



HAL
open science

Egg size does not universally predict embryonic resources and hatchling size across annual killifish species

Vrtílek Milan, Tom Van J M Dooren, Beaudard Mégane

► **To cite this version:**

Vrtílek Milan, Tom Van J M Dooren, Beaudard Mégane. Egg size does not universally predict embryonic resources and hatchling size across annual killifish species. 2020. hal-03096397v1

HAL Id: hal-03096397

<https://hal.science/hal-03096397v1>

Preprint submitted on 4 Jan 2021 (v1), last revised 5 Jan 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

24 **Abstract**

25 Egg size has a crucial impact on the reproductive success of a mother and the performance of
26 her offspring. It is therefore reasonable to employ egg size as a proxy for egg content when studying
27 variation in offspring performance. Here, we tested species differences in allometries of several egg
28 content parameters with egg area. We measured individual eggs in five species of annual killifish
29 (Cyprinodontiformes), a group of fish where egg banks permit population survival over dry season.
30 Apart from comparing allometric scaling exponents, amounts and compositions of egg components
31 across the different species, we assessed the explanatory power of egg area for egg wet and dry weight
32 and for hatchling size. We found notable species-specific allometries between egg area and the other
33 egg parameters (egg dry weight and water content, elemental composition and triglyceride content).
34 Across species, egg area predicted egg wet weight with highest power. Within species, coefficients of
35 determination were largest in *Austrolebias elongatus*, a large piscivorous species with large eggs. Our
36 study shows that systematically using egg area as a proxy of egg content between different species
37 can ignore relevant species-specific differences and mask within-species variability in egg content.

38

39 **Keywords:** *Austrolebias*, allocation strategy, allometry, egg composition, *Nothobranchius*
40 *furzeri*

41

42 **Introduction**

43 Egg size is an ecologically and evolutionary important trait in egg-laying animal species
44 (Bernardo, 1996; Fox and Czesak, 2000; Krist, 2011). In particular in species that provide little or no
45 parental care, the egg and the resources it contains are the main route of transmission of non-genetic
46 effects between a mother and its offspring. Parameters describing an egg thus do not only affect
47 individual offspring performance, but also reflect female's allocation strategy that eventually impacts
48 her fitness (Moore et al., 2015; Morrongiello et al., 2012; Smith and Fretwell, 1974). Egg size usually
49 provides a reasonable proxy for the other traits potentially important for offspring survival,
50 development and growth; like the amounts of water, nutrients, or energy contained in the egg (Berg
51 et al., 2001; Salze et al., 2005). Hence, we often observe long-term cascading effects of egg size on
52 early-life offspring performance (Segers and Taborsky, 2011; Self et al., 2018; Semlitsch and Gibbons,
53 1990). Measuring egg size is straightforward and can be performed in a non-invasive manner, usually
54 recorded as diameter or wet mass (Brooks et al., 1997; Krist, 2011; Räsänen et al., 2005). Using egg
55 size as a proxy for other egg parameters seems, therefore, a sufficient way to assess, or control for
56 female allocation among individual offspring.

57 Some studies have shed doubt on the generality of strong positive correlations between egg
58 size and egg content (Leblanc et al., 2014; Moran and McAlister, 2009; Murry et al., 2008), showing
59 that egg dry mass proves to be a more reliable predictor of nutrient and energy content (Murry et al.,
60 2008). While we might measure maternal investment better by the means of egg dry weight, the
61 problem is that destroying the egg by drying prevents any follow-up monitoring at the individual
62 offspring level. Most egg parameters actually cannot be measured without destroying the embryo.
63 Egg size therefore remains the first option to test for effects of maternal investment on offspring life
64 history. To make valid predictions without sacrificing all eggs, we need good data on the relationship
65 of egg size with other egg parameters from multiple species.

66 Annual killifish (Cyprinodontiformes) are small freshwater fish adapted to seasonally desiccating
67 ponds where populations overcome dry periods in embryonic stages, thus creating egg banks in the

68 pond soil (Cellerino et al., 2016; Furness, 2016). Adaptation to this temporary habitat evolved multiple
69 times from non-annual ancestors (Furness et al., 2015; Helmstetter et al., 2016). A common feature
70 of annual killifish embryos is their ability to arrest development (by entering diapause) and potentially
71 reduce energy expenditure at three discernible morphological stages (Podrabsky et al., 2010; Wourms,
72 1972). Diapauses allow embryos of annual killifish to survive dry periods that may occasionally span
73 even multiple years. Egg provisioning thus represents a crucial life-history process in annual killifish
74 that may affect embryonic survival or amount of energetic reserves available after hatching.

75 Bigger annual killifish species indeed produce disproportionately larger eggs compared to same-
76 sized non-annual species (Eckerström-Liedholm et al., 2017). In the African annual killifish
77 *Nothobranchius furzeri* (Nothobranchiidae), egg size determines hatchling size irrespective of the time
78 spent in incubation (Vrtílek et al., 2017). This suggests that some resources in the egg that scale with
79 egg size affect hatchling size and that these are not consumed during diapause. There is not much
80 data from other annual killifish species, but Moshgani and Van Dooren (2011) found substantial
81 within-species variation in egg size of the South-American annual *Austrolebias nigripinnis* (Rivulidae).
82 They did not, however, relate egg size to amounts of resources or to hatchling size.

83 Here, we test for differences in allometries of egg content parameters with egg size in
84 ecologically and phylogenetically divergent species of annual killifish from South America (genus
85 *Austrolebias*) and Africa (species *N. furzeri*). We combine analyses of different egg composition
86 parameters – wet and dry egg mass; carbon, nitrogen and sulphur content; the amount of triglycerides
87 – to quantify resources such as water, nutrients and energy in individual eggs. We aimed to test the
88 relevance of egg area measured from digital photographs as a predictor for egg wet and dry weight
89 within and across annual killifish species. In addition to that, we incubated a subset of the collected
90 clutches to assess the effect of egg area on hatchling traits. We evaluate the generality of our
91 conclusions by using two additional independently collected datasets.

92

93

94 **Methods**

95 **Breeding pairs of *Austrolebias* species**

96 To collect eggs, we established breeding pairs of four *Austrolebias* species from captive
97 populations maintained at the CEREEP station in Nemours-St. Pierre, France (approval no. B77-431-
98 1). We used two small species – *A. bellottii* (population “Ingeniero Maschwitz”, 4 pairs) and *A.*
99 *nigripinnis* (“La Guarderia”, 4 pairs); and two large (“piscivorous”) species – *A. elongatus* (“General
100 Conesa”, 5 pairs) and *A. prognathus* (“Salamanca”, 2 pairs). Table S1 in the Supplementary Information
101 lists the ages and body sizes of the parental fish and includes details on their source populations.

102 The breeding pairs were kept in tanks of 21 litres for the small species or of 54 l for the piscivores
103 in a dedicated climate room at the CEREEP facility. To prepare tank water, we mixed reverse osmosis
104 and conditioned (JBL NitratEx, PhosEx, SilicatEx resin-filtered and Sera Toxivec 0.5 ml/l) tap water in a
105 1:1 ratio resulting in 200-300 μ S conductivity. We replaced 1/3 of the water per tank on a weekly basis.
106 The room temperature was set to 23 °C during the light period of the day (8AM-10PM, 14 h) and to
107 18 °C when dark (10PM-8AM, 10 h), making water temperature vary between 17-23 °C. Fish were fed
108 daily with live *Tubifex* sp. (Grebil Père et Fils, Arry, France).

109

110 **Breeding stock of *N. furzeri***

111 We wanted to compare egg content between two phylogenetically distinct genera of annual
112 killifish - *Austrolebias* (South America) and *Nothobranchius* (Africa) (Furness et al., 2015). We therefore
113 established a breeding stock of *N. furzeri* (population “MZCS414”) from the Institute of Vertebrate
114 Biology CAS in Brno, Czech Republic at the CEREEP facility. We hatched *N. furzeri* according to the
115 standard protocol (Polačik et al., 2016) using a 1:1 mixture of osmotic and tap water at 18 °C. We
116 subsequently reared the fish at 27-28 °C and fed them with *Artemia salina* nauplii until the age of ten

117 days when they were weaned on *Tubifex* sp. We separated sexes when first marks of nuptial
118 colouration of males appeared, at approximately three weeks, and paired the fish only for egg
119 collection. *Nothobranchius furzeri* were hatched on July 4, 2017, shortly after the collection of eggs
120 from *Austrolebias* began, so they reached full maturity (at an age of six weeks) when *Austrolebias* egg
121 collection finished. The breeding stock of *N. furzeri* consisted of seven pairs.

122

123 **Egg collection and measurements**

124 We collected *Austrolebias* eggs using two- or five-litre plastic spawning containers (depending
125 on the species). The containers were filled with a 0.5 cm layer of 300-400 μm diameter glass beads
126 and 5 cm of peat granules (2-4-mm diameter fraction; HS aqua Torogran, Ulestraten, Netherlands) to
127 allow fish to dive into the substrate during spawning. Each tank had a single spawning container which
128 we replaced every other evening. Retrieved containers were left overnight in an adjacent climate
129 room at 23 °C. We collected eggs the following day using set of sieves of different mesh sizes as
130 described in (Moshgani and Van Dooren, 2011). We paired *Nothobranchius* to spawn for two hours
131 (9-11AM) in two-litre containers with one cm layer of the glass beads. We collected eggs six hours
132 later by sieving the spawning substrate.

133 We photographed fertilized killifish eggs and only measured eggs with a clear perivitelline
134 space. Eggs were gently cleaned with a paintbrush and we photographed (Nikon COOLPIX 4500) ten
135 random eggs per pair at each collection date under a dissecting microscope (Olympus SZH10) at 30x
136 magnification. We measured two egg dimensions: the longest egg axis (d_1) and the perpendicular axis
137 (d_2) in pixels (ImageJ ver.1.50i) and subsequently rescaled to lengths in millimetre using a 0.01-mm
138 calibration slide. From these two lengths, *egg area* was calculated as $\frac{\pi}{4} d_1 d_2$. We use mainly egg area
139 as the measure of egg size in this study.

140

141 **Preparations for the analysis of egg content**

142 We collected freshly laid eggs from *Austrolebias* species for the analysis of egg content at four
143 occasions among the egg collections for incubation (see “Incubation of embryos and hatching” below).
144 After photographing, we stored each egg in a separate 1-ml Eppendorf tube with UV-sterilized water
145 (mixed as for incubation) at 23 °C in the incubator. Next day, we removed moisture from the eggs by
146 rolling them over a filter paper and measured their wet weight to the nearest 0.001 mg (Mettler
147 Toledo XPE26 balance). The eggs for analysis of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur content (see “Elemental
148 content analysis” below) were subsequently oven-dried for 24 h at 70 °C, weighed for dry weight and
149 stored at 4 °C. The eggs for the triglyceride assay (see “Triglyceride assay” below) were each put fresh
150 in a dry Eppendorf tube and frozen at -20 °C before the analysis.

151 We took the opportunity to get a sample of eggs from extremely old clutches of *A. bellottii*
152 spawned in February 2011 (6+ years of incubation) and compared their triglyceride content to that of
153 freshly laid eggs of the same species. These clutches had been stored in damp mixed coco-coir and
154 peat in zip-locked bags. We briefly dried the substrate to make the eggs visible, put the retrieved eggs
155 into UV-sterilized water and then treated them in the same way as those above for the triglyceride
156 assay.

157

158 **Elemental content analysis**

159 The concentrations (weight percentage) of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur were determined by
160 dry combustion with a CNS element analyser (Thermo Scientific Flash 2000) at the Global Change
161 Research Institute CAS, Brno, Czech Republic. Benzoic acid was used as a reference material.

162

163 **Triglyceride assay**

164 We assessed egg triglyceride reserves using a commercial Triglyceride Colorimetry Assay kit (ref.
165 10010303; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) following the protocol for tissue homogenates. We
166 left frozen eggs to thaw at room temperature and put each one in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube with 0.2
167 ml of 5X salt solution (Standard Diluent). The tube content was homogenized using a disperser (IKA
168 T10 basic ULTRA-TURRAX) for 30 s and then centrifuged for 10 min (Eppendorf 5430, 10,000 r/min, 4
169 °C) to separate egg envelope and content. We put the supernatant into a clean Eppendorf tube and
170 mixed briefly on a vortex (Fisherbrand L-46). We pipetted 10 µl aliquot in a prepared 96-well plate,
171 added 150 µl of diluted Enzyme Mixture and incubated the plate at room temperature for 10 min. We
172 made two replicates per sample. We measured the absorbance at 540 nm wavelength three times per
173 replicate using a microplate reader (BIO-RAD iMark). We used the reference standards to calculate
174 triglyceride concentrations of the two replicates.

175

176 **Incubation of embryos and hatching**

177 To test for long-term effects of egg size on embryonic development, we incubated a sample of
178 the photographed eggs and hatched those having completed their development after a pre-
179 determined duration. We stored eggs individually in 24-well plates filled with UV-sterilized mixed
180 water (1:1 ratio of aged tap and reverse osmosis water) at 23 °C in a Memmert IPP500 incubator. We
181 inspected development and survival of incubated embryos weekly. Inspections were more frequent,
182 however, during the first two weeks when embryonic mortality was highest. At each inspection, we
183 removed dead embryos and recorded the date of those reaching the pre-hatching developmental
184 stage, i.e. the “golden-eye” stage (Stage 5 in Varela-Lasheras and Van Dooren (2014), St. 43 in
185 Podrabsky et al. (2017)). We kept the pre-hatching stage embryos in their wells until the scheduled
186 hatching date. A minor fraction of the pre-hatching stage embryos (7 %, 29 of 427), however, hatched
187 spontaneously prior to the planned hatching date. These individuals were not used in further analyses
188 of hatchling size.

189 We scheduled hatching four months after the date of last spawning and maximum time spent
190 in the pre-hatching stage was 92 days. To initiate hatching, we gently wiped egg dry by rolling over a
191 filter paper and put it back in a dry well. We stimulated hatching by rewetting the embryos 3 h later
192 using a 6:1 mixture of 23 °C osmotic water and peat extract (1 l of peat boiled in 1 l of osmotic water).
193 We inspected hatching success eight hours after rewetting, anaesthetized the hatched fish with clove
194 oil and photographed them from a lateral view under a dissecting microscope (magnification 15x for
195 small species and 10x for piscivores). We measured standard length (SL) of each hatchling and the
196 area of its remaining yolk. We repeated the hatching procedure on the following day with the
197 previously unhatched embryos.

198

199 **Independent datasets of *Austrolebias* egg size, wet and egg dry weight, and hatchling size**

200 We used two independent datasets of *Austrolebias* eggs collected in 2015 to generalize our
201 findings. The first dataset consisted of eggs of *Austrolebias bellottii* (population “Ingeniero
202 Maschwitz”, 3 pairs, 61 eggs), where egg area was measured together with wet and egg dry weight.
203 The eggs were collected from spawning boxes containing moss *Sphagnum magellanicum* facilitating
204 rapid egg retrieval. We measured egg area, egg wet and dry weight as described above.

205 The other independent dataset was on egg size-hatchling size relationship from a pair of *A.*
206 *elongatus* (“Vivorata”) and of *A. nigripinnis* (“San Javier Misiones”) kept in a greenhouse. We
207 collected eggs from spawning boxes with a mix of coco-coir and peat during February and March 2015.
208 The substrate was gently dried until eggs could be seen and collected. Again, we measured egg size as
209 above and transferred eggs to 24-well plates with UV-sterilized water at two different temperatures
210 (22 °C and 25 °C) for incubation. Three months later (May 28, 2015), embryos in the pre-hatching stage
211 were air dried for four hours and rewetted to initiate hatching, so we could measure standard length
212 (SL) of the hatchlings.

213

214 **Statistical analysis**

215 We used the data for two different but related purposes: (1) we determined which explanatory
216 variables contributed significantly to variability in egg parameters with a particular focus on species
217 differences in allometric scaling exponents of egg size; and (2) we assessed how well egg size,
218 measured as egg area on digital images, predicted egg wet weight, dry weight and hatchling size.

219 We assumed that the investigated egg parameters scaled allometrically with egg size and that
220 these relationships can be described by constants of proportionality and scaling exponents (Appendix,
221 Section A). We used egg area as a measure of size. In the Appendix, we explain how allometries can
222 be analysed in a multi-species context and provide arguments for the modelling choices we made. We
223 ignore effects of measurement error in egg size in our analysis (Appendix, Section F).

224 The parameters we measured in eggs are expected to covary (water weight with egg dry weight,
225 for example) both between and within species and we therefore used multivariate models wherever
226 possible (Appendix, Section D). To do so, we reformatted values of response variables into stacked
227 form and added a dummy factor “trait” with levels identifying the different egg parameters. All
228 models thus contained “trait” fixed effects by default to distinguish the egg parameters and to allow
229 for fitting trait-specific random effects and different residual variances per trait. We fitted models
230 using functions from library “nlme” (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000) in R software v. 3.5.3 (R Core Team,
231 2019).

232 We always started model selection with a full model and then tried to simplify it on the basis of
233 log-likelihood ratio tests and F-tests on model log-likelihoods. We removed random effects first,
234 followed by simplification of residual variance structures and then fixed effects (Zuur et al., 2009). We
235 removed random effects by comparing models containing all residual variance terms and fixed effects
236 fitted with restricted maximum likelihood (REML). When testing the importance of individual fixed
237 effects, we refitted the models with maximum likelihood (ML). We then assessed fit of the selected
238 models by inspecting the distributions of residuals.

239 To test species-specific allometries in egg dry mass and water content related to egg size, we
240 used egg dry weight and water weight as log-transformed response variables in a bivariate model. Egg
241 water weight was fitted as egg wet weight minus dry weight, therefore we did not add total wet weight
242 as an explanatory variable (Appendix, Section E). The full model included “trait”, “species” and “log-
243 transformed egg area” with all the possible interactions as fixed effects to account for different
244 allometries between species and traits. The log-transformed egg area was zero-centered per species
245 prior the analysis to ensure that intercept difference terms capture the total differences between
246 groups while slopes estimate the scaling exponents (Appendix, Section D; Nakagawa et al., 2017). We
247 provide a simple rule here which can help interpreting estimated scaling exponents. If egg parameters
248 scale isometrically with egg volume and eggs are spheres, then they must scale in a hyperallometric
249 manner with egg area (with coefficient $3/2$, Appendix, Section B). Hence, when exponents of egg area
250 are not estimated to be above $3/2$, there are no hyperallometries for volume. Parental pair and
251 collection date were modelled as trait-specific random effects and residual variances were allowed to
252 differ between species and traits.

253 Carbon, nitrogen, and sulphur (CNS) are components of egg dry weight with raw data values
254 given as percentages. We carried out compositional analysis with CNS percentages transformed using
255 isometric log-ratio (ilr) (library “compositions”; van den Boogaart and Tolosana-Delgado, 2013). We
256 fitted them as responses in a trivariate general linear model because mixed-effects model did not
257 converge. The full model was of the same structure as the bivariate model above for egg dry weight
258 and water weight. Individual model parameters in the compositional analysis were, however, difficult
259 to interpret (van den Boogaart and Tolosana-Delgado, 2013). We therefore report parameter
260 estimates from the best model fitted with log-transformed total amounts of individual elements
261 calculated from CNS percentages and dry weights (Appendix, Section E).

262 We assayed triglyceride content to test for relative species differences. Triglyceride content was
263 measured as diluted sample concentration in two replicates from the same egg. We then back-
264 calculated the total amount of egg triglycerides in the replicates using the sum of standard solvent

265 volume and egg volume taken as $V = \pi \frac{d_1 d_2 d_3}{6}$, assuming a spheroid egg shape. We used log-
266 transformed total amount of triglycerides from each replicate as a response and corrected for
267 different egg sizes by fitting log-transformed egg volume as an offset (Appendix, Section E). We fitted
268 fixed effect of “species” to test for intercept differences among species and interaction between
269 “species” and species-centered “log-transformed egg volume”. Full mixed-effects model that would
270 control for both pseudoreplication and species-specific residual variances did not converge. We
271 therefore fitted two alternative models: a mixed-effects model with sample ID as random effect; or a
272 general linear model with averaged values from the two subsamples accommodating species-specific
273 residual variance. The outcome of these two models was qualitatively similar and we report estimates
274 of species contrasts from the model with the averaged sub-sample values based on Tukey’s post-hoc
275 test (function `glht()`, library “`multcomp`”; Hothorn et al., 2008).

276 We tested for long-lasting effects of egg size on traits at hatching: hatchling size and remaining
277 yolk reserves. Hatchling size and yolk sac area were log-transformed and analysed using a bivariate
278 mixed-effects model as above for egg dry weight and water content. The full model included a triple
279 interaction between “trait” (by default), “species” and species-centered “log-transformed egg area”
280 to account for different allometries in species and traits (Appendix, Section C). In addition to that, we
281 fitted an interaction between “trait” and the number of days spent in the pre-hatching stage. This was
282 to account for the variation in pre-hatching stage duration among embryos. Full mixed-effects model
283 containing random effects of pair and collection date did not converge. We thus fitted general linear
284 models with trait-specific residual variances.

285 To evaluate how well egg area predicts egg wet weight, dry weight, and hatchling size, we
286 calculated coefficients of determination (R^2) in mixed-effects models using function `r.squaredGLMM()`
287 (library “`MuMIn`”; Bartoń, 2018). This function allows to separate R^2 for the whole model ($R^2_{conditional}$)
288 from the fixed-effects part of the model ($R^2_{marginal}$) (Nakagawa and Schielzeth, 2013). We first fitted full
289 mixed-effects model with “species” in interaction with untransformed “egg area” as fixed effects

290 (Appendix, Section G), and pair and collection date random effects. Residual variances were allowed
291 to vary between species. We then fitted separate models per species with egg area fixed effects, and
292 pair and collection date random effects. We fitted the same models to the additional datasets from
293 2015 to compare predictive power of egg area among different studies.

294

295

296 **Results**

297 We collected over six thousand eggs of five annual killifish species in 2017 of which we
298 incubated 1384 and measured wet and dry weight of 295 eggs. We used 130 of these dried eggs for
299 CNS elemental analysis and another 40 fresh eggs, which were weighed, for triglyceride content assay
300 (including seven eggs collected from substrate incubated for 6+ years) (Table 1). The additional two
301 datasets consisted of 61 wet and egg dry weights from a single species, and of 51 hatchling sizes from
302 another two species measured in 2015 (see Table 1 for more details).

Table 1. Overview of species mean values for the measured egg parameters.

303

304 **Egg size**

305 Taking egg area determined from images as the measure of egg size, the collected eggs
306 clustered into two groups according to adult sizes of the species they belonged to. Eggs were notably
307 larger in the piscivores, *Austrolebias elongatus* and *A. prognathus*, compared to the small *Austrolebias*
308 species, *A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis*, and to *Nothobranchius furzeri* (Fig. 1, Table 1). The size of *A.*
309 *bellottii* eggs collected after 6+ years of incubation was larger compared to freshly laid eggs of the
310 same species (Table 1). The eggs from the additional datasets were similar in size to eggs collected for
311 this study (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Species with larger body size lay larger eggs.

312

313 **Dry egg mass and water content**

314 The allometric scaling exponents of egg dry and water weight on egg area differed between
315 species. The best model included a three-way interaction between species, trait and log-transformed
316 egg area (species:trait:log(egg area) interaction, $F_{4,532} = 2.67$, $p = 0.032$). This means that the effects of
317 log-egg area on log-egg dry weight and log-water weight differed and that this difference depends on
318 the species (Table 2, Fig. 2A). However, the water weight allometric scaling exponent was significantly
319 different from *A. bellottii* and from dry weight only in eggs of *A. prognathus*. In *A. prognathus*, water
320 weight appeared to scale isometrically with egg area while egg area had no effect on egg dry weight
321 (Fig. 2A). *Austrolebias bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis* showed hypo-allometric, and *A. elongatus* hyper-
322 allometric effects of egg area on dry weight. None of the predicted exponents were above 3/2 (Table
323 2), hence there were no hyperallometries for the relationship with egg volume. The estimates for dry
324 weight effects in *A. prognathus* and *N. furzeri* were close to zero indicating very weak relationships
325 between egg size and dry weight. In the additional 2015 dataset, *A. bellottii* eggs' dry weight changed
326 hyperallometrically with egg area (log(egg area) effect \pm SE (standard error): 1.360 ± 0.085). Water
327 weight changed similarly to that (difference between log(egg area) effect on log(dry weight) vs.
328 log(water weight): -0.239 ± 0.128), hence both changed approximately isometrically with egg volume.

329 Overall, the annual killifish eggs contained between two and three times as much water as dry
330 matter. The estimated differences in species intercepts or of different traits on the same species
331 represent multiplicative total differences after back-transformation (Fig. 2 Table 1; Appendix, Section
332 D). Relative content of water in the eggs of *A. elongatus*, *A. prognathus*, together with *N. furzeri* was
333 higher than in the small *Austrolebias* species, *A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis* (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 2B).
334 Eggs of *A. bellottii* from 2015 contained 2.66-times more water than dry matter (log-transformed
335 estimate: 0.979 ± 0.035), compared to 2.16 ratio in *A. bellottii* eggs collected in 2017. Egg dry weight

336 appeared to vary more than water weight (estimated SDs ratio between log(dry weight) and log(water
337 weight) was 1.294; CI [1.140, 1.467]) and eggs of *N. furzeri* were the most variable (SD (standard
338 deviation) ratio was 1.723; CI (confidence interval) [1.256, 2.362]).

Table 2. Species-specific scaling of egg dry mass and water content with egg area.

339

Figure 2. A) Species-specific slopes of the relationship between water weight and egg dry weight.
B) Species differences in the amount of water to egg dry weight.

340

341 **CNS elemental analysis**

342 Carbon, nitrogen and sulphur contents varied among eggs of different species including species-
343 specific allometric scaling exponents of egg area (Table 3). The compositional analysis with ilr-
344 transformed data showed a significant three-way interaction (species:trait:log(egg area), $F_{8,360} = 2.20$,
345 $p = 0.028$) meaning that proportions of the three elements scaled differently with egg area and that
346 these differences depended on the species. As the estimates from ilr-transformed data were hard to
347 interpret, we re-fitted the full model with log-transformed total amounts of the elements (Table 3).
348 Overall, carbon content scaling exponents of egg area varied among species, but nitrogen and sulphur
349 scaling exponents were hardly different. The only exception was the scaling exponent estimate for
350 sulphur in eggs of *A. nigripinnis* (Table 3). None of the slopes of egg area is significantly above 1.5,
351 hence we can again conclude that there are no hyperallometries for egg volume.

352 Egg elemental composition varied across different species. Species differences in the total
353 amount of carbon can be largely explained by species effects on egg dry weight (compare the “log(dry
354 weight)” column of Table 2 to the “log(total C)” in Table 3). The top half of the table indicates that the
355 piscivores (*A. elongatus* and *A. prognathus*) and *N. furzeri* had relatively more nitrogen to carbon than
356 *A. bellottii* (Fig. 3A). In addition to that, eggs of piscivores also contained relatively more sulphur (to

357 carbon) (Fig. 3B, Table 3). The three elements analysed together made up between 60 and 68 % of egg
358 dry weight (carbon 54.1 %, nitrogen 8.7 % and sulphur 0.4 %, on average; Table 1). The variance was
359 particularly high for measurements of sulphur in eggs of the small species (*A. bellottii*, *A. nigripinnis*
360 and *N. furzeri*) (SD ratios were 6.330-8.980).

Table 3. Relative changes in the egg content of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur among the species.

361

Figure 3. Ternary plot of species differences in the proportion of carbon, sulphur and residual content.

362

363 Triglyceride content analysis

364 The relative amount of egg triglycerides varied within and among species (species, $F_{5,34} = 12.88$,
365 $p < 0.001$; Fig. 4). We found that, after accounting for different egg volumes, the amount of egg
366 triglycerides was highest in *A. elongatus* and lowest in *N. furzeri* which overlapped with the small
367 *Austrolebias* species, *A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis* (Fig. 4). We didn't observe a difference between
368 fresh eggs of *A. bellottii* and those collected from 6+ years old substrate (Fig. 4). We explored potential
369 allometric scaling exponent effects of egg volume on the amount of triglycerides and found a
370 significant species (species:log(egg volume) interaction, $F_{6,28} = 3.35$, $p = 0.013$) where *N. furzeri* had a
371 much larger positive value than the other species. However, this significant interaction and the large
372 estimate are due to four very small outlying concentrations among the smallest eggs, suggesting that
373 these eggs were incompletely composed and that we should not take this as evidence for
374 hyperallometry.

Figure 4. Triglyceride concentration in the eggs of annual killifish species.

375

376 Hatchling size and remaining yolk

377 We successfully hatched 22% (92/427) of pre-hatching stage embryos. *Austrolebias bellottii*
378 hatchlings were larger than *A. nigripinnis* (Table 4). Within species, hatchling size did not depend on
379 egg area, but yolk sac area decreased with egg area (trait: centered log(area) interaction, $F_{1,172} = 4.73$,
380 $p = 0.031$, Fig. 5, Table 4). Yolk sac area also diminished with the number of days embryos spent in the
381 pre-hatching stage and we did not record any gain to the embryo by growing larger while waiting for
382 hatching (trait:daysPH interaction, $F_{1,172} = 4.61$, $p = 0.033$). In the additional 2015 dataset, there was
383 again an at most weak effect of egg area on hatchling size of *A. nigripinnis* (log(egg area) effect: 0.165
384 ± 0.091), hatchlings of *A. elongatus* showed a hypo-allometric increase in size with egg area (log(egg
385 area) effect: 0.381 ± 0.057) (Fig. 5). Yolk sac size had a higher variance than hatchling size in our 2017
386 dataset (estimated yolk sac size:hatchling size SDs ratio was 5.291; CI [4.304, 6.506]).

Table 4. Effect of egg size and duration of pre-hatching stage on hatchling size and yolk sac size.

387

Figure 5. Hatchling size increases with egg area among species but not within species.

388

389 **Egg size as predictor of other egg parameters**

390 Species differed markedly in how well egg area predicted the other egg parameters. On
391 average, egg area predicted egg wet weight best, then dry weight, followed by hatchling size. Overall,
392 it predicted best for eggs of *A. elongatus* (Table 5). Models with species-specific untransformed egg
393 area explained data better than an additive model with species and egg area effects for all the three
394 predicted parameters ($p < 0.001$). This suggests that egg area does not predict the other parameters
395 in the same way across different species. We then fitted egg area in separate species-specific models
396 and calculated two complementary measures of model fit: the coefficient of determination (R^2) for
397 the fixed effects only (R^2_{marginal}) and R^2 of the whole model including random effects ($R^2_{\text{conditional}}$). Both
398 fixed-effects and whole-model R^2 for species-specific models of egg wet weight ranged widely (Table
399 5). Egg area was a particularly good predictor of egg wet weight in *A. elongatus* ($R^2_{\text{marginal}} = 0.959$), but

400 performed less well in the other species (Table 5). When we applied the same model to the additional
401 *A. bellottii* dataset of wet weight from 2015, the very high value found ($R^2_{\text{marginal}} = 0.904$) contrasts
402 with *A. bellottii* from the 2017 experiment ($R^2_{\text{marginal}} = 0.210$). In egg dry weight models, R^2_{marginal} was
403 generally lower than for wet weight, again with particularly high values for *A. elongatus* from 2017
404 and *A. bellottii* from 2015 (Table 5). There was a small explanatory effect of egg area on hatchling size
405 in two species that hatched in the 2017 experiment (*A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis*) and in *A. nigripinnis*
406 from 2015. The exception was again *A. elongatus* from 2015 with a high R^2_{marginal} value (Table 5).

Table 5. Predictive power of egg area for egg wet weight, dry weight and hatchling size.
--

407

408

409 Discussion

410 Egg size can be a suitable measure of other egg parameters and offspring performance
411 (Barneche et al., 2018; Kamler, 2005; Krist, 2011). However, can we use egg size as a universal
412 predictor for other egg traits across species without any further adjustments? Here, we compared the
413 composition of eggs in five annual killifish species using data obtained from individual eggs. We tested
414 for different allometric scaling exponents of egg composition parameters on egg area. We assessed
415 the explanatory power of egg area for variation in egg wet and dry weight and for hatchling size. We
416 found species-specific differences in the scaling exponents of egg dry mass and water content, in
417 elemental composition, species-specific allometries of triglyceride content and hatchling size among
418 the studied annual killifish species. Across species, egg area performed best as a predictor for egg wet
419 weight and within species, egg area predicted best other egg parameters in *A. elongatus*, a large
420 piscivore species with large eggs.

421

422 **Allometric scaling exponents of egg components on egg area differ between species while**
423 **patterns in exponents between traits measured on the same egg often remain similar.** The analysis

424 of allometries between traits in different species or groups is a recurring theme in morphometry
425 (Teissier and Huxley, 1936; Klingenberg, 2016; Nakagawa et al., 2017). We can always assume equal
426 allometries in different species, as a null model or a first working hypothesis. However, our analysis
427 demonstrates that even within a genus, allometric scaling exponents differ between species and also
428 within species between samples collected in different years. Even between two piscivorous species
429 from a single clade (Loureiro et al., 2018), different scaling exponents were found. What we also
430 observed was that traits measured on the same egg often shared the same or a similar allometric
431 pattern. For example, egg dry mass had the same allometric exponent with egg area as water weight
432 in each of the species except for *A. prognathus*. Amount of egg components is expected to scale
433 isometrically with egg volume rather than with egg area and volume might have been a better
434 measure of egg size. However, egg volume is difficult to obtain in a non-invasive manner with little
435 handling. In the Appendix (Section B), we explain that if we assume eggs to be approximately spherical,
436 parameter estimates of log-log regressions on egg area can be converted to the expected estimates
437 of log-log regressions on egg volume. Slope values in log-log regressions on egg area below 1.5 imply
438 that the response trait does not scale hyperallometrically with egg volume. For example, larger eggs
439 of *A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis* had lower dry weight than expected based on a simple linear increase
440 with egg area and also egg volume. The dry weight of *A. elongatus* eggs, however, increased more
441 than isometrically with area but approximately isometrically with egg volume. Considering that the
442 only slope values above 1.5 were most likely affected by outliers (in the triglyceride assay), we never
443 found any potential hyperallometric relationship with egg volume. Parental individuals might be
444 constrained in the amounts of resources they can allocate to each individual egg, such that they can
445 provide at best isometric amounts.

446 Egg area did not affect the other egg parameters in some species. We recorded weak-to-zero
447 relationships between egg area and dry and water weight in *A. prognathus* and *N. furzeri*. The egg
448 area relationship with hatchling size was also absent on the intra-specific level (for both *A. bellottii*
449 and *A. nigripinnis*). This specific finding contrasts with a previous study of *N. furzeri* with remarkably

450 robust effect of egg size on hatchling size (Vrtílek et al., 2017) but also with *A. elongatus* 2015 data
451 from this study. Similarly, *A. bellottii* eggs from different years showed different allometric slopes.
452 Thus, notable sample or cohort effects are present in the analysis of annual killifish eggs, but the exact
453 source of this variability is unknown. Moshgani and Van Dooren (2011) found that egg size and
454 reproductive effort depended on the day when eggs were collected and attributed this to food quality
455 and environmental state variation. Similar effects might occur for egg composition as suggested by
456 the sample effects and the recurring presence of hypoallometries which could be caused by resource
457 limitations.

458

459 **Water content was higher in piscivores and *N. furzeri* than in the small *Austrolebias* species.**

460 Annual killifish eggs are exposed to conditions of water stress for several months during dry season
461 periods. Water contained in the eggs therefore represents a precious resource for the embryo. Annual
462 killifish evolved special egg structures to prevent excessive water loss such as microvilli on the
463 envelope or a chorion with extremely low water permeability (Machado and Podrabsky, 2007). Water
464 content of annual killifish eggs appears on the upper boundary of the 50-70% range reported for
465 freshwater teleosts (e.g. *Chondrostoma nasus* (Keckeis et al., 2000)). It is still much lower, however,
466 than the 90 % recorded in marine pelagophilous fish (Craig and Harvey, 1984), where it is responsible
467 for egg buoyancy (Lubzens et al., 2010). We found considerable interspecific variation in egg water
468 content and the studied species formed two groups. The large piscivorous *Austrolebias* and the African
469 *N. furzeri* had higher egg water contents than the two smaller *Austrolebias* species. This clustering is
470 surprising from the perspective of their contrasting ecological conditions during embryogenesis. The
471 African *N. furzeri* occurs in a subtropical semi-arid region and faces longer, colder dry periods in
472 comparison to the temperate South-American *Austrolebias* species. In *Austrolebias*, embryos have to
473 survive a dry season which is relatively humid but warmer. Possibly, piscivorous *Austrolebias* lay their
474 eggs near the fringes of the temporary ponds, where these might face drought regimes more similar
475 to the *N. furzeri* habitats. This remains an open question, however. In lab conditions, desiccation had

476 limited effects on embryonic survival and development in *Austrolebias bellottii* (Van Dooren and
477 Varela-Lasheras, 2018). As an alternative explanation, larger water content in eggs of some species
478 might stem from their higher sensitivity to desiccation and not necessarily represent specialization to
479 drier habitats.

480

481 **Elemental composition of eggs differed between species.** We performed an exploratory
482 analysis of the elemental composition of annual killifish eggs. We measured carbon content, an
483 element which is present in various molecules acting as sources of energy; nitrogen content which
484 provides a crude estimate of proteins; and sulphur content which is scarce in animal tissues but can
485 be found in proteins containing methionine or cysteine amino acids (Kamler, 2005). The elemental
486 composition of annual killifish eggs did not diverge from that of other fish such as zebrafish (46.0-54.0
487 % C, 9.7-11.1 % N) (Hachicho et al., 2015), Northern pike (50.7 % C, 11.5 % N) (Murry et al., 2008), or
488 common sole (49.9 % C, 9.5 % N) (Yúfera et al., 1999). The elemental composition was specific to the
489 different killifish species groups with the large eggs of piscivorous species containing relatively more
490 nitrogen and sulphur when compared to the small *Austrolebias* species. In the eggs of African *N.*
491 *furzeri*, we found relatively more nitrogen to carbon, again paralleling the large *Austrolebias* species.

492

493 **Egg triglycerides probably serve as an energetic reservoir for the final pre-hatching phase in**
494 **annual killifish.** It has been hypothesised that lipids from egg oil globules act as energy reservoir during
495 protracted pre-hatching phase (Brind et al., 1982). In other teleost species, it is usually spent shortly
496 after hatching (Kamler, 2008; Kohno et al., 1986; Yúfera et al., 1999). The globules contain a
497 considerable fraction (50-60%) of the total fish egg lipids (Wiegand, 1996). In annual killifish, the
498 proportion of total egg lipids stored in these oil globules can be particularly high, with up to 90%, and
499 the majority of these lipids are triglycerides (Brind et al., 1982). We therefore focused our analysis on
500 the initial amount of triglycerides in killifish eggs.

501 Eggs of *A. elongatus* contained larger amounts of triglycerides compared to the small species.
502 The other piscivore, *A. prognathus*, overlapped with both *A. elongatus* and the small species. Eggs of
503 *N. furzeri* clustered with the small *Austrolebias* species. This suggests that the allocation of
504 triglycerides among annual killifish species might covary with egg size. We also analysed eggs of *A.*
505 *bellottii* that were incubated for over six years in damp substrate to compare their triglyceride reserves
506 to freshly laid eggs from the same species. No embryo of these long-stored eggs had reached pre-
507 hatching stage and there was no apparent decline of triglycerides when compared to freshly laid eggs
508 of *A. bellottii*. Based on these findings, triglyceride levels seems to be retained until the pre-hatching
509 state in agreement with Brind et al. (1982).

510

511 **Yolk sac reserves decrease in embryos faced with a protracted pre-hatching phase.** Another
512 energy source for fish that is mainly used during embryonic development are egg yolk lipoproteins
513 (primarily lipovitellin) (Brooks et al., 1997; Wiegand, 1996). Freshly hatched annual killifish still possess
514 a visible yolk sac, but an extended time of incubation (number of years) may lead to less viable
515 hatchlings with almost spent yolk (MV, personal observation). In the two species we incubated and
516 hatched (*A. bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis*), we monitored development regularly and, instead of hatching
517 individual embryos at the moment when they reached the pre-hatching stage, we triggered their
518 hatching at pre-specified date. We therefore ended up with hatchlings that had endured variable time
519 in the pre-hatching stage. The amount of remaining yolk at hatching consequently declined with time
520 spent in the pre-hatching stage. It seems that even during such a limited period (maximum 92 days),
521 embryos had consumed considerable proportion of their yolk reserves. We did not inspect diapause
522 III occurrence, so embryos may have been waiting in an active stage for a hatching cue (desiccation
523 and inundation). This scenario may well happen in natural conditions during the last part of the dry
524 season when humidity already increases. A larger yolk sac size would then increase persistence in the
525 pre-hatching stage, in addition to reserves in the lipid droplet. This is similar to an increased starvation

526 resistance during an initial post-hatching phase in other fish (Jardine and Litvak, 2003), amphibians or
527 lizards (Moore et al., 2015; Sinervo, 1990).

528

529 **Conclusion.** Egg parameter allometric scaling exponents and relationships between different
530 egg parameters are not conserved across annual killifish species. Scaling exponents also vary between
531 repeated samples on the same species but collected at different times in different conditions. Our
532 results therefore call for caution and more analyses of intra- and interspecific variation in egg content
533 allometries.

534

535 **Appendix.** Analysis of allometry among species.

536 **A. Allometry with size**

537 An allometric scaling describes the relationship of a trait y with body size x (or with a proxy for
538 body size). Such relationships are usually characterized by a power law (Eqns. 1; Huxley and Teissier,
539 1936)

540
$$y = ax^b, \quad (\text{Eqn. 1a})$$

541

542 which becomes after log-log transformation

543
$$\ln y = \ln a + b \ln x \quad (\text{Eqn. 1b})$$

544

545 When parameter b (the scaling exponent) equals one then y is said to be isometric with body
546 size x . These two traits then scale linearly by a factor a (the factor of proportionality). When $b > 1$ we
547 speak of positive allometry or hyperallometry; $b < 1$ is called negative allometry or hypoallometry
548 (Shingleton, 2010). A log-log regression can be used to estimate b and $\ln a$. In its simplest least-squares
549 or ML (maximum likelihood) formulation, the regression assumes that the residual variance around
550 the regression line is independent of $\ln x$ (homoscedasticity).

551

552 **B. Different measures of body size**

553 In regression equations used to estimate scaling exponents and factors of proportionality, size
554 can be represented by a variable that scales with length of the individual in any life stage, the area of
555 a section, or with its volume. In the context of this study, we assume that eggs are well approximated
556 by considering them to be spheres with radius r . The area of an egg on a digital photograph then is
557 $A = \pi r^2$, whereas egg volume is $V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^3$. The two are related by $V = \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}} A^{3/2}$ or $\ln A = \frac{2}{3} \ln V -$

558 $\frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$. This last equation implies that the slope estimate in a log-log regression on area is two thirds
559 the scaling exponent of an allometry with volume as a measure of size. The estimate of the factor of
560 proportionality is also affected by this transformation and can be adjusted by adding 0.189789 to the
561 intercept of a log-log regression on area.

562

563 **C. Allometry between traits**

564 There are two schools in the analysis of multivariate allometries (Klingenberg, 2016). Either size
565 corresponds to a specific measurement and can be an explanatory variable ("Gould–Mosimann"
566 school), or it is the main axis of variation of the joint distribution of traits. This principal component is
567 then seen as representing size variation ("Huxley–Jolicoeur" school) (Klingenberg, 2016). We follow
568 the first approach.

569 When two traits y_1 and y_2 both relate to body size, then we can write out their respective
570 allometric relationships with body size x as

571

$$572 \quad y_1 = a_1 x^{b_1} \text{ and } y_2 = a_2 x^{b_2} \quad (\text{Eqn. 2a})$$

$$573 \quad \ln y_1 = \ln a_1 + b_1 \ln x \text{ and } \ln y_2 = \ln a_2 + b_2 \ln x \quad (\text{Eqn. 2b})$$

574

575 The parameters a and b can differ between traits and traits can each scale isometrically or
576 allometrically with body size. We can also interpret the scaling between y_1 and y_2 by means of a power
577 law:

578

$$579 \quad y_2 = c y_1^d \quad (\text{Eqn. 3a})$$

580

581 or after log-log transformation

$$582 \quad \ln y_2 = \ln c + d \ln y_1 \quad (\text{Eqn. 3b})$$

583

584 We can combine Eqns. 2 and 3 to find that

$$585 \quad d = \frac{b_2}{b_1} \text{ and } c = \frac{a_2}{a_1 \frac{b_2}{b_1}} \quad (\text{Eqn. 4})$$

586

587 This expression parallels the one by Jolicoeur (1963), which was based on principal component
588 analysis. Isometry with body size can differ between traits. We can also speak of isometry between a
589 pair of traits when d equals one. In this case the equality $b_1 = b_2$ must hold true. To test this equality,
590 there is no use in fitting models regressing one trait on another. Multivariate models that include both
591 b_1 and b_2 as parameters provide a test, and they model the allometric relationships per trait. When
592 both traits are isometric with body size, this equality condition is obviously satisfied as well. To test
593 for differences in parameters a and b and to assess isometries with size and between traits, modelling
594 all traits jointly and with body size as a covariate seems preferable, such that parameter differences
595 can be tested by constraining equality among subsets of parameters.

596

597 **D. Regressing on body size within groups (the problem)**

598 Following up on Gelman and Hill (2007), Nakagawa et al. (2017) discuss issues that arise when
599 traits are regressed on body size in the presence of other covariates. Rather than being just an
600 explanatory variable or a mediating trait, body size x can be a response affected by causal effects
601 potentially shared with the other traits y which are regressed on it. While Shipley (2004) proposes a
602 test to falsify potential effect orderings, (Nakagawa et al., 2017) propose not to regress on body size
603 or log-body size as is, but to use residuals with respect to group averages for the groups defined by

604 the other covariates modelled. This avoids that intercept terms suddenly get a different interpretation
605 when terms including body size are removed from the model, and ensures that intercept estimates in
606 all models compared represent average log-total amounts. These often need to be compared, while
607 estimating factors of proportionality can be less insightful when body sizes differ much between
608 groups. We can rewrite models for a trait y to accommodate this idea as

609

$$610 \quad \ln y = \ln a + b \ln x = \ln a + b \overline{\ln x} + b(\ln x - \overline{\ln x}) \quad (\text{Eqn. 5a})$$

611

612 for data belonging to the first group and with $\overline{\ln x}$ being average log-body size in that group,
613 and

614

$$615 \quad \ln y' = \ln a' + b' \overline{\ln x'} + b'(\ln x' - \overline{\ln x'}) \quad (\text{Eqn. 5b})$$

616

617 for observations y' and x' in the other group, for example. Log-log regressions with separate
618 estimated intercept and slope parameters for each of these groups will estimate the allometric scaling
619 exponents as the slopes on the group-centered log-body sizes. The factors of proportionality $\ln a$ and
620 $\ln a'$ are not separately estimated because intercept estimates will include terms which are
621 contributions of the average log-body size in the group scaled by the allometric scaling exponent.
622 Intercept estimates will also be affected by conversions as explained in section B.

623

624 **E. When not to regress on centered log-body size**

625 First of all, small sample sizes per group in the model or vastly different sample sizes can prevent
626 fitting the centered sizes well or reduce the estimation precision on other parameters in the model.

627 This makes it worthwhile to always fit models without regressions on body size as well, to check the
628 stability of estimates of other parameters. In any case where we can't include sizes or centered sizes
629 in models, we need to remain aware that the interpretation of an intercept becomes the one based
630 on Eqns. 5: it is the factor of proportionality of an allometric relationship plus a term depending on
631 the average size scaled by the allometric exponent.

632 A second issue arises when a response variable y is calculated directly from body size, i.e. when
633 the calculation of the response involves body size or its proxy. This becomes a regression of a variable
634 on itself, amply discussed and criticised by Nee et al. (2005). We then need to resort to models that
635 don't have explicit body size terms, hence intercepts again include a term depending on average size
636 scaled by the allometric exponent.

637 A third issue arises in the context of analysing concentrations or compositions. An analysis of a
638 response which is a ratio of a trait y and x by means of a model which includes a body size covariate,
639 can in fact be interpreted as a model that fits a body size term to test whether the dependence
640 between y and x deviates from isometry. A side effect of analysing a ratio is that it becomes less clear
641 what the pattern of residual variance in the ratio should be. A useful option seems to be using a log-
642 log regression including log-body size as an offset term (i.e. specifying log-body size coefficient as 1
643 and thus assuming isometry). Then the response modelled is the trait y , for which variance properties
644 are better known or less constrained. In the case where we assume isometry (we analyse the
645 concentration y/x), there is no parameter b estimated. When data don't allow regressing on body size
646 terms to test for deviations from isometry, we can interpret all fitted terms as if we assume isometry
647 but it seems better to say that estimated intercept terms include a term depending on average size
648 scaled by the allometric exponent minus one. For the analysis of compositions, dedicated methods
649 exist (van den Boogaart and Tolosana-Delgado, 2013). If model parameters are not easily
650 interpretable, we can switch to a multivariate analysis of total amounts to interpret parameters, while
651 testing contributions of covariates can be done according methods for compositional data. This

652 approach avoids the use of ratios, but a proper model for compositional data is replaced with a model
653 compositional methods were invented to avoid.

654

655 **F. Measurement error**

656 Warton et al. (2006) discuss at length the consequences of measurement and equation error in
657 the analysis of bivariate allometries. They state that, in many instances, the two traits involved are
658 equally important and that therefore major axis (MA) or reduced major axis (RMA) are obvious least-
659 squares model-fitting choices. In a likelihood approach, one would then resort to likelihoods based on
660 multivariate distributions for each observation and the slope b becomes part of the specification of
661 the covariance between traits (Warton et al., 2006). Covariance regression models can then be used
662 to test for differences in slope b between groups. Voje et al. (2014) on the other hand, do opt for a
663 regression approach where body size is seen as an explanatory variable. In the models we fitted to
664 several traits, such a regression approach was implemented and no covariance regressions were fitted
665 for simplicity. When the main goal of inference is predicting a trait on the basis of body size, standard
666 regression models should be used (Warton et al., 2006). These are also most adequate in the absence
667 of measurement error in body size or they suffice when the goal of inference is to test whether body
668 size significantly affects another trait, i.e. whether b is non-zero or different from one in the case of
669 concentrations in models with an offset. In the presence of measurement error estimates of
670 regression slopes are affected, therefore when we need reliable estimates of b , measurement error
671 needs to be considered and taken into account. However, across a number studies inspected by
672 Warton et al. (2006) the effects seemed limited to a decrease in the estimated value of the slope b
673 which is below 8%. Next to other methods such as the method-of-moments regression (Warton et al.,
674 2006), Carroll et al. (2006) propose regression calibration and simex extrapolation as generally
675 applicable methods to derive regression slopes corrected for measurement error in body size which

676 still permit standard regression or mixed models can be fitted and the associated inference methods
677 used.

678

679 **G. Explaining variation in traits dependent on body size**

680 To calculate coefficients of determination or generalized coefficients of determination, regression
681 models are required that regress a response trait y on body size x . Here, we motivate our choice to do
682 this in linear regressions of y on x and not by means of log-log regressions. In log-log regressions, terms
683 including body size estimate allometric exponents. So, if we calculate coefficients of determination for
684 these regression terms, we don't assess the contribution of the parameters a , which are the primary
685 scaling parameters we are interested in as a description of the explanatory effects. Here, we are not
686 interested in the allometric exponents that control curvature of the allometry and we would also need
687 to interpret coefficients of determination for intercepts, which is counterintuitive. We therefore
688 analyse response of raw y on size x values. The non-linear effects then contribute to unexplained
689 variation of the linear regression.

690

691 **Acknowledgments**

692 We would like to thank Simon Agostini (CEREEP) and Jakub Žák (IVB, CAS) for their help with fish
693 husbandry. We are thankful to Jakub Hruška and Milena Žídková (Global Change Research Institute,
694 CAS) for measuring the elemental composition of eggs. We also want to thank Véronique Vaury (IEES,
695 Sorbonne University) for helping with egg weighing, and Sandrine Meylan (IEES, Sorbonne University)
696 for discussion on the triglyceride content analysis. We thank Radim Blažek (IVB, CAS) for photographs
697 of live fish.

698 Funding: MV was supported by French government scholarship program (Bourse du
699 Gouvernement Français Stage 2017). This study was funded by Pepinière Evo-Devo PSL and Czech
700 Science Foundation project 19-01781S.

701

702 **Conflict of interests**

703 We declare no conflict of interests.

704

705 **Supplementary Information**

706 Supplementary information for this article is available at publisher's website.

707 Data and R script accompanying this article are available under DOI
708 10.6084/m9.figshare.11897247

709

710 **References**

711 Bartoń, K., 2016. MuMIn: multi-model inference. R package version 1.15.6. link: [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/index.html)
712 [project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/index.html).

713 Barneche, D.R., Robertson, D.R., White, C.R., Marshall, D.J., 2018. Fish reproductive-energy output

- 714 increases disproportionately with body size. *Science*. 360, 642–645.
- 715 Berg, O.K., Hendry, A.P., Svendsen, B., Bech, C., Arnekleiv, J. V., Lohrmann, A., 2001. Maternal
716 provisioning of offspring and the use of those resources during ontogeny: Variation within and
717 between Atlantic Salmon families. *Funct. Ecol.* 15, 13–23.
- 718 Bernardo, J., 1996. The particular maternal effect of propagule size, especially egg size: Patterns,
719 models, quality of evidence and interpretations. *Am. Zool.* 36, 216–236.
- 720 Brind, J.L., Alant, E., Matias, J.R., Markofsky, J., Rizer, R.L., 1982. Composition of the lipid droplet in
721 embryos of the annual fish *Nothobranchius guentheri*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 73, 915–917.
- 722 Brooks, S., Tyler, C.R., Sumpter, J.P., 1997. Egg quality in fish: what makes a good egg? *Rev. Fish Biol.*
723 *Fish.* 7, 387–416.
- 724 Carroll, R.J., Ruppert, D., Stefanski, L.A., Crainiceanu, C.M., 2006. Measurement Error in Nonlinear
725 Models. Chapman & Hall, London.
- 726 Cellerino, A., Valenzano, D.R., Reichard, M., 2016. From the bush to the bench: the annual
727 *Nothobranchius* fishes as a new model system in biology. *Biol. Rev.* 91, 511–533.
- 728 Craik, J.C.A., Harvey, S.M., 1984. Biochemical changes occurring during final maturation of eggs of
729 some marine and freshwater teleosts. *J. Fish Biol.* 24, 599–610.
- 730 Eckerström-Liedholm, S., Sowersby, W., Gonzalez-Voyer, A., Rogell, B., 2017. Time-limited
731 environments affect the evolution of egg–body size allometry. *Evolution.* 71, 1900–1910.
- 732 Fox, C.W., Czesak, M.E., 2000. Evolutionary ecology of progeny size in arthropods. *Annu. Rev.*
733 *Entomol.* 45, 341–369.
- 734 Furness, A.I., 2016. The evolution of an annual life cycle in killifish: Adaptation to ephemeral aquatic
735 environments through embryonic diapause. *Biol. Rev.* 91, 796–812.
- 736 Furness, A.I., Reznick, D.N., Springer, M.S., Meredith, R.W., 2015. Convergent evolution of
737 alternative developmental trajectories associated with diapause in African and South American

- 738 killifish. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 282, 20142189.
- 739 Gelman, A., Hill, J., 2007. Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel Hierarchical Models.
740 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 741 Hachicho, N., Reithel, S., Miltner, A., Heipieper, H.J., Küster, E., Luckenbach, T., 2015. Body mass
742 parameters, lipid profiles and protein contents of zebrafish embryos and effects of 2,4-
743 dinitrophenol exposure. PLoS One 10, 1–19.
- 744 Helmstetter, A.J., Papadopulos, A.S.T., Igea, J., Van Dooren, T.J.M., Leroi, A.M., Savolainen, V., 2016.
745 Viviparity stimulates diversification in an order of fish. Nat. Commun. 7, 11271.
- 746 Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. Biom.
747 J., 50, 346-363.
- 748 Huxley, J.S., Teissier, G., 1936. Terminology of relative growth. Nature. 137, 780-781.
- 749 Jardine, D., Litvak, M.K., 2003. Direct yolk sac volume manipulation of zebrafish embryos and the
750 relationship between offspring size and yolk sac volume. J. Fish Biol. 63, 388–397.
- 751 Jolicoeur, P., 1963. The multivariate generalization of the allometry equation. Biometrics 19, 497–
752 499.
- 753 Kamler, E., 2008. Resource allocation in yolk-feeding fish. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 18, 143–200.
- 754 Kamler, E., 2005. Parent–egg–progeny relationships in teleost fishes: an energetics perspective. Rev.
755 Fish Biol. Fish. 15, 399–421.
- 756 Keckeis, H., Bauer-Nemeschkal, E., Menshutkin, V. V., Nemeschkal, H.L., Kamler, E., 2000. Effects of
757 female attributes and egg properties on offspring viability in a rheophilic cyprinid,
758 *Chondrostoma nasus*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 57, 789–796.
- 759 Klingenberg, C.P., 2016. Size, shape, and form: concepts of allometry in geometric morphometrics.
760 Dev. Genes Evol. 226, 113–137.

- 761 Kohno, H., Hara, S., Taki, Y., 1986. Early larval development of the seabass *Lates calcarifer* with
762 emphasis on the transition of energy sources. Bull. Japanese Soc. Sci. Fish. 52, 1719–1725.
- 763 Krist, M., 2011. Egg size and offspring quality: A meta-analysis in birds. Biol. Rev. 86, 692–716.
- 764 Leblanc, C.A.-L., Kristjánsson, B.K., Skúlason, S., 2014. The importance of egg size and egg energy
765 density for early size patterns and performance of Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus*. Aquac. Res.
766 47, 1100–1111.
- 767 Loureiro, M., de Sá, R.O., Serra, S.W., Alonso, F., Lanés, L.E.K., Volcan, M.V., Calviño, P.A., Nielsen, D.,
768 Duarte, A., García, G., 2018. Review of the family Rivulidae (Cyprinodontiformes ,
769 Aplocheiloidei) and a molecular and morphological phylogeny of the annual fish genus
770 *Austrolebias* Costa 1998. Neotrop. Ichthyol. 16, 1–20.
- 771 Lubzens, E., Young, G., Bobe, J., Cerdà, J., 2010. Oogenesis in teleosts: how eggs are formed. Gen.
772 Comp. Endocrinol. 165, 367–389.
- 773 Machado, B.E., Podrabsky, J.E., 2007. Salinity tolerance in diapausing embryos of the annual killifish
774 *Austrofundulus limnaeus* is supported by exceptionally low water and ion permeability. J.
775 Comp. Physiol. B Biochem. Syst. Environ. Physiol. 177, 809–820.
- 776 Moore, M.P., Landberg, T., Whiteman, H.H., 2015. Maternal investment mediates offspring life
777 history variation with context-dependent fitness consequences. Ecology 96, 2499–2509.
- 778 Moran, A.L., McAlister, J.S., 2009. Egg size as a life history character of marine invertebrates: is it all
779 it's cracked up to be? Biol. Bull. 216, 226–242.
- 780 Morrongiello, J.R., Bond, N.R., Crook, D.A., Wong, B.B.M., 2012. Spatial variation in egg size and egg
781 number reflects trade-offs and bet-hedging in a freshwater fish. J. Anim. Ecol. 81, 806–817.
- 782 Moshgani, M., Van Dooren, T.J.M., 2011. Maternal and paternal contributions to egg size and egg
783 number variation in the blackfin pearl killifish *Austrolebias nigripinnis*. Evol. Ecol. 25, 1179–
784 1195.

- 785 Murry, B.A., Farrell, J.M., Schulz, K.L., Teece, M.A., 2008. The effect of egg size and nutrient content
786 on larval performance: implications to protracted spawning in northern pike (*Esox lucius*
787 Linnaeus). *Hydrobiologia* 601, 71–82.
- 788 Nakagawa, S., Kar, F., O’Dea, R.E., Pick, J.L., Lagisz, M., 2017. Divide and conquer? Size adjustment
789 with allometry and intermediate outcomes. *BMC Biol.* 15, 107.
- 790 Nakagawa, S., Schielzeth, H., 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining R^2 from generalized
791 linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4, 133–142.
- 792 Nee, S., Colegrave, N., West, S.A., Grafen, A., 2005. The illusion of invariant quantities in life
793 histories. *Science*. 309, 1236–1239.
- 794 Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M., 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-Plus*. New York: Springer-Verlag. 530
795 pp.
- 796 Podrabsky, J.E., Garrett, I.D.F., Kohl, Z.F., 2010. Alternative developmental pathways associated with
797 diapause regulated by temperature and maternal influences in embryos of the annual killifish
798 *Austrofundulus limnaeus*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 213, 3280–3288.
- 799 Podrabsky, J.E., Riggs, C.L., Romney, A.L., Woll, S.C., Wagner, J.T., Culpepper, K.M., Cleaver, T.G.,
800 2017. Embryonic development of the annual killifish *Austrofundulus limnaeus*: An emerging
801 model for ecological and evolutionary developmental biology research and instruction. *Dev.*
802 *Dyn.* 246, 779–801.
- 803 Polačik, M., Blažek, R., Reichard, M., 2016. Laboratory breeding of the short-lived annual killifish
804 *Nothobranchius furzeri*. *Nat. Protoc.* 11, 1396–1413.
- 805 R Core Team, 2019. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for
806 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. link: <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 807 Räsänen, K., Laurila, A., Merilä, J., 2005. Maternal investment in egg size: environment- and
808 population-specific effects on offspring performance. *Oecologia* 142, 546–553.

- 809 Salze, G., Tocher, D.R., Roy, W.J., Robertson, D.A., 2005. Egg quality determinants in cod (*Gadus*
810 *morhua* L.): Egg performance and lipids in eggs from farmed and wild broodstock. *Aquac. Res.*
811 36, 1488–1499.
- 812 Segers, F.H.I.D., Taborsky, B., 2011. Egg size and food abundance interactively affect juvenile growth
813 and behaviour. *Funct. Ecol.* 25, 166–176.
- 814 Self, K.E., Schreck, C.B., Cogliati, K.M., Billman, E.J., Noakes, D.L.G., 2018. Egg size and growth in
815 steelhead *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *J. Fish Biol.* 93, 465–468.
- 816 Semlitsch, R.D., Gibbons, J.W., 1990. Effects of egg size on success of larval salamanders in complex
817 aquatic environments. *Ecology* 71, 1789–1795.
- 818 Shingleton, A.W., 2010. Allometry: The Study of Biological Scaling. *Nature Education Knowledge*
819 3(10): 2. link: [https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/allometry-the-study-of-](https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/allometry-the-study-of-biological-scaling-13228439/)
820 [biological-scaling-13228439/](https://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/allometry-the-study-of-biological-scaling-13228439/) (accessed 17 December 2019)
- 821 Shipley, B., 2004. Analysing the allometry of multiple interacting traits. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol.*
822 *Syst.* 6, 235–241.
- 823 Sinervo, B., 1990. The evolution of maternal investment in lizards: An experimental and comparative
824 analysis of egg size and its effects on offspring performance. *Evolution.* 44, 279–294.
- 825 Smith, C.C., Fretwell, S.D., 1974. The optimal balance between size and number of offspring. *Am.*
826 *Nat.* 108, 499–506.
- 827 van den Boogaart, K.G., Tolosana-Delgado, R., 2013. *Analyzing Compositional Data With R*. Springer
828 Verlag, New York.
- 829 Van Dooren, T.J.M., Varela-Lasheras, I., 2018. Desiccation plasticity and diapause in the Argentinian
830 pearlfish *Austrolebias bellottii*. *Ecol. Evol.* 8, 11246–11260.
- 831 Varela-Lasheras, I., Van Dooren, T.J.M., 2014. Desiccation plasticity in the embryonic life histories of
832 non-annual rivulid species. *Evodevo* 5, 11.

- 833 Voje, K.L., Hansen, T.F., Egset, C.K., Bolstad, G.H., Pélabon, C., 2014. Allometric constraints and the
834 evolution of allometry. *Evolution*. 68, 866–885. doi:10.1111/evo.12312
- 835 Vrtílek, M., Polačik, M., Reichard, M., 2017. The role of energetic reserves during embryonic
836 development of an annual killifish. *Dev. Dyn.* 246, 838–847.
- 837 Warton, D.I., Wright, I.J., Falster, D.S., Westoby, M., 2006. Bivariate line-fitting methods for
838 allometry. *Biol. Rev.* 81, 259–291.
- 839 Wiegand, M.D., 1996. Composition, accumulation and utilization of yolk lipids in teleost fish. *Rev.*
840 *Fish Biol. Fish.* 6, 259–286.
- 841 Wourms, J.P., 1972. The developmental biology of annual fishes. III. Pre embryonic and embryonic
842 diapause of variable duration in the eggs of annual fishes. *J. Exp. Zool.* 92, 389–414.
- 843 Yúfera, M., Parra, G., Santiago, R., Carrascosa, M., 1999. Growth, carbon, nitrogen and caloric
844 content of *Solea senegalensis* (Pisces: Soleidae) from egg fertilization to metamorphosis. *Mar.*
845 *Biol.* 134, 43–49.
- 846 Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A., Smith, G.M., 2009. *Mixed Effects Models and*
847 *Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer-Verlag New York. pp 574.
- 848
- 849

850 **Tables**

851 Table 1. **Overview of species mean values for the measured egg parameters.** The “Females” column reports number of individual spawning females whose
 852 eggs were analysed for composition or went into incubation (the number in brackets corresponds to females in the incubation dataset if the two differ). The
 853 numbers for egg parameters shown in brackets give sample sizes. Standard deviation (SD) is provided for egg area along with the mean.

Species	Females	Egg area \pm SD [mm ²]	Water content [%]	N C S content [%]	Triglyceride concentration [mg/ml]	Incubated/Hatched
<i>Austrolebias bellottii</i> (small species) (AB)	4	1.336 \pm 0.188 (928)	68.5 (99)	8.5 54.4 0.4 (39)	6.8 (10)	829/39
<i>Austrolebias nigripinnis</i> (small species) (AN)	4	1.214 \pm 0.148 (478)	68.5 (63)	8.6 54.9 0.4 (28)	4.7 (3)	415/51
<i>Austrolebias elongatus</i> (piscivore) (AE)	2 (5)	3.781 \pm 0.515 (116)	74.8 (14)	9.1 51.9 0.5 (12)	16.8 (6)	102/2
<i>Austrolebias prognathus</i> (piscivore) (AP)	1 (2)	4.420 \pm 0.589 (49)	73.4 (11)	8.8 52.4 0.5 (11)	12.9 (4)	38/0
<i>Nothobranchius furzeri</i> (small species - African) (NF)	7	1.154 \pm 0.105 (108)	73.5 (108)	8.9 54.3 0.4 (40)	3.9 (10)	-
<i>Austrolebias bellottii</i> 6+ years in incubation (AB 2011)	-	1.689 \pm 0.176 (7)	-	-	9.9 (7)	-
Additional datasets						
<i>Austrolebias bellottii</i> 2015 (AB 2015)	3	1.357 \pm 0.370 (61)	83.1 (61)	-	-	-
<i>Austrolebias nigripinnis</i> 2015 (AN 2015)	1	1.594 \pm 0.118 (28)	-	-	-	-/28
<i>Austrolebias elongatus</i> 2015 (AE 2015)	1	3.813 \pm 0.524 (23)	-	-	-	-/23

854

855

856 Table 2. **Species-specific allometries of egg dry mass and water content with egg area.** We zero-centered the log-transformed egg area for each species prior
 857 to analysis. The “log(dry weight)” column corresponds to the mean effects of species on log-transformed egg dry weight, where a first set of species effects
 858 represents differences from *A. bellottii*. The species differences for log-egg area effects (lower half of the first column) are differences in slopes of log-dry
 859 weight on centered log-egg area from that of *A. bellottii* (Fig. 2A). The “log(water weight) vs log(dry weight)” column gives estimates of the mean multiplicative
 860 species differences in log-water weight compared to *A. bellottii*. The reference estimate for *A. bellottii* here represents the log-ratio between egg water weight
 861 and dry weight (Fig. 2B). Note that egg water weight approximately increased with egg area (lower half of the second column) across four out of five species,
 862 with the same scaling exponent as egg dry weight. Parameter estimates are given with their standard errors (SE). Estimates significantly different from zero
 863 ($p < 0.05$) are in bold.

Fixed Effect	log(dry weight)	log(water weight) vs log(dry weight)
<i>A. bellottii</i> (reference)	-1.006 (0.033)	0.769 (0.021)
Difference of <i>A. nigripinnis</i>	-0.116 (0.048)	0.012 (0.032)
Difference of <i>A. elongatus</i>	1.332 (0.063)	0.343 (0.043)
Difference of <i>A. prognathus</i>	1.579 (0.077)	0.222 (0.051)
Difference of <i>N. furzeri</i>	-0.678 (0.040)	0.277 (0.028)
log(egg area) (effect in <i>A. bellottii</i>)	0.628 (0.116)	-0.074 (0.134)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. nigripinnis</i>	-0.066 (0.155)	0.022 (0.183)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. elongatus</i>	0.823 (0.180)	0.038 (0.216)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. prognathus</i>	-0.592 (0.321)	1.164 (0.401)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>N. furzeri</i>	-0.496 (0.156)	0.268 (0.183)

864

865 Table 3. **Relative changes in egg total amounts of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur between species.** The “log(total C)” column gives the estimate of mean log-
866 transformed total egg carbon for each species using *A. bellottii* as a reference followed by slopes of centered log-egg area. The two other columns correspond
867 to species differences between log-total nitrogen and log-total carbon, and log-total sulphur and log-total carbon, respectively. Relative elemental
868 composition of eggs varied across different species. The top half of the table indicates that the piscivores’ (*A. elongatus* and *A. prognathus*) and *N. furzeri*
869 eggs had relatively more nitrogen to carbon than *A. bellottii* (Fig. 3A). In addition to that, eggs of piscivores also contained relatively more sulphur (to carbon)
870 (Fig. 3B). Allometric scaling of elements content with egg area differed among species, but was consistent for the different elements (except for sulphur
871 content in *A. nigripinnis*). The estimates are given with their standard errors (SE). Values significantly different from zero ($p < 0.05$) are in bold.

Fixed Effect	log(total C)	log(total N) vs log(total C)	log(total S) vs log(total C)
<i>A. bellottii</i> (reference)	-1.661 (0.009)	-1.861 (0.015)	-4.992 (0.040)
Difference of <i>A. nigripinnis</i> from <i>A. bellottii</i>	-0.110 (0.013)	0.006 (0.022)	-0.069 (0.056)
Difference of <i>A. elongatus</i> from <i>A. bellottii</i>	1.423 (0.018)	0.114 (0.027)	0.368 (0.061)
Difference of <i>A. prognathus</i> from <i>A. bellottii</i>	1.619 (0.013)	0.075 (0.020)	0.322 (0.043)
Difference of <i>N. furzeri</i> from <i>A. bellottii</i>	-0.617 (0.013)	0.053 (0.023)	-0.014 (0.060)
log(egg area) (effect in <i>A. bellottii</i>)	1.578 (0.081)	-0.016 (0.128)	0.613 (0.353)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. nigripinnis</i>	-0.796 (0.204)	0.322 (0.336)	-2.680 (0.823)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. elongatus</i>	0.027 (0.184)	0.207 (0.270)	-0.045 (0.601)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>A. prognathus</i>	-1.393 (0.270)	-0.008 (0.403)	-0.418 (0.554)
Difference of log(egg area) in <i>N. furzeri</i>	-0.565 (0.179)	0.221 (0.325)	0.884 (0.817)

872

873

874 Table 4. **Effect of egg size and duration of pre-hatching stage on hatchling size and yolk sac size.** The “log(hatchling size)” column reports parameter estimates
 875 of species differences and scaling exponents of egg area (Fig. 5). The “log(yolk sac area) vs log(hatchling size)” column then gives the proportional changes
 876 for yolk sac area. The effect of log-transformed egg area appeared to be zero on hatchling size, but negative on yolk sac area in both of the species. Yolk sac
 877 area declined with the number of days spent in the pre-hatching stage. Estimates are given with their standard errors (SE). Terms significantly different from
 878 zero ($p < 0.05$) are in bold.

Fixed Effect	log(hatchling size)	log(yolk sac area) vs log(hatchling size)
<i>A. bellottii</i> (reference)	1.540 (0.025)	3.437 (0.136)
Difference of <i>A. nigripinnis</i> from <i>A. bellottii</i>	-0.148 (0.009)	-0.091 (0.050)
log(egg area)	0.056 (0.045)	-0.533 (0.245)
Days in pre-hatching stage	0.001 (0.001)	-0.004 (0.002)

879

880

881 Table 5. **Predictive power of egg area on wet weight, dry weight and hatchling size.** The R^2 estimate was conducted separately for fixed-effects model part
 882 (R^2_{marginal}) and the whole model including random effects ($R^2_{\text{conditional}}$). We compare 2017 data with the additional 2015 dataset and also with a published study
 883 on hatchling size of *N. furzeri* (Vrtílek et al., 2017).

Species	Wet weight		Dry weight		Hatchling size	
	R^2_{marginal}	$R^2_{\text{conditional}}$	R^2_{marginal}	$R^2_{\text{conditional}}$	R^2_{marginal}	$R^2_{\text{conditional}}$
<i>A. bellottii</i>	0.210	0.915	0.136	0.898	0.016	0.016
<i>A. nigripinnis</i>	0.290	0.805	0.231	0.777	0.028	0.028
<i>A. elongatus</i>	0.959	0.959	0.907	0.927	-	-
<i>A. prognathus</i>	0.355	0.355	0.004	0.004	-	-
<i>N. furzeri</i>	0.124	0.747	0.058	0.338	-	-
Additional datasets						
<i>A. bellottii</i> 2015	0.904	0.925	0.815	0.815	-	-
<i>A. nigripinnis</i> 2015	-	-	-	-	0.104	0.104
<i>A. elongatus</i> 2015	-	-	-	-	0.654	0.654
<i>N. furzeri</i> 2016 (Vrtílek et al., 2017)	-	-	-	-	0.172	0.398

884

885 **Figure captions**

886 **Fig. 1. Species with larger body size lay larger eggs.** The plot shows the relationship between female
887 body size and egg size in the studied species of annual killifish. Full points represent values collected
888 for this study and empty points are for data from the two additional datasets. Note that for data
889 obtained from *Austrolebias bellottii* in 2015 size of the three females was unknown. Species
890 abbreviations: AB – *Austrolebias bellottii*, AN – *A. nigripinnis*, AE – *A. elongatus*, AP – *A. prognathus*,
891 NF – *Nothobranchius furzeri*.

892

893 **Fig. 2. Species-specific allometries between egg size and egg dry mass, and egg size and water**
894 **content.** Plot (A) shows species-specific slopes of the relationship between log-dry weight and the
895 species zero-centered log-egg area (full points, solid lines), and log-water weight and species zero-
896 centered log-egg area (empty points, dashed lines) (Table 2). Note that the lines for egg dry weight
897 and water weight are parallel in most of the species except *A. prognathus* (AP). The parallel lines
898 mean that water content scaled similarly to dry mass with egg size. Plot (B) then shows relative
899 amount of water and dry mass in the eggs of the different species. The distance between the log-dry
900 and log-water weight here corresponds to log-ratio of these two egg parameters (means are
901 denoted by horizontal lines and vertical lines give standard errors estimated from the model, Table
902 2). For their mean egg size (indicated as vertical dashed line at 0 in Figure 2A), the piscivores (*A.*
903 *elongatus* and *A. prognathus*) and *N. furzeri* have relatively higher proportion of water weight to dry
904 mass (wider distance between mean log-dry weight and mean log-water weight). Species
905 abbreviations: AB – *Austrolebias bellottii*, AN – *A. nigripinnis*, AE – *A. elongatus*, AP – *A. prognathus*,
906 NF – *Nothobranchius furzeri*.

907

908 **Fig. 3. Egg elemental composition differed among species.** The ternary plots show relationship
909 between the three measured elements in killifish eggs and the residual content (A – carbon, nitrogen
910 and residual; B – carbon, sulphur and residual; C – nitrogen, sulphur and residual). The position of
911 each point (trivariate coordinate for each egg) reflects the inter-dependence of the elemental
912 content proportions as they together must add up to 100 %. The original percentage values were
913 transformed using isometric log-ratio transformation, and then scaled and centered for visualization.
914 Species abbreviations: AB – *Austrolebias bellottii*, AN – *A. nigripinnis*, AE – *A. elongatus*, AP – *A.*
915 *prognathus*, NF – *Nothobranchius furzeri*.

916

917 **Fig. 4. Relative triglyceride content was higher in species with larger eggs.** Note that the extremely
918 long incubation (6+ years) did not reduce the level of triglycerides in the eggs of *Austrolebias bellottii*
919 from 2011 compared to the freshly laid eggs of the same species. Horizontal lines are for species
920 mean and vertical lines for standard errors from the model. The lowercase letters on top of each
921 species data indicate differences in the relative amount of triglycerides (based on a model that
922 included species zero-centered log-egg volume as an offset). Each point represents log-transformed
923 amount of triglyceride amount in an egg. Species abbreviations: AB – *Austrolebias bellottii*, AN – *A.*
924 *nigripinnis*, AE – *A. elongatus*, AP – *A. prognathus*, NF – *Nothobranchius furzeri*.

925

926 **Fig. 5. Hatchling size corresponds with egg size only in the large species.** Hatchlings coming from
927 larger eggs were bigger only in *A. elongatus* (piscivore) from 2015, but in the small *Austrolebias* (*A.*
928 *bellottii* and *A. nigripinnis*) egg area had no effect on hatchling size. Species abbreviations: AB –
929 *Austrolebias bellottii*, AN – *A. nigripinnis*, AE – *A. elongatus*.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5

