



**HAL**  
open science

## **Bone Metastasis: Mechanisms, Therapies and Biomarkers**

Philippe Clézardin, Rob Coleman, Margherita Puppo, Penelope Ottewell,  
Edith E Bonnelye, Frédéric Paycha, Cyril Confavreux, Ingunn Holen

► **To cite this version:**

Philippe Clézardin, Rob Coleman, Margherita Puppo, Penelope Ottewell, Edith E Bonnelye, et al.. Bone Metastasis: Mechanisms, Therapies and Biomarkers. *Physiological Reviews*, In press, 10.1152/physrev.00012.2019 . hal-03102895

**HAL Id: hal-03102895**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03102895v1>**

Submitted on 7 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Bone Metastasis: mechanisms, therapies and biomarkers.**

2 Philippe Clézardin,<sup>1,2</sup> Rob Coleman,<sup>2</sup> Margherita Puppo,<sup>2</sup> Penelope Ottewell,<sup>2</sup> Edith Bonnelye,<sup>1</sup> Frédéric  
3 Paycha,<sup>3</sup> Cyrille B. Confavreux,<sup>1,4</sup> Ingunn Holen.<sup>2</sup>

4

5 <sup>1</sup> INSERM, Research Unit UMR\_S1033, LyOS, Faculty of Medicine Lyon-Est, University of Lyon 1,  
6 Lyon, France.

7 <sup>2</sup> Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

8 <sup>3</sup> Service de Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris, France.

9 <sup>4</sup> Service de Rhumatologie Sud, CEMOS - Centre Expert des Métastases Osseuses, Centre Hospitalier  
10 Lyon Sud, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France.

11

12 Abbreviated title: bone metastasis

13 Key words: metastatic niche, dormancy, invasion, aerobic glycolysis, immunosurveillance,  
14 osteomimicry, osteoclast, osteoblast, osteocyte, bisphosphonate, denosumab, non-coding RNA

15

16 Corresponding author:

17 Prof Philippe Clézardin

18 INSERM, UMR\_S1033, UFR de Médecine Lyon-Est, 7 Rue Guillaume Paradin,

19 69372 Lyon cedex 08, France

20 (Tel.: +33 478785737; e-mail: philippe.clezardin@inserm.fr)

21 Department of Oncology and Metabolism, The Medical School, Beech Hill Road, Sheffield S10 2RX, UK

22 (Tel.: +44 1142229242; e-mail: p.clezardin@sheffield.ac.uk)

23

24	I. Introduction .....	5
25	II. Bone metastasis incidence and consequences for bone health .....	6
26	A. Common ground - bone metastasis in different cancer types .....	6
27	B. Cancer-related skeletal complications .....	8
28	III. Taking over the neighborhood - Bone colonization by tumor cells .....	9
29	A. Preparing the soil – the concept of the metastatic niche .....	10
30	B. Mechanisms of tumor cell extravasation and homing to the bone marrow .....	13
31	1. ....	
32	.....	Tumo
33	r cell extravasation .....	13
34	2. ....	
35	.....	Homi
36	ng .....	15
37	C. Hiding in plain sight – Tumor cell dormancy and dormant cell reactivation	
38	mechanisms in bone marrow niches .....	17
39	1. Tumor cell interactions with cells from bone marrow niches .....	18
40	2. Tumor cell dormancy .....	22
41	3. Dormant cell reactivation .....	24
42	IV. Fitting in - Adaptation of tumor cells to the bone marrow microenvironment .....	25
43	V. Disrupting the balance - Tumor-induced bone destruction .....	28
44	A. Factors promoting osteoclast-mediated bone resorption .....	28
45	B. Factors suppressing osteoblast-mediated bone formation .....	30
46	C. Osteocytes – silent partners with a role to play .....	32
47	D. The fertile soil - contribution of the bone matrix .....	35
48	VI. Too much of a good thing - tumor-derived factors regulating osteosclerosis .....	37
49	A. Factors promoting osteoblast-mediated bone formation .....	37
50	B. Factors suppressing osteoclast-mediated bone resorption .....	40
51	VII. Contribution of bone marrow cells to tumor development – multiple	
52	interactions beyond the vicious cycle .....	41
53	A. The immune cells of the bone microenvironment .....	42
54	1. Immune cells inhibiting local tumor growth in the bone microenvironment.....	42
55	2. Immunosuppressive cells promoting local tumor growth in the bone	
56	microenvironment.....	44
57	B. Nerve cells .....	50
58	C. Adipocytes .....	52

59	VIII. Fueling expansion - Reprogramming energy metabolism	
60	to facilitate bone metastasis progression .....	56
61	IX. Blocking bone deconstruction - Current therapies for the treatment of	
62	bone metastasis .....	58
63	A. Inhibiting bone resorption by targeting osteoclasts .....	60
64	1. Bisphosphonates .....	60
65	2. Anti-RANKL: denosumab .....	66
66	3. Novel antiresorptive agents .....	70
67	B. Promoting bone formation by targeting osteoblasts .....	76
68	1. Agents blocking WNT inhibitors .....	76
69	2. Endothelin-1 receptor inhibitors .....	76
70	3. Androgen inhibitors .....	77
71	4. Activin A inhibitors .....	77
72	C. Targeting the bone matrix and the microenvironment .....	78
73	1. Bone targeted radiopharmaceuticals .....	78
74	2. Agents targeting nerve- or bone-derived growth factors .....	80
75	X. The value of bone turnover biomarkers in bone metastasis .....	80
76	A. Bone formation markers .....	81
77	B. Bone resorption markers .....	83
78	C. Insights from markers not associated with bone turnover .....	86
79	XI. Conclusion .....	87
80	XII. References .....	89
81		
82		

83

84 **Abstract:**

85 Skeletal metastases are frequent complications of many cancers, causing bone complications  
86 (fractures, bone pain, disability), which negatively affect the patient's quality of life. Here, we first  
87 discuss the burden of skeletal complications in cancer bone metastasis. We then describe the  
88 pathophysiology of bone metastasis. Bone metastasis is a multistage process; long before the  
89 development of clinically detectable metastases, circulating tumor cells settle and enter a dormant state  
90 in normal vascular and endosteal niches present in the bone marrow, which provide immediate  
91 attachment and shelter, and only become active years later as they proliferate and alter the functions of  
92 bone-resorbing (osteoclasts) and bone-forming (osteoblasts) cells, promoting skeletal destruction. The  
93 molecular mechanisms involved in mediating each of these steps are described and we also explain  
94 how tumor cells interact with a myriad of interconnected cell populations in the bone marrow, including a  
95 rich vascular network, immune cells, adipocytes and nerves. We discuss metabolic programs that tumor  
96 cells could engage with to specifically grow in bone. We also describe the progress and future directions  
97 of existing bone-targeted agents and report emerging therapies that have arisen from recent advances  
98 in our understanding of the pathophysiology of bone metastases. Finally, we discuss the value of bone  
99 turnover biomarkers in detection and monitoring of progression and therapeutic effects in patients with  
100 bone metastasis.

101

## 102 I. INTRODUCTION

103 During metastatic dissemination, cancer cells from the primary tumor must first undergo epithelial-  
104 to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) to invade the surrounding tissue and enter the microvasculature  
105 (intravasation) of the blood and/or lymphatic systems (49, 268). Once in the bloodstream, cancer cells  
106 may disseminate to distant organs, exit from blood vessels (extravasation) and settle in the foreign  
107 microenvironment where they enter a dormant state or proliferate to subsequently form macroscopic  
108 secondary tumors (metastases) (49). It has been estimated that only 0.02% of cancer cells entering the  
109 circulation produce clinically detectable metastases (217). Metastasis formation is therefore a highly  
110 inefficient process. However, when metastases do occur, they are responsible for 90% of cancer-  
111 associated mortality (49). There is therefore an urgent need to increase our understanding of the  
112 cellular and molecular mechanisms associated with metastasis formation, in order to develop therapies  
113 that will improve patient outcome.

114 Bone metastases occur in more than 1.5 million patients with cancer worldwide (361). They are  
115 frequent complications of many cancers but are especially common from tumors arising in the breast  
116 and prostate. Weakened bones due to skeletal metastases can lead to occurrence of skeletal-related  
117 events, such as fractures, spinal cord compression, bone pain and disability, contributing substantially  
118 to both morbidity and mortality in patients with advanced cancer (150, 361). In adults, the bone mass is  
119 maintained by continuously shaping and reshaping the overall bone structure through a process called  
120 bone remodeling, which is a balance between the resorption of mineralized bone by bone-resorbing  
121 cells (osteoclasts) and formation of new bone by bone-forming cells (osteoblasts) (76). Bone remodeling  
122 is tightly regulated by systemic and local factors in order to maintain this balance at its physiological  
123 steady state (76, 150). The late Greg Mundy pioneered the field of cancer and bone, demonstrating that  
124 skeletal-related complications associated with bone metastasis were a consequence of a distortion in  
125 bone remodeling caused by interactions between cancer cells and cells within the bone  
126 microenvironment (236).

127 In this review, we provide a broad overview of the current understanding of cancer-associated  
128 bone metastasis. We first review the incidence of bone metastasis in different cancer types and discuss  
129 the burden of skeletal complications in cancer bone metastasis. Current knowledge of the  
130 pathophysiology of bone metastasis is then described in detail. Bone metastasis is a stepwise sequence  
131 of events that include tumor cell colonization of the bone marrow, adaptation to the microenvironment,  
132 construction of a cancer niche, disruption of normal bone homeostasis through tumor cell interactions  
133 with bone cells (osteoclasts, osteoblasts and osteocytes, the latter being osteoblasts that have  
134 undergone a dramatic morphological transformation into stellate cells) and the release of signals from  
135 the resorbed bone matrix that promote skeletal tumor growth. We describe molecular mechanisms that  
136 are involved in mediating each of these steps and explain how bone marrow cells (*e.g.* immune cells,  
137 endothelial cells, adipocytes, and nerve cells) contribute to tumor development through multiple  
138 interactions. We also highlight metabolic adaptations of cancer cells that facilitate tumor progression in  
139 bone. Finally, we review current and future therapies for the treatment and prevention of bone  
140 metastasis and discuss the clinical utility of bone turnover biomarkers to predict the risk of disease  
141 relapse in patients with cancer. Given the vast collection of literature existing on the pathophysiology of  
142 bone metastasis we focus here on cellular and molecular mechanisms that are the most relevant to  
143 human cancer. However, it is important to note that we also cover emerging research areas where  
144 many mechanisms are derived from model systems, which still remain to be validated in human  
145 systems but could ultimately yield clues for better understanding and prevention of bone metastases.

146

## 147 **II. BONE METASTASIS INCIDENCE AND CONSEQUENCES FOR BONE HEALTH**

### 148 **A. Common Ground – Bone Metastasis in Different Cancer Types**

149 Bone is one of the most common sites for metastasis in cancer. Much of the work performed  
150 to describe the natural history of bone metastases is based on autopsy studies and large case series

151 from single institutions conducted several decades ago. Although bone is a frequent location for  
152 metastases from many malignancies, there are specific types of cancers that have a predilection for  
153 metastasis to the skeleton (150, 361). In particular, bone metastases are frequent complications of  
154 breast (especially estrogen receptor positive) and prostate cancer. In their retrospective study, Coleman  
155 and Rubens found in breast cancer a bone metastasis incidence of around 70% (68). These findings  
156 were consistent with the post-mortem examination from Galasko (115), who reported bone metastasis  
157 incidences of 73% and 68% of bone metastasis in breast and prostate cancer, respectively. Autopsies  
158 allowed the identification of a second group of osteophilic tumors with a postmortem prevalence of bone  
159 metastases of 60% in thyroid cancer, 30-40% in lung cancer, 40% in bladder cancer, 20-25% in renal  
160 cancer and 14-45% in melanoma (65). Apart from osteoblastic bone metastases in prostate cancer,  
161 bone metastases from other cancers are mainly osteolytic or a mix of lytic and blastic changes to the  
162 bone structure (Figure 1).

163           With the exception of a few relatively rare malignancies such as high-grade lymphoma or  
164 germ cell tumors affecting bone, metastatic bone disease is currently incurable. However, for many  
165 patients the median prognosis after development of bone metastasis is measurable in years, especially  
166 in those patients with metastatic breast or prostate cancers or multiple myeloma who, with modern  
167 treatment approaches, can often be expected to survive more than 5 years after bone involvement is  
168 diagnosed (65). Furthermore, new drugs, such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors and immune checkpoint  
169 inhibitors, have prolonged primary disease control in patients considerably, resulting in longer survival  
170 and consequently living long enough for bone metastasis to become clinically relevant (338). Thus, the  
171 epidemiology of bone metastases is evolving. In the coming years we may therefore expect an onset of  
172 bone metastases in patients who would have never developed clinically detectable bone metastases  
173 some years ago because they would have died from their cancer at a time when they only had (sub-  
174 clinical) bone marrow micrometastases. As a result, the prevalence of bone metastasis is increasing



175 and, in many cancers, the dominant site of disease requires specialist expertise and multidisciplinary  
176 management (72).

177

## 178 **B. Cancer-Related Skeletal Complications**

179 Bone metastases may be identified when asymptomatic through imaging tests such as  
180 computerized tomography (CT), <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)  
181 scanning, or radionuclide bone scanning. However, most patients with bone metastasis present with  
182 bone pain (150, 236). Usually the onset of pain is insidious, may be localized or multifocal, and is often  
183 confused with benign causes such as osteoarthritis. With time the pain typically worsens and becomes  
184 persistent, frequently reaching a severe level that may not be relieved by opioids (150, 236).

185 Bone metastasis is associated with impaired quality of life, reduced physical function and  
186 loss of autonomy (68, 150). Because of the proximity between bone and neurological structures (spinal  
187 cord and nerve roots), bone metastasis often causes neurological pain, such as paresthesia and tingling  
188 or burning sensations induced by epiduritis (68). Fractures are major complications of bone metastases  
189 and are commonly a result of osteolytic lesions in the vertebrae and weight-bearing bones, such as the  
190 proximal femur (68). The humerus is also at risk because of the forces applied through the arm use in  
191 daily life. Once pathological fractures have occurred, bone healing is compromised, and surgical  
192 intervention often required. Pathological fractures can be devastating complication for cancer patients,  
193 typically worsening their quality of life and increasing mortality (68, 150).

194 Hypercalcemia is an important metabolic complication of bone metastases (337). Symptoms  
195 include a wide spectrum of presentations from subtle changes in mood and gastrointestinal symptoms  
196 of nausea and constipation to a life-threatening state with vomiting and dehydration, acute renal  
197 insufficiency, disordered consciousness and ultimately coma (337). In bone metastases, hypercalcemia  
198 usually results from increased osteoclastic bone resorption but may be exacerbated by the

199 paraneoplastic secretion of parathyroid hormone-related peptide (PTHrP) or an abnormal activation of  
200 25-OH vitamin D (345). The use of systemic anti-resorptive drugs has considerably reduced the number  
201 of patients with hypercalcemia (61, 345).

202 Most clinical studies use the composite endpoint Skeletal-Related Events (SREs) to establish  
203 the efficacy of systemic anti-resorptive drugs (61, 345). SREs are defined as pathologic fractures, spinal  
204 cord compression and the requirement for radiation therapy and/or surgery to bone; episodes of  
205 hypercalcemia may also be considered within the definition (220). Early placebo-controlled  
206 bisphosphonate clinical trials estimated that 50 to 56% of patients with bone metastases from solid  
207 tumors suffer from at least one SRE during follow-up on standard anti-cancer treatments without the  
208 addition of a bone targeted treatment (157, 279). SREs can occur quite early and indeed can be the  
209 presenting event in a patient with bone metastasis. In these trials, the median time to occurrence of the  
210 first SRE ranged from 5 to 7 months (157, 279). Moreover, patients with a first SRE are at increased risk  
211 for subsequent events, strengthening the importance of primary and secondary SRE prevention in  
212 cancer patients with bone metastases (68, 72). In addition to reducing a patient's quality of life and  
213 social and functional independence, the management of SREs consumes considerable health care  
214 resources (68, 72).

215 Besides analgesics and anticancer treatments, bone metastases benefit from systemic anti-  
216 resorptive treatments (bisphosphonate or denosumab) and local treatments such as radiotherapy,  
217 surgery or interventional radiology (cementoplasty, radiofrequency, ablation, cryotherapy). Optimal care  
218 should be discussed in a Bone Metastasis Multidisciplinary Board in order to reach a personalized  
219 strategy for every patient (72). Bone-targeted agents such as bisphosphonates and denosumab have  
220 been shown to be very effective in preventing and reducing SREs and are now the standard of care for  
221 the treatment of patients with malignant bone disease (see sections IX-A.1 and A.2 for further  
222 discussion).

223

### 224 III. TAKING OVER THE NEIGHBORHOOD - BONE COLONIZATION BY TUMOR 225 CELLS

226 Bone colonization by tumor cells is a stepwise sequence of events that include (i) the formation of  
227 a pre-metastatic niche in the bone marrow to attract circulating tumor cells, (ii) the extravasation of  
228 these tumor cells from the circulation and homing to the pre-metastatic niche, and (iii), following tumor  
229 cell engraftment, the evolution of this pre-metastatic niche into a metastatic niche, the latter being  
230 conducive to the survival of these tumor cells. Each of these events is discussed below (Figure 2).

#### 231 A. Preparing the Soil – the Concept of the Premetastatic Niche

232 The concept of premetastatic niche was first described by Dr Lyden and colleagues showing that  
233 vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A and placental growth factor (PIGF) secreted from primary  
234 tumors mobilize bone marrow-derived VEGF receptor 1 (VEGFR-1)-positive hematopoietic cells to the  
235 lungs before the arrival of tumor cells (169). Furthermore, an upregulation of fibronectin in resident  
236 fibroblasts at these premetastatic sites subsequently supports adhesion of VEGFR-1-positive cells  
237 (169). This localized accumulation of bone marrow-derived hematopoietic cells and stromal fibronectin  
238 creates docking sites for the future engraftment of tumor cells in lungs (169). Since then, many other  
239 tumor-derived factors, including cytokines, chemokines, extracellular matrix components, small  
240 noncoding RNAs and tumor-shed extracellular vesicles have been shown to act as systemic signals that  
241 trigger the formation of premetastatic niches in lung, liver or lymph nodes in different preclinical models  
242 (149, 261). Clinical evidence for the existence of premetastatic tissues comes from patients with  
243 meningioma (a benign brain tumor) who later progress with tumor-to-meningioma metastasis of breast,  
244 lung or renal cancer (88, 264, 270). It is suggested that the presence of pro-inflammatory macrophages  
245 and the high microvascular density in meningioma contribute to metastasis formation (88). Similarly, the  
246 existence of a premetastatic tissue in sentinel lymph nodes resected from patients with solid tumors has  
247 been reported (302). Thus, there is preclinical and clinical evidence that primary tumors may remotely  
248 induce the formation of a permissive environment within distant organs for future metastasis.

249 Multiple molecular mechanisms involved in the formation of a premetastatic niche in bone have  
250 been described (98, 137, 151, 216, 247, 248, 250, 251, 261, 271, 327, 336, 341, 371). Some of them  
251 already exist in the normal bone marrow (216, 248, 250, 251), whereas others are initiated by systemic  
252 signals coming from primary tumors (98, 137, 151, 327, 336, 341, 371). For example, interleukin (IL)-6  
253 secreted from senescent osteoblasts promotes osteoclast-mediated bone resorption that, in turn,  
254 increases tumor cell seeding and subsequent breast cancer bone metastasis formation in animals  
255 (216). Similarly, in the absence of estrogen or androgen, osteoclast activity and bone resorption are  
256 increased, which leads to the release of bone-derived factors from resorbed bone that shape a  
257 favorable environment for tumor cells to survive and grow (248-250). Additionally, soluble factors  
258 secreted from primary tumors can target stromal and/or bone cells to support future metastatic  
259 colonization in the bone marrow. For example, in breast cancer models, tumor-derived IL-1 $\beta$  drives  
260 bone metastasis formation *in vivo* (151, 336). Blocking IL-1 $\beta$  activity with the anti-IL-1 receptor  
261 antagonist Anakinra or the IL-1 $\beta$  specific antibody Canakinumab inhibits tumor cell dissemination from  
262 the primary site into the circulation and blocks spontaneous formation of metastases to human bone  
263 implants in treated mice, compared to the placebo-treated group (336). Hypoxia-induced lysyl oxidase  
264 (LOX) can be secreted from primary tumors into the circulation from which LOX primes distant organs  
265 for metastatic colonization, including bone (73, 98, 263, 274). The primary function of LOX is to drive  
266 collagen crosslinking and extracellular matrix stiffness (7). In bone, tumor-derived LOX cooperates with  
267 receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand (RANKL) to accelerate osteoclastic bone  
268 resorption, and the formation of premetastatic osteolytic lesions in animal models of breast or colon  
269 cancer (73, 274, 335). A function-blocking antibody (AB0023) directed against LOXL2 (another member  
270 of the LOX family) inhibits breast cancer bone metastasis formation in animals (10), suggesting that  
271 LOXL2 could also contribute to the formation of a pre-metastatic niche in the bone marrow. Phase II  
272 clinical trials with simtuzumab, a humanized anti-LOXL2 monoclonal antibody, showed however that the  
273 addition of this antibody to current treatments of patients with metastatic pancreatic or colorectal cancer

274 does not improve clinical outcomes (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers NCT01472198 and NCT01479465,  
275 respectively). Conversely, the anti-IL-1 $\beta$  antibody canakinumab has been shown to significantly reduce  
276 the incidence of lung cancer and lung cancer mortality in patients with atherosclerosis (ClinicalTrials.gov  
277 identifier NCT01327846). It remains to be established whether blocking IL-1 $\beta$ , LOX or LOXL2 impedes  
278 progression of bone metastasis in breast cancer patients.

279 It also appears that factors contained within cancer cell-derived exosomes can influence bone cell  
280 activity before tumor cells arrive at this site. Exosomes are small extracellular vesicles (30-120 nm)  
281 containing DNA, RNA [mRNA, miRNA and other noncoding RNAs], lipids and proteins that are released  
282 by all types of cells and taken up by recipient cells (368). For example, exosomal amphiregulin secreted  
283 by non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) cells or amphiregulin-containing exosomes released in  
284 plasma of NSCLC patients promote the differentiation of human peripheral blood monocytes into  
285 osteoclasts (327). In melanoma, the transfer of the MET oncoprotein from tumor-shed exosomes to  
286 bone marrow progenitor cells can reprogram these cells towards a prometastatic phenotype in lungs  
287 and bone *in vivo* (261). Similar findings were reported with tumor-derived exosomal miRNAs (miR-21,  
288 miR-141, miR-192, and miR-940) (22, 137, 341, 371, 376). In particular, exosomal miR-141 and miR-  
289 940 produced by prostate cancer cells promote osteoblast differentiation and proliferation, facilitating  
290 the formation of bone metastases with an osteoblastic phenotype in mouse models (137, 376). MiRNAs  
291 mainly act as negative regulators of gene expression (14). In this respect, tumor-derived exosomal miR-  
292 141 promotes osteoblast differentiation by inhibiting DLC1 mRNA expression that, in turn, leads to  
293 p38MAPKinase activation and increased osteoprotegerin (OPG) expression in osteoblasts (376).  
294 Tumor-derived exosomal miR-940 promotes osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by  
295 directly inhibiting ARHGAP1 (Rho GTPase Activating Protein 1) and FAM134A (Family with Sequence  
296 Similarity 134 Member A) mRNA expression (137).

297 Overall, these experimental findings strongly suggest that, in addition to molecular mechanisms  
298 already existing in the normal bone marrow, primary tumors can also remotely control the formation of a

299 premetastatic niche through the release of systemic factors that induce a distortion in bone remodeling.  
300 Research designed to determine the mechanisms by which primary tumors promote the formation of  
301 pre-metastatic niches in bone is still in its infancy and further investigations using *in vivo* model systems  
302 are required to gain a more comprehensive understanding of this process. As tumor cell dissemination  
303 into bone is believed to be an early process, likely to occur before the clinical detection of primary  
304 tumors, the detection of these molecules in the primary tumor and/or blood may provide useful  
305 biomarkers to predict future relapse in bone. Further clinical trials are needed to test this hypothesis.

306

#### 307 The premetastatic niche: current understandings & open questions

- 308 • Preclinical and clinical studies support the existence of premetastatic tissues for future  
309 metastasis.
- 310 • The general applicability of these mechanisms associated with the formation of a premetastatic  
311 niche remains to be validated *in vivo* for other model systems and for other cancer types.
- 312 • Beside the observation that primary tumors can generate systemic changes that modify the  
313 bone microenvironment, there is also some preclinical evidence suggesting that bone may  
314 remotely control growth of primary tumors at distant sites (85, 97, 166, 257). These latter  
315 observations are intriguing and clearly deserve further study.

316

### 317 **B. Mechanisms of Tumor Cell Extravasation and Homing to the Bone Marrow**

318 In response to pro-migratory and pro-inflammatory molecules produced by the pre-metastatic  
319 niche, circulating tumor cells (CTCs) cross the endothelial cell barrier and basement membrane of blood  
320 vessels (a process called extravasation) in order to home in the newly invaded parenchyma where they  
321 interact with specific extracellular matrix components that facilitate their survival.

#### 322 *1. Tumor cell extravasation*

323 In the bone marrow, the vascular endothelium that constitutes blood vessels (called sinusoids) is  
324 predominantly discontinuous and fenestrated, which facilitates the traffic of hematopoietic stem cells  
325 (HSCs) (241). Therefore, the sinusoids are likely to be more permissive to CTCs, suggesting there is a  
326 limited requirement of extravasation mechanisms for tumor cells to invade the bone marrow (241, 273).  
327 Indeed, tumor cells hijack molecular mechanisms that are used by HSCs. In particular, E-selectin  
328 (Endothelial selectin) and CXCL-12 are constitutively expressed on sinusoidal endothelial cells, aiding  
329 the homing of HSCs in the bone marrow (301, 316). Similarly, E-selectin- and CXCL-12-expressing  
330 bone marrow endothelial cells mediate attachment of breast and prostate cancer cells through  
331 interaction with E-selectin ligands and CXCR-4, respectively (267, 273). Using high-resolution real-time  
332 fluorescence microscopy to track breast cancer cell migration in the calvarial bone marrow *in vivo*, Price  
333 *et al.* (267) showed that 2 hours after intracardiac injection, tumor cells interacted with endothelial cells  
334 in sinusoidal vascular and perisinusoidal vascular regions where expression of E-selectin and CXCL-12  
335 is high. Of special interest, the preventive treatment of mice with a selective inhibitor of E-selectin,  
336 before tumor cell injection, substantially blocked tumor cell interaction with E-selectin-expressing  
337 endothelial cells, whereas pretreatment of animals with a small molecule inhibitor of CXCR-4  
338 (AMD3100) did not inhibit breast cancer cell homing to the bone marrow *in vivo* (267). By contrast,  
339 AMD3100 treatment of mice after tumor cell engraftment forced breast cancer cells residing in  
340 perivascular niches to migrate from the bone marrow into the peripheral circulation. Overall, these  
341 findings demonstrate that E-selectin is critical for allowing breast cancer cells to extravasate in the bone  
342 marrow, whereas CXCR-4/CXCL-12 maintains tumor cells in the perivascular environment and controls  
343 their exit from the bone marrow (267). The CXCR-4/CXCL-12 axis is the most well-described and  
344 prominent mechanism involved in regulating tumor cell entry in the bone marrow environment (235).  
345 However, it should be noted that, not all breast cancers that metastasize to bone express CXCR4 (243),  
346 and other chemokines produced by the bone microenvironment (CXCL-5, CXCL-10, CXCL-13, CX3CL-  
347 1, CCL-2) have also been implicated in mediating tumor cell colonization in the bone marrow (158, 159,

348 193, 213, 232, 275, 300) (Table 1). However, correlations between expression of these chemokines  
349 and relapse in bone, in clinical samples, remains to be established.

350 Another factor that has been implicated in tumor cell extravasation is the cytokine IL-1 $\beta$  whose  
351 expression in breast cancer cell lines and primary breast carcinomas is strongly associated with bone  
352 metastasis (151, 336). IL-1 $\beta$  drives metastasis by inducing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and  
353 increasing dissemination of breast cancer cells into the circulation (151, 336). Once in bone, IL-1 $\beta$   
354 facilitates adhesion of CTCs to sinusoidal endothelial cells by inducing the expression of vascular cell  
355 adhesion molecules [intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), vascular cell adhesion molecule 1  
356 (VCAM-1), and E-selectin] (273). Then, IL-1 $\beta$  stimulates expansion of the metastatic niche, increasing  
357 proliferation of blood vessels and osteoblasts, thereby promoting tumor cell extravasation and  
358 metastatic outgrowth of tumor cells that disseminated in this site (273, 336). Interestingly, IL-1 $\beta$ -  
359 expressing E0771 primary breast tumors spontaneously metastasize to bones in IL-1 $\beta$ -knockout  
360 animals and to an extent similar to that observed in normal mice, indicating that tumor-derived IL-1 $\beta$   
361 rather than IL-1 $\beta$  from the bone marrow microenvironment promotes bone metastasis formation (336).  
362 Although these mechanisms have all been identified in mouse models it is likely that these, at least in  
363 part, explain why increased IL-1 $\beta$  in primary breast tumors associates with recurrence in bone in cancer  
364 patients (151, 336).

## 365 2. *Tumor cell homing*

366 Bone extracellular matrix proteins (*e.g.*, type I collagen, tenascin C, periostin, fibronectin,  
367 SIBLINGs) by binding to tumor cell surface integrins play an important role in mediating tumor cell  
368 attachment to the bone matrix (17, 57, 221, 255, 260, 285, 311, 317, 333). In particular, type I collagen,  
369 which is the most abundant protein among bone extracellular matrix components, mediates attachment  
370 of human prostate cancer cells through binding tumor cell  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin (311). Fibronectin in the bone  
371 marrow mediates survival of human triple negative breast cancer cells by binding to tumor cell surface



372  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  integrin (255). Tenascin C is a hexameric protein that fosters the early colonization of prostate  
373 cancer cells in the bone marrow through binding tumor cell surface  $\alpha 9\beta 1$  integrin (285). Like tenascin  
374 C, periostin is produced by stromal cells and mediates tumor cell adhesion by binding tumor  $\alpha v\beta 3$   
375 integrin (221). As such, integrins have been therefore considered as attractive drug targets. For  
376 example,  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin recognizes an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) peptide motif expressed by extracellular  
377 matrix proteins and the treatment of animals with RGD-based peptide antagonists (PSK104, S247,  
378 GLPG0187) of  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin suppresses breast and prostate cancer bone metastasis formation,  
379 supporting the crucial role played by this integrin in bone metastasis formation (136, 303, 344, 388).  
380 However, despite these encouraging experimental studies, ensuing clinical trials that used integrin  
381 antagonists have been mainly unsuccessful (132). There may be a need to develop alternative  
382 strategies that target specific integrin signaling pathways promoting tumor cell survival and drug  
383 resistance (132). Integrins are also emerging as valuable cancer imaging probes to identify bone  
384 metastases in clinical studies. For example, integrin  $\alpha v\beta 3$  is highly expressed in osteotropic tumor cells  
385 and osteoclasts and, using PET/CT imaging, this property has been used to show that an RGD peptide-  
386 containing  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin tracer ( $^{99m}\text{Tc}$ -3P-RGD<sub>2</sub>) is superior to  $^{99m}\text{Tc}$ -bisphosphonates to detect  
387 osteolytic bone metastases in patients with advanced lung cancer (297). Similarly, PET/CT imaging with  
388 a tracer targeting gastrin-releasing peptide receptor and integrin  $\alpha v\beta 3$  ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -BBN-RGD) shows a  
389 significant uptake in bone metastases from patients with advanced breast cancer (384).

390 RANK and RANKL have an established role in regulating bone remodeling (183). RANKL secreted  
391 by osteoblasts and osteocytes binds to its receptor RANK on osteoclast precursors, leading to the  
392 formation of mature osteoclasts and osteoclast-mediated bone resorption (183). Interestingly, tumor  
393 cells can also express RANK in breast, prostate, and lung carcinomas and RANKL triggers *in vitro*  
394 migration of RANK-expressing breast and prostate cancer and melanoma cells (21, 164, 325, 346). In  
395 the case of breast cancer, a high RANK expression in hormone receptor-negative primary tumors is  
396 associated with poor relapse-free survival and high risk of bone metastasis in patients (272, 286, 347). It

397 has been therefore suggested that RANK-expressing cancer cells may be specifically attracted to bone  
398 where high local concentrations of RANKL exist (346). This contention was supported by the  
399 observation that inhibition of RANK/RANKL signaling by soluble decoy receptor OPG, which binds to  
400 RANKL, reduces skeletal tumor burden and bone metastasis in a melanoma model that does not  
401 activate osteoclasts, whereas metastasis in other organs (ovaries, brain, adrenal glands) remain  
402 unchanged (164). However, administration of OPG to a mouse model of breast cancer did not reduce  
403 the number of tumor cells that disseminated in bone (249). In clinical studies, the RANKL inhibitor  
404 denosumab, when given in patients with early-stage breast cancer or non-metastatic castration-resistant  
405 prostate cancer (CRPC), had no effect on disease recurrence in either pre- or postmenopausal women  
406 with breast cancer (63) and only modestly increased bone metastasis-free survival in CRPC patients  
407 (306), thereby suggesting that RANK/RANKL does not play a major role in tumor cell colonization in  
408 bone.

#### 409 Tumor cell extravasation and homing: current understandings & open questions

- 410 • Recent studies on the bone microvasculature in mouse models have shown that there are  
411 particular vessel subtypes within the same vascular bed, termed H (CD31<sup>hi</sup>Endomucin<sup>hi</sup>) and L  
412 (CD31<sup>lo</sup>Endomucin<sup>lo</sup>) vessels, which have different characteristics (182). It will be of particular  
413 interest to determine whether disseminated tumor cells preferentially associate with a particular  
414 vessel subtype.
- 415 • Results obtained with PET/CT imaging using integrin-binding tracers to detect skeletal lesions  
416 and evaluate treatment response in patients with advanced cancer and bone metastases are  
417 very encouraging and deserve further investigations.

418

### 419 C. Hiding in Plain Sight –Tumor Cell Dormancy and Dormant Cell Reactivation 420 Mechanisms in Bone Marrow Niches

421        Entering a foreign environment, such as the bone marrow, poses tumor cells with numerous  
422 challenges regarding survival and proliferation. It is hypothesized that tumor cells in the bone marrow  
423 compete with HSCs for occupancy in the vascular and endosteal niches. Once in the niche, tumor cells  
424 can enter a dormant state as a mechanism to help them survive until environmental conditions are  
425 sufficiently permissive for proliferation and tumor outgrowth (298, 309). This hypothesis of tumor  
426 dormancy is supported by an extensive body of clinical research. First, long before the development of  
427 clinically detectable metastases, tumor cells disseminate to the bone marrow, only becoming active  
428 following years or decades after primary tumor diagnosis (247). Second, disseminated tumor cells  
429 (DTCs) are present in the bone marrow of patients with various types of cancer and are predictive of  
430 future relapse, yet some of these cancer types will never develop clinically detectable bone metastases  
431 (205). Lastly, for those cancer types that have a high propensity to develop overt bone metastases,  
432 such as breast and prostate cancers, the rate of detection of DTCs in the bone marrow is higher than  
433 the proportion of patients who subsequently develop skeletal lesions, suggesting the bone marrow  
434 microenvironment influences DTC fate (32, 228). Thus, these clinical observations provide strong  
435 evidence of tumor dormancy in the bone marrow. However, DTCs isolated from the bone marrow of  
436 non-metastatic patients with cancer (breast, prostate, melanoma) fail to generate tumor xenografts in  
437 immunodeficient mice (364). Consequently, only tumor cell lines derived from overt metastases from  
438 patients with advanced cancer (breast, prostate) have been used in animal models to study tumor  
439 dormancy in bone (31, 46, 117, 119, 163, 177, 267, 320, 381, 382). The use of these metastatic cell  
440 lines, therefore, poses a major limitation as metastatic cancer cells and DTCs have different genotypic  
441 and phenotypic traits (309). Determining the different mechanisms controlling the ability of tumor cells to  
442 seed in the bone marrow and those responsible for metastatic outgrowth may require the development  
443 of more clinically relevant models. Yet, tumor dormancy is an emerging research area and we believe  
444 that unravelling molecular mechanisms associated with tumor dormancy using these existing preclinical  
445 models may still help us better understand the earliest stages that precede the clinical development of

446 bone metastases. Below we describe our current understanding of the mechanisms involved in  
447 mediating tumor cell interactions with cells from bone marrow niches (Figure 2) and then detail  
448 molecular signaling mechanisms proposed to keep these tumor cells in a dormant state (Figure 3).  
449 Finally, we describe how osteoclast-mediated bone resorption creates an environment that promotes  
450 dormant cell reactivation.

### 451 1. *Tumor cells interactions with cells from bone marrow niches*

452 The vascular niche surrounds E-selectin-expressing endothelial cells that form bone marrow  
453 sinusoids, and is made up of perivascular cells expressing high levels of CXCL-12 [called CXCL-12-  
454 abundant reticular (CAR) cells], leptin receptor (Lepr)-expressing perivascular stromal cells, and  
455 mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (301, 316). This vascular niche regulates HSC quiescence and the  
456 supply of lineage-committed progenitors (301). Real-time *in vivo* microscopy of bone marrow sinusoids  
457 in a breast cancer xenograft model has revealed opposing roles of E-selectin and CXCL-12 in tumor cell  
458 trafficking (267). Whereas E-selectin interactions are critical for allowing breast cancer cell entry into the  
459 bone marrow, CXCL-12/CXCR-4 interactions maintain breast cancer cells dormant in the vascular niche  
460 (267). Additional mechanisms are involved in maintaining tumor cells dormant in the vascular niche. For  
461 example, endothelium-derived extracellular matrix protein thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) induces sustained  
462 dormancy of breast cancer cells *in vivo* (119). Conversely, MSCs with both endothelial and pericytic cell  
463 surface markers prevent the homing of breast and prostate cancer cells to the bone marrow (282). In  
464 model systems, the tumor-suppressive nature of the vascular endothelium is lost when endothelial cells  
465 start sprouting, which is characterized by reduced TSP-1 expression and enhanced expression of pro-  
466 metastatic factors (periostin, tenascin, fibronectin) that promote tumor outgrowth (119). Interestingly,  
467 immunohistochemical analysis of the bone marrow from breast cancer patients with micrometastatic  
468 disease shows that dormant (Ki67-negative) breast cancer cells are preferentially localized in  
469 perisinusoidal, CXCL12-rich vascular regions (267). By contrast, proliferative (Ki67-positive) breast  
470 cancer cells in bone marrow biopsies from patients with macrometastatic disease are frequently

471 observed adjacent to the bone surface (267). This observation (267) is in agreement with the fact that  
472 calcium levels, which are high at the endosteal mineral surface, can promote breast cancer cell  
473 proliferation and bone metastasis formation in animals (354). Thus, it appears that the vascular niche  
474 provides a microenvironment supportive of dormancy at least in breast cancer.

475 As the name suggests, the endosteal niche is localized at the inner surface of the bone cavity in  
476 the endosteum, and is primarily made up of undifferentiated osteoblastic cells, such as spindle-shaped  
477 N-cadherin<sup>+</sup>/CD45<sup>-</sup> osteoblast (SNO) cells (138). Mature osteoblasts are short-lived cells and, as such,  
478 they are unlikely to be part of the endosteal niche (76, 256). Beside SNO cells, CAR cells are also  
479 present and are proposed to maintain the quiescent HSC pool through CXCL-12/CXCR-4 interactions  
480 (316). The disruption of this connection using CXCR4 antagonists, in mouse models, results in  
481 increased mobilization of HSCs from the bone marrow into the circulation (316). Osteoclasts are  
482 dispensable for HSC maintenance in the endosteal niche and may function as negative regulators in the  
483 hematopoietic system (231). With regard to the homing of tumor cells to the endosteal niche, ER-  
484 negative (but not ER-positive) breast cancer cells compete with HSC to interact with SNO cells through  
485 a specific Jagged-Notch2 interaction that mediates tumor cell dormancy both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (46). It  
486 must be pointed out however that these particular *in vivo* experiments were conducted using intratibial  
487 tumor cell inoculation, thereby bypassing the blood circulation, which impedes breast cancer cells from  
488 homing to the vascular niche. Other studies, using more clinically relevant mouse models in which  
489 tumor cells were disseminated into the bone via intra-arterial injection, reported that SNO cells support  
490 survival of ER-positive breast cancer cells through specific N-cadherin/E-cadherin interactions and  
491 connexin-43 (Cx43) gap junctions that trigger pro-survival mTOR signaling and calcium signaling  
492 pathways in tumor cells, respectively, hence promoting micrometastatic progression (354, 355). In  
493 addition, independently of the hormone receptor status or breast cancer subtype, CXCL-12 triggers  
494 activation of a Src-dependent AKT signaling pathway by binding to CXCR-4, enhancing the survival of  
495 breast cancer cells in the bone marrow (387). Of note, Werner-Klein *et al.* (364) performed single-cell

496 RNA-sequencing analysis of DTCs isolated from the bone marrow of non-metastatic breast cancer  
497 patients (n=30 DTCs; 21 patients) and found that mRNA expression of the IL-6 signal transducing unit  
498 gp130 (*IL6ST*) is strongly enriched in these cells, whereas the mRNA of the IL-6 binding receptor alpha  
499 chain CD126 (*IL6RA*) is absent. In the absence of CD126, the IL-6 signaling pathway can be activated  
500 in trans through the binding of IL-6 to the soluble form of CD126 (sIL6RA) prior to binding to gp130.  
501 Both IL-6 and sIL6RA are abundant in the bone marrow, and IL-6 trans-signaling through the PI3K/AKT  
502 pathway can be activated in tumor cells (364). However, the endosteal niche renders DTCs  
503 unresponsive to IL-6 trans-signaling (364). Interestingly, genetic analysis of DTCs revealed that only  
504 4.4% (3/68) of nonmetastatic breast cancer patients harbored mutations in the gene for PI3K (*PIK3CA*),  
505 whereas 34.3% (23/67) of metastatic breast cancer patients displayed *PIK3CA* mutations, indicating  
506 that DTCs may undergo further selection to become more independent from their microenvironment  
507 during cancer progression. Overall, these results strongly indicate that the endosteal niche provides  
508 breast cancer cells with an environment supporting their survival, outgrowth and/or enabling tumor cells  
509 to acquire genetic alterations (e.g., *PIK3CA* mutation) that render them more autonomous (354, 355,  
510 364, 387).

511 In prostate cancer, CXCR-4/CXCL-12 and Annexin 2 (*ANXA2*)/CXCL12 interactions also play a  
512 crucial role in the recruitment of tumor cells in the endosteal niche (167, 298, 318, 357). The targeting of  
513 CXCR4 in model systems results in increased numbers of prostate cancer cells in the circulation,  
514 supporting the notion that these tumor cells inhabit this endosteal niche (298, 357). The current  
515 hypothesis is that prostate cancer cells compete with HSCs for space in the endosteal niche (298).  
516 However, as opposed to breast cancer, it seems that prostate cancer cells homing in the endosteal  
517 niche may benefit from this supportive environment for maintenance of dormancy but not tumor  
518 outgrowth (42, 298, 320, 357). Notably, growth arrest-specific 6 (*GAS6*) is an osteoblast-derived ligand  
519 of the MER, TYRO3 and AXL tyrosine kinase receptors that has been shown to induce tumor dormancy  
520 in prostate cancer (320). When prostate cancer cells bind to osteoblastic cells in the endosteal niche,

521 they increase their expression level of AXL and consequently GAS6 inhibits tumor cell proliferation by  
522 binding to AXL (320). Similarly, high MER expression levels in prostate cancer cells are associated with  
523 tumor dormancy in the bone marrow (42). By contrast, when TYRO3 expression levels exceed AXL  
524 levels, prostate cancer cells exhibit rapid growth (320). Thus, a balance between expression levels of  
525 TYRO3 and AXL/MER may regulate prostate cancer cell dormancy in the endosteal niche. A similar role  
526 for AXL in promoting dormancy in models of multiple myeloma has been reported (173). Overall, the  
527 relative contribution of these niches/molecules to tumour cell dormancy in these various bone metastatic  
528 cancers has yet to be validated in clinical samples.

529 The bone microenvironment is also an immune privileged site, offering protection of HSCs from  
530 environmental insults and the resulting immune response. High resolution *in vivo* imaging shows co-  
531 localization of HSC and regulatory T cells (Treg) on endosteal surfaces in the trabecular bone marrow  
532 areas in mice (111). Treg cells are known to be potent immune suppressors. In addition to vascular and  
533 endosteal niches, it has been therefore proposed that Treg cells helped create an immune niche  
534 supporting stem cell function whilst providing sanctuary from immune attack (111). The bone marrow  
535 also contains very high numbers of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (254, 370). MDSCs  
536 suppress anti-cancer immune activity by inhibiting NK and CD8+ T cells (254, 370). Thus, this type of  
537 protected environment would clearly also benefit resident tumor cells, preventing their elimination and  
538 promoting their survival in bone. In addition, bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells also promote tumor  
539 cell dormancy (247). *See section VII* for further discussion on the contribution of immune cells to tumor  
540 development.

541

## 542 2. *Tumor cell dormancy*

543 Tumor cell dormancy is defined as the arrest in the cell cycle (also known as mitotic or cellular  
544 dormancy). A second mode of dormancy refers to tumor mass dormancy of micrometastases where

545 there is a balance between cell proliferation and cell death, the latter is widely believed to be due to  
546 immune surveillance and/or lack of blood supply (309). The signaling pathways through which tumor  
547 mass dormancy is controlled are largely unknown, mostly because of the lack of appropriate animal  
548 models that reproduce tumor dormancy in bone. Thus, although these two modes of dormancy coexist  
549 in the bone marrow, we have concentrated here on molecular mechanisms that regulate tumor cell  
550 dormancy in laboratory models.

551 In breast cancer, tumor cell dormancy appears to be determined by a balance between the  
552 activities of activated protein kinases ERK1/2 and p38, where a switch towards ERK1/2 phosphorylation  
553 favors proliferation whereas activation of p38 leads to quiescence (309). Mitogen- and stress-activated  
554 kinase 1 (MSK1) is a downstream effector of the p38 and ERK1/2 signaling pathways (117). Using  
555 experimental models of ER-positive human breast cancer (T47D, ZR-75) in which tumor cells form  
556 latent micrometastatic bone lesions *in vivo*, Gawrzak and colleagues (117) showed that p38 depletion in  
557 ER-positive human breast cancer cells decreases MSK1 expression. In turn, MSK1 depletion increases  
558 the capacity of poorly metastatic ER-positive breast cancer cells to form overt metastasis in animals  
559 (117). Thus, MSK1 is a dormancy enforcer and a negative regulator of metastasis initiation.

560 Another signal that regulates breast cancer dormancy in the bone marrow is leukemia inhibitory  
561 factor (LIF) (163). By binding to LIF receptor (LIFR), LIF negatively regulates *STAT3* (signal transducer  
562 and activator 3) in breast cancer cells. The loss of LIFR or *STAT3* enables otherwise quiescent human  
563 MCF-7 breast cancer cells to proliferate and specifically metastasise to bone (163). Indeed, LIFR  
564 expression levels in primary tumor of breast cancer patients who are predicted to relapse in bone are  
565 significantly lower compared with those with a good prognosis (163), further supporting the observation  
566 that LIFR signaling mediates tumor cell dormancy in animal models of bone metastasis.

567 In prostate cancer, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-7 secreted from bone marrow stromal cells  
568 promotes dormancy of prostate cancer stem-like cells, and an inverse correlation between expression of  
569 the BMP7 receptor *BMP2* and occurrence of bone metastasis is found in patients with prostate cancer



570 (177). By binding to BMPR2, BMP7 induces the quiescence of prostate cancer stem-like cells through  
571 p38 activation and increased expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p21 (177). BMP7 also inhibits breast  
572 cancer stem cell population and reduces bone metastasis formation in animals (41).

573 Bone-derived growth factors TGF $\beta$ 1 and TGF $\beta$ 2 exhibit competing functions on the behavior of  
574 tumor cells in the bone marrow (309). TGF $\beta$ 2 promotes tumor cell dormancy, whereas TGF $\beta$ 1 switches  
575 off dormancy, leading to rapid tumor growth *in vivo* (309). In a head and neck squamous cell carcinoma  
576 model of bone metastasis, TGF $\beta$ 2 (but not TGF $\beta$ 1) activates p38, which up-regulates the metastasis  
577 suppressor gene *DEC2* (31). In turn, DEC2 induces p27 and down-regulates cyclin-dependent kinase 4  
578 (CDK4), leading to tumor cell quiescence (31). In model systems of prostate cancer bone metastasis,  
579 TGF $\beta$ 2 induces dormancy through p38 activation and AXL/GAS-6 expression (381, 382).

580 Due to the diversity of the molecular mechanisms that regulate tumor cell dormancy in  
581 laboratory models, these processes are difficult to validate in clinical samples. However, future research  
582 will establish if targeting key drivers of dormancy can be used as a method of retaining tumor cells in  
583 this state indefinitely, thereby preventing metastatic outgrowth and symptomatic disease.

### 584 3. *Dormant cell reactivation*

585 Bone resorption likely creates an environment that promotes tumor cell reactivation. Intravital  
586 imaging of the bone microenvironment in murine models of multiple myeloma has shown that tumor  
587 cells colonizing endosteal niches are in a dormant state (189). However, these tumor cells are reactivated  
588 and released from the endosteal niche upon treatment of tumor-bearing animals with a soluble form of  
589 RANKL that stimulates osteoclast-mediated resorption (189). By contrast, sRANKL treatment has no  
590 effect on tumor cells colonizing soft tissue sites (189). Androgen deprivation by orchidectomy stimulates  
591 bone turnover of castrated animals bearing disseminated hormone-insensitive prostate cancer cells in  
592 the bones, thereby also increasing the incidence of overt bone metastasis in these animals (251). A  
593 similar effect was reported in an animal model of breast cancer, where ovariectomy-induced bone loss

594 triggered growth of disseminated hormone-insensitive breast cancer cells in bone (249,250). Thus,  
595 osteoclast-mediated bone resorption plays an important role at an early stage in the establishment of  
596 bone metastasis. This contention is also supported by experiments conducted in a mouse model of  
597 indolent breast cancer bone metastasis, showing that VCAM-1 overexpression in tumor cells promotes  
598 the recruitment of osteoclast precursors by binding to osteoclast integrin  $\alpha 4\beta 1$ , leading to osteoclast  
599 formation and osteoclast-mediated bone resorption (214). In turn, bone-derived growth factors TGF $\beta$ 1  
600 released from resorbed bone switches off dormancy, leading to rapid tumor growth *in vivo* (309).  
601 Furthermore, PTHrP expressed by tumor cells can act in autocrine fashion by reducing pro-dormancy  
602 LIFR gene expression (163), suggesting that PTHrP also plays a role in promoting tumor cell exit from  
603 dormancy. Thus, changes to the bone environment in favor of bone resorption are sufficient to trigger  
604 dormant cell reactivation. This idea is supported by the fact that bisphosphonates, by decreasing bone  
605 resorption, improve elimination of DTCs in the bone marrow of breast cancer patients with a minimal  
606 residual disease (307), and reduce development of bone metastases when given as a neoadjuvant  
607 treatment (66, 123).

608

609 Niches, tumor cell dormancy and reactivation: current understandings & open questions

- 610 • Experimental and clinical studies support the notion that vascular and endosteal niches can be  
611 hijacked by arriving tumor cells to provide immediate shelter, thereby preventing their  
612 elimination and promoting their survival in the bone marrow. Other existing niches, such as the  
613 immune niche, may also support tumor cell survival in the bone marrow. However, many  
614 aspects of the interplay between these niches and tumor cells remain elusive. The use of  
615 clinically applicable imaging technologies such as PET and SPECT with niche-specific tracers  
616 and single cell-omics techniques will certainly help to understand the dynamics of tumor-niche  
617 interactions in the future.

618 • The diversity of the molecular mechanisms associated with tumor dormancy in the bone  
619 marrow niches represent both a challenge and an opportunity for therapeutic targeting. How to  
620 avoid unwanted effects on normal homeostasis while disrupting interactions that maintain tumor  
621 cells in these bone marrow niches remains an open question.

622

#### 623 IV. FITTING IN - ADAPTATION OF TUMOR CELLS TO THE BONE MARROW 624 MICROENVIRONMENT

625 During the time tumor cells are resident in the bone marrow, exiting and re-entering a dormant  
626 state, they rewire their biology to meet the demands of the tissue colonized, thus modifying their primary  
627 properties in order to adopt a genetic phenotype similar to bone cells that, in turn, facilitates their  
628 survival in the bone microenvironment (16, 291). This process is called osteomimicry (178).

629 Immunohistochemical analysis of human clinical samples in breast and prostate carcinomas clearly  
630 shows that cancer cells metastatic to the bone highly express bone proteins *in situ* (16, 55, 108, 196,  
631 353). In particular, paired immunochemistry on human primary breast tumor samples and matched liver,  
632 lung or bone metastases showed that only bone metastatic tumor cells express bone proteins such as  
633 cathepsin K (CTSK), osteonectin, cadherin-11 (CDH-11), and Cx-43, which are normally expressed by  
634 osteoblasts or osteoclasts (16, 108, 196).

635 The functions of these osteomimicry genes have been studied in animal models of bone  
636 metastasis. CDH-11 mediates interactions of breast and prostate cancer cells with osteoblasts *in vitro*,  
637 and its silencing in tumor cells greatly reduces bone metastasis formation *in vivo* (55, 154, 323). Breast  
638 cancer cells can get calcium from the osteogenic niche through Cx43 gap junctions that facilitate  
639 calcium influx from osteogenic cells to breast cancer cells and, in turn, calcium promotes tumor cell  
640 proliferation (354). Similarly, Cx43 overexpression in human LNCaP prostate cancer cells enhanced  
641 their capability to induce bone destruction *in vivo* following intratibial tumor cell injection, and moderately  
642 augmented tumor cell proliferation *in vitro*, when tumor cells were cocultured with osteoblasts (184).

643 Another example of osteomimicry is the expression of transcription factor RUNX2 (a master regulator of  
644 osteoblast differentiation) in osteotropic tumor cells. The disruption of *RUNX2* expression in breast  
645 cancer cells abolishes their ability to form osteolytic lesions *in vivo* (266). *RUNX2* in osteotropic breast  
646 cancer cells promotes expression of metastasis-related factors [MMP-9, MMP-13, VEGF, osteopontin,  
647 bone sialoprotein (BSP), ITGA5] and bone-resorbing factors (PTH-rP, IL-8), thereby explaining why  
648 *RUNX2* inhibition in tumor cells decreases skeletal tumor burden and osteolysis (200, 266). Forkhead  
649 box F2 (FOXF2) is another example of master transcription factor that mediates epithelial-to-  
650 osteomimicry transition, increasing the tendency for breast cancer cells to metastasise to bone. In  
651 particular, the orthotopic implantation of murine 4T1 breast cancer cells overexpressing FOXF2 or the  
652 intracardiac inoculation of FOXF2-overexpressing human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells enhances  
653 the formation of osteolytic bone metastases in animals (358). Mechanistically, FOXF2 directly  
654 upregulates *CTSK* that, in turn, increases breast cancer cell invasion (358). Interestingly, high  
655 expression levels of transcription factors FOXF2 and RUNX2 in primary mammary carcinomas correlate  
656 with bone-specific metastasis in patients with breast cancer (200, 358).

657 It is highly likely that miRNA dysregulation in tumor cells contributes to osteomimicry (36). For  
658 instance, the downregulation of miR-30, miR-135, and miR-203 enhances abnormal expression of  
659 osteoblast-specific genes (*CDH-11*, *RUNX2*, *SOST*, *ITGA5*, *BSP*, *OPM*), which endows tumor cells with  
660 full competence for survival in the bone marrow (75, 320). Other genes associated with osteomimicry  
661 (*DKK-1*), osteoclastogenesis (*IL-8*, *IL-11*) and tumour cell invasiveness (*CTGF*, *ITGA5*, *ITGB3*) are  
662 direct targets for repression by miR-30 family members, these miR-30s being downregulated in  
663 osteotropic breast cancer cells (75). Conversely, miR-218 is highly expressed in human MDA-MB-231  
664 breast cancer cells and acts as a promoter of bone metastasis formation through stimulation of the  
665 expression of metastasis-related genes (*CXCR-4*, *BSP* and *OP*) that are associated with osteomimicry  
666 and production of the bone-resorbing factor PTH-rP (321).

667

## 668 Osteomimicry: current understandings & open questions

- 669 • *In situ* expression of bone proteins in tumor cells from human bone metastasis specimens  
670 unequivocally establishes osteomimicry as a process occurring during the development of bone  
671 metastases in patients with advanced breast or prostate cancer.
- 672 • Experimentally, RUNX2, FOXF2 and some miRNAs (miR-30, miR-135, miR-203, and miR-218)  
673 function as master regulators of osteomimicry.
- 674 • The importance of osteotropic factors as potential biomarkers for the prediction of bone  
675 metastasis risk and/or response to bone-targeted agents remains to be investigated.

676

## 677 **V. DISRUPTING THE BALANCE - TUMOR-INDUCED BONE DESTRUCTION**

678 The radiographic appearance of bone metastases ranges from typically destructive (osteolytic) to  
679 mostly bone-forming (osteoblastic) with most tumors demonstrating a mixture of lesions (Figure 1).  
680 There is always an imbalance between bone formation and bone resorption during the development of  
681 bone metastases. Therefore, predominantly osteolytic lesions are associated with high osteoclast  
682 activity and reduced osteoblast activity, whereas predominantly osteoblastic lesions have a high  
683 osteoblast activity and variable, but also often increased, osteoclast activity (35, 67).

684 The different molecular mechanisms associated with the formation of osteolytic lesions are  
685 described below (Figure 4), whereas tumor-derived factors governing the formation of osteoblastic  
686 lesions are described in the next section.

### 687 **A. Factors Promoting Osteoclast-Mediated Bone Resorption**

688 Several factors secreted by tumor cells stimulate osteoclast activity and bone resorption (PTHrP,  
689 lysophosphatidic acid, macrophage-stimulating protein, prostaglandin E2, IL-8, IL-11, MMP-1, CCN3,  
690 granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor) (18, 26, 126, 252, 329, 361, 362). Among them,

691 PTHrP was the first to be recognized as involved in malignant osteolysis (126, 265). Using  
692 immunohistochemistry in a retrospective series of 31 human breast cancer metastasis specimens,  
693 PTHrP has been shown to be expressed in 92% of bone metastases (12 out of 13 samples) and 17% of  
694 metastases to non-bone sites (3 out of 18 samples) (265). Early investigations showed that preventive  
695 treatment of animals with a neutralizing antibody against PTHrP reduced the development of osteolytic  
696 lesions caused by human MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (126). PTHrP binds to the type 1  
697 parathyroid hormone receptor (PTHr1), a seven-transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor expressed  
698 by osteoblast, which stimulates the expression of RANKL. In turn, RANKL binds to its receptor RANK on  
699 osteoclast precursors, leading to the formation of new osteoclasts and therefore enhanced bone  
700 resorption (126, 329). Moreover, tumor-derived PTHrP inhibits OPG production, thus promoting bone  
701 metastasis (329). The production of PTHrP by tumor cells is induced by transcription factors RUNX2  
702 and Gli2. *RUNX2* is upregulated in osteotropic breast cancer cells and directly activates the Indian  
703 Hedgehog (IHH) pathway characterized by the upregulation of the Gli family of zinc finger transcription  
704 factors (Gli1, Gli2 and Gli3) (266). TGF $\beta$  released from resorbed bone also induces Gli2 expression in  
705 tumor cells (3). In turn, Gli2 (but not Gli1 and Gli3) induces PTHrP expression in bone metastatic human  
706 breast cancer cells and osteolysis in tumor-bearing animals (314). As a result, the blockade of the  
707 RUNX2-IHH pathway in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells by Runx2 short hairpin RNA inhibition  
708 prevents the osteolytic disease in bone metastatic animals (266). Likewise, the transcription factor MAF  
709 mediates breast cancer bone metastasis through the control of many factors including PTHrP (259).  
710 Interestingly, MAF expression in primary mammary tumors has been shown to predict treatment  
711 outcomes of the bisphosphonate zoledronic acid in reducing the incidence of bone metastases in early-  
712 stage breast cancer (64). See section IX for further discussion.

713 Hypoxia also induces PTHrP expression and secretion by tumor cells through a HIF-dependent  
714 mechanism (222). Although bone is highly vascularized, the absolute oxygen tension in the bone  
715 marrow is quite low, and there is a moderate oxygen gradient between the peri-sinusoidal regions,

716 which have the lowest levels of oxygen tension (9.9 mmHg), and the endosteal region (13.5 mmHg),  
717 which is perfused with small arteries (313). Thus, tumor cells experience hypoxic conditions in the bone  
718 marrow. Moreover, tumor cells are also susceptible to hypoxia as they grow in the bone marrow, which  
719 is caused by reduced vascular supplies of oxygen and nutrients. The role of HIF-1 $\alpha$  in bone metastasis  
720 formation has been therefore tested experimentally (146). The extent of bone destruction and  
721 vascularisation of bone metastases in animals injected with MDA-MB-231 cells overexpressing an  
722 active form of HIF-1 $\alpha$  was significantly increased compared to mock-transfected cells (146). HIF-1 $\alpha$   
723 also directly regulates the expression of transcription factor  *Twist* in human breast cancer cells (374),  
724 and  *Twist* overexpression in osteotropic breast cancer cells promotes bone metastasis formation  
725 through a mechanism dependent of miR-10b, facilitating tumor cell invasion and cancer-induced bone  
726 destruction (74).

727 Platelet-derived lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) supports progression of osteolytic bone metastases in  
728 breast cancer (26,27). By binding to its receptor LPA1 at the tumor cell surface, LPA promotes tumor  
729 cell proliferation through the stimulation of a Pi3K/ZEB1/miR-21-dependent pathway (284). LPA also  
730 induces the production of interleukins IL-6 and IL-8 by human breast cancer cells, which then stimulate  
731 osteoclast-mediated bone resorption (26,27). Pharmacological inhibition of LPA action on its receptor,  
732 using a LPA1 antagonist, substantially reduces progression of osteolytic bone metastases caused by  
733 MDA-MB-231/B02 breast cancer cells in immunodeficient animals (27). Likewise, the treatment of  
734 immunocompetent animals with a LPA1 antagonist inhibits spontaneous dissemination of murine 4T1  
735 breast cancer cells in distant organs (lungs, liver) with no effect on primary tumor size (225).

736 Macrophage-stimulating protein (MSP) is produced by tumor cells in breast cancer (362). It binds  
737 to the RON receptor tyrosine kinase, which is expressed by osteoclasts but not osteoblasts, and  
738 stimulates osteoclast survival and activity (but not osteoclast differentiation) through a RANK-  
739 independent, Src phosphorylation-dependent pathway (4). The intratibial injection of MSP-expressing  
740 breast cancer cells in syngeneic wild-type mice causes a profound osteolysis (4). Moreover, the

741 therapeutic targeting of RON with tyrosine kinase inhibitor BMS-777607/ASLAN002 inhibits the  
742 formation of osteolytic lesions in tumor-bearing animals (4) and reduces bone resorption in  
743 postmenopausal women with advanced cancer (phase-I trial; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier  
744 NCT01721148).

745

## 746 B. Factors Suppressing Osteoblast-Mediated Bone Formation

747 Tumor cells not only stimulate osteoclast activity, but also inhibit osteoblast activity, thereby  
748 worsening the imbalance between bone formation and bone resorption, and promoting bone destruction  
749 (361). Main factors produced by tumor cells that have been shown to suppress osteoblast differentiation  
750 include activin A, the BMP inhibitor noggin, dickkopf-1 (DKK-1), and sclerostin (SOST-1) (198, 293, 326,  
751 330, 395, 396).

752 Activin A is a member of the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily of growth factors. It binds to activin type IIA  
753 (ActRIIA) or type IIB (ActRIIB) receptors and induces the recruitment and phosphorylation of an activin  
754 type I receptor (ActRIB), which then phosphorylates Smad2 and Smad3 intracellular signaling proteins  
755 (198). In multiple myeloma, it has been reported that activin A secreted by plasma cells inhibits  
756 osteoblast differentiation *via* Smad2-dependent downregulation of DLX (distal-less homeobox)-5 (198).  
757 In breast and prostate cancer, activin A might modulate, via Smad signaling, the expression of pro-  
758 osteoclastic factors (IL-11, CTGF, MMP-1) (198). Interestingly, in animal models of breast cancer bone  
759 metastasis and of multiple myeloma with osteolytic lesions, the treatment of mice with a soluble activin  
760 receptor type IIA fusion protein (ActRIIA.muFc) blocks bone destruction (51). Specifically, ActRIIA.muFc  
761 stimulates osteoblastogenesis and promotes bone formation in tumor-bearing animals, thereby  
762 preventing cancer cell-induced suppression of bone formation (51).

763 Noggin is a BMP antagonist encoded by *NOG*. In breast cancer, *NOG* mRNA expression levels are  
764 significantly upregulated in bone metastatic lesions, compared to that observed in brain, lung and liver



765 lesions (326). The silencing of *NOG* in osteotropic breast cancer cell lines substantially reduces bone  
766 metastasis formation in animals (326). Similarly, *NOG* is expressed in human prostate cancer cells that  
767 metastasize to bone and cause osteolytic lesions in animals (293). Tumor-derived noggin interferes with  
768 physiologic bone coupling by inhibiting bone formation, which thereby prevents repair of osteolytic  
769 lesions generated by an excess of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption (293).

770 DKK-1 and SOST-1 are two Wnt (Wingless/int) protein antagonists. WNT agonists promote  
771 osteoblast proliferation by binding to a receptor complex consisting of a member of the Frizzled  
772 transmembrane receptor family and either LRP (low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein) 5 or  
773 LRP 6 (8). Both DKK-1 and SOST-1 exhibit redundant functions by blocking LRP5/6 binding to WNTs,  
774 thereby inhibiting WNT signaling (8). High circulating levels of DKK-1 were first reported in multiple  
775 myeloma patients with osteolytic lesions (332). Multiple myeloma cells express DKK-1 and the blockade  
776 of DKK-1 using neutralizing antibodies results in a decrease of both osteolysis and skeletal tumour  
777 growth in murine models of multiple myeloma (76, 372). DKK-1 is also expressed in breast, lung and  
778 prostate cancers (39, 76, 130, 131). DKK-1 knockdown in breast and prostate cancer cell lines  
779 decreases bone metastasis formation, while DKK-1 overexpression increases bone metastasis and  
780 bone destruction *in vivo* (330, 396). Mechanistically, tumor-derived DKK-1 promotes osteolysis in animal  
781 models of multiple myeloma and breast cancer bone metastasis and decreases the formation of  
782 osteoblastic lesions in a model of prostate cancer bone metastasis by silencing canonical WNT  
783 signaling of osteoblasts (76, 330, 396).

784 With regard to SOST-1, this WNT inhibitor is expressed in human primary breast tumors and  
785 breast cancer cell lines, especially those that are hormone unresponsive (143, 395). An anti-SOST  
786 antibody was shown to decrease the extent of osteolytic lesions in mouse models of MDA-MB-231  
787 breast cancer bone metastasis (143, 395). As previously reported for DKK-1 in breast cancer (396),  
788 SOST-1 promotes cancer-induced bone destruction by silencing canonical WNT signaling of  
789 osteoblasts (143, 395). Furthermore, a treatment with an anti-SOST antibody also protects tumor-

790 bearing animals from cancer-induced muscle weakness, which is a debilitating event that can be  
791 associated with bone metastases in breast cancer patients (143). Plasma cells in multiple myeloma do  
792 not express SOST-1 (227). SOST-1 is however produced by osteocytes and treatment of animals with  
793 an anti-SOST antibody reduces osteolytic lesions induced by multiple myeloma, thereby preventing  
794 bone destruction (227).

795

### 796 C. Osteocytes – Silent Partners with a Role to Play

797 Osteocytes are terminally differentiated osteoblast lineage cells that reside in lacunae within the  
798 mineralized bone matrix (6, 80). Osteocytes are by far the most abundant cells of the bone. They are  
799 stellate cells that communicate with their environment *via* cytoplasmic projections termed dendrites.  
800 Dendrites of osteocytes form Cx43-dependent gap junctions with dendrites of neighbouring osteocytes  
801 as well as osteoblasts on the bone surface and cells in the bone marrow and vascular space, which  
802 results in the formation of a communication network in the bone matrix (80). Osteocytes modulate bone  
803 turnover by regulating osteoblast and osteoclast functions through the secretion of RANKL, SOST and  
804 DKK-1, and control calcium homeostasis through remodeling of the osteocytic perilacunar matrix (6,  
805 80). They act as mechanosensors to control responses to mechanical loading of the skeleton (6, 80).  
806 Moreover, osteocytes regulate phosphate homeostasis through secretion into the circulation of  
807 fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-23 (80).

808 The contribution of osteocytes to bone metastasis is only beginning to be uncovered. This may be  
809 explained by the fact that studying osteocytes remains very challenging due to their location within the  
810 mineralized bone matrix. Methods used to isolate osteocytes from the bone matrix remain difficult and  
811 the phenotype of isolated osteocytes is not necessarily maintained *in vitro* (80). For example, human  
812 primary osteocytic cells in 2D culture do not express SOST-1, DKK-1 and FGF-23 (54). Thus, *in vitro*  
813 methods that are used to isolate and culture osteocytes may be a limitation to the study of osteocyte

814 functions such as in bone metastasis. Despite the technical challenges, it has been shown that tumor  
815 growth in bone induces pressure due to the lack of expansible space, suggesting that physical forces  
816 might modulate mechanotransduction properties of osteocytes (310). Indeed, application of hydrostatic  
817 pressure to cultures of MLO-Y4 osteocytic cells stimulated the secretion of factors associated with  
818 enhanced survival and invasion of prostate cancer cells *in vitro* (310). Osteocyte-derived CCL-5 and  
819 MMPs were among these factors promoting prostate cancer cell invasion *in vitro* (310). Whether these  
820 osteocyte-derived factors promote tumor cell invasion *in vivo* remains to be determined. Tissue  
821 engineered 3D bone models formed by primary human osteocytes have facilitated investigation into  
822 osteocyte functions (54). For example, primary human osteocytes in a 3D-culture system produce FGF-  
823 23, SOST-1, and DKK-1 as opposed to osteocytes in 2D culture (54). Using a 3D model, it has been  
824 shown that primary human prostate cancer cells induce a significant increase in the expression of FGF-  
825 23, RANKL and, to a lower extent, DKK-1 in primary human osteocytes, whereas SOST-1 expression is  
826 drastically decreased when compared to that observed with osteocytes in the absence of tumor cells  
827 (54). The authors suggested that the greater decrease in SOST-1 could favor the formation of  
828 osteoblastic lesions (54). It is however unclear how SOST-1 expression in osteocytes is downregulated  
829 by prostate cancer cells. These experimental findings are in contrast with the observation that high  
830 circulating levels of SOST-1 are found in prostate cancer patients with osteoblastic bone metastases  
831 (6). Further studies are therefore required to better understand the contribution of osteocytic-derived  
832 SOST-1 in prostate cancer bone metastasis.

833 In an *in vivo* model of bone disease caused by human JJN3 multiple myeloma cells, it has been  
834 shown that osteocytic dendrites were in direct contact with JJN3 cells in the bone marrow, leading to  
835 increases in osteocyte apoptosis and osteocytic RANKL and SOST production (83). *In vitro* cocultures  
836 between osteocyte-like MLO-A5 cells and JJN3 myeloma cells showed that cell-to-cell contact activated  
837 bidirectional Notch signaling in osteocytes and multiple myeloma cells, which increased multiple  
838 myeloma cell proliferation and induced osteocyte apoptosis. In turn, the induction of apoptosis promoted

839 osteocytic RANKL secretion, which then stimulated osteoclast formation (83). Thus, interactions  
840 between osteocytes and multiple myeloma cells generate a microenvironment supportive of increased  
841 tumor growth and bone destruction (83). These findings are in agreement with the fact that treatment of  
842 animals with an anti-SOST antibody prevents bone destruction in different preclinical models of multiple  
843 myeloma bone disease (5TGM1, 5T2MM, and MM1.5) (227).

844 In a breast cancer animal model, Cx43 hemichannels in osteocytes have been shown to play a  
845 critical role in the suppression of bone metastasis (393). Specifically, Cx43 osteocyte-specific knockout  
846 mice and osteocyte-specific  $\Delta$ 130-136 transgenic mice with impaired Cx43 gap junctions and  
847 hemichannels showed increased tumor growth after intra-tibial injection of Py8119 mouse mammary  
848 carcinoma cells (393). Additionally, R76W transgenic mice with functional hemichannels but not gap  
849 junctions in osteocytes did not display a significant difference (393). Cx43 gap junctions mediate  
850 communication between adjacent cells, whereas Cx43 hemichannels serve as a portal for the exit of  
851 molecules in the extracellular microenvironment (80). Zhou and colleagues (393) established a specific  
852 role for osteocytic Cx43 hemichannels in suppressing breast cancer growth and bone metastasis,  
853 whereas osteocytic Cx43 gap junctions did not play such a role. In agreement with this observation  
854 (393), ATP is released from osteocytes through Cx43 hemichannels and exerts inhibitory effects on  
855 breast cancer cell migration *in vitro* and tumor growth *in vivo* (392). However, these findings are  
856 contrary to the pro-metastatic role of Cx43 gap junctions between osteoblasts and breast cancer cells,  
857 which promote progression of osteolytic lesions in animals (354).

858 Overall, these findings strongly suggest that osteocytes have a role to play in the development of  
859 bone metastases. However, a lot of uncertainties remain as to whether osteocytes have bone  
860 metastasis suppressor or promoter activities, and whether this activity depends on the cancer cell type  
861 that metastasizes to bone. Further studies are therefore warranted to investigate the contribution of  
862 osteocytes in bone metastasis formation.

863

#### 864 D. The Fertile Soil - Contribution of the Bone Matrix

865 Bone is a rich source of growth factors, including TGF $\beta$ , IGFs and PDGF (platelet-derived  
866 growth factor) (361). For example, while there is no difference in bone marrow TGF- $\beta$  levels between  
867 healthy controls and castration-resistant prostate cancer patients without bone metastases, patients  
868 with bone metastases have aberrantly high levels of TGF- $\beta$  (161). Indeed, when released from the  
869 resorbed bone matrix, TGF $\beta$  acts on tumor cells, via SMAD- and COX2-dependent signaling pathways,  
870 and stimulates the expression of factors such as Gli2, PTHrP, the Notch ligand Jagged-1, IL-11 and  
871 PGE2 (3, 168, 295, 361). Jagged-expressing tumor cells are capable of directly activating osteoclasts  
872 by activating the Notch signaling pathway and the therapeutic targeting of Jagged-1 with a monoclonal  
873 antibody inhibits bone metastasis formation in animals (295, 390). In addition, TGF $\beta$  released from the  
874 bone matrix during bone destruction contributes to muscle weakness by decreasing Ca<sup>2+</sup>-induced  
875 muscle force production (359). Bone-derived IGF-I stimulates growth of breast cancer cells *via*  
876 activation of the IGF type I receptor (IGF-IR)/Akt/NF $\kappa$ B pathway, and IGF-IR was found to be elevated  
877 in 13/15 cases of bone metastases obtained from patients with a range of tumor types, supporting a role  
878 for the IGF axis in development of human disease (147). Similarly, bone-derived IGF-II stimulates  
879 skeletal outgrowth of prostate cancer cells *in vivo* (174). Bone-derived PDGF activates the Akt/PKB  
880 survival pathway in osteotropic breast and prostate cancer cells, as PDGF receptors in tumor cells  
881 growing in bone are highly expressed compared to nonmetastatic cancer cells (89, 199). Thus,  
882 evidence from both model systems and clinical samples support that bone-derived growth factors  
883 contribute to bone metastasis formation by promoting skeletal tumor outgrowth. To date, therapeutic  
884 targeting of growth factors has so far not resulted in patient benefit however trials including anti-growth  
885 factor agents as part of combination therapy for patients with bone metastases are ongoing. For  
886 example, the XENERA-1 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03659136) aims to assess the antitumor  
887 activity of xentuzamab, a monoclonal antibody that binds both IGF-I and IGF-II and inhibits the binding

888 of these ligands to IGF-R, in patients with ER+/HER2- advanced or metastatic breast cancer and bone  
889 metastases.

890 Bone is a mineralized tissue, rich in calcium. We previously discussed the contribution of calcium  
891 from the osteogenic niche that facilitates tumor cell proliferation through Cx43 gap junctions (354).  
892 However, calcium is also released from bone during osteoclastic resorption. It binds on tumor cells  
893 (breast, prostate, renal cell carcinoma) *via* a calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) and promotes tumor cell  
894 proliferation and migration (29, 110, 354). Additionally, calcium stimulates the secretion of PTHrP and  
895 epiregulin by tumor cells (29, 361). PTH-rP promotes osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and  
896 epiregulin decreases *OPG* expression in osteoblasts, thereby both contributing to the progression of  
897 osteolytic lesions (29, 361).

898

#### 899 Osteolysis: current understandings & open questions

- 900 • Understanding the cancer-associated mechanisms that stimulate osteoclast-mediated bone  
901 resorption has led to the development of anti-resorptive pharmaceutical agents that have  
902 become established as a valuable additional approach to the treatment of bone metastases in  
903 patients with advanced cancer.
- 904 • The observation that tumor cells not only stimulate osteoclast activity, but also inhibit osteoblast  
905 activity, suggests that stimulating osteoblastic bone formation to promote bone repair could be  
906 a novel alternative approach to treat malignant skeletal lesions.
- 907 • The contribution of osteocytes to bone metastasis is only beginning to be uncovered. A better  
908 understanding of the interplay between osteocytes and tumor cells will represent an opportunity  
909 for therapeutic targeting.

910

911 VI. TOO MUCH OF A GOOD THING - TUMOR-DERIVED FACTORS REGULATING  
912 OSTEOSCLEROSIS

913 A number of molecular mechanisms responsible for the formation of osteoblastic lesions have  
914 been identified (Figure 5), described in the following sections.

915 A. Factors Promoting Osteoblast-Mediated Bone Formation

916 Tumor cells in the bone environment secrete factors that activate osteoblasts, leading to the  
917 formation of skeletal lesions with extensive new bone deposition(osteosclerosis) (210, 248). Among  
918 them, endothelin-1 (ET-1) was recognized as a major mediator of osteosclerosis; it stimulates  
919 osteoblast proliferation and inhibits osteoclast activity and motility (2, 53, 210, 378). In this respect,  
920 prostate cancer patients with bone metastases have far higher circulating levels of ET-1, compared to  
921 those with localized cancer (276). Interestingly, *TMPRSS2-ERG* is the most frequent fusion gene  
922 expressed in prostate cancer, it is associated with cancer progression, and its expression in PC3c-T1E4  
923 prostate cancer cells has been demonstrated to promote *ET-1* expression and formation of osteoblastic  
924 lesions in animals (84). Human ZR-75-1 breast cancer cells that produce ET-1 stimulate new bone  
925 formation and osteoblast proliferation in organ cultures, and osteoblastic metastases in animals (378).  
926 Stimulatory effects of ET-1 on osteoblasts are mediated by two receptors, ETAR and ETBR, which  
927 activate similar signaling pathways and down-regulate expression of the Wnt signaling inhibitor DKK-1  
928 (276). Osteoblast proliferation and bone metastasis are both inhibited by ETAR antagonists atrasentan  
929 and zibotentan, as well as by the dual ETAR and ETBR antagonist bosentan, highlighting the prominent  
930 role played by ET-1 in the formation of osteoblastic lesions in preclinical settings (276, 378). Despite  
931 this, both atrasentan and zibotentan have failed to show benefit in CRPC patients with bone metastases  
932 (47, 240).

933 Breast and prostate cancer cells can produce BMPs, such as BMP-2, BMP-4 or BMP-6, which  
934 facilitate the development of osteoblastic bone metastases by stimulating tumor growth and  
935 osteogenesis (77, 78, 171, 195). In this respect, prostate cancer cell-derived BMP-4 mediates

936 conversion of endothelial cells into osteoblasts, thereby promoting aberrant bone formation (204).  
937 Analyses of human samples of prostate cancer bone metastases confirmed the presence of cells co-  
938 expressing endothelial and osteoblastic markers (Tie-2 and osteocalcin, respectively), which together  
939 with the detection of increased expression of BMP-4 in bone metastases compared to that of primary  
940 prostate tumors, support the hypothesis that endothelial-to-osteoblast conversion could also take place  
941 in human disease (204). Overall, these findings (77, 78, 171, 195, 204) illustrate how the dysregulation  
942 of BMPs can have deleterious effects on the bone microenvironment. Furthermore, the BMP inhibitor  
943 noggin is also secreted by tumor cells and it is the balance between BMPs and noggin that determines,  
944 at least in part, the phenotype of breast and prostate cancer bone metastases (293).

945 Prostate cancer cells secrete multiple WNT agonists, including canonical WNTs 3A, 7B and  
946 10B, which, by binding to LRP5/6, are known mediators of osteoblast differentiation and mineralization  
947 (129, 202, 210, 237). However, the WNT antagonist DKK-1 is also secreted by prostate cancer cells  
948 and, as aforementioned for BMPs and noggin (293), it is the relative expression levels of WNT agonists  
949 and DKK-1 that determine the phenotype of skeletal lesions (130). For example, C4-2B prostate cancer  
950 cells express the WNT agonists WNT7A and WNT8B, but not DKK-1, and they induce mixed  
951 osteoblastic/osteolytic lesions in animals (130). DKK-1 overexpression in C4-2B cells antagonizes WNT  
952 functions, which leads to the suppression of WNT signaling in osteoblasts and results in the formation of  
953 highly osteolytic lesions in animals (130). Among the many proteins downstream of WNT, autocrine  
954 WNTs induce BMP-4 and BMP-6 expression in prostate cancer cells that, in turn, promotes osteoblast  
955 differentiation (77, 195). *WNT* expression in tumor cells is itself regulated by many factors. For example,  
956 T-box family transcription factor TBX2 is overexpressed in human prostate cancer specimens and bone  
957 metastases from xenograft mouse models of human prostate cancer (239). It promotes transcription of  
958 *WNT3A* in prostate cancer cells and the blockade of WNT3A with neutralizing antibodies dramatically  
959 reduces experimental bone metastasis formation (239). Similarly, *WNT5A* and *WNT7B* are targets for  
960 the transcription factor  $ERR\alpha$  ("Estrogen Receptor Related Receptor alpha") and the androgen receptor



961 (AR), respectively, which are both highly expressed in castration-resistant prostate cancer cells, and  
962 they promote tumor growth and development of osteoblastic lesions in animals (108, 391). Thus, there  
963 is evidence that WNT signaling is central to osteoblast-stimulatory activity of metastatic prostate cancer,  
964 however therapeutic targeting of this pathway is in its infancy (237).

965 PTHrP can be actively involved in the progression of osteoblastic lesions in prostate cancer by  
966 enhancing proliferation of bone marrow stromal cells and early osteoblast differentiation (203).  
967 Moreover, prostate-specific antigen (PSA), a serine protease expressed by prostate cancer cells and a  
968 well-known marker of cancer progression, can cleave IGF binding protein (IGFBP)-5, rendering IGF-I  
969 available to bind to its receptor and stimulate osteoblast proliferation (219). PSA also enhances the  
970 bioavailability of TGF- $\beta$  in the bone microenvironment (379). Like PSA, production of urokinase-type  
971 plasminogen activator (uPA) by prostate cancer cells can increase IGF-I and TGF- $\beta$  bioavailability to the  
972 bone microenvironment (113).

973 Several other osteoblast-regulatory factors expressed by tumor cells have been identified. These  
974 include growth factors [PDGF BB (377), FGFs (FGF-8, FGF-9) (201, 342) and VEGF (176)],  
975 adrenomedullin (299), TGF $\beta$ -regulated gene PMEPA1 (107) and prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP)  
976 (188). Prostate cancer cells can also secrete neuropeptides, such as substance P (124) and Sema3A  
977 (112), which stimulate osteoblast differentiation.

978

## 979 **B. Factors Suppressing Osteoclast-Mediated Bone Resorption**

980 Tumor cells that induce osteoblastic lesions not only stimulate osteoblast activity but may  
981 sometimes also inhibit osteoclast activity. Among the osteoclast inhibitors produced by cancer cells are  
982 ET-1 and OPG (210). Patients with metastatic prostate cancer have high circulating levels of ET-1 and  
983 OPG (90, 210, 383). Tumor-derived ET-1 directly inhibits osteoclast-mediated bone resorption by  
984 binding to the surface of osteoclasts *via* membrane receptors ETA and ETB (2, 53). Tumor-derived

985 OPG inhibits osteoclast differentiation by binding to RANKL, thereby preventing its interaction with  
986 RANK (183). The overexpression of OPG in human C4-2 prostate cancer cells protects these cells from  
987 TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand)-induced apoptosis, decreases  
988 osteoclast formation and promotes the formation of osteoblastic lesions in animals (70). OPG  
989 expression in prostate cancer cells is regulated by factors such as PAP, a tumor-derived acid  
990 phosphatase that promotes osteoblast differentiation and bone mineralization (175, 188). PAP  
991 knockdown in pro-osteoblastic VCAP prostate cancer cells decreases OPG while increasing  
992 RANK/RANKL expression (175). Conversely, PAP overexpression in pro-osteolytic PC3M prostate  
993 cancer cells has the inverse effect, increasing OPG while decreasing RANK/RANKL expression (175).  
994 The transcription factor  $ERR\alpha$  induces OPG expression in MDA-MB-231-B02 breast cancer cells,  
995 thereby inhibiting osteoclast differentiation (109). Tumor-derived PSA also stimulates OPG production  
996 and inhibits RANKL expression in osteoblasts (379). BMP-2 enhances Wnt/beta-catenin-dependent  
997 transcriptional activation of the OPG promoter in osteoblasts (287). Thus, tumor-derived ET-1 and OPG  
998 produced by both tumor cells and osteoblasts, by means of their ability to inhibit osteoclast activity,  
999 contribute substantially to the formation of osteosclerotic bone metastases in model systems. When it  
1000 comes to human disease, evidence that tumor-derived OPG contributes to the pathology of bone  
1001 lesions is limited, hampered by the lack of bone metastases available for research. A meta-analysis  
1002 found that in studies of prostate cancer, patients with bone metastases had higher levels of serum OPG  
1003 compared to patients with metastases in other sites or healthy control (383). However, as this study did  
1004 not include any information about the lesion types of the patients (sclerotic/lytic/mixed) it was not  
1005 possible to link elevated serum OPG levels to decreased osteoclast activity and a shift in the balance  
1006 towards osteoblastic bone lesions.

1007

1008 Osteosclerosis: current understandings & open questions

- 1009       • Despite elevated levels of the osteoblast stimulating factor ET-1 in patients with bone  
1010           metastases and supportive data from a number of *in vitro* and *in vivo* model systems, drugs  
1011           targeting ET receptors have failed to provide benefit in trials of CRPC.
- 1012       • WNT signalling regulates osteoblast differentiation and is activated in human prostate cancer,  
1013           however the precise role of WNT family members in development and progression of the  
1014           disease remains to be established.
- 1015       • Studies that combine detailed characterisation of bone lesions with paired measurements of  
1016           factors modifying bone turnover in serum and/or bone marrow are required to provide evidence  
1017           of which molecules are the most promising therapeutic targets in metastatic prostate cancer.

1018

## 1019   **VII. CONTRIBUTION OF BONE MARROW CELLS TO TUMOR DEVELOPMENT –** 1020       **MULTIPLE INTERACTIONS BEYOND THE VICIOUS CYCLE**

1021       In addition to the main cell types responsible for bone remodeling described above (osteoblasts,  
1022   osteocytes and osteoclasts), the bone microenvironment includes a myriad of interconnected cell  
1023   populations, including a rich vascular network, immune cells, adipocytes, nerve cells, and  
1024   megakaryocytes. Tumor cells arriving in this environment are proposed to utilize the mechanisms that  
1025   regulate normal physiological processes in order to avoid immune surveillance and establish cellular  
1026   interactions that support their expansion to overt metastases. As described in earlier sections,  
1027   endothelial cells contribute in tumor cell extravasation, tumor cell dormancy and formation of  
1028   osteoblastic lesions (119, 204, 267, 273). The role of platelets in stimulating bone metastasis formation  
1029   has also been described above (26, 27, 190, 191). With regard to megakaryocytes, the platelet-  
1030   producing cells, little is known about their role in bone metastasis, with both promoting and inhibitory  
1031   roles having been reported (191, 223). In the following sections we chose to cover some of the key  
1032   discoveries linking immune cells, nerve cells and adipocytes to the development of bone metastases in  
1033   solid tumors.

## 1034 A. The Immune Cells of the Bone Microenvironment

1035 It has long been clear that the immune system plays an integral part of both normal bone  
1036 homeostasis, as well as in a number of pathologies associated with bone loss, mainly through the link  
1037 with inflammation. Combining the bone biology and immunology research fields to increase our  
1038 understanding of their close connection has resulted in the new discipline of “osteimmunology” (245).  
1039 Initially focused on the bone-destructive effects of immune infiltrates through stimulation of osteoclasts  
1040 by pro-inflammatory cytokines, research is expanding to other areas, including bone metastasis (253,  
1041 370). Although the inflammatory response undoubtedly contributes to the extent and severity of cancer-  
1042 induced bone disease, as covered in the following sections, evidence from model systems support that  
1043 immune cells may also affect tumor cell colonization and progression in bone (Figure 6).

1044

### 1045 1. Immune cells inhibiting local tumor growth in the bone microenvironment.

#### 1046 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells

1047 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are central players in controlling infections and cancer, recognised as one of the most  
1048 important immune cells associated with tumour destruction (253). By cross-presenting tumor antigens,  
1049 dendritic cells (DCs) activate CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. In turn, tumor-specific cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells participate in  
1050 the killing of antigen-positive tumor cells (45). The anti-tumor effects of tumor-specific cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T  
1051 cells is dependent on their ability to produce interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$ . Pioneering work by the Faccio group has  
1052 demonstrated that activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells reduces bone metastasis formation in animals, whereas  
1053 depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells enhances it (386). Specifically, using phospholipase C gamma (PLC $\gamma$ ) 2<sup>-/-</sup>  
1054 mice, which have broadly compromised immune responses and are osteopetrotic due to reduced  
1055 osteoclast number and functionality, Zhang and colleagues (386) reported an unexpected increased  
1056 tumor growth in bone despite osteoclast dysfunction. This was found to be due to a defective anti-tumor  
1057 T cell response in tumor-bearing PLC $\gamma$ 2<sup>-/-</sup> mice. Similar experiments were then conducted in Lyn<sup>-/-</sup> mice,  
1058 which have enhanced T-cell responses and decreased bone mass due to high number of osteoclasts.

1059 *Lyn*<sup>-/-</sup> mice had a reduced bone tumor burden despite osteolysis (386). Importantly, injection of antigen-  
1060 specific wild-type cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in *PLCγ2*<sup>-/-</sup> mice or depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in *Lyn*<sup>-/-</sup> mice  
1061 normalized tumor growth in bone, regardless of osteoclast activity (386). This study is important in that it  
1062 used both genetic and pharmacological approaches to demonstrate that the extent of tumor growth in  
1063 bone is not only linked to the level of osteoclast activity as stipulated by the vicious cycle of cancer-  
1064 induced bone destruction. In addition, these findings demonstrate that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells have the potential to  
1065 act as regulators of tumor growth in bone. This contention is supported by the observation that  
1066 transcription factor *ERRα* in murine 4T1 breast cancer cells inhibits the progression of bone metastases  
1067 by increasing the recruitment of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the bone marrow (28). However, this remains to be  
1068 established for human cancers where our capacity to identify T cell subsets in bone metastatic foci is  
1069 limited.

1070

#### 1071 Natural killer (NK) cells

1072 Mature NK cells represent 1% of the lymphocyte population in bone, which is the primary site of murine  
1073 NK cell development (253). In contrast, human NK cells are shown to differentiate from precursors and  
1074 located in the secondary lymphoid organs like spleen and lymph nodes, and single cell RNA sequencing  
1075 of NK cells isolated from both blood and bone marrow of healthy donors has revealed the presence of  
1076 multiple heterogenous subsets with potentially different functions (373).

1077 NK cells are involved in the nonspecific elimination of tumor cells through the production of IFN $\gamma$ ,  
1078 release of cytolytic granules or TRAIL/FASL-induced apoptosis (253). Pathways of IFN induction are  
1079 regulated by IFN regulatory factors (IRF3, IRF5 and IRF7) and NF $\kappa$ B (20). Bidwell and colleagues (20)  
1080 found that *irf7* expression was suppressed in murine 4T1.2 mouse breast cancer cells isolated from  
1081 bone metastases, compared to those of matched primary mammary tumors. Enforced expression of *Irf7*  
1082 in bone metastatic 4T1.2 cells restored an antimetastatic immune response in immunocompetent tumor-  
1083 bearing animals (20). Conversely, the inoculation of *Irf7*-overexpressing 4T1.2 cells to mice deficient in

1084 NK and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses led to accelerated development of bone metastases, compared to  
1085 immunocompetent mice (20). Similarly, the impairment of NK-cell-mediated anti-tumour immunity with a  
1086 JAK/STAT inhibitor enhanced skeletal tumor burden in preclinical models of breast cancer metastasis  
1087 (25). Taken together, these data indicated that NK cells (and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells), through the production of  
1088 IFN- $\gamma$ , contributed to the suppression of bone metastasis and that NK cells are potential therapeutic  
1089 targets in this setting. The clinical relevance of these findings was confirmed in over 800 patients in  
1090 whom high expression of *Irf7*-regulated genes in primary tumors was associated with prolonged bone  
1091 metastasis-free survival (20). A comprehensive review describing how NK cells may control metastasis  
1092 points out that NK cells appear to have a particular role in reducing metastatic dissemination and  
1093 speculates that this may be due to their ability to eliminate tumor cells that escape the  
1094 immunosuppressive microenvironment of the primary tumor (211). It also includes an overview of  
1095 clinical trials with immunotherapy agents boosting NK cell effector functions, the outcomes of which will  
1096 provide important information about the impact of NK cells in metastatic disease, including in skeletal  
1097 metastasis.

1098

1099 *2. Immunosuppressive cells promoting local tumor growth in the bone*  
1100 *microenvironment*

1101

1102 Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSCs)

1103 MDSCs describe a heterogeneous collective of immature progenitor populations for the myeloid cells,  
1104 for which a variety of roles in tumor progression have been reported (172). Bone marrow accumulation  
1105 of MDSCs is found in many cancer types, indicating pathological disruption of myeloid cell maturation  
1106 (114). An important role of MDSCs in the metastatic process is their immune-suppressive functions,  
1107 which include induction of oxidative stress, interference with lymphocyte trafficking and expansion of  
1108 Treg cells (172). MDSCs are proposed to increase the number of Treg cells and modify tumor growth in  
1109 bone independent of osteoclast activation through modification of T cell responses (45). For instance,  
1110 PLC $\gamma$ 2<sup>-/-</sup> mice are osteopetrotic due to reduced osteoclast number and functionality (45). Interestingly,

1111 despite osteoclast dysfunction, tumor growth in bone of PLC $\gamma$ 2<sup>-/-</sup> mice was significantly higher than that  
1112 observed in their wild-type counterparts due to an aberrant increased percentage of MDSCs in the bone  
1113 marrow that, in turn, inhibited anti-tumor T cell response in tumor-bearing PLC $\gamma$ 2<sup>-/-</sup> mice (45, 386).

1114

1115 Few studies have investigated the potential role of MDSCs in human bone metastases, but a recent  
1116 report compared polymorphonuclear (PN-) MDSC distribution in primary prostate tumours (n=90) and  
1117 their corresponding lymph node metastases (n=37) to that of bone metastases (n=35) (363). PN-  
1118 MDSCs were found to mainly infiltrate the stroma (rather than the epithelial areas), and that this was  
1119 more prominent in the metastases compared to the primary tumour. The authors propose that this  
1120 stromal location would facilitate better suppression of infiltrating T cells by the PN-MDSCs and that the  
1121 high levels of CXCL5 in bone may drive MDSC infiltration and ultimately metastatic progression (363).

1122

1123 A combination of the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3465 and the IDO1 inhibitor D1MT has been shown to  
1124 delay the progression of breast cancer bone metastases in mice through activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells and  
1125 inhibition of Treg cells and MDSCs, supporting that suppression of MDSCs could potentially reduce  
1126 metastatic progression in bone (385).

1127

1128 MDSCs isolated from tumor bearing mice have been also shown to be able to differentiate into  
1129 functional osteoclasts *in vitro* and *in vivo* (81, 288). Interestingly, only MDSCs from mice with confirmed  
1130 tumor growth in bone had osteoclastic potential, whereas those isolated from mice with peripheral  
1131 tumors or control mice did not (288). The increased understanding of the inter-connectivity between  
1132 cells residing in the bone marrow has resulted in studies exploring the effects of anti-resorptive agents  
1133 beyond their traditional osteoclast targets. For example, in mouse models, a single, clinically relevant  
1134 dose of the osteoclast inhibitor zoledronic acid has widespread effects on a number of cell types in the  
1135 bone marrow, including hematopoietic stem cells, myeloid-biased progenitor cells and lymphoid-biased

1136 cells (340). Importantly, bone marrow cells isolated from zoledronic acid treated animals, but not from  
1137 control, were able to suppress tumor growth *in vivo* when co-injected with tumor cells, supporting the  
1138 finding that anti-resorptive agents could support the generation of tumor-suppressing myeloid cells  
1139 (340). In follow-up studies, these findings were confirmed, demonstrating that even a single dose of  
1140 zoledronic acid skews myeloid progenitor cells to enter the macrophage, rather than the osteoclast  
1141 lineage (339). This exemplifies the potential for unexpected (both beneficial and harmful) effects of anti-  
1142 cancer therapies on bone marrow cell populations with implications for tumour progression, generally  
1143 not considered when assessing the clinical benefits of cancer treatment. As the anti-resorptive  
1144 bisphosphonates are increasingly used as adjuvant therapies in post-menopausal breast cancer without  
1145 the precise mechanism conveying their positive effects on survival (66), it will be interesting to see if  
1146 additional patient benefit could be linked to effects of these agents on a range of bone marrow cell  
1147 populations.

1148

#### 1149 Macrophages

1150 Macrophages develop from circulating monocytes within tissues and are heterogeneous and highly  
1151 plastic cells, which can polarize into pro- or anti-inflammatory sub-types (M1 and M2, respectively)  
1152 depending on signaling cues (45, 118). However, there are many other discrete sub-populations across  
1153 the M1/M2 spectrum determined by the location and activation status of macrophages (45, 118).  
1154 Macrophages are consistently found in bone metastases from patients with prostate cancer (369). In  
1155 breast cancer, tumor-associated macrophages are also significantly increased in bone metastases  
1156 compared to matched primary mammary tumors (394). Experimentally, tumor-associated macrophages  
1157 were found to promote breast and lung cancer bone metastasis formation (102, 148). Additionally, a  
1158 population of specialist osteal tissue macrophages termed 'osteomacs', whose normal function is to  
1159 regulate osteoblast differentiation (50), were found to facilitate formation of osteoblastic lesions in an  
1160 animal model of prostate cancer (369). Nonetheless, their specific role in bone metastasis has proven



1161 elusive, in part because of ablation techniques that remove a number of myeloid related populations,  
1162 including the closely related osteoclast precursors that are established as major drivers of bone  
1163 metastasis. For example, treatment of animals with clodronate-encapsulated liposomes markedly  
1164 reduced the number of monocytes in peripheral blood, and the formation of bone metastasis when  
1165 HARA-B lung cancer cells were injected intracardiacally to mice (148). However, in this study,  
1166 clodronate-encapsulated liposomes not only reduced macrophages within tumors, but also osteoclasts  
1167 in metastatic bone lesions, thereby explaining the reduction of bone destruction (148). Similarly, the  
1168 use of an anti-mouse CD115 monoclonal antibody, which specifically targets monocytic cells, inhibited  
1169 breast cancer bone metastasis formation in animals by blocking osteoclast activity (102). Beside the use  
1170 of techniques that can directly interfere with osteoclast function (102, 148), tumor cells can recruit  
1171 macrophages and osteoclasts through the same mechanism of action. For example, breast cancer-  
1172 derived CCL2, which is a ligand for the chemokine receptor CCR2 expressed by myelomonocytic  
1173 progenitors such as macrophages and osteoclast precursors, can stimulate through the same molecular  
1174 mechanism the migration of macrophages in lung parenchyma and the differentiation of osteoclasts in  
1175 the bone marrow, which ultimately aids metastasis to lungs and bone (214). Similar findings were  
1176 reported with CCL2 produced by human prostate cancer cells, which promoted recruitment of  
1177 macrophages within subcutaneous tumor xenografts and osteoclast-mediated bone destruction in  
1178 animals bearing bone metastases (232). Thus, despite some suggestions that macrophages and  
1179 osteomacs can contribute to bone metastasis (45, 369), further studies, including of samples human  
1180 bone metastases, are needed to establish the precise mechanisms that could regulate these highly  
1181 adaptable cells in the context of tumour growth in bone.

1182

1183

1184 Dendritic cells

1185 Dendritic cells are specialised antigen-presenting cells that are derived from hematopoietic bone  
1186 marrow progenitor cells that differentiate into 2 subsets: conventional or myeloid dendritic cells (mDCs,  
1187 similar to monocytes, produce IL-12) and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs, resembling plasma cells,  
1188 produce IFN- $\alpha$ ). These cells are responsible for presentation of antigens on their surface to induce T  
1189 cell activation and prime CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (253). However, DCs in tumors can have limited antigen-  
1190 presenting function, thereby affecting the generation of anti-tumor immune responses (218).  
1191 Additionally, DCs in cancer may exhibit immunosuppressive properties under certain circumstances  
1192 (218). For example, using different syngeneic breast cancer models, Sawant and colleagues (289)  
1193 observed an increased number of pDCs with increased bone metastasis in animals. Conversely,  
1194 depletion of pDCs following treatment of animals with PDCA1 antibody prevented breast cancer bone  
1195 metastasis formation (289). Furthermore, isolated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from pDC-depleted mice exhibited  
1196 enhanced cytotoxic activity compared to those from untreated animals, indicating that in bone-  
1197 metastatic disease pDCs exhibit immunosuppressive properties on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (289). Thus, there is  
1198 some evidence to support that pDCs may be critical regulators of bone metastasis, however this is one  
1199 of the least investigated immune cell types in this context, with a paucity of informative clinical studies to  
1200 provide solid data to allow a conclusion regarding their importance to be drawn at this point.

1201

#### 1202 Regulatory T (Treg) cells

1203 Treg cells are potent immune suppressors, impairing CD8<sup>+</sup> cell proliferation (45). The role of Treg cells  
1204 in bone remodeling has not been extensively studied, with a few examples of inhibition of osteoclast  
1205 maturation by Treg cells due to their production of IL-10, IL-4 and TGF $\beta$  (30). In prostate cancer, Treg  
1206 cells are significantly increased in the bone marrow of patients with bone metastasis compared to those  
1207 without (389). Furthermore, the intravenous injection of activated Treg cells to immunodeficient  
1208 NOD/SCID mice bearing human PC3 prostate cancer skeletal lesions leads to a reduction of bone  
1209 destruction, due to the osteoclast-inhibitory effect of Treg cells (389).

1210 A study by Jiao *et al* (161) has shed light on why a combination of anti-CTLA-4 (ipilimumab) and anti-  
1211 PD-1 (nivolumab) checkpoint inhibitors that reduce primary prostate tumour growth in patients are  
1212 largely ineffective in reducing bone metastatic disease. The study compared levels of TGF $\beta$  in bone  
1213 from patients with and without bone metastases and mapped T cell subsets in primary tumors and bone  
1214 metastases from patients treated with ipilimumab. Results showed that the increased levels of TGF $\beta$   
1215 released during cancer-induced bone resorption caused helper T cells to polarise into Th17 CD4 cells  
1216 instead of the Th1 CD4 effector cells required to trigger an anti-tumour immune response (161).  
1217 Combining anti-TGF $\beta$  and anti-CTLA-4 therapy in a mouse model resulted in reduced bone metastasis,  
1218 a strategy that the researchers now will take forward in clinical trials of patients with metastatic prostate  
1219 cancer (161). This study (161) is an example of 'reverse translation', where a clinical observation is  
1220 explored in model systems to identify mechanisms responsible for the observed effects and how they  
1221 can be overcome. It also highlights that the specific immune tumor environment in bone presents a  
1222 particular challenge when considering immunotherapy approaches in patients with bone metastases.

1223

#### 1224 Immune cells and bone metastasis: current understandings & open questions

- 1225 • Depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells results in increased bone metastasis in model systems, but direct  
1226 evidence for a role of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in development of human skeletal metastases is missing.
- 1227 • The anti-metastatic functions of NK cells are not yet established in human disease, but  
1228 evidence from models of bone metastasis support their role in suppressing tumour growth.
- 1229 • Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells (MDSCs) have been identified in bone metastases in model  
1230 systems and in human samples, where they are proposed to increase Tregs and inhibit immune  
1231 elimination of tumour cells.
- 1232 • Macrophages are highly plastic cells with context-specific functions, their role in the different  
1233 stages of human bone metastasis remains to be defined.

1234 • Plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) may be critical regulators of bone metastasis in model  
1235 systems, however there is a paucity of informative clinical studies to draw any conclusion at this  
1236 point.

1237 • The specific immune tumour environment in bone presents a particular challenge when  
1238 considering immunotherapy approaches in patients with bone metastases. Differences between  
1239 murine and human immune cell populations must be considered when translating findings from  
1240 in vivo model systems to human disease.

1241

## 1242 **B. Nerve cells**

1243 Bone is highly innervated, and a recent extensive review describes how bone homeostasis is  
1244 influenced by sympathetic, parasympathetic, and sensory nerves (95). How bone remodelling is  
1245 regulated by nerve cells is illustrated by the example of norepinephrine, released by sympathetic  
1246 nerves, which activates  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptors expressed by osteoblasts, stimulating synthesis of  
1247 RANKL that in turn modifies both osteoblast and osteoclast activity (95).

1248 As a cancer diagnosis is associated with increased levels of stress and depression, a number of  
1249 studies have investigated whether stress-induced activation of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS)  
1250 results in increased bone metastasis. In a model of learned helplessness (chronic immobilization  
1251 stress), intracardiac injection of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in mice that had undergone 2 weeks  
1252 of SNS activation resulted in increased number of bone metastatic foci associated with larger lytic bone  
1253 lesions, compared to control (44). Similar results were obtained when injecting MDA-MB-231 breast  
1254 cancer cells in mice pre-treated for 3 weeks with isoproterenol (ISO), which is used as a surrogate of  
1255 SNS activation through stimulation of the  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor (44, 234). Importantly, these effects  
1256 observed in mice under chronic stress or treated with ISO were inhibited by administration of the  $\beta$ 2-  
1257 blocker isopropranolol (44, 234), supporting that sympathetic nerve activity mediates the 'pro-metastatic'  
1258 effect of chronic stress. Furthermore, breast cancer bone metastasis in animals that are under chronic

1259 stress is inhibited by knocking down RANK in MDA-MB-231 cells (44). Mechanistically, it is proposed  
1260 that stress-induced activation of the  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor in osteoblasts stimulates RANKL production  
1261 that, in turn, promotes MDA-MB-231 cell migration to bone in a RANK-dependent manner (44). ISO pre-  
1262 treatment of bone marrow stromal cells also induce the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1 $\beta$ ,  
1263 IL-6) that increase the expression of E- and P-selectins by endothelial cells and the subsequent  
1264 adhesion of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells to these cells under static and dynamic conditions *in vitro*  
1265 (56). It has also been suggested that DTCs residing in endosteal niches could be affected by  
1266 norepinephrine (82). Specifically, Decker *et al.* (82) found that the binding of norepinephrine to  $\beta$ 2-  
1267 adrenergic receptors affects osteoblasts *in vitro* through downregulation of the dormancy-inducing  
1268 molecule GAS6, thereby re-activating proliferation of dormant disseminated prostate cancer cells that  
1269 interacted with these osteoblasts. Taken together, these experimental data (44, 56, 82) suggest that  
1270 stress-induced activation of the SNS prior to tumor cells arriving in bone alters the microenvironment to  
1271 become more supportive of tumor outgrowth. Whether this also applies in human disease is difficult to  
1272 investigate. A study including over 100,000 women in UK did not find any evidence of increased risk of  
1273 breast cancer in those who reported high levels of stress (292). The current experimental evidence for  
1274 neuronal involvement therefore relates to progression of established disease, and great care should be  
1275 taken not to suggest that patients are in any way responsible for their disease progression through their  
1276 ability to manage the inevitable stress associated with a cancer diagnosis and treatment.

1277       Once bone metastases are established and progressing, their interaction with the nervous system  
1278 is obvious. Pain is one of the most common and difficult to treat complications associated with skeletal  
1279 metastases (229). Tumor cells, their associated stromal cells and osteoclasts can generate pain by  
1280 releasing algogenic substances including protons (create acidosis), bradykinin, endothelins,  
1281 prostaglandins, proteases, and tyrosine kinase activators such as nerve growth factor (NGF) (100).  
1282 Sensory fibers in the bone marrow express acid-sensing nociceptor TRPV1 (transient receptor potential  
1283 vanilloid 1) and the NGF tyrosine kinase receptor type 1 (TrkA) (23, 351). In murine models of intra-

1284 femoral or intra-tibial injection of NGF-expressing breast, prostate or Lewis lung cancer cells, tumour  
1285 growth in bone is associated with induced sprouting of sensory nerve fibers and lytic bone lesions (23,  
1286 162, 226, 351). SNS activation and bone pain (as judged by hyperalgesia and flinching) caused by  
1287 Lewis lung cancer cells are substantially reduced in TRPV1<sup>-/-</sup> mice compared to wild-type animals with  
1288 comparable tumor burden (351). Similarly, systemic administration of a neutralizing anti-NGF antibody  
1289 to animals bearing breast or prostate cancer bone metastasis reduces ectopic nerve fiber sprouting and  
1290 attenuates nociceptive behaviors (spontaneous guarding and flinching) (23,162, 226). Overall these  
1291 findings suggest that targeting NGF and/or TRPV1 are potential strategies to treat bone pain.

1292

### 1293 Nerve cells and bone metastasis: current understandings & open questions

- 1294 • Bone homeostasis is influenced by sympathetic, parasympathetic, and sensory nerves. These  
1295 interactions are affected by numerous factors released by tumor cells.
- 1296 • In model systems, stress-induced activation of the sympathetic nervous system alters the bone  
1297 microenvironment to become more supportive of tumor outgrowth. However, there is no  
1298 evidence that it also applies in human disease.

1299

### 1300 **C. Adipocytes**

1301 The adipose content of the bone marrow increases with age, obesity levels and metabolic  
1302 conditions (134, 230). A large body of research underpins the current view that bone marrow fat is a  
1303 hormone-sensitive endocrine tissue with the capacity to modify bone mass, and hence could contribute  
1304 to skeletal tumour growth through a range of mechanisms, including provision of energy and pro-  
1305 survival factors for tumor cells (134). However, the majority of these studies are from model systems,  
1306 often involving injection of large numbers of tumor cells directly into bone of immunocompromised mice  
1307 fed a high fat diet. Due to suitable clinical material being difficult to obtain, the relevance of the

1308 information generated in model systems described in this section remains to be validated in studies of  
1309 human samples.

1310 Adipocytes can be drivers of chronic inflammation, resulting in immune cell infiltration and release  
1311 of high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including CXCL-1, CXCL-2, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and TNF $\alpha$ ,  
1312 molecules known to stimulate bone resorption and bone metastasis (134, 135, 140, 151). Using an *in*  
1313 *vivo* diet-induced obesity model, Herroon *et al.* (142) have demonstrated a direct link between  
1314 adipocytes and prostate cancer growth in bone. Specifically, the intratibial injection of PC3 prostate  
1315 cancer cells into high-fat-diet-fed mice led to larger tumors than those observed in mice on normal diet  
1316 (142). *In vitro*, prostate cancer cells exposed to lipids supplied by bone marrow adipocytes displayed  
1317 increased invasive and proliferative capacity compared to control, which was associated with induction  
1318 of lipid chaperone FABP4 (fatty acid binding protein 4) and IL-1 $\beta$  in tumor cells (142). Although FABP4  
1319 is known for its expression in adipocytes, it was also expressed by PC3 cells co-cultured with  
1320 adipocytes, and its inhibition with a selective inhibitor (BMS309403) blocked PC3 cell invasion *in vitro*  
1321 (142). Immunohistochemical staining showed that in the small number of human prostate cancer bone  
1322 metastasis samples analysed (n=5), FABP4 positivity was more pronounced compared to benign  
1323 prostate lesions and primary tumor tissues (142). The authors acknowledge that further studies are  
1324 however required to establish whether FABP4 acts as a mediator between adipocytes and tumor cells  
1325 to stimulate tumor growth in human bone metastases.

1326 In addition to FABP4, the expression of oxidative stress enzyme HO-1 (heme oxygenase 1) was  
1327 also found to be significantly upregulated in prostate cancer bone metastases from high-fat-diet-fed  
1328 mice (141). *In vitro*, bone marrow adipocytes induced the upregulation of HO-1 in prostate cancer cells,  
1329 whereas, *in vivo*, HO-1 overexpression in human prostate cancer cells promoted skeletal tumor growth  
1330 and osteolysis (141). Importantly, a link to human prostate cancer was established by analysis of five  
1331 datasets of patients with metastatic disease (n=89), showing significant upregulation of HO-1 in  
1332 metastatic foci (including in bone) compared to primary tumors. HO-1 expression was identified by

1333 immunohistochemical staining in two samples from prostate cancer bone metastasis biopsies, providing  
1334 some limited support that HO-1 is associated with tumor progression in bone, which requires validation  
1335 in a larger sample set.

1336 Bone marrow adipocytes also promote metabolic reprogramming of prostate cancer cells in bone  
1337 towards a glycolytic phenotype (87) (*see section VII for further discussion*). Additional studies utilizing  
1338 these diet-induced models of increased bone marrow adiposity demonstrated that the adipose-derived  
1339 chemokines CXCL1 and CXCL2 cause accelerated osteoclastogenesis *in vitro*, leading to enhanced  
1340 prostate cancer-associated bone degradation *in vivo* (135) (Table 1).

1341 Adipocytes have also been linked to bone metastasis in a number of cancer types other than  
1342 prostate cancer. In multiple myeloma, bone marrow adipocytes support tumor cell proliferation and  
1343 migration *in vitro* through mechanisms that are, at least in part, mediated by leptin (43). Furthermore,  
1344 myeloma cells promote MSC differentiation into adipocytes at the expense of osteoblasts by inhibiting  
1345 expression of the ubiquitin ligase MURF1, thereby suppressing osteoblast-mediated bone formation in  
1346 tumor-bearing animals and in cells from patients with myeloma (208). In a model of breast cancer bone  
1347 metastasis, using conditioned medium generated from cultured adult human bone fragments, migration  
1348 of MDA-MB-231 cells was found to correlate with increasing levels of the adipokine leptin and IL-1 $\beta$   
1349 (328). Direct co-cultures demonstrated high numbers of breast cancer cells associated with the marrow  
1350 adipose tissue within the bone fragments, supporting that tumour cells colonise areas of bone with high  
1351 adiposity (328). Similar findings were reported from studies of melanoma models of bone metastasis,  
1352 showing that melanoma cells were located in close proximity to adipocytes when colonising bone (52,  
1353 356). Furthermore, the intra-tibial injection of B16F10 melanoma cells resulted in larger tumors and  
1354 increased osteoclast numbers in mice fed a high-fat diet, compared to that observed in mice fed a  
1355 normal diet (52). In agreement with these findings, melanoma cell-adipocyte co-culture experiments  
1356 showed an increase in pro-inflammatory and pro-osteoclastic production of cytokines and chemokines  
1357 (IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ , CXCL-1, CXCL-2, and CXCL-5) by melanoma cells (52). Wang and colleagues (356)



1358 reported that following intra-cardiac injection of B16F10 melanoma cells into mice, regardless of diet,  
1359 there was a transient, highly significant increase in bone marrow adiposity and serum leptin levels  
1360 compared to that of age-matched controls. *In vitro*, conditioned medium from melanoma cells promoted  
1361 differentiation of adipocytes; conversely melanoma cell proliferation was stimulated by exposure to  
1362 adipocyte-conditioned medium. The authors conclude that adipocytes may contribute to the lytic bone  
1363 disease caused by melanoma cells (356). However, as tumours grow and induce lytic bone disease the  
1364 number of adipocytes is rapidly reduced (356). This decrease in the number of adipocytes may result  
1365 from a lipolysis that fuels tumor cells with adipocyte-derived fatty acids, thereby promoting tumor growth  
1366 (140).

1367 Collectively, the experimental studies described above represent the increasing volume of data  
1368 providing a strong link between bone marrow adipocytes and the progression of bone metastasis,  
1369 however evidence from human disease to support this remains surprisingly limited. A relatively small  
1370 retrospective study of 2,731 patients with early breast cancer found no significant link between body  
1371 mass index and the subsequent pattern of metastasis, but in agreement with other reports, obese and  
1372 overweight patients had significantly shorter survival compared to the normal weight group (375). As  
1373 levels of obesity are rising, including in young people, there are concerns that we are facing an increase  
1374 in almost all cancers, as well as the potential for more aggressive metastatic disease, including in bone,  
1375 driven by some of the mechanisms described in this section.

1376

#### 1377 Adipocytes and bone metastasis: current understandings & open questions

- 1378 • Bone marrow fat is a hormone-sensitive endocrine tissue with the potential to modify bone  
1379 mass and to influence skeletal tumour growth through provision of energy and tumour cell  
1380 survival factors, as well as through generation of a pro-inflammatory environment.

1381 • Solid evidence from clinical studies for a link between obesity and bone metastasis is lacking,  
1382 this important area should be the focus of retrospective analyses of large datasets that include  
1383 detailed information about patient BMI and metastatic sites.

1384

## 1385 VIII. FUELING EXPANSION - REPROGRAMMING ENERGY METABOLISM TO 1386 FACILITATE BONE METASTASIS PROGRESSION

1387 Metastatic tumor cells colonizing distant organs must rewire their biology in order to grow in the  
1388 colonized organ (1,133, 271, 291). Most cancer cells use glycolysis (an oxygen-independent metabolic  
1389 pathway) for glucose metabolism even when oxygen is sufficient (133). This phenomenon is called “the  
1390 Warburg effect” or “aerobic glycolysis”. Consequently, glucose is utilized for ATP generation through  
1391 lactate production and *via* the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) for nucleotide synthesis that is  
1392 essential for cell proliferation (Figure 7). Not only does the Warburg effect allow cells to maintain ATP  
1393 levels but also reduce oxidative stress and generation of ROS, enabling cancer cells to survive at the  
1394 metastatic site (291). The existence of these metabolic adaptation mechanisms in cancer cells has been  
1395 observed *in situ* in patients with bone metastasis (262), as visualized by <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET scanning of  
1396 breast cancer bone metastases (Figure 8).

1397 In order to increase glucose uptake, cancer cells upregulate glucose transporters, notably glucose  
1398 transporter 1 (GLUT1), phosphoglycerate kinase and lactate dehydrogenase A (133). These enzymes  
1399 of the glycolytic pathway, including phosphoglycerate kinase and PPP-associated proteins, such as 6-  
1400 phosphogluconolactonase, were observed to be highly expressed in osteotropic breast cancer cells and  
1401 bone metastases from patients with breast cancer (48). Moreover, osteotropic MDA-MB-231 breast  
1402 cancer cells produce large amounts of lactate, compared to non-osteotropic ones (197). Lactate is  
1403 released from MDA-MB-231 cells by monocarboxylate transporter 4 (MCT4) and uptaken by osteoclasts  
1404 through the transporter MCT1, which then fuels their oxidative metabolism and promotes osteoclast-  
1405 mediated bone resorption (197) (Figure 7). These experimental findings are supported by  
1406 immunohistochemical analysis of a small number of human breast cancer bone metastasis specimens

1407 (n = 4) showing a strong staining for MCT4 in tumor cells at the bone metastatic site (197). In prostate  
1408 cancer bone metastasis, bone marrow adipocytes promote aerobic glycolysis in tumor cells *in vitro* and  
1409 *in vivo* by up-regulating *HIF-1 $\alpha$*  (87). In turn, HIF-1 $\alpha$  stimulates the expression of proteins involved in  
1410 glucose uptake, such as GLUT1, and glycolytic genes, such as phosphoglycerate kinase and lactate  
1411 dehydrogenase A (87). Thus, aerobic glycolysis seems to be key in supporting skeletal tumor growth  
1412 and osteolysis, at least experimentally. This metabolic adaptation system of cancer cells in bone also  
1413 occurs in breast cancer patients with bone metastasis (262), as judged by FDG-PET (Figure 8).  
1414 Although some clinical studies suggest that FDG has a higher sensitivity for detecting bone metastasis  
1415 than primary lesions in prostate cancer, FDG remains however of limited use in this cancer type when  
1416 compared to imaging agent <sup>18</sup>F-fluorocholine (FCH), thereby suggesting prostate cancer cells also use  
1417 nonglucose metabolic pathways to thrive in colonized organs (352).

1418       Beside aerobic glycolysis, cancer cells in bone can use additional sources of energy. In the  
1419 previous section we have discussed the contribution of bone marrow adipocytes, which can provide free  
1420 fatty acids as an energy source for tumor cell survival and growth. In addition, the cell membrane  
1421 phospholipid choline can be abnormally metabolized and internalized in tumor cells overexpressing  
1422 choline kinase (352). This abnormal regulation of the phospholipid metabolism has been observed *in*  
1423 *situ* in cancer cells metastatic to bone (290, 348), as visualized by FCH-PET scanning of prostate  
1424 cancer bone metastases (Figure 8). Autophagy could be another potential source of energy for tumor  
1425 cells in bone (233). For example, the small-GTPase Rab5a and Runx2 stimulate autophagosome  
1426 trafficking in human osteotropic metastatic breast cancer cells (224, 324). However, further studies are  
1427 clearly needed to understand how autophagy facilitates bone metastasis formation. Of note, cancer  
1428 cells transport a significant portion of glucose-derived pyruvate into mitochondria where it serves as an  
1429 anaplerotic substrate to replenish tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle intermediates used for the biosynthesis  
1430 of fatty acids and cholesterol as well as protein acetylation (1) (Figure 7). In this respect, there is  
1431 experimental evidence that this mitochondrial metabolism can be used as a source of energy for

1432 prostate cancer cells in bone (331). High levels of cholesterol in human prostate cancer bone  
1433 metastasis specimens were observed, compared to normal bone (331). In addition,  
1434 immunohistochemistry shows intense staining of the low-density lipoprotein receptor and variable levels  
1435 of the scavenger receptor class B type 1 and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme reductase in  
1436 prostate cancer cells that are metastatic to bone, thereby indicating possibilities for influx and *de novo*  
1437 synthesis of cholesterol (331).

1438 Taken together, these studies provide evidence that aerobic glycolysis and/or abnormal  
1439 phospholipid and mitochondrial metabolism in tumor cells may contribute to skeletal tumor burden and  
1440 bone destruction *in vivo*.

1441

#### 1442 Reprogramming energy metabolism: facts & open questions

- 1443 • There is substantial evidence from model systems and human studies that energy metabolism  
1444 is disrupted to favour glycolysis in breast cancer bone metastases. However, further work is  
1445 required to validate the importance of aerobic glycolysis in other model systems of cancer and  
1446 bone metastasis, and in patients with advanced cancer and bone metastasis other than breast  
1447 cancer.
- 1448 • The importance of other mechanisms (autophagy, increased cholesterol synthesis) and the  
1449 potential for therapeutic targeting of energy metabolism to inhibit bone metastasis needs to be  
1450 established in model systems in order to determine the implications for human disease.

1451

### 1452 **IX. BLOCKING BONE DECONSTRUCTION - CURRENT THERAPIES FOR THE** 1453 **TREATMENT OF BONE METASTASIS**

1454 In general, the treatment of bone metastases is aimed at palliating morbidity associated with  
1455 skeletal lesions. It cures only rarely (*e.g.*, in lymphoma) and treatment varies depending on the tumor  
1456 type. The treatment of bone metastasis includes external beam radiotherapy, systemic therapy with

1457 cytotoxic antineoplastic drugs (chemotherapy) and endocrine agents, targeted therapies and targeted  
1458 radionuclide therapy. In addition, orthopedic intervention may be necessary for impending  
1459 pathological fractures. Optimal management of skeletal metastases requires a multimodality approach  
1460 that involves the combined expertise of medical and radiation oncologists, interventional radiologists,  
1461 nuclear medicine and orthopedic oncologists, general physicians, palliative medicine specialists and the  
1462 symptom control team (69, 72). Treatment decisions depend on whether the bone disease is localized  
1463 or widespread, the presence or absence of extra-skeletal metastases, and the nature of the underlying  
1464 malignancy. Systemic therapy for bone metastases can be directed against the tumor cell to reduce  
1465 both cell proliferation and, in consequence, the production of cytokines and growth factors influencing  
1466 bone cell function. Alternatively, systemic treatment is directed toward blocking the effect of these  
1467 substances on host cells. Chemotherapy, biologically targeted agents, and endocrine treatments have  
1468 direct antitumor effects, whereas bone targeted agents (BTA) such as the bisphosphonates and  
1469 denosumab are effective by preventing host cells (primarily osteoclasts) from reacting to tumor  
1470 products.

1471 In the past two decades BTA have become established as a valuable additional approach to the  
1472 range of current treatments (60, 345). Biochemical data indicate that osteoclast-mediated bone  
1473 resorption is of importance not only in osteolytic bone metastases such as in breast and lung cancer but  
1474 also in prostate cancer osteoblastic lesions, with values of resorption markers in the latter at least as  
1475 high as those seen in breast cancer and other solid tumors (*see section X for further discussion*). As a  
1476 result, the osteoclast is a key therapeutic target for skeletal metastases irrespective of the tissue of  
1477 origin.

1478 BTA provide an additional treatment approach for the relief of bone pain across a range of tumor  
1479 types, with effects that seem to be independent of the nature of the underlying tumor or radiographic  
1480 appearance of metastases (367).

1481 Approved BTA for use in oncology include the bisphosphonates, the RANK ligand inhibitor  
1482 denosumab and bone seeking radionuclides including radium-223, strontium-89 and samarium-153.

1483 As our understanding of the signaling mechanisms between bone cells and tumor cells increases,  
1484 several new, targeted agents have entered clinical development. These agents include inhibitors of  
1485 cathepsin K and Src kinase (both key regulators of osteoclast function), mammalian target of rapamycin  
1486 (mTOR) inhibitors such as everolimus, endothelin antagonists such as atrasentan, several agents  
1487 targeting TGF beta and various anabolic agents including inhibitors of the WNT signaling pathway.

1488 Below, we describe the progress and future directions of existing bone-targeted therapies and  
1489 report emerging therapies that have arisen from advances in our understanding of the biology of bone  
1490 metastases (Figure 9).

1491

## 1492 **A. Inhibiting Bone Resorption by Targeting Osteoclasts**

### 1493 *1. Bisphosphonates*

1494 The bisphosphonates are pyrophosphate analogs, characterized by a P-C-P-containing central  
1495 structure rather than the P-O-P of pyrophosphate and a variable R' chain that determines the relative  
1496 potency, adverse effects, and precise mechanism of action (58). The P-C-P backbone renders  
1497 bisphosphonates resistant to phosphatase activity and promotes binding to the mineralized bone matrix.  
1498 The absorption of oral bisphosphonates from the gut is poor, variable, and dramatically inhibited by food  
1499 intake. After intravenous administration of a bisphosphonate, the kidney rapidly excretes approximately  
1500 25% to 40% of the absorbed dose and the remainder binds avidly to exposed bone around resorbing  
1501 osteoclasts, leading to high local concentrations of bisphosphonate in the resorption lacunae.

1502 During bone resorption, bisphosphonates are internalized by the osteoclast, where they cause  
1503 disruption of several biochemical processes involved in osteoclast function, ultimately leading to  
1504 apoptotic cell death. Nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates inhibit farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP)  
1505 synthase within the mevalonate pathway that is responsible for events that catalyse post-translational

1506 modification of a number of proteins, including the small guanosine triphosphatases such as Ras and  
1507 Rho (58). Bisphosphonates that do not contain nitrogen, such as clodronate, induce osteoclast  
1508 apoptosis through the generation of cytotoxic adenosine triphosphate analogs. The biological half-life of  
1509 bisphosphonates is long with effects after a single dose still detectable several years later (34, 58).

1510 Based on the results of large randomized controlled trials (see below), BTA have become the  
1511 standard of care for the treatment and prevention of skeletal complications associated with bone  
1512 metastases in patients with solid tumors (60, 345). The primary end point of these studies was the  
1513 influence of bone-targeted treatment on the number of patients experiencing SREs, as well as the time  
1514 to the first SRE and the rate of SREs as determined by either a simple annual rate or more complex  
1515 multiple event analysis techniques.

1516

#### 1517 *Bisphosphonates for metastatic bone disease*

1518 The greatest experience with BTAs from the use of bisphosphonates in the management of bone  
1519 metastases from breast cancer, where the value of the agents is undisputed. Placebo controlled  
1520 randomized trials have shown that both the oral agents, clodronate and ibandronate, and the  
1521 intravenous formulations of pamidronate, ibandronate and zoledronic acid all have useful clinical  
1522 efficacy.

1523 Pamidronate was the first intravenous bisphosphonate to be systematically evaluated and has  
1524 clinically important efficacy on skeletal morbidity, quality of life, pain and analgesic use in patients with  
1525 breast cancer (153, 207). Zoledronic acid is the most potent bisphosphonate available and has been the  
1526 bisphosphonate of choice in most clinical settings and health care systems around the world for more  
1527 than a decade. In a placebo-controlled trial of zoledronic acid, the percentage of patients with at least  
1528 one SRE after one year was significantly reduced from 50% in the placebo group to 30% with zoledronic  
1529 acid ( $P = .003$ ) (179). In comparison with placebo, zoledronic acid also significantly delayed the time to  
1530 first SRE and reduced the overall risk of SREs by 41% (179). Zoledronic acid is somewhat more

1531 effective than pamidronate and oral ibandronate in preventing SREs (9, 278). In a multiple event  
1532 analysis, zoledronic acid reduced the risk of developing skeletal complications by an additional 20%  
1533 compared with pamidronate ( $P = .025$ ) (277). In a randomized comparison of oral ibandronate and  
1534 intravenous zoledronic acid, the two agents had broadly similar activity although ibandronate did not  
1535 meet the strict statistical criteria for non-inferiority defined in the study protocol (9).

1536 Bisphosphonates have been shown to reduce bone pain and biochemical markers of bone  
1537 resorption in patients with osteoblastic bone lesions that are associated with advanced prostate cancer.  
1538 Despite somewhat disappointing preliminary results with other bisphosphonates, zoledronic acid was  
1539 investigated in patients with CRPC and bone metastases and showed that the increased potency of this  
1540 compound translated into improved clinical benefit (283). Treatment with zoledronic acid reduced the  
1541 overall risk of skeletal complications by 36% and extended the time to first skeletal complication by  
1542 more than 4 months. Bone pain was also reduced at all time points (283).

1543 The pathophysiology of bone metastases is broadly similar in all tumor types, and BTA could thus  
1544 be expected to be of value in preventing skeletal morbidity across the range of tumors involving the  
1545 skeleton, especially if metastatic bone disease was a patient's dominant site of disease. As part of the  
1546 development program for zoledronic acid, a phase-III randomized, placebo-controlled trial was  
1547 performed in patients with bone metastases from a wide range of solid tumours other than breast or  
1548 prostate cancer; more than half of the persons recruited had lung cancer (279, 280). Zoledronic acid  
1549 significantly reduced the proportion of patients with at least one SRE, almost doubled the time to the  
1550 first SRE compared with placebo and reduced the overall risk for SRE(s) by about 30% compared with  
1551 placebo.

1552 There remains uncertainty regarding the most appropriate duration and schedule of treatment.  
1553 Bone targeted therapy should certainly not be stopped following the development of a first skeletal  
1554 related event whilst on treatment; this should not be considered a failure of treatment, as the trials  
1555 demonstrate a significant reduction in second and subsequent complications with continued treatment.



1556           Several trials have investigated the schedule of bisphosphonate treatment and suggested that  
1557 the efficacy of 3 monthly and monthly administration of zoledronic acid is similar. For example, the  
1558 CALGB 70604 (Alliance) trial, randomized patients with bone metastases from a range of different  
1559 primary tumor types to zoledronic acid on a monthly or three-monthly schedule from the outset of  
1560 treatment for two years (145). This study demonstrated non-inferiority of less frequent administration; in  
1561 both arms, 29% of patients developed  $\geq 1$  SRE and suggests that three monthly administration of  
1562 zoledronic acid is a reasonable choice for most patients (145).

1563

1564

1565 *Bisphosphonates for prevention of cancer treatment induced bone loss*

1566           There are now increasing numbers of long-term survivors from cancer who have received  
1567 combination chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and hormonal cancer treatments. Many of these survivors are  
1568 at increased risk of osteoporosis, largely because of the endocrine changes induced by treatment.  
1569 Cancer treatment-induced bone loss (CTIBL) is a particularly important long-term problem for women  
1570 with breast cancer and men receiving androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). For example, the fracture  
1571 incidence in women with breast cancer on an aromatase inhibitor was found to be around 18–20% after  
1572 5 years follow-up suggesting that about one in five women on an aromatase inhibitor without a bone  
1573 protective agent will sustain a fracture (121).

1574           More than half of cases of prostate cancer occur in men over the age of 70 and thus many men  
1575 with prostate cancer are at risk of osteoporosis, exacerbated by the ADT many will receive as treatment  
1576 for their cancer. ADT reduces serum concentrations of testosterone to less than 5% of normal level and  
1577 estrogen to less than 20% of normal level with consequent adverse effects on bone turnover and an  
1578 increase in fracture rate, as clearly demonstrated by large retrospective epidemiological studies (296).  
1579 In addition, ADT affects muscle mass, making falls more likely.

1580           The effects of both bisphosphonates and denosumab (*see next section*) on CTIBL have been

1581 studied in multiple randomized clinical trials. These studies have used dosing regimens that are similar,  
1582 but not necessarily identical to those used for the treatment of age-related osteoporosis. In breast  
1583 cancer, zoledronic acid is the most comprehensively studied bisphosphonate. In premenopausal  
1584 women, zoledronic acid (4 mg IV every 6 months) prevented the bone loss associated with ovarian  
1585 function suppression (OFS) and tamoxifen or an aromatase inhibitor whereas in the control group  
1586 reductions in BMD at 3 years were around 5% and 10% with OFS plus tamoxifen and anastrozole  
1587 respectively (123). In postmenopausal women, three companion trials (Z-FAST, ZO-FAST, E-ZO-FAST)  
1588 compared the efficacy of a similar dosing schedule of zoledronic acid given either in conjunction with  
1589 initiation of an aromatase inhibitor (immediate group), or if required due to a decline in BMD during  
1590 adjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy to a T-score < -2.0 at any site or a non-traumatic fracture (delayed  
1591 group). At 5 years all three trials reported similar results with 7-9% and 4-6% differences in lumbar spine  
1592 and hip BMD respectively between the two treatment arms in favor of zoledronic acid (38, 62, 209).  
1593 None of these studies were designed to show a significant difference in fracture incidence between the  
1594 treatment arms. Nevertheless, the BMD effects are similar to those seen in trials performed in  
1595 postmenopausal osteoporosis in which bisphosphonates confer a relative risk reduction (RRR) of 45%  
1596 for vertebral fractures and approximately 16% RRR for non-vertebral fractures (94).

1597 Several other randomized clinical trials have investigated the efficacy of oral bisphosphonates for  
1598 preventing CTIBL in breast cancer (127). The numbers of patients included in each study is somewhat  
1599 less than for the zoledronic acid studies and thus, unlike for other forms of osteoporosis, the evidence  
1600 for efficacy of oral bisphosphonates in this specific setting is less robust. Indirect cross trial comparisons  
1601 suggest the increases in BMD with oral regimens are somewhat less than with zoledronic acid or  
1602 denosumab, especially in younger women receiving ovarian function suppression (OFS) or experiencing  
1603 chemotherapy induced menopause. Again, none of the trials with oral agents were designed to assess  
1604 reliably the impact of oral bisphosphonates on fracture risk.

1605 Alendronate, risedronate, pamidronate, and zoledronic acid have all been shown to prevent loss

1606 in BMD in patients with prostate cancer but the studies have been small and, while the preservation of  
1607 BMD would argue for a favorable effect on fractures, the magnitude of effect cannot be reliably  
1608 assessed (144).

1609 Overall, current guidelines for preventing CTIBL suggest that patients having adjuvant endocrine  
1610 treatment should be managed according to risk of fracture (60, 127). Patients with a T-score of greater  
1611 than -2 and no additional risk factors for fracture are advised to exercise and receive calcium and  
1612 vitamin D, with risks and BMD monitored every one-two years. If the T-score is less than -2, or there are  
1613 two or more risk factors for fracture, patients should receive the same advice and supplements plus a  
1614 bisphosphonate or denosumab (*see next section*). Guidelines recommend continuing anti-resorptive  
1615 therapy for as long as the patient is receiving endocrine treatment.

1616

#### 1617 *Disease modifying effects of bisphosphonate treatments*

1618 The potential benefits of bone-targeted treatments on the clinical course of breast cancer in terms  
1619 of prevention of recurrence and death from breast cancer have been an area of intense study over the  
1620 past 20 years. In breast cancer patients with no sign of distant metastases, but having a minimal  
1621 residual disease in the bone marrow, CTIBL leads to the release of bone-derived growth factors from  
1622 resorbed bone that, in turn, may activate DTCs from a dormant to a proliferative state and trigger bone  
1623 relapses. Of note, adjuvant zoledronic acid treatment of patients with early breast cancer improves  
1624 elimination of DTCs (307). Bisphosphonates exert, at least experimentally, a variety of direct and  
1625 indirect anticancer activities (58, 312). Moreover, bisphosphonates, by decreasing bone resorption, may  
1626 also make the bone microenvironment less hospitable for tumor cells, thereby explaining the elimination  
1627 of DTCs. These findings suggested a greater role for the use of bisphosphonates than has previously  
1628 been considered. Individual trials provided varying results that suggested benefits were restricted to  
1629 women who had low levels of reproductive hormones due to either natural age-related menopause or  
1630 ovarian function suppression. This hypothesis was confirmed by the Early Breast Cancer Trialists'

1631 Collaborative Group (EBCTCG) meta-analysis of individual patient data from >18,000 breast cancer  
1632 patients included in randomized trials of adjuvant bisphosphonates. The meta-analysis showed that  
1633 adjuvant bisphosphonates (intravenous zoledronic acid, oral clodronate and oral ibandronate) only  
1634 reduced breast cancer recurrences and breast cancer deaths in postmenopausal women (93). Overall,  
1635 across all age and menopausal groups, despite a reduction in bone metastases, adjuvant use of a  
1636 bisphosphonate had no significant effect on breast cancer recurrence (rate ratio (RR)=0.94) and the  
1637 effect on breast cancer mortality, though statistically significant, was small (RR=0.91) (93). However, in  
1638 postmenopausal women or those receiving ovarian suppression with goserelin, clinically important  
1639 benefits were seen with statistically significant improvements in overall breast cancer recurrence  
1640 (RR=0.86), distant recurrence at any site (RR=0.82), bone recurrence (RR=0.72) and breast cancer-  
1641 specific mortality (RR=0.82) (123). This equates to prevention of more than 1 in 6 breast cancer deaths  
1642 at 10 years. Several international guidelines now recommend the use of adjuvant bisphosphonates in  
1643 postmenopausal early breast cancer, especially for those at moderate to high for recurrence (86, 128).

1644         Understanding why the benefits of adjuvant bisphosphonates appear restricted to  
1645 postmenopausal women is a priority area for further research. There does not appear to be a link  
1646 between bone resorption rates and treatment efficacy (33). On the other hand, more detailed evaluation  
1647 on the primary tumor may help identify patients who will benefit from an adjuvant bisphosphonate. For  
1648 example, a study demonstrated that patients with a MAF negative tumour (79% of all patients),  
1649 evaluated using a FISH assay for the transcription factor, had significantly improved survival at 10 years  
1650 and a lower relapse rate with the use of adjuvant zoledronic acid (64). On the other hand, in the 21% of  
1651 women with tumors that over-express MAF no benefits were seen in this subset of patients treated with  
1652 an adjuvant bisphosphonate and, in younger patients, disease outcomes were significantly worse (64).

1653         For reasons that remain unclear, the disease modifying effects of bisphosphonates in  
1654 postmenopausal breast cancer have not been seen in men with prostate cancer treated with ADT. In the  
1655 randomized controlled STAMPEDE trial, the addition of zoledronic acid alone or in combination with

1656 docetaxel chemotherapy to ADT for men with advanced prostate cancer did not improve survival,  
1657 despite extending the time to first skeletal complication (157). By contrast, docetaxel showed evidence  
1658 of survival improvement when combined to ADT (157).

1659

## 1660 2. *Anti-RANKL antibody: Denosumab*

1661 Therapeutic candidates to inhibit RANK/RANKL interaction were developed. Fusion proteins were  
1662 initially engineered. These are recombinant proteins comprising the Fc portion of human IgG1 fused with  
1663 the N-terminal ligand binding cysteine-rich domain (CRD) of OPG (OPG-Fc/AMGN-007 and Fc-OPG) or the  
1664 four extracellular CRDs of RANK (RANK-Fc) (183). Both Fc-OPG and RANK-Fc potently inhibit bone  
1665 resorption in preclinical models of osteoporosis and of cancer and bone metastasis (183, 312). However,  
1666 following repeat dosing of human RANK-Fc in primates, autoantibodies were detected (183). This  
1667 highlighted the potential risk of an immune response against endogenous RANK or OPG in patients, when  
1668 using RANK-Fc or AMGN-007, respectively. An anti-RANKL antibody approach was therefore preferred,  
1669 which led to the development of denosumab.

1670 Denosumab is a fully human, synthetic antibody that binds to RANKL with high affinity, thereby  
1671 preventing its interaction with RANK in a way similar to that of OPG (183). Denosumab is administered  
1672 by subcutaneous injection. The biological half-life of denosumab is only weeks compared to the months  
1673 or years seen with bisphosphonates (34). Rebound osteolysis may occur following discontinuation of  
1674 denosumab with accelerated bone loss and, in a few patients, an increased incidence of vertebral  
1675 fractures 12-36 months after treatment cessation (334).

1676

### 1677 *Denosumab for metastatic bone disease*

1678 Denosumab has been shown to be superior to zoledronic acid for the prevention of SREs from  
1679 breast cancer. 2046 patients were randomly assigned to receive four weekly subcutaneous injections of  
1680 denosumab (120 mg) or intravenous zoledronic acid (4 mg), with supplements of calcium and vitamin D.

1681 Denosumab was statistically superior to zoledronic acid in delaying the first SRE (315). Overall,  
1682 denosumab treatment delayed the occurrence of all types of SREs. However, no differences in survival  
1683 or investigator-reported disease progression were found between the two treatment groups.

1684 Denosumab was investigated in patients with CRPC and bone metastases and showed that in a  
1685 randomized trial versus zoledronic acid, this compound was statistically superior to the bisphosphonate  
1686 in delaying the first SRE and the overall risk of SREs (105).

1687 Denosumab has also been studied in advanced solid tumors other than breast and prostate  
1688 cancers. Non-inferiority to zoledronic acid was demonstrated with a trend to better control of skeletal  
1689 morbidity (139).

1690

1691 *Denosumab for prevention of cancer treatment induced bone loss*

1692 Denosumab is the only agent to have a specific license for CTIBL following large randomised  
1693 trials in postmenopausal women with breast cancer receiving an aromatase inhibitor and in men with  
1694 prostate cancer receiving ADT (121, 305). In both studies, fracture incidence was the primary endpoint.  
1695 The ABCSG-18 trial compared adjuvant denosumab (60 mg by subcutaneous injection given twice a  
1696 year) with placebo (both with calcium and Vitamin D supplements) in 3425 postmenopausal women  
1697 receiving adjuvant aromatase inhibitor treatment (121). Women treated with denosumab had a 50%  
1698 (95% CI 39–65%,  $p < 0.0001$ ) risk reduction for any clinical fracture. The fracture risk reduction appeared  
1699 to be irrespective of age and baseline BMD. Furthermore, the disease-free survival (secondary  
1700 endpoint) was significantly improved in the denosumab group (HR=0.82, 95% CI 0.69 – 0.98,  $p = 0.026$ )  
1701 compared to placebo group (122). In a placebo-controlled trial of denosumab in 1468 men receiving  
1702 ADT for non-metastatic prostate cancer, 36 months of denosumab treatment was associated with a  
1703 significantly reduced incidence of new vertebral fractures (1.5% with denosumab *vs.* 3.9% with placebo;  
1704 relative risk [RR] 0.38; 95% CI 0.19-0.78). BMD increased from baseline at all sites in the denosumab  
1705 group, whereas it declined in the placebo group (305).

1706 As aforementioned for bisphosphonates, current guidelines for preventing CTIBL recommend  
1707 continuing anti-resorptive therapy for as long as the patient is receiving endocrine treatment. Patients  
1708 treated with denosumab may need additional bone protection with a bisphosphonate when denosumab  
1709 is discontinued to prevent rebound osteolysis and the increased risk of multiple vertebral fractures  
1710 associated with treatment withdrawal (334).

1711

#### 1712 *Disease modifying effects of denosumab treatment*

1713 The disease modifying effects of denosumab have also been assessed in early breast cancer but  
1714 this agent, at least when given in the intensive schedule selected in the adjuvant D-CARE study, had no  
1715 effect on disease recurrence in either pre- or postmenopausal women (63). The osteoporosis schedule  
1716 of denosumab has a beneficial effect on the underlying disease, as observed in the ABCSG-18 study  
1717 (121,122). However, no survival benefits have been yet seen and the agent is therefore only  
1718 recommended for fracture prevention (122). Of note, the presence of RANK-positive CTCs in the  
1719 bloodstream of metastatic breast cancer patients (n = 20/42) is associated with a better response to  
1720 denosumab therapy with respect to time to first SRE [HR=0.25 (0.1 – 10.62), P = 0.0012)], compared to  
1721 metastatic patients with RANK-negative CTCs (n = 22/42) (254). It would be interesting to determine if  
1722 RANK expression in CTCs could help identify high-risk early-stage breast cancer patients who might  
1723 benefit from adjuvant denosumab. Similarly, a *post-hoc* analysis of the D-CARE trial will be conducted  
1724 to determine if the expression of transcription factor MAF in primary tumors will help clarify the potential  
1725 anticancer mechanism of denosumab (122) (*see section X-C for further discussion*).

1726 Denosumab may have some disease modifying effects in prostate cancer: 1432 men with non-  
1727 metastatic CRPC who were at high risk for bone metastasis by virtue of either a PSA of  $\geq 8.0$  ng/mL  
1728 and/or PSA doubling time  $\leq 10.0$  months were randomized to receive monthly denosumab, 120 mg, or  
1729 placebo in addition to continuation of ADT. Denosumab significantly increased bone metastasis-free  
1730 survival by a median of 4.2 months compared with placebo and delayed the time to symptomatic first

1731 bone metastases (306). However, this effect on the disease process did not translate into an  
1732 improvement in overall survival and, in light of the relatively high cumulative incidence of ONJ (4% at 3  
1733 years), the marginal benefits were not considered sufficient to change clinical practice.

1734 The RANK/RANKL pathway has been shown to play a crucial role in the initiation and  
1735 progression of inherited breast cancer caused by mutation in the tumor-suppressor gene breast cancer  
1736 1 (*BRCA1*) (269). *BRCA1* mutation carriers have a greater propensity to generate cancer stem cells,  
1737 whose expansion is RANKL/RANK dependent, and denosumab inhibits the expansion of these cancer  
1738 stem cells *in vitro* (269). Therefore, these findings strongly suggest that targeting the RANK/RANKL  
1739 pathway could be beneficial for the prevention of breast cancer in *BRCA1* mutation carriers. Two pilot  
1740 studies are currently evaluating the biological effects of denosumab on Ki67 proliferation index (primary  
1741 endpoint) in normal breast and fallopian tube fimbrial tissues from *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation carriers  
1742 (ACTRN12614000694617 and ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03382574 studies). Furthermore, a randomized,  
1743 double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center, international phase 3 study will determine if denosumab  
1744 can prevent breast cancer development in women carrying a *BRCA1* germline mutation (ABCSG-50,  
1745 EudraCT number 2017-002505-35; estimated number of subjects to be enrolled in the study: 2,918).

1746

### 1747 3. *Novel antiresorptive agents*

#### 1748 *LGR4/RANKL and small-molecule RANKL inhibitors*

1749 The leucine-rich repeat-containing G-protein-coupled receptor 4 (LGR4) has recently been  
1750 identified as a RANKL receptor that negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation (215). LGR4  
1751 competes with RANK to bind RANKL and suppresses canonical RANK signaling during osteoclast  
1752 differentiation (215). A soluble LGR4 extracellular domain (ECD), which binds to RANKL, was examined  
1753 in animal models of osteoporosis. LGR4-ECD notably increased bone mass and inhibits osteoclast  
1754 differentiation *in vivo* (215). Interestingly, LGR4-ECD had little physiological effect on osteoclast



1755 differentiation in normal mice, which suggests that LGR4-ECD could antagonize excessive RANKL in  
1756 benign and malignant osteoclast-related diseases with few side effects.

1757 The efficacy of a small-molecule RANKL inhibitor (AS2676293) has been tested in an animal  
1758 model of bone metastasis (238). Oral administration of AS2676293 to animals inhibits formation of  
1759 osteolytic lesions caused by MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. *In vitro*, AS2676293 inhibits  
1760 osteoclastogenesis.

1761 These antiresorptive agents are still at a preclinical stage of development.

#### 1762 *Cathepsin K inhibitors*

1763 Cathepsin K is a lysosomal cysteine protease highly expressed in osteoclasts, which degrades  
1764 collagen during bone resorption. Cathepsin K inhibitors (AFG-495, L-235) reduce bone destruction and  
1765 skeletal tumor burden in animal models of breast cancer bone metastasis (92, 196), providing a direct  
1766 proof for causal role of cathepsin K in bone metastasis formation. Additionally, metastatic tumor cells in  
1767 bone express cathepsin K and AFG-495 dose-dependently inhibits breast cancer cell invasion *in vitro*,  
1768 but not tumor growth *in vivo*. These results suggest that cathepsin K inhibitors in the treatment of bone  
1769 metastasis could potentially have a dual effect, inhibiting both osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and,  
1770 to a less extent, tumor burden (196). Interestingly, a phase II trial in women with breast cancer and bone  
1771 metastases showed that cathepsin K inhibitor odanacatib (which is structurally related to L-235)  
1772 successfully reduced circulating levels of bone resorption markers after 4 weeks of treatment (160).  
1773 Similarly, a phase-II trial in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis showed that odanacatib therapy  
1774 was effective at inhibiting bone resorption and increasing bone mineral density (186). A large phase III  
1775 trial, Long-Term Odanacatib Fracture Trial (LOFT), enrolling 16,713 participants with osteoporosis from  
1776 387 centres was therefore conducted (24). However, development of the agent has been discontinued  
1777 due to possible cardiovascular adverse events. A phase III trial assessing the efficacy of odanacatib in

1778 reducing the risk of bone metastasis in women with breast cancer (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier  
1779 NCT00692458) has also been withdrawn for undisclosed commercial reasons.

1780

1781 *Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors*

1782 In bone physiology, RANKL and M-CSF promote osteoclast survival by signalling through  
1783 mTOR (19). Rapamycin and everolimus (a rapamycin analog) are both mTOR inhibitors that block  
1784 osteoclast differentiation *in vitro* and suppress bone resorption in an animal model of bone loss caused  
1785 by ovariectomy (19, 36). In addition to their antiresorptive effects, mTOR inhibitors exhibit anti-cancer  
1786 effects. Everolimus and temsirolimus were the first mTOR inhibitors to be approved in the treatment of  
1787 advanced breast and renal cell cancers. Interestingly, everolimus and temsirolimus inhibit skeletal tumor  
1788 burden and osteolysis in animal models of bone metastasis caused by breast and renal cell carcinoma,  
1789 respectively (36, 343). Everolimus combined with aromatase inhibitor exemestane has been approved  
1790 for patients with advanced hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative breast cancer who progress on  
1791 prior nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor therapy with either letrozole or anastrozole (BOLERO-2 study). In  
1792 this study, the progression-free survival was significantly improved in the everolimus plus exemestane  
1793 arm, compared to the placebo plus exemestane arm (11). Because exemestane is known to increase  
1794 bone turnover, an exploratory analysis in the BOLERO-2 study has been conducted in patients with or  
1795 without bone-only disease (120, 152). Compared to placebo plus exemestane, everolimus plus  
1796 exemestane increased the median progression-free survival (5.3 and 12.9 months, respectively),  
1797 regardless of bisphosphonate use and presence of bone metastases at baseline, indicating a 67%  
1798 reduction in the risk of progression (120, 152). In addition, bone marker levels increased with  
1799 exemestane monotherapy, but decreased when used in combination therapy with everolimus (120).  
1800 Overall, these results suggest that everolimus plus exemestane decreases disease progression in the  
1801 bone, probably by suppressing increased bone turnover observed with exemestane monotherapy in  
1802 addition to the greater antitumor effects of the combination.

1803 *Src non-receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors*

1804 Src is one of eleven members of a family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases that interact with  
1805 several protein-tyrosine kinase receptors, G-protein-coupled receptors and integrins, which are  
1806 expressed at the plasma membrane (281). c-Src plays multiple roles in regulating cell proliferation,  
1807 survival, adhesion, migration, invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis (281). Although Src is  
1808 ubiquitously expressed in vertebrate cells, much higher protein levels are found in osteoclasts, platelets  
1809 and neurons than most other cells. Of note, the most noticeable phenotype of Src knock-out mice is  
1810 osteopetrosis (enhanced bone mass) as a result of osteoclast dysfunction (281). In fact, following  
1811 integrin  $\alpha v \beta 3$  activation, Src phosphorylation regulates the organization of osteoclast's actin  
1812 cytoskeleton, which enables the osteoclast to attach and spread to bone and optimally resorb bone.  
1813 Additionally, following RANK/RANKL interaction, Src activation triggers signaling through the  
1814 PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway and promotes osteoclast survival (312). As exemplified in experimental bone  
1815 metastasis, the injection of cancer cells in Src knock-out mice shows that these animals are protected  
1816 from tumor-associated bone destruction because Src-defective osteoclasts do not resorb bone (361).  
1817 Thus, Src plays a central role in osteoclast function. In addition, elevated expression and activity of c-  
1818 Src have been reported in a variety of cancers. Three Src inhibitors (dasatinib, saracatinib and  
1819 bosutinib) underwent clinical studies in patients with cancer and (bone) metastases (312). To date  
1820 clinical development has yielded disappointing results in the setting of solid tumors and bone  
1821 metastases.

1822 *RON receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor.*

1823 RON is a receptor tyrosine kinase receptor expressed by osteoclasts (4). Tumor-derived MSP  
1824 promotes the formation of osteolytic lesions in an animal model of breast cancer bone metastasis,  
1825 whose extent is inhibited upon treatment of metastatic animals with RON tyrosine kinase inhibitor BMS-  
1826 777607/ASLAN002 (4). A phase-I trial in postmenopausal women with advanced cancer shows that

1827 BMS-777607/ASLAN002 reduced bone resorption after 4 weeks of treatment (ClinicalTrials.gov  
1828 identifier NCT01721148).

1829 *Dual c-MET and VEGFR2 receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (cabozantinib)*

1830 Receptor tyrosine kinases c-MET and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor 2  
1831 (VEGFR2) and their respective ligands, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and VEGF, are expressed by  
1832 both osteoblasts and osteoclasts, enabling the activation of autocrine and paracrine HGF/c-MET and  
1833 VEGF/VEGFR2 signaling pathways for the regulation of osteoblast and osteoclast activities (194).  
1834 Additionally, c-MET and VEGFR signaling facilitates tumor progression through the activation of multiple  
1835 pathways (PI3K/AKT/mTOR, SRC, MAPKinases) (194). Dual tyrosine kinase inhibitors that target both  
1836 VEGFR2 and c-MET (cabozantinib and TAS-115) were therefore developed and investigated in pre-  
1837 clinical and clinical settings (194).

1838 Cabozantinib inhibits human osteoclast differentiation and osteoclast-mediated bone resorption  
1839 and increases OPG production by human osteoblasts *in vitro* (104). In animal models of prostate  
1840 cancer, cabozantinib decreased skeletal tumor burden and formation of osteoblastic lesions, indicating  
1841 that this compound suppresses bone metastasis formation, at least in part, through inhibition of bone  
1842 remodeling (79, 192). Similarly, TAS-115 inhibits human PC-3 prostate cancer bone metastasis  
1843 formation and suppresses bone destruction (360). The effect of cabozantinib was therefore investigated  
1844 in the treatment of prostate cancer patients with bone metastasis.

1845 In phase-II studies, cabozantinib treatment of metastatic CRPC patients with bone metastases  
1846 showed a remarkable 68% rate of normalization of bone scans and suggested disease benefits with  
1847 prolongation of progression-free survival (194). However in the phase-III trial COMET-1, comparing  
1848 cabozantinib with prednisone in patients with metastatic CRPC and bone metastases following prior  
1849 treatment with docetaxel and either abiraterone or enzalutamide, although cabozantinib improved  
1850 progression-free survival and time to first SRE, it failed to improve overall survival, the primary end point  
1851 of the trial (304). In the light of these data, further development of cabozantinib in prostate cancer has

1852 been halted and a second trial (COMET-2) comparing cabozantinib with mitoxantrone plus prednisone  
1853 in a similar patient population as COMET-1 closed prematurely. It is likely that the dramatic effects on  
1854 bone scan appearances seen in the initial studies reflected the direct effects of cabozantinib on bone  
1855 cell function and skeletal blood flow rather than effects on the underlying malignant disease.

1856 Cabozantinib is approved for the treatment of patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma after  
1857 previous antiangiogenic therapy on the basis of significant improvements in progression-free survival  
1858 and overall survival when compared with everolimus (phase-3 METEOR trial). Pre-specified analyses of  
1859 progression-free survival and overall survival were conducted in a sub-group of patients with bone  
1860 metastasis from the METEOR trial (99). Compared to everolimus, cabozantinib treatment was  
1861 associated with a significant improvement of progression-free survival and overall survival in patients  
1862 with bone metastases, indicating it is a good treatment option for these patients.

#### 1863 *miR-34a mimic (MRX34)*

1864 MiR-34a is a critical suppressor of osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption by directly targeting  
1865 the pro-osteoclastic factor Tgif2 (transforming growth factor- $\beta$ -induced factor 2) (181). Its expression is  
1866 therefore downregulated during osteoclast differentiation. The pharmacological administration of a  
1867 miR-34a mimic delivered in nanoparticles (whose aim is to replenish the lost miRNA expression) can  
1868 attenuate bone metastases in animals bearing breast or skin tumours (181). A phase I, open-label,  
1869 multicenter, dose-escalation study investigated the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics  
1870 of a miR-34a mimic (MRX34) encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles, in patients with unresectable primary  
1871 liver cancer or advanced or metastatic cancer with or without liver involvement or hematologic  
1872 malignancies (15). However, the clinical trial was terminated prematurely due to cases of immune-  
1873 related serious adverse events (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01829971).

#### 1874 *BET inhibitor*

1875 The bromodomain and extraterminal (BET) protein family (BRD2, BRD3, BRD4 and BRDT) is an  
1876 important class of chromatin readers, regulating chromatin accessibility to transcription factors and RNA  
1877 polymerase. JQ1, a thienotriazolo-1,4-diazapine that binds selectively to BET bromodomain proteins,  
1878 inhibits osteoclast differentiation by interfering with BRD4-dependent RANKL activation of *NFATC1*  
1879 transcription (185). Moreover, JQ1 inhibits bone resorption in experimental models of malignant  
1880 osteolytic lesions and osteoporosis (12, 185). JQ1 is still at a preclinical stage of development.

#### 1881 *Dock5 inhibitor*

1882 Dock5 (Dedicator of cytokinesis 5), a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase  
1883 Rac, participates to the formation of the sealing zone in osteoclasts. C21, a chemical inhibitor of Dock5,  
1884 reduces osteoclast-mediated bone resorption *in vitro* and blocks bone destruction in a melanoma model  
1885 of bone metastasis *in vivo* (349). C21 is still at a preclinical stage of development.

#### 1886 *Jagged/Notch inhibitor*

1887 In bone metastasis, tumor-derived Jagged1 activate Notch signaling in osteoclast precursors,  
1888 promoting osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption (295). Tumor-derived Jagged1 also engages  
1889 Notch signaling in osteoblasts, stimulating IL-6 production. In turn, IL-6 secreted from osteoblasts  
1890 stimulates tumor growth (295). Therefore, a fully human monoclonal antibody (15D11) against Jagged-1  
1891 has been developed. 15D11 inhibits bone metastasis formation in animals and sensitizes bone  
1892 metastases to chemotherapy (390). 15D11 is still at a preclinical stage of development.

1893

## 1894 **B. Promoting Bone Formation by Targeting Osteoblasts**

### 1895 *1. Agents blocking WNT inhibitors*

1896 Anti-DKK1 (BHQ880 and DKN-01) and anti-SOST (bloszumab, BPS804 and romosozumab)  
1897 antibodies have been developed to block the inhibitory effect of Wnt antagonists DKK-1 and SOST on  
1898 osteoblast-mediated bone formation (71, 170, 227, 395). BHQ880 and DKN-01 are in phase I/II clinical

1899 trials for patients with multiple myeloma and other solid tumors, such as cholangiocarcinoma,  
1900 esophageal cancer and gastric cancer, whereas romosozumab, blosozumab and BPS804 are in phase  
1901 II/III clinical trials for osteoporosis (170). In a phase III trial romosozumab decreased the risk of vertebral  
1902 fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis (36% lower risk with romosozumab than  
1903 placebo) (71). Experimentally, an anti-SOST antibody decreased the extent of osteolytic lesions in a  
1904 mouse model of breast cancer bone metastasis and multiple myeloma (227, 395). However, up to know,  
1905 there is no clinical study investigating the effect of anti-SOST antibodies in cancer-induced bone  
1906 diseases.

1907         Of note, the inhibition of one of these two WNT inhibitors (DKK1 and SOST) may engender a  
1908 compensatory response in order to return to a steady state (106). By contrast, a bispecific antibody  
1909 targeting SOST and DKK-1 (Hetero-DS) leads to synergistic bone formation in rodents and non-human  
1910 primates (106). Thus, Hetero-DS could have a valuable role in increasing bone mass and improving  
1911 healing of lytic bone lesions associated with bone metastasis.

## 1912 2. *Endothelin-1 receptor inhibitors*

1913         Preclinical studies have uncovered a prominent role for ET-1 in the formation of osteosclerotic  
1914 lesions (276, 378). However, phase 3 trials of the inhibitors, atrasentan and zibotentan in combination  
1915 with docetaxel failed to improve overall survival in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate  
1916 cancer compared with docetaxel alone and this treatment approach seems unlikely to reach the clinic  
1917 (47, 240).

## 1918 3. *Androgen Inhibitors*

1919         Abiraterone acetate is an orally administered selective androgen biosynthesis inhibitor derived from  
1920 the structure of pregnenolone. It is an irreversible inhibitor of cytochrome CYP17A, resulting in virtually  
1921 undetectable serum and intratumoral androgen levels (244). Abiraterone was evaluated in  
1922 chemotherapy-naïve and chemotherapy-treated men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate  
1923 cancer (COU-AA-301 and COU-AA-302 trials). The data from these two phase-III trials showed that

1924 abiraterone treatment significantly improves overall survival and skeletal outcomes (delay of  
1925 symptomatic progression and reduction of time to first SRE) (116). These benefits of abiraterone  
1926 treatment on metastatic bone disease may not only be related to a systemic control of the disease but  
1927 also associated with a direct effect in the bone. Indeed, abiraterone exhibits direct bone anabolic and  
1928 anti-resorptive effects (156).

1929 Another promising inhibitor is the androgen receptor antagonist enzalutamide. Enzalutamide was  
1930 evaluated in chemotherapy-naïve and chemotherapy-treated men with metastatic castration-resistant  
1931 prostate cancer patients (13, 116). In these two phase-III trials (AFFIRM and PREVAIL), enzalutamide  
1932 significantly decreased the risk of death and improved skeletal outcomes (time to first SREs and  
1933 radiographic progression, respectively).

1934 However, despite approval of abiraterone and enzalutamide in metastatic castration-resistant  
1935 prostate cancer, virtually all patients eventually acquire secondary resistance. One plausible explanation  
1936 for resistance may involve the presence of the androgen-receptor isoform encoded by splice variant 7  
1937 (AR.V7), which lacks the ligand-binding domain, but remains constitutively active as a transcription  
1938 factor (5).

#### 1939 4. *Activin A inhibitors*

1940 Activin A binds to activin type IIA (ActRIIA) or type IIB (ActRIIB) receptors and induces the  
1941 recruitment and phosphorylation of an activin type I receptor (ActRIB), which then phosphorylates  
1942 Smad2 and Smad3 intracellular signaling proteins (312). The treatment of non-human primates with a  
1943 soluble chimeric protein composed of the extracellular domain of ActRIIA fused to human IgG-Fc  
1944 (sotatercept, formerly called ACE-011) increased bone volume by decreasing bone resorption and  
1945 increasing bone formation (212). In animal models of multiple myeloma with osteolytic lesions, the  
1946 treatment of mice with a soluble activin receptor type IIA fusion protein (ActRIIA.muFc) blocked bone  
1947 destruction (51). Specifically, ActRIIA.muFc treatment significantly stimulated osteoblastogenesis,  
1948 prevented myeloma-induced suppression of bone formation, blocked the development of osteolytic



1949 bone lesions and increased survival (51). In the clinic, sotalercept improved bone mineral density and  
1950 bone formation in multiple myeloma patients (312). These pre-clinical and clinical findings suggest that  
1951 stimulating osteoblastic bone formation to facilitate bone repair might be an alternative or additional  
1952 therapeutic approach to the use of antiresorptive agents to treat osteolytic lesions. Although higher  
1953 serum levels of activin A were reported in breast or prostate cancer patients with bone metastases,  
1954 compared with those of patients without bone metastases, there are currently no ongoing trials in breast  
1955 or prostate cancer with bone metastases (312).

1956

## 1957 C. Targeting the Bone Matrix and the Microenvironment

### 1958 1. *Bone targeted radiopharmaceuticals*

1959 The therapeutic use of radioactive-labeled tracer molecules is currently an area of considerable  
1960 interest and research. Targeted radiotherapy has potential advantages over external beam radiotherapy  
1961 in that the radiation dose may be delivered more specifically to the tumor and normal tissues may  
1962 partially be spared unnecessary irradiation (242). Theoretically, it should also be possible to administer  
1963 high doses of radiation to the tumor on a recurrent basis if necessary.

1964 The  $\alpha$ -emitting radiopharmaceutical  $^{223}$ radium dichloride (radium-223, a calcium-mimetic  
1965 radioisotope) and the  $\beta$ -emitting radiopharmaceuticals  $^{89}$ strontium (strontium-89, a calcium-mimetic  
1966 radioisotope) and ethylene diamine tetramethylene phosphonate- $^{153}$ samarium (samarium-153, a  
1967 bisphosphonate-conjugated radioisotope) bind to bone mineral and preferentially to newly formed bone  
1968 matrix, such as areas of osteosclerotic bone metastatic lesions (59, 312). These radiopharmaceuticals  
1969 emit radiation causing DNA damage and cell death. Radium-223 almost exclusively produces alpha  
1970 particles that produce a high-linear energy transfer (LET) with ultra-short penetration ( $< 100 \mu\text{m}$ ; 2-10  
1971 cell diameters) resulting in a highly localized antitumor effect on adjacent bone metastases while limiting  
1972 damage to the surrounding normal tissue (242). In contrast to radium-223, strontium-89 and samarium-

1973 153 have a low-LET with a penetration range of 3 to 8 millimetres, which results in considerably more  
1974 dose to normal tissues, notably the bone marrow and this limits the use of these agents and the ability  
1975 to combine with other treatments (59, 312).

1976 Strontium-89 and samarium-153 are approved for palliation of bone pain (103), but only  
1977 occasionally used and it is the bone-seeking, alpha particle emitting, radium-223 that is of most  
1978 relevance to current practice. Radium-223 is now approved for the treatment of bone metastases from  
1979 CRPC following a placebo-controlled randomized phase-III trial (ALSYMPCA) in which radium-223  
1980 increased the survival of patients by 3.6 months and further reduced skeletal morbidity over and above  
1981 a bisphosphonate (258). Treatment was well tolerated and improved quality of life with no significant  
1982 long-term toxicities identified (258, 348). Radium-223 has subsequently been studied earlier in the  
1983 course of metastatic prostate cancer and in combination with other therapies. However, a double-  
1984 blinded, placebo-controlled randomized phase-III trial (ERA 223; NCT02043678) investigating the  
1985 efficacy and safety of radium-223 and abiraterone *versus* abiraterone alone in chemotherapy-naïve  
1986 CRPC patients with bone metastases showed that the combination of radium-223 and abiraterone did  
1987 not improve either disease or skeletal outcomes compared with abiraterone alone. Furthermore, more  
1988 bone fractures were observed in the combined treatment arm, particularly in patients not receiving  
1989 concomitant antiresorptive agents (zoledronic acid, denosumab). In breast cancer, experimental  
1990 findings showed that radium-223 inhibits skeletal tumor burden and bone destruction in a mouse model  
1991 of breast cancer bone metastasis (319). A phase IIa study was conducted in breast cancer patients with  
1992 bone-dominant disease. Radium-223 induced metabolic changes, as judged by a 25% decrease of <sup>18</sup>F-  
1993 fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in osteosclerotic lesions using positron emission tomography and  
1994 computed tomography (59).

1995

## 1996 2. *Agents targeting nerve- or bone-derived growth factors.*

1997 The release of algogenic factors by cancer/stromal cells and osteoclasts can induce sensitization  
1998 and activation of sensory fibers that innervate the bone. Bisphosphonates and denosumab have been

1999 approved for the treatment of bone pain (100). A phase II study evaluated the safety and efficacy of the  
2000 anti-NGF antibody tanezumab in patients with painful bone metastases taking daily opioids (308). The  
2001 data are encouraging and suggest that tanezumab treatment results in sustained analgesic  
2002 improvements.

2003 The TGF $\beta$  signaling pathway plays a critical and dual role in cancer progression. Several inhibitors  
2004 of TGF $\beta$  signaling, such as neutralizing antibodies, antisense oligonucleotides, and receptor kinase  
2005 inhibitors, have been developed and shown to have inhibitory effects on bone metastases in animal  
2006 models (40, 101).

2007

#### 2008 X. THE VALUE OF BONE TURNOVER BIOMARKERS IN BONE METASTASIS

2009

2010 As previously discussed in *section I-C*, clinical presentations of bone metastases are highly  
2011 diverse, and many locations remain asymptomatic. Nowadays, plain radiography is insufficient to  
2012 correctly identify bone metastases since more than 50% of an affected bone is required to be detected.  
2013 As a consequence, bone metastases are often diagnosed at the time symptoms occur, increasing the  
2014 risk of developing SREs, which significantly impair patients' quality of life (64).

2015 In adults, the bone mass is maintained by a continuous bone remodeling, which is a balance  
2016 between osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and osteoblast-mediated bone formation (76). The  
2017 turnover between resorption and formation leads to the release of bone-derived molecules that are  
2018 amenable to measurement in blood and urine (350). Some of these molecules have been used as  
2019 biochemical biomarkers of bone turnover, reflecting ongoing rates of bone formation or bone resorption  
2020 (Table 2). Since cancer cells cause a distortion of bone turnover, several clinical studies examined  
2021 whether variations in the expression levels of these bone biomarkers in blood or urine were associated  
2022 with malignant bone disease progression (61, 90). However, at present, the high inter- and intra-  
2023 individual variability represents a limitation to the routine use of these biomarkers (90). Clinical

2024 applications of these biomarkers for the detection and monitoring of bone metastasis and response to  
2025 antiresorptive therapies have recently been reviewed (90) and are outlined in the following sections.

2026

## 2027 **A. Bone Formation Markers**

2028 Biochemical markers of bone formation include bone alkaline phosphatase (BALP), which is an  
2029 enzyme localized at the plasma membrane of osteoblasts, and procollagen I carboxyl-terminal and  
2030 amino-terminal propeptides (PICP and PINP, respectively), which are cleaved during the processing of  
2031 type I collagen (Table 2) (350). Their clinical applications in the management of malignant bone  
2032 diseases are summarized below.

### 2033 *Diagnosis of bone metastasis*

2034 In breast and prostate cancer, serum concentrations of PINP were found significantly increased in  
2035 patients with bone metastases (90). In a retrospective analysis, PINP was measured in the serum of  
2036 prostate cancer patients with different clinical outcomes (N0/M0: no metastases, N1/M0: lymph node  
2037 metastases only, and M1: bone metastases) (180). Increased PINP levels in the M1 group were  
2038 detectable 8 months before the first positive bone scintigraph (180). PICP and BALP were also  
2039 investigated in the prostate cancer setting. In particular, serum BALP concentrations significantly  
2040 correlated with the extent of bone involvement (90). Furthermore, a meta-analysis including 19 trials and  
2041 3,628 patients with solid tumors showed that serum BALP levels in patients with bone metastases were  
2042 2.9-fold higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than in patients without bone lesions (91). Another meta-analysis in lung  
2043 cancer including 16 trials and 1,720 patients with or without bone metastases showed that high  
2044 concentrations of BALP were also associated with bone metastasis (155).

### 2045 *Prognosis of bone metastasis*

2046 BALP levels were assessed in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer (n = 1,648),  
2047 castration-resistant prostate cancer (n = 643) and lung cancer and other solid tumors (n = 773) treated  
2048 with a bisphosphonate (zoledronic acid or pamidronate). High serum levels of BALP at baseline and on-  
2049 study were associated with increased risks of SREs, disease progression and death in patients who did  
2050 not receive bisphosphonate therapy (61, 90). Similar findings were reported in a retrospective analysis  
2051 involving 5,543 patients who received zoledronic acid or denosumab for bone metastasis treatment  
2052 (206). Furthermore, after 3 months of treatment with either denosumab or zoledronic acid, patients with  
2053 serum BALP levels  $\geq$  median at month 3 had significantly reduced overall survival compared with those  
2054 who had serum BALP levels  $<$  median (HR = 2.44;  $P < 0.0001$ ) (206).

2055 The prognosis value of PINP for bone metastasis was investigated in breast cancer (33).  
2056 Specifically, PINP was measured at baseline in the serum from 872 patients from a large randomized  
2057 trial of adjuvant zoledronic acid (AZURE) in early breast cancer (33). High baseline PINP was  
2058 prognostic for future bone recurrence at any time ( $P < 0.006$ ), but was not predictive for distant  
2059 metastasis taken as a whole, demonstrating the bone metastasis specificity of PINP (33).

#### 2060 *Response to bone-targeted therapies*

2061 The predictive value of BALP and PICP has been evaluated in castration-resistant prostate cancer  
2062 patients with bone metastases (n = 778) treated on a placebo-controlled phase III trial of docetaxel with  
2063 or without atrasentan (SWOG S0421) (187). High baseline serum levels of BALP and PICP were  
2064 associated with poor overall survival (HR = 1.23;  $P < 0.001$  and HR = 1.38;  $P < 0.001$ , respectively).  
2065 Increasing BALP and PICP levels by week 9 of therapy were associated with a significantly increased  
2066 risk of death (HR = 1.28;  $P < 0.001$  and HR = 1.35;  $P < 0.001$ , respectively). For patients with the  
2067 highest biomarker levels (upper 25th percentile), improved survival was observed in the atrasentan arm  
2068 compared with placebo arm (HR = 0.65;  $P < 0.04$  and HR = 0.61;  $P < 0.02$  for BALP and PICP,  
2069 respectively).

2070

## 2071 B. Bone Resorption Markers

2072 Biochemical markers of bone resorption include (1) the carboxyterminal telopeptide of type I  
2073 collagen (ICTP), which is a degradation product of mature type I collagen cleaved by MMP, (2) C- and  
2074 N-telopeptides (CTX and NTX, respectively), which are proteolytic fragments generated by cathepsin K  
2075 cleavage of type I collagen, (3) pyridinoline (PYD) and deoxypyridinoline (DPD), which are nonreducible  
2076 pyridinium cross-links present in the mature form of type I collagen, and (4) tartrate resistant acid  
2077 phosphatase 5b (TRACP), which is an osteoclast-derived enzyme (Table 2) (350). Additional potential  
2078 bone resorption markers are RANKL and OPG, the RANK-L/OPG ratio being used to estimate the  
2079 osteolysis rate, and miRNAs (Table 2), the latter being significantly upregulated in the serum of patients  
2080 with osteoporotic fractures and breast cancer patients with osteolytic bone metastases (96, 294). BSP  
2081 and osteopontin, which are osteoblast-derived bone matrix components, have been also investigated as  
2082 potential bone markers associated with osteolysis (165). ICTP, TRACP, serum CTX, and urinary NTX  
2083 are the most common resorption markers used in clinical practice. Clinical applications for these bone  
2084 resorption markers in the management of malignant bone diseases are summarized below.

### 2085 *Diagnosis of bone metastasis*

2086 Serum concentrations of TRACP were found increased in patients with bone metastases from  
2087 breast cancer, but not lung cancer (90, 155). In prostate cancer, high NTX and CTX levels were  
2088 associated with bone metastases (35). In lung cancer, a meta-analysis including 16 trials and 1,720  
2089 patients with or without bone metastasis showed that high concentrations of NTX and ICTP (but not  
2090 CTX) were associated with bone metastasis (155).

2091 Increased serum levels of BSP and OPN have been associated with bone metastases from breast,  
2092 lung and prostate cancer (165). However, these proteins are also expressed by tumor cells, suggesting  
2093 they can be considered tumor markers rather than bone biomarkers (165).

2094 Increased serum levels of RANKL and/or OPG have been associated with bone metastases from  
2095 prostate cancer (165). Similarly, the RANKL/OPG ratio is increased in severe osteolysis associated with  
2096 primary bone tumors and bone metastasis from lung, renal and breast cancer (125). However, the low  
2097 sensitivity of the assays to measure circulating levels of RANKL and OPG and the observation that  
2098 RANK, RANKL and OPG are expressed in a wide variety of different cell types including tumors cells  
2099 have so far limited the routine measurement of these molecules (165, 183).

2100 Circulating miRNAs originating from tissues, being remarkably stable in blood, may be able to  
2101 serve as biomarkers. For example, Seeliger *et al.* (294) identified 5 miRNAs (miR-21, miR-23a, miR-25,  
2102 miR-100 and miR-125b) that were upregulated in both the serum and the bone tissue of osteoporotic  
2103 patients with bone fractures. Although these miRNAs are not bone tissue-specific, they have been  
2104 reported to play a role in bone remodeling when they are expressed by osteoblasts (294). Similarly, Eil  
2105 *et al.* (96) identified a series of 4 miRNAs (miR-16, miR-211, miR-378 and Let-7a) that were specifically  
2106 upregulated during osteoclast differentiation. The authors then thought to investigate this series of  
2107 miRNAs as potential biomarkers for osteolytic bone metastases. They found that miR-16 and miR-378  
2108 were consistently increased in the serum from breast cancer patients with bone metastases (n = 38),  
2109 compared to healthy female donors (n = 21) (96).

#### 2110 *Prognosis of bone metastasis*

2111 NTX levels were assessed in patients with bone metastases from breast cancer (n = 1,648),  
2112 castration-resistant prostate cancer (n = 643) and lung cancer and other solid tumors (n = 773) treated  
2113 with a bisphosphonate (zoledronic acid or pamidronate). High serum levels of NTX at baseline and on-  
2114 study were associated with increased risks of SREs, disease progression and death in patients who did  
2115 not receive bisphosphonate therapy (61, 90). Similar findings were reported in a retrospective analysis  
2116 involving 5,543 patients who received zoledronic acid or anti-RANKL antibody denosumab for bone  
2117 metastasis treatment (206). Furthermore, after 3 months of treatment with either denosumab or

2118 zoledronic acid, patients with urinary NTX levels  $\geq$  median at month 3 had significantly reduced overall  
2119 survival compared with those who had urinary NTX levels  $<$  median (HR = 1.85;  $P < 0.0001$ ) (206).

2120 The prognosis value of CTX and ICTP for bone metastasis was investigated in breast cancer (33).  
2121 These bone resorption markers were measured at baseline in the serum from 872 patients from a large  
2122 randomized trial of adjuvant zoledronic acid (AZURE) in early breast cancer (33). High baseline CTX or  
2123 ICTP was prognostic for future bone recurrence at any time ( $P < 0.009$  and  $0.008$ , respectively), but  
2124 were not predictive for overall distant recurrence, demonstrating the bone metastasis specificity of CTX  
2125 and ICTP (33).

#### 2126 *Response to bone-targeted therapies*

2127 The value of measuring NTX levels to assess response to bisphosphonate therapy was  
2128 investigated by exploring databases from phase III trials of zoledronic acid in solid tumors and multiple  
2129 myeloma. The analysis revealed that patients with high NTX levels at baseline that normalize during  
2130 zoledronic acid treatment have improved survival as compared to patients with persistent elevated NTX  
2131 levels (61).

2132 The predictive value of NTX and PYD has been evaluated in castration-resistant prostate cancer  
2133 patients with bone metastases ( $n = 778$ ) treated on a placebo-controlled phase III trial of docetaxel with  
2134 or without atrasentan (SWOG S0421) (187). As for bone formation markers BALP and PICP, high  
2135 baseline levels of NTX and PYD were associated with poor overall survival (HR = 1.40;  $P < 0.001$  and  
2136 HR = 1.52;  $P < 0.001$ , respectively). Increasing bone resorption marker levels by week 9 of therapy  
2137 were associated with a significantly increased risk of death (HR = 1.36;  $P = 0.002$  and HR = 1.36;  $P =$   
2138  $0.002$  for NTX and PYD, respectively). In contrast to what was observed for patients with the highest  
2139 bone formation marker levels, there was however no survival benefit from atrasentan when using NTX  
2140 or PYD in the upper 25th percentile. Nonetheless, when combining all four biomarkers in the highest  
2141 quartile, there was clear evidence that patients had a survival benefit from atrasentan (HR = 0.33;  
2142 median survival = 13 [atrasentan] vs 5 months [placebo];  $P = .005$ ) (187).



2143

### 2144 C. Insights from markers not associated with bone turnover

2145 Westbrook and colleagues (365) have identified two proteins [macrophage-capping protein (CAPG)  
2146 and PDZ domain-containing protein (GIPC1)] from proteomic analysis of osteotropic human breast  
2147 cancer cell lines whose expression in tumor cells was subsequently validated by immunohistochemistry  
2148 using tumor tissue microarrays (TMAs) from breast cancer patients (n = 364) of the AZURE trial. Clinical  
2149 validation of these two markers showed that patients who did not receive a bisphosphonate therapy  
2150 were more likely to develop a first distant recurrence in bone (HR = 4.5;  $P < 0.001$ ) and die (HR = 1.8;  $P$   
2151 = 0.045) if CAPG and GIPC1 were highly expressed in the primary tumor. Moreover, patients with high  
2152 expression of CAPG and GIPC1 had a 10-fold increase in treatment benefit, compared with patients on  
2153 standard therapy (365).

2154 Dedicator of cytokinesis protein 4 (DOCK4) is another protein specifically expressed in osteotropic  
2155 tumor cells (366). DOCK4 expression in primary tumors was validated by immunohistochemistry, using  
2156 TMAs from breast cancer patients (n = 345) of the AZURE trial (366). Adjusted Cox regression analyses  
2157 showed that patients who did not receive a bisphosphonate therapy were more likely to develop a first  
2158 distant recurrence in bone (HR = 2.13;  $P = 0.034$ ) if DOCK4 was highly expressed in the primary tumor  
2159 (366).

2160 MAF is a transcription factor of the AP-1 family shown to mediate breast cancer bone metastasis  
2161 (260). The value of MAF expression in primary tumors to predict the treatment outcomes of adjuvant  
2162 zoledronic acid in breast cancer patients from the AZURE trial (n = 1,739) has been investigated (64). In  
2163 patients with MAF-negative tumors (79% of all patients), there was a lower relapse rate with the use of  
2164 zoledronic acid (HR = 0.74), but not in patients who had MAF-positive tumors (64). Additionally, MAF  
2165 positivity was associated with increased extraskeletal recurrence in the zoledronic acid group (HR =  
2166 6.92) (64). Data from ABCSG-18 trial are also being used in a *post-hoc* analysis addressing MAF to  
2167 help clarify the anticancer mechanism of denosumab (122).

2168

2169 **XI. CONCLUSION**

2170 Bone is one of the most common sites for metastasis, especially from breast, prostate and lung  
2171 cancer. These skeletal metastases contribute substantially to morbidity and mortality in patients with  
2172 advanced cancer. It is therefore essential to better understand the pathophysiology of bone metastasis  
2173 in order to improve therapies for the treatment and prevention of bone metastasis and predict the risk of  
2174 disease relapse. In this review, we described the importance of the systemic effect of primary tumors in  
2175 preparing a pre-metastatic niche to facilitate the arrival of tumor cells in the bone marrow and we  
2176 highlighted the prominence of metastatic niches in mediating dormancy of tumor cells. We also  
2177 discussed the key role of the environment for reactivation of dormant tumor cells, which then undergo  
2178 further selection to acquire a full complement of metastasis-colonization functions that dormant tumor  
2179 cells did not express before. We also explained how, at a later stage, tumor cells induce osteolytic or  
2180 osteoblastic lesions. These findings provide the rationale for the use of bone-targeted agents such as  
2181 the bisphosphonates, the RANK ligand inhibitor denosumab and bone seeking radiopharmaceuticals.  
2182 However, as our understanding of the signaling mechanisms between tumor cells and cells in the bone  
2183 marrow microenvironment increases, several new, targeted agents have entered clinical development.  
2184 They could be used in combination with anti-resorptive agents to efficiently block the development of  
2185 skeletal lesions. Another attractive avenue of research would be to reconstruct bone lesions by restoring  
2186 osteoblast anabolic functions. Finally, we showed that bone markers potentially provide important  
2187 insight for predicting the risk of disease relapse in patients with cancer and evaluating a patient's risk of  
2188 worsening skeletal health.

2189 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

2190 The authors gratefully acknowledge Jean Paul Roux (INSERM UMR1033, Lyon, France) for providing  
2191 photographs of bone metastases. Figures were created using adapted images from Servier Medical Art  
2192 by Servier, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 unported license (available at  
2193 <http://smart.servier.com>).

2194

2195 **GRANTS**

2196 P. Clézardin is funded by Inserm, Inserm Cancer, the University of Lyon, the French National Cancer  
2197 Institute (INCa; grant n°: PLBIO14-164 and PLBIO14-213), "Appel à Projets LIA/LEA 2016" (grant n°:  
2198 ASC17018CSA), Weston Park Cancer Charity (grant n°: CA163) and the LabEX DEVweCAN (ANR-10-  
2199 LABX-61) from Université de Lyon, within the program "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007)  
2200 operated by the French National Research Agency (ANR). P.D. Ottewell is funded by Research Council  
2201 UK (grant n° MR/P000096/1) and Weston Park Cancer Charity (grant n°s CA 142 and CA175).  
2202

2203 XII. REFERENCES

- 2204 1. Ahn CS, Metallo CM. Mitochondria as biosynthetic factories for cancer proliferation. *Cancer*  
2205 *Metab.* 3:1, 2015. doi: 10.1186/s40170-015-0128-2.
- 2206 2. Alam AS, Gallagher A, Shankar V, Ghatei MA, Datta HK, Huang CL, Moonga BS, Chambers  
2207 TJ, Bloom SR, Zaidi M. Endothelin inhibits osteoclastic bone resorption by a direct effect on  
2208 cell motility: implications for the vascular control of bone resorption. *Endocrinology.* 130:3617-  
2209 3624, 1992.
- 2210 3. Alexaki VI, Javelaud D, Van Kempen LC, Mohammad KS, Dennler S, Luciani F, Hoek KS,  
2211 Juárez P, Goydos JS, Fournier PJ, Sibon C, Bertolotto C, Verrecchia F, Saule S, Delmas V,  
2212 Ballotti R, Larue L, Saiag P, Guise TA, Mauviel A. GLI2-mediated melanoma invasion and  
2213 metastasis. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 102:1148-1159, 2010. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djq257.
- 2214 4. Andrade K, Fornetti J, Zhao L, Miller SC, Randall RL, Anderson N, Waltz SE, McHale M, Welm  
2215 AL. RON kinase: A target for treatment of cancer-induced bone destruction and osteoporosis.  
2216 *Sci Transl Med.* 9. pii: eaai9338, 2017. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aai9338.
- 2217 5. Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Luber B, Wang H, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Silberstein JL, Taylor MN, Maughan  
2218 BL, Denmeade SR, Pienta KJ, Paller CJ, Carducci MA, Eisenberger MA, Luo J. Clinical  
2219 Significance of Androgen Receptor Splice Variant-7 mRNA Detection in Circulating Tumor  
2220 Cells of Men With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Treated With First- and  
2221 Second-Line Abiraterone and Enzalutamide. *J Clin Oncol.* 35:2149-2156, 2017. doi:  
2222 10.1200/JCO.2016.70.1961.
- 2223 6. Atkinson EG, Delgado-Calle J. The Emerging Role of Osteocytes in Cancer in Bone. *JBMR*  
2224 *Plus* 3:e10186, 2019. doi: 10.1002/jbm4.10186.
- 2225 7. Barker HE, Cox TR, Erler JT. The rationale for targeting the LOX family in cancer. *Nat Rev*  
2226 *Cancer* 12: 540-552, 2012. doi: 10.1038/nrc3319.

- 2227 8. Baron R, Kneissel M. WNT signaling in bone homeostasis and disease: from human mutations  
2228 to treatments. *Nat Med*. 19:179-192, 2013. doi: 10.1038/nm.3074.
- 2229 9. Barrett-Lee P, Casbard A, Abraham J, Hood K, Coleman R, Simmonds P, Timmins H,  
2230 Wheatley D, Grieve R, Griffiths G, Murray N. Oral ibandronic acid versus intravenous  
2231 zoledronic acid in treatment of bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomised, open label,  
2232 non-inferiority phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 15:114-122, 2014. doi: 10.1016/S1470-  
2233 2045(13)70539-4.
- 2234 10. Barry-Hamilton V, Spangler R, Marshall D, McCauley S, Rodriguez HM, Oyasu M, Mikels A,  
2235 Vaysberg M, Ghermazien H, Wai C, Garcia CA, Velayo AC, Jorgensen B, Biermann D, Tsai D,  
2236 Green J, Zaffryar-Eilot S, Holzer A, Ogg S, Thai D, Neufeld G, Van Vlasselaer P, Smith V.  
2237 Allosteric inhibition of lysyl oxidase-like-2 impedes the development of a pathologic  
2238 microenvironment. *Nat Med* 16: 1009-1017, 2010. doi: 10.1038/nm.2208.
- 2239 11. Baselga J, Campone M, Piccart M, Burris HA 3rd, Rugo HS, Sahmoud T, Noguchi S, Gnant M,  
2240 Pritchard KI, Lebrun F, Beck JT, Ito Y, Yardley D, Deleu I, Perez A, Bachelot T, Vittori L, Xu Z,  
2241 Mukhopadhyay P, Lebwohl D, Hortobagyi GN. Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-  
2242 receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 366:520-529, 2012. doi:  
2243 10.1056/NEJMoa1109653.
- 2244 12. Baud'huin M, Lamoureux F, Jacques C, Rodriguez Calleja L, Quillard T, Charrier C, Amiaud J,  
2245 Berreur M, Brounais-LeRoyer B, Owen R, Reilly GC, Bradner JE, Heymann D, Ory B. Inhibition  
2246 of BET proteins and epigenetic signaling as a potential treatment for osteoporosis. *Bone*.  
2247 94:10-21, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2016.09.020.
- 2248 13. Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf DE, Loriot Y, Sternberg CN, Higano CS, Iversen P,  
2249 Bhattacharya S, Carles J, Chowdhury S, Davis ID, de Bono JS, Evans CP, Fizazi K, Joshua  
2250 AM, Kim CS, Kimura G, Mainwaring P, Mansbach H, Miller K, Noonberg SB, Perabo F, Phung  
2251 D, Saad F, Scher HI, Taplin ME, Venner PM, Tombal B; PREVAIL Investigators. Enzalutamide

- 2252 in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med.* 371:424-433, 2014. doi:  
2253 10.1056/NEJMoa1405095.
- 2254 14. Beermann J, Piccoli MT, Viereck J, Thum T. Non-coding RNAs in development and disease:  
2255 background, mechanisms, and therapeutic approaches. *Physiol Rev* 96: 1297-1325, 2016. doi:  
2256 10.1152/physrev.00041.2015.
- 2257 15. Beg MS, Brenner AJ, Sachdev J, Borad M, Kang YK, Stoudemire J, Smith S, Bader AG, Kim  
2258 S, Hong DS. Phase I study of MRX34, a liposomal miR-34a mimic, administered twice weekly  
2259 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Invest New Drugs.* 35:180-188, 2017. doi:  
2260 10.1007/s10637-016-0407-y.
- 2261 16. Bellahcène A, Bachelier R, Detry C, Lidereau R, Clézardin P, Castronovo V. Transcriptome  
2262 analysis reveals an osteoblast-like phenotype for human osteotropic breast cancer cells.  
2263 *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 101: 135-148, 2007. doi: 10.1007/s10549-006-9279-8.
- 2264 17. Bellahcène A, Castronovo V, Ogbureke KU, Fisher LW, Fedarko NS. Small integrin-binding  
2265 ligand N-linked glycoproteins (SIBLINGs): multifunctional proteins in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*  
2266 8: 212-226, 2008. doi: 10.1038/nrc2345.
- 2267 18. Bendre MS, Gaddy-Kurten D, Mon-Foote T, Akel NS, Skinner RA, Nicholas RW, Suva LJ.  
2268 Expression of interleukin 8 and not parathyroid hormone-related protein by human breast  
2269 cancer cells correlates with bone metastasis in vivo. *Cancer Res.* 62: 5571-5579, 2002.
- 2270 19. Bertoldo F, Silvestris F, Ibrahim T, Cognetti F, Generali D, Ripamonti CI, Amadori D, Colleoni  
2271 MA, Conte P, Del Mastro L, De Placido S, Ortega C, Santini D. Targeting bone metastatic  
2272 cancer: Role of the mTOR pathway. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1845:248-254, 2014. doi:  
2273 10.1016/j.bbcan.2014.01.009.
- 2274 20. Bidwell BN, Slaney CY, Withana NP, Forster S, Cao Y, Loi S, Andrews D, Mikeska T, Mangan  
2275 NE, Samarajiwa SA, de Weerd NA, Gould J, Argani P, Möller A, Smyth MJ, Anderson RL,

- 2276 Hertzog PJ, Parker BS. Silencing of *Irf7* pathways in breast cancer cells promotes bone  
2277 metastasis through immune escape. *Nat Med.* 18:1224-1231, 2012. doi: 10.1038/nm.2830.
- 2278 21. Blake ML, Tometsko M, Miller R, Jones JC, Dougall WC. RANK expression on breast cancer  
2279 cells promotes skeletal metastasis. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 31:233-245, 2014. doi:  
2280 10.1007/s10585-013-9624-3.
- 2281 22. Bliss SA, Sinha G, Sandiford OA, Williams LM, Engelberth DJ, Guiro K, Isenalumhe LL, Greco  
2282 SJ, Ayer S, Bryan M, Kumar R, Ponzio NM, Rameshwar P. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived  
2283 Exosomes Stimulate Cycling Quiescence and Early Breast Cancer Dormancy in Bone Marrow.  
2284 *Cancer Res* 76: 5832-5844, 2016. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1092.
- 2285 23. Bloom AP, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Taylor RN, Castañeda-Corral G, Kaczmarska MJ, Freeman  
2286 KT, Coughlin KA, Ghilardi JR, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW. Breast cancer-induced bone  
2287 remodeling, skeletal pain, and sprouting of sensory nerve fibers. *J Pain.* 12:698-711, 2011. doi:  
2288 10.1016/j.jpain.2010.12.016.
- 2289 24. Bone HG, Dempster DW, Eisman JA, Greenspan SL, McClung MR, Nakamura T, Papapoulos  
2290 S, Shih WJ, Rybak-Feiglin A, Santora AC, Verbruggen N, Leung AT, Lombardi A. Odanacatib  
2291 for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis: development history and design and  
2292 participant characteristics of LOFT, the Long-Term Odanacatib Fracture Trial. *Osteoporos Int.*  
2293 26:699-712, 2015. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2944-6.
- 2294 25. Bottos A, Gotthardt D, Gill JW, Gattelli A, Frei A, Tzankov A, Sexl V, Wodnar-Filipowicz A,  
2295 Hynes NE. Decreased NK-cell tumour immunosurveillance consequent to JAK inhibition  
2296 enhances metastasis in breast cancer models. *Nat Commun.* 7:12258, 2016. doi:  
2297 10.1038/ncomms12258.
- 2298 26. Boucharaba A, Serre CM, Grès S, Saulnier-Blache JS, Bordet JC, Guglielmi J, Clézardin P,  
2299 Peyruchaud O. Platelet-derived lysophosphatidic acid supports the progression of osteolytic  
2300 bone metastases in breast cancer. *J Clin Invest* 114; 1714-1725, 2004. doi: 10.1172/JCI22123.

- 2301 27. Boucharaba A, Serre CM, Guglielmi J, Bordet JC, Clézardin P, Peyruchaud O. The type 1  
2302 lysophosphatidic acid receptor is a target for therapy in bone metastases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*  
2303 *USA* 103; 9643-9648, 2006. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0600979103.
- 2304 28. Bouchet M, Lainé A, Boyault C, Proponnet-Guerault M, Meugnier E, Bouazza L, Kan CWS,  
2305 Geraci S, El-Moghrabi S, Hernandez-Vargas H, Benetollo C, Yoshiko Y, Duterque-Coquillaud  
2306 M, Clézardin P, Marie JC, Bonnelye E. ERR $\alpha$  Expression in Bone Metastases Leads to an  
2307 Exacerbated Antitumor Immune Response. *Cancer Res* 80; 2914-2926, 2020. doi:  
2308 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-3584.
- 2309 29. Boudot C, Hénaut L, Thiem U, Geraci S, Galante M, Saldanha P, Saidak Z, Six I, Clézardin P,  
2310 Kamel S, Mentaverri R. Overexpression of a functional calcium-sensing receptor dramatically  
2311 increases osteolytic potential of MDA-MB-231 cells in a mouse model of bone metastasis  
2312 through epiregulin-mediated osteoprotegerin downregulation. *Oncotarget* 8:56460-56472,  
2313 2017. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16999.
- 2314 30. Bozec A, Zaiss MM. T regulatory cells in bone remodelling. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* 15:121-125,  
2315 2017. doi: 10.1007/s11914-017-0356-1.
- 2316 31. Bragado P, Estrada Y, Parikh F, Krause S, Capobianco C, Farina HG, Schewe DM, Aguirre-  
2317 Ghiso JA. TGF- $\beta$ 2 dictates disseminated tumour cell fate in target organs through TGF- $\beta$ -RIII  
2318 and p38 $\alpha/\beta$  signalling. *Nat Cell Biol* 15: 1351-1361, 2013. doi: 10.1038/ncb2861.
- 2319 32. Braun S, Vogl FD, Naume B, Janni W, Osborne MP, Coombes RC, Schlimok G, Diel IJ,  
2320 Gerber B, Gebauer G, Pierga JY, Marth C, Oruzio D, Wiedswang G, Solomayer EF, Kundt G,  
2321 Strobl B, Fehm T, Wong GY, Bliss J, Vincent-Salomon A, Pantel K. A pooled analysis of bone  
2322 marrow micrometastasis in breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 353:793-802, 2005.  
2323 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa050434.
- 2324 33. Brown J, Rathbone E, Hinsley S, Gregory W, Gossiel F, Marshall H, Burkinshaw R, Shulver H,  
2325 Thandar H, Bertelli G, Maccon K, Bowman A, Hanby A, Bell R, Cameron D, Coleman R.



- 2326 Associations Between Serum Bone Biomarkers in Early Breast Cancer and Development of  
2327 Bone Metastasis: Results From the AZURE (BIG01/04) Trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 110:871-879,  
2328 2018. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djx280.
- 2329 34. Brown JE, Coleman RE. Denosumab in patients with cancer—a surgical strike against the  
2330 osteoclast. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 9:110-118, 2012. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2011.197.
- 2331 35. Brown JE, Cook RJ, Major P, Lipton A, Saad F, Smith M, Lee KA, Zheng M, Hei YJ, Coleman  
2332 RE. Bone turnover markers as predictors of skeletal complications in prostate cancer, lung  
2333 cancer, and other solid tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 97:59-69, 2005. DOI: [10.1093/jnci/dji002](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji002).
- 2334 36. Browne AJ, Kubasch ML, Göbel A, Hadji P, Chen D, Rauner M, Stölzel F, Hofbauer LC,  
2335 Rachner TD. Concurrent antitumor and bone-protective effects of everolimus in osteotropic  
2336 breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 19:92, 2017. doi: 10.1186/s13058-017-0885-7.
- 2337 37. Browne G, Taipaleenmäki H, Stein GS, Stein JL, Lian JB. MicroRNAs in the control of  
2338 metastatic bone disease. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 25: 320-327, 2014. doi:  
2339 10.1016/j.tem.2014.03.014.
- 2340 38. Brufsky AM, Harker WG, Beck JT, Bosserman L, Vogel C, Seidler C, Jin L, Warsi G, Argonza-  
2341 Aviles E, Hohneker J, Ericson SG, Perez EA. Final 5-year results of Z-FAST trial: adjuvant  
2342 zoledronic acid maintains bone mass in postmenopausal breast cancer patients receiving  
2343 letrozole. *Cancer.* 118:1192-1201, 2012. doi: 10.1002/cncr.26313.
- 2344 39. Bu G, Lu W, Liu CC, Selander K, Yoneda T, Hall C, Keller ET, Li Y. Breast cancer-derived  
2345 Dickkopf1 inhibits osteoblast differentiation and osteoprotegerin expression: implication for  
2346 breast cancer osteolytic bone metastases. *Int J Cancer.* 123:1034-1042, 2008. doi:  
2347 10.1002/ijc.23625.
- 2348 40. Buijs JT, Stayrook KR, Guise TA. The role of TGF- $\beta$  in bone metastasis: novel therapeutic  
2349 perspectives. *Bonekey Rep.* 1:96, 2012. doi: 10.1038/bonekey.2012.96.

- 2350 41. Buijs JT, van der Horst G, van den Hoogen C, Cheung H, de Rooij B, Kroon J, Petersen M,  
2351 van Overveld PG, Pelger RC, van der Pluijm G. The BMP2/7 heterodimer inhibits the human  
2352 breast cancer stem cell subpopulation and bone metastases formation. *Oncogene* 31: 2164-  
2353 2174, 2012. doi: 10.1038/onc.2011.400.
- 2354 42. Cackowski FC, Eber MR, Rhee J, Decker AM, Yumoto K, Berry JE, Lee E, Shiozawa Y, Jung  
2355 Y, Aguirre-Ghiso JA, Taichman RS. Mer Tyrosine Kinase Regulates Disseminated Prostate  
2356 Cancer Cellular Dormancy. *J Cell Biochem* 118: 891-902, 2017. doi: 10.1002/jcb.25768.
- 2357 43. Caers J, Deleu S, Belaid Z, De Raeve H, Van Valckenborgh E, De Bruyne E, Defresne MP,  
2358 Van Riet I, Van Camp B, Vanderkerken K. Neighboring adipocytes participate in the bone  
2359 marrow microenvironment of multiple myeloma cells. *Leukemia*. 21:1580-1584, 2007. doi:  
2360 10.1038/sj.leu.2404658
- 2361 44. Campbell JP, Karolak MR, Ma Y, Perrien DS, Masood-Campbell SK, Penner NL, Munoz SA,  
2362 Zijlstra A, Yang X, Sterling JA, Elefteriou F. Stimulation of host bone marrow stromal cells by  
2363 sympathetic nerves promotes breast cancer bone metastasis in mice. *PLoS Biol*.  
2364 10:e1001363, 2012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001363.
- 2365 45. Capietto AH, Faccio R. Immune regulation of bone metastasis. *Bonekey Rep*. 3:600, 2014. doi:  
2366 10.1038/bonekey.2014.95.
- 2367 46. Capulli M, Hristova D, Valbret Z, Carys K, Arjan R, Maurizi A, Masedu F, Cappariello A, Rucci  
2368 N, Teti A. Notch2 pathway mediates breast cancer cellular dormancy and mobilisation in bone  
2369 and contributes to haematopoietic stem cell mimicry. *Br J Cancer*. 121:157-171, 2019. doi:  
2370 10.1038/s41416-019-0501-y.
- 2371 47. Carducci MA, Saad F, Abrahamsson PA, Dearnaley DP, Schulman CC, North SA, Sleep DJ,  
2372 Isaacson JD, Nelson JB. A phase 3 randomized controlled trial of the efficacy and safety of  
2373 atrasentan in men with metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer.; Atrasentan Phase III  
2374 Study Group Institutions. *Cancer*. 110:1959-66, 2007. doi: 10.1002/cncr.22996.

- 2375 48. Cha YJ, Jung WH, Koo JS. Differential Site-Based Expression of Pentose Phosphate  
2376 Pathway-Related Proteins among Breast Cancer Metastases. *Dis Markers* 2017:7062517,  
2377 2017. doi: 10.1155/2017/7062517.
- 2378 49. Chaffer CL, San Juan BP, Lim E, Weinberg RA. EMT, cell plasticity and metastasis. *Cancer*  
2379 *Metastasis Rev* 35: 645-654, 2016. doi: 10.1007/s10555-016-9648-7.
- 2380 50. Chang MK, Raggatt LJ, Alexander KA, Kuliwaba JS, Fazzalari NL, Schroder K, Maylin ER,  
2381 Ripoll VM, Hume DA, Pettit AR. Osteal tissue macrophages are intercalated throughout human  
2382 and mouse bone lining tissues and regulate osteoblast function in vitro and in vivo. *J*  
2383 *Immunol.*181:1232-1244, 2008.
- 2384 51. Chantry AD, Heath D, Mulivor AW, Pearsall S, Baud'huin M, Coulton L, Evans H, Abdul N,  
2385 Werner ED, Bouxsein ML, Key ML, Seehra J, Arnett TR, Vanderkerken K, Croucher P.  
2386 Inhibiting activin-A signaling stimulates bone formation and prevents cancer-induced bone  
2387 destruction in vivo. *J Bone Miner Res.* 25:2633-2646, 2010. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.142.
- 2388 52. Chen GL, Luo Y, Eriksson D, Meng X, Qian C, Bäuerle T, Chen XX, Schett G, Bozec A. High  
2389 fat diet increases melanoma cell growth in the bone marrow by inducing osteopontin and  
2390 interleukin 6. *Oncotarget.* 7:26653-26669, 2016. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.8474.
- 2391 53. Chiao JW, Moonga BS, Yang YM, Kancherla R, Mittelman A, Wu-Wong JR, Ahmed T.  
2392 Endothelin-1 from prostate cancer cells is enhanced by bone contact which blocks osteoclastic  
2393 bone resorption. *Br J Cancer* 83:360-365, 2000. doi: 10.1054/bjoc.2000.1261.
- 2394 54. Choudhary S, Ramasundaram P, Dziopa E, Mannion C, Kissin Y, Tricoli L, Albanese C, Lee  
2395 W, Zilberberg J. Human ex vivo 3D bone model recapitulates osteocyte response to metastatic  
2396 prostate cancer. *Sci Rep.* 8:17975, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36424-x.
- 2397 55. Chu K, Cheng CJ, Ye X, Lee YC, Zurita AJ, Chen DT, Yu-Lee LY, Zhang S, Yeh ET, Hu MC,  
2398 Logothetis CJ, Lin SH. Cadherin-11 promotes the metastasis of prostate cancer cells to bone.  
2399 *Mol Cancer Res* 6:1259-67, 2008. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-08-0077.

- 2400 56. Clément-Demange L, Mulcrone PL, Tabarestani TQ, Sterling JA, Elefteriou F.  $\beta$ 2ARs  
2401 stimulation in osteoblasts promotes breast cancer cell adhesion to bone marrow endothelial  
2402 cells in an IL-1 $\beta$  and selectin-dependent manner. *J Bone Oncol.* 13:1-10, 2018. doi:  
2403 10.1016/j.jbo.2018.09.002.
- 2404 57. Clézardin P. Integrins in bone metastasis formation and potential therapeutic implications. *Curr*  
2405 *Cancer Drug Targets* 9: 801-806, 2009. DOI: 10.2174/156800909789760348.
- 2406 58. Clézardin P, Benzaid I, Croucher PI. Bisphosphonates in preclinical bone oncology. *Bone.*  
2407 49:66-70, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.11.017.
- 2408 59. Coleman R. Treatment of Metastatic Bone Disease and the Emerging Role of Radium-223.  
2409 *Semin Nucl Med.* 46:99-104, 2016. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2015.10.012.
- 2410 60. Coleman R, Body JJ, Aapro M, Hadji P, Herrstedt J. Bone health in cancer patients: ESMO  
2411 Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol.* 25 Suppl 3, iii124-iii137, 2014.
- 2412 61. Coleman R, Costa L, Saad F, Cook R, Hadji P, Terpos E, Garnero P, Brown J, Body JJ, Smith  
2413 M, Lee KA, Major P, Dimopoulos M, Lipton A. Consensus on the utility of bone markers in the  
2414 malignant bone disease setting. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol.* 80:411-432, 2011. doi:  
2415 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2011.02.005.
- 2416 62. Coleman R, de Boer R, Eidtmann H, Llombart A, Davidson N, Neven P, von Minckwitz G,  
2417 Sleeboom HP, Forbes J, Barrios C, Frassoldati A, Campbell I, Pajja O, Martin N, Modi A,  
2418 Bundred N. Zoledronic acid (zoledronate) for postmenopausal women with early breast cancer  
2419 receiving adjuvant letrozole (ZO-FAST study): final 60-month results. *Ann Oncol.* 24:398-405,  
2420 2013. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mds277.
- 2421 63. Coleman R, Finkelstein DM, Barrios C, Martin M, Iwata H, Hegg R, Glaspy J, Periañez AM,  
2422 Tonkin K, Deleu I, Sohn J, Crown J, Delaloge S, Dai T, Zhou Y, Jandial D, Chan A. Adjuvant  
2423 denosumab in early breast cancer (D-CARE): an international, multicentre, randomised,  
2424 controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 21:60-72, 2020. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30687-4.

- 2425 64. Coleman R, Hall A, Albanell J, Hanby A, Bell R, Cameron D, Dodwell D, Marshall H, Jean-  
2426 Mairret J, Tercero JC, Rojo F, Gregory W, Gomis RR. Effect of MAF amplification on treatment  
2427 outcomes with adjuvant zoledronic acid in early breast cancer: a secondary analysis of the  
2428 international, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 AZURE (BIG 01/04) trial. *Lancet*  
2429 *Oncol.* 18:1543-1552, 2017. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30603-4.
- 2430 65. Coleman RE. Clinical features of metastatic bone disease and risk of skeletal morbidity. *Clin*  
2431 *Cancer Res.* 12:6243s-6249s, 2006. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0931.
- 2432 66. Coleman RE, Collinson M, Gregory W, Marshall H, Bell R, Dodwell D, Keane M, Gil M, Barrett-  
2433 Lee P, Ritchie D, Bowman A, Liversedge V, De Boer RH, Passos-Coelho JL, O'Reilly S,  
2434 Bertelli G, Joffe J, Brown JE, Wilson C, Tercero JC, Jean-Mairret J, Gomis R, Cameron D.  
2435 Benefits and risks of adjuvant treatment with zoledronic acid in stage II/III breast cancer. 10  
2436 years follow-up of the AZURE randomized clinical trial (BIG 01/04). *J Bone Oncol.* 13:123-135,  
2437 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2018.09.008.
- 2438 67. Coleman RE, Major P, Lipton A, Brown JE, Lee KA, Smith M, Saad F, Zheng M, Hei YJ,  
2439 Seaman J, Cook R. Predictive value of bone resorption and formation markers in cancer  
2440 patients with bone metastases receiving the bisphosphonate zoledronic acid. *J Clin Oncol.*  
2441 23:4925-4935, 2005. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.06.091.
- 2442 68. Coleman RE, Rubens RD. The clinical course of bone metastases from breast cancer. *Br J*  
2443 *Cancer.* 55:61-66, 1987. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1987.13.
- 2444 69. Confavreux CB, Pialat JB, Bellière A, Brevet M, Decroisette C, Tescaru A, Wegrzyn J, Barrey  
2445 C, Mornex F, Souquet PJ, Girard N. Bone metastases from lung cancer: A paradigm for  
2446 multidisciplinary onco-rheumatology management. *Joint Bone Spine.* pii: S1297-  
2447 319X(18)30048-4, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.jbspin.2018.03.005.

- 2448 70. Corey E, Brown LG, Kiefer JA, Quinn JE, Pitts TE, Blair JM, Vessella RL. Osteoprotegerin in  
2449 prostate cancer bone metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 65:1710-1718, 2005. doi: 10.1158/0008-  
2450 5472.CAN-04-2033.
- 2451 71. Cosman F, Crittenden DB, Grauer A. Romosozumab Treatment in Postmenopausal  
2452 Osteoporosis. *N Engl J Med.* 376:396-397, 2017. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1615367.
- 2453 72. Costa L, Badia X, Chow E, Lipton A, Wardley A. Impact of skeletal complications on patients'  
2454 quality of life, mobility, and functional independence. *Support Care Cancer* 16:879–889, 2008.  
2455 doi:10.1007/s00520-008-0418-0.
- 2456 73. Cox TR, Rumney RMH, Schoof EM, Perryman L, Høye AM, Agrawal A, Bird D, Latif NA,  
2457 Forrest H, Evans HR, Huggins ID, Lang G, Linding R, Gartland A, Erler JT. The hypoxic cancer  
2458 secretome induces pre-metastatic bone lesions through lysyl oxidase. *Nature* 522: 106-110,  
2459 2015. doi: 10.1038/nature14492.
- 2460 74. Croset M, Goehrig D, Frackowiak A, Bonnelye E, Ansieau S, Puisieux A, Clézardin P. TWIST1  
2461 expression in breast cancer cells facilitates bone metastasis formation. *J Bone Miner Res.*  
2462 29:1886-1899, 2014. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2215.
- 2463 75. Croset M, Pantano F, Kan CWS, Bonnelye E, Descotes F, Alix-Panabières C, Lecellier CH,  
2464 Bachelier R, Allioli N, Hong SS, Bartkowiak K, Pantel K, Clézardin P. MicroRNA-30 family  
2465 members inhibit breast cancer invasion, osteomimicry, and bone destruction by directly  
2466 targeting multiple bone metastasis-associated genes. *Cancer Res* 78: 5260-5273, 2018. doi:  
2467 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3058.
- 2468 76. Croucher PI, McDonald MM, Martin TJ. Bone metastasis: the importance of the  
2469 neighbourhood. *Nat Rev Cancer* 16: 373-386, 2016. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2016.44.
- 2470 77. Dai J, Hall CL, Escara-Wilke J, Mizokami A, Keller JM, Keller ET. Prostate cancer induces  
2471 bone metastasis through Wnt-induced bone morphogenetic protein-dependent and

- 2472 independent mechanisms. *Cancer Res* 68: 5785-5794, 2008. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-  
2473 07-6541.
- 2474 78. Dai J, Keller J, Zhang J, Lu Y, Yao Z, Keller ET. Bone morphogenetic protein-6 promotes  
2475 osteoblastic prostate cancer bone metastases through a dual mechanism. *Cancer Res*  
2476 65:8274-8285, 2005. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1891.
- 2477 79. Dai J, Zhang H, Karatsinides A, Keller JM, Kozloff KM, Aftab DT, Schimmoller F, Keller ET.  
2478 Cabozantinib inhibits prostate cancer growth and prevents tumor-induced bone lesions. *Clin*  
2479 *Cancer Res.* 20:617-630, 2014. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0839.
- 2480 80. Dallas SL, Prideaux M, Bonewald LF. The osteocyte: an endocrine cell ... and more. *Endocr*  
2481 *Rev.* 34:658-690, 2013. doi: 10.1210/er.2012-1026.
- 2482 81. Danilin S, Merkel AR, Johnson JR, Johnson RW, Edwards JR, Sterling JA. Myeloid-derived  
2483 suppressor cells expand during breast cancer progression and promote tumor-induced bone  
2484 destruction. *Oncoimmunology.* 1:1484-1494, 2012. doi: 10.4161/onci.21990.
- 2485 82. Decker AM, Jung Y, Cackowski FC, Yumoto K, Wang J, Taichman RS. Sympathetic signaling  
2486 re-activates proliferation of dormant disseminated prostate cancer cells in the bone marrow.  
2487 *Mol Cancer Res.* 15:1644-1655, 2017. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-17-0132.
- 2488 83. Delgado-Calle J, Anderson J, Cregor MD, Hiasa M, Chirgwin JM, Carlesso N, Yoneda T,  
2489 Mohammad KS, Plotkin LI, Roodman GD, Bellido T. Bidirectional Notch Signaling and  
2490 Osteocyte-Derived Factors in the Bone Marrow Microenvironment Promote Tumor Cell  
2491 Proliferation and Bone Destruction in Multiple Myeloma. *Cancer Res.* 76:1089-1100, 2016. doi:  
2492 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1703.
- 2493 84. Delliaux C, Tian TV, Bouchet M, Fradet A, Vanpouille N, Flourens A, Deplus R, Villers A, Leroy  
2494 X, Clézardin P, de Launoit Y, Bonnelye E, Duterque-Coquillaud M. *TMPRSS2:ERG* gene  
2495 fusion expression regulates bone markers and enhances the osteoblastic phenotype of

- 2496 prostate cancer bone metastases. *Cancer Lett.* 438:32-43, 2018. doi:  
2497 10.1016/j.canlet.2018.08.027.
- 2498 85. Devignes CS, Aslan Y, Brenot A, Devillers A, Schepers K, Fabre S, Chou J, Casbon AJ, Werb  
2499 Z, Provot S. HIF signaling in osteoblast-lineage cells promotes systemic breast cancer growth  
2500 and metastasis in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115: E992-E1001, 2018. doi:  
2501 10.1073/pnas.1718009115.
- 2502 86. Dhesy-Thind S, Fletcher GG, Blanchette PS, Clemons MJ, Dillmon MS, Frank ES, Gandhi S,  
2503 Gupta R, Mates M, Moy B, Vandenberg T, Van Poznak CH. Use of Adjuvant Bisphosphonates  
2504 and Other Bone-Modifying Agents in Breast Cancer: A Cancer Care Ontario and American  
2505 Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *J Clin Oncol.* 35:2062-2081, 2017. doi:  
2506 10.1200/JCO.2016.70.7257.
- 2507 87. Diedrich JD, Rajagurubandara E, Herroon MK, Mahapatra G, Hüttemann M, Podgorski I. Bone  
2508 marrow adipocytes promote the Warburg phenotype in metastatic prostate tumors via HIF-1 $\alpha$   
2509 activation. *Oncotarget.* 7:64854-64877, 2016. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.11712.
- 2510 88. Dietterle J, Frydrychowicz C, Müller W, Hoffmann KT, Jähne K, Meixensberger J. Tumor-to-  
2511 Tumor Metastasis of Multiple Meningiomas and Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Metastasis as  
2512 First Clinical Appearance of Kidney Cancer: A Case Report and Analysis. *J Neurol Surg Rep.*  
2513 81(1):e10-e14, 2020. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1708846.
- 2514 89. Dolloff NG, Shulby SS, Nelson AV, Stearns ME, Johannes GJ, Thomas JD, Meucci O, Fatatis  
2515 A. Bone-metastatic potential of human prostate cancer cells correlates with Akt/PKB activation  
2516 by alpha platelet-derived growth factor receptor. *Oncogene.* 24:6848-6854, 2015. doi:  
2517 10.1038/sj.onc.1208815.
- 2518 90. D'Oronzo S, Brown J, Coleman R. The role of biomarkers in the management of bone-homing  
2519 malignancies. *J Bone Oncol.* 9:1-9, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2017.09.001.



- 2520 91. Du WX, Duan SF, Chen JJ, Huang JF, Yin LM, Tong PJ. Serum bone-specific alkaline  
2521 phosphatase as a biomarker for osseous metastases in patients with malignant carcinomas: a  
2522 systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 10 Suppl:C140-133, 2014. doi:  
2523 10.4103/0973-1482.145842.
- 2524 92. Duong LT, Wesolowski GA, Leung P, Oballa R, Pickarski M. Efficacy of a cathepsin K inhibitor  
2525 in a preclinical model for prevention and treatment of breast cancer bone metastasis. *Mol*  
2526 *Cancer Ther.* 13:2898-2909, 2014. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-14-0253.
- 2527 93. Early Breast Cancer Trialists Cooperative Group. Adjuvant bisphosphonate treatment in early  
2528 breast cancer: meta-analyses of individual patient data from randomized trials. *Lancet.* 386:  
2529 1353-1361, 2015. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60908-4.
- 2530 94. Eastell R, O'Neill TW, Hofbauer LC, Langdahl B, Reid IR, Gold DT, Cummings SR.  
2531 Postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2:16069, 2016. doi:  
2532 10.1038/nrdp.2016.69.
- 2533 95. Elefteriou F. Impact of the Autonomic Nervous System on the Skeleton. *Physiol Rev.* 98: 1083-  
2534 1112, 2018. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00014.2017.
- 2535 96. Ell B, Mercatali L, Ibrahim T, Campbell N, Schwarzenbach H, Pantel K, Amadori D, Kang Y.  
2536 Tumor-induced osteoclast miRNA changes as regulators and biomarkers of osteolytic bone  
2537 metastasis. *Cancer Cell.* 24: 542-556, 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2013.09.008.
- 2538 97. Engblom C, Pfirschke C, Zilionis R, Da Silva Martins J, Bos SA, Courties G, Rickelt S, Severe  
2539 N, Baryawno N, Faget J, Savova V, Zemmour D, Kline J, Siwicki M, Garris C, Pucci F, Liao  
2540 HW, Lin YJ, Newton A, Yaghi OK, Iwamoto Y, Tricot B, Wojtkiewicz GR, Nahrendorf M,  
2541 Cortez-Retamozo V, Meylan E, Hynes RO, Demay M, Klein A, Bredella MA, Scadden DT,  
2542 Weissleder R, Pittet MJ. Osteoblasts remotely supply lung tumors with cancer-promoting  
2543 SiglecFhigh neutrophils. *Science* 358, 2017. pii: eaal5081. doi: 10.1126/science.aal5081.

- 2544 98. Erler JT, Bennewith KL, Cox TR, Lang G, Bird D, Koong A, Le QT, Giaccia AJ. Hypoxia-  
2545 induced lysyl oxidase is a critical mediator of bone marrow cell recruitment to form the  
2546 premetastatic niche. *Cancer Cell*. 15: 35-44, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2008.11.012.
- 2547 99. Escudier B, Powles T, Motzer RJ, Olencki T, Arén Frontera O, Oudard S, Rolland F, Tomczak  
2548 P, Castellano D, Appleman LJ, Drabkin H, Vaena D, Milwee S, Youkstetter J, Loughheed JC,  
2549 Bracarda S, Choueiri TK. Cabozantinib, a New Standard of Care for Patients With Advanced  
2550 Renal Cell Carcinoma and Bone Metastases? Subgroup Analysis of the METEOR Trial. *J Clin*  
2551 *Oncol*. 36:765-772, 2018. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.74.7352.
- 2552 100. Falk S, Bannister K, Dickenson AH. Cancer pain physiology. *Br J Pain*. 8:154-162, 2014. doi:  
2553 10.1177/2049463714545136.
- 2554 101. Fang Y, Chen Y, Yu L, Zheng C, Qi Y, Li Z, Yang Z, Zhang Y, Shi T, Luo J, Liu M. Inhibition of  
2555 breast cancer metastases by a novel inhibitor of TGFbeta receptor 1. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 105:  
2556 47-58, 2013. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djs485.
- 2557 102. Fend L, Accart N, Kintz J, Cochin S, Reymann C, Le Pogam F, Marchand JB, Menguy T, Slos  
2558 P, Rooke R, Fournel S, Bonnefoy JY, Prévile X, Haegel H. Therapeutic effects of anti-CD115  
2559 monoclonal antibody in mouse cancer models through dual inhibition of tumor-associated  
2560 macrophages and osteoclasts. *PLoS One*. 8:e73310, 2013. doi:  
2561 10.1371/journal.pone.0073310.
- 2562 103. Finlay IG, Mason MD, Shelley M. Radioisotopes for the palliation of metastatic bone cancer: a  
2563 systematic review. *Lancet Oncol*. 6: 392-400, 2005. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(05)70206-0.
- 2564 104. Fioramonti M, Santini D, Iuliani M, Ribelli G, Manca P, Papapietro N, Spiezia F, Vincenzi B,  
2565 Denaro V, Russo A, Tonini G, Pantano F. Cabozantinib targets bone microenvironment  
2566 modulating human osteoclast and osteoblast functions. *Oncotarget*. 8:20113-20121, 2017. doi:  
2567 10.18632/oncotarget.15390.

- 2568 105. Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, Damião R, Brown J, Karsh L, Milecki P, Shore N, Rader M,  
2569 Wang H, Jiang Q, Tadros S, Dansey R, Goessl C. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for  
2570 treatment of bone metastases in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomised,  
2571 double-blind study. *Lancet*. 377:813-822, 2011. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62344-6.
- 2572 106. Florio M, Gunasekaran K, Stolina M, Li X, Liu L, Tipton B, Salimi-Moosavi H, Asuncion FJ, Li  
2573 C, Sun B, Tan HL, Zhang L, Han CY, Case R, Duguay AN, Grisanti M, Stevens J, Pretorius  
2574 JK, Pacheco E, Jones H, Chen Q, Soriano BD, Wen J, Heron B, Jacobsen FW, Brisan E,  
2575 Richards WG, Ke HZ, Ominsky MS. A bispecific antibody targeting sclerostin and DKK-1  
2576 promotes bone mass accrual and fracture repair. *Nat Commun*. 7:11505, 2016. doi:  
2577 10.1038/ncomms11505.
- 2578 107. Fournier PG, Juárez P, Jiang G, Clines GA, Niewolna M, Kim HS, Walton HW, Peng XH, Liu Y,  
2579 Mohammad KS, Wells CD, Chirgwin JM, Guise TA. The TGF- $\beta$  signaling regulator PMEPA1  
2580 suppresses prostate cancer metastases to bone. *Cancer Cell* 27:809-821, 2015. doi:  
2581 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.04.009.
- 2582 108. Fradet A, Bouchet M, Delliaux C, Gervais M, Kan C, Benetollo C, Pantano F, Vargas G,  
2583 Bouazza L, Croset M, Bala Y, Leroy X, Rosol TJ, Rieusset J, Bellahcène A, Castronovo V,  
2584 Aubin JE, Clézardin P, Duterque-Coquillaud M, Bonnelye E. Estrogen related receptor alpha in  
2585 castration-resistant prostate cancer cells promotes tumor progression in bone. *Oncotarget* 7:  
2586 77071-77086, 2016. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12787.
- 2587 109. Fradet A, Sorel H, Bouazza L, Goehrig D, Dépalle B, Bellahcène A, Castronovo V, Follet H,  
2588 Descotes F, Aubin JE, Clézardin P, Bonnelye E. Dual function of ERR $\alpha$  in breast cancer and  
2589 bone metastasis formation: implication of VEGF and osteoprotegerin. *Cancer Res* 71: 5728-  
2590 5738, 2011. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1431.
- 2591 110. Frees S, Breuksch I, Haber T, Bauer HK, Chavez-Munoz C, Raven P, Moskalev I, D Costa N,  
2592 Tan Z, Daugaard M, Thüroff JW, Haferkamp A, Prawitt D, So A, Brenner W. Calcium-sensing

- 2593 receptor (CaSR) promotes development of bone metastasis in renal cell carcinoma.  
2594 *Oncotarget*. 9:15766-15779, 2018. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.24607.
- 2595 111. Fujisaki J, Wu J, Carlson AL, Silberstein L, Putheti P, Larocca R, Gao W, Saito TI, Lo Celso C,  
2596 Tsuyuzaki H, Sato T, Côté D, Sykes M, Strom TB, Scadden DT, Lin CP. In vivo imaging of  
2597 Treg cells providing immune privilege to the haematopoietic stem-cell niche. *Nature* 474: 216-  
2598 219, 2011. doi: 10.1038/nature10160.
- 2599 112. Fukuda T, Takeda S, Xu R, Ochi H, Sunamura S, Sato T, Shibata S, Yoshida Y, Gu Z, Kimura  
2600 A, Ma C, Xu C, Bando W, Fujita K, Shinomiya K, Hirai T, Asou Y, Enomoto M, Okano H,  
2601 Okawa A, Itoh H. Sema3A regulates bone-mass accrual through sensory innervations. *Nature*  
2602 497: 490-493, 2013. doi: 10.1038/nature12115.
- 2603 113. Furlan F, Galbiati C, Jorgensen NR, Jensen JE, Mrak E, Rubinacci A, Talotta F, Verde P, Blasi  
2604 F. Urokinase plasminogen activator receptor affects bone homeostasis by regulating  
2605 osteoblast and osteoclast function. *J Bone Miner Res* 22:1387-96, 2007. doi:  
2606 10.1359/jbmr.070516.
- 2607 114. Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune  
2608 system. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 9: 162-174, 2009. doi: 10.1038/nri2506.
- 2609 115. Galasko CS. Monitoring of bone metastases. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr*. 111:1873-1875,  
2610 1981.
- 2611 116. Gartrell BA, Saad F. Managing bone metastases and reducing skeletal related events in  
2612 prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 11:335-345, 2014. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.70.
- 2613 117. Gawrzak S, Rinaldi L, Gregorio S, Arenas EJ, Salvador F, Urosevic J, Figueras-Puig C, Rojo  
2614 F, Del Barco Barrantes I, Cejalvo JM, Palafox M, Guiu M, Berenguer-Llergo A, Symeonidi A,  
2615 Bellmunt A, Kalafatovic D, Arnal-Estapé A, Fernández E, Müllauer B, Groeneveld R,  
2616 Slobodnyuk K, Stephan-Otto Attolini C, Saura C, Arribas J, Cortes J, Rovira A, Muñoz M, Lluch  
2617 A, Serra V, Albanell J, Prat A, Nebreda AR, Benitah SA, Gomis RR. MSK1 regulates luminal

- 2618 cell differentiation and metastatic dormancy in ER+ breast cancer. *Nat Cell Biol.* 20: 211-221,  
2619 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41556-017-0021-z.
- 2620 118. Geissmann F, Manz MG, Jung S, Sieweke MH, Merad M, Ley K. Development of monocytes,  
2621 macrophages, and dendritic cells. *Science.* 327: 656-61, 2010. doi: 10.1126/science.
- 2622 119. Ghajar CM, Peinado H, Mori H, Matei IR, Evason KJ, Brazier H, Almeida D, Koller A, Hajjar  
2623 KA, Stainier DY, Chen EI, Lyden D, Bissell MJ. The perivascular niche regulates breast tumour  
2624 dormancy. *Nat Cell Biol* 15: 807-817, 2013. doi: 10.1038/ncb2767.
- 2625 120. Gnant M, Baselga J, Rugo HS, Noguchi S, Burris HA, Piccart M, Hortobagyi GN, Eakle J,  
2626 Mukai H, Iwata H, Geberth M, Hart LL, Hadji P, El-Hashimy M, Rao S, Taran T, Sahmoud T,  
2627 Lebwohl D, Campone M, Pritchard KI. Effect of everolimus on bone marker levels and  
2628 progressive disease in bone in BOLERO-2. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 105(9):654-663, 2013. doi:  
2629 10.1093/jnci/djt026.
- 2630 121. Gnant M, Pfeiler G, Dubsky PC, Hubalek M, Greil R, Jakesz R, Wette V, Balic M, Haslbauer F,  
2631 Melbinger E, Bjelic-Radisic V, Artner-Matuschek S, Fitzal F, Marth C, Sevela P, Mlineritsch B,  
2632 Steger GG, Manfreda D, Exner R, Egle D, Bergh J, Kainberger F, Talbot S, Warner D, Fesl C,  
2633 Singer CF; Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group. Adjuvant denosumab in  
2634 breast cancer (ABCSG-18): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.  
2635 *Lancet.* 386:433-443, 2015. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60995-3.
- 2636 122. Gnant M, Pfeiler G, Steger GG, Egle D, Greil R, Fitzal F, Wette V, Balic M, Haslbauer F,  
2637 Melbinger-Zeinitzer E, Bjelic-Radisic V, Jakesz R, Marth C, Sevela P, Mlineritsch B, Exner R,  
2638 Fesl C, Frantal S, Singer CF, on behalf of Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group.  
2639 Adjuvant denosumab in postmenopausal patients with hormone receptor-positive breast  
2640 cancer (ABCSG-18): disease-free survival results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-  
2641 controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 20: 339-351, 2019. doi: 10.1016/S1470-  
2642 2045(18)30862-3.

- 2643 123. Gnant MF, Mlineritsch B, Luschin-Ebengreuth G, Grampp S, Kaessmann H, Schmid M,  
2644 Menzel C, Piswanger-Soelkner JC, Galid A, Mittlboeck M, Hausmaninger H, Jakesz R;  
2645 Austrian Breast and Colorectal Cancer Study Group. Zoledronic acid prevents cancer  
2646 treatment-induced bone loss in premenopausal women receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy  
2647 for hormone-responsive breast cancer: a report from the Austrian Breast and Colorectal  
2648 Cancer Study Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 25:820-828, 2007. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.02.7102.
- 2649 124. Goto T, Nakao K, Gunjigake KK, Kido MA, Kobayashi S, Tanaka T. Substance P stimulates  
2650 late-stage rat osteoblastic bone formation through neurokinin-1 receptors. *Neuropeptides* 41:  
2651 25-31, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.npep.2006.11.002.
- 2652 125. Grimaud E, Soubigou L, Couillaud S, Coipeau P, Moreau A, Passuti N, Gouin F, Redini F,  
2653 Heymann D. Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappaB ligand (RANKL)/osteoprotegerin  
2654 (OPG) ratio is increased in severe osteolysis. *Am. J Pathol.* 163: 2021-2031, 2003. doi:  
2655 10.1016/s0002-9440(10)63560-2.
- 2656 126. Guise TA, Yin JJ, Taylor SD, Kumagai Y, Dallas M, Boyce BF, Yoneda T, Mundy GR.  
2657 Evidence for a causal role of parathyroid hormone-related protein in the pathogenesis of  
2658 human breast cancer-mediated osteolysis. *J Clin Invest.* 98:1544-1549, 1996. DOI:  
2659 10.1172/JCI118947.
- 2660 127. Hadji P, Aapro MS, Body JJ, Gnant M, Brandi ML, Reginster JY, Zillikens MC, Glüer CC, de  
2661 Villiers T, Baber R, Roodman GD, Cooper C, Langdahl B, Palacios S, Kanis J, Al-Daghri N,  
2662 Nogues X, Eriksen EF, Kurth A, Rizzoli R, Coleman RE. Management of Aromatase Inhibitor-  
2663 Associated Bone Loss (AIBL) in postmenopausal women with hormone sensitive breast  
2664 cancer: Joint position statement of the IOF, CABS, ECTS, IEG, ESCEO IMS, and SIOG. *J*  
2665 *Bone Oncol.* 7:1-12, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2017.03.001.
- 2666 128. Hadji P, Coleman RE, Wilson C, Powles TJ, Clézardin P, Aapro M, Costa L, Body JJ,  
2667 Markopoulos C, Santini D, Diel I, Di Leo A, Cameron D, Dodwell D, Smith I, Gnant M, Gray R,

- 2668 Harbeck N, Thurlimann B, Untch M, Cortes J, Martin M, Albert US, Conte PF, Ejlertsen B,  
2669 Bergh J, Kaufmann M, Holen I. Adjuvant bisphosphonates in early breast cancer: consensus  
2670 guidance for clinical practice from a European Panel. *Ann Oncol.* 27:379-390, 2016. doi:  
2671 10.1093/annonc/mdv617.
- 2672 129. Hall CL, Bafico A, Dai J, Aaronson SA, Keller ET. Prostate cancer cells promote osteoblastic  
2673 bone metastases through Wnts. *Cancer Res.* 65:7554-7560, 2005. doi: 10.1158/0008-  
2674 5472.CAN-05-1317.
- 2675 130. Hall CL, Daignault SD, Shah RB, Pienta KJ, Keller ET. Dickkopf-1 expression increases early  
2676 in prostate cancer development and decreases during progression from primary tumor to  
2677 metastasis. *Prostate.* 68:1396-1404, 2008. doi: 10.1002/pros.20805.
- 2678 131. Hall CL, Zhang H, Baile S, Ljungman M, Kuhstoss S, Keller ET. p21CIP-1/WAF-1 induction is  
2679 required to inhibit prostate cancer growth elicited by deficient expression of the Wnt inhibitor  
2680 Dickkopf-1. *Cancer Res.* 70:9916-9926, 2010. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0440.
- 2681 132. Hamidi H, Ivaska J. Every step of the way: integrins in cancer progression and metastasis. *Nat*  
2682 *Rev Cancer* 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0038-z.
- 2683 133. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* 144: 646-674, 2011.  
2684 doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013.
- 2685 134. Hardaway AL, Herroon MK, Rajagurubandara E, Podgorski I. Bone marrow fat: linking  
2686 adipocyte-induced inflammation with skeletal metastases. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 33:527-453,  
2687 2014. doi: 10.1007/s10555-013-9484-y.
- 2688 135. Hardaway AL, Herroon MK, Rajagurubandara E, Podgorski I. Marrow adipocyte-derived  
2689 CXCL1 and CXCL2 contribute to osteolysis in metastatic prostate cancer. *Clin Exp Metastasis.*  
2690 32:353-368, 2015. doi: 10.1007/s10585-015-9714-5.
- 2691 136. Harms JF, Welch DR, Samant RS, Shevde LA, Miele ME, Babu GR, Goldberg SF, Gilman VR,  
2692 Sosnowski DM, Campo DA, Gay CV, Budgeon LR, Mercer R, Jewell J, Mastro AM, Donahue

- 2693 HJ, Erin N, Debies MT, Meehan WJ, Jones AL, Mbalaviele G, Nickols A, Christensen ND,  
2694 Melly R, Beck LN, Kent J, Rader RK, Kotyk JJ, Pagel MD, Westlin WF, Griggs DW. A small  
2695 molecule antagonist of the alpha(v)beta3 integrin suppresses MDA-MB-435 skeletal  
2696 metastasis. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 21:119-128, 2004.
- 2697 137. Hashimoto K, Ochi H, Sunamura S, Kosaka N, Mabuchi Y, Fukuda T, Yao K, Kanda H, Ae K,  
2698 Okawa A, Akazawa C, Ochiya T, Futakuchi M, Takeda S, Sato S. Cancer-secreted hsa-miR-  
2699 940 induces an osteoblastic phenotype in the bone metastatic microenvironment via targeting  
2700 ARHGAP1 and FAM134A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 115: 2204-2209, 2018. doi:  
2701 10.1073/pnas.1717363115.
- 2702 138. Haug JS, He XC, Grindley JC, Wunderlich JP, Gaudenz K, Ross JT, Paulson A, Wagner KP,  
2703 Xie Y, Zhu R, Yin T, Perry JM, Hembree MJ, Redenbaugh EP, Radice GL, Seidel C, Li L. N-  
2704 cadherin expression level distinguishes reserved versus primed states of hematopoietic stem  
2705 cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2:367-379, 2008. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2008.01.017.
- 2706 139. Henry DH, Costa L, Goldwasser F, Hirsh V, Hungria V, Prausova J, Scagliotti GV, Sleeboom  
2707 H, Spencer A, Vadhan-Raj S, von Moos R, Willenbacher W, Woll PJ, Wang J, Jiang Q, Jun S,  
2708 Dansey R, Yeh H. Randomized, double-blind study of denosumab versus zoledronic acid in  
2709 the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced cancer (excluding breast and  
2710 prostate cancer) or multiple myeloma. *J Clin Oncol.* 29:1125-1132, 2011. doi:  
2711 10.1200/JCO.2010.31.3304.
- 2712 140. Herroon MK, Diedrich JD, Rajagurubandara E, Martin C, Maddipati KR, Kim S, Heath EI,  
2713 Granneman J, Podgorski I. Prostate Tumor Cell-Derived IL1 $\beta$  Induces an Inflammatory  
2714 Phenotype in Bone Marrow Adipocytes and Reduces Sensitivity to Docetaxel via Lipolysis-  
2715 Dependent Mechanisms. *Mol Cancer Res.* 17:2508-2521, 2019. doi: 10.1158/1541-  
2716 7786.MCR-19-0540.



- 2717 141. Herroon MK, Rajagurubandara E, Diedrich JD, Heath EI, Podgorski I. Adipocyte-activated  
2718 oxidative and ER stress pathways promote tumor survival in bone via upregulation of Heme  
2719 Oxygenase 1 and Survivin. *Sci Rep.* 8:40, 2018. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17800-5.
- 2720 142. Herroon MK, Rajagurubandara E, Hardaway AL, Powell K, Turchick A, Feldmann D, Podgorski  
2721 I. Bone marrow adipocytes promote tumor growth in bone via FABP4-dependent mechanisms.  
2722 *Oncotarget.* 4:2108-2123, 2013. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.1482.
- 2723 143. Hesse E, Schröder S, Brandt D, Pamperin J, Saito H, Taipaleenmäki H. Sclerostin inhibition  
2724 alleviates breast cancer-induced bone metastases and muscle weakness. *JCI Insight.* pii:  
2725 125543, 2019. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.125543.
- 2726 144. Higano CS. Understanding treatments for bone loss and bone metastases in patients with  
2727 prostate cancer: a practical review and guide for the clinician. *Urol Clin North Am.* 3: 331-352,  
2728 2004. 10.1016/j.ucl.2004.01.001.
- 2729 145. Himmelstein AL, Foster JC, Khatcheressian JL, Roberts JD, Seisler DK, Novotny PJ, Qin R, Go  
2730 RS, Grubbs SS, O'Connor T, Velasco MR Jr, Weckstein D, O'Mara A, Loprinzi CL, Shapiro CL.  
2731 Effect of Longer-Interval vs Standard Dosing of Zoledronic Acid on Skeletal Events in Patients  
2732 With Bone Metastases: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* 317:48-58, 2017. doi:  
2733 10.1001/jama.2016.19425.
- 2734 146. Hiraga T, Kizaka-Kondoh S, Hirota K, Hiraoka M, Yoneda T. Hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible  
2735 factor-1 expression enhance osteolytic bone metastases of breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 67:  
2736 4157-4163, 2007. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-2355.
- 2737 147. Hiraga T, Myoui A, Hashimoto N, Sasaki A, Hata K, Morita Y, Yoshikawa H, Rosen CJ, Mundy  
2738 GR, Yoneda T. Bone-derived IGF mediates crosstalk between bone and breast cancer cells in  
2739 bony metastases. *Cancer Res.* 72:4238-4249, 2012. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3061.
- 2740 148. Hiraoka K, Zenmyo M, Watari K, Iguchi H, Fotovati A, Kimura YN, Hosoi F, Shoda T, Nagata  
2741 K, Osada H, Ono M, Kuwano M. Inhibition of bone and muscle metastases of lung cancer cells

- 2742 by a decrease in the number of monocytes/ macrophages. *Cancer Sci.* 99:1595-602, 2008.  
2743 doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2008.00880.x.
- 2744 149. Hiratsuka S, Watanabe A, Aburatani H, Maru Y. Tumour-mediated upregulation of  
2745 chemoattractants and recruitment of myeloid cells predetermines lung metastasis. *Nat Cell*  
2746 *Biol.* 8:1369-1375, 2006. doi: 10.1038/ncb1507.
- 2747 150. Hofbauer LC, Rachner TD, Coleman RE, Jakob F. Endocrine aspects of bone metastases.  
2748 *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2:500-512, 2014. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70203-1.
- 2749 151. Holen I, Lefley DV, Francis SE, Rennicks S, Bradbury S, Coleman RE, Ottewell P. IL-1 drives  
2750 breast cancer growth and bone metastasis in vivo. *Oncotarget* 7: 75571-75584, 2016. doi:  
2751 10.18632/oncotarget.12289.
- 2752 152. Hortobagyi GN. Everolimus plus exemestane for the treatment of advanced breast cancer: a  
2753 review of subanalyses from BOLERO-2. *Neoplasia* 17:279-288, 2015. doi:  
2754 10.1016/j.neo.2015.01.005.
- 2755 153. Hortobagyi GN, Theriault RL, Porter L, Blayney D, Lipton A, Sinoff C, Wheeler H, Simeone JF,  
2756 Seaman J, Knight RD. Efficacy of pamidronate in reducing skeletal complications in patients  
2757 with breast cancer and lytic bone metastases. Protocol 19 Aredia Breast Cancer Study Group.  
2758 *N Engl J Med.* 335:1785-1791, 1996. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199612123352401.
- 2759 154. Huang CF, Lira C, Chu K, Bilen MA, Lee YC, Ye X, Kim SM, Ortiz A, Wu FL, Logothetis CJ,  
2760 Yu-Lee LY, Lin SH. Cadherin-11 increases migration and invasion of prostate cancer cells and  
2761 enhances their interaction with osteoblasts. *Cancer Res* 70: 4580-4589, 2010. doi:  
2762 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3016.
- 2763 155. Huang J, Gu T, Ying J. A meta-analysis survey of appropriate bone turnover markers in the  
2764 detection of bone metastasis in lung cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 22:1015-1025, 2017. doi:  
2765 10.1007/s10147-017-1159-1.

- 2766 156. Iuliani M, Pantano F, Buttigliero C, Fioramonti M, Bertaglia V, Vincenzi B, Zoccoli A, Ribelli G,  
2767 Tucci M, Vignani F, Berruti A, Scagliotti GV, Tonini G, Santini D. Biological and clinical effects  
2768 of abiraterone on anti-resorptive and anabolic activity in bone microenvironment. *Oncotarget*.  
2769 6:12520-12528, 2015. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3724.
- 2770 157. James ND, Sydes MR, Clarke NW, Mason MD, Dearnaley DP, Spears MR, Ritchie AW, Parker  
2771 CC, Russell JM, Attard G, de Bono J, Cross W, Jones RJ, Thalmann G, Amos C, Matheson D,  
2772 Millman R, Alzouebi M, Beesley S, Birtle AJ, Brock S, Cathomas R, Chakraborti P, Chowdhury  
2773 S, Cook A, Elliott T, Gale J, Gibbs S, Graham JD, Hetherington J, Hughes R, Laing R,  
2774 McKinna F, McLaren DB, O'Sullivan JM, Parikh O, Peedell C, Protheroe A, Robinson AJ,  
2775 Srihari N, Srinivasan R, Staffurth J, Sundar S, Tolan S, Tsang D, Wagstaff J, Parmar MK;  
2776 STAMPEDE investigators. Addition of docetaxel, zoledronic acid, or both to first-line long-term  
2777 hormone therapy in prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): survival results from an adaptive, multiarm,  
2778 multistage, platform randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 387:1163-1177, 2016. doi:  
2779 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01037-5.
- 2780 158. Jamieson WL, Shimizu S, D'Ambrosio JA, Meucci O, Fatatis A. CX3CR1 is expressed by  
2781 prostate epithelial cells and androgens regulate the levels of CX3CL1/fractalkine in the bone  
2782 marrow: potential role in prostate cancer bone tropism. *Cancer Res*. 68:1715-22, 2008. doi:  
2783 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1315.
- 2784 159. Jamieson-Gladney WL, Zhang Y, Fong AM, Meucci O, Fatatis A. The chemokine receptor  
2785 CX<sub>3</sub>CR1 is directly involved in the arrest of breast cancer cells to the skeleton. *Breast Cancer*  
2786 *Res*. 13:R91, 2011. doi: 10.1186/bcr3016.
- 2787 160. Jensen AB, Wynne C, Ramirez G, He W, Song Y, Berd Y, Wang H, Mehta A, Lombardi A. The  
2788 cathepsin K inhibitor odanacatib suppresses bone resorption in women with breast cancer and  
2789 established bone metastases: results of a 4-week, double-blind, randomized, controlled trial.  
2790 *Clin Breast Cancer*. 10:452-458, 2010. doi: 10.3816/CBC.2010.n.059.

- 2791 161. Jiao S, Subudhi SK, Aparicio A, Ge Z, Guan B, Miura Y, Sharma P. Differences in Tumor  
2792 Microenvironment Dictate T Helper Lineage Polarization and Response to Immune Checkpoint  
2793 Therapy. *Cell*. 179:1177-1190.e13, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.029.
- 2794 162. Jimenez-Andrade JM, Ghilardi JR, Castañeda-Corral G, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW.  
2795 Preventive or late administration of anti-NGF therapy attenuates tumor-induced nerve  
2796 sprouting, neuroma formation, and cancer pain. *Pain*. 152:2564-2574, 2011. doi:  
2797 10.1016/j.pain.2011.07.020.
- 2798 163. Johnson RW, Finger EC, Olcina MM, Vilalta M, Aguilera T, Miao Y, Merkel AR, Johnson JR,  
2799 Sterling JA, Wu JY, Giaccia AJ. Induction of LIFR confers a dormancy phenotype in breast  
2800 cancer cells disseminated to the bone marrow. *Nat Cell Biol* 18: 1078-1089, 2016. doi:  
2801 10.1038/ncb3408.
- 2802 164. Jones DH, Nakashima T, Sanchez OH, Kozieradzki I, Komarova SV, Sarosi I, Morony S, Rubin  
2803 E, Sarao R, Hojilla CV, Komnenovic V, Kong YY, Schreiber M, Dixon SJ, Sims SM, Khokha R,  
2804 Wada T, Penninger JM. Regulation of cancer cell migration and bone metastasis by RANKL.  
2805 *Nature* 440: 692-696, 2006. doi: 10.1038/nature04524.
- 2806 165. Jung K, Lein M. Bone turnover markers in serum and urine as diagnostic, prognostic and  
2807 monitoring biomarkers of bone metastasis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1846:425-438, 2014. doi:  
2808 10.1016/j.bbcan.2014.09.001.
- 2809 166. Jung Y, Kim JK, Shiozawa Y, Wang J, Mishra A, Joseph J, Berry JE, McGee S, Lee E, Sun H,  
2810 Wang J, Jin T, Zhang H, Dai J, Krebsbach PH, Keller ET, Pienta KJ, Taichman RS.  
2811 Recruitment of mesenchymal stem cells into prostate tumours promotes metastasis. *Nat*  
2812 *Commun*. 4:1795, 2013. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2766.
- 2813 167. Jung Y, Wang J, Lee E, McGee S, Berry JE, Yumoto K, Dai J, Keller ET, Shiozawa Y,  
2814 Taichman RS. Annexin 2-CXCL12 interactions regulate metastatic cell targeting and growth in  
2815 the bone marrow. *Mol Cancer Res*. 13:197-207, 2015. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0118.

- 2816 168. Kakonen SM, Selander KS, Chirgwin JM, Yin JJ, Burns S, Rankin WA, Grubbs BG, Dallas M,  
2817 Cui Y, Guise TA. Transforming growth factor-beta stimulates parathyroid hormone-related  
2818 protein and osteolytic metastases via Smad and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling  
2819 pathways. *J Biol Chem* 277:24571-24578, 2002. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M202561200.
- 2820 169. Kaplan RN, Riba RD, Zacharoulis S, Bramley AH, Vincent L, Costa C, MacDonald DD, Jin DK,  
2821 Shido K, Kerns SA, Zhu Z, Hicklin D, Wu Y, Port JL, Altorki N, Port ER, Ruggero D, Shmelkov  
2822 SV, Jensen KK, Rafii S, Lyden D. VEGFR1-positive haematopoietic bone marrow progenitors  
2823 initiate the pre-metastatic niche. *Nature*. 438:820-7, 2005. doi: 10.1038/nature04186.
- 2824 170. Katoh M, Katoh M. Molecular genetics and targeted therapy of WNT-related human diseases.  
2825 *Int J Mol Med* 40 :587-606, 2017. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2017.3071.
- 2826 171. Katsuno Y, Hanyu A, Kanda H, Ishikawa Y, Akiyama F, Iwase T, Ogata E, Ehata S, Miyazono  
2827 K, Imamura T. Bone morphogenetic protein signaling enhances invasion and bone metastasis  
2828 of breast cancer cells through Smad pathway. *Oncogene*. 27:6322-6333, 2008. doi:  
2829 10.1038/onc.2008.232.
- 2830 172. Keskinov AA, Shurin MR. Myeloid regulatory cells in tumor spreading and metastasis.  
2831 *Immunobiology* 220: 236-242, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.
- 2832 173. Khoo WH, Ledergor G, Weiner A, Roden DL, Terry RL, McDonald MM, Chai RC, De Veirman  
2833 K, Owen KL, Opperman KS, Vandyke K, Clark JR, Seckinger A, Kovacic N, Nguyen A,  
2834 Mohanty ST, Pettitt JA, Xiao Y, Corr AP, Seeliger C, Novotny M, Lasken RS, Nguyen TV,  
2835 Oyajobi BO, Aftab D, Swarbrick A, Parker B, Hewett DR, Hose D, Vanderkerken K, Zannettino  
2836 ACW, Amit I, Phan TG, Croucher PI. A niche-dependent myeloid transcriptome signature  
2837 defines dormant myeloma cells. *Blood* 134:30-43, 2019. doi: 10.1182/blood.2018880930.
- 2838 174. Kimura T, Kuwata T, Ashimine S, Yamazaki M, Yamauchi C, Nagai K, Ikehara A, Feng Y,  
2839 Dimitrov DS, Saito S, Ochiai A. Targeting of bone-derived insulin-like growth factor-II by a

- 2840 human neutralizing antibody suppresses the growth of prostate cancer cells in a human bone  
2841 environment. *Clin Cancer Res.* 16:121-129, 2010. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0982.
- 2842 175. Kirschenbaum A, Izadmehr S, Yao S, O'Connor-Chapman KL, Huang A, Gregoriades EM,  
2843 Yakar S, Levine AC. Prostatic Acid Phosphatase Alters the RANKL/OPG System and Induces  
2844 Osteoblastic Prostate Cancer Bone Metastases. *Endocrinology* 157:4526-4533, 2016. doi:  
2845 [10.1210/en.2016-1606](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1606).
- 2846 176. Kitagawa Y, Dai J, Zhang J, Keller JM, Nor J, Yao Z, Keller ET. Vascular endothelial growth  
2847 factor contributes to prostate cancer-mediated osteoblastic activity. *Cancer Res* 65:10921-  
2848 10929, 2005. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1809.
- 2849 177. Kobayashi A, Okuda H, Xing F, Pandey PR, Watabe M, Hirota S, Pai SK, Liu W, Fukuda K,  
2850 Chambers C, Wilber A, Watabe K. Bone morphogenetic protein 7 in dormancy and metastasis  
2851 of prostate cancer stem-like cells in bone. *J Exp Med* 208: 2641-2655, 2011. doi:  
2852 [10.1084/jem.20110840](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20110840).
- 2853 178. Koeneman KS, Yeung F, Chung LW. Osteomimetic properties of prostate cancer cells: a  
2854 hypothesis supporting the predilection of prostate cancer metastasis and growth in the bone  
2855 environment. *Prostate* 39:246-261, 1999. doi: [10.1002/\(sici\)1097-  
2856 0045\(19990601\)39:4<246::aid-pros5>3.0.co;2-u](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0045(19990601)39:4<246::aid-pros5>3.0.co;2-u).
- 2857 179. Kohno N, Aogi K, Minami H, Nakamura S, Asaga T, Iino Y, Watanabe T, Goessl C, Ohashi Y,  
2858 Takashima S. Zoledronic acid significantly reduces skeletal complications compared with  
2859 placebo in Japanese women with bone metastases from breast cancer: a randomized,  
2860 placebo-controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 23:3314-3321, 2005. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.05.116.
- 2861 180. Koopmans N, de Jong IJ, Breeuwsma AJ, van der Veer E. Serum bone turnover markers  
2862 (PINP and ICTP) for the early detection of bone metastases in patients with prostate cancer: a  
2863 longitudinal approach. *J Urol.* 178: 849-853, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.05.029.

- 2864 181. Krzeszinski JY, Wei W, Huynh H, Jin Z, Wang X, Chang TC, Xie XJ, He L, Mangala LS, Lopez-  
2865 Berestein G, Sood AK, Mendell JT, Wan Y. miR-34a blocks osteoporosis and bone metastasis  
2866 by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis and Tgif2. *Nature*. 512:431-435, 2014. doi:  
2867 10.1038/nature13375.
- 2868 182. Kusumbe AP, Ramasamy SK, Adams RH. Coupling of angiogenesis and osteogenesis by a  
2869 specific vessel subtype in bone. *Nature* 507:323-328, 2014. doi: 10.1038/nature13145.
- 2870 183. Lacey DL, Boyle WJ, Simonet WS, Kostenuik PJ, Dougall WC, Sullivan JK, San Martin J,  
2871 Dansey R. Bench to bedside: elucidation of the OPG-RANK-RANKL pathway and the  
2872 development of denosumab. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 11: 401-419, 2012. doi: 10.1038/nrd3705.
- 2873 184. Lamiche C, Clarhaut J, Strale PO, Crespin S, Pedretti N, Bernard FX, Naus CC, Chen VC,  
2874 Foster LJ, Defamie N, Mesnil M, Debiais F, Cronier L. The gap junction protein Cx43 is  
2875 involved in the bone-targeted metastatic behaviour of human prostate cancer cells. *Clin Exp*  
2876 *Metastasis*. 29:111-122, 2012. doi: 10.1007/s10585-011-9434-4.
- 2877 185. Lamoureux F, Baud'huin M, Rodriguez Calleja L, Jacques C, Berreur M, Rédini F, Lecanda F,  
2878 Bradner JE, Heymann D, Ory B. Selective inhibition of BET bromodomain epigenetic signalling  
2879 interferes with the bone-associated tumour vicious cycle. *Nat Commun*. 5:3511, 2014. doi:  
2880 10.1038/ncomms4511.
- 2881 186. Langdahl B, Binkley N, Bone H, Gilchrist N, Resch H, Rodriguez Portales J, Denker A,  
2882 Lombardi A, Le Bailly De Tillegem C, Dasilva C, Rosenberg E, Leung A. Odanacatib in the  
2883 treatment of postmenopausal women with low bone mineral density: five years of continued  
2884 therapy in a phase 2 study. *J Bone Miner Res*. 27:2251-2258, 2012. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1695.
- 2885 187. Lara PN Jr, Ely B, Quinn DI, Mack PC, Tangen C, Gertz E, Twardowski PW, Goldkorn A,  
2886 Hussain M, Vogelzang NJ, Thompson IM, Van Loan MD. Serum biomarkers of bone  
2887 metabolism in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with skeletal metastases: results  
2888 from SWOG 0421. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 106:dju013, 2014. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dju013.

- 2889 188. Larson SR, Chin J, Zhang X, Brown LG, Coleman IM, Lakely B, Tenniswood M, Corey E,  
2890 Nelson PS, Vessella RL, Morrissey C. Prostate cancer derived prostatic acid phosphatase  
2891 promotes an osteoblastic response in the bone microenvironment. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 31:  
2892 247-256, 2014. doi: 10.1007/s10585-013-9625-2.
- 2893 189. Lawson MA, McDonald MM, Kovacic N, Hua Khoo W, Terry RL, Down J, Kaplan W, Paton-  
2894 Hough J, Fellows C, Pettitt JA, Neil Dear T, Van Valckenborgh E, Baldock PA, Rogers MJ,  
2895 Eaton CL, Vanderkerken K, Pettit AR, Quinn JM, Zannettino AC, Phan TG, Croucher PI.  
2896 Osteoclasts control reactivation of dormant myeloma cells by remodelling the endosteal niche.  
2897 *Nat Commun* 6:8983, 2015. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9983.
- 2898 190. Leblanc R, Lee SC, David M, Bordet JC, Norman DD, Patil R, Miller D, Sahay D, Ribeiro J,  
2899 Clézardin P, Tigyí GJ, Peyruchaud O. Interaction of platelet-derived autotaxin with tumor  
2900 integrin  $\alpha V\beta 3$  controls metastasis of breast cancer cells to bone. *Blood* 124:3141-3150, 2014.  
2901 doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-04-56868.
- 2902 191. Leblanc R, Peyruchaud O. Metastasis: new functional implications of platelets and  
2903 megakaryocytes. *Blood* 128:24-31, 2016. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-636399.
- 2904 192. Lee C, Whang YM, Campbell P, Mulcrone PL, Elefteriou F, Cho SW, Park SI. Dual targeting c-  
2905 met and VEGFR2 in osteoblasts suppresses growth and osteolysis of prostate cancer bone  
2906 metastasis. *Cancer Lett.* 414:205-213, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2017.11.016.
- 2907 193. Lee JH, Kim HN, Kim KO, Jin WJ, Lee S, Kim HH, Ha H, Lee ZH. CXCL10 promotes osteolytic  
2908 bone metastasis by enhancing cancer outgrowth and osteoclastogenesis. *Cancer Res* 72:  
2909 3175-3186, 2012. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-0481.
- 2910 194. Lee RJ, Smith MR. Targeting MET and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor signaling in  
2911 castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer J.* 19:90-98, 2013. doi:  
2912 10.1097/PPO.0b013e318281e280.



- 2913 195. Lee YC, Cheng CJ, Bilen MA, Lu JF, Satcher RL, Yu-Lee LY, Gallick GE, Maity SN, Lin SH.  
2914 BMP4 promotes prostate tumor growth in bone through osteogenesis. *Cancer Res* 71: 5194-  
2915 5203, 2011. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4374.
- 2916 196. Le Gall C, Bellahcène A, Bonnelye E, Gasser JA, Castronovo V, Green J, Zimmermann J,  
2917 Clézardin P. A cathepsin K inhibitor reduces breast cancer induced osteolysis and skeletal  
2918 tumor burden. *Cancer Res.* 67:9894-9902, 2007. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3940.
- 2919 197. Lemma S, Di Pompo G, Porporato PE, Sboarina M, Russell S, Gillies RJ, Baldini N, Sonveaux  
2920 P, Avnet S. MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells fuel osteoclast metabolism and activity: A new  
2921 rationale for the pathogenesis of osteolytic bone metastases. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis*  
2922 *Dis.* 1863:3254-3264, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2017.08.030.
- 2923 198. Leto G. Activin A and bone metastasis. *J Cell Physiol.* 225:302-309, 2010. doi:  
2924 10.1002/jcp.22272.
- 2925 199. Lev DC, Kim SJ, Onn A, Stone V, Nam DH, Yazici S, Fidler IJ, Price JE. Inhibition of platelet-  
2926 derived growth factor receptor signaling restricts the growth of human breast cancer in the  
2927 bone of nude mice. *Clin Cancer Res.* 11:306-314, 2005.
- 2928 200. Li XQ, Lu JT, Tan CC, Wang QS, Feng YM. RUNX2 promotes breast cancer bone metastasis  
2929 by increasing integrin  $\alpha 5$ -mediated colonization. *Cancer Lett* 380: 78-86, 2016. doi:  
2930 10.1016/j.canlet.2016.06.007.
- 2931 201. Li ZG, Mathew P, Yang J, Starbuck MW, Zurita AJ, Liu J, Sikes C, Multani AS, Efstathiou E,  
2932 Lopez A, Wang J, Fanning TV, Prieto VG, Kundra V, Vazquez ES, Troncoso P, Raymond AK,  
2933 Logothetis CJ, Lin SH, Maity S, Navone NM. Androgen receptor-negative human prostate  
2934 cancer cells induce osteogenesis in mice through FGF9-mediated mechanisms. *J Clin Invest*  
2935 118: 2697-2710, 2008. doi: 10.1172/JCI33093.
- 2936 202. Li ZG, Yang J, Vazquez ES, Rose D, Vakar-Lopez F, Mathew P, Lopez A, Logothetis CJ, Lin  
2937 SH, Navone NM. Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) mediates the

- 2938 prostate cancer-induced formation of new bone. *Oncogene* 27:596-603, 2008. doi:  
2939 10.1038/sj.onc.1210694.
- 2940 203. Liao J, Li X, Koh AJ, Berry JE, Thudi N, Rosol TJ, Pienta KJ, McCauley LK. Tumor expressed  
2941 PTHrP facilitates prostate cancer-induced osteoblastic lesions. *Int J Cancer* 123: 2267-78,  
2942 2008. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23602.
- 2943 204. Lin SC, Lee YC, Yu G, Cheng CJ, Zhou X, Chu K, Murshed M, Le NT, Baseler L, Abe JI,  
2944 Fujiwara K, deCrombrughe B, Logothetis CJ, Gallick GE, Yu-Lee LY, Maity SN, Lin SH.  
2945 Endothelial-to-osteoblast conversion generates osteoblastic metastasis of prostate cancer.  
2946 *Dev Cell* 41: 467-480, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.05.005.
- 2947 205. Lindemann F, Schlimok G, Dirschedl P, Witte J, Riethmüller G. Prognostic significance of  
2948 micrometastatic tumour cells in bone marrow of colorectal cancer patients. *Lancet*. 340:685-  
2949 689, 1992. doi: [10.1016/0140-6736\(92\)92230-d](https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(92)92230-d).
- 2950 206. Lipton A, Smith MR, Fizazi K, Stopeck AT, Henry D, Brown JE, Shore ND, Saad F, Spencer A,  
2951 Zhu L, Warner DJ. Changes in Bone Turnover Marker Levels and Clinical Outcomes in  
2952 Patients with Advanced Cancer and Bone Metastases Treated with Bone Antiresorptive  
2953 Agents. *Clin Cancer Res*. 22:5713-5721, 2016. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-3086.
- 2954 207. Lipton A, Theriault RL, Hortobagyi GN, Simeone J, Knight RD, Mellars K, Reitsma DJ,  
2955 Heffernan M, Seaman JJ. Pamidronate prevents skeletal complications and is effective  
2956 palliative treatment in women with breast carcinoma and osteolytic bone metastases: long term  
2957 follow-up of two randomized, placebo-controlled trials. *Cancer*. 88:1082-1090, 2000. doi:  
2958 [10.1002/\(sici\)1097-0142\(20000301\)88:5<1082::aid-cnrcr20>3.0.co;2-z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0142(20000301)88:5<1082::aid-cnrcr20>3.0.co;2-z).
- 2959 208. Liu Z, Liu H, He J, Lin P, Tong Q, Yang J. Myeloma cells shift osteoblastogenesis to  
2960 adipogenesis by inhibiting the ubiquitin ligase MURF1 in mesenchymal stem cells. *Sci Signal*.  
2961 13:eaay8203, 2020. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aay8203.
- 2962 209. Llombart A, Frassoldati A, Pajja O, Sleeboom HP, Jerusalem G, Mebis J, Deleu I, Miller J,  
2963 Schenk N, Neven P. Immediate Administration of Zoledronic Acid Reduces Aromatase

- 2964 Inhibitor-Associated Bone Loss in Postmenopausal Women With Early Breast Cancer: 12-  
2965 month analysis of the E-ZO-FAST trial. *Clin Breast Cancer*. 12:40-48, 2012. doi:  
2966 10.1016/j.clbc.2011.08.002.
- 2967 210. Logothetis CJ, Lin SH. Osteoblasts in prostate cancer metastasis to bone. *Nat Rev Cancer*  
2968 5:21-28, 2005. doi: 10.1038/nrc1528.
- 2969 211. López-Soto A, Gonzalez S, Smyth MJ, Galluzzi L. Control of Metastasis by NK Cells. *Cancer*  
2970 *Cell*. 32:135-154, 2017. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2017.06.009
- 2971 212. Lotinun S, Pearsall RS, Davies MV, Marvell TH, Monnell TE, Ucran J, Fajardo RJ, Kumar R,  
2972 Underwood KW, Seehra J, Bouxsein ML, Baron R. A soluble activin receptor Type IIA fusion  
2973 protein (ACE-011) increases bone mass via a dual anabolic-antiresorptive effect in  
2974 Cynomolgus monkeys. *Bone*. 46:1082-1088, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.01.370.
- 2975 213. Lu X, Kang Y. Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 engages CCR2+ stromal cells of monocytic  
2976 origin to promote breast cancer metastasis to lung and bone. *J Biol Chem*. 284:29087-29096,  
2977 2009. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.035899.
- 2978 214. Lu X, Mu E, Wei Y, Riethdorf S, Yang Q, Yuan M, Yan J, Hua Y, Tiede BJ, Lu X, Haffty BG,  
2979 Pantel K, Massagué J, Kang Y. VCAM-1 promotes osteolytic expansion of indolent bone  
2980 micrometastasis of breast cancer by engaging  $\alpha 4 \beta 1$ -positive osteoclast progenitors. *Cancer*  
2981 *Cell* 20: 701-714, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.11.002.
- 2982 215. Luo J, Yang Z, Ma Y, Yue Z, Lin H, Qu G, Huang J, Dai W, Li C, Zheng C, Xu L, Chen H,  
2983 Wang J, Li D, Siwko S, Penninger JM, Ning G, Xiao J, Liu M. LGR4 is a receptor for RANKL  
2984 and negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. *Nat Med*. 22:539-546,  
2985 2016. doi: 10.1038/nm.4076.
- 2986 216. Luo X, Fu Y, Loza AJ, Murali B, Leahy KM, Ruhland MK, Gang M, Su X, Zamani A, Shi Y,  
2987 Lavine KJ, Ornitz DM, Weilbaecher KN, Long F, Novack DV, Faccio R, Longmore GD, Stewart

- 2988 SA. Stromal-Initiated Changes in the Bone Promote Metastatic Niche Development. *Cell Rep.*  
2989 14:82-92, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2015.12.016.
- 2990 217. Luzzi KJ, MacDonald IC, Schmidt EE, Kerkvliet N, Morris VL, Chambers AF, Groom AC.  
2991 Multistep nature of metastatic inefficiency: dormancy of solitary cells after successful  
2992 extravasation and limited survival of early micrometastases. *Am J Pathol.* 153:865-873, 1998.  
2993 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)65628-3.
- 2994 218. Ma Y, Shurin GV, Peiyuan Z, Shurin MR. Dendritic cells in the cancer microenvironment. *J*  
2995 *Cancer.* 4:36-44, 2013. doi: 10.7150/jca.5046.
- 2996 219. Maeda H, Yonou H, Yano K, Ishii G, Saito S, Ochiai A. Prostate-specific antigen enhances  
2997 bioavailability of insulin-like growth factor by degrading insulin-like growth factor binding protein  
2998 5. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 381: 311-316, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.01.096.
- 2999 220. Major PP, Cook RJ, Chen BL, Zheng M. Survival-adjusted multiple-event analysis for the  
3000 evaluation of treatment effects of zoledronic Acid in patients with bone metastases from solid  
3001 tumors. *Support Cancer Ther.* 2:234-240, 2005. doi: 10.3816/SCT.2005.n.017.
- 3002 221. Malanchi I, Santamaria-Martinez A, Susanto E, Peng H, Lehr HA, Delaloye JF, Huelsken J.  
3003 Interactions between cancer stem cells and their niche govern metastatic colonization. *Nature*  
3004 481: 85-89, 2011. doi: 10.1038/nature10694.
- 3005 222. Manisterski M, Golan M, Amir S, Weisman Y, Mabeesh NJ. Hypoxia induces PTHrP gene  
3006 transcription in human cancer cells through the HIF-2 $\alpha$ . *Cell Cycle.* 9:3723-3729, 2010.
- 3007 223. Maroni P. Megakaryocytes in Bone Metastasis: Protection or Progression? *Cells.* 8: 2019. pii:  
3008 E134. doi: 10.3390/cells8020134.
- 3009 224. Maroni P, Bendinelli P, Matteucci E, Locatelli A, Nakamura T, Scita G, Desiderio MA.  
3010 Osteolytic bone metastasis is hampered by impinging on the interplay among autophagy,  
3011 anoikis and ossification. *Cell Death Dis* 5:e1005, 2014. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.465.

- 3012 225. Marshall JC, Collins JW, Nakayama J, Horak CE, Liewehr DJ, Steinberg SM, Albaugh M,  
3013 Vidal-Vanaclocha F, Palmieri D, Barbier M, Murone M, Steeg PS. Effect of inhibition of the  
3014 lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 on metastasis and metastatic dormancy in breast cancer. *J*  
3015 *Natl Cancer Inst* 104: 1306-1319, 2012. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djs319.
- 3016 226. McCaffrey G, Thompson ML, Majuta L, Fealk MN, Chartier S, Longo G, Mantyh PW. NGF  
3017 blockade at early times during bone cancer development attenuates bone destruction and  
3018 increases limb use. *Cancer Res.* 74:7014-7023, 2014. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-1220.
- 3019 227. McDonald MM, Reagan MR, Youlten SE, Mohanty ST, Seckinger A, Terry RL, Pettitt JA, Simic  
3020 MK, Cheng TL, Morse A, Le LMT, Abi-Hanna D, Kramer I, Falank C, Fairfield H, Ghobrial IM,  
3021 Baldock PA, Little DG, Kneissel M, Vanderkerken K, Bassett JHD, Williams GR, Oyajobi BO,  
3022 Hose D, Phan TG, Croucher PJ. Inhibiting the osteocyte-specific protein sclerostin increases  
3023 bone mass and fracture resistance in multiple myeloma. *Blood.* 129:3452-3464, 2017. doi:  
3024 10.1182/blood-2017-03-773341.
- 3025 228. Melchior SW, Corey E, Ellis WJ, Ross AA, Layton TJ, Oswin MM, Lange PH, Vessella RL.  
3026 Early tumor cell dissemination in patients with clinically localized carcinoma of the prostate.  
3027 *Clin Cancer Res.* 3:249-256, 1997.
- 3028 229. Mercadante S, Arcuri E. Breakthrough pain in cancer patients: pathophysiology and treatment.  
3029 *Cancer Treat Rev.* 24:425-432, 1998. doi: 10.1016/s0305-7372(98)90005-6.
- 3030 230. Meunier P, Aaron J, Edouard C, Vignon G. Osteoporosis and the replacement of cell  
3031 populations of the marrow by adipose tissue. A quantitative study of 84 iliac bone biopsies.  
3032 *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 80:147-154, 1971. doi: 10.1097/00003086-197110000-00021.
- 3033 231. Miyamoto K, Yoshida S, Kawasumi M, Hashimoto K, Kimura T, Sato Y, Kobayashi T, Miyauchi  
3034 Y, Hoshi H, Iwasaki R, Miyamoto H, Hao W, Morioka H, Chiba K, Kobayashi T, Yasuda H,  
3035 Penninger JM, Toyama Y, Suda T, Miyamoto T. Osteoclasts are dispensable for hematopoietic

- 3036 stem cell maintenance and mobilization. *J Exp Med* 208: 2175-2181, 2011. doi:  
3037 10.1084/jem.20101890.
- 3038 232. Mizutani K, Sud S, McGregor NA, Martinovski G, Rice BT, Craig MJ, Varsos ZS, Roca H,  
3039 Pienta KJ. The chemokine CCL2 increases prostate tumor growth and bone metastasis  
3040 through macrophage and osteoclast recruitment. *Neoplasia*. 11:1235-1242, 2009. doi:  
3041 10.1593/neo.09988.
- 3042 233. Mowers EE, Sharifi MN, Macleod KF. Autophagy in cancer metastasis. *Oncogene*. 2017  
3043 36:1619-1630, 2017. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.333.
- 3044 234. Mulcrone PL, Campbell JP, Clément-Demange L, Anbinder AL, Merkel AR, Brekken RA,  
3045 Sterling JA, Elefteriou F. Skeletal Colonization by Breast Cancer Cells Is Stimulated by an  
3046 Osteoblast and  $\beta$ 2AR-Dependent Neo-Angiogenic Switch. *J Bone Miner Res*. 32:1442-1454,  
3047 2017. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3133.
- 3048 235. Müller A, Homey B, Soto H, Ge N, Catron D, Buchanan ME, McClanahan T, Murphy E, Yuan  
3049 W, Wagner SN, Barrera JL, Mohar A, Verástegui E, Zlotnik A. Involvement of chemokine  
3050 receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* 410: 50-56, 2001. doi: 10.1038/35065016.
- 3051 236. Mundy GR. Metastasis to bone: causes, consequences and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev*  
3052 *Cancer*. 2:584-593, 2002. DOI: [10.1038/nrc867](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc867).
- 3053 237. Murillo-Garzón V, Kypta R. WNT signalling in prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Urol*. 14:683-696,  
3054 2017. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2017.144.
- 3055 238. Nakai Y, Okamoto K, Terashima A, Ehata S, Nishida J, Imamura T, Ono T, Takayanagi H.  
3056 Efficacy of an orally active small-molecule inhibitor of RANKL in bone metastasis. *Bone Res*.  
3057 7:1, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41413-018-0036-5.
- 3058 239. Nandana S, Tripathi M, Duan P, Chu CY, Mishra R, Liu C, Jin R, Yamashita H, Zayzafoon M,  
3059 Bhowmick NA, Zhou HE, Matusik RJ, Chung LW. Bone Metastasis of Prostate Cancer Can Be

- 3060 Therapeutically Targeted at the TBX2-WNT Signaling Axis. *Cancer Res* 77:1331-1344, 2017.  
3061 doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-0497.
- 3062 240. Nelson JB, Fizazi K, Miller K, Higano C, Moul JW, Akaza H, Morris T, McIntosh S, Pemberton  
3063 K, Gleave M. Phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled study of zibotentan (ZD4054) in  
3064 patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer metastatic to bone. *Cancer*. 118:5709-18,  
3065 2012. doi: 10.1002/cncr.27674.
- 3066 241. Nguyen DX, Bos PD, Massagué J. Metastasis: from dissemination to organ-specific  
3067 colonization. *Nat Rev Cancer* 9: 274-284, 2009. doi: 10.1038/nrc2622.
- 3068 242. Nilsson S, Larsen RH, Fossa SD. First clinical experience with a-emitting radium-223 in the  
3069 treatment of skeletal metastases. *Clin Cancer Res*. 11:4451-4459, 2005.
- 3070 243. Nutter F, Holen I, Brown HK, Cross SS, Evans CA, Walker M, Coleman RE, Westbrook JA,  
3071 Selby PJ, Brown JE, Ottewill PD. Different molecular profiles are associated with breast  
3072 cancer cell homing compared with colonisation of bone: evidence using a novel bone-seeking  
3073 cell line. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 21:327-341, 2014. doi: 10.1530/ERC-13-0158.
- 3074 244. O'Donnell A, Judson I, Dowsett M, Raynaud F, Dearnaley D, Mason M, Harland S, Robbins A,  
3075 Halbert G, Nutley B, Jarman M. Hormonal impact of the 17alpha-hydroxylase/C(17,20)-lyase  
3076 inhibitor abiraterone acetate (CB7630) in patients with prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 90:2317-  
3077 2325, 2004. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601879.
- 3078 245. Okamoto K, Nakashima T, Shinohara M, Negishi-Koga T, Komatsu N, Terashima A, Sawa S,  
3079 Nitta T, Takayanagi H. Osteoimmunology: The Conceptual Framework Unifying the Immune  
3080 and Skeletal Systems. *Physiol Rev* 97: 1295-1349, 2017. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00036.
- 3081 246. Ono M, Kosaka N, Tominaga N, Yoshioka Y, Takeshita F, Takahashi RU, Yoshida M, Tsuda  
3082 H, Tamura K, Ochiya T. Exosomes from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells contain a  
3083 microRNA that promotes dormancy in metastatic breast cancer cells. *Sci Signal*. 7:ra63, 2014.  
3084 doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2005231.

- 3085 247. Oskarsson T, Battle E, Massagué J. Metastatic stem cells: sources, niches, and vital  
3086 pathways. *Cell Stem Cell* 14: 306-321, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2014.02.002.
- 3087 248. Ottewell PD. The role of osteoblasts in bone metastasis. *J Bone Oncol* 5: 124-127, 2016. doi:  
3088 10.1016/j.jbo.2016.03.007.
- 3089 249. Ottewell PD, Wang N, Brown HK, Fowles CA, Croucher PI, Eaton CL, Holen I. OPG-Fc inhibits  
3090 ovariectomy-induced growth of disseminated breast cancer cells in bone. *Int J Cancer*  
3091 137:968-977, 2015. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29439.
- 3092 250. Ottewell PD, Wang N, Brown HK, Reeves KJ, Fowles CA, Croucher PI, Eaton CL, Holen I.  
3093 Zoledronic acid has differential antitumor activity in the pre- and postmenopausal bone  
3094 microenvironment in vivo. *Clin Cancer Res* 20: 2922-2932, 2014. doi: 10.1158/1078-  
3095 0432.CCR-13-1246.
- 3096 251. Ottewell PD, Wang N, Meek J, Fowles CA, Croucher PI, Eaton CL, Holen I. Castration-induced  
3097 bone loss triggers growth of disseminated prostate cancer cells in bone. *Endocr Relat Cancer*  
3098 21: 769-781, 2014. doi: 10.1530/ERC-14-0199.
- 3099 252. Ouellet V, Tiedemann K, Mourskaia A, Fong JE, Tran-Thanh D, Amir E, Clemons M, Perbal B,  
3100 Komarova SV, Siegel PM. CCN3 impairs osteoblast and stimulates osteoclast differentiation to  
3101 favor breast cancer metastasis to bone. *Am J Pathol* 178: 2377-2388, 2011. doi:  
3102 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.01.033.
- 3103 253. Owen KL, Parker BS. Beyond the vicious cycle: The role of innate osteoimmunity, automimicry  
3104 and tumor-inherent changes in dictating bone metastasis. *Mol Immunol*. 110: 57-68, 2019. doi:  
3105 10.1016/j.molimm.2017.11.023.
- 3106 254. Pantano F, Rossi E, Iuliani M, Facchinetti A, Simonetti S, Ribelli G, Zoccoli A, Vincenzi B,  
3107 Tonini G, Zamarchi R, Santini D. Dynamic changes of Receptor activator of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B  
3108 expression in Circulating Tumor Cells during Denosumab predict treatment effectiveness in  
3109 Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Sci Rep*. 10:1288, 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-58339-2.



- 3110 255. Pantano F, Croset M, Driouch K, Bednarz-Knoll N, Iuliani M, Ribelli G, Bonnelye E, Wilkman H,  
3111 Geraci S, Bonin F, Simonetti S, Vincenzi B, Hong SS, Sousa S, Pantel K, Tonini G, Santini D,  
3112 Clézardin P. Integrin alpha-5 in human breast cancer is a mediator of bone metastasis and a  
3113 therapeutic target for the treatment of osteolytic lesions. *Oncogene* (accepted for publication).
- 3114 256. Parfitt AM, Mundy GR, Roodman GD, Hughes DE, Boyce BF. A new model for the regulation  
3115 of bone resorption with particular reference to the effects of bisphosphonates. *J Bone Min Res.*  
3116 11:150-159, 1996.
- 3117 257. Park SI, Lee C, Sadler WD, Koh AJ, Jones J, Seo JW, Soki FN, Cho SW, Daignault SD,  
3118 McCauley LK. Parathyroid hormone-related protein drives a CD11b+Gr1+ cell-mediated  
3119 positive feedback loop to support prostate cancer growth. *Cancer Res.* 73:6574-6583, 2013.  
3120 doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-4692.
- 3121 258. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D, Helle SI, O'Sullivan JM, Fosså SD, Chodacki A, Wiechno P,  
3122 Logue J, Seke M, Widmark A, Johannessen DC, Hoskin P, Bottomley D, James ND, Solberg  
3123 A, Syndikus I, Kliment J, Wedel S, Boehmer S, Dall'Oglio M, Franzén L, Coleman R,  
3124 Vogelzang NJ, O'Bryan-Tear CG, Staudacher K, Garcia-Vargas J, Shan M, Bruland ØS, Sartor  
3125 O; ALSYMPCA Investigators. Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate  
3126 cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 369:213-223, 2013. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1213755.
- 3127 259. Pavlovic M, Arnal-Estapé A, Rojo F, Bellmunt A, Tarragona M, Guiu M, Planet E, Garcia-  
3128 Albéniz X, Morales M, Urosevic J, Gawrzak S, Rovira A, Prat A, Nonell L, Lluch A, Jean-Mairet  
3129 J, Coleman R, Albanell J, Gomis RR. Enhanced MAF Oncogene Expression and Breast  
3130 Cancer Bone Metastasis. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 107:djv256, 2015. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv256.
- 3131 260. Pécheur I, Peyruchaud O, Serre CM, Guglielmi J, Voland C, Bourre F, Margue C, Cohen-Solal  
3132 M, Buffet A, Kieffer N, Clézardin P. Integrin alpha(v)beta3 expression confers on tumor cells a  
3133 greater propensity to metastasize to bone. *FASEB J* 16:1266-1268, 2002. doi: 10.1096/fj.01-  
3134 0911fje.

- 3135 261. Peinado H, Zhang H, Matei IR, Costa-Silva B, Hoshino A, Rodrigues G, Psaila B, Kaplan RN,  
3136 Bromberg JF, Kang Y, Bissell MJ, Cox TR, Giaccia AJ, Eler JT, Hiratsuka S, Ghajar CM,  
3137 Lyden D. Pre-metastatic niches: organ-specific homes for metastases. *Nat Rev Cancer* 17:  
3138 302-317, 2017. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.6.
- 3139 262. Peterson LM, O'Sullivan J, Wu QV, Novakova-Jiresova A, Jenkins I, Lee JH, Shields A,  
3140 Montgomery S, Linden HM, Gralow JR, Gadi VK, Muzi M, Kinahan PE, Mankoff DA, Specht  
3141 JM. Prospective study of serial 18F-FDG PET and 18F-fluoride (18F-NaF) PET to predict time  
3142 to skeletal related events, time-to-progression, and survival in patients with bone-dominant  
3143 metastatic breast cancer. *J Nucl Med* 59: 1823-1830, 2018. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.118.211102.
- 3144 263. Pez F, Dayan F, Durivault J, Kaniewski B, Aimond G, Le Provost GS, Deux B, Clézardin P,  
3145 Sommer P, Pouysségur J, Reynaud C. The HIF-1-inducible lysyl oxidase activates HIF-1 via  
3146 the Akt pathway in a positive regulation loop and synergizes with HIF-1 in promoting tumor cell  
3147 growth. *Cancer Res* 71: 1647-1657, 2011. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1516.
- 3148 264. Pham JT, Kim RC, Nguyen A, Bota D, Kong XT, Vadera S, Hsu F, Carrillo JA. Intracranial  
3149 meningioma with carcinoma tumor-to-tumor metastasis: two case reports. *CNS Oncol.*  
3150 7:CNS09, 2018. doi: 10.2217/cns-2017-0022.
- 3151 265. Powell GJ, Southby J, Danks JA, Stillwell RG, Hayman JA, Henderson MA, Bennett RC, Martin  
3152 TJ. Localization of parathyroid hormone-related protein in breast cancer metastases: increased  
3153 incidence in bone compared with other sites. *Cancer Res* 51:3059-3061, 1991.
- 3154 266. Pratap J, Lian JB, Stein GS. Metastatic bone disease: role of transcription factors and future  
3155 targets. *Bone* 48: 30-36, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.05.035.
- 3156 267. Price TT, Burness ML, Sivan A, Warner MJ, Cheng R, Lee CH, Olivere L, Comatas K, Magnani  
3157 J, Kim Lyerly H, Cheng Q, McCall CM, Sipkins DA. Dormant breast cancer micrometastases  
3158 reside in specific bone marrow niches that regulate their transit to and from bone. *Sci Transl*  
3159 *Med* 8: 340ra73, 2016. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aad4059.

- 3160 268. Puisieux A, Brabletz T, Caramel J. Oncogenic roles of EMT-inducing transcription factors. *Nat*  
3161 *Cell Biol* 16:488-494, 2014. doi: 10.1038/ncb2976.
- 3162 269. Rao S, Cronin SJF, Sigl V, Penninger JM. RANKL and RANK: From Mammalian Physiology to  
3163 Cancer Treatment. *Trends Cell Biol.* 28:213-223, 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2017.11.001.
- 3164 270. Ravnik J, Ravnik M, Bunc G, Glumbic I, Tobi-Veres E, Velnar T. Metastasis of an occult  
3165 pulmonary carcinoma into meningioma: a case report. *World J Surg Oncol* 13:292, 2015. doi:  
3166 10.1186/s12957-015-0714-3
- 3167 271. Reagan MR, Rosen CJ. Navigating the bone marrow niche: translational insights and cancer-  
3168 driven dysfunction. *Nature Rev Rheum* 12: 154-168, 2016. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2015.160.
- 3169 272. Reyes ME, Fujii T, Branstetter D, Krishnamurthy S, Masuda H, Wang X, Reuben JM,  
3170 Woodward WA, Edwards BJ, Hortobagyi GN, Tripathy D, Dougall WC, Eckhardt BL, Ueno NT.  
3171 Poor prognosis of patients with triple-negative breast cancer can be stratified by RANK and  
3172 RANKL dual expression. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 164: 57-67, 2017. doi: 10.1007/s10549-  
3173 017-4233-5.
- 3174 273. Reymond N, d'Água BB, Ridley AJ. Crossing the endothelial barrier during metastasis. *Nat*  
3175 *Rev Cancer* 13: 858-870, 2013. doi: 10.1038/nrc3628.
- 3176 274. Reynaud C, Ferreras L, Di Mauro P, Kan C, Croset M, Bonnelye E, Pez F, Thomas C, Aimond  
3177 G, Karnoub AE, Brevet M, Clézardin P. Lysyl Oxidase Is a Strong Determinant of Tumor Cell  
3178 Colonization in Bone. *Cancer Res* 77: 268-278, 2017. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2621.
- 3179 275. Romero-Moreno R, Curtis KJ, Coughlin TR, Miranda-Vergara MC, Dutta S, Natarajan A,  
3180 Facchine BA, Jackson KM, Nystrom L, Li J, Kaliney W, Niebur GL, Littlepage LE. The  
3181 CXCL5/CXCR2 axis is sufficient to promote breast cancer colonization during bone  
3182 metastasis. *Nat Commun* 10: 4404, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12108-6.
- 3183 276. Rosanò L, Spinella F, Bagnato A. Endothelin 1 in cancer: biological implications and  
3184 therapeutic opportunities. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 13:637-651, 2013. doi: 10.1038/nrc3546.

- 3185 277. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Kaminski M, Howell A, Belch A, Mackey J, Apffelstaedt J, Hussein MA,  
3186 Coleman RE, Reitsma DJ, Chen BL, Seaman JJ. Long-term efficacy and safety of zoledronic  
3187 acid compared with pamidronate disodium in the treatment of skeletal complications in patients  
3188 with advanced multiple myeloma or breast carcinoma: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter,  
3189 comparative trial. *Cancer*. 98:1735-1744, 2003. doi: 10.1002/cncr.11701.
- 3190 278. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Kaminski M, Howell A, Belch A, Mackey J, Apffelstaedt J, Hussein M,  
3191 Coleman RE, Reitsma DJ, Seaman JJ, Chen BL, Ambros Y. Zoledronic acid versus  
3192 pamidronate in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with breast cancer or osteolytic  
3193 lesions of multiple myeloma: a phase III, double-blind, comparative trial. *Cancer J*. 7:377-387,  
3194 2001.
- 3195 279. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Tchekmedyian NS, Yanagihara R, Hirsh V, Krzakowski M, Pawlicki M,  
3196 De Souza P, Zheng M, Urbanowitz G, Reitsma D, Seaman J. Long-term efficacy and safety of  
3197 zoledronic acid in the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with nonsmall cell lung  
3198 carcinoma and other solid tumors: a randomized, Phase III, double-blind, placebo-controlled  
3199 trial. *Cancer*. 100:2613-2621, 2004. doi: 10.1002/cncr.20308.
- 3200 280. Rosen LS, Gordon D, Tchekmedyian S, Yanagihara R, Hirsh V, Krzakowski M, Pawlicki M, de  
3201 Souza P, Zheng M, Urbanowitz G, Reitsma D, Seaman JJ. Zoledronic acid versus placebo in  
3202 the treatment of skeletal metastases in patients with lung cancer and other solid tumors: a  
3203 phase III, double-blind, randomized trial--the Zoledronic Acid Lung Cancer and Other Solid  
3204 Tumors Study Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 21:3150-3157, 2003. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2003.04.105.
- 3205 281. Roskoski R Jr. Src protein-tyrosine kinase structure, mechanism, and small molecule  
3206 inhibitors. *Pharmacol Res*. 94:9-25, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2015.01.003.
- 3207 282. Rossnagl S, Ghura H, Groth C, Altmann E, Jakob F, Schott S, Wimberger P, Link T, Kuhlmann  
3208 JD, Stenzl A, Hennenlotter J, Todenhöfer T, Rojewski M, Bieback K, Nakchbandi IA. A

- 3209 Subpopulation of Stromal Cells Controls Cancer Cell Homing to the Bone Marrow. *Cancer Res*  
3210 78: 129-142, 2018. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3507.
- 3211 283. Saad F, Gleason DM, Murray R, Tchekmedyian S, Venner P, Lacombe L, Chin JL, Vinholes  
3212 JJ, Goas JA, Zheng M; Zoledronic Acid Prostate Cancer Study Group. Long-term efficacy of  
3213 zoledronic acid for the prevention of skeletal complications in patients with metastatic  
3214 hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 96:879-882, 2004. doi:  
3215 10.1093/jnci/djh141.
- 3216 284. Sahay D, Leblanc R, Grunewald TG, Ambatipudi S, Ribeiro J, Clézardin P, Peyruchaud O. The  
3217 LPA1/ZEB1/miR-21-activation pathway regulates metastasis in basal breast cancer.  
3218 *Oncotarget* 6:20604-20620, 2015. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3774.
- 3219 285. San Martin R, Pathak R, Jain A, Jung SY, Hilsenbeck SG, Piña-Barba MC, Sikora AG, Pienta  
3220 KJ, Rowley DR. Tenascin-C and Integrin  $\alpha 9$  Mediate Interactions of Prostate Cancer with the  
3221 Bone Microenvironment. *Cancer Res* 77: 5977-5988, 2017. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-  
3222 0064.
- 3223 286. Santini D, Schiavon G, Vincenzi B, Gaeta L, Pantano F, Russo A, Ortega C, Porta C, Galluzzo  
3224 S, Armento G, La Verde N, Caroti C, Treilleux I, Ruggiero A, Perrone G, Addeo R, Clézardin P,  
3225 Muda AO, Tonini G. Receptor activator of NF- $\kappa$ B (RANK) expression in primary tumors  
3226 associates with bone metastasis occurrence in breast cancer patients. *PLoS One* 6:e19234,  
3227 2011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019234.
- 3228 287. Sato MM, Nakashima A, Nashimoto M, Yawaka Y, Tamura M. Bone morphogenetic protein-2  
3229 enhances Wnt/beta-catenin signaling-induced osteoprotegerin expression. *Genes Cells* 14:  
3230 141-153, 2009. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2443.2008.01258.x.
- 3231 288. Sawant A, Deshane J, Jules J, Lee CM, Harris BA, Feng X, Ponnazhagan S. Myeloid-derived  
3232 suppressor cells function as novel osteoclast progenitors enhancing bone loss in breast  
3233 cancer. *Cancer Res* 73: 672-82, 2013. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.

- 3234 289. Sawant A, Hensel JA, Chanda D, Harris BA, Siegal GP, Maheshwari A, Ponnazhagan S.  
3235 Depletion of plasmacytoid dendritic cells inhibits tumor growth and prevents bone metastasis  
3236 of breast cancer cells. *J Immunol.* 189:4258-4265, 2012. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101855.
- 3237 290. Scalzi P, Baiocco C, Genovese S, Trevisan A, Sirotova Z, Poti C. Evaluation of bone  
3238 metastases by 18F-choline PET/CT in a patient with castration-resistant prostate cancer  
3239 treated with radium-223. *Urologia.* 84:61-64, 2017. doi: 10.5301/uro.5000206.
- 3240 291. Schild T, Low V, Blenis J, Gomes AP. Unique Metabolic Adaptations Dictate Distal Organ-  
3241 Specific Metastatic Colonization. *Cancer Cell.* 33:347-354, 2018. doi:  
3242 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.02.001.
- 3243 292. Schoemaker MJ, Jones ME, Wright LB, Griffin J2, McFadden E, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ.  
3244 Psychological stress, adverse life events and breast cancer incidence: a cohort investigation in  
3245 106,000 women in the United Kingdom. *Breast Cancer Res.* 18:72, 2016. doi:  
3246 10.1186/s13058-016-0733-1.
- 3247 293. Secondini C, Wetterwald A, Schwaninger R, Thalmann GN, Cecchini MG. The role of the BMP  
3248 signaling antagonist noggin in the development of prostate cancer osteolytic bone metastasis.  
3249 *PLoS One.* 6:e16078, 2011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016078.
- 3250 294. Seeliger C, Karpinski K, Haug AT, Vester H, Schmitt A, Bauer JS, van Griensven M. Five freely  
3251 circulating miRNAs and bone tissue miRNAs are associated with osteoporotic fractures. *J*  
3252 *Bone Miner Res.* 29:1718-1728, 2014. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2175.
- 3253 295. Sethi N, Dai X, Winter CG, Kang Y. Tumor-derived JAGGED1 promotes osteolytic bone  
3254 metastasis of breast cancer by engaging notch signaling in bone cells. *Cancer Cell.* 19: 192-  
3255 205, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2010.12.022.
- 3256 296. Shahinian VB, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, Goodwin JS. Risk of fracture after androgen deprivation  
3257 for prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 352:154-164, 2005. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041943.

- 3258 297. Shao G, Gu W, Guo M, Zang S, Fu J, Liu S, Wang F, Wang Z. Clinical study of (99m)Tc-3P-  
3259 RGD2 peptide imaging in osteolytic bone metastasis. *Oncotarget*. 8:75587-75596, 2017. doi:  
3260 10.18632/oncotarget.17486.
- 3261 298. Shiozawa Y, Pedersen EA, Havens AM, Jung Y, Mishra A, Joseph J, Kim JK, Patel LR, Ying  
3262 C, Ziegler AM, Pienta MJ, Song J, Wang J, Loberg RD, Krebsbach PH, Pienta KJ, Taichman  
3263 RS. Human prostate cancer metastases target the hematopoietic stem cell niche to establish  
3264 footholds in mouse bone marrow. *J Clin Invest* 121: 1298-1312, 2011. doi: 10.1172/JCI43414.
- 3265 299. Siclari VA, Mohammad KS, Tompkins DR, Davis H, McKenna CR, Peng X, Wessner LL,  
3266 Niewolna M, Guise TA, Suvannasankha A, Chirgwin JM. Tumor-expressed adrenomedullin  
3267 accelerates breast cancer bone metastasis. *Breast Cancer Res*. 16: 458, 2014. doi:  
3268 10.1186/s13058-014-0458-y.
- 3269 300. Singh S, Singh R, Sharma PK, Singh UP, Rai SN, Chung LW, Cooper CR, Novakovic KR,  
3270 Grizzle WE, Lillard JW Jr. Serum CXCL13 positively correlates with prostatic disease, prostate-  
3271 specific antigen and mediates prostate cancer cell invasion, integrin clustering and cell  
3272 adhesion. *Cancer Lett*. 283:29-35, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2009.03.022.
- 3273 301. Sipkins DA, Wei X, Wu JW, Runnels JM, Côté D, Means TK, Luster AD, Scadden DT, Lin CP.  
3274 In vivo imaging of specialized bone marrow endothelial microdomains for tumour engraftment.  
3275 *Nature* 435: 969-973, 2005. doi: 10.1038/nature03703.
- 3276 302. Sleeman JP. The lymph node pre-metastatic niche. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 93:1173-84, 2015. doi:  
3277 10.1007/s00109-015-1351-6.
- 3278 303. Sloan EK, Pouliot N, Stanley KL, Chia J, Moseley JM, Hards DK, Anderson RL. Tumor-specific  
3279 expression of alphavbeta3 integrin promotes spontaneous metastasis of breast cancer to  
3280 bone. *Breast Cancer Res* 8: R20, 2006. doi: 10.1186/bcr1398.
- 3281 304. Smith M, De Bono J, Sternberg C, Le Moulec S, Oudard S, De Giorgi U, Krainer M, Bergman  
3282 A, Hoelzer W, De Wit R, Bögemann M, Saad F, Cruciani G, Thiery-Vuillemin A, Feyerabend S,

- 3283 Miller K, Houédé N, Hussain S, Lam E, Polikoff J, Stenzl A, Mainwaring P, Ramies D, Hessel  
3284 C, Weitzman A, Fizazi K. Phase III Study of Cabozantinib in Previously Treated Metastatic  
3285 Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: COMET-1. *J Clin Oncol.* 34:3005-3013, 2016. doi:  
3286 10.1200/JCO.2015.65.5597.
- 3287 305. Smith MR, Egerdie B, Hernández Toriz N, Feldman R, Tammela TL, Saad F, Heracek J,  
3288 Szwedowski M, Ke C, Kupic A, Leder BZ, Goessl C; Denosumab HALT Prostate Cancer Study  
3289 Group. Denosumab in men receiving androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *N Engl*  
3290 *J Med.* 361:745-755, 2009. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0809003.
- 3291 306. Smith MR, Saad F, Coleman R, Shore N, Fizazi K, Tombal B, Miller K, Sieber P, Karsh L,  
3292 Damião R, Tammela TL, Egerdie B, Van Poppel H, Chin J, Morote J, Gómez-Veiga F,  
3293 Borkowski T, Ye Z, Kupic A, Dansey R, Goessl C. Denosumab and bone-metastasis-free  
3294 survival in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: results of a phase 3, randomised,  
3295 placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 379:39-46, 2012. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61226-9.
- 3296 307. Solomayer EF, Gebauer G, Hirnle P, Janni W, Lück HJ, Becker S, Huober J, Krämer B,  
3297 Wackwitz B, Wallwiener D, Fehm T. Influence of zoledronic acid on disseminated tumor cells in  
3298 primary breast cancer patients. *Ann Oncol.* 23:2271-2277, 2012. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr612.
- 3299 308. Sopata M, Katz N, Carey W, Smith MD, Keller D, Verburg KM, West CR, Wolfram G, Brown  
3300 MT. Efficacy and safety of tanezumab in the treatment of pain from bone metastases. *Pain.*  
3301 156:1703-1713, 2015. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000211.
- 3302 309. Sosa MS, Bragado P, Aguirre-Ghiso JA. Mechanisms of disseminated cancer cell dormancy:  
3303 an awakening field. *Nat Rev Cancer* 14:611-622, 2014. doi: 10.1038/nrc3793.
- 3304 310. Sottnik JL, Dai J, Zhang H, Campbell B, Keller ET. Tumor-induced pressure in the bone  
3305 microenvironment causes osteocytes to promote the growth of prostate cancer bone  
3306 metastases. *Cancer Res.* 75:2151-2158, 2015. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2493.



- 3307 311. Sottnik JL, Daignault-Newton S, Zhang X, Morrissey C, Hussain MH, Keller ET, Hall CL.  
3308 Integrin alpha2beta 1 ( $\alpha 2\beta 1$ ) promotes prostate cancer skeletal metastasis. *Clin Exp*  
3309 *Metastasis* 30:569-578, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s10585-012-9561-6.
- 3310 312. Sousa S, Clézardin P. Bone-Targeted Therapies in Cancer-Induced Bone Disease. *Calcif*  
3311 *Tissue Int.* 102:227-250, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s00223-017-0353-5.
- 3312 313. Spencer JA, Ferraro F, Roussakis E, Klein A, Wu J, Runnels JM, Zaher W, Mortensen LJ, Alt  
3313 C, Turcotte R, Yusuf R, Côté D, Vinogradov SA, Scadden DT, Lin CP. Direct measurement of  
3314 local oxygen concentration in the bone marrow of live animals. *Nature.* 508:269-273, 2014. doi:  
3315 10.1038/nature13034.
- 3316 314. Sterling JA, Oyajobi BO, Grubbs B, Padalecki SS, Munoz SA, Gupta A, Story B, Zhao M,  
3317 Mundy GR. The hedgehog signaling molecule Gli2 induces parathyroid hormone-related  
3318 peptide expression and osteolysis in metastatic human breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res.*  
3319 66:7548-7553, 2006. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-0452.
- 3320 315. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, Steger GG, Tonkin K, de Boer RH, Lichinitser M, Fujiwara Y,  
3321 Yardley DA, Viniegra M, Fan M, Jiang Q, Dansey R, Jun S, Braun A. Denosumab compared  
3322 with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast  
3323 cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Clin Oncol.* 28:5132-5139, 2010. doi:  
3324 10.1200/JCO.2010.29.7101.
- 3325 316. Sugiyama T, H. Kohara, M. Noda, T. Nagasawa. Maintenance of the hematopoietic stem cell  
3326 pool by CXCL12-CXCR4 chemokine signaling in bone marrow stromal cell niches *Immunity* 25:  
3327 977-988, 2006. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.10.016.
- 3328 317. Sun YX, Fang M, Wang J, Cooper CR, Pienta KJ, Taichman RS. Expression and activation of  
3329 alpha v beta 3 integrins by SDF-1/CXC12 increases the aggressiveness of prostate cancer  
3330 cells. *Prostate* 67: 61-73, 2007. doi: 10.1002/pros.20500.

- 3331 318. Sun YX, Schneider A, Jung Y, Wang J, Dai J, Wang J, Cook K, Osman NI, Koh-Paige AJ,  
3332 Shim H, Pienta KJ, Keller ET, McCauley LK, Taichman RS. Skeletal localization and  
3333 neutralization of the SDF-1(CXCL12)/CXCR4 axis blocks prostate cancer metastasis and  
3334 growth in osseous sites in vivo. *J Bone Miner Res* 20: 318-329, 2005. doi:  
3335 10.1359/JBMR.041109.
- 3336 319. Suominen MI, Fagerlund KM, Rissanen JP, Konkol YM, Morko JP, Peng Z, Alhoniemi EJ,  
3337 Laine SK, Corey E, Mumberg D, Ziegelbauer K, Käkönen SM, Halleen JM, Vessella RL,  
3338 Scholz A. Radium-223 Inhibits Osseous Prostate Cancer Growth by Dual Targeting of Cancer  
3339 Cells and Bone Microenvironment in Mouse Models. *Clin Cancer Res*. 23:4335-4346, 2017.  
3340 doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-2955.
- 3341 320. Taichman RS, Patel LR, Bedenis R, Wang J, Weidner S, Schumann T, Yumoto K, Berry JE,  
3342 Shiozawa Y, Pienta KJ. GAS6 receptor status is associated with dormancy and bone  
3343 metastatic tumor formation. *PLoS One* 8:e61873, 2013. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061873.
- 3344 321. Taipaleenmäki H, Browne G, Akech J, Zustin J, van Wijnen AJ, Stein JL, Hesse E, Stein GS,  
3345 Lian JB. Targeting of Runx2 by miR-135 and miR-203 impairs progression of breast cancer  
3346 and metastatic bone disease. *Cancer Res* 75:1433-1444, 2015. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-  
3347 14-1026.
- 3348 322. Taipaleenmäki H, Farina NH, van Wijnen AJ, Stein JL, Hesse E, Stein GS, Lian JB.  
3349 Antagonizing miR-218-5p attenuates Wnt signaling and reduces metastatic bone disease of  
3350 triple negative breast cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 7: 79032-79046, 2016. doi:  
3351 10.18632/oncotarget.12593.
- 3352 323. Tamura D, Hiraga T, Myoui A, Yoshikawa H, Yoneda T. Cadherin-11-mediated interactions  
3353 with bone marrow stromal/osteoblastic cells support selective colonization of breast cancer  
3354 cells in bone. *Int J Oncol* 33: 17-24, 2008.

- 3355 324. Tandon M, Othman AH, Ashok V, Stein GS, Pratap J. The role of Runx2 in facilitating  
3356 autophagy in metastatic breast cancer cells. *J Cell Physiol.* 233:559-571, 2018. doi:  
3357 10.1002/jcp.25916.
- 3358 325. Tang ZN, Zhang F, Tang P, Qi XW, Jiang J. Hypoxia induces RANK and RANKL expression  
3359 by activating HIF-1 $\alpha$  in breast cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 408:411-416,  
3360 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.04.035.
- 3361 326. Tarragona M, Pavlovic M, Arnal-Estapé A, Urosevic J, Morales M, Guiu M, Planet E,  
3362 González-Suárez E, Gomis RR. Identification of NOG as a specific breast cancer bone  
3363 metastasis-supporting gene. *J Biol Chem.* 287(25):21346-21355, 2012. doi:  
3364 10.1074/jbc.M112.355834.
- 3365 327. Taverna S, Pucci M, Giallombardo M, Di Bella MA, Santarpia M, Reclusa P, Gil-Bazo I, Rolfo  
3366 C, Alessandro R. Amphiregulin contained in NSCLC-exosomes induces osteoclast  
3367 differentiation through the activation of EGFR pathway. *Sci Rep.* 7:3170, 2017. doi:  
3368 10.1038/s41598-017-03460-y.
- 3369 328. Templeton ZS, Lie WR, Wang W, Rosenberg-Hasson Y, Alluri RV, Tamaresis JS, Bachmann  
3370 MH, Lee K, Maloney WJ, Contag CH, King BL. Breast Cancer Cell Colonization of the Human  
3371 Bone Marrow Adipose Tissue Niche. *Neoplasia.* 17:849-861, 2015. doi:  
3372 10.1016/j.neo.2015.11.005.
- 3373 329. Thomas RJ, Guise TA, Yin JJ, Elliott J, Horwood NJ, Martin TJ, Gillespie MT. Breast cancer  
3374 cells interact with osteoblasts to support osteoclast formation. *Endocrinology* 140: 4451-4458,  
3375 1999. doi: 10.1210/endo.140.10.7037.
- 3376 330. Thudi NK, Martin CK, Murahari S, Shu ST, Lanigan LG, Werbeck JL, Keller ET, McCauley LK,  
3377 Pinzone JJ, Rosol TJ. Dickkopf-1 (DKK-1) stimulated prostate cancer growth and metastasis  
3378 and inhibited bone formation in osteoblastic bone metastases. *Prostate.* 71:615-625, 2011. doi:  
3379 10.1002/pros.21277.

- 3380 331. Thysell E, Surowiec I, Hörnberg E, Crnalic S, Widmark A, Johansson AI, Stattin P, Bergh A,  
3381 Moritz T, Antti H, Wikström P. Metabolomic characterization of human prostate cancer bone  
3382 metastases reveals increased levels of cholesterol. *PLoS One*. 5:e14175, 2010. doi:  
3383 10.1371/journal.pone.0014175.
- 3384 332. Tian E, Zhan F, Walker R, Rasmussen E, Ma Y, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy JD Jr. The role of  
3385 the Wnt-signaling antagonist DKK1 in the development of osteolytic lesions in multiple  
3386 myeloma. *N Engl J Med*. 349:2483-2494, 2003. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa030847.
- 3387 333. Trotter TN, Yang Y. Matricellular proteins as regulators of cancer metastasis to bone. *Matrix*  
3388 *Biol* 52-54:301-314, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2016.01.006.
- 3389 334. Tsourdi E, Langdahl B, Cohen-Solal M, Aubry-Rozier B, Eriksen EF, Guañabens N,  
3390 Obermayer-Pietsch B, Ralston SH, Eastell R, Zillikens MC. Discontinuation of Denosumab  
3391 therapy for osteoporosis: A systematic review and position statement by ECTS. *Bone* 105:11-  
3392 17, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2017.08.003.
- 3393 335. Tsukasaki M, Hamada K, Okamoto K, Nagashima K, Terashima A, Komatsu N, Win SJ,  
3394 Okamura T, Nitta T, Yasuda H, Penninger JM, Takayanagi H. LOX Fails to Substitute for  
3395 RANKL in Osteoclastogenesis. *J Bone Miner Res* 32:434-439, 2017. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2990.
- 3396 336. Tulotta C, Lefley DV, Freeman K, Gregory WM, Hanby AM, Heath PR, Nutter-Howard F,  
3397 Wilkinson JM, Spicer-Hadlington AR, Liu X, Bradbury S, Hambley L, Cookson V, Allocca G,  
3398 Kruihof-de-Julio M, Coleman RE, Brown JE, Holen I, Ottewell PD. Endogenous production of  
3399 IL-1B by breast cancer cells drives metastasis and colonisation of the bone microenvironment.  
3400 *Clin Cancer Res*. 25:2769-2782, 2019. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-2202.
- 3401 337. Turner JJO. Hypercalcaemia - presentation and management. *Clin Med (Lond)*. 17:270-273,  
3402 2017. doi: 10.7861/clinmedicine.
- 3403 338. Turpin A, Duterque-Coquillaud M, Vieillard MH. Bone Metastasis: Current State of Play. *Transl*  
3404 *Oncol*. 13: 308-320, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2019.10.012.

- 3405 339. Ubellacker JM, Baryawno N, Severe N, DeCristo MJ, Sceneay J, Hutchinson JN, Haider MT,  
3406 Rhee CS, Qin Y, Gregory WM, Garrido-Castro AC, Holen I, Brown JE, Coleman RE, Scadden  
3407 DT, McAllister SS. Modulating bone marrow hematopoietic lineage potential to prevent bone  
3408 metastasis in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 78:5300-5314, 2018. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-  
3409 18-0548.
- 3410 340. Ubellacker JM, Haider MT, DeCristo MJ, Allocca G, Brown NJ, Silver DP, Holen I, McAllister  
3411 SS. Zoledronic acid alters hematopoiesis and generates breast tumor-suppressive bone  
3412 marrow cells. *Breast Cancer Res* 19:23, 2017. doi: 10.1186/s13058-017-0815-8.
- 3413 341. Valencia K, Luis-Ravelo D, Bovy N, Antón I, Martínez-Canarias S, Zanduetta C, Ormazábal C,  
3414 Struman I, Tabruyn S, Rebmann V, De Las Rivas J, Guruceaga E, Bandrés E, Lecanda F.  
3415 miRNA cargo within exosome-like vesicle transfer influences metastatic bone colonization. *Mol*  
3416 *Oncol.* 8:689-703, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.molonc.2014.01.012.
- 3417 342. Valta MP, Tuomela J, Bjartell A, Valve E, Väänänen HK, Härkönen P. FGF-8 is involved in  
3418 bone metastasis of prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer* 123: 22-31, 2008. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23422.
- 3419 343. Valta MP, Zhao H, Ingels A, Thong AE, Nolley R, Saar M, Peehl DM. Development of a  
3420 realistic in vivo bone metastasis model of human renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Exp Metastasis.*  
3421 31:573-584, 2014.
- 3422 344. van der Horst G, van den Hoogen C, Buijs JT, Cheung H, Bloys H, Pelger RC, Lorenzon G,  
3423 Heckmann B, Feyen J, Pujuguet P, Blaque R, Clément-Lacroix P, van der Pluijm G.  
3424 Targeting of  $\alpha(v)$ -integrins in stem/progenitor cells and supportive microenvironment impairs  
3425 bone metastasis in human prostate cancer. *Neoplasia* 13: 516-525, 2011.
- 3426 345. Van Poznak C, Somerfield MR, Barlow WE, Biermann JS, Bosserman LD, Clemons MJ,  
3427 Dhesy-Thind SK, Dillmon MS, Eisen A, Frank ES, Jagsi R, Jimenez R, Theriault RL,  
3428 Vandenberg TA, Yee GC, Moy B. Role of Bone-Modifying Agents in Metastatic Breast Cancer:

- 3429 An American Society of Clinical Oncology-Cancer Care Ontario Focused Guideline Update. *J*  
3430 *Clin Oncol.* 35:3978-3986, 2017. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.75.4614.
- 3431 346. Vargas G, Bouchet M, Bouazza L, Reboul P, Boyault C, Gervais M, Kan C, Benetollo C,  
3432 Brevet M, Croset M, Mazel M, Cayrefourcq L, Geraci S, Vacher S, Pantano F, Filipits M,  
3433 Driouch K, Bieche I, Gnant M, Jacot W, Aubin JE, Duterque-Coquillaud M, Alix-Panabières C,  
3434 Clézardin P, Bonnelye E. ERRA promotes breast cancer cell dissemination to bone by  
3435 increasing RANK expression in primary breast tumors. *Oncogene.* 38:950-964, 2019. doi:  
3436 10.1038/s41388-018-0579-3.
- 3437 347. Vidula N, Yau C, Li J, Esserman LJ, Rugo HS. Receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B  
3438 (RANK) expression in primary breast cancer correlates with recurrence-free survival and  
3439 development of bone metastases in I-SPY1 (CALGB 150007/150012; ACRIN 6657). *Breast*  
3440 *Cancer Res Treat* 165: 129-138, 2017. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4318-1.
- 3441 348. Vija Racaru L, Sinigaglia M, Kanoun S, Ben Bouallègue F, Tal I, Brillouet S, Bauriaud-Mallet  
3442 M, Zerdoud S, Dierickx L, Vallot D, Caselles O, Gabiache E, Pascal P, Courbon F. Fluorine-  
3443 18-fluorocholine PET/CT parameters predictive for hematological toxicity to radium-223  
3444 therapy in castrate-resistant prostate cancer patients with bone metastases: a pilot study. *Nucl*  
3445 *Med Commun.* 39:672-679, 2018. doi: 10.1097/MNM.0000000000000850.
- 3446 349. Vives V, Cres G, Richard C, Busson M, Ferrandez Y, Planson AG, Zeghouf M, Cherfils J,  
3447 Malaval L, Blangy A. Pharmacological inhibition of Dock5 prevents osteolysis by affecting  
3448 osteoclast podosome organization while preserving bone formation. *Nat Commun.* 6:6218,  
3449 2015. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7218.
- 3450 350. Voorzanger-Rousselot N, Garnero P. Biochemical markers in oncology. Part I: molecular  
3451 basis. Part II: clinical uses. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 33:230-283, 2007. doi:  
3452 10.1016/j.ctrv.2007.01.008.

- 3453 351. Wakabayashi H, Wakisaka S, Hiraga T, Hata K, Nishimura R, Tominaga M, Yoneda T.  
3454 Decreased sensory nerve excitation and bone pain associated with mouse Lewis lung cancer  
3455 in TRPV1-deficient mice. *J Bone Miner Metab.*36:274-285, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s00774-017-  
3456 0842-7.
- 3457 352. Wallitt KL, Khan SR, Dubash S, Tam HH, Khan S, Barwick TD. Clinical PET Imaging in  
3458 Prostate Cancer. *Radiographics.* 37:1512-1536, 2017. doi: 10.1148/rg.2017170035.
- 3459 353. Waltregny D, Bellahcène A, Van Riet I, Fisher LW, Young M, Fernandez P, Dewé W, de Leval  
3460 J, Castronovo V. Prognostic value of bone sialoprotein expression in clinically localized human  
3461 prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 90:1000-1008, 1998. doi: 10.1093/jnci/90.13.1000
- 3462 354. Wang H, Tian L, Liu J, Goldstein A, Bado I, Zhang W, Arenkiel BR, Li Z, Yang M, Du S, Zhao  
3463 H, Rowley DR, Wong STC, Gugala Z, Zhang XH. The Osteogenic Niche Is a Calcium  
3464 Reservoir of Bone Micrometastases and Confers Unexpected Therapeutic Vulnerability.  
3465 *Cancer Cell.* 34:823-839, 2018.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.10.002.
- 3466 355. Wang H, Yu C, Gao X, Welte T, Muscarella AM, Tian L, Zhao H, Zhao Z, Du S, Tao J, Lee B,  
3467 Westbrook TF, Wong ST, Jin X, Rosen JM, Osborne CK, Zhang XH. The osteogenic niche  
3468 promotes early-stage bone colonization of disseminated breast cancer cells. *Cancer Cell*  
3469 27:193-210, 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.11.017.
- 3470 356. Wang J, Chen GL, Cao S, Zhao MC, Liu YQ, Chen XX, Qian C. Adipogenic niches for  
3471 melanoma cell colonization and growth in bone marrow. *Lab Invest.* 97:737-745, 2017. doi:  
3472 10.1038/labinvest.2017.14.
- 3473 357. Wang N, Docherty FE, Brown HK, Reeves KJ, Fowles AC, Ottewell PD, Dear TN, Holen I,  
3474 Croucher PI, Eaton CL. Prostate cancer cells preferentially home to osteoblast-rich areas in  
3475 the early stages of bone metastasis: evidence from in vivo models. *J Bone Miner Res* 29:2688-  
3476 2696, 2014. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2300.

- 3477 358. Wang S, Li GX, Tan CC, He R, Kang LJ, Lu JT, Li XQ, Wang QS, Liu PF, Zhai QL, Feng YM.  
3478 FOXF2 reprograms breast cancer cells into bone metastasis seeds. *Nat Commun.* 10:2707,  
3479 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10379-7.
- 3480 359. Waning DL, Mohammad KS, Reiken S, Xie W, Andersson DC, John S, Chiechi A, Wright LE,  
3481 Umanskaya A, Niewolna M, Trivedi T, Charkhzarrin S, Khatiwada P, Wronska A, Haynes A,  
3482 Benassi MS, Witzmann FA, Zhen G, Wang X, Cao X, Roodman GD, Marks AR, Guise TA.  
3483 Excess TGF- $\beta$  mediates muscle weakness associated with bone metastases in mice. *Nat Med.*  
3484 21:1262-1271, 2015. doi: 10.1038/nm.3961.
- 3485 360. Watanabe K, Hirata M, Tominari T, Matsumoto C, Fujita H, Yonekura K, Murphy G, Nagase H,  
3486 Miyaura C, Inada M. The MET/Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR)-  
3487 targeted Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Also Attenuates FMS-dependent Osteoclast Differentiation  
3488 and Bone Destruction Induced by Prostate Cancer. *J Biol Chem.* 291:20891-20899, 2016.
- 3489 361. Weilbaecher KN, Guise TA, McCauley LK. Cancer to bone: a fatal attraction. *Nat Rev Cancer*  
3490 11: 411-425, 2011. doi: 10.1038/nrc3055.
- 3491 362. Welm AL, Sneddon JB, Taylor C, Nuyten DS, van de Vijver MJ, Hasegawa BH, Bishop JM.  
3492 The macrophage-stimulating protein pathway promotes metastasis in a mouse model for  
3493 breast cancer and predicts poor prognosis in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 104:7570-  
3494 7575, 2007.
- 3495 363. Wen J, Huang G, Liu S, Wan J, Wang X, Zhu Y, Kaliney W, Zhang C, Cheng L, Wen X, Lu X.  
3496 Polymorphonuclear MDSCs are enriched in the stroma and expanded in metastases of  
3497 prostate cancer. *J Pathol Clin Res.* 6:171-177, 2020. doi: 10.1002/cjp2.160.
- 3498 364. Werner-Klein M, Grujovic A, Irlbeck C, Obradović M, Hoffmann M, Koerkele-Qu H, Lu X,  
3499 Treitschke S, Köstler C, Botteron C, Weidele K, Werno C, Polzer B, Kirsch S, Gužvić M,  
3500 Warfsmann J, Honarnejad K, Czyz Z, Feliciello G, Blochberger I, Grunewald S, Schneider E,  
3501 Haunschild G, Patwary N, Guetter S, Huber S, Rack B, Harbeck N, Buchholz S, Rümmele P,



- 3502 Heine N, Rose-John S, Klein CA. Interleukin-6 trans-signaling is a candidate mechanism to  
3503 drive progression of human DCCs during clinical latency. *Nat Commun* 11:4977, 2020. doi:  
3504 10.1038/s41467-020-18701-4.
- 3505 365. Westbrook JA, Cairns DA, Peng J, Speirs V, Hanby AM, Holen I, Wood SL, Ottewell PD,  
3506 Marshall H, Banks RE, Selby PJ, Coleman RE, Brown JE. CAPG and GIPC1: Breast Cancer  
3507 Biomarkers for Bone Metastasis Development and Treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 108(4),  
3508 2016. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv360.
- 3509 366. Westbrook JA, Wood SL, Cairns DA, McMahon K, Gahlaut R, Thygesen H, Shires M, Roberts  
3510 S, Marshall H, Oliva MR, Dunning MJ, Hanby AM, Selby PJ, Speirs V, Mavria G, Coleman RE,  
3511 Brown JE. Identification and validation of DOCK4 as a potential biomarker for risk of bone  
3512 metastasis development in patients with early breast cancer. *J Pathol.* 247:381-391, 2019. doi:  
3513 10.1002/path.5197.
- 3514 367. Wong R, Wiffen PJ. Bisphosphonates for the relief of pain secondary to bone metastases.  
3515 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* CD002068, 2002.
- 3516 368. Wortzel I, Dror S, Kenific CM, Lyden D. Exosome-Mediated Metastasis: Communication from a  
3517 Distance. *Dev Cell.* 49:347-360, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.011.
- 3518 369. Wu AC, He Y, Broomfield A, Paatan NJ, Harrington BS, Tseng HW, Beaven EA, Kiernan DM,  
3519 Swindle P, Clubb AB, Levesque JP, Winkler IG, Ling MT, Srinivasan B, Hooper JD, Pettit AR.  
3520 CD169(+) macrophages mediate pathological formation of woven bone in skeletal lesions of  
3521 prostate cancer. *J Pathol.* 239:218-230, 2016. doi: 10.1002/path.4718.
- 3522 370. Xiang L, Gilkes DM. The Contribution of the Immune System in Bone Metastasis  
3523 Pathogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci.* 20(4). pii: E999, 2019. doi: 10.3390/ijms20040999.
- 3524 371. Xu Z, Liu X, Wang H, Li J, Dai L, Li J, Dong C. Lung adenocarcinoma cell-derived exosomal  
3525 miR-21 facilitates osteoclastogenesis. *Gene* 666: 116-122, 2018. doi:  
3526 10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.008.

- 3527 372. Yaccoby S, Ling W, Zhan F, Walker R, Barlogie B, Shaughnessy JD Jr. Antibody-based  
3528 inhibition of DKK1 suppresses tumor-induced bone resorption and multiple myeloma growth in  
3529 vivo. *Blood*. 109:2106-2111, 2007. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-09-047712.
- 3530 373. Yang C, Siebert JR, Burns R, Gerbec ZJ, Bonacci B, Rymaszewski A, Rau M, Riese MJ, Rao  
3531 S, Carlson KS, Routes JM, Verbsky JW, Thakar MS, Malarkannan S. Heterogeneity of human  
3532 bone marrow and blood natural killer cells defined by single-cell transcriptome. *Nat Commun*.  
3533 10:3931, 2019. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11947-7.
- 3534 374. Yang MH, Wu MZ, Chiou SH, Chen PM, Chang SY, Liu CJ, Teng SC, Wu KJ. Direct regulation  
3535 of TWIST by HIF-1alpha promotes metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol*. 10:295-305, 2008. doi:  
3536 10.1038/ncb1691.
- 3537 375. Yazici O, Aksoy S, Sendur MA, Babacan T, Ozdemir N, Ozisik Y, Zengin N, Altundag K. The  
3538 effect of obesity on recurrence pattern in early breast cancer patients. *J BUON*. 20:954-962,  
3539 2015.
- 3540 376. Ye Y, Li SL, Ma YY, Diao YJ, Yang L, Su MQ, Li Z, Ji Y, Wang J, Lei L, Fan WX, Li LX, Xu Y,  
3541 Hao XK. Exosomal miR-141-3p regulates osteoblast activity to promote the osteoblastic  
3542 metastasis of prostate cancer. *Oncotarget* 8: 94834-94849, 2017. doi:  
3543 10.18632/oncotarget.22014.
- 3544 377. Yi B, Williams PJ, Niewolna M, Wang Y, Yoneda T. Tumor-derived platelet-derived growth  
3545 factor-BB plays a critical role in osteosclerotic bone metastasis in an animal model of human  
3546 breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 62: 917-923, 2002.
- 3547 378. Yin JJ, Mohammad KS, Käkönen SM, Harris S, Wu-Wong JR, Wessale JL, Padley RJ, Garrett  
3548 IR, Chirgwin JM, Guise TA. A causal role for endothelin-1 in the pathogenesis of osteoblastic  
3549 bone metastases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 10954-10959, 2003. doi:  
3550 10.1073/pnas.1830978100.

- 3551 379. Yonou H, Aoyagi Y, Kanomata N, Kamijo T, Oda T, Yokose T, Hasebe T, Nagai K, Hatano T,  
3552 Ogawa Y, Ochiai A. Prostate-specific antigen induces osteoplastic changes by an autonomous  
3553 mechanism. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 289: 1082-1087, 2001. doi:  
3554 10.1006/bbrc.2001.6129.
- 3555 380. Yonou H, Horiguchi Y, Ohno Y, Namiki K, Yoshioka K, Ohori M, Hatano T, Tachibana M.  
3556 Prostate-specific antigen stimulates osteoprotegerin production and inhibits receptor activator  
3557 of nuclear factor-kappaB ligand expression by human osteoblasts. *Prostate* 67:840-848, 2007.  
3558 doi: 10.1002/pros.20574.
- 3559 381. Yu-Lee LY, Yu G, Lee YC, Lin SC, Pan J, Pan T, Yu KJ, Liu B, Creighton CJ, Rodriguez-  
3560 Canales J, Villalobos PA, Wistuba II, de Nadal E, Posas F, Gallick GE, Lin SH. Osteoblast-  
3561 Secreted Factors Mediate Dormancy of Metastatic Prostate Cancer in the Bone via Activation  
3562 of the TGF $\beta$ RIII-p38MAPK-pS249/T252RB Pathway. *Cancer Res.* 78: 2911-2924, 2018. doi:  
3563 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1051.
- 3564 382. Yumoto K, Eber MR, Wang J, Cackowski FC, Decker AM, Lee E, Nobre AR, Aguirre-Ghiso JA,  
3565 Jung Y, Taichman RS. Axl is required for TGF- $\beta$ 2-induced dormancy of prostate cancer cells in  
3566 the bone marrow. *Sci Rep.* 6:36520, 2016. doi: 10.1038/srep36520.
- 3567 383. Zang L, Ma M, Hu J, Qiu H, Huang B, Chu T. The effects of lung and prostate cancer bone  
3568 metastasis on serum osteoprotegerin levels: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 5:18324, 2015. doi:  
3569 10.1038/srep18324.
- 3570 384. Zhang J, Mao F, Niu G, Peng L, Lang L, Li F, Ying H, Wu H, Pan B, Zhu Z, Chen X. (68)Ga-  
3571 BBN-RGD PET/CT for GRPR and Integrin  $\alpha(v)\beta(3)$  Imaging in Patients with Breast Cancer.  
3572 *Theranostics.* 8:1121-1130, 2018. doi: 10.7150/thno.22601.
- 3573 385. Zhang J, Pang Y, Xie T, Zhu L. CXCR4 antagonism in combination with IDO1 inhibition  
3574 weakens immune suppression and inhibits tumor growth in mouse breast cancer bone  
3575 metastases. *Onco Targets Ther.* 12:4985-4992, 2019. doi:10.2147/OTT.S200643

- 3576 386. Zhang K, Kim S, Cremasco V, Hirbe AC, Collins L, Piwnica-Worms D, Novack DV,  
3577 Weilbaecher K, Faccio R. CD8+ T cells regulate bone tumor burden independent of osteoclast  
3578 resorption. *Cancer Res.* 71:4799-4808, 2011. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3922.
- 3579 387. Zhang XH, Wang Q, Gerald W, Hudis CA, Norton L, Smid M, Foekens JA, Massagué J. Latent  
3580 bone metastasis in breast cancer tied to Src-dependent survival signals. *Cancer Cell.* 16: 67-  
3581 78, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2009.05.017.
- 3582 388. Zhao Y, Bachelier R, Treilleux I, Pujuguet P, Peyruchaud O, Baron R, Clément-Lacroix P,  
3583 Clézardin P. Tumor alphavbeta3 integrin is a therapeutic target for breast cancer bone  
3584 metastases. *Cancer Res* 67: 5821-5830, 2007. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4499.
- 3585 389. Zhao E, Wang L, Dai J, Kryczek I, Wei S, Vatan L, Altuwaijri S, Sparwasser T, Wang G, Keller  
3586 ET, Zou W. Regulatory T cells in the bone marrow microenvironment in patients with prostate  
3587 cancer. *Oncoimmunology.* 1:152-161, 2012. doi: 10.4161/onci.1.2.18480.
- 3588 390. Zheng H, Bae Y, Kasimir-Bauer S, Tang R, Chen J, Ren G, Yuan M, Esposito M, Li W, Wei Y,  
3589 Shen M, Zhang L, Tupitsyn N, Pantel K, King C, Sun J, Moriguchi J, Jun HT, Coxon A, Lee B,  
3590 Kang Y. Therapeutic Antibody Targeting Tumor- and Osteoblastic Niche-Derived Jagged1  
3591 Sensitizes Bone Metastasis to Chemotherapy. *Cancer Cell.* 32:731-747, 2017. doi:  
3592 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.11.002.
- 3593 391. Zheng D, Decker KF, Zhou T, Chen J, Qi Z, Jacobs K, Weilbaecher KN, Corey E, Long F, Jia  
3594 L. Role of WNT7B-induced noncanonical pathway in advanced prostate cancer. *Mol Cancer*  
3595 *Res* 11: 482-493, 2013. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-12-0520.
- 3596 392. Zhou JZ, Riquelme MA, Gao X, Ellies LG, Sun LZ, Jiang JX. Differential impact of adenosine  
3597 nucleotides released by osteocytes on breast cancer growth and bone metastasis. *Oncogene*  
3598 34: 1831-42, 2015. doi: 10.1038/onc.2014.113.

- 3599 393. Zhou JZ, Riquelme MA, Gu S, Kar R, Gao X, Sun L, Jiang JX. Osteocytic connexin  
3600 hemichannels suppress breast cancer growth and bone metastasis. *Oncogene*. 35:5597-5607,  
3601 2016. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.101.
- 3602 394. Zhu L, Narloch JL, Onkar S, Joy M, Broadwater G, Luedke C, Hall A, Kim R, Pogue-Geile K,  
3603 Sammons S, Nayyar N, Chukwueke U, Brastianos PK, Anders CK, Soloff AC, Vignali DAA,  
3604 Tseng GC, Emens LA, Lucas PC, Blackwell KL, Oesterreich S, Lee AV. Metastatic breast  
3605 cancers have reduced immune cell recruitment but harbor increased macrophages relative to  
3606 their matched primary tumors. *J Immunother Cancer*. 7:265, 2019. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-  
3607 0755-1.
- 3608 395. Zhu M, Liu C, Li S, Zhang S, Yao Q, Song Q. Sclerostin induced tumor growth, bone  
3609 metastasis and osteolysis in breast cancer. *Sci Rep*. 7:11399, 2017. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-  
3610 11913-7.
- 3611 396. Zhuang X, Zhang H, Li X, Li X, Cong M, Peng F, Yu J, Zhang X, Yang Q, Hu G. Differential  
3612 effects on lung and bone metastasis of breast cancer by Wnt signalling inhibitor DKK1. *Nat*  
3613 *Cell Biol* 19:1274-1285, 2017. doi: 10.1038/ncb3613.
- 3614  
3615

3616 **Figure legends:**

3617 **Figure 1:** Patterns of bone metastases from solid tumors ranging from mostly destructive (osteolytic) to  
3618 mostly bone-forming (osteoblastic). Representative radiographs and histology of bone metastases with  
3619 osteolytic (white arrow) or osteoblastic (black arrow) lesions are shown. For bone tumor sections,  
3620 mineralized bone is stained green, whereas bone marrow and tumor cells (\*) are stained red. Of note, in  
3621 osteoblastic lesions, extensive new woven bone (stained dark red) can be observed, leading to the  
3622 formation of new trabecular bone that fills the bone marrow cavity (white arrow).

3623

3624 **Figure 2:** Bone colonization by tumor cells is a stepwise sequence of events that include (i) the  
3625 formation of a pre-metastatic niche to attract circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in bone, (ii) the  
3626 extravasation and homing of CTCs within the pre-metastatic niche where they bind to bone extracellular  
3627 matrix proteins, and (iii) the maintenance of tumor cells in the vascular niche and the osteoblastic niche  
3628 where tumor cells become quiescent through specific adhesive interactions with host cells. ANXA2:  
3629 annexin A2; AXL: tyrosine kinase receptor; CAR cells: CXCL-12-abundant reticular cells; CDH:  
3630 cadherin; Cx43: connexin 43; CXCL: chemokine; CXCR: chemokine receptor; ECM: extracellular matrix;  
3631 GAS-6: growth arrest-specific 6; IL-1: interleukin-1; IL-6: interleukin-6; LOX: lysyl oxidase; RANK-L:  
3632 receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand; SNO: spindle-shaped N-cadherin+  
3633 osteoblast; TSP-1: thrombospondin-1.

3634

3635 **Figure 3:** The fate of bone-resident tumor cells is determined by a balance between activities of multiple  
3636 activated protein kinases (MAPK) ERK1/2 and p38, where a switch towards ERK1/2 phosphorylation  
3637 favors proliferation whereas activation of p38 leads to quiescence. This balance between ERK1/2 and  
3638 p38 activities is governed by several factors that either promote dormancy (boxes in green), helping  
3639 tumor cells to survive in the vascular and osteoblastic niches, or enhance tumor cell reactivation and  
3640 proliferation (boxes in red). However, proliferative tumor cells become vulnerable to immune

3641 surveillance, leading to tumor cell killing by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NK cells. The bone microenvironment also  
3642 contains immunosuppressive cells (MDSCs, Treg, pDC) that help tumor cells to escape from adaptive  
3643 immunity. AXL: tyrosine kinase receptor; BMP-7: bone morphogenetic protein-7; C CAR cells: CXCL-  
3644 12-abundant reticular cells; CXCL-12: chemokine;; GAS-6: growth arrest-specific 6; IFN- $\gamma$ : interferon  
3645  $\gamma$ ; LIF: leukemia inhibitory factor; MDSCs: myeloid-derived suppressor cells; MSK1: mitogen- and  
3646 stress-activated kinase 1; NK cell: natural killer cell; POSTN: periostin; pDC: plasmacytoid dendritic cell;  
3647 PTHrP: parathyroid hormone-related peptide; RANK-L: receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B  
3648 (RANK) ligand; SNO: spindle-shaped N-cadherin+ osteoblast; TGF $\beta$ : transforming growth factor beta;  
3649 Treg: regulatory T cells; TSP-1: thrombospondin-1; TYRO3: tyrosine kinase receptor; VCAM-1: vascular  
3650 cell adhesion protein 1; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor.

3651

3652 **Figure 4:** Mechanisms governing the formation of osteolytic bone metastases. Several factors secreted  
3653 by tumor cells enhance osteoclast-mediated bone resorption, either directly (*e.g.*, IL-8) or indirectly  
3654 (*e.g.*, PTHrP, IL-6) *via* stimulation of RANK-L secretion and inhibition of OPG production by osteoblasts.  
3655 In turn, the binding of RANK-L to RANK on osteoclast precursors leads to the formation of new  
3656 osteoclasts. LPA by binding to its receptor LPA1 at the tumor cell surface promotes tumor cell  
3657 proliferation and the production of IL-6 and IL-8, further enhancing osteoclast-mediated bone resorption.  
3658 In addition, tumor-derived LOX and IL-1 $\beta$  accelerate RANKL-induced osteoclastogenesis.  
3659 Consequently, growth factors (TGF $\beta$ , IGFs, PDGF) and calcium are released from the resorbed bone  
3660 matrix. TGF $\beta$  acts on tumor cells and stimulates the expression of factors such as PTHrP and Notch  
3661 ligand Jagged-1. In turn, Jagged/Notch signaling promotes osteoclast differentiation. IGFs and calcium  
3662 promote tumor cell proliferation. Calcium also stimulates the secretion of PTHrP and epiregulin by tumor  
3663 cells. Tumor-derived epiregulin decreases *OPG* expression in osteoblasts. Thus, there is a vicious cycle  
3664 where tumor cells stimulate bone destruction and factors released from resorbed bone stimulate tumor  
3665 growth. This cycle is enhanced by the secretion of tumor-derived factors (DKK-1, SOST-1, noggin,

3666 activin A) that inhibit osteoblast activity, thereby worsening the imbalance between bone formation and  
3667 bone resorption, and promoting bone destruction.

3668 DKK-1: dickkopf-1; IGF: insulin-like growth factor; IL-6: interleukin-6; LOX: lysyl oxidase; LPA:  
3669 lysophosphatidic acid; OPG: osteoprotegerin; PDGF: platelet-derived growth factor; PTHrP: parathyroid  
3670 hormone-related peptide; RANK-L: receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand; SOST-1:  
3671 sclerostin; TGF $\beta$ : transforming growth factor beta.

3672

3673 **Figure 5:** Mechanisms governing the formation of osteoblastic bone metastases. Several factors  
3674 secreted by tumor cells directly enhance osteoblast differentiation (ET-1, BMP-2, BMP-6, Wnts). BMP-4  
3675 mediates conversion of endothelial cells into osteoblasts. The stimulation of osteoblast differentiation is  
3676 associated with increased OPG production, whereas RANK-L secretion is decreased. Tumor cells also  
3677 produce OPG. Tumor-derived ET-1 directly acts onto mature osteoclasts to inhibit osteoclast activity.  
3678 Therefore, there is a strong imbalance between bone formation and bone resorption, leading to aberrant  
3679 bone formation. In addition, tumor-derived PSA and uPA increase the bioavailability of tumor growth-  
3680 promoting factors to the bone microenvironment, such as IGF-I and TGF- $\beta$ .

3681 BMP: bone morphogenetic protein; ET-1: endothelin-1; IGF: insulin-like growth factor; OPG:  
3682 osteoprotegerin; PSA: prostate specific antigen; TGF $\beta$ : transforming growth factor beta; uPA:  
3683 urokinase.

3684

3685 **Figure 6:** Contribution of immune cells to bone metastasis formation. The innate and adaptive immune  
3686 cells in the bone tissue microenvironment harbor both tumor-promoting and tumor-suppressing  
3687 activities. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and natural killer (NK) cells eliminate tumor cells through the production of  
3688 interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$  or TRAIL/FASL-induced apoptosis. However, these tumor cells may escape to the  
3689 cytotoxic activity of immune cells (*e.g.*, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells), by inducing the recruitment of myeloid derived  
3690 suppressor cells (MDSC), plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC) and regulatory T cells (Treg) that induce



3691 an immunosuppressive state within the bone tissue microenvironment. Beside tumor-suppressing  
3692 activities, MDSCs can differentiate into functional osteoclasts. Furthermore, tumor-associated  
3693 macrophages and a population of specialist osteal tissue macrophages termed osteomacs facilitate  
3694 bone metastasis formation. RANK-L: receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand.

3695


3696 **Figure 7:** Metabolic pathways associated with bone metastasis progression. In order to increase  
3697 glucose uptake, cancer cells up-regulate glucose transporters, notably glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1).  
3698 Glucose is then utilized for ATP generation through lactate production (aerobic glycolysis), *via* glucose-  
3699 6-phosphate (G6P) and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) for nucleotide synthesis and through the  
3700 tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle for lipid biosynthesis and protein acetylation. Lactate is released from  
3701 tumor cells by monocarboxylate transporter 4 (MCT4) and then uptaken by osteoclasts through the  
3702 transporter MCT1. Lactate stimulates osteoclast-mediated bone resorption, whereas fatty acids,  
3703 cholesterol and nucleotides stimulate tumor cell proliferation.

3704

3705 **Figure 8: (A)** Clinical presentation of a solitary asymptomatic bone metastasis in a 54-year-old patient  
3706 with breast cancer. A hot spot localized on L1 left pedicle (black arrow) was initially detected using  
3707 technetium-99m (99m Tc)-bisphosphonate (BP) planar whole-body scintigraphy. Further analysis was  
3708 conducted using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. FDG/PET  
3709 imaging displayed a hypermetabolic focus (white arrow) congruent to 99mTc-BP scintigraphy. **(B)**  
3710 Clinical presentation of a solitary asymptomatic bone metastasis in a 67-year-old patient with prostate  
3711 cancer. A hot spot localized on L3 vertebral body (black arrow) was initially detected using 99mTc-BP  
3712 planar whole-body scintigraphy. Further analysis was conducted using fluorocholine (FCH)/PET  
3713 imaging, displaying a hypermetabolic focus (white arrow) on L3, which was congruent to 99mTc-BP  
3714 scintigraphy.

3715

3716 **Figure 9:** Current and emerging bone-targeted therapies. Summary of cellular and molecular targets  
3717 and corresponding bone-targeted agents that are approved by the FDA and EMA for use in oncology or  
3718 evaluated in phase trials. NCT: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier (ID) number.





Osteolytic lesion

Osteoblastic lesion

Figure 1

# PROGRESSION OF BONE COLONIZATION



**Primary tumor**

Blood vessel

LOX  
Exosomes  
miRNAs  
IL-1beta

**Bone**

IL-6

**Premetastatic niche**

Endothelial cell

E-selectin  
CXCR-4  
RANK  
IL-1beta

Tumor cell

CXCL-12  
RANK-L

Bone  
Extracellular  
Matrix  
Proteins

Osteoblast

**Bone**

**Tumor cell extravasation and homing**

VASCULAR NICHE

CXCL-12  
/CXCR-4  
TSP-1

CAR cell

ECM

Quiescent  
Tumor  
cell

CDH1/CDH2  
Cx43/Cx43  
CXCR-4/CXCL-12  
ANXA2/CXCL-12  
GAS6/AXL

SNO cell

ENDOSTEAL NICHE

**Maintenance within the bone marrow niches**

Figure 2




Figure 3

Figure 4

# PROGRESSION OF OSTEOLYTIC BONE METASTASES








Figure 5

# PROGRESSION OF OSTEOBLASTIC BONE METASTASES
















Figure 7

**A****BREAST CANCER****B****PROSTATE CANCER**

Matrix, Cell	Molecular target	Drug	Phase / Trial ID (NCT)
 Bone matrix	Calcium	Bisphosphonates, Radium-223, Samarium-223, Strontium-89	Approved
	TGFβ	Galinusetib	II / NCT02452008
 Osteoclast	FPPS	Bisphosphonates	Approved
	RANKL	Denosumab	Approved
	mTOR	Everolimus	Approved
	VEGFR, c-MET	Cabozantinib	Approved
	RON	BMS777607/ASLAN002	I / NCT01721148, NCT00605618
 Osteoblast	Androgen receptor	Enzalutamide	Approved
	CYP17A	Abiraterone	Approved
	Activin A	Sotatercept	II / NCT01562405, NCT00747123
	DKK-1	BHQ880	II / NCT01302886, NCT01337752, NCT00741377
 Nerve cell	NGF	Tanezumab	III / NCT00545129, NCT02609828

**Table 1.** Chemokines and their receptors involved *in the formation of bone metastasis*.

Chemokine receptor	Chemokine Ligand	Tumor type	Function in bone metastasis	Reference
CXCR-2	CXCL-1, CXCL-2	Prostate	Marrow adipocyte-derived CXCL-1 and CXCL-2 contribute to osteolysis in metastatic prostate cancer	135
CXCR-2	CXCL-5	Breast	The CXCR-2/CXCL-5 axis promotes tumor cell colonization in the bone marrow	275
CXCR-2	CXCL-8 (IL8)	Breast	Tumor-derived IL8 contributes to osteoclastic bone destruction in bone metastasis	18, 26, 27, 361
CXCR-3	CXCL-10	Breast, melanoma	CXCL-10 facilitates trafficking of CXCR-3-expressing cancer cells to bone	193
CXCR-4	CXCL-12	Breast, prostate	The CXCR-4/CXCL-12 axis regulates tumor cell entry in the bone marrow	235, 267, 273
CXCR-5	CXCL-13	Prostate	CXCL-13 mediates attachment of CXCR-5-expressing tumor cells to bone marrow endothelial cells <i>in vitro</i>	300
CX3CR-1	CX3CL-1	Breast, prostate	CX3CR-1 and CX3CL-1 interactions promote tumor cell extravasation in the bone marrow	158, 159
CCR-2	CCL-2	Breast, prostate	CCL2-expressing tumor cells engage CCR-2-expressing macrophages and pre-osteoclasts to facilitate colonization in bone	213, 232

**Table 2.** *Potential clinical utility of bone turnover biomarkers.*

Biomarker	Abbreviation	Clinical application	Reference
<b>Bone formation marker</b>			
Bone alkaline phosphatase	BALP	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in solid tumors. Prognosis of bone metastasis in solid tumors. <b>Risk of skeletal related events.</b> Prognosis during anti-resorptive therapy. <b>Prediction of response to treatment.</b>	<b>61, 67, 90, 91, 155, 187, 206</b>
procollagen I carboxyl-terminal propeptide	PICP	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in prostate cancer. Prediction of response to atrasentan in prostate cancer.	<b>187</b>
Procollagen I amino-terminal propeptide	PINP	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in breast and prostate cancer.	<b>35, 90, 180</b>
<b>Bone resorption marker</b>			
C-telopeptide	CTX	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in prostate cancer. Prognosis of bone metastasis in breast cancer.	<b>33, 35</b>
N-telopeptide	NTX	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in prostate and lung cancer. Prognosis of bone metastasis in solid tumors. <b>Risk of skeletal related events.</b> Prognosis during anti-resorptive therapy. <b>Prediction</b>	<b>35, 61, 67, 90, 155, 187, 206</b>

of response to treatment.

carboxyterminal telopeptide of type I collagen	ICTP	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in lung cancer. Prognosis of bone metastasis in breast cancer.	33, 155
Tartrate resistant acid phosphatase 5b	TRACP	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in breast cancer.	90, 155
pyridinoline	PYD	Prediction of response to atrasentan in prostate cancer.	186
Receptor activator of nuclear factor $\kappa$ B-ligand/osteoprotegerin	RANKL/OPG	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in solid tumors	125, 165, 183
microRNAs	miRNAs	Diagnosis of bone metastasis in breast cancer.	96, 294

