

Artificial sweeteners impair endothelial vascular reactivity: Preliminary results in rodents

Sydney Risdon, Grégory Meyer, Alexandra Marziou, Catherine Riva, Matthieu Roustit, Guillaume Walther

▶ To cite this version:

Sydney Risdon, Grégory Meyer, Alexandra Marziou, Catherine Riva, Matthieu Roustit, et al.. Artificial sweeteners impair endothelial vascular reactivity: Preliminary results in rodents. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 2020, 30 (5), pp.843-846. 10.1016/j.numecd.2020.01.014 . hal-03105435

HAL Id: hal-03105435 https://hal.science/hal-03105435

Submitted on 22 Aug2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

ARTIFICIAL SWEETENERS IMPAIR ENDOTHELIAL VASCULAR REACTIVITY: PRELIMINARY RESULTS IN RODENTS

Sydney Risdon, MSc¹, Grégory Meyer, PhD¹, Alexandra Marziou, MSc¹, Catherine Riva, PhD¹, Matthieu Roustit, PharmD, PhD^{2,3}, Guillaume Walther, PhD¹

1. Avignon University, LAPEC EA4278, F-84000, Avignon, France

2. Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inserm U1042, 38000 Grenoble, France

3. Grenoble Alpes University Hospital, Clinical Pharmacology, Inserm CIC1406, 38000 Grenoble, France

Short Title: Effect of artificial sweeteners on vascular function.

Corresponding author:

Guillaume WALTHER

Avignon University, Faculty of Sciences LAPEC EA4278

74 rue Louis Pasteur

84000 AVIGNON, France

Phone: +334 90 16 29 45 Fax: +334 90 16 29 01

Email: guillaume.walther@univ-avignon.fr

Key words: artificial sweeteners, vascular reactivity, endothelium, metabolic disease

Abstract.

(1) **Background and Aims**. Prospective epidemiological studies highlighted recently the link between artificial sweeteners (AS) consumption and the risk of developing cardiometabolic diseases. However, underlying mechanisms remain unknown. Thus, the aim of this preliminary study was to characterize, in a healthy rat population, the effect of chronic AS consumption on body composition and vascular function, an early marker for cardiovascular disease.

(2) **Methods and Results**. Healthy Wistar rats followed a 10 weeks standard diet including the consumption of water sweetened or not with a sucralose/acesulfame potassium solution at different concentrations: for moderate consumption at 1 and 2 mg.kg⁻¹.day⁻¹ respectively or high intake at 15 and 15 mg.kg⁻¹.day⁻¹ for both molecules (Acceptable Daily Intake). Body fat composition has been evaluated and *ex vivo* aortic vasomotor function has been investigated with a pharmacological approach.

(3) **Conclusion**. Both groups of AS-treated rats showed a significant increase in subcutaneous and perirenal adipose tissue mass storage, without changes in total body mass. However, rats that have consumed AS at Acceptable Daily Intake concentration revealed a significative vascular endothelial dysfunction compared to the other groups. These results are interesting since they will help to better explain the observed increase in cardiometabolic risk.

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the single leading cause of death amongst those with obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D).[1] Although these worsening trends are driven by a complex interaction between numerous lifestyle and socioeconomic factors, it is now well accepted that habitual excess sugar consumption is the dietary factor that is most consistently found to be associated with obesity or T2D.[2,3] Moreover, Malik et al. recently reported a positive, dose-dependent association between sugary drinks consumption and CVD mortality.[4]

As an alternative, artificial sweeteners (AS) have been increasingly used in food and beverages to replace high-energy, added sugars. By providing consumers with products that have a sweet taste and low-energy content, AS appear to be the magic bullet to enhance weight loss and reduce cardiometabolic diseases. Indeed, AS (e.g. sucralose, acesulfame potassium, saccharin, aspartame or stevia among others) are products that maintain perceived sweetness, without increasing caloric intake.[5] As a consequence, AS consumption does not acutely significantly change blood glucose concentration in healthy adults or in those with T2D.[6] These results encouraged health authorities to recommend AS consumption, as part of a weight loss strategy in overweight and obese individuals, and in patients with glucose intolerance or diabetes.[7] In addition, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), have approved several AS safe for human consumption.[8]

Despite the apparent neutral effects of AS on blood glucose, there is still a strong debate questioning their physiological inactivity and their long-term effect on human health.[9] Indeed, several recent epidemiological and descriptive studies have reported significant associations between the consumption of AS and weight gain, increased incidence of T2D, and CV events.[9–11] Recently, an increased risk in total

and CVD mortality has been identified in women consuming four or more AS beverages servings per day, but not in mixed-gender subjects drinking moderately.[4,12] However, the interpretation of these data is difficult since AS are typically consumed by individuals already suffering from obesity or cardiometabolic conditions. Very few prospective, randomized, controlled studies have assessed the effect of these molecules consumption on human health, highlighting the urgent need for more research into this question.[13]

Since the mechanisms through which artificial sweetened beverages (ASB) may be harmful on cardiovascular health remain unknown, we conducted a preliminary experimental study in rats focusing on the effects of chronic ASB consumption on vascular function. Indeed, vascular dysfunction is a well-recognized, early biomarker of atherosclerosis and a key contributor to the onset and progression of CVD. It could therefore explain health issues associated with AS consumption.

All animal experiments were performed according to the European Parliament Directive and approved by the local research ethics committee. Adult Wistar rats (Janvier Labs, France) underwent 10 weeks of a normal chow diet (A04, SAFE, France), supplemented by ad libitum water or ASB. Sucralose (Su) and acesulfame potassium (AceK), both high intensity sweeteners were used in this protocol since they are the most used sweeteners in overall foods and beverages (accounting for 62 % of the global artificial sweeteners, we provided Su and AceK at low (LD-ASB) concentrations, closely following Young et al. study that reported ASB metabolic impact in human and corresponding to moderate user consumption (1 and 2 mg.kg⁻¹.day⁻¹, respectively).[14] ASB effect at a high (HD-ASB) dose was also studied, reaching the maximal limit of Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI), (15 mg.kg⁻¹.day⁻¹ for both Su and AceK) respectively.[15] At the end of the diet period, rats were sacrificed to collect adipose tissues and aorta (Figure 1, A). Aortic rings (2mm) were placed into an isometric force transducer. After a one-hour incubation in either normoglycemic (11 mM) or hyperglycemic condition (100 mM), rings were constricted with phenylephrine and endothelium-dependent vasodilatation was tested using increasing doses of Acetylcholine (ACh). For comparison of multiple experimental conditions, analysis of variance (ANOVA) or repeated measures ANOVA followed by the Tuckey test were used. A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was done using GraphPad Prism version 8 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

No difference was observed regarding vasodilatory function in normoglycemic condition. However, after one-hour hyperglycemic stress, endothelial function in both ASB groups was impaired compared to control group, although statistical significance was reached only with HD-ASB (Figure 1, B). When expressed as a difference between NG and HG conditions, we observed a two-fold higher decrease in maximal relaxation in HD-ASB than in water group (Figure 1, C). Interestingly, we reported significant changes in adipose tissue deposition of both ASB groups, with increased sub-cutaneous and visceral perirenal fat mass (Figure 1, E), while total body weight remained comparable between the three groups (Figure 1, D).

As part of a weight loss strategy in overweight and obese individuals and in patients with glucose intolerance or type 2 diabetes, several health authorities or medical practitioners recommend the use of ASB consumption.[16] Nevertheless, there is no consensus regarding the safety of these molecules and further studies are needed to understand their metabolic impact on healthy subjects or patients with cardiometabolic disease. Our experiments conducted in rats showed, for the first time, that commonly used AS in food or drinks (sucralose and acesulfame potassium) induced a decrease in endothelium-dependent vascular function in a hyperglycemic condition. This is of high interest, since we recently demonstrated that acute hyperglycemic stress induced by a commercial sugary drink impaired vascular endothelial function reactivity, in healthy young adults, thus confirming the high sensitivity of vascular function to disruption of glucose metabolism.[17] Therefore, the present study suggest that AS consumption could increase the vascular dysfunction in response to a glucose load. Moreover, this vascular dysfunction was also associated with a significant modification in adipose tissue repartition in the body, supporting the hypothesis that AS are able to affect body homeostasis, potentially explaining the increase in cardiometabolic risk observed in epidemiological studies.[9–11] However, the literature is not clear about AS effects on adipose tissue, describing deleterious or neutral effects depending on the study.[18,19] In human overweight and obese population, recent work described positive effects of AS, by limiting weight gain.[20] Whether these changes are found in humans by direct or indirect effect of AS on vascular function, and whether age and sex may affect the clinical findings[4,12], remain to be determined. The discrepancies observed between human and animal studies suggests that more studies are needed to understand AS cardiovascular effects in healthy population but also in patients with metabolic disease.

- [1] NCD Risk Factor Collaboration. Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4·4 million participants. Lancet Lond Engl 2016;387:1513–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00618-8.
- [2] Arsenault BJ, Lamarche B, Després J-P. Targeting Overconsumption of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages vs. Overall Poor Diet Quality for Cardiometabolic Diseases Risk Prevention: Place Your Bets! Nutrients 2017;9:pii:E600. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9060600.
- [3] Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després J-P, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and cardiovascular disease risk.

Circulation 2010;121:1356–64.

https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.876185.

- [4] Malik VS, Li Y, Pan A, De Koning L, Schernhammer E, Willett WC, et al. Long-Term Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened and Artificially Sweetened Beverages and Risk of Mortality in US Adults. Circulation 2019;139:2113-25. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.037401.
- [5] Wang Q-P, Browman D, Herzog H, Neely GG. Non-nutritive sweeteners possess a bacteriostatic effect and alter gut microbiota in mice. PloS One 2018;13:e0199080. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199080.
- [6] Tucker RM, Tan S-Y. Do non-nutritive sweeteners influence acute glucose homeostasis in humans? A systematic review. Physiol Behav 2017;182:17–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.09.016.
- [7] Shwide-Slavin C, Swift C, Ross T. Nonnutritive Sweeteners: Where Are We Today? Diabetes Spectr 2012;25:104–10. https://doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.25.2.104.
- [8] EU Sci Hub Eur Comm. Sugars and Sweeteners, https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/health-knowledge-gateway/promotionprevention/nutrition/sugars-sweeteners; 2017 [accessed March 25, 2019].
- [9] Pepino MY. Metabolic effects of non-nutritive sweeteners. Physiol Behav 2015;152:450–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.06.024.
- [10] Azad MB, Abou-Setta AM, Chauhan BF, Rabbani R, Lys J, Copstein L, et al. Nonnutritive sweeteners and cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and prospective cohort studies. Can Med Assoc J 2017;189:929–39. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.161390.
- [11] Fagherazzi G, Gusto G, Affret A, Mancini FR, Dow C, Balkau B, et al. Chronic Consumption of Artificial Sweetener in Packets or Tablets and Type 2 Diabetes Risk: Evidence from the E3N-European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition Study. Ann Nutr Metab 2017;70:51–8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000458769.
- [12] Mossavar-Rahmani Yasmin, Kamensky Victor, Manson JoAnn E., Silver Brian, Rapp Stephen R., Haring Bernhard, et al. Artificially Sweetened Beverages and Stroke, Coronary Heart Disease, and All-Cause Mortality in the Women's Health Initiative. Stroke 2019;50:555–62.
 - https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.118.023100.
- [13] Risdon S, Roustit M, Meyer G, Walther G. Is fasting blood glucose a reliable parameter to investigate the effect of non-nutritive sweeteners on glucose metabolism? Eur J Clin Nutr 2018;73:331-2. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-018-0366-9.
- [14] Young R L. Impact of artificial sweeteners on glycaemic control in healthy humans, http://www.abstractsonline.com/pp8/#!/4294/presentation/4521 ; 2017 [accessed 28 November 2017].
- [15] Schiffman SS, Rother KI. Sucralose, A Synthetic Organochlorine Sweetener: Overview Of Biological Issues. J Toxicol Environ Health Part B 2013;16:399–451. https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2013.842523.
- [16] Shwide-Slavin C, Swift C, Ross T. Nonnutritive Sweeteners: Where Are We Today? Diabetes Spectr 2012;25:104–10. https://doi.org/10.2337/diaspect.25.2.104.
- [17] Loader J, Meziat C, Watts R, Lorenzen C, Sigaudo-Roussel D, Stewart S, et al. Effects of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption on Microvascular and

Macrovascular Function in a Healthy Population. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2017;37:1250–60. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.116.308010.

- [18] Glendinning JI, Hart SA, Lee H, Maleh J, Ortiz G, Sang Ryu Y, et al. Low calorie sweeteners cause only limited metabolic effects in mice. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2019;318:70-80. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00245.2019.
- [19] Sánchez-Tapia M, Martínez-Medina J, Tovar AR, Torres N. Natural and Artificial Sweeteners and High Fat Diet Modify Differential Taste Receptors, Insulin, and TLR4-Mediated Inflammatory Pathways in Adipose Tissues of Rats. Nutrients 2019;11:pii:E880. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11040880.
- [20] Higgins KA, Mattes RD. A randomized controlled trial contrasting the effects of 4 low-calorie sweeteners and sucrose on body weight in adults with overweight or obesity. Am J Clin Nutr 2019;109:1288–301. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqy381.

Figure 1. Impact of chronic artificial sweeteners consumption, at moderate and Acceptable Daily Intake, on endothelial dysfunction during acute hyperglycemia. A, Diet protocol for rat fed with normal chow and consumed water supplemented or not (Water) with artificial sweeteners at low (LD-ASB) or high (HD-ASB) dose, respectively mimicking moderate and Acceptable Daily Intake consumption. After ten weeks of diet and body weight follow-up, animals are sacrificed for vascular reactivity and body composition assessment. B, Endothelium-dependent relaxation induced by acetylcholine (ACh) in rat aorta following one hour of normoglycemic condition (11 mM glucose) or hyperglycemic stress (100 mM glucose). C, Maximal relaxation delta between NG and HG condition. D, Body weight following ten weeks diets. E, Body weight percentage of visceral (perirenal, epididymal and inguinal), subcutaneous and brown adipose tissue. Data are represented as Mean ± SEM, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

