



HAL
open science

Pure and even-aged forestry of fast growing conifers under climate change: on the need of a silvicultural paradigm shift

Clémentine Ols, Jean-Daniel Bontemps

► To cite this version:

Clémentine Ols, Jean-Daniel Bontemps. Pure and even-aged forestry of fast growing conifers under climate change: on the need of a silvicultural paradigm shift. *Environmental Research Letters*, In press, 10.1088/1748-9326/abd6a7 . hal-03093814

HAL Id: hal-03093814

<https://hal.science/hal-03093814v1>

Submitted on 4 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Pure and even-aged forestry of fast growing conifers under climate change:
on the need of a silvicultural paradigm shift**

Clémentine Ols* and Jean-Daniel Bontemps

Institut National de l'Information Géographique et Forestière, Laboratoire d'inventaire
forestier, 14 rue Girardet, 54000, Nancy, France

* corresponding author

E-mail: clementine.ols@ign.fr

Phone: +33782818920

ORCID ID:

Clémentine Ols 0000-0003-0473-6501

Jean-Daniel Bontemps 0000-0003-3045-2799

Article accepted for publication in Environmental Research Letters on 23/12/2020

<https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/abd6a7>

Abstract

Pure and even-aged (PEA) forests of fast growing conifer species have for long been key providers of industrial raw material. Despite recent concerns regarding their greater sensitivity to major natural disturbances, their impacts onto biodiversity and their funding efficiency, PEA conifer forests could remain a major economic target given the ongoing European strategy for bioeconomy. These forests are encountered across contrasted climates and in both native and introduced contexts across Europe, giving rise to high uncertainties regarding their growth responses to current climate change. Using the French National Forest Inventory data, we inquired the radial growth of eight major conifer species in European forestry across 16 regional native and introduced PEA forest systems ($n > 10,000$ trees). Growth trends over the 2006-2016 period exhibited a significant negative association with the absolute growth level in 2006, with strongest negative growth trends found for emblematic PEA forestry species (e.g. Norway spruce and Douglas-fir), and strongest positive trends for pine species (e.g. Scots pine). While the greater growth rate advantage of some species may shorten rotation and lower risk exposures for future decades, their recent lowered productivity may affect the forest sector in the long run. The prevalence of PEA forests across European forest landscapes and their increasingly reported lower resilience to climate change compared to more complex forest systems call for the establishment of a long-term European forest policy strategy. Maintaining the environmental, social and economic benefits of forests should remain a priority in the European agenda, regardless of the financial costs at stake.

Keywords

Conifer forests, Adaptive forest management, Growth resilience, Climate change, Silvicultural paradigm, Plantation forestry

The era of fast growing conifer forests

Over the past two centuries, fast growing conifer species, under the silvicultural paradigm of intensive pure and even-aged (PEA) forestry (1), have turned a key provider of raw material for the timber industry owing to their productivity, regular morphology and wood anatomy. To further increase productivity potentials and bypass native growth constraints, the forest sector has not only introduced conifer species outside their natural range but also exotic species (2). Afforestation programs have mainly transferred cold-adapted species into warmer regions and drought-adapted species into cooler/wetter regions. Interest for fast growing conifer species has also pushed breeding programs towards a continuous genetic improvement of productivity rates, resistance to pathogens and material quality (e.g. 3,4). Pro-active funding policies have further supported the establishment of monocultures of fast growing conifers, increasing their prevalence across European forest landscapes(5,6). Despite recent concerns regarding a higher sensitivity to major natural disturbances (7), impacts onto biodiversity (8) and funding efficiency (2), PEA conifer forestry (9) could remain a major forest type given the ongoing European strategy for bioeconomy (10). Whether climate change constitutes a detrimental threat onto PEA forestry and more largely onto the European forest sector now comes into debate (e.g., 11,12).

Conifers in France, a demonstrative case study

In France, PEA conifer forests are encountered across contrasted climatic contexts (oceanic, continental and Mediterranean; **Fig. 1A**) and account for 25% and 40 % of the forest area and growing stock, respectively. Over the past 150 years, afforestation programs have triggered a doubling of their spatial extent. Norway spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.), two cold-adapted species native of the French Alps, have been largely introduced at low and mid-elevations (e.g. Northern Plains and Massif Central). Maritime

pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.), native of northern Africa, has also been intensively introduced first in the South West, where it has turned into a French emblem of large-scale intensive forestry known as “Forêt des Landes”, and then across the Northern Plains. Corsican pine (*Pinus nigra* subsp. *laricio* Maire), a drought-adapted pine species native from the Mediterranean region, has been introduced in the cooler and wetter Northern Plains. Finally, Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* Mirb. Franco), native from North America, has more recently been massively introduced at low and mid-elevations (Northern Plains and Massif Central), to the extent that France presents the second highest growing stock of Douglas-fir after the U.S.A. These features make this western-European context a perfect case study for observing how PEA conifer forests in native and introduced contexts react to ongoing climate change.

Isolating climate effects on growth using National Forest Inventory data

Using the French National Forest Inventory (NFI) sampling design and data, we studied the radial growth of eight conifer species prevalent in European forestry in PEA forests across different bioclimatic regions ($n > 10,000$ trees; **Fig. 1**). In total, 16 PEA regional forest systems were studied (**Fig. 1B**). Regional forest systems were classified as either native or introduced based on distribution maps elaborated by the European Forest Genetic Resources program (www.euforgen.org) and on available historical knowledge of species introduction in new regional contexts (**Table S1**). The present study completes a previous research (13) by investigating further the origins of growth trends' variability observed across PEA conifer systems over the 2006-2016 period through the link between growth trends and initial growth level in 2006.

Radial increment series were filtered out from non-climatic signals at the system level using linear modeling and an ensemble of 42 predictive tree, stand, soil and long-term climate variables (13). Remaining inter-annual radial growth variability, supposed to be primarily

associated with short-term climate variability, was converted into relative growth anomalies by expressing the growth deviation of a specific year as a ratio of its residual growth level to the residual growth during the reference year 2006. Absolute radial growth chronologies were finally obtained by multiplying relative growth anomalies by the average growth level during the reference year (**Fig. S1**). The strength of the herein-developed modeling approach is that changing the reference year would neither impact the relative position of growth anomalies, nor the relative growth difference (deviation %) between any two years, nor the absolute radial growth chronology obtained (in mm) (**Fig. S2**).

Regional trends in inter-annual growth anomalies were subsequently regressed against regionally-aggregated biotic and short-term seasonal climate predictors. Predictors included e.g. the Gini index, a plot-level measure of tree-size heterogeneity derived from tree diameter field measurements which value ranges between 0 (perfectly homogeneous stands) and 1 (singularly heterogeneous stands), water field capacity directly measured in the field and, averages and trends in seasonal climate computed over the 2006-2016 period using the European E-OBS 0.5° x 0.5° gridded dataset (www.ecad.eu).

Greatest growth declines in fast growing systems

Analyses revealed that growth trends over the 2006-2016 period exhibited a negative association with absolute growth level in 2006 ($R^2 = 0.65$, $PV < 0.001$; **Fig. 1B**), with strongest negative trends undergone by emblematic species of most recent afforestation programs (e.g. Norway spruce and Douglas-fir that represent the highest and second highest conifer growing stock in France, respectively; www.inventaire-forestier.ign.fr). As a major finding, this pattern was also observed across species and across regional samples within species (**Fig. 1B**) suggesting that climate-driven transient conditions of tree growth affect tree-specific populations in a universal direction (e.g. regression-to-the mean process (15)),

with fast growing forest systems showing systematically more detrimental growing trajectories than slow growing systems regardless of the biological organization level in focus. Causal explorations, presented in the previous study by (13), indeed revealed that negative growth trends were significantly accentuated by decreasing summer precipitation ($R^2 = 0.51$, $PV = 0.006$; **Fig. 2A**) and by increasing summer temperature ($R^2 = 0.35$, $PV = 0.033$; **Fig. 2B**), giving ground to adverse climate change effects onto PEA conifer forests, especially in introduced contexts. Yet consistently with the exhibited trade-off (**Fig. 1B**), greater growth declines were found in forest systems with greater water field capacity ($R^2 = 0.52$, $PV = 0.001$; **Fig. 2C**), providing a resource-oriented ground to greater growth declines at greater initial growth. Lastly, forest systems with greater stand diameter heterogeneity exhibited greater growth ($R^2 = 0.29$, $PV = 0.03$; **Fig. 2D**).

Climate-silviculture interactions: the need to rethink silvicultural paradigms

Over a period of generalized increasing thermal (between + 0.55 to + 1.72°C in 11 years depending on PEA systems; **Fig. 2B**) and water constraints (**Fig. 2A**), greater climatic stress to tree growth is hence being observed in introduced systems where growth (**Fig. 1B**) is not limited by soil water potential (**Fig. 2C**) but where competition for resources is greater (**Fig. 2D**). The ongoing and forecasted pace of climate change will exacerbate climatic constraints, thereby contracting the optimal geographical envelopes of many conifer tree species (14). Even though greater initial growth potential may persist for a few decades (**Fig. 1B**) and facilitate the shortening of rotations and reduction of forest exposure to sudden disturbance and stress exposures, it may also yield to a gradual decrease in productivity (**Fig. 1B**) with potential major impacts on the forest sector on the long term. The challenges of climate change hence praise for a shift in the dominant silvicultural paradigm.

The current forestry interests for fast growing tree-species and homogenous forest structure should be urgently revised. Renewed attention on long-term conifer-species selection is needed, with slow-growing (e.g. hardy pine) species as possible primary targets as showing more resilient to climatic stress (**Fig. 1**). Although promoting stand heterogenization may appear as a path forward to enhance the climatic resilience of PEA forest systems (16), arising technical difficulties (e.g. organizing plantations waves and selective harvesting), costs and time horizons of implementation make this solution very unlikely to happen on a large geographical scale. Furthermore, the recently highlighted greater resilience of more complex forest ecosystems (17) rather argues for an urgent amplification of initiated efforts to convert PEA forests towards such systems (11). Adaptive forest management as a way to optimize resources use and tree-to-tree interactions may provide guiding management principles (18,19). We are aware that the implementation of these new paradigms requires substantial efforts. Nevertheless, recent climate projections open up the possibility of a 5°C warming by the end of the century (20) and seriously question the future of European forests and of the environmental, social and economic benefits they provide. The role of a European political forest strategy is here heavily stressed to build a climate-resilient forestry (see 12) and maintain forest services.

Acknowledgements

This study was financed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the ‘Laboratoire d’Excellence ARBRE’ and the PIA ‘Lorraine Université d’Excellence’ projects (ANR-11-LABX-0002-01 and ANR-15-IDEX-04-LUE, respectively) and by the Région Grand Est. We are thankful to the two anonymous reviewers who provided thoughtful comments on previous versions of this manuscript.


References

1. Levers C, Verkerk PJ, Müller D, Verburg PH, Butsic V, Leitão PJ, et al. Drivers of forest harvesting intensity patterns in Europe. *Forest Ecology and Management* [Internet]. 1 mars 2014;315:160-72. Disponible sur: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112713008335>
2. Weber N. Afforestation in Europe: Experiences and Future Possibilities. In: *Carbon Dioxide Mitigation in Forestry and Wood Industry*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 1998.
3. Elfstrand M, Baison J, Lundén K, Zhou L, Vos I, Capador HD, et al. Association genetics identifies a specifically regulated Norway spruce laccase gene, PaLAC5, linked to *Heterobasidion parviporum* resistance. *Plant, Cell & Environment* [Internet]. 1 juill 2020 [cité 9 juill 2020];43(7):1779-91. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13768>
4. Lepoittevin C, Harvengt L, Plomion C, Garnier-Géré P. Association mapping for growth, straightness and wood chemistry traits in the *Pinus pinaster* Aquitaine breeding population. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* [Internet]. 1 févr 2012;8(1):113-26. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-011-0426-y>
5. Sedjo RA. The role of forest plantations in the world's future timber supply. *The Forestry Chronicle* [Internet]. 1 avr 2001 [cité 10 juin 2020];77(2):221-5. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc77221-2>
6. Heilmayr R, Echeverría C, Fuentes R, Lambin EF. A plantation-dominated forest transition in Chile. *Applied Geography* [Internet]. 1 oct 2016;75:71-82. Disponible sur: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0143622816302600>
7. Harmer R, Morgan G. Storm damage and the conversion of conifer plantations to native broadleaved woodland. *Forest Ecology and Management* [Internet]. 20 août 2009;258(5):879-86. Disponible sur: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378112709001996>
8. Braun ACh, Troeger D, Garcia R, Aguayo M, Barra R, Vogt J. Assessing the impact of plantation forestry on plant biodiversity: A comparison of sites in Central Chile and Chilean Patagonia. *Global Ecology and Conservation* [Internet]. 1 avr 2017;10:159-72. Disponible sur: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235198941630155X>

9. Mola-Yudego B, Arevalo J, Díaz-Yáñez O, Dimitriou I, Freshwater E, Haapala A, et al. Reviewing wood biomass potentials for energy in Europe: the role of forests and fast growing plantations. *Biofuels* [Internet]. 4 juill 2017;8(4):401-10. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17597269.2016.1271627>
10. European Commission. A new EU Forest Strategy : for forests and the forest-based sector [Internet]. 2013 [cité 22 juin 2020] p. 17 pp. Disponible sur: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/resource.html?uri=cellar:21b27c38-21fb-11e3-8d1c-01aa75ed71a1.0022.01/DOC_1&format=PDF
11. Hlásny T, Barka I, Roessiger J, Kulla L, Trombik J, Sarvašová Z, et al. Conversion of Norway spruce forests in the face of climate change: a case study in Central Europe. *European Journal of Forest Research* [Internet]. 1 déc 2017;136(5):1013-28. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-017-1028-5>
12. Santopuoli G, Temperli C, Alberdi I, Barbeito I, Bosela M, Bottero A, et al. Pan-European Sustainable Forest Management indicators for assessing Climate-Smart Forestry in Europe. *Can J For Res* [Internet]. 17 juin 2020 [cité 9 juill 2020]; Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2020-0166>
13. Ols C, Hervé J-C, Bontemps J-D. Recent growth trends of conifers across Western Europe are controlled by thermal and water constraints and favored by forest heterogeneity. *Science of the Total Environment* [Internet]. 2020; Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140453>
14. Bell DM, Bradford JB, Lauenroth WK. Early indicators of change: Divergent climate envelopes between tree life stages imply range shifts in the western United States. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr*. 2014;23(2):168-80.
15. Barnett AG, van der Pols JC, Dobson AJ. Regression to the mean: what it is and how to deal with it. *International Journal of Epidemiology* [Internet]. 27 août 2004 [cité 6 nov 2020];34(1):215-20. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyh299>


16. Rosa R, Soares P, Tomé M. Evaluating the Economic Potential of Uneven-aged Maritime Pine Forests. *Ecological Economics* [Internet]. 1 janv 2018;143:210-7. Disponible sur: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921800916314008>
17. Dymond CC, Tedder S, Spittlehouse DL, Raymer B, Hopkins K, McCallion K, et al. Diversifying managed forests to increase resilience. *Can J For Res* [Internet]. 9 juill 2014 [cité 11 juin 2020];44(10):1196-205. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2014-0146>
18. Linder M. Developing adaptive forest management strategies to cope with climate change. *Tree Physiology* [Internet]. 1 mars 2000 [cité 6 nov 2020];20(5-6):299-307. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/20.5-6.299>
19. Brang P, Spathelf P, Larsen JB, Bauhus J, Boncčina A, Chauvin C, et al. Suitability of close-to-nature silviculture for adapting temperate European forests to climate change. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research* [Internet]. 20 mai 2014 [cité 21 févr 2020];87(4):492-503. Disponible sur: <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpu018>
20. Brunner L, Lorenz R, Zumwald M, Knutti R. Quantifying uncertainty in European climate projections using combined performance-independence weighting. *Environmental Research Letters* [Internet]. 22 nov 2019;14(12):124010. Disponible sur: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab492f>

1 **Figures**



2

3 **Figure 1. Recent growth trends of pure and even-aged conifer forests in France under climate change.** A. Geographical location and relief
 4 characteristics of bioclimatic regions under study; B. Association between radial growth trends over the 2006-2016 period and average radial
 5 growth in 2006 across the 16 regional pure and even-aged forest systems in focus. Regional forest systems are labeled using their corresponding
 6 regional acronym on panel A. Native and introduced forest systems are displayed using circles and squares, respectively. Standard deviations
 7 (95%) of native and introduced contexts along the x and y spaces are plotted using continuous and dashed segments, respectively. The black line
 8 illustrates the linear regression between regional growth trends and average regional radial growth all forest systems included. The R^2 and P
 9 value of this regression are displayed on the figure. Colored lines represent the same linear regression but at intra-species level when possible
 10 (more than one regional forest system studied for a given tree species). Radial growth anomaly chronologies from which trends were computed
 11 are presented in **Fig. S1**.



12

13 **Figure 2. Major environmental indicators of the 2006-2016 growth trends of the 16**
 14 **regional pure and even-aged conifer forest systems under study.** Native and introduced
 15 forest systems are displayed using circles and squares, respectively. Regional forest systems
 16 are labeled using their corresponding regional acronym on **Fig. 1A**. Standard deviations
 17 (95%) of native and introduced systems along the x and y spaces are plotted using continuous
 18 and dashed segments, respectively. Trend in summer precipitation (A) and maximum
 19 temperature (B) were computed over the 2006-2016 period. The black line illustrates the
 20 overall linear regression between growth trends and the regionally-aggregated predictive
 21 variable in focus. The R² and P value of this regression are displayed on each panel. The
 22 results presented in the different panels are reprinted from (13), Copyright (2020), with
 23 permission from Elsevier.