28121 33124 35125 37126

#### 129 **PB variants display cytotoxicity**

Prior to assaying the ability of the full-length PB, PB.1-558, and PB.NLS-1-558 to trigger transposition of an *Ifp2* source, we checked whether these proteins impacted random integration rates into HeLa cell chromosomes. We used a DNA plasmid containing a gene cassette coding for a neomycin resistance (NeoR) without the *Ifp2* sequence, the pBSK-NeoR plasmid. This was 134 performed using a classic integration assay (see material and methods section). We observed that 135 the integration rate of pBSK-NeoR into chromosomes was significantly lower in the presence of each of the three variants compared to a control GFP sequence (Fig. 2a;  $\sim$ 1.25, 1.31, 2.67 folds 137 (1/fold change) for PB, PB.1-558, and PB.NLS-1-558, respectively). This indicated that PB and its variants have a deleterious effect on cells, including those that display random and stable integrations of NeoR into their chromosomes. The cytotoxicity of PB.NLS-1-558 is higher than that

 $^{40}_{41}$ 128

39

 $41<sup>1</sup>$ 

140 of PB and PB.1-558.

The results of integration assays (Fig. 2b) performed with the *Ifp2*-NeoR transposon donor plasmid confirmed that PB.1-558 has a negative effect on obtaining NeoR clones. The number of clones was 1.5 times lower than that obtained with the GFP control and 60 times lower than that obtained with PB. This indicated that integration assays performed with PB.1-558 are affected by both: 1) the cytotoxicity of PB.1-558, and 2) the integration of the NeoR cassette into chromosomes.  $141$  $^{2}142$  3 4143 5 6  $7\overline{)}$ 8

The number of NeoR clones obtained with PB.NLS-1-558 was 2.5 times higher than that with the GFP control. Due to the cytotoxicity of PB.NLS-1-558, this number was likely underestimated. After correcting for PB.1-558 toxicity rate (Fig. 2c) we estimate the number of integration events to be  $\sim$ 7 times higher what is found with the GFP control. Overall, this means that PB.NLS-1-558 is roughly 8 times less efficient than PB for obtaining NeoR clones in an integration assay done in HeLa cells. Because cytotoxicity hampered our ability to directly evaluate integration rates and was likely dose-dependent as observed with PGBD5 [14], we focused our investigation on the ability of 153 PB.NLS-1-558 to trigger *Ifp2* transposition by characterizing integration events into chromosomes by NeoR clones.  $9<sub>1</sub>$  $10<sup>1</sup>$  $11<sub>1</sub>$ 12 13148 14 15149 16 17 18  $19<sup>1</sup>$  $20_1$  $21^{\text{-}}$  $221$ 23 24154 25

#### 156 **Features of sites targeted by PB and PB.NLS-1-558 when integrating** *Ifp2* **into chromosomes**

To verify the presence of transposition events and to determine their sequence features, we produced fragment populations corresponding to *Ifp2*-chromosome junctions. These were made by LAM-PCR using genomic DNA (gDNA) of NeoR clone populations that were sequenced using Illumina Miseq technology. To prepare gDNA samples we used  $\sim 60000$  clones from integration assays done with *Ifp2*-NeoR and PB, and  $\sim$ 1000 clones from integration assays done with *Ifp2*-NeoR and 162 PB.NLS-1-558. Previous results of integration assays performed in HEK293 cells found that the rate of *Ifp2* integration into chromosomes by proper transposition (i.e. with a perfect duplication of the "TTAA" TSD and conservation of the TIR sequence) was about 96-98% when PB was used as a transposase source  $[15,16]$ . 35160 37161

Using DNA sequence alignments, we characterized 7623 *Ifp2*/chromosome junctions resulting from 167 integration events mediated by PB and 516 junctions mediated by PB.NLS-1-558, with *Ifp2*-NeoR as a transposon source (supplementary Table 1a and 2a). Sequenced junctions at the 5' and the 3' of *Ifp2* ends were not equally represented in the sequence data, likely because of efficiency differences at certain steps of DNA fragment amplification during the LAM-PCR. Sequence iunctions were further examined taking into account the conservation of TSD and TIR sequences, two features that were required to keep the capacity of neo-inserted elements to be efficiently remobilized during excision and insertion, i.e. to remain "active in transposition" [15,16]. Four kinds of junctions were observed: those displaying i) a full TIR sequence and a TTAA TSD (red 46166 47 48 49  $50<sup>1</sup>$  $51<sub>1</sub>$  $52<sup>1</sup>$  $53<sub>1</sub>$ 54 55171 57172 59173 60  $61<sup>1</sup>$ 

Helou *et al.* 7

62 63

56

58

26155

27 28  $29<sub>1</sub>$  $30<sub>T</sub>$  $31<sub>1</sub>$  $32^+$  $331$ 34

36

38 39  $40<sub>1</sub>$  $41<sup>1</sup>$  $42<sub>1</sub>$  $43<sup>2</sup>$  $441$ 45

175 bars from positions 101 to 104 and 2222 to 2225 in Fig. 3), ii) a region containing an intact TIR and a TTAA TSD juxtaposed to a little piece of plasmid backbone (black bars from positions 1 to 100 177 and 2226 to 2301 in Fig. 3a and b), iii) no TTAA TSD but a full TIR sequence (blue bars from positions 102 to 105 and 2218 to 2221 in Fig. 3), and iv) no TIR sequence lacking one or several nucleotides at its outer end (black bars from positions 107 to 178 and 2147 to 2217 in Fig. 3). The summary of results in Table 1 indicates that the rate of proper events when PB was used as a transposase was similar to that previously observed in other cell types, but 3.3% of junctions nevertheless displayed improper TSD, TIRs or both. Interestingly, 19.0% of junctions mediated by PB.NLS-1-558 were found to be proper, thus demonstrating that this variant is able to trigger canonical transposition events even though less efficiently than PB. Our results also indicated that 185 PB.NLS-1-558 integrated *Ifp2* into non-canonical TSDs approximately 25 times more often than 186 PB. Furthermore, TIRs were damaged or accompanied by a piece of backbone sequence of variable length juxtaposing the transposon in the transposon donor plasmid in about 65% of *Ifp2*/chromosome junctions (while they represented only 2.25% of junctions among integration events triggered by PB). These observations suggested that the observed junctions resulted from both proper transposition events and improper integration events that could be mediated by both PB variants, but the rates of each kind of integration events were dramatically different between the two proteins.  $176$  $^{2}177$  3 4178 5 6179  $7\overline{)}$  8  $9<sub>1</sub>$  $10<sup>1</sup>$  $11<sub>1</sub>$ 12 13183 14 15184 16 17 18  $19<sup>1</sup>$  $20_1$  $21^{\text{-}}$  $221$ 23 24189 25 26190 27 28  $29<sub>1</sub>$  $30<sub>T</sub>$ 

Next, we identified 5' and 3' junctions for events that occurred exactly at the same chromosomal insertion sites in both datasets. We observed that 7446 chromosomal sites were used and found 177 unambiguous insertion sites in our Lumpy raw file that were occupied several times. In these 177 sites, integration events occurred in both *Ifp2* orientations when mobility was mediated by PB 197 (supplementary Table 1b). A careful examination of the resulting bam file with IGV [17] revealed 11 cases of putative single integration events (supplementary Table 1b, case highlighted in cyan blue). Among them, three corresponded to *Ifp2* transposons displaying at least one TIR damaged at its outer end, and one TIR was inserted into a duplicated TSD corresponding to a duplicated CATG motif. As previously described [18,19] we also found four sites in which both integration events 202 occurred into non-canonical TSD (CATG, TATC, ACAT, TTCC; supplementary Table 1b) and 16 sites where two events occurred with the insertion of transposons with at least one improper end. These results suggest that virtually any type of non-canonical integration can be found at a very low 205 frequency when *Ifp2* was transposed by PB. In data resulting from the transposition of *Ifp2* by PB.NLS-1-558, we found that 516 chromosomal sites were used and identified 32 unambiguous insertion sites for which integration events occurred in both orientations of the transposon. Four of 208 these putatively corresponded to single integration events (supplementary Table 2b, case highlighted in cyan blue, two would correspond to canonical integrations by transposition and two  $31<sub>1</sub>$  $32^+$ 33194 35195 37196 38 39  $40<sub>1</sub>$  $41<sup>1</sup>$  $42<sub>1</sub>$  $43<sup>2</sup>$  $442$ 45 46201 47 48 49  $50<sup>2</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\frac{2}{3}}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54 55206 57207  $59208$ 60  $61^{\sim}$ 

Helou *et al.* 8

62

56

58

34

34

36

 $442$ 45

47 48 49  $50<sup>2</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\frac{2}{3}}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54

210 with non-canonical TIR or TSD). The main difference with PB is that improper events were dramatically more frequent when transposition was mediated by PB.NLS-1-558.  $1211$ 

#### 213 **PGBD5 a natural domesticated CRD-deficient** *pble* **transposase** 4213

We compared the transposition features of the PB.NLS-1-558 variant to those of murine and human 215 orthologues of the oldest domesticated *piggyBac* transposase since the origin of vertebrates, PGBD5 (Mm523 and Hs524) [20]. Alignment of three protein sequences (Fig. 4) revealed that both CRDdeficient proteins displayed an acidic N-terminal domain and a second domain with a basic  $pI (\sim 9.2)$ containing an apparent catalytic triad composed of 3 acidic amino acid residues that were essential 219 for transposition activity [20]. Another shared feature was their ability to trigger *Ifp2* transposition [14,21]. This transposition ability was rather unexpected for PGBD5 compared to PB.NLS-1-558 221 because the PGDB5 catalytic triad was not located at the same positions as in *pble* transposases 222 (Fig. 3, bold residues highlighted in yellow). PGBD5 acquired a new putative NLS that is centrally located in the sequences of Mm523 and Hs524 (Fig. 3, RKRKKRK motif typed in green and 224 underlined). In agreement with the literature [14] we observed that the ectopic expression of murine PGBD5 isoform of 523 amino acids (Mm523) reduced the apparent efficiency of obtaining NeoR clones (Fig. 5a) that is close to that of PB.NLS-1-558 in HeLa cells (Fig. 2a). In integration assays done with the *Ifp2*-NeoR transposon donor plasmid under experimental conditions similar to those used above for PB.NLS-1-558, the rate of NeoR clones obtained with Mm523 (Fig. 5b) was similar 229 to that obtained with the GFP control. In order to verify whether this was due to PGBD5 cytotoxicity, we used a second cellular system developed in human rhabdoid tumor G401 cells and in which the endogenous expression of PGBD5 (Hs524) was found to have little impact on cell viability [14]. Under these experimental conditions, we found that the rate of NeoR clones was sevenfold higher than that of the GFP control (Fig. 5b). Together, this indicates that the expression rate of CRD-deficient *pble* transposases strongly impact the outcome of integration assays. 6214 13218 15219 24224 26225 27 28  $29<sub>2</sub>$  $30<sup>2</sup>$  $31<sub>2</sub>$  $32^{\sim}$ 33229 35230 37231 38 39Z  $40<sub>2</sub>$  $41^{\prime}$  $42<sub>2</sub>$  $43<sup>2</sup>$ 

The sequence features of integration events were studied through *Ifp2*-chromosome junctions 236 obtained with Mm523 and Hs524. We prepared gDNA samples from ~1800 and 1600 NeoR clones 237 obtained from integration assays done with *Ifp2*-NeoR and, Mm523 or Hs524, respectively. Using the Mm523 gDNA sample, we obtained 1461 transposon/chromosome junctions that were analyzed as described above (supplementary Table 3a). The profiles of transposon/chromosome junctions were found to be similar between integration events mediated by PB.NLS-1-558 and Mm523 (Fig. 3c, and Table 2 versus last raw in Table1). This was also verified by examining chromosomal sites where we found integration events in both orientations within the 1461 chromosomal sites used 243 (supplementary Table 3a and b). When the junctions were categorized and analyzed in terms of percentages at each *Ifp2* end for PB, PB.NLS-1-558 and Mm523 we observed that: i) proper 46236 55241 57

Helou *et al.* 9

56

245 junctions occurred more often at the 3' end than at the 5'end (Fig. 6, red bars), and ii) among improper iunctions, those without a canonical TSD and those located within TIR and juxtaposed with transposon sequences (Fig. 6, blue bars and internal black bars, i.e. wounds at transposition ends as exemplified in [22]) occurred more often than those located within the plasmid backbone sequences juxtaposed near the TSD and TIR of the donor plasmid (Fig. 3, flanking black bars). Using the Hs524 gDNA sample, we obtained 1051 transposon/chromosome junctions 251 (supplementary Table 4a). The junction profile was overall similar to those of both CRD-deficient proteins (Fig. 3c and 6d), but it displayed a marked difference in that there were fourfold and twofold less proper insertion events by transposition than in those obtained with PB.NLS-1-558 and Mm523, respectively. Unexpectedly, this suggests that PGBD5 is more prone to trigger improper integration 255 in rhabdoid tumor G401 cells, consistent with the proposal that PGBD5 exhibits aberrant activities in human rhabdoid tumors [14]. Since we observed that PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 display similar junction profile, we wondered if their insertion site preferences might be similar.  $^{22}_{\circ}$  258  $1246$ 2247 4 6249  $7<sub>2</sub>$  $8<sup>2</sup>$  $9<sub>2</sub>$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $11<sub>2</sub>$  $12<sup>-</sup>$ 13 14 15254 16 17  $18<sub>2</sub>$ 19<sup>2</sup>  $20<sub>2</sub>$  $21<sup>2</sup>$ 

#### 259 **Features of insertion sites targeted by PB variants and PGBD5 when integrating** *Ifp2* **into**  260 **chromosomes**  24259 26260

PB and PGBD5 have been shown to integrate *Ifp2* into intragenic regions more frequently than expected by chance, specifically within transcription start site (TSS) regions flanking  $(\pm 5 \text{ kbp})$ 263 protein-coding genes [23-26]. Using our junction data, we observed that PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523, Hs524 did not distributed *Ifp2* integrations at random into intergenic and intragenic regions (Fig. 7a; Chi2,  $p = 2.08x10^{-95}$ ,  $2.21x10^{-10}$ , 0.0026, and  $3.72x10^{-81}$  respectively), but with a significant enrichment for intragenic regions (hypergeometric test,  $p \ll 0.01$  for the four proteins). Similar investigations were also done within regions flanking TSSs of five types of genes coding for: i) proteins, ii) non-coding RNA (ncRNA), iii) micro RNA (miRNA), or being annotated in hg38 as iv) pseudogenes or v) uncharacterized genes. We also found that *Ifp2* was integrated more frequently 270 than expected by chance within regions flanking TSSs in the 5 types of genes, except for the two 271 CRD-deficient proteins into uncharacterized genes (Fig. 7b; Chi2,  $p = 2.58 \times 10^{-9}$ ,  $2.16 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $8.27 \times 10^{-7}$ <sup>12</sup>, and 3.43  $\times 10^{-9}$  respectively), and with a significant enrichment in each type of genes (hypergeometric test,  $p \ll 0.01$  for the four proteins), except for the miRNA and ncRNA genes in the PB.NLS-1-558 and Mm523 datasets, respectively (hypergeometric test,  $p = 0.043$  and 0.051). In addition to these global distribution features, a striking feature was that our Lumpy raw files, after manual investigation using IGV, contained 166 (i. e. 177-11), 24 (44-20), 23 (26-3) and 11  $(13-2)$  chromosomal sites, each displaying a fragment containing the *Ifp2* element inserted in both 278 orientations, i.e. inserted at least twice into these sites when integration events were mediated by PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524, respectively. We also found common insertions sites 35265 37266 46271 55276 57277  $59278$ 

56

58

3

5

23

25

27 28  $29<sub>2</sub>$  $30<sup>2</sup>$  $31<sub>2</sub>$  $32^{\sim}$  $332$ 34

36

38 39Z  $40<sub>2</sub>$  $41<sup>2</sup>$  $42<sub>2</sub>$  $43<sup>2</sup>$  $442$ 45

47 48 49  $50<sup>2</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\frac{2}{3}}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54

280 among the PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 datasets (Table 3, lines 1, 3 and 5). These insertion events occurred at the same nucleotide position site but this was not due to sample contamination since they resulted from *Ifp2* integration events in different orientations and in some cases from properly and improperly integrated *Ifp2* transposons. The number of these observations was increased when using a 1000 bp window on both sides at each chromosomal insertion site 285 (Table 3, lines 2, 4 and 6; regions called below insertion sites-containing regions (ISCR)).  $1281$ 2282 3 4283 5 6284  $7<sub>2</sub>$  $8<sup>2</sup>$ 

286 The choice of an insertion site by any *pble* transposase does not fully occur at random since a TTAA motif is used. In the human genome model hg38, there are 18,713,270 TTAA motifs. Public data about DNAse I hypersensitivity mapping revealed that 98% of them are located in open chromatin 289 in HeLa cells (but also in HEK cells), i.e. accessible to DNA binding proteins such as transposases. This means that the probability of integrating an *Ifp2* transposon twice into a single target site lies about 1.8  $x10^{-7}$  in hg38. Taking into account the size of datasets used herein, to find several insertions by chance into the same site is therefore unexpected under our experimental conditions. Given the putative impact of some specific genomic features of HeLa cells such as their aneuploidy  $9<sub>2</sub>$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $11<sub>2</sub>$  $12<sup>-</sup>$ 13 14 15289 16 17  $18<sub>2</sub>$ 19<sup>2</sup>  $20<sub>2</sub>$  $21<sup>2</sup>$  $222$ 23

[27-30], we further investigated ISCR features in other cell lines taking advantage of public datasets. We used three of them that were produced from integration assays performed with *Ifp2* and PB in 296 HEK293 [23], in HCT116 [15] and in CD4+ [26] cells (21,967, 172,866 and 8954 chromosomal sites, respectively (Table S4a, b, c)). 24294 26295

First, we confirmed that the rate of insertions mediated by PB into intragenic regions in each of the four cell lines (HeLa, HEK293, HCT116 and CD4+; Table 4a, column 4) is 7 to 18% higher than expected by chance  $(51.6\%;$  Table 4b, column 1). This preference could not be explained by the numbers of TTAA motifs in intragenic regions (53.1%; Table 3c, column 2), which is close to that expected by chance. In spite of variations of aneuploidy and chromatin profiles between the four cell lines, the insertion preference into intragenic regions does not appear to correlate to these features. 35300 37301

In order to verify the statistical consistency of insertion sites shared between datasets, all pairs of datasets were compared taking into account the variation of TTAA motif distribution between intra and intergenic regions (Table 4c). P-values indicated that the number of commonly used 308 chromosomal insertion sites was significantly more elevated than expected by chance (Table 3; raws 1 to 16) whatever the window used around the insertion sites (0 or 1000 bp). We also observed that 310 18 ISCR were shared by the four datasets obtained with *Ifp2* and PB in the four cell lines. 46306

311 We also examined insertion datasets obtained with the transposon *sleeping beauty* in HEK293 and 312 CD4+ cells (28490 and 8290 insertion sites, respectively [15,26]). Taking into account the distribution of its TA targets in hg38 (Table 4c), results in Table 3 revealed that this transposon does not display a significant preference between available putative TA target sites. It displayed higher 55311 57312 58 59 60  $61^{\text{J}}$ 

Helou *et al.* 11

62 63

56

25

27 28  $29<sub>2</sub>$  $30<sup>2</sup>$  $31<sub>2</sub>$  $32^{\sim}$  $332$ 34

36

47 48 49  $50<sup>5</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\circ}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54

315 rates of insertion into intragenic regions (~62.5%, Table 4a) than predicted by chance (51.6%, Table 316 4b). In contrast to PB, this can however be correlated with TA density that is dramatically increased in these regions  $(60.4\%$ . Table 4c) compared to intergenic ones.  $1316$ 2317

In all, our data reveal that PB, PB.1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 insert *Ifp2* preferentially into intragenic regions with some level of site preference between available TTAA target sites. 4318 6319

#### 321 **Features of genes targeted by** *Ifp2* **insertions mediated by PB variants and PGBD5**

We wondered whether insertion site preferences of *pble* transposases might also be seen at the level of some intragenic regions. We postulated that experimental conditions of transposition assays are 324 conducive to forced integration of transposons into chromosomes. Therefore, we predicted that insertions should be enriched among ISCR shared by several datasets than among those unique to each dataset. 13323 15324

In the ontology analysis done with the 410 genes overlapped by ISCR and shared by at least two datasets among those obtained with PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 in HeLa cells (Figure 329 6a), we found that 35/50 significant terms were directly related to the nervous system (Table 5). This issue was therefore further investigated by verifying whether there was an enrichment of "neuron genes" among ISCR overlapping with genes. For this purpose, we used the 6854 "neuron genes" identified in hg19 based on the expression properties of 29165 genes (protein-, ncRNA- and miRNA-coding plus some uncharacterized genes and pseudogenes) in 216 distinct human brain structures [31]. We found that neuronally expressed genes were significantly enriched in each of the 335 PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 datasets obtained in HeLa cells (Table 4a, columns 5,6,7). They were again enriched among the 697 genes overlapped by ISCR that were shared by at least two of four datasets. This enrichment in neuron genes was 31.7-38.8% in each of the four datasets and 37.2% (259/697) among the 697 shared genes. These results therefore support the notion that 339 neuron genes are preferred regions for *pble* transposases to insert *Ifp2*.  $20<sub>2</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$  $22<sub>2</sub>$ 23 24329 26330 27 28**3**  $29<sub>2</sub>$  $30<sup>5</sup>$  $31<sub>2</sub>$  $32^{\circ}$ 33 34 35335 37336 38 39**3**  $40<sub>2</sub>$  $41^{\circ}$  $42<sub>2</sub>$ 43

These observations were confirmed using datasets obtained in HEK293, HCT116 and CD4+ cells. First, we found that neuronally expressed genes were significantly enriched in each of the four datasets (Table 4a, columns 5,6,7). This did not appear to be related to the target density since the percentage of ISCR in those genes was found to be 3 to 8% more elevated than the rate of TTAA motifs in those genes (Table 4a, column 4 versus Table 4c, column 3). We found that genes overlapped by ISCR and shared by at least two of four datasets did not display a significant enrichment in neuron genes (3884/12618; 30.8%; Figure 6b). However, a very strong enrichment was found when only ISCR shared by the four datasets were kept for the analysis (705/1100, 64%) neuron genes) and a strong depletion in neuron genes was found among genes occurring in only one dataset (2273/12186; 18.7%).  $443$ 45 46341 48342 49  $50<sup>5</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\circ}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54 55346 57347 59348 60  $61^{\text{J}}$ 

Helou *et al.* 12

64 65

62 63

 $^{7}_{8}$ 320

3

5

8ა  $9<sub>2</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>2</sub>$ 12

14

16 173  $18<sub>2</sub>$ دو1

25

36

47

56

350 Finally, we evaluated whether the insertion preferences into neuronally expressed genes were specific to PB by analysing the same features in datasets obtained with two unrelated transposons 352 [15,26]. Data obtained with *sleeping beauty* in HCT116 and CD4 cells and with a *TcBuster* in 353 HCT116 cells indicated that both transposons also inserted more frequently into neuronally expressed genes than in other genes (Table 4a, column 4,5,6). Their insertion preferences were also 355 about 6-8% above the density in their respective target motif (Table 4c, column 3). For *sleeping beauty*, we also found that there was an enrichment in neuronally expressed genes among genes that overlapped by ISCR and shared by both datasets (1143 neuron genes for 2813 genes; 40.63%) and a depletion in those which were only found in one dataset (2625/9791; 26.8%).  $1^{351}$ 2352 4353 6354  $7<sub>2</sub>$ 8ა  $9<sub>2</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>2</sub>$ 12 13358 14

359 Altogether, these last results reveal that *pble* transposases insert *Ifp2* more often than expected by 360 chance into neuronally expressed genes. However, this apparent preference is also displayed by 361 *sleeping beauty* and very likely by *TcBuster,* indicating that it is not specific of *pble* transposases*.*  This is not related to the gene size and the number of TTAA and TA because the densities in target motifs are very close in neuron and non-neuron genes (TTAA:  $5.318 \pm 0.029$  and  $5.114 \pm 0.015$ motifs/kbp, respectively; TA:  $44.68 \pm 0.156$  and  $44.07 \pm 0.083$  motifs/kbp, respectively). Therefore, this might result from the enhanced accessibility of neuronally expressed genes or their association with DNA repair and chromatin remodeling factors that support DNA transposition, a property that would be shared by multiple cell lines as exemplified here. 15359 24364 26365

#### 369 **Discussion**

 $\frac{31}{22}368$ 

 $32^{\circ}$ 33 34

36

47

49  $50<sup>5</sup>$  $51<sub>2</sub>$  $52^{\circ}$  $53<sub>2</sub>$ 54

56

58

3

5

16 173  $18<sub>2</sub>$ دو1  $20<sub>2</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$  $22<sub>2</sub>$ 23

25

27 28**3**  $29<sub>2</sub>$  $30<sup>5</sup>$ 

This study generated two sets of novel insights. First, the two CRD-deficient transposases PB.NLS-371 1-558 and PGBD5 (Mm523 and Hs524) mediate canonical *Ifp2* transposition, but also noncanonical events that may result from events of improper transposition, transposase-dependent integration by recombination and random integration. We assume that these CRD-deficient 374 transposases operate at a reduced efficiency than the "wild-type" or "full-length" *piggyBac*  375 transposase but their cytotoxicity on host cells and the possibility that they do integration by transposase-dependent recombination indicated that they have nuclease activity, as previously suggested for PGBD5 isoforms [13]. Furthermore, cytotoxicity issues often arise from the balance between the level of expressed protein and the efficiency of mechanisms responsible for the maintenance of genome integrity, which varies widely from one cell line to another. Such effects could also be related to the cell cycle. This might explain why PB.NLS-1-558, which was always located in the nucleus, had a more negative effect than PB.1-558 (Fig. 2a), which was mainly cytoplasmic during most of the cell cycle and in contact with chromosomes only during the cell division phase. 35370 37371 46376 48377 55381 57382 59383

384 The second insight from this work concerns the ability of PB and both CRD-deficient *pble* 

Helou *et al.* 13

385 transposases to trigger integration events that did not seem to occur at random into chromosomes. 386 However, we cannot assume strict insertion site specificity of *pble* transposases since only a small bart of the observed insertions events in datasets are the same, down to the same nucleotide position. 388 However, our data demonstrated that these transposases displayed real preferences for insertion into 389 regions containing genes and frequently close to their TSS. In addition, our results supported that 390 *pble* transposases frequently targeted their *pble* insertions into genes committed to the central nervous system function. This last point will need further experimental confirmation. Indeed, the lengths of genes involved in nervous system function were, on average, longer than those of other genes (for review see [32]). An alternative interpretation might be that the insertion sites we found were preferentially located in neuronally expressed genes due to their size. However, our results take into account the genome coverage and the density in TTAA motif and support that the observed insertion preferences are not related these factors.  $1386$ 

#### 398 **Contribution to the understanding of** *piggyBac* **transposition.**

Previous studies suggested two roles for the CRD of PB. The CRD might be an essential component of DNA-binding to the ends of *Ifp2*, mandatory for transposition [9]. This was confirmed in another study, which also indicated that the CRD was essential for the assembly of the transposase dimer, the active oligomer form for transposition [10]. Here we demonstrate that two CRD-deficient 403 *piggyBac* transposases were able to trigger proper *pble* transposition. Therefore, the CRD is not 404 essential for transposition but seems necessary for triggering proper transposition events, probably 405 by driving precise DNA cleavages at *pble* ends and directing a strict choice of TSD.

### 407 **Evolutionary reasons for domesticating a CRD-deficient** *pble* **transposase**

These results, as well as previously published data [14,20,21], have highlighted two properties of PB, and perhaps of other *pble* transposases, that may have previously been underestimated in the 410 context of transposase-coding gene domestication. First, while PB mostly mediates proper *Ifp2*  transposition, it is also sometimes responsible for improper transposition that leads to neo-inserted elements that are difficult or impossible to re-mobilise during new rounds of transposition. Second, *pble* transposases might display strong preferences for insertion and genome rearrangements. Indeed, we noted that PGBD5 is highly expressed in the mammalian nervous system [20] and that 415 current publicly available data [\(https://www.gtexportal.org/home/gene/PGBD5;](https://www.gtexportal.org/home/gene/PGBD5) https://www.proteinatlas.org/search/PGBD5) widely support this conclusion. However, these data concern mRNA expression and data regarding mRNA translation and protein expression will be required. Nevertheless, if acquiring a mechanism for triggering irreversible DNA rearrangements in the early steps of vertebrate evolution in the nervous system can be considered advantageous, then

420 PGBD5 seems to possess all the properties required to play such a role. The evolutionary history of the RAG1/RAG2 proteins [33] suggests that each time a domesticated transposase has emerged during evolution its domestication was concurrent with the domestication of its transposon targets. In the PGBD5 context, verifying that *pbles* are domesticated and are used as binding targets by PGBD5 for genome rearrangements will be challenging. Indeed, while PGBD5 is a highly 425 conserved protein in vertebrates, the *pble* landscape in these genomes varies drastically from one host species to the next. In the human genome three *pbles* unrelated to PGBD5 are annotated: 427 MER75, MER85 and *Looper.* In the mouse genome only one *pble* closely related to human *Looper* 428 is annotated. In the zebrafish seven *pbles* that were not related to PGBD5 and to those present in human and mouse genomes, have been annotated. In the chicken genome no *pble* has been found 430 so far [34,35]. It is possible that PGBD5 has been domesticated in order to mobilise multiple *pbles* for recombination. Its protein sequence conservation in chicken and the absence of *pbles* in this species suggests that it binds to other DNA binding targets, which may be related to the PGBD5specific signal (PSS) sequences observed in human rhabdoid tumors [14]. 434 24 435 **Materials and methods** 436 **cDNA cloning of PGBD5 murine isoforms.** A single mouse brain (strain C57Bl6) was used for total RNA extraction using Tri-reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St-Louis, MO, USA). cDNA synthesis was carried out using Omniscript RT kit and oligo 439 dT primers (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). PCR primers with appropriate flanking restriction sites were synthesized by Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany. PCR was performed with Phusion 441 High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (ThermoScientific). Following agarose gel electrophoresis, PCR fragments were extracted (QIAquick gel extraction kit, Qiagen), submitted to enzyme restriction 443 (*Eco*RI/*Xba*I for the long N-term isoform and *Eco*RI/*Xho*I for the short N-term isoform), purified 444 (QIAquick PCR purification kit, Qiagen) and kept for cloning. Their sequence identity was verified by Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany). The primers used to amplify  $Mm523$  (Accession N°:  $XM\_006530804.1$ ) isoforms are supplied in supplementary data 1a. 48447 Integration assay.  $1^{421}$  $2422$  3 4423 5 6 7  $8<sup>4</sup>$  $9_{\Lambda}$  $10^{\texttt{T}}$  $11<sub>4</sub>$ 12 13428 14 15429 16 17 18  $19<sup>4</sup>$  $20<sub>A</sub>$  $21^{\mathbf{T}}$  $22<sub>4</sub>$ 23 25 26435 27 28436  $29<sub>1</sub>$  $30<sup>4</sup>$  $31<sub>1</sub>$  $32^{\text{-}1}$ 33439 34 35440 36 37441 38 39442 40  $41<sup>4</sup>$  $42<sub>A</sub>$ 43  $44445$ 45 46446 47 49 50448  $51<sub>1</sub>$ 

449 *Plasmid expression for transposases.* The plasmids pCS2-PB and pCS2-PB.NLS-1-558 encode the V5 tagged PB transposases. Each cDNA was inserted into the multi-cloning site of the pCS2+ vector (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) as described [36]. The plasmid  $pCS2-Mm523$  encodes a two myc tagged PGBD5 isoform 524 amino acid residues in size. Mm523 cDNA was inserted into the multi-453 cloning site of a modified pCS2 vector with an in-frame N-term 5XMyc tag [37]. The plasmid pCS2-  $^{60}_{61}$  GFP plasmid was built by cloning the gene coding for the green fluorescent protein gene into the 55451 57452 59453

Helou *et al.* 15

64 65

 $52^4$  $53<sub>4</sub>$ 54

56

58

455 multi-cloning site of the pCS2+ vector. pCS2-GFP was used as a negative control of transposition (i.e. absence of transposase expression).  $1^{456}$ 

**Plasmids donor of transposon.** The plasmid pBSK-IFP2-TIR5'-NeoR-TIR3' (supplementary data 459 1b) was built by introducing the IFP2 5' and 3' terminal regions (262 and 400 bp, respectively) into the pBluescript SK plasmid (pBSK). A cassette (NeoR) containing a SV40 promoter, the neomycin phosphotransferase ORF and a sv40 terminator was cloned between transposon ends as described [36]. NeoR was cloned in its middle using a BamHI site that was added to its sequence during DNA synthesis. The plasmid pBSK-NeoR was built by cloning the NeoR cassette into the multi-cloning site of a pBSK plasmid as described [38]. 4458 6459 13463 15464

*Integration assay in HeLa cells.* Assays were monitored as described [36]. Briefly, each sample of 467 100000 cells in a well of a 24-well plates of plaque assays was co-transfected with JetPEI (Polyplustransfection, Illkirch-Graffenstaden) and 400 ng DNA plasmid and with equal amounts of donor of NeoR cassette included or not within a transposon and transposase sources (1:1 ratio). Two days post-transfection, each cell sample was transferred to a cell culture dish (100 mm diameter) and selected with a culture medium containing 800 μg/mL G418 sulfate (Eurobio Scientific, Les Ulis)  $^{29}_{30}$ 472 for 15 days. After two washing with 1X saline phosphate buffer, cell clones were fixed and stained overnight with 70% EtOH-0.5% methylene blue and colonies  $> 0.5$  mm in diameter were counted. Experiments were performed at least twice in triplicate. 24469 26470 28471  $33474$ 

476 **Integration assay in G401 cells.** Assays were monitored as described [14]. Two clonal cell G401 lines were used. The first line was lentivirally transduced to constitutively express specific shRNA  $^{40}_{41}$ 478 suppressing the expression of Hs524 PGBD5 [14]. The second line was modified as a control to constitutively express shRNA to target GFP which is not expressed, thereby preserving the endogenous expression of Hs524 PGBD5. Briefly, each sample of 100000 cells in a well of a 24well plates of plaque assays was transfected with jetOptimus and 500 ng DNA plasmid pBSK-IFP2-482 TIR5'-NeoR-TIR3' as recommended by the supplier (Polyplus- transfection, Illkirch-Graffenstaden). Two days post-transfection, each cell sample was transferred to a cell culture dish  $(100 \text{ mm diameter})$  and selected with a culture medium containing  $2 \text{ mg/mL } G418$  sulfate (Eurobio Scientific, Les Ulis) for 15 days. After two washing with 1X saline phosphate buffer, cell clones were fixed and stained overnight with 70% EtOH-0.5% methylene blue and colonies  $> 0.5$  mm in diameter were counted. Experiments were performed at least twice in triplicate. 37476 39477 46481 48482 50483 57487

#### 489 **Cellular localization of green fluorescent protein-fusion proteins**

Helou *et al.* 16

63 64 65

59488

58

60 61489 62

 $^{2}457$ 

3

5

 7  $8<sup>4</sup>$  $9_{\lambda}$  $10^{\texttt{T}}$  $11_A$ 12

17465

14

16

18 19<sup>4</sup>  $20<sub>4</sub>$  $21<sup>7</sup>$  $22<sub>A</sub>$ 23

25

27

 $29<sub>1</sub>$  $30<sup>4</sup>$  $31<sub>1</sub>$  $32<sup>7</sup>$ 

35475

34

36

38

40  $41<sup>4</sup>$  $42<sub>1</sub>$  $43<sup>7</sup>$  $44<sub>4</sub>$ 45

47

49

51  $52^4$  $53<sub>4</sub>$  $54<sup>1</sup>$  $55<sub>4</sub>$ 56

490 *Plasmid expression for transposase-GFP fusions.* The plasmids pCS2-PB-GFP, pCS2-PB.1-558, pCS2-PB.NLS-1-558 and pCS2-Mm523-GFP were made as described [39].  $1^{491}$ 

493 *Cell manipulation*. HeLa cells were plated at a density of 5 x  $10^4$  cells per well in 1 cm<sup>2</sup> Lab-Tek<sup>TM</sup> chamber slides (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and grown in DMEM (Gibco/Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10 % heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Eurobio, France) at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 for 48 h. Cells were transfected with 500 ng plasmid DNA and jetPEI™ (Polyplus Transfection, Illkirch, France) at an N/P ratio of 5 in DMEM 10% FBS following the Manufacturer's instructions. Cells were then incubated with the complexes for 4 h. The transfection medium was then discarded and replaced by fresh DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS before being incubated for 48 hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C.

**Imaging.** Cells on slides were fixed in 1X PBS/2% paraformaldehyde at RT for 15 min, and then permeabilised with PBS/1% (w/v) Triton-X100 for 10 min. The slides were washed three times for 5 min with 1x PBS. Nuclei were stained using Vectashield Vibrance "Antifade Mounting Medium (hardening) + DAPI" (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame CA, USA). All images of fluorescence were 506 collected with an LSM 700 laser scanning microscope and the associated Zen software (Carl Zeiss,  $\frac{29}{30}$ 507 Oberkochen, Germany). All images shown correspond to one focal plane (0.5 µm). Images to be used for figures were pseudocolored by LSM Image browser software (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY) and Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) was on the resulting tiff files only to adjust for brightness and contrast.

#### 512 **Recovery of integration sites.**

513 *LAM-PCR and Illumina libraries.* Integration assays were done to produce cell populations containing integrated copies of the donor transposon. Fifteen days post-transfection, cell clones were harvested for genomic DNA preparation using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Linear amplification-mediated PCR (LAM-PCR) was performed to amplify the vector-genomic 517 DNA junctions of *Ifp2* vectors as described [40]. All PCR were done using the high fidelity Q5 DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). For both approaches, 1 µg DNA was used for twice 50 rounds of linear amplification using a biotinylated primer anchored near one end of the NeoR cassette to enrich DNA species containing transposon-chromosomal DNA junctions (for sequences of  $(B)$ -NeoR 5' and 3' primers, see supplementary data 1c). One reaction was done per 522 ends. The single-stranded products were immobilized on streptadivin-coated magnetic beads 523 (Dynabeads M-280 Streptavidin, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All subsequent steps were performed on the magnetic bead-bound DNA. Two washes with water followed each step. Second strand

525 synthesis was performed with random hexamer primers (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using Klenow 526 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). The double-stranded DNA was split in 527 two batches and subjected to restriction digests with *DpnI* for the first one and *Pci*I, *Nco*I and *Bsp*HI for the second one using restriction enzymes. The DNA fragments with a CG-3' or a CATG-3' overhang ends were ligated to linkers displaying appropriate overhang ends and made from annealed 530 oligonucleotides (supplementary data 1c).  $1526$  $2527$  3 4528 5 6529  $7\overline{)}$ 8ა

To increase the specificity of the full process, an initial PCR was done using one biotinylated primer anchored within the 5' or 3' region of the transposon donor and one primer anchored within the linker (for sequences of (B)-TIR-UTR 5' and 3', and LC1 primers, see supplementary data 1c). PCR 534 products were immobilized on streptadivin-coated magnetic beads and purified as described above. Next, the bead-bound DNA was subjected to a nested PCR using nested primers anchored within transposon ends and within linkers (supplementary data 1c). Final PCR products were purified, 537 quantified and gathered in equimolar DNA amounts for each transposon vector (4 populations of LAM-PCR products) before being used to make Illumina libraries using NEBNext® Ultra™ II 539 DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® and NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (New England 540 Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Fragment size selection, library quality control and Illumina sequencing (MiSeq 250 nucleotides, TruSeq SBS Kit v3) were achieved at the Plateforme de Séquençage Haut Débit I2BC (Gif-sur-Yvette, France). DNA quantities were monitored at various steps in the procedure with the Qubit® dsDNA (Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA). 13533 15534 24539 26540

**Computer analysis.** Trimmomatic [41] was used to filter Miseq reads using default parameters, except for SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 and MINLEN:100. The purpose of the following steps was to 547 recover chromosome-inserted DNA fragment junctions taking into account the plasmid backbone 548 regions located 100-bp upstream and downstream the *Ifp2*-NeoR transposon. Filtered reads were first mapped to the sequence of plasmid backbone minus the 100-bp regions flanking on both sides the *Ifp2*-NeoR transposon with bwa-mem using default parameters [42]. Unmapped reads were then 551 extracted reads using SAMtools view with parameters -b -f 4 [43] and bamToFastq from the 552 BEDTools suite using default parameters [44]. Recovered unmapped reads were aligned using bwa-553 mem against a bwa bank gathering the sequences of hg38 chromosomes plus those of the *Ifp2*-NeoR transposon flanked by the 100-bp plasmid backbone regions on both sides (supplementary data 1d). Default parameters were used excepted for -w 1 and -r 1. The bam files resulting from each dataset 556 alignment were analysed with Lumpy in order to identify split reads [45]. The parameters were -e - 557 mw 2 -tt 0.0 and back\_distance:20,weight:1,id:lumpy\_v1,min\_mapping\_threshold:20. Structural variants (SV) characterized by "BND" for the broken end notations and displaying for each of them an SV with two positions, one genomic and one on the transposon, were extracted using a house 35545 37546 46551  $48552$ 57557 59558

 $\frac{33}{2}$ 544

34

36

38 39**5**  $40 41<sup>5</sup>$  $42<sub>5</sub>$  $43^{\circ}$  $445$ 45

47

58

 $9<sub>5</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>5</sub>$ 12

14

 $16$ 17  $18 -$ 19<sup>5</sup>  $20<sub>5</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$  $225$ 23

25

27 28  $29<sub>r</sub>$  $30<sub>2</sub>$  $31<sub>5</sub>$  $32^{\circ}$ 

560 python program (https://github.com/Leelouh/lumpy2site). Results were filtered taking into account a difference below 3 between the transposon breakpoint calculated by Lumpy and the maximal spread of read alignments in the transposon donor sequence for each integration event. Each TSD nucleotide motif at insertion site was obtained after extracting 10-bp sequences before and after the breakpoint in the chromosome sequences.  $1561$  $2562$ 4563 6564

Gene ontology (GO) analyses were focused mostly on protein coding genes and those encoding long non-coding RNA (lncRNA). We used hg38 gene annotations from UCSC. Gene ontology was first investigated using DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) and AmiGO2 568 (http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo) to assess term enrichment. This was followed up by the Cytoscape plugin ClueGO [46,47]. 13568 15569

### 571 **Access of publicly available data**

17570

14

16

18 19<mark>5</mark>  $20<sub>5</sub>$  $21^{\prime}$  $225$ 23<sup> $\sim$ </sup>

25

27

 $29 30<sub>2</sub>$  $31<sub>5</sub>$  $32^{\circ}$  $335$ 34

36

38 39**5**  $40 41<sup>5</sup>$  $42<sub>5</sub>$  $43^{\circ}$  $445$ 45

47

3

5

 $7\overline{)}$ 8ა  $9<sub>5</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>5</sub>$ 12

572 Sequences corresponding to *Ifp2*, *Sleeping Beauty* and *TcBuster* insertion sites in HEK 293 cells [23] were downloaded from public databases using accession numbers JS717545 to JS799249. Sequences corresponding to *Ifp2* insertion sites in HCT116 cells [15] were recovered at https://www.genetics.org/content/suppl/2012/01/03/genetics.111.137315.DC1. Sequences 576 corresponding to *Ifp2* and *Sleeping Beauty* insertion sites in CD4+ cells [26] were recovered in the 577 GSE58744 at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSM1419000 and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSM1419001. For the last two sources, the 579 positions of insertion sites were transformed in hg38 using liftover at https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgibin/hgLiftOver. All sites mapped in hg38 were supplied in supplementary Table 4. 24574 26575 28576 35580

581 PWMTrain [48] at https://ccg.epfl.ch/pwmtools/pwmtrain.php was used to calculate the position-582 specific weight matrix of *TcBuster* insertion sites using available data [23]. The numbers and the 583 positions of putative insertion sites in hg38 for *pbles* (TTAA), *sleeping beauty (*TA) and *TcBuster* were calculated using PWMScan [48] at https://ccg.epfl.ch//pwmtools/pwmscan.php. 37581

The list of 6985 neuron genes in hg19 was recovered in supplemental data of [31] and was updated to hg38. 131 genes were removed. They corresponded to artefactual genes coding nc RNA that were withdrawn in hg 38. DNAse1 map for HeLa and HEK293 cells were recovered at 588 http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gzgolden Path/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeOpenChromDnase/, files and 46586 48587

http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgE ncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz. They were updated in hg38 using liftover.

Values in graphs were medians, quartiles 1 and 3 and spread of experiments done at least twice in triplicate. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to confirm the normality of each set of samples, t-test to analyse distribution differences between experimental samples, Chi2 test to analyse differences 57592 59593

Helou *et al.* 19

58

 between an experimental distribution and a theoretical one, and logarithmic distribution test to analyse enrichments using free tools and tutorials available at http://www.anastat.fr/outils.php. 

Permutation tests (10,000 per test) were computed using in-house bash programs that accounted the distribution in TA and TTAA motifs in hg38. The normality of each distribution of permuted results was verified using a Shapiro-Wilk test using free tools and tutorials available at http://www.anastats.fr/outils.php. When the distributions were normal, probabilities were calculated from Z score at https://www.fourmilab.ch/rpkp/experiments/analysis/zCalc.html. When they were not normal, the distributions were used to determine the 1 and 0.1% thresholds at both tails and the observed values were positioned in regards to those values.

### **Data deposition.**

All raw and processed data are available through the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number PRJEB36226, PRJEB36229, PRJEB41045 and PRJEB41053. Files describing the annotation of insertion sites copies in the hg38 release are supplied as supplementary Tables 1, 2 and .

### **[Acknowledgments](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)**

This work was supported by the C.N.R.S., the I.N.R.A., and the GDR CNRS 2157. It also received funds from a research program grants from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer, the Merck foundation, and the French National Society of Gastroenterology. Laura Helou holds a PhD fellowship from the Région Centre Val de Loire. We acknowledge the high-throughput sequencing facility of I2BC for its sequencing and bioinformatics expertise. Alex Kentsis is a consultant for Novartis and is supported by the National Cancer Institute grants R01 CA214812 and P30 CA008748. Yves Bigot, who was in charge of the achievement of this project does not have to thank the French National Research Agency for its financial support but he kindly thanks it for the excellent reviews embellished with arguments based on scientific and cultural novelties in the expertise of his yearly application file during the last decade.  $8^\mathsf{o}$  $9<sub>c</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>6</sub>$  

- $^{2}625$  $^{27}_{28}$ 639 653 53  $1624$  3 4626 5 6627 7  $8^\mathsf{o}$  $9<sub>c</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$  $11<sub>6</sub>$ 12 13631 14 15632 16 17633 18  $19^{\mathbf{0}}$  $20<sub>6</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$  $226$ 23 24637 25 26638  $28^{\circ}$  $296$ 30 31641 32 33642 34  $35<sub>0</sub>$  $36<sub>6</sub>$  $37<sup>0</sup>$  $38<sub>6</sub>$  $39^{\circ}$  $406$ 41 42647 43 44648 45  $46<sup>0</sup>$  $47<sub>6</sub>$  $48^{\circ}$  $49<sub>6</sub>$ 50 51652 52 54 55654 56  $57<sup>0</sup>$  $58<sub>6</sub>$  $59^\circ$  $60<sub>6</sub>$ 61 62 63 64
- 623 **Appendix A: Supplemental data**

Supplementary data 1. Transposon donor sequences and primers used in the study.

626 **Supplementary Table 1. (a) Inventory of** *Ifp2***-chromosome junctions resulting from**  integration events mediated by PB. Transposon breakpoints located at positions 102 and 2220, 628 103 and 2219, 104 and 2218, and 105 and 2217 had a TSD displaying a DTAA, DDAA, DDBA or 629 DDBB nucleotide motif (IUPAC nucleotide code; blue bars in Fig. 3a). Those at positions 101 and 630 2221, 100 and 2222, 99 and 2223, and 98 and 2224 (red bars in Fig. 3a) displayed a TTAA TSD with upstream or downstream 0 nucleotide, one nucleotide, a dinucleotide or a trinucleotide that were identical in the plasmid backbone and the chromosome. As the origin of this specific trait could not be differentiated with a probability threshold below 1%, all junctions were considered in the 634 analysis as originating from proper integration events. **(b) Inventory of chromosomal site in which**  *Ifp2* insertions were found several times. Insertions corresponding to a single putative integration event were highlighted in cyan blue and their duplicated TSD was highlighted in green. Integration events corresponding to proper transposon ends were typed in black while those with improper ends were typed in red.

640 **Supplementary Table 2. (a) Inventory of** *Ifp2***-chromosome junctions resulting from integration events mediated by PB.NLS-1-558.** Transposon breakpoints located at positions 102 642 and 2220, 103 and 2219, 104 and 2218, and 105 and 2217 had a TSD displaying a DTAA, DDAA, DDBA or DDBB nucleotide motif (IUPAC nucleotide code; blue bars in Fig. 3b). Those at positions 644 101 and 2221, 100 and 2222, 99 and 2223, and 98 and 2224 (red bars in Fig. 3b) displayed a TTAA TSD with upstream or downstream 0 nucleotide, one nucleotide, a dinucleotide or a trinucleotide that were identical in the plasmid backbone and the chromosome. As the origin of this specific trait 647 could not be differentiated with a probability threshold below 1%, all junctions were considered in the analysis as originating from proper integration events. **(b) Inventory of chromosomal site in** which *Ifp2* insertions were found several times. Insertions corresponding to a single putative integration event were highlighted in cyan blue and their duplicated TSD was highlighted in green. Integration events corresponding to proper transposon ends were typed in black while those with improper ends were typed in red.

 **Supplementary Table 3. (a) Inventory of** *Ifp2***-chromosome junctions resulting from**  integration events mediated by Mm523. Transposon breakpoints located at positions 102 and 2220, 103 and 2219, 104 and 2218, and 105 and 2217 had a TSD displaying a DTAA, DDAA, DDBA or DDBB nucleotide motif (IUPAC nucleotide code; blue bars in Fig. 3c) displayed a TTAA

Helou *et al.* 22

 TSD with upstream or downstream 0 nucleotide, one nucleotide, a dinucleotide or a trinucleotide that were identical in the plasmid backbone and the chromosome. As the origin of this specific trait could not be differentiated with a probability threshold below 1%, all junctions were considered in the analysis as originating from proper integration events. **(b) Inventory of chromosomal site in** which *Ifp2* insertions were found several times. Insertions corresponding to a single putative integration event were highlighted in cyan blue and their duplicated TSD was highlighted in green. Integration events corresponding to proper transposon ends were typed in black while those with improper ends were typed in red.

 **Supplementary Table 4. (a) Inventory of** *Ifp2***-chromosome junctions resulting from integration events mediated by Hs524 in G401 cells.** Transposon breakpoints located at positions 102 and 2220, 103 and 2219, 104 and 2218, and 105 and 2217 had a TSD displaying a DTAA, DDAA, DDBA or DDBB nucleotide motif (IUPAC nucleotide code; blue bars in Fig. 3c) displayed a TTAA TSD with upstream or downstream 0 nucleotide, one nucleotide, a dinucleotide or a trinucleotide that were identical in the plasmid backbone and the chromosome. As the origin of this specific trait could not be differentiated with a probability threshold below 1%, all junctions were considered in the analysis as originating from proper integration events. **(b) Inventory of chromosomal site in which** *Ifp2* **insertions were found several times.** Insertions corresponding to a single putative integration event were highlighted in cyan blue and their duplicated TSD was highlighted in green. Integration events corresponding to proper transposon ends were typed in black while those with improper ends were typed in red.

 **Supplementary Table 5. Chromosomal positions mapped here in hg38 for Ifp2 insertion sites**  in HEK293 (a), HCT116 (b) and CD4+ (c) cells, sleeping beauty in HEK293 (d) and CD4+ (e), and TcBuster in HEK293 (f).

- 684 **References**
- 685 1. Piégu, B., Bire; S., Arensburger, P., Bigot, Y. (2016) A survey of transposable element classification systems--a call for a fundamental update to meet the challenge of their diversity and complexity. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 86, 90-109.  $1685$  $2686$  3 4687 5
- 688 [2. Arensburger, P., Piégu, B., Bigot, Y. \(2016\) The future of transposable element annotation and](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [their classification in the light of functional genomics -](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) what we can learn from the fables of Jean [de la Fontaine? Mob. Genet. Elements. 6, e1256852.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 6688 7  $8^\mathsf{o}$  $9<sub>c</sub>$  $10^{\circ}$
- 691 [3. Arkhipova, I.R. \(2017\) Using bioinformatic and phylogenetic approaches to classify transposable](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [elements and understand their complex evolutionary histories. Mob. DNA. 8, 19.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $11<sub>6</sub>$ 12 13692 14
- 4. Goerner-Potvin, P., Bourque, G. (2018) Computational tools to unmask transposable elements. [Nat. Rev. Genet. 19, 688-704.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 15693 16  $17694$
- 5. Bouallègue, M., Rouault, J.D., Hua-Van, A., Makni, M., Capy, P. (2017) Molecular Evolution of 696 piggyBac [Superfamily: From Selfishness to Domestication. Gen. Biol. Evol.9, 323-339.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 18  $19^{\mathbf{0}}$  $20<sub>6</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$
- 6. Cary, L.C., Goebel, M., Corsaro, B.G., Wang, H.G., Rosen, E., Fraser, M.J. (1989) Transposon 698 [mutagenesis of baculoviruses: analysis of Trichoplusia ni transposon IFP2 insertions within the](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [FP-locus of nuclear polyhedrosis viruses. Virology. 172, 156-169.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $226$ 23 24698 25 26699
- [7. Yusa, K. \(2015\) piggyBac Transposon. Microbiol. Spectr. 3, MDNA3-0028-2014.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 27 28
- 8. Chen, Q., Luo, W., Veach, R.A., Hickman, A.B., Wilson, M.H., Dyda, F. (2020) Structural basis of seamless excision and specific targeting by piggyBac transposase. Nat Commun 11, 3446.  $29<sub>7</sub>$  $30'$  $31<sub>7</sub>$  $32'$
- 9. Morellet, N., Li, X., Wieninger, S.A., Taylor, J.L., Bischerour, J., Moriau, S., Lescop, E., Bardiaux, B., Mathy, N., Assrir, N., Bétermier, M., Nilges, M., Hickman, A.B., Dyda, F., Craig, N.L., Guittet, E. (2018) Sequence-specific DNA binding activity of the cross-brace zinc finger [motif of the piggyBac transposase. Nucl. Acids. Res. 46, 2660-2677.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 33703 34 35704 36 37705  $38$ 39
- 707 1[0. Sharma, R., Nirwal, S., Narayanan, N., Nair, D.T. \(2018\) Dimerization through the RING-](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)[Finger domain attenuates excision activity of the piggyBac transposase. Biochemistry. 57, 2913-](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) [2922.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $40,7$  $41'$  $427$ 43 44709 45
- 710 1[1. Keith, J.H., Fraser, T.S., Fraser, M.J.Jr. \(2008\) Analysis of the piggyBac transposase reveals a](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [functional nuclear targeting signal in the 94 c-terminal residues. BMC. Mol. Biol. 9, 72.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 46710 47 48
- 712 [12.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) Hong, J.B., Chou, F.J., Ku, A.T., [Fan, H.H., Lee, T.L., Huang, Y.H., Yang, T.L., Su, I.C., Yu,](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  713 [I.S., Lin, S.W., Chien, C.L., Ho, H.N., Chen, Y.T. \(2014\) A nucleolus-predominant piggyBac](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [transposase, NP-mPB, mediates elevated transposition efficiency in mammalian Cells. P](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)LoS. One. 9, e89396. 49  $50'$  $51,$  $52'$  $53<sub>7</sub>$ 54 55715 56
- 716 [13](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz). Luo, W., Galvan, D.L., Woodard, L.E., Dorset, D., Levy, S., Wilson, M.H. (2017) Comparative analysis of chimeric ZFP-, TALE- and Cas9-piggyBac transposases for integration into a single locus in human cells. Nucl. Acids. Res. 45, 8411-8422. 57716 58 59717  $60<sub>z</sub>$ 61

Helou *et al.* 24

64 65

- 719 14. Henssen, A.G., Koche, R., Zhuang, J., Jiang, E., Reed, C., Eisenberg, A., Still, E., MacArthur, I.C., Rodríguez-Fos, E., Gonzalez, S., Puiggròs, M., Blackford, A.N., Mason, C.E., de Stanchina, E., Gönen, M., Emde, A.K., Shah, M., Arora, K., Reeves, C., Socci, N.D., Perlman, E., Antonescu, C.R;, Roberts, C.W.M., Steen, H., Mullen, E., Jackson, S.P., Torrents, D., Weng, Z., Armstrong, S.A., Kentsis, A. (2017) PGBD5 promotes site-specific oncogenic mutations in human tumors. Nat. Genet. 49, 1005-1014. 725 15. Wang, H., Mayhew, D., Chen, X., Johnston, M., Mitra, R.D. (2012) "Calling cards" for DNA- $1720$  $2721$  3 4722 5 6723  $7\overline{a}$  $8<sup>7</sup>$  $9<sub>7</sub>$ 10
- binding proteins in mammalian cells. Genetics. 190, 941-949.  $117$ 12
- 16. Li, M.A., Pettitt, S.J., Eckert, S., Ning, Z., Rice, S., Cadiñanos, J., Yusa, K., Conte, N., Bradley, A. (2013) The piggyBac transposon displays local and distant reintegration preferences and can 729 cause mutations at noncanonical integration sites. Mol. Cell. Biol. 33, 1317-1330. 13727 15728 16 17
- 17. Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J.T., Mesirov, J.P. (2013) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): [high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief. Bioinformatics. 14, 178-](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $^{22}732$  [192.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)
- 733 [18. Elick, T.A., Lobo, N., Fraser, M.J.Jr. \(1997\) Analysis of the cis-acting DNA elements required](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [for piggyBac transposable element excision. Mol. Gen. Genet. 255, 605-610.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 24733 26734
- 735 [19. Mitra, R., Fain-Thornton, J., Craig, N.L. \(2008\) piggyBac can bypass DNA synthesis during cut](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [and paste transposition. EMBO. J. 27, 1097-1109.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 27 28  $29<sub>7</sub>$  $30'$
- 2[0. Pavelitz, T., Gray, L.T., Padilla, S.L., Bailey, A.D., Weiner, A.M. \(2013\) PGBD5: a neural](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)specific intron containing piggyBac transposase domesticated over 500 million years ago and [conserved from cephalochordates to humans. Mob. DNA. 4, 23-39.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $31<sub>7</sub>$  $32'$ 33738 34 35739 36
- 21. Henssen, A.G., Henaff, E., Jiang, E., Eisenberg, A.R., Carson, J.R., Villasante, C.M., Ray, M., 741 [Still, E., Burns, M., Gandara, J., Feschotte, C., Mason, C.E., Kentsis, A. \(2015\) Genomic DNA](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [transposition induced by human PGBD5. Elife. 4, e10565.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 37740  $38$ 39  $40,7$  $41'$
- 22. Lohe, A.R., Timmons, C., Beerman, I., Lozovskaya, E.R., Hartl, D.L. (2000) Self-inflicted wounds, template-directed gap repair and a recombination hotspot. Effects of the mariner [transposase. Genetics. 154, 647-656.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $427$ 43 44744 45 46745 47
- 23. Woodard, L.E., Li, X., Malani, N., Kaja, A., Hice, R.H., Atkinson, P.W., Bushman, F.D., Craig, N.L., Wilson, M.H. (2012) Comparative analysis of the recently discovered hAT transposon TcBuster in human cells. PLoS. One. 7, e42666. 48746 49  $50'$  $51,$  $52'$
- 24. Wilson, M.H., Coates, C.J., George, A.L.Jr. (2007) PiggyBac transposon-mediated gene transfer [in human cells. Mol. Ther. 15, 139-145.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $53<sub>7</sub>$ 54 55750
- 25. Huang, X., Guo, H., Tammana, S., Jung, Y.C., Mellgren, E., Bassi, P., Cao, Q., Tu, Z.J., Kim, Y.C., Ekker, S.C., Wu, X., Wang, S.M., Zhou, X. (2010) Gene transfer efficiency and genomewide integration profiling of Sleeping Beauty, Tol2, and piggyBac transposons in human primary 57751 58 59752  $60<sub>z</sub>$ 61

14

 $18<sub>7</sub>$ 19  $207$  $21'$ 

23

25

56

- 754 T cells. Mol. Ther. 18, 1803-1813.
- 26. Gogol-Döring, A., Ammar, I., Gupta, S., Bunse, M., Miskey, C., Chen, W., Uckert, W., Schulz, 756 T.F., Izsvák, Z., Ivics, Z. (2016) Genome-wide profiling reveals remarkable parallels between insertion site selection properties of the MLV retrovirus and the piggyBac transposon in primary human CD4 $(+)$  T cells. Mol Ther. 24, 592-606.  $755$  $2756$  3 4757 5 6758
- 27. Landry, J.J., Pyl, P.T., Rausch, T., Zichner, T., Tekkedil, M.M., Stütz, A.M., Jauch, A., Aiyar, R.S., Pau, G., Delhomme, N., Gagneur, J., Korbel, J.O., Huber, W., Steinmetz, L.M. (2013) The 761 genomic and transcriptomic landscape of a HeLa cell line. G3 (Bethesda). 3, 1213-1224.  $7\overline{a}$  $8<sup>7</sup>$  $9<sub>7</sub>$ 10  $117$ 12
- 28. Adey, A., Burton, J.N., Kitzman, J.O., Hiatt, J.B., Lewis, A.P., Martin, B.K., Qiu, R., Lee, C., Shendure, J. (2013) The haplotype-resolved genome and epigenome of the aneuploid HeLa cancer cell line. Nature. 500, 207-211. 13762 14 15763 16 17
- 29. Lin, Y.C., Boone, M., Meuris, L., Lemmens, I., Van Roy, N., Soete, A., Reumers, J., Moisse, M., Plaisance, S., Drmanac, R., Chen, J., Speleman, F., Lambrechts, D., Van de Peer, Y., Tavernier, J., Callewaert, N. (2014) Genome dynamics of the human embryonic kidney 293 lineage in response to cell biology manipulations. Nat Commun. 5, 4767.  $18<sub>7</sub>$ 19  $207$  $21'$  $227$ 23 24768 25
- 769 30. Liu, Y., Mi, Y., Mueller, T., Kreibich, S., Williams, E.G., Van Drogen, A., Borel, C., Frank, M., Germain, P.L., Bludau, I., Mehnert, M., Seifert, M., Emmenlauer, M., Sorg, I., Bezrukov, F., Bena, F.S., Zhou, H., Dehio, C., Testa, G., Saez-Rodriguez, J., Antonarakis, S.E., Hardt, W.D., Aebersold, R. (2019) Multi-omic measurements of heterogeneity in HeLa cells across laboratories. Nat Biotechnol. 37, 314-322. 26769 27 28  $29<sub>7</sub>$  $30'$  $31<sub>7</sub>$  $32<sup>′</sup>$ 33773 34
- 31. Negi, S.K., Guda, C. (2017) Global gene expression profiling of healthy human brain and its application in studying neurological disorders. Sci. Rep.7, 897. 35774 37775
- 776 [32. Zylka, M.J., Simon, J.M., Philpot, B.D. \(2015\) Gene length matters in neurons. Neuron. 86, 353-](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $^{40}_{41}$ 777 [355.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $38$ 39  $41'$
- 33. Zhang, Y., Cheng, T.C., Huang, G., Lu, Q., Surleac, M.D., Mandell, J.D., Pontarotti, P., Petrescu, A.J., Xu, A., Xiong, Y., Schatz, D.G. (2019) Transposon molecular domestication and [the evolution of the RAG recombinase. Nature. 569, 79-84.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $427$ 43 44779 45 46780 47
- 781 [34. Guizard, S., Piégu, B., Arensburger, P., Guillou, F., Bigot, Y. \(2016\) Deep landscape update of](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  dispersed and tandem repeats in the genome model of the red jungle fowl, Gallus gallus, using a [series of de novo investigating tools. BMC](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) Genomics. 17, 659. 48781 49  $50'$  $51,$  $52'$
- 784 [35. Kapusta, A., Suh, A. \(2017\) Evolution of bird genomes-a transposon's-eye view. Ann. N. Y.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [Acad. Sci. 1389, 164-185.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 55785
- 786 [36. Bire, S., Ley, D., Casteret, S., Mermod, N., Bigot, Y., Rouleux-Bonnin, F. \(2013\) Optimization](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  of the piggyBac transposon using mRNA and insulators: toward a more reliable gene delivery [system. PLoS. One.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 8, e82559. 57786 58 59787  $60<sub>z</sub>$ 61
	- Helou *et al.* 26

62 63

 $53<sub>7</sub>$ 54

56

- 789 [37. Travnickova-Bendova, Z., Cermakian, N., Reppert, S.M., Sassone-Corsi, P. \(2002\) Bimodal](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  regulation of mPeriod promoters by CREB-dependent signaling and CLOCK/BMAL1 activity. [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 99, 7728-7733.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $1^{790}$ 2791 3
- 792 [38. Bire, S., Casteret, S., Piégu, B., Beauclair, L., Moiré, N., Arensbuger, P., Bigot, Y. \(2016\)](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  Mariner Transposons Contain a Silencer: Possible Role of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2. [PLoS. Genet. 12, e1005902.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 4792 5 6793  $7\overline{a}$  $8<sup>7</sup>$
- 795 [39. Demattei, M.V., Hedhili, S, Sinzelle, L., Bressac, C., Casteret, S., Moiré, N., Cambefort, J.,](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  Thomas, X., Pollet, N., Gantet, P., Bigot, Y. (2011) Nuclear importation of Mariner transposases [among eukaryotes: motif requirements and homo-protein interactions. PLoS One. 6, e23693.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $9<sub>7</sub>$ 10  $117$ 12 13797 14
- 798 4[0. Bartholomae, C.C., Glimm, H., von Kalle, C., Schmidt, M. \(2012\) Insertion site pattern: global](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  approach by linear amplification-mediated PCR and mass sequencing. Meth. Mol. Biol. 859, [255-265.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 15798 16 17  $18<sub>a</sub>$  $19^{\mathbf{O}}$
- 801 [41. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B. \(2014\) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [sequence data. Bioinformatics. 30, 2114-2120.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $20<sub>Q</sub>$  $21^{\circ}$  $22g$ 23
- 803 [42. Li, H., Durbin, R. \(2010\) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [transform, Bioinformatics. 26, 589-595.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 24803 26804
- 805 43. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis. G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009) The Sequence alignment/map (SAM) format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 25, 2078-2079. 27  $280$  $29<sub>0</sub>$  $30^{\rm o}$  $31<sub>Q</sub>$  $32^\circ$
- 808 [44. Quinlan, A.R., Hall, I.M. \(2010\) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [features. Bioinformatics. 26, 841-842.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $33g$ 34 35809 36
- 810 [45. Layer, R.M., Chiang, C., Quinlan, A.R., Hall, I.M. \(2014\) LUMPY: a probabilistic framework](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [for structural variant discovery. Gen. Biol 15, R84.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) 37810 38 39**8**
- 812 [46](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz). [Bindea, G., Mlecnik, B., Hackl, H., Charoentong, P., Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Fridman,](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  813 [W.F., Pagès, F., Trajanoski, Z., Galon, J. \(2009\) ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  [functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. Bioinformatics. 25, 1091-](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz) [1093.](http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUniform/wgEncodeAwgDnaseUwdukeHelas3UniPk.narrowPeak.gz)  $40<sub>0</sub>$  $41^{\circ}$  $42<sub>Q</sub>$ 43<sup>o</sup> 44814 45 46815 47
- 816 47. Mlecnik, B., Galon, J., Bindea, G. (2018) Comprehensive functional analysis of large lists of genes and proteins. J. Proteomics. 171, 2-10. 48816 49  $50<sup>o</sup>$ 
	- 818 48. Ambrosini, G., Groux, R., Bucher, P. (2018) PWMScan: A Fast Tool for Scanning Entire Genomes with a Position-Specific Weight Matrix. Bioinformatics. 34, 2483-2484.

 $51<sub>o</sub>$  $52^{\circ}$  $53<sub>Q</sub>$ 54

#### 821 **Legends**

822 **Fig. 1. Cellular localization of GFP fusions in HeLa cells transiently transfected with a vector**  823 **expressing GFP (a, b, c), PB-GFP (d, e, f), PB.1-558-GFP (g, h, i) and PB.NLS-1-558-GFP (j,**   $k, l$ ). The left panels (a, d, g, j) show GFP fluorescence, the middle panels (b, e, h, k)) show the nuclear genomic DNA staining by Hoechst 33342, the right panels (c, f, I, l) correspond to merge pictures.

828 **Fig. 2. Box plot representations of integration assay results.** (a) impact of the two PB variants 829 (PB.1-558 and PB.NLS-1-558), PB and GFP on the rate of random integration of a NeoR cassette. 830 (b) rates of NeoR clones resulting from the integration of *Ifp2*-NeoR when recombination was mediated by the two PB variants, PB and GFP. (c) rates of NeoR clones resulting from the integration of *Ifp2*-NeoR when recombination was mediated by PB.NLS-1-558, PB and GFP and corrected by the rate of toxicity of each protein calculated in  $(a)$ . In  $(b)$  and  $(c)$ , integration rates were expressed in rate NeoR clones that were normalized using controls done with GFP. In each plot, the red lines represented the median and the standard deviation, respectively.

837 **Fig. 3. Number and location of transposon breakpoints in** *pble* **sequences after transposition**  into chromosomes. Histogram distributions of Ifp2-NeoR extremities transposed by PB (a), PB.NLS-1-558 (b), Mm523 (c) and Hs524 (d) (detailed in supplementary Tables 1 to 4). Red bars indicated insertion events with perfectly conserved TSD and TIR while blue bars located those in which TIR were perfectly conserved but the TSD did not correspond to a canonical TTAA at the outermost extremities of pbles. Black bars represented breakpoints within the transposon sequence 843 and within plasmid backbone sequences juxtaposed to the transposon. Each bar corresponded to the number of junctions found at a single nucleotide position. Green boxes located the position of primers anchored within the transposon sequence and used at the last step of LAM-PCR. These graphics described the relative importance of wounds at transposon ends under our experimental conditions. However, they could not allow calculating wound rates at each of both ends due to the fact that the final LAM-PCR products in each dataset came from the gathering of several LAM-PCR reactions.

851 **Fig. 4. Sequence features of the** *Ifp2* **transposase (PB) variants and two Mm523-like PGBD5 isoforms.** (a) Protein sequence alignment of Ifp2 transposase (PB) with two murine and human domesticated PGBD5 proteins corresponding to the orthologous Hs524 and Mm523 isoforms. (b) Sequence features of PB.NLS-1-558. Secondary structure predictions calculated with psipred 855 (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) and Jpred4 (http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/jpred/) were

Helou *et al.* 28

856 highlighted in pink for  $\alpha$ -helices and in orange for  $\beta$ -strands. The three proteins share two domains: a N-terminal domain that was few structured, with an acid pI (boxed regions) and repeated acid motifs (in red letters), a domain of  $\sim$ 400 amino acid residues that display a basic pI. PB contained a 859 third C-terminal domain, the CRD, that contains cysteins (highlighted in green) able to assemble zinc finger folds. Aspartic residues inactivating the recombinase catalytic activity were bolded and highlighted in yellow [17,21]. The PB NLS and the putative NLS in PGBD5 isoforms were underlined and typed in green.

864 **Fig. 5. Graphic representations of integration assay results.** (a) impact of Mm523 on the rate of random integration of a NeoR cassette. (b) rates of integration of an *Ifp2*-NeoR when recombination was mediated by PB and Mm523 in HeLa cells, and Hs524 in G401 cells. Integration rates were expressed in rate NeoR clones that were normalized using controls done with GFP (green). In each blot, the red lines represented the median and the standard deviation, respectively.

870 **Fig. 6. Features of transposon breakpoints in Ifp2 transposed by PB (a), PB.NLS-1-558 (b), Mm523 (c) and Hs524 (d).** Black bars corresponded to percentages of breakpoints located within the plasmid backbone flanking the transposon or those located within inner transposon regions (from the position 2 in TIR to the primer used for the LAM-PCR). Red bars corresponded to those within 874 transposons that displayed intact canonical TTAA TSD and TIRs. Blue bars corresponded to *pbles* displaying noncanonical TSD but intact TIRs.

877 **Fig. 7. Proportions of Ifp2 insertions mediated by PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524 in intragenic regions (a) and regions containing TSS (b)** taking into account the five gene categories: protein-coding genes, ncRNA-coding genes, miRNA-coding genes, pseudogenes and uncharacterized genes. Black bars indicated the expect percentage in a random distribution.

Fig. 8. Venn diagram representations of intragenic regions overlapped by insertion site-883 **containing regions (± 1000 bp) between transposition assays done with PB, PB.NLS-1-558,**  884 **Mm523 and Hs524 (a) and with PB in HeLa, HEK293, HCT116 and CD4+ cells (b).** The numbers of intragenic regions specific of datasets were italicized and typed in grey. Those shared by all datasets were typed in orange.

Transposase source	Transposon source	Proper TSD and TIR	Proper TSD improper TIR	Improper TSD/proper <b>TIR</b>	<b>Improper TSD</b> and TIR
<b>PB</b>	$Ifp2-NeoR$	96.7 % (7370)	$1.05\%$ (78)	$1.05\%$ (80)	$1.2\%$ (95)
PB-NLS-1-558 $If p2-NeoR$		$19.0\%$ (98)	$\vert 2.5 \% (13) \vert$	$3.1\%$ (16)	75.4 % (388)

Table 1. Presence of canonic TTAA TSD and-or TIR among transposon/chromosome junctions resulting from LAM-PCR products and originating from events mediated by PB variants.

Table 2. Presence of canonic TTAA TSD and-or TIR among transposon/chromosome junctions resulting from LAM-PCR products and originating from events mediated by Mm523 and Hs524.

resulting from Early T CK produces and onginating from events mediated by namels and free it.									
Transposase source	Transposon source	Proper TSD and TIR	Proper TSD improper TIR	Improper TSD/proper <b>TIR</b>	<b>Improper TSD</b> and TIR				
Mm523 PGBD5	$If p2$ -NeoR	$10.0\%$ (147)	$5.4\%$ (80)	$4.3\%$ (64)	80.3 % (1188)				
Hs524 PGBD5 $ $ <i>Ifp2</i> -NeoR		4.6 $%$ (48)	$2.1\%$ (22)	$1.3\%$ (14)	92.0 % (967)				

	<b>Feature of dataset 1</b>		<b>Feature of dataset 2</b>				<b>Random permutations features</b>				
Cell line 1	Transposon 1	Transposase 1   Cell line 2		Transposon 2	<b>Transposase 2</b>	Window around insertion sites $(\pm)$ in both datasets	<b>Number of sites</b> observed	Average number of sites expected Standard per chance deviation		Z score	Probability $=$ H0 was no differences between obs. and exp.
HeLa	If p2	PB	HeLa	If p2	PB.NLS-1-558			N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	$\rm{PB}$	HeLa	Jfp2	PB.NLS-1-558	1000	37	N.A	N.A.	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	HeLa	Ifp2	Mm523-PGBD5		83	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Ifp2	PB	HeLa	Jfp2	Mm523-PGBD5	1000	175	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	G401	Jfp2	Hs524-PGBD5		24	N.A	N.A.	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	G401	Jfp2	Hs524-PGBD5	1000	42	N.A.	N.A.	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	<b>HEK293</b>	Jfp2	PB		21	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	<b>HEK293</b>	Jfp2	PB	1000	471	260.162	17.0215	12.3865	$\overline{0}$
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	<b>HCT116</b>	Jfp2	PB		238	67.01	8.4329	20.2763	$\overline{0}$
HeLa	Jfp2	$\rm{PB}$	<b>HCT116</b>	Jfp2	PB	1000	2387	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.006
HeLa	Ifp2	PB	$CD4+$	Ifp2	PB		24	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
HeLa	Jfp2	PB	$CD4-$	Jfp2	PB	1000	213	97.684	10.2987	11.197	$\theta$
<b>HEK293</b>	Jfp2	PB	<b>HCT116</b>	Jfp2	PB		391	N.A	N.A.	N.A	p<0.001
<b>HEK293</b>	Ifp2	PB	<b>HCT116</b>	Jfp2	PB	1000	6600	5419.484	93.3269	12.0060	$\theta$
<b>HEK293</b>	Jfp2	PB	$CD4+$	Jfp2	PB		362	N.A	N.A	N.A	p<0.001
<b>HEK293</b>	Jfp2	PB	$CD4+$	Jfp2	$\rm{PB}$	1000	752	N.A	N.A.	N.A	p<0.001
<b>HCT116</b>	Jfp2	$\rm{PB}$	$CD4+$	Jfp2	PB	$\Omega$	16	81.825	9.0919	17.5073	$\overline{0}$
<b>HCT116</b>	If p2	PB	$CD4+$	Jfp2	PB	1000	5235	2213.501	50.9891	59.2576	$\overline{0}$
<b>HEK293</b>	<b>Sleeping Beauty</b>	SB	$CD4+$	<b>Sleeping Beauty</b>	<b>SB</b>			N.A	N.A.	N.A	p > 0.083
<b>HEK293</b>	<b>Sleeping Beauty</b>	<b>SB</b>	$CD4+$	<b>Sleeping Beauty</b>	SB	1000	385	349.967	19.09	1.8350	$p=0.034$

**Table 3. Number of chromosomal sites in common in each dataset pair and their statistical consitency in permutation tests.**

N.A., not appropriated to use a Z-test as the distribution of the 1000 permutations results did not fulfil normality in a Shapiro-Wilk test. In the most right column is typed in red probabilities supporting that there was no difference at a threshold of 0.01.

					$\mathbf{\sigma}$ -	
1, Dataset features:	$2, No$ of	3, No & % of	4, No of	5, No & % of	6, No $\&$ % of	$7, p***$
cells, transposon /	different	different ISCR	genes	different ISCR	neuron genes	
transposase sources	ISCR*	overlapping a	overlapped	overlapping a	among genes	
		gene***	by ISCR**	neuron	overlapped by	
				gene***	$ISCR**$	
HeLa, Ifp2 / PB	7,623	$5,060(66.4\%)$	4,663	2,231 (29.2%)	1,587 (34.0%)	$2.1 \times 10^{-82}$
HeLa, Ifp2/PB.NLS-	516	327 (63.2%)	376	123 (23.8%)	123 (37.6%)	$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$
1-558						
HeLa, $Ifp2 / Mm523$	1,479	863 (58.4%)	956	321 (21.7%)	303 (31.7 %)	$3.1 \times 10^{-11}$
G401, Ifp2 / Hs524	1052	665 (63.2%)	740	279 (26.5%)	258 (38.8%)	$1.3 \times 10^{-14}$
HEK293, Ifp2/PB	21,967	15,226 (69.3%)	9,370	7,173 (32.6%)	3,032 (32.4%)	$1.9 \times 10^{-150}$
$[22]$						
HCT116, <i>Ifp2/PB</i>	172,866	113,648 (67.7%)	23,578	49,688	5,945 (25.3%)	$1.3 \times 10^{-88}$
[14]				$(28.8\%)$		
CD4+, Ifp2 / PB [25]	8,954	6,940 (77.5%)	5,763	3,134 (35.0%)	1,903 (33.0%)	$6.2 \times 10^{-89}$
HCT116, sleeping	28,490	17,534 (61.54%)	10,460	7,776 (27.3%)	3,229 (30.9%)	$2.5 \times 10^{-128}$
beauty/SB [14]						
CD4+, sleeping	8,290	5,441 (64,63%)	5,133	2,406 (29.0%)	1,750 (34.1%)	$2.9 \times 10^{-93}$
beauty /SB [25]						
HCT116, TcBuster/	17,227	11,841 (68.7%)	8,522	5,517 (32.0%)	2,820 (33.1%)	$4.9 \times 10^{-151}$
<b>TCBUSTER</b> [14]						

**Table 4a. Rate of regions containing insertion sites in genes and neuron genes in hg38**

#### **Table 4b. Numbers and sequence coverages (%) of genes and neuron genes in hg38**



### **Table 4c. Numbers and rate of potential insertion sites for PB, SB and TcBuster in hg38**



\*, ISCR = insertion sites-containing regions, i.e. plus 1000 bp upstream and downstream each insertion site ; \*\*, 2 genes can overlap an ISCR; \*\*\*, each genes and neurons genes = exons and introns of their transcriptional unit plus 5000 bp upstream and downstream; \*\*\*\*, hypergeometric test using H0 = no enrichment in neuron genes among genes overlapped by an ISCR.

### **Table 5. Significant ontology terms resulting from the analysis of the 817 genes shared by PB, PB.NLS-1-558, Mm523 and Hs524.**





\*Term PValue corrected with Bonferroni step down. GO terms related to neurogenesis and neuron



## **a. Transposase toxicity**



# **b. Observed integration rates**



# **c. Toxicity-corrected integration rates**





# **Figure 4**



 RGTQTNGVPLGEYYVKELSKPVHGSCRNITC**D**NWFTSIPLAKNLLQEPYKLTIVGTVRSNKREIPEVLKNSRSRPVGTSMFCFDGPLTLVSYKPKPAKMVYLLSSCDEDA SINESTGKPQMVMYYNQTKGGV**D**TLDQMCSVMTCSRKTNRWPMALLYGMINIACINSFIIYSHNVSSKGEKVQSRKKFMRNLYMSLTSSFMRKRLEAPTLKRYLRDNISN ILPNEVPG

SMVYVSVMSRDRFDFLIRCLRMDDKSIRPTLRENDVFTPVRKIWDLFIHQCIQNYTPGAHLTI**D**EQLLGFRGRCPFRMYIPNKPSKYGIKILMMCDSGTKYMINGMPYLG





#### **a. Occurrence of** *pble* **insertion sites in intragenic regions**



#### **b. Occurrence of pble insertion sites around TSS (**± **5 kpb)**



