

Predicting Future Poor Readers from Pre-reading Visual Skills:

Marie Vernet, Stéphanie Bellocchi, Laurie Leibnitz, Yves Chaix, Stéphanie

Ducrot

► To cite this version:

Marie Vernet, Stéphanie Bellocchi, Laurie Leibnitz, Yves Chaix, Stéphanie Ducrot. Predicting Future Poor Readers from Pre-reading Visual Skills:: A Longitudinal Study. Applied Neuropsychology: Child, 2022, 11 (3), pp.480-494. 10.1080/21622965.2021.1895790. hal-03102987v2

HAL Id: hal-03102987 https://hal.science/hal-03102987v2

Submitted on 5 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Predicting Future Poor Readers from Pre-reading Visual Skills:				
2	A Longitudinal Study				
3					
4	Marie Vernet ^{a,b} , Laurie Leibnitz ^d , Stéphanie Bellocchi ^e , Yves Chaix ^{b,c} , Stéphanie Ducrot ^a				
5					
6	^a Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LPL, Aix-en-Provence, France				
7 8	^b ToNIC, Toulouse NeuroImaging Center, Université de Toulouse, Inserm, UPS, Toulouse, France				
9	^c Hôpital des enfants, Centre hospitalier universitaire Purpan, Toulouse, France				
10	^d Centre Médico-Psycho-Pédagogique, Association des CMPP, Fort-de-France, Martinique				
11 12	^e Univ. Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, Univ. Montpellier, EPSYLON EA 4556, Montpellier, France				
13					
14					
15 16	SHORT RUNNING TITLE: PREREADING VISUAL SKILLS AND FUTURE READING ABILITIES				
17					
18					
19					
20	Correspondence				
21	Marie Vernet				
22	Toulouse Neuro-Imaging Center				
23	Pavillon Baudot – CHU Purpan				
24	Place du Dr Joseph Baylac				
25	31024 Toulouse, France				
26	E-mail: marie.vernet@inserm.fr				

27

Predicting Future Poor Readers from Pre-reading Visual Skills:

28 29

A longitudinal study

30 ABSTRACT

Reading is essential for learning, from literature to physics, from paper to screens on e-readers 31 32 and smart phones. Even if it is well known that learning to read implies good language skills, children also need to develop good oculomotor and visual-perception skills. Thereby, any 33 deficits in visual processing may affect learning. The possible impact of visual deficits is 34 35 rarely considered, especially with regard to eye movements and visual perception. Hence, these deficits are usually discovered much later or remain undiagnosed. The present study 36 aimed at assessing the usefulness of visual processing related measures in the early detection 37 of reading difficulties. Visual skill differences that are apparent early in kindergarten might 38 provide predictive insights into risk for learning difficulties at school entry. We used a 39 40 prospective, longitudinal approach where visual processes (assessed with the Developmental Eye Movement (DEM) test) were measured in 51 preschoolers, and the impact of these 41 processes on future reading development was explored one year later, in Grade 1. Results 42 showed that (1) 31% of our sample of preschoolers showed visual processing impairments 43 (without any clinical complaints) and (2) reading accuracy and speed in first graders were 44 significantly correlated with visual skills assessed in kindergarten, thus confirming the 45 significant role of oculomotor and visual-perception processes in the acquisition of reading 46 skills. These suggests the potential for these measures to be used clinically for identifying 47 children at risk for low academic achievement, enabling appropriate targeting of early 48 interventions. 49

50 <u>Keywords</u>: Longitudinal study; Reading acquisition; Kindergarten; Visual information
51 processing; Eye movements; DEM-test; Preliteracy skills

52 **INTRODUCTION**

Although reading is rapid and automatic in skilled readers, in beginning readers it emerges only as a result of the complex and effortful interaction between perceptual/oculomotor processes and language processing (Schatschneider et al., 2004). These processes rely on children's grasp of fundamental skills, such as visual perceptual skills, spoken language skills, short-term memory and accurate eye control skills, that develop during the preschool years before the beginning of formal reading instruction (Nicolson & Fawcett, 2010; Pollatsek & Rayner, 2005; Rayner & Liversedge, 2011; Ziegler et al., 2008).

While a good deal of recent research has focused on the role of phonological skills in 60 learning to perceive written language, little attention has been paid to the development of 61 62 visual skills¹ in prereaders or about the role that these visual skills play in early reading development. However, reading is a visual task, highly constrained by the anatomical and 63 functional limits of our visual system (for reviews, see Leibnitz et al., 2015, 2017). Whereas it 64 is likely that both phonology and visual processes contribute to skilled reading acquisition and 65 66 its dysfunction, few studies have been conducted to examine oculomotor and visuo-perceptual processes related to word recognition skills in children as they learn to read. This paper is 67 therefore focused upon those processes and on their potential as explanation of reading 68 behaviour and reading problems in the context of general emergent literacy skills. 69

70

The importance of visual processing in reading

Previous researchers have found high overlaps between visual skills and domaingeneral cognitive skills (e.g., sustained attention, nonverbal reasoning, and inhibitory control) (Buckley et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019), as well as rapid automatic naming (RAN; Yang et al., 2020). For sighted people, written language is perceived visually. The high visual acuity

- 3 -

¹ By visual skills or visual processing, we do not mean visual acuity but rather a complex visual and/or visuoattentional mechanism specific to the reading activity

that is needed to rapidly identify words is spatially limited (Lee et al., 2003; Rayner & 75 Bertera, 1979; Rayner & Morrison, 1981) and most studies today agree about the link 76 between visual attention and oculomotor control during reading: visual attention affects 77 saccadic programming, that is, the position where the eyes land in a word and acts as a filter 78 that enhances letter identification under the attentional focus, thus limiting the detrimental 79 effects of acuity and crowding (Carrasco, 2011; Strasburger, 2005; Tydgat & Grainger, 2009; 80 Yeshurun & Rashal, 2010). One of the first task of the beginning reader is therefore to 81 develop good oculomotor skills to overcome anatomo-functional constraints of the eye and be 82 able to land in the best position within the words to be read, to extract the information being 83 fixated, to program a saccade, to position the eyes on the next word, and so on (Ducrot et al., 84 2013; O'Regan & Lévy-Schoen, 1987; Rayner, 1986). 85

86 Furthermore, visual processing shapes the way visual information is extracted from print (Aghababian & Nazir, 2000; Ducrot et al., 2013). In order to process letters within 87 88 foveal strings and to apply grapheme-to-phoneme conversion rules, beginning readers must be able, at first, to segment the fixated information by shifting from distributed attention to more 89 focused attention. Secondly, the child has to detect and take into account letter orientation 90 91 (e.g. p becomes q with an orientation change), letter sharpens (e.g. c and e only differ from each other by a visual feature) and letter-in-word order (the same set of letters can make 92 different words, e.g. pat, tap, apt in English). Finally, the child has to develop to inhibit all 93 surrounding letters and words to reduce visual crowding-that is the interference generated 94 by stimuli in close proximity-and optimize letter identification under the attentional focus 95 (Tydgat & Grainger, 2009). It follows that basic aspects of oculomotor control (which 96 provide optimal visual input), the ability to orient the focus of attention as well as the ability 97 to control its size are assumed to play a crucial role in the development of reading skills 98 (Ducrot et al., 2013; Grainger, 2018; Leibnitz et al., 2017; Morris & Rayner, 1991) and that 99

their inadequate development might be one cause of reading disabilities (e.g., Biscaldi et al.,
2000; Fischer & Weber, 1990).

The idea of the implication of visual skills in learning to read is not a recent one 102 (Morgan, 1896), but it remains an infrequently investigated issue. However, the findings from 103 dyslexics and typically developing readers suggest a strong relationship between reading 104 ability and visual processing skills (such as visual-perceptual, oculomotor and visual-105 attentional processes, for reviews, see (Bellocchi et al., 2013; Blythe & Joseph, 2011; Ducrot 106 et al., 2013; Kulp & Schmidt, 1996a). For example, Yang and Meng (2020) longitudinally 107 108 followed 108 preschoolers and revealed a strong association between preschool visual processes and Grade 1 reading outcomes, thus supporting the concept that visual performance 109 is key to learning and more specifically to reading acquisition (see also González et al., 2014; 110 Memis & Sivri, 2016). 111

112 In the same vein, a body of research suggests that deficits in visual-perceptual or oculomotor processing may contribute to reading difficulties (Franceschini et al., 2012; Gori 113 & Facoetti, 2015; Granet et al., 2006; Meng et al., 2011; Vidvasagar & Pammer, 2010; Zhou 114 et al., 2014; see also Bellocchi et al., 2013, for a review). For example, children who have 115 deficits in visual perception often cannot efficiently distinguish words printed in different 116 117 sizes or colors (Fischer et al., 2000; Visser et al., 2004). In addition to poor performance on tasks requiring phonological awareness, children who had poor reading abilities may present 118 visual perception deficits, e.g. (1) disturbances in contrast sensibility (Lovegrove et al., 1980), 119 120 weak sensitivity in detecting coherent motion or forms (Cornelissen et al., 1995), letter identity confusions and/or location errors (Pelli et al., 2004; Whitney & Levi, 2011); 121 distortion, blurring, merging or moving of letters or words (Kovács, 2000; Stein & Walsh, 122 1997), (2) oculomotor dysfunction (e.g., increased duration and number of fixations, reduced 123 saccade amplitude, increased ocular regression leading to misread words, missing words and 124

skipping lines (De Luca et al., 2002; Hutzler & Wimmer, 2004) and/or (3) visual attention 125 impairments (e.g. problems focusing attention, Kramer & Hinojosa, 1999; high sensitivity to 126 the crowding effect, Martelli et al., 2009; Zorzi et al., 2012; symmetrical OVP curve, 127 (Bellocchi & Ducrot, 2021; Ducrot et al., 2003) when they attempt to read (see also, Bosse & 128 Valdois, 2009; Ducrot & Lété, 2008, 2020; Lallier et al., 2010; Lété & Ducrot, 2008). It also 129 has been found that remediation based on training of oculomotor and visuo-attentional 130 abilities improves reading abilities in dyslexic children (Caldani et al., 2020; Launay & 131 Valdois, 2004; Lehtimäki & Reilly, 2005). 132

133

134

Predictors of reading development

The findings from dyslexics and typically developing readers suggest that the 135 problems children experience in learning to read during the elementary years and beyond are 136 related to the preliteracy skills that they bring with them from preschool and kindergarten 137 (e.g. Lonigan, 2006; Wagner et al., 1994). It is generally accepted that phonological skills are 138 important for the development of reading (for a review, see Kirby et al., 2008). Evidence that 139 these skills are necessary precursors for learning to read, is that pre-reading children in 140 kindergarten who score poorly on tests of phonemic awareness (i.e., the ability to recognize 141 and manipulate individual speech sounds) and rapid automatic naming (RAN) are more likely 142 to become poor readers over the next few years (Carroll et al., 2003; Lonigan et al., 2000; 143 Parrila et al., 2004; Schatschneider et al., 2004)². 144

As previously stated, the importance of phonological and orthographic skills in learning to read shouldn't overshadow the fact that a written or printed word is, above all, a visual stimulus, and visuo-attentional and oculomotor skills (which provide optimal visual

² It should be noted that other linguistic skills play important roles in successful reading acquisition, as knowledge of alphabetic letters (Lonigan et al., 2000; Parrila et al., 2004; Storch & Whitehurst, 2002) and word forms (Goodman, 1986; Lonigan et al., 2000).

input) may play a crucial role in successful reading development. If visual perception is not 148 fully developed by the time a child is in preschool, his or her ability to read (Flax et al., 1984; 149 Kulp & Schmidt, 1996a, 1996b), to spell, and to write (Borsting et al., 2005) may be affected. 150 These visual disorders which often appear in the absence of complaints expressed by the 151 subject or his/her family may lead to eye fatigue, increased tiredness of the child, blurriness, 152 headaches, and/or burning eyes, and then, depending on their severity, to difficulty sustaining 153 attention and focus. All of which may interfere with visual exploration, reading fluency and 154 155 distract from reading comprehension.

156 Recent research suggests that in addition to skills with language sounds, visual-spatial attention may be an important predictor of reading development. Franceschini et al. (2012) 157 showed that children who had poor reading abilities during the first or second year of reading 158 education made significantly more errors on a visual-search task and a spatial-cueing task 159 than normal readers had when they were in kindergarten. Moreover, poorly developed visual 160 161 information processing skills, which refer to the cognitive abilities required to extract and organise visual input derived from the environment, have also been associated with poorer 162 learning outcomes (Vinuela-Navarro et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2018). In an earlier study, 163 164 Kavale (1982) performed a meta-analysis of 161 major studies relating visual perception and reading performance. His results indicated that visual perception is a significant correlate of 165 reading achievement, and is predictive of reading performance in school, especially during the 166 167 preschool and primary school grades. The initial landing position, the visual-attentional span and visual-motor skills also explain part of the variance in the reading abilities after one year 168 of learning (Bellocchi et al., 2017; Bosse & Valdois, 2009; Chung et al., 2004; Ducrot et al., 169 2021; Kwon et al., 2007; Valdois et al., 2019). These various findings suggested therefore that 170 visual and oculomotor processing is highly essential in the development of reading skills. 171

173 The present study

To sum up, in addition to the well-documented importance of phonological skills in 174 reading acquisition and dyslexia, visual processes also appear as important factors in learning 175 176 to read. Despite the evidence of 1) the importance of the oculomotor and visuo-perceptual processes in learning to read, and 2) data showing perceptual and oculomotor deficits in 177 178 dyslexics, the assessment of these processes is not systematically carried out and many visual deficits still remain undetected in preschool children. We assume that it is crucial to be able to 179 assess perceptual, and visuo-attentional prerequisites to reading development, in order to 180 identify children at-risk for reading disabilities at an early age and increases the chance of 181 182 success for charting later developmental trajectories (Jenkins et al., 2007; Shonkoff & Phillips, 2000). 183

It therefore seems important to find a reliable and practical test that could assess visual 184 processing skills in young children. At the current time, many tools available to professionals 185 are designed to assess phonological abilities as early as kindergarten, but there are very few 186 tools for assessing the relations between visual skills and the process of learning to read. In 187 that context, we have examined the usefulness of the Developmental Eye Movement (DEM) 188 test (Garzia et al., 1990) that provides an indirect measure of the efficiency of visual processes 189 in a simulated reading task (horizontal and vertical digit naming task, providing reading time 190 and errors). This procedure was not chosen arbitrarily but in reference to previous work using 191 the DEM-test with and without eye-movement recording (Ayton et al., 2009; Bellocchi et al., 192 2021; Facchin et al., 2011, 2014; Hopkins et al., 2019; Moiroud et al., 2018; Raghuram et al., 193 194 2019; Webber et al., 2011). It is important to keep in mind here that all studies on the DEMtest point agreed that visuo-perceptual and visuo-attentional processes play a major role in this 195 task (Ayton et al., 2009; Facchin et al., 2011, 2014; Hopkins et al., 2019; Larter et al., 2004; 196 Moiroud et al., 2018; Northway, 2003; Palomo-Álvarez & Puell, 2009; Portnoy & Gilaie-197

Prereading visual skills and future reading abilities

Dotan, 2020; Raghuram et al., 2018). Moreover, there is a consensus on the relationship 198 between the DEM-test and reading abilities, both in school-age children (Avton et al., 2009; 199 Larter et al., 2004; Palomo-Álvarez & Puell, 2009; Serdjukova et al., 2017; Wood et al., 200 2018) and in children with learning difficulties (Bellocchi et al., 2021; Moiroud et al., 2018; 201 Northway, 2003; Raghuram et al., 2018). Note that Moiroud et al. (2018) with a video-202 oculography while performing the DEM-test, even demonstrated that the time taken by the 203 children (dyslexic and non-dyslexic) to read Text C of the DEM-test was significantly 204 correlated with children fixation ability and the speed of reading a text. Taken together, these 205

results argue for a strong link between visual processing, performance of verbalization and the
 DEM-test to clinically assess the reading performance of children with and without reading
 deficiencies³.

209 In the present study, we examined the influence of visual processes in kindergarten on first graders decoding abilities. Specifically, we were interested in showing the importance of 210 211 visual processes in a model for predicting emergent literacy in kindergarten and subsequent reading abilities in first graders. We here address this issue through the longitudinal follow-up 212 of a cohort of typical children from kindergarten to first grade. The two specific questions 213 addressed in this study were the following. First, what is the prevalence of visual deficits as 214 assessed by the DEM test at age 5, before children start formal reading instruction? Secondly, 215 does kindergarteners' visual skills significantly contribute to emergent literacy abilities and 216 later reading abilities? 217

³ Note that only two issues are still being discussed regarding the DEM-test, i.e. (1) is it secure to use the ratio measure (Medland et al. 2010; Raghuram & al., 2018 vs. Ayton & al., 2009; Portnoy & Gilaie-Dotan, 2020; Webber et al., 2011; Wood et al., 2018) and (2) is it possible to use the DEM test as a specific measure of saccadic control (Ayton et al., 2009; Webber et al., 2011 vs. Moiroud et al., 2018; Raghuram et al., 2019). We do not feel concerned here, since investigating eye movement control in children was clearly not the focus of interest of this paper.

219 **METHOD**

Participants. Fifty-one French-speaking children, enrolled from kindergarten to the 220 1st grade, took part in this study. All the participants had normal or corrected-to-normal 221 vision. None of them suffered from any neurological, psychiatric, or emotional disorders or 222 were educationally disadvantaged. The children were recruited in three kindergartens located 223 in the Aix-Marseille academy, in the south of France, and we obtained informed consent from 224 their parents and the agreement of the board of education prior to the beginning of the study. 225 The task was administered longitudinally. At Time 0, a total of fifty-one kindergarten children 226 (26 females; mean age 5.8 years; range 4.11 to 6.4) participated in the study. One year later, 227 participants were retested, in May of the first grade, that is, at the end of the school year. The 228 two testing sessions will hereafter be referred as test 0 (T0) and test 1 (T1). Thirty children 229 230 (12 females; mean age 6.10 years; range 6.4 to 7.3) were re-assessed in T1.

231

232

Material and method.

Visual skills. Visual and oculomotor skills were assessed using the DEM-test 233 (Developmental Eye Movement Test; Garzia et al., 1990; Richman, 2009), which is 234 composed by horizontal and vertical digit reading tasks printed on four different sheets of 235 paper: the pre-test (a horizontal line of ten 0.5 cm high digits) to ensure that the child is 236 237 familiar with all the digits presented, two vertical tests (Test A and B; each composed of two 238 vertical lines of twenty 0.5 cm high digits separated by a 10.5 cm horizontal margin and with a 0.5 cm vertical distance between letters), which are supposed to evaluate the level of 239 automaticity of the naming of numbers with involving only basic oculomotor skills, and one 240 241 horizontal test (Test C; sixteen lines of five irregularly separated 0.5 cm high digits), that requires good oculomotor and visuo-attentional skills, in addition to number naming skills, 242 due to the variable lines spacing and numerous line references making the ocular tracking of 243

lines more difficult. Children were asked to read aloud the digits as fast and as accurately as 244 possible. The task was timed, and errors or omissions were recorded. The DEM test provides 245 four main indices: (1) the vertical reading time (seconds) (VT) which represents the sum of 246 the time spent on naming the eighty vertically organized digits of Test A and B (in accordance 247 with the test manual, errors were not used for scoring purpose); (2) the adjusted horizontal 248 time (seconds) (HT) which represents the time required for reading the eighty horizontally 249 organized digits presented in the Test C; this score is corrected for omission or addition 250 errors⁴; (3) the total number of errors (HE) which gives the accuracy on the execution of Test 251 C corresponding to the sum of the 4 possible types of errors: addition errors (i.e., adding or 252 repeating a digit), omission errors (i.e., forgetting a digit), transposition errors (i.e., inversion 253 between two digits) and substitution errors (i.e., replacing one digit with another); and (4) the 254 Ratio score (R) which is calculated dividing the HT by the VT; This ratio is intended to 255 256 isolate the involvement of oculomotor processes in the horizontal naming task.

257 *Reading abilities.* The reading abilities of each participant were assessed using the Alouette test (Lefavrais, 1967, 2005). This test is commonly used in France to assess reading 258 proficiency; it consists of reading aloud a text composed of syntactically correct but 259 semantically poor sentences. The reader cannot, therefore, use meaning to facilitate access to 260 lexical representations. Participants were instructed to read the text as fast and as accurately as 261 possible. The time required for this assessment was 3 min. Three main measures were scored: 262 the number of words reads, the reading time and the number of errors, thus providing two 263 indices for each participant: a reading accuracy index (CM) and a reading speed index (CTL). 264

⁴ Adjusted Horizontal Time = Test C time x [80/(80-number of omission + number of addition)]

Procedure. In this longitudinal study, children were tested twice (i.e., T0: 266 kindergarten, T1: May of the 1st grade). All the assessments always occurred in the schools in 267 which the children were enrolled. The children were tested individually in a quiet room in 268 their school during regular school hours. The brightness of the room was adapted according to 269 the support of the different tasks (i.e., paper or screen). At T0 of the study (i.e., kindergarten), 270 children's visual skills were assessed from the DEM-test. At T1 (i.e., Grade 1 - May), reading 271 skills were assessed using the Alouette-test. The administration of the DEM test lasted about 272 10 minutes, and that of the Alouette test approximately 5 min. 273

The entire investigation process was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki (World Health Organisation, 2008), approved by the local Ethics Committee Review Board (Comité de Protection des Personnes pour la recherche biomédicale, CNRS, France). The children's parents gave their written consent for participation.

279

Data analysis. The statistical analyses were carried out using R and GraphPad statistical software. Distributions for the outcome variables suggested no violations of the normality assumption. The statistically significant threshold for all the analyses was set at p =.05. Descriptive statistics were used to report group means and standard deviations for all the DEM test parameters, to define the prevalence of visual difficulties in kindergarten. Prevalence data were based on the threshold of 1 SD above mean⁵. Pearson's correlation analyses were performed to examine the relationship between the performances on the DEM-

⁵ In reading or neuropsychological research, it is more often 1.5 or 1.65 (corresponds to 5%), to be able to identify disorders (Ratcliff, 1993; Saksida et al., 2016). But when the question of interest is to track difficulties or delay in the developmental trajectory, the threshold used traditionally is 1 SD below the average (16%), since this is the threshold beyond which the assessed skill can be considered as poor. This cut-off allowed us to identify all poor readers (reading test) and all children with visuo-perceptual difficulties (DEM test) (Lefavrais, 2005; Muneaux, 2018).

test in kindergarten and the reading level of these children (i.e., reading speed and accuracy) 287 assessed in the 1st grade. Linear regression analyses were subsequently performed in order to 288 integrate the explanatory variables, identified in the correlation analyses, into a model and to 289 isolate the part of the variance explained by these factors. Finally, ROC curve analyses were 290 conducted on the DEM-test indices to determine whether this tool does indeed differentiate 291 children with and without reading difficulties. These analyses allowed us to obtain a measure 292 of the diagnostic test's effectiveness. Indeed, the Area Under the Curve (AUC) is an effective 293 294 indicator, combining both sensitivity and specificity, which indicates the inherent validity of diagnostic tests (see Hajian-Tilaki, 2013, for more details). The AUC, area under curve, can 295 be a value between 0.5 (chance level) and 1.0 (perfect discrimination) (Swets, 1988); AUCs > 296 0.9 are considered excellent, AUCs between 0.8 - 0.9 are very good, between 0.7 - 0.8 are 297 good, 0.6 - 0.7 are average, and an AUC < 0.6 is poor (Choi, 1998). For this analysis, a child 298 299 was considered to have poor reading skills if at least one of the two reading indices (i.e., CM 300 and/or CTL) was below the clinical threshold -1 SD (see Footnote 5).

301

302 **RESULTS**

303 Prevalence of Visual Impairment in Kindergarten and Reading Difficulties in the 1st
 304 Grade

Mean and standard deviations for VT, adjusted HT (HTaj), HE and R indices according to the schooling level (i.e., kindergarten) are reported in Table 1. A total of 16 children had at least one index below-average on the DEM-test in kindergarten, constituting 31% of the sample. More precisely, among these 16 children, 5 were below average on the VT index, 5 on the HTaj index, 2 on both VT and HTaj indexes, 10 on the HE index and 5 on the R index. Besides, the 10 children with a deviant performance on the HE index made between 46 and 65 errors in the horizontal layout (M = 31.57, SD = 16.35). Regarding the nature of these children's errors, on average, 70% were omissions, 25% were addition, 5% were substitution and only 1% were transposition errors, thus confirming the role of visual attention in error scores (Coulter & Shallo-Hoffman, 2001).

315

[Insert Table 1 about here]

316

Thirty of these children were followed longitudinally⁶, and among them, 6 presented 317 reading difficulties (RD) in the 1st grade including 4 children who already presented 318 difficulties with at least one DEM-test index in kindergarten (i.e., 13.33% of the cohort 319 followed longitudinally). Moreover, 5 children presented a deviant performance in the DEM-320 test without subsequent reading difficulties (NoRD, i.e., 16.67%) which may indicate purely 321 oculomotor or orthoptic deficits. Note that for these children only the HE index was deviant. 322 When these data were merged together, the distributions of the different DEM-test variables 323 behaved completely differently between children with Reading Difficulties (RD) and without 324 (NoRD), as shown in Figure 1. Children with RD differed from children without RD in the 325 VT distributions, with a deviant density peak for the first ones. The HT and HE distributions 326 of the data also confirmed the amplitude of the difficulties encountered by the kindergartner 327 children during this task. Preschool children made a large number of errors, whatever they 328 present RD in grade 1 (M = 34.50, SD = 23.18) or not (M = 30.83, SD = 14.75). Note that this 329 result cannot be attributed to poor number knowledge since all children had previously 330 successfully completed the pre-test. As ever observed in other studies, the ratio does not seem 331 an appropriate measure to predict or assess children with RD (e.g., Wood et al., 2018). 332

⁶ Only 30 of the 51 children evaluated in kindergarten could be re-assessed and followed longitudinally to the 1st grade.

334

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

335

All the difficulties identified in the DEM-test in kindergarten were observed without any clinical complaints from the children. Failure on this test can be attributed to various factors such as visual fatigability, orthoptic disorders, poor saccadic control, or also a defect in visual-attentional skills, but in any case the results showed that the visual difficulties observed on this test are relatively frequent in kindergarten (around 1/3 of the children tested) and can have an impact on the quality of reading acquisition.

342

343

Predictive role of DEM-test outcomes on reading skills

Reading accuracy. The correlation analyses, provided in *Table 2*, showed a significant 344 345 relationship between the reading accuracy (i.e., CM index) and all the time and error indexes of the DEM-test (i.e., VT, HTaj and HE). Thus, the longer the vertical and adjusted horizontal 346 time are and the more errors there are at the DEM-test in kindergarten, the less accurate the 347 reading is in 1st grade. The R index did not correlate with the reading accuracy. The 348 regression analyses, as shown in *Table 3*, revealed that the variability in reading accuracy is 349 best explained by a simple linear model taking, as an explanatory variable, the VT index ($\beta =$ 350 -.69, p < .001). More precisely, the reading accuracy is predicted at 37% by the VT index [(R^2 351 $(R^2 = .33)$; F(1,28) = 18.07, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33\% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33\% by the HTaj index [(R² = .33); F(1,28) = 15.57, p < .001], at 33\% by the HTaj index [(R² 352 353 .001] and at 18% by the HE index $[(R^2 = .18); F(1,28) = 7.24, p<.05]$. The very close predictive properties between the VT and HTaj indices can be explained by the strong 354 correlation maintained between these two factors (r(28)=.74; p<.001). 355

Reading speed. The correlation analyses (see *Table 2*) showed a significant relationship between the reading speed (i.e., CTL index) and the VT index only. The HTaj, HE and R indices did not correlate with the reading speed in the 1st grade. The regression analyses, provided in *Table 3*, revealed that the variability in the reading speed is best explained by a simple linear model taking the VT index as an explanatory variable. More precisely, the VT index is the only predictor of the reading speed: it predicts 23 % [($R^2 = .24$); F(1,28) = 9.95, p<.01] of the variance.

363

[Insert Table 2 about here]

364

[Insert Table 3 about here]

365

ROC curves analyses. In order to better understand the potential role of the DEM-test 366 as an early screening tool for reading difficulties, the group of children was divided into two 367 368 different groups that separated children with and without RD. As previously mentioned in the previous section, among the 30 children followed longitudinally, 6 presented weaknesses in 369 their reading skills in the 1st grade and 4 of these children already presented difficulties with 370 371 at least one DEM-test index in kindergarten (i.e., 13.33% of the cohort). The ROC curve analyses, provided in Figure 2, were conducted on the basis of the 6 children with RD and the 372 24 children without difficulties in this area of learning. The results showed that the VT index 373 was the only variable of the DEM-test that significantly dissociated children with and without 374 RD. The AUC for VT was $.7917 \pm .1412$ (p < .05), 95% CI [0.5169 - 1.000]. For HTai, the 375 376 results were in the same direction as for VT with a marginally effect: AUC = $.7569 \pm .1087$ (p = .055), 95% CI [.5439 – .9700]. On the other hand, ROC curve analyses showed that the 377 AUCs for the HE and R indices are not statistically significant (all p > .10). 378

Despite the small size of the present sample, impacting the power of our statistical analyses, the AUCs we found for VT and HTaj remained very similar with other studies such as Hopkins et al. (2019) and Larter et al. (2004). Indeed, for VT, Larter et al. (2004) also found a significant AUC, very close to the current one, at .778. For HTaj, although the current

383	study's AUC (.757) was only marginally significant, other studies such as those by Hopkins et
384	al. (2019) and Larter et al. (2004) have shown that this index was a good indicator
385	discriminating children with and without RD, with AUCs of .72 and .83 respectively. Just to
386	remind, AUCs > 0.9 are considered excellent, AUCs between $0.8 - 0.9$ are very good,
387	between $0.7 - 0.8$ are good, $0.6 - 0.7$ are average, and an AUC < 0.6 is poor (Choi, 1998).
388	Even if these studies differed in terms of experimental design (transversal vs. longitudinal),
389	children age, or tests used to assess reading level, the DEM-test appeared to be an effective
390	test in the screening process for reading difficulties as early as kindergarten.

- 391
- 392

[Insert Figure 2 about here]

393

394 **DISCUSSION**

395 According to Goswami (2015), phonological processing has been the core area of focus in the reading research, as phonological deficit was anticipated to link to reading 396 difficulties, affecting word recognition skills and reading fluency. However, there are certain 397 398 parts of reading disabilities that are not entirely explained by phonological skills alone. Early detection of specific deficits, in any component skills needed for reading is crucial to the 399 400 development of targeted instruction to ameliorate the need. As a result, more appropriate and more effective interventions may be provided to support struggling children. Within this 401 framework, in the present study we examined the importance of oculomotor and visual 402 403 processes for predicting subsequent reading abilities in Grade 1. We addressed this issue through the longitudinal follow-up of a sample of typical children from kindergarten to first 404 405 grade.

Prevalence of Visual and Reading Difficulties from Kindergarten to the 1st grade

In the early school years as children are learning to read letters and numbers (tasks 408 requiring visual recognition, matching and recall of shapes), adequate oculomotor and visual 409 information processing skills (including visual analysis, visuo-motor integration, eye 410 movement control, attention orienting/focusing) are critical. One of the main objectives of the 411 present study was thus to examine children's visual skills at age 5, before they start formal 412 reading instruction, to assess the occurrence of visual skills difficulties in preschoolers and to 413 study more precisely beyond the linguistic ones, the oculomotor and visuo-attentional 414 415 mechanisms needed in learning to read.

416 Although data already available for the DEM-test in French school-aged children 417 (Bellocchi et al., 2021; Moiroud et al., 2018, 2020), no research, to the best of our knowledge, has specifically questioned its usefulness in the assessment of visual skills in preschool 418 419 children. Therefore, the current study provides the first data collected in French kindergarten children. The results corroborate findings from other studies showing that oculomotor and 420 visual processing difficulties are very common among children in kindergarten, with nearly 421 30% of preschool children presented difficulties on this test, in the absence of complaints 422 expressed by the subject or his/her family. In addition to deviant performance on the VT and 423 424 HT indexes, preschool children made also a large number of errors, whatever they present RD one year later or not (but see, Raghuram et al., 2018, for different results, suggesting an 425 association between reading level and the number of errors made on the horizontal 426 presentation). The nature of these errors is very relevant since most of them are errors of 427 omission (i.e. nearly 70%) resulting mainly from skipping lines and characters. In addition to 428 immature or inaccurate eye movement control, these errors may be due to visuo-attentional 429 factors (Aslin & Ciuffreda, 1983; Coulter & Shallo-Hoffman, 2001). 430

Approximately 14% of the children in the current sample presented difficulties on both 431 the DEM-test in kindergarten and the reading assessment in the 1st grade and it clearly 432 appeared that the performance distribution at the DEM-test in Kindergarten was different 433 between good and poor readers one year later. The results of this study are consistent with 434 those of other investigators who found that children with reading problems have a higher 435 prevalence of associated deficient visual efficiency skills, such as oculomotor (Brodney et al., 436 2001; Eden et al., 1994; Kulp & Schmidt, 1996b; Maples & Ficklin, 1990), and visuo-437 attentional skills (Bellocchi & Ducrot, 2021; Bosse & Valdois, 2009; Franceschini et al., 438 2012), than do children who are proficient readers. In that vein, Portnoy and Gilaie-Dotan 439 (2020) showed in a cross-sectionally study that 14.3% of the children in their total sample 440 (i.e., 4 children out of 28) were both among the slowest on the DEM-test and in difficulty 441 with reading speed, and that 17.9 % of the cohort (i.e., 5 out of 28 children) were both among 442 443 the least accurate on the DEM-test and had difficulty with reading accuracy.

444 Visual deficits identified in kindergarten with the DEM-test can have different causes such as visual fatigability, orthoptic disorders, poor eye movement control, defect in visual-445 attentional skills or also insufficient exposure to written language, but whatever their causes, 446 447 the visual impairments in kindergarten detected with the DEM-test can have major consequences on the children's developmental trajectory, particularly in their reading 448 acquisition leading more generally to academic difficulties (Case-Smith, 2009; Kramer & 449 450 Hinojosa, 2010). The vision-related symptoms include distortion, blurring, merging or moving of letters or words (Stein & Walsh, 1997). Moreover, misread words, missing words 451 and skipping lines when reading, are also frequently presented, suggesting a consequence of 452 poor visual processing (Kulp & Schmidt, 1996a). Since visual deficits in preschool children 453 do not disappear as they grow up, they may continue to struggle visually when reading. This 454 455 may have adverse effects on reading motivation and performance.

456

457

Predicting Reading Skills Based on Visual Processes in Kindergarten

Preliminary results regarding prevalence of visual and reading impairments, 458 respectively and jointly, demonstrated that visual information processing ability, based on 459 measures of horizontal and vertical DEM times in preschool children, was significantly 460 associated with reading scores in Grade 1 school children, suggesting that visual information 461 processing plays a significant role in a child's academic performance in Grade 1. These 462 findings are consistent with other studies that have demonstrated associations between 463 delayed visual information processing skills and reduced classroom performance (learning to 464 read in particular, Hopkins et al., 2017, 2019; Kulp, 1999; Oberer et al., 2018; Pienaar et al., 465 2014; Sortor & Kulp, 2003; White et al., 2017; Yang & Meng, 2020), which justify the need 466 467 to include visual perceptual skills in the prediction of reading achievement.

468 The question then was to determine whether kindergartners visual skills contribute to emergent literacy skills and later reading abilities. This question is particularly useful for an 469 early identification of children at-risk for reading disabilities. The longitudinal approach of 470 the current study revealed also that the visual skills assessed by the DEM test could partially 471 predict reading outcomes one year later. More precisely, the correlation analyses showed that 472 473 reading accuracy in Grade 1 was significantly correlated with the HTaj, VT and HE indices assessed in kindergarten, whereas reading speed was only correlated with the VT index. The 474 relationship we found between the DEM-test outcomes and the reading level corroborated 475 476 previously reported results from several studies, particularly for VT (Ayton et al., 2009; Larter et al., 2004; Raghuram et al., 2018; Serdjukova et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2018) and 477 HTaj (Ayton et al., 2009; Moiroud et al., 2018; Northway, 2003; Palomo-Álvarez & Puell, 478 2009; Raghuram et al., 2018; Serdjukova et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2018). In addition, children 479 with developmental dyslexia have also been shown to perform poorly on the DEM-test than 480

control children (Bellocchi et al., 2021; Moiroud et al., 2018; Raghuram et al., 2018). 481 According to Portnoy and Gilaie-Dotan (2020) and Wood et al. (2018), we found no 482 correlation between the Ratio and reading performances, suggesting that the DEM ratio is 483 poorly associated with academic outcomes (but see Ayton et al., 2009; Raghuram et al., 484 2018). The distribution of the ratio data was in the same direction, failing to distinguish 485 readers with RD from noRD ones. Moreover, it is important to note that the DEM-test showed 486 a high fidelity as demonstrated by several studies, particularly for the VT and HTaj indices, 487 while the ratio and HE indices, on the other hand, did not reach a consensus (Facchin & 488 Maffioletti, 2018; Orlansky et al., 2011; Tassinari & DeLand, 2005). 489

Linear regression analyses of the current study have shown, more specifically, that the reading speed and accuracy in the 1st grade may be predicted by different indices of the DEMtest as early as kindergarten. The vertical time (i.e., VT) was the best predictor of reading accuracy (37%) and speed (23%) in the 1st grade. The adjusted horizontal time (i.e., HTaj) also partially predicts reading accuracy (33%). These results support the view that visual information processing plays a role in reading achievement, particularly among younger children in the early school grades (Kavale, 1982; Solan & Mozlin, 1986).

Our finding that the VT index was most strongly associated with the reading performance⁷ of first graders was not in the same direction as the findings of Ayton et al. (2009), or Wood et al. (2018) who reported the strongest correlations between the DEM horizontal subtest and reading test scores in their sample of children, aged 8 to 11 years. This difference may have been because the children in their sample (Grade 3 to 5) were beyond the 'learning to read' stage. Indeed, it has been proposed that visual information processing skills

⁷ The possibility that this effect was linked to the small sample of children was runed out since we obtained similar results, i.e., a superiority of the VT index, in another longitudinal study following 137 preschool children (Ducrot & Vernet, 2021, see also Footnote 8). We assume that this effect is linked to the young age of our participants; as children move through school, the role of language and other higher level cognitive skills become more significant in a child's reading performance (Flax, 2006; Goldstand et al., 2005).

play a more significant role in the learning process of younger children (kindergarten to Grade 503 2) in the 'learning to read' phase compared to older children (Flax, 2006). The oculomotor 504 system is still not mature in young children (e.g., Kowler & Martins, 1982) and the 505 oculomotor behavior and the visuo-attentional skills undergo substantial changes during 506 reading development, with longer saccade and perceptual span extent, shorter fixation 507 durations, and lower refixation and regression probabilities (Blythe, 2014; Blythe & Joseph, 508 2011; Ducrot et al., 2013; Rayner, 2009). Moreover, other factors such as visuo-attentional 509 510 and visuospatial factors that are important for performing the DEM test are also developing with the age of the child (Caldani et al., 2020; Coulter & Shallo-Hoffman, 2001; Facchin et 511 al., 2011) and the automatization of this so-called "visuo-attentional" processing is another 512 condition for reading success (see Grainger et al., 2016). In that vein, Ammawat et al. (2019) 513 demonstrated that naming speed (strongly linked with VT performance) was also influenced 514 515 by visual perception and attention. One of the prominent findings of their study is that visual perception was the most significant factor in predicting naming speed in young children. At 516 517 the same time, their study provided support for the theory that visual perception has a strong 518 relationship with attention networks, supporting the hypothesis that visual perception and attention networks may combine to affect naming speed or reading readiness in RD children. 519 For Kuperman et al. (2016), the well-established predictive role of RAN for reading 520 521 performance is in part due to the individual ability to shift attention from one item to the next and to coordinate rapid sequential eye movements to visual nonlinguistic stimuli (see also, 522 Wolf & Bowers, 1999). We argue that the implication of the oculomotor and visuo-attentional 523 mechanisms involved in the DEM horizontal vs. vertical subtests change during reading 524 development and we believe that 5-6 years is a critical window where the weight of these 525 526 processes are especially strong. Stanovich et al. (1981) showed that digit naming time and errors significantly decreases between 5-and 6-year olds and that automaticity significantly 527

increases in the first grade (see also, Kulp & Schmidt, 1997). According to the perceptual
learning account, the visual training associated with the regularity of reading eye movements
improves word recognition within a restricted horizontal region close to the fovea and mostly
within the regions of the retina that fall on the side of the reading direction (Dehaene et al.,
2005). It is therefore likely that the HT index become more strongly associated with the
reading performance as children learn to read and take the left-to-right directionality of visual

scanning into account (see Ducrot et al., 2020, for a change in landing position distributions
between 5 and 6 year-old children, as a result of reading experience).

These data provided a new contribution to the identification of precursors to reading 536 acquisition and to the understanding of the emergence of associated disorders. The emphasis 537 on the predictive potential of the visual skills assessed by the DEM-test on reading abilities 538 highlights the importance of these processes in the emergence of literacy skills and reading 539 abilities later in the learning process. These results were in line with previous studies that 540 541 demonstrated the involvement of visual skills such as the visual-attentional span, initial landing position, and/or visual-motor skills and their potential underlying causes of learning 542 difficulties in some children (Bellocchi et al., 2017; Franceschini et al., 2012; Kwon et al., 543 544 2007; Valdois et al., 2019; Yang & Meng, 2020). Thus, beyond the already accepted implication of linguistic skills as strong predictors of reading level (Carroll et al., 2003; 545 Lonigan et al., 2000; Piquard-Kipffer & Sprenger-Charolles, 2013; Schatschneider et al., 546 2004), these findings demonstrate the key role of visual skills in the mechanisms of reading 547 acquisition. 548

549

528

529

530

531

532

533

550

Usefulness of the DEM-test as an effective screening tool for reading difficulties

551 As far as we know, no study analysed the precision of the DEM test at identifying 552 French preschool children at risk/non-risk of reading difficulty. Studies with this purpose are

crucial because this information is essential for reading difficulties screening. As pointed out 553 before, a trustworthy screening test can help preventive interventions to begin as soon as 554 possible. The interest of this tool for the early detection of reading difficulties in kindergarten 555 has been investigated in very few studies (Kulp & Schmidt, 1997; Xie et al., 2016), in contrast 556 to the combined association between the visual deficits identified in the DEM-test and the 557 poor reading performance which had already been demonstrated and which supports our 558 results, as demonstrated in the previous section (Ayton et al., 2009; Palomo-Álvarez & Puell, 559 560 2009; Portnoy & Gilaie-Dotan, 2020; Serdjukova et al., 2017; Wood et al., 2018). Thus, another goal of the present study was to evaluate the precision of the DEM test in predicting 561 children at risk/non-risk of reading as early as possible. A test's precision of classification can 562 be evaluated based on the ROC curve, considering the AUC (Swets, 1988). Although the 563 sample size was small, the results showed that the VT index (and the HTaj, to a lesser extent) 564 565 were able to dissociate children with and without RD. The AUC for VT was considered as very good (0.80) and that for HTaj as good (comprised between 0.70 and 0.80). This suggests 566 567 the potential for these measures to be used clinically for identifying children at risk for low 568 academic achievement.

These conclusions raise critical perspectives regarding clinical diagnosis and 569 educational approach, by providing the opportunity to gain insight into the visuo-attentional 570 and perceptual difficulties children, in view of establishing thorough and accurate assessment 571 572 procedures and proposing child-specific guidelines and remediation methods that take into account the particular processes affected. Such information is useful not only for uncovering 573 problems readers have with the different components of reading, but also can help in the 574 development of teaching strategies or methods appropriate for individual children's particular 575 strengths or weaknesses (e.g., implement early oculomotor training programs at school, 576 577 especially in kindergarten). Almost 70% of a school day is dedicated to learning activities

involving visual sensory input (Narayanasamy et al., 2016). This may explain, in part, why 578 children with visual impairment are more likely to fail at school (Goldstand et al., 2005; 579 White et al., 2017). Indeed, if visual processes are not operational and efficient from the 580 beginning of the reading learning process, academic achievements can be considerably 581 impacted. Depending on their severity, these deficits can lead to difficulty tracking, sustaining 582 attention and focus, all of which may interfere with visual exploration, reading fluency and 583 distract from reading comprehension. The importance of early screening then takes on its full 584 585 meaning, making it possible to intervene very early in children's developmental trajectory. If appropriate interventions are implemented at an early age, academic skill development could 586 be altered, preventing subtle learning difficulties from becoming more pronounced over time. 587 The earlier an intervention is implemented, the better a child's chances of overcoming his or 588 her deficit (Ozernov-Palchik & Gaab, 2016). 589

Currently, reading instruction and reading intervention techniques employed focus 590 591 primarily on the language components of reading development. Although these programs are based on solid research that identifies phonological processing, vocabulary, and 592 comprehension as core components of reading development, the integration of visual skills 593 594 assessment from kindergarten onward to limit reading disorder is a real necessity. To do so, the DEM-test can be a useful tool, in particular for the early identification of children at risk 595 for reading difficulties. It provides additional information to existing tools assessing linguistic 596 597 skills. For clinicians, this tool could improve the diagnostic assessment to better understand the difficulties observed and thus improve the management of children. In that sense, the 598 combined use of investigation tools in early detection can result highly effective for these 599 children. Furthermore, the rehabilitation methods which stimulate both the phonological and 600 601 the visual-spatial attention skills are proved to be the most effective in children already diagnosed with dyslexia (Facoetti et al., 2003; Gaggi et al., 2012). For example, although eye 602

movement deficits may not be the only contributory factor to reading difficulties, better
control of saccadic eye movements can translate to more precise and quicker reading speed
and reduce the percentage of reading errors (Fischer et al., 2000; Fischer & Hartnegg, 2008).
Training both linguistic and visual skills together before the child begins to learn reading, can
help his/her future reading ability.

The main limitation of the present study is the size of the sample that could be followed. 608 This limitation is directly related to the longitudinal design since children were followed 609 during 18 moths from kindergarten to the first grade, leading inevitably to an attrition effect. 610 But we truly believe this is the best way to better understand the issue of pre-requisites and 611 developmental trajectory in the academic learning process⁸. We also acknowledge that some 612 studies evaluating the validity of the DEM test (Facchin et al., 2011; Garzia et al., 1990) have 613 614 demonstrated that it did not seem to correlate directly with pure eye movement control parameters; however they also showed that visuo-perceptual and visuo-attentional processes 615 616 play a major role in this task and that it was strongly correlated with different aspects of reading performance and it is useful in clinical practice (Ayton et al., 2009; Bellocchi et al., 617 2021; Palomo-Álvarez & Puell, 2009; Powers, 2009). Even though the DEM test does not 618 619 assess basic components of eve movement control and can be influenced by several cognitive processes, such as sustained attention (Coulter & Shallo-Hoffman, 2001), number recognition 620 and retrieval, visual-verbal integration time, speaking time and visuo-spatial attention 621 (Facchin et al., 2011), the fact remains that the DEM test has been shown to be a measure 622 related to visual and visuo-attentional skills, which in turn are related to ocular movements in 623 a reading-like condition. Furthermore, while eye movement clinically available instruments 624

⁸ Note that the data we collected in the interim with a computerized version of the DEM-test made us confident that the effects obtained are replicable and generalizable. This experiment was conducted in a larger sample composed by 137 preschoolers, using the same procedure and longitudinal design, with a group of 137 preschool children. The data we obtained support those first obtained in this study, with the paper-based version of the DEM test, that is., the VT and HTaj measures (1) are significantly correlated with reading outcomes one year later and (2) significantly predict children's reading achievement in Grade 1, i.e., 12 months before reading training (Ducrot & Vernet, 2021).

Prereading visual skills and future reading abilities

are expensive and hard to use during screening or routine eye examination, the DEM-test is an
 inexpensive paper-and-pencil test that is performed in only 5 minutes⁹.

627 CONCLUSION

The current study fills a gap in reading research, by identifying oculomotor and visual 628 perception skills as overlooked components of prerequisites for word recognition. It is clear 629 from the current study, that a few of the preschool children who have problems with visual 630 631 deficits showed a particularly severe pattern of difficulties, which has significantly impacted their learning one year later. Based on the key findings in this study, it is essential to raise 632 awareness among professionals regarding the importance of eye movements and visual 633 perception in preschool children. Any child who shows signs of visual difficulties should be 634 referred for more in-depth visual assessment to identify the actual cause of the difficulties. 635 There is a real challenge in the early identification of additional factors that may contribute to 636 literacy impairments, both for academic success but also for the quality of life of these 637 children. 638

639

640 Authors' Notes

641 The first and the last author contributed equally to this work.

The authors are grateful to Madame Pascale Olive et Madame Florence Le Borgne De
Kaouël, inspectors of French National Education (Aix-Marseille Academy, France) for
allowing us to run this research in some of her mainstream elementary schools.

⁹ The creation of an easy-to-use software for clinical practice is in progress in our laboratory, with the aim to assess visuo-perceptual and attentional abilities, taking the children school level into account. This software, called Diaglect, would allow us to obtain an automatic diagnosis and several remedial modules will be proposed according to the child's visual profile.

Additionally, we would like to thank the schools, teachers, parents and children for their interest and involvement in this research. We also want to give a warm thanks to Eve Meiss for her precious help in collecting some of the data presented in this paper and to Abdessadek El Ahmadi for his helpful suggestions in statistics.

Support for this research was partly provided by an APEX Research Fellowship from 649 650 the "Conseil Régional PACA" to the last author (APEX VISALECT, DEB-17-1055) and by a PhD Fellowship from the "Fondation de France" to the first author 651 (VISALECT_NF1_00099576). 652

653	REFERENCES
654 655	Aghababian, V., & Nazir, T. A. (2000). Developing Normal Reading Skills: Aspects of the Visual Processes Underlying Word Recognition. <i>Journal of Experimental Child</i>
656	Psychology, 76(2), 123-150. https://doi.org/10.1006/jecp.1999.2540
657	Ammawat, W., Attanak, A., Kornpetpanee, S., & Wongupparaj, P. (2019). Pre-schoolers'
658	visual perception and attention networks influencing naming speed: An individual
659	difference perspective. Heliyon, 5(10), e02587.
660	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02587
661	Aslin, R. N., & Ciuffreda, K. J. (1983). Eye movements of preschool children. Science,
662	222(4619), 74-77. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6623059
663	Ayton, L. N., Abel, L. A., Fricke, T. R., & McBrien, N. A. (2009). Developmental eye
664	movement test: What is it really measuring? Optometry and Vision Science, 86(6), 722-
665	730. https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181a6a4b3
666	Bellocchi, S., & Ducrot, S. (2021). The OVP effect in developmental dyslexia, developmental
667	coordination disorder and comorbid disorders [Article submitted for publication].
668	Bellocchi, S., Ducrot, S., Tallet, J., Jucla, M., & Jover, M. (2021). Effect of comorbid
669	developmental dyslexia on oculomotor behavior in children with developmental
670	coordination disorder: A study with the Developmental Eye Movement test. Human
671	Movement Science, 76, 102764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2021.102764
672	Bellocchi, S., Muneaux, M., Bastien-Toniazzo, M., & Ducrot, S. (2013). I can read it in your
673	eyes: What eye movements tell us about visuo-attentional processes in developmental
674	dyslexia. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 34(1), 452–460.
675	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.09.002
676	Bellocchi, S., Muneaux, M., Huau, A., Lévêque, Y., Jover, M., & Ducrot, S. (2017).
677	Exploring the Link between Visual Perception, Visual-Motor Integration, and Reading
678	in Normal Developing and Impaired Children using DTVP-2. Dyslexia, 23(3), 296–315.
679	https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1561
680	Biscaldi, M., Fischer, B., & Hartnegg, K. (2000). Voluntary saccadic control in dyslexia.
681	Perception, 29(5), 509-521. https://doi.org/10.1068/p2666a
682	Blythe, H. I. (2014). Developmental Changes in Eye Movements and Visual Information

- Encoding Associated With Learning to Read. Current Directions in Psychological 683 Science, 23(3), 201-207. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414530145 684
- Blythe, H. I., & Joseph, H. S. S. L. (2011). Children's eye movements during reading. In S. P. 685 Liversedge, I. Gilchrist, & S. Everling (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Eye Movements 686
- 687 (pp. 634–662). Oxford University Press.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199539789.013.0036 688
- 689 Borsting, E., Rouse, M., & Chu, R. (2005). Measuring ADHD behaviors in children with
- symptomatic accommodative dysfunction or convergence insufficiency: a preliminary 690 691 study. Optometry - Journal of the American Optometric Association, 76(10), 588–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optm.2005.07.007 692
- Bosse, M., & Valdois, S. (2009). Influence of the visual attention span on child reading 693
- performance: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Research in Reading, 32(2), 230-253. 694 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9817.2008.01387.x 695
- 696 Brodney, A. C., Pozil, R., Mallinson, K., & Kehoe, P. (2001). Vision Therapy in a School Setting. Journal of Behavioral Optometry, 12(4), 99-103. 697
- Buckley, J., Seery, N., Canty, D., & Gumaelius, L. (2018). Visualization, inductive reasoning, 698 and memory span as components of fluid intelligence: Implications for technology 699
- education. International Journal of Educational Research, 90(November 2017), 64-77.
- 700
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2018.05.007 701
- Caldani, S., Gerard, C., Peyre, H., & Bucci, M. P. (2020). Visual Attentional Training 702 703 Improves Reading Capabilities in Children with Dyslexia: An Eye Tracker Study During
- a Reading Task. Brain Sciences, 10(8), 558. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci10080558 704
- 705 Carrasco, M. (2011). Visual attention: The past 25 years. Vision Research, 51(13), 1484-1525. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2011.04.012 706
- 707 Carroll, J. M., Snowling, M. J., Hulme, C., & Stevenson, J. (2003). The Development of
- 708 Phonological Awareness in Preschool Children. Developmental Psychology, 39(5), 913-923. https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.39.5.913 709
- 710 Case-Smith, J. (2009). Occupational therapy for children (6th ed.). Mosby.
- Chen, A. H., Bleything, W., & Lim, Y. Y. (2011). Relating vision status to academic 711
- achievement among year-2 school children in Malaysia. Optometry, 82(5), 267-273. 712

713 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optm.2011.02.004

- Choi, B. C. K. (1998). Slopes of a Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve and Likelihood
 Ratios for a Diagnostic Test. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *148*(11), 1127–1132.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009591
- 717 Chung, S. T. L., Legge, G. E., & Cheung, S. H. (2004). Letter-recognition and reading speed
- in peripheral vision benefit from perceptual learning. *Vision Research*, 44(7), 695–709.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2003.09.028
- 720 Cornelissen, P., Richardson, A., Mason, A., Fowler, S., & Stein, J. F. (1995). Contrast
- sensitivity and coherent motion detection measured at photopic luminance levels in
- dyslexics and controls. *Vision Research*, *35*(10), 1483–1494.
- 723 https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(95)98728-R
- Coulter, R. A., & Shallo-Hoffman, J. (2001). The Presumed Influence of Attention on
 Accuracy in the Developmental Eye Movement (DEM) Test. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 78(1), 8. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324-200101010-00007
- De Luca, M., Borrelli, M., Judica, A., Spinelli, D., & Zoccolotti, P. (2002). Reading words
 and pseudowords: An eye movement study of developmental dyslexia. *Brain and*
- 729 *Language*, 80(3), 617–626. https://doi.org/10.1006/brln.2001.2637
- 730 Dehaene, S., Cohen, L., Sigman, M., & Vinckier, F. (2005). The neural code for written
- words: A proposal. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(7), 335–341.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.05.004
- Ducrot, S., & Lété, B. (2008). Attention et contrôle oculaire en lecture experte. In G. A.
 Michael (Ed.), *Les dimensions de l'attention visuelle* (pp. 229–264). SOLAL.
- Ducrot, S., & Lété, B. (2021). Developmental Changes in the Optimal Viewing Position Effect
 [Article in preparation].
- 737 Ducrot, S., Lété, B., Sprenger-Charolles, L., Pynte, J., & Billard, C. (2003). The Optimal
- 738 Viewing Position Effect in Beginning and Dyslexic Readers. *Current Psychology*
- 739 *Letters*, *1*(10), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.4000/cpl.99
- 740 Ducrot, S., Massendari, D., Danna, J., Muneaux, M., Bellocchi, S., & Grainger, J. (2021). An
- 741 *analysis of reading development using landing-position distributions [Article in*
- 742 preparation].

- Ducrot, S., Pynte, J., Ghio, A., & Lété, B. (2013). Visual and linguistic determinants of the
 eyes' initial fixation position in reading development. *Acta Psychologica*, *142*(3), 287–
 298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2013.01.013
- Ducrot, S., & Vernet, M. (2021). Oculomotor, visual attention abilities and reading: A new
 computerized tool [Article in preparation].
- Eden, G. F., Stein, J. F., Wood, H. M., & Wood, F. B. (1994). Differences in eye movements
 and reading problems in dyslexic and normal children. *Vision Research*, *34*(10), 1345–
 1358. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6989(94)90209-7
- Facchin, A., & Maffioletti, S. (2018). The reliability of the DEM test in the clinical
 environment. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9(JUL). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01279

Facchin, A., Maffioletti, S., & Carnevali, T. (2011). Developmental Eye Movement (DEM)

test : validity reassessment in Italian population Results : *Optometry and Vision Development*, 40(3), 20125.

Facoetti, A., Corradi, N., Ruffino, M., Gori, S., & Zorzi, M. (2010). Visual spatial attention
and speech segmentation are both impaired in preschoolers at familial risk for
developmental dyslexia. *Dyslexia*, *16*(3), 226–239. https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.413

Facoetti, A., Luisa Lorusso, M., Paganoni, P., Umiltà, C., & Gastone Mascetti, G. (2003). The
role of visuospatial attention in developmental dyslexia: evidence from a rehabilitation
study. *Cognitive Brain Research*, *15*(2), 154–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09266410(02)00148-9

Fischer, B., & Hartnegg, K. (2008). Saccade control in dyslexia: Development, deficits,
training and transfer to reading. *Journal of Optometric Vision Development*, *39*(4), 181–
190.

Fischer, B., Hartnegg, K., & Mokler, A. (2000). Dynamic visual perception of dyslexic
children. *Perception*, 29(5), 523–530. https://doi.org/10.1068/p2666b

- Fischer, B., & Weber, H. (1990). Saccadic reaction times of dyslexic and age-matched normal
 subjects. *Perception*, *19*(6), 805–818. https://doi.org/10.1068/p190805
- Flax, N. (2006). The relationship between vision and learning: General issues. In M.
- 771 Scheiman & M. Rouse (Eds.), *Optometric Management of Learning related Vision*
- 772 *Problems* (p. 183- 206). Mosby- Elsevier.

- Flax, N., Mozlin, R., & Solan, H. A. (1984). Discrediting the basis of the AAO policy:
 Learning disabilities, dyslexia and vision. *Journal of the American Optometric Association*, 55(6), 399–403.
- Franceschini, S., Gori, S., Ruffino, M., Pedrolli, K., & Facoetti, A. (2012). A causal link
- between visual spatial attention and reading acquisition. *Current Biology*, 22(9), 814–
 819. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.013
- Gaggi, O., Galiazzo, G., Palazzi, C., Facoetti, A., & Franceschini, S. (2012). A Serious Game
 for Predicting the Risk of Developmental Dyslexia in Pre-Readers Children. 2012 21st *International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN)*, 1–5.
 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCN.2012.6289249
- Garzia, R. P., Richman, J. E., Nicholson, S. B., & Gaines, C. S. (1990). A new visual-verbal
 saccade test: the development eye movement test (DEM). *Journal of the American Optometric Association*, *61*(2), 124–135.
- Goldstand, S., Koslowe, K. C., & Parush, S. (2005). Vision, visual-information processing,
 and academic performance among seventh-grade schoolchildren: A more significant
 relationship than we thought? *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *59*(4), 377–
 389. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.59.4.377
- 790 González, G. F., Žarić, G., Tijms, J., Bonte, M., Blomert, L., & van der Molen, M. W. (2014).
- 791 Brain-potential analysis of visual word recognition in dyslexics and typically reading
- children. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8(JUNE), 1–14.
- 793 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00474
- Goodman, K. S. (1986). *What's Whole in Whole Language?* Heinemann.
- Gori, S., & Facoetti, A. (2015). How the visual aspects can be crucial in reading acquisition:
 The intriguing case of crowding and developmental dyslexia. *Journal of Vision*, *15*(1),
- 797 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1167/15.1.8
- Goswami U. (2015). Sensory theories of developmental dyslexia: three challenges for
 research. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, *16*(1), 43–54. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3836
- Grainger, J. (2018). Orthographic processing: A 'mid-level' vision of reading: The 44th Sir
- 801 Frederic Bartlett Lecture. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 71(2), 335–
- 802 359. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2017.1314515

- Grainger, J., Dufau, S., & Ziegler, J. C. (2016). A Vision of Reading. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 20(3), 171–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.12.008
- Granet, D. B., Castro, E. F., & Gomi, C. F. (2006). Reading: Do the Eyes Have It? *American Orthoptic Journal*, 56(1), 44–49. https://doi.org/10.3368/aoj.56.1.44
- Hajian-Tilaki, K. (2013). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for medical
 diagnostic test evaluation. *Caspian Journal of Internal Medicine*, 4(2), 627–635.
- 809 Hopkins, S., Black, A. A., White, S. L. J., & Wood, J. M. (2019). Visual information
- 810 processing skills are associated with academic performance in Grade 2 school children.
- 811 *Acta Ophthalmologica*, 97(8), e1141–e1148. https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14172
- Hopkins, S., Sampson, G. P., Hendicott, P. L., & Wood, J. M. (2017). Vision problems and
- reduced reading outcomes in Queensland schoolchildren. *Optometry and Vision Science*,
- 814 94(3), 345–352. https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.00000000001032
- Hutzler, F., & Wimmer, H. (2004). Eye movements of dyslexic children when reading in a
 regular orthography. *Brain and Language*, 89(1), 235–242.
- 817 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-934X(03)00401-2
- Jenkins, J. R., Hudson, R. F., & Johnson, E. S. (2007). Screening for At-Risk Readers in a
- 819 Response to Intervention Framework. *School Psychology Review*, *36*(4), 582–600.
- 820 https://doi.org/10.1080/02796015.2007.12087919
- 821 Kavale, K. (1982). Meta-analysis of the relationship between visual perceptual skills and
- reading achievement. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *15*(1), 42–51.
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1177/002221948201500110
- Kirby, J. R., Roth, L., Desrochers, A., & Lai, S. S. V. (2008). Longitudinal predictors of word
 reading development. *Canadian Psychology*, *49*(2), 103–110.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1037/0708-5591.49.2.103
- 827 Kovács, I. (2000). Human development of perceptual organization. *Vision Research*, 40(10–
- 828 12), 1301–1310. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(00)00055-9
- 829 Kowler, E., & Martins, A. J. (1982). Eye movements of preschool children. Science,
- 830 *215*(4535), 997–999. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7156979
- 831 Kramer, P., & Hinojosa, J. (2010). *Frames of reference for pediatric occupational therapy:*

- 832 *Third Edition*. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Kulp, M. T. (1999). Relationship between Visual Motor Integration Skill and Academic
- Performance in Kindergarten through Third Grade. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 76(3),
 159–163. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324-199903000-00015
- 836 Kulp, M. T., & Schmidt, P. P. (1996a). Effect of Oculomotor and Other Visual Skills on
- 837 Reading Performance: A Literature Review. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 73(4), 283–
- 838 292. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324-199604000-00011
- Kulp, M. T., & Schmidt, P. P. (1996b). Visual Predictors of Reading Performance in
 Kindergarten and First Grade Children. *Optometry and Vision Science*, *73*(4), 255–262.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324-199604000-00007
- 842 Kulp, M. T., & Schmidt, P. P. (1997). The Relation of Clinical Saccadic Eye Movement
- Testing to Reading in Kindergartners and First Graders. *Optometry and Vision Science*,
 74(1), 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324-199701000-00018
- Kuperman, V., Van Dyke, J. A., & Henry, R. (2016). Eye-Movement Control in RAN and
 Reading. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 20(2), 173–188.
- 847 https://doi.org/10.1080/10888438.2015.1128435
- Kwon, M. Y., Legge, G. E., & Dubbels, B. R. (2007). Developmental changes in the visual
 span for reading. *Vision Research*, 47(22), 2889–2900.
- 850 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2007.08.002
- Lallier, M., Donnadieu, S., Berger, C., & Valdois, S. (2010). A case study of developmental
 phonological dyslexia: Is the attentional deficit in the perception of rapid stimuli
 sequences amodal? *Cortex*, 46(2), 231–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2009.03.014
- Larter, S. C., Herse, P. R., Naduvilath, T. J., & Dain, S. J. (2004). Spatial load factor in
- prediction of reading performance. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, 24(5), 440–
- 856 449. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-1313.2004.00219.x
- Launay, L., & Valdois, S. (2004). Évaluation et prise en charge cognitive de l'enfant
- 858 dyslexique et/ou dysorthographique de surface. In S. Valdois, P. D. Colé, & D. David
- 859 (Eds.), Apprentissage de la lecture et dyslexies développementales. De la théorie à la
- 860 *pratique orthophonique et pédagogique* (pp. 209–232). SOLAL.
- Lee, H. W., Legge, G. E., & Ortiz, A. (2003). Is word recognition different in central and

- peripheral vision? *Vision Research*, *43*(26), 2837–2846. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00426989(03)00479-6
- Lefavrais, P. (1967). *Test de l'alouette: manuel*. Les éditions du centre de psychologie
 appliquée.
- Lefavrais, P. (2005). *Alouette-R*. Les éditions du centre de psychologie appliquée.
- Legge, G. E., Klitz, T. S., & Tjan, B. S. (1997). Mr. Chips: An Ideal-Observer Model of
- Reading. *Psychological Review*, 104(3), 524–553. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033295X.104.3.524
- Lehtimäki, T. M., & Reilly, R. G. (2005). Improving eye movement control in young readers. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 24(3–4), 477–488. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-0059010-x
- Leibnitz, L., Ducrot, S., Muneaux, M., & Grainger, J. (2015). Spécificité des capacités visuoattentionnelles et lecture chez l'enfant. *Revue Francophone d'Orthoptie*, 8(1), 45–49.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rfo.2015.02.011
- Leibnitz, L., Grainger, J., Muneaux, M., & Ducrot, S. (2017). Processus visuo-attentionnels et
 lecture : une synthèse. *L'Année Psychologique*, *116*(4), 597–622.
- 878 https://doi.org/10.4074/S0003503316000403
- 879 Lété, B., & Ducrot, S. (2008). Visuo-Attentional Processing by Dyslexic Readers on the
- Reicher-Wheeler Task. *Current Psychology Letters*, 24(Vol. 24, Issue 1, 2008), 24–40.
 https://doi.org/10.4000/cpl.3523
- Lonigan, C. J. (2006). Development, Assessment, and Promotion of Preliteracy Skills. *Early Education & Development*, *17*(1), 91–114. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15566935eed1701_5
- Lonigan, C. J., Burgess, S. R., & Anthony, J. L. (2000). Development of emergent literacy
- and early reading skills in preschool children: Evidence from a latent-variable
- longitudinal study. *Developmental Psychology*, *36*(5), 596–613.
- 887 https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.36.5.596
- Lovegrove, W., Bowling, A., Badcock, D., & Blackwood, M. (1980). Specific reading
- disability: differences in contrast sensitivity as a function of spatial frequency. *Science*,
- 890 *210*(4468), 439–440. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7433985

- 36 -

- Maples, W. C. C., & Ficklin, T. (1990). Comparison of Eye Movement Skills Between Above
- 892Average and Below Average Readers. In Journal of Behavioral Optometry (Vol. 1, Issue
- 8934, pp. 87–91). http://www.oepf.org/journal/pdf/jbo-volume-1-issue-4-comparison-eye-

894 movement-skills-between-above-average-and-below-aver

- Martelli, M., Di Filippo, G., Spinelli, D., & Zoccolotti, P. (2009). Crowding, reading, and
 developmental dyslexia. *Journal of Vision*, 9(4), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1167/9.4.14
- 897 Memiş, A., & Sivri, D. A. (2016). The Analysis of Reading Skills and Visual Perception
- Levels of First Grade Turkish Students. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 4(8),
 161–166. https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v4i8.1663
- 900 Meng, X., Cheng-Lai, A., Zeng, B., Stein, J. F., & Zhou, X. (2011). Dynamic visual
- perception and reading development in Chinese school children. *Annals of Dyslexia*,
 61(2), 161–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-010-0049-2
- Moiroud, L., Gerard, C. L., Peyre, H., & Bucci, M. P. (2018). Developmental Eye Movement
 test and dyslexic children: A pilot study with eye movement recordings. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(9), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200907
- Moiroud, L., Royo, A., & Bucci, M. P. (2020). The Developmental Eye Movement Test in
 French Children. *Optometry and Vision Science*, *97*(11), 978–983.
- 908 https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.00000000001598
- Morgan, W. P. (1896). A Case of Congenital Word Blindness. *BMJ*, 2(1871), 1378–1378.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.2.1871.1378
- Morris, R. K., & Rayner, K. (1991). Eye movements in skilled reading: Implications for
 developmental dyslexia. In J. F. Stein (Ed.), *Vision and Visual Dyslexia* (pp. 233–242).
 MacMillan Press.
- 914 Muneaux, M. (2018). A new practitioners guide for clinical psychometrics. Paris: De Boeck
 915 Supérieur.
- Narayanasamy, S., Vincent, S. J., Sampson, G. P., & Wood, J. M. (2016). Visual demands in
 modern Australian primary school classrooms. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry*,
- 918 99(3), 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1111/cxo.12365
- 919 Nicolson, R. I., & Fawcett, A. (2010). *Dyslexia, learning, and the brain*. MIT press.

- Northway, N. (2003). Predicting the continued use of overlays in school children A
 comparison of the Developmental Eye Movement test and the Rate of Reading test. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, 23(5), 457–464. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.14751313.2003.00144.x
- O'Regan, J. K., & Lévy-Schoen, A. (1987). Eye-movement strategy and tactics in word
 recognition and reading. In M. Coltheart (Ed.), *Attention and performance 12: The psychology of reading* (pp. 363–383). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- 927 Oberer, N., Gashaj, V., & Roebers, C. M. (2018). Executive functions, visual-motor
 928 coordination, physical fitness and academic achievement: Longitudinal relations in
 929 typically developing children. *Human Movement Science*, *58*(January), 69–79.
- 930 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2018.01.003
- Orlansky, G., Hopkins, K. B., Mitchell, G. L., Huang, K., Frazier, M., Heyman, C., &
 Scheiman, M. (2011). Reliability of the Developmental Eye Movement Test. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 88(12), 1. https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0b013e318230f03a
- Ozernov-Palchik, O., & Gaab, N. (2016). Tackling the 'dyslexia paradox': reading brain and
 behavior for early markers of developmental dyslexia. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 7(2), 156–176. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1383
- 937 Palomo-Álvarez, C., & Puell, M. C. (2009). Relationship between oculomotor scanning
- determined by the DEM test and a contextual reading test in schoolchildren with reading
- 939 difficulties. *Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*, 247(9),
- 940 1243–1249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-009-1076-8
- 941 Parrila, R., Kirby, J. R., & McQuarrie, L. (2004). Articulation rate, naming speed, verbal
- short-term memory, and phonological awareness: Longitudinal predictors of early
- reading development? *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 8(1), 3–26.
- 944 https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532799xssr0801_2
- Pelli, D. G., Palomares, M., & Majaj, N. J. (2004). Crowding is unlike ordinary masking:
 Distinguishing feature integration from detection. *Journal of Vision*, 4(12), 1136–1169.
 https://doi.org/10.1167/4.12.12
- Pienaar, A. E., Barhorst, R., & Twisk, J. W. R. (2014). Relationships between academic
 performance, SES school type and perceptual-motor skills in first grade South African
 learners: NW-CHILD study. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 40(3), 370–378.

- Piquard-Kipffer, A., & Sprenger-Charolles, L. (2013). Early predictors of future reading
 skills: A follow-up of French-speaking children from the beginning of kindergarten to
 the end of the second grade (age 5 to 8). In *Annee Psychologique* (Vol. 113, Issue 4).
 https://doi.org/10.4074/S0003503313014012
- Plaza, M., & Cohen, H. (2007). The contribution of phonological awarness and visual
 attention in early reading and spelling. *Dyslexia*, 239(13), 234–239.
- 958 https://doi.org/10.1002/dys
- Pollatsek, A., & Rayner, K. (2005). Reading. In K. Lamberts & R. L. Goldstone (Eds.), *Handbook of cognition* (pp. 276–293). Sage.
- Portnoy, A., & Gilaie-Dotan, S. (2020). Oculomotor-related measures but not distance visual *acuity are predictive of reading ability in first graders.*
- 963 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.983197
- Powers, M. K. (2009). Paper tools for assessing visual function. *Optometry and Vision Science*, 86(6), 613–618. https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181a76c7c
- 966 Raghuram, A., Gowrisankaran, S., Swanson, E., Zurakowski, D., Hunter, D. G., & Waber, D.
- 967 P. (2018). Frequency of Visual Deficits in Children With Developmental Dyslexia.
- 968 *JAMA Ophthalmology*, *136*(10), 1089.
- 969 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.2797
- 970 Rayner, K. (1986). Eye movements and the perceptual span in beginning and skilled readers.
- *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *41*(2), 211–236.
- 972 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0965(86)90037-8
- Rayner, K. (2009). Eye Movements in Reading: Models and Data. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 2(5), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.2.5.2
- 875 Rayner, K., & Bertera, J. (1979). Reading without a fovea. *Science*, 206(4417), 468–469.
 876 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.504987
- 977 Rayner, K., & Liversedge, S. P. (2011). Linguistic and cognitive influences on eye
- 978 movements during reading. In S. P. Liversedge, I. D. Gilchrist, & S. Everling (Eds.), *The*
- 979 *Oxford handbook of eye movements.* (pp. 751–766). Oxford University Press.

- Rayner, K., & Morrison, R. E. (1981). Eye movements and identifying words in parafoveal
 vision. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, *17*(3), 135–138.
- 982 https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03333690
- Richman, J. E. (2009). Developmental Eye Movement Test, Examiner's manual, version 2.0. *South Bend, IN: Bernell Corp.*
- 985 Schatschneider, C., Fletcher, J. M., Francis, D. J., Carlson, C. D., & Foorman, B. R. (2004).
- Kindergarten prediction of reading skills: A longitudinal comparative analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(2), 265–282. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.96.2.265
- 988 Serdjukova, J., Ekimane, L., Valeinis, J., Skilters, J., & Krumina, G. (2017). How strong and
- 989 weak readers perform on the Developmental Eye Movement test (DEM): norms for
- 990 Latvian school-aged children. *Reading and Writing*, *30*(2), 233–252.
- 991 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-016-9671-7
- Shonkoff, J. P., & Phillips, D. A. (2000). *From Neurons to Neighborhoods* (Childhood
 Development National Research Council (US) and Institute of Medicine (US)
 Committee on Integrating the Science of Early (ed.)). National Academies Press.
 https://doi.org/10.17226/9824
- Solan, H. A., & Mozlin, R. (1986). The correlations of perceptual-motor maturation to
 readiness and reading in kindergarten and the primary grades. *Journal of the American Optometric Association*, 57(1), 28–35.
- Sortor, J. M., & Kulp, M. T. (2003). Are the Results of the Beery-Buktenica Developmental
 Test of Visual-Motor Integration and Its Subtests Related to Achievement Test Scores? *Optometry and Vision Science*, 80(11), 758–763. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006324200311000-00013
- Stanovich, K. E., Cunningham, A. E., & West, R. F. (1981). A Longitudinal Study of the
 Development of Automatic Recognition Skills in First Graders. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, *13*(1), 57–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/10862968109547394
- Stein, J. F., & Walsh, V. (1997). To see but not to read; the magnocellular theory of dyslexia.
 Trends in Neurosciences, 20(4), 147–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166 2236(96)01005-3
- 1009 Storch, S. A., & Whitehurst, G. J. (2002). Oral language and code-related precursors to

- 1010 reading: evidence from a longitudinal structural model. *Developmental Psychology*,
- 1011 *38*(6), 934–947. https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.38.6.934
- Strasburger, H. (2005). Unfocussed spatial attention underlies the crowding effect in indirect
 form vision. *Journal of Vision*, 5(11), 1024–1037. https://doi.org/10.1167/5.11.8
- 1014 Swets, J. A. (1988). Measuring the Accuracy of Diagnostic Systems Linked references are
- available on JSTOR for this article : Measuring the Accuracy of Diagnostic Systems. *Science*, 240(4857), 1285–1293.
- Tassinari, J. T., & DeLand, P. (2005). Developmental Eye Movement Test: reliability and
 symptomatology. *Optometry Journal of the American Optometric Association*, 76(7),
 387–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optm.2005.05.006
- 1020 Tydgat, I., & Grainger, J. (2009). Serial Position Effects in the Identification of Letters,
- Digits, and Symbols. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 35(2), 480–498. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013027
- Valdois, S., Roulin, J. L., & Line Bosse, M. (2019). Visual attention modulates reading
 acquisition. *Vision Research*, *165*(October), 152–161.
- 1025 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2019.10.011
- 1026 Vidyasagar, T. R., & Pammer, K. (2010). Dyslexia: a deficit in visuo-spatial attention, not in
 phonological processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(2), 57–63.
- 1028 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.12.003
- Vinuela-Navarro, V., Erichsen, J. T., Williams, C., & Woodhouse, J. M. (2017). Saccades and
 fixations in children with delayed reading skills. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*,
 37(4), 531–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/opo.12392
- 1032 Visser, T. A. W., Boden, C., & Giaschi, D. E. (2004). Children with dyslexia: Evidence for
 1033 visual attention deficits in perception of rapid sequences of objects. *Vision Research*,
 1034 44(21), 2521–2535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2004.05.010
- Wagner, R. K., Torgesen, J. K., & Rashotte, C. A. (1994). Development of reading-related
 phonological processing abilities: New evidence of bidirectional causality from a latent
- 1037 variable longitudinal study. *Developmental Psychology*, *30*(1), 73–87.
- 1038 https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.30.1.73
- 1039 White, S. L. J., Wood, J. M., Black, A. A., & Hopkins, S. (2017). Vision screening outcomes

- of Grade 3 children in Australia: Differences in academic achievement. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 83, 154–159.
- 1042 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2017.03.004
- Whitney, D., & Levi, D. M. (2011). Visual crowding: A fundamental limit on conscious
 perception and object recognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*(4), 160–168.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.02.005
- Wolf, M., & Bowers, P. G. (1999). The double-deficit hypothesis for the developmental
 dyslexias. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *91*(3), 415–438.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.91.3.415
- Wolverton, G. S., & Zola, D. (1983). Some thoughts on the temporal characteristics of visual
 information extraction during reading. In K. Rayner (Ed.), *Eye movements in reading: Perceptual and language processes* (Academic P, pp. 41–51).
- 1052 Wood, J. M., Black, A. A., Hopkins, S., & White, S. L. J. (2018). Vision and academic
- performance in primary school children. *Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics*, *38*(5),
 516–524. https://doi.org/10.1111/opo.12582
- 1055 World Health Organisation. (2008). 59th general assembly (A. WM (ed.)). WHO.
- 1056 Xie, Y., Shi, C., Tong, M., Zhang, M., Li, T., Xu, Y., Guo, X., Hong, Q., & Chi, X. (2016).
- 1057 Developmental eye movement (DEM) test norms for mandarin Chinese-speaking
- 1058 Chinese children. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148481
- 1059 Yang, X., Chung, K. K. H., & McBride, C. (2019). Longitudinal contributions of executive
- functioning and visual-spatial skills to mathematics learning in young Chinese children.
 Educational Psychology, *39*(5), 678–704.
- 1062 https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2018.1546831
- Yang, X., McBride, C., Ho, C. S. H., & Chung, K. K. H. (2020). Longitudinal associations of
 phonological processing skills, Chinese word reading, and arithmetic. *Reading and*
- 1065 *Writing*, *33*(7), 1679–1699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-019-09998-9
- Yang, X., & Meng, X. (2020). Visual Processing Matters in Chinese Reading Acquisition and
 Early Mathematics. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*(April), 1–11.
- 1068 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00462
- 1069 Yeshurun, Y., & Rashal, E. (2010). Precueing attention to the target location diminishes

- crowding and reduces the critical distance Yaffa Yeshurun. Journal of Vision, 10, 1-12. 1070 https://doi.org/10.1167/10.10.16.Introduction 1071 Zhou, Y., McBride-Chang, C., & Wong, N. (2014). What is the role of visual skills in 1072 learning to read? Frontiers in Psychology, 5(July), 1–3. 1073 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00776 1074 Ziegler, J. C., Castel, C., Pech-Georgel, C., George, F., Alario, F. X., & Perry, C. (2008). 1075 1076 Developmental dyslexia and the dual route model of reading: Simulating individual differences and subtypes. Cognition, 107(1), 151-178. 1077 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2007.09.004 1078 1079 Zorzi, M., Barbiero, C., Facoetti, A., Lonciari, I., Carrozzi, M., Montico, M., Bravar, L., George, F., Pech-Georgel, C., & Ziegler, J. C. (2012). Extra-large letter spacing 1080 improves reading in dyslexia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the 1081 United States of America, 109(28), 11455–11459. 1082
- 1083 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205566109

1084

	Kindergarten n = 51			
	VT	НТај	HE	R
Mean	105,51	193,00	30,35	1,85
Standard deviation	32,16	77,14	15,34	0,51

1086 Table 1. Descriptive analysis of French data for preschool children.

1087 *Notes.* VT: Vertical Time; HTaj: adjusted Horizontal Time; HE: Horizontal Errors; R: Ratio.

1088

1091 Alouette-test indices (z-score) assessed in Grade 1.

	READING ACCURACY (CM)	READING SPEED (CTL)		
VT	-0.626***	-0.512**		
HTaj	-0.598***	-0.318		
HE	-0.453*	-0.229		
R	-0.150	- 0.097		

1092 *Notes.* * p<.05; ** p<.01; *** p<.001; VT: Vertical Time; HTaj: adjusted Horizontal Time;
1093 HE: Horizontal Errors; R: Ratio.

Table 3. Simple linear regression analysis assessing the predictive role of the DEM indices
(z-score) in kindergarten on the reading outcomes (z-score) in Grade 1

	READIN	READING ACCURACY (CM)			READING SPEED (CTL)		
	R ²	В	t		R²	β	t
VT	0.370	-0.558	- 4.249***		0.235	-0.426	-3.147**
HTaj	0.334	-0.485	- 3.946***		-	-	-
HE	0.178	-0.320	-2.694*		-	-	-

1097 *Notes.* * p<.05 , ** p<.01 , *** p<.001; VT: Vertical Time; HTaj: adjusted Horizontal Time;
1098 HE: Horizontal Errors



1100

Figure 1. Data distribution for all the DEM-test indices across children with and withoutreading difficulties.

Notes. Since the explanatory variables were time, number of errors, or ratio, a high score reflects poorer performance than a lower score, thus a negative Z-score represents better performance than a positive Z-score; RD: Children with reading disorders; NoRD: Children without reading disorders.



Figure 2. ROC curve analyses for each DEM-test index assessed in kindergarten betweenchildren with and without reading difficulties.

1110 *Notes.* AUC: Area Under the Curve; ns p>.05; * p<.05; ** p<.01; *** p<.001.