



HAL
open science

Ultrathin functional zeolite layer supported on infrared resonant nano-antennas for fast detection of benzene traces

Javier Nunez, Arjen Boersma, Julien Grand, Svetlana Mintova, Beniamino Sciacca

► To cite this version:

Javier Nunez, Arjen Boersma, Julien Grand, Svetlana Mintova, Beniamino Sciacca. Ultrathin functional zeolite layer supported on infrared resonant nano-antennas for fast detection of benzene traces. 2021. hal-03093749v1

HAL Id: hal-03093749

<https://hal.science/hal-03093749v1>

Preprint submitted on 4 Jan 2021 (v1), last revised 14 Apr 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ultrathin functional zeolite layer supported on infrared resonant nano-antennas for fast detection of benzene traces

Javier Nunez¹, Arjen Boersma¹, Julien Grand², Svetlana Mintova² and Beniamino Sciacca^{3}*

¹MSC J. Nunez, Dr. A. Boersma
TNO Materials Solution, High Tech Campus 25, Eindhoven, Netherlands

²Dr. J. Grand, Dr. S. Mintova
Normandie Université, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS, LCS, 14000 Caen, France

³Dr. Beniamino Sciacca
Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, CINaM, 13288 Marseille, France
E-mail: beniamino.sciacca@univ-amu.fr

Keywords: Resonant nano-antennas, Zeolite coating, Hybrid SEIRA material, VOCs, Benzene traces, Sensing

Infrared absorption spectroscopy is a powerful analytical tool that enables the identification of molecular species. The sensitivity of this technique, that is strongly limited by the small absorption cross-section of molecular vibration, can be greatly improved by resonant interaction with nano-antennas, via the surface enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) mechanism. Yet, most of the examples of SEIRA concerns solid-state molecular layers adsorbed on the nano-antennas, while the detection of gas traces still remains elusive, due to the spatial extent of the near-field that is used to resonantly amplify the molecular vibrations. Here we demonstrate a hybrid system composed of a plasmonic nano-antenna array coupled with nanosized zeolite coating for detection of volatile organic compounds in the near field of the resonators. The concerted action of the coating and the nano-antennas enabled us to detect record traces of benzene (25 ppb) within 10 minutes. This approach may ultimately allow the fabrication of a compact system for rapid detection of pharmaceutical and bio- compounds with high sensitivity and high selectivity.

1. Introduction

The detection of volatile organic compounds (VOC) at trace levels (ppb to ppm) is of extreme importance in the field of environmental monitoring for health related matters. The European Air Quality Directive defines as mandatory the monitoring of certain VOCs, such as benzene in ambient conditions and guideline values are provided by the World Health Organization with an associated risk. The classical method for such measurements is gas chromatography, which is time-consuming, expensive and not portable. Portable low-cost sensors have been developed for specific targets and are commercially available. Typically, these sensors either monitor changes such as conductance, strain or absorption in a responsive material such as a polymer, or monitor the potential or the current in a chemical reaction [1]. These approaches can be very sensitive for a specific VOC targeting a concentration in the range from ppb to sub ppb, but they either lack versatility (developed for one specific target) and/or selectivity (discern a target in complex and unknown mixtures).

For a sensor to be able to detect a specific VOC in the ppb range, the sensing layer, and often the entire device, has to be designed and tailored towards a target substance (an analyte). Thereby, this approach hampers the versatility of the sensor, in the sense that the same sensor cannot be used to detect other analytes, since, in principle, the transducing mechanism is responsive only (or to a larger extent) to the targeted analyte. This is a consequence of detecting a target substance *indirectly*, i.e. via an intermediate responsive material that imparts selectivity to the sensor, which is essential in real life applications.

Spectroscopic techniques in the mid infrared (3-20 μm) are able to detect *directly* the unique fingerprints of molecular transitions, therefore offering a valid alternative to the aforementioned approaches, in terms of versatility and selectivity. The target substance with

an active IR vibration, can be detected from the unique fingerprint of its vibrational transitions, without the need for an intermediate transducer, or any prior knowledge.

However, versatility (ability to detect any species) and sensitivity (ability to detect low concentrations) are often incompatible in systems with a small footprint (cheap and portable).

In fact, while IR spectroscopy allows for identification of chemical species in gas, liquid and solid media, the light-matter interaction is extremely weak, thereby requiring long optical paths to boost the signal to noise ratio for applications where detection at ppb levels is required. This is due to the fact that the absorption cross-section of molecules in the IR is extremely small, being proportional to $\sigma_{\text{abs}} \propto a^3/\lambda$, with a being the molecule characteristic size [2]. In the specific case of benzene the absorption cross-section of IR vibrational modes for one molecule is in the range of $\sigma_{\text{abs}} \approx 1-10 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$ [3]. Therefore, a large amount of molecules is needed to yield a signal above the noise level, in accordance with the Lambert-Beer's relationship. To keep a small footprint, it has been proposed to coat mid-IR waveguides or optical fibers with materials able to adsorb species of interest, thereby locally increasing the concentration [4, 5]. However, this approach often lacks in sensitivity that is required in many applications. One example is the monitoring of benzene at ppb or sub ppb levels, that remains challenging even if a separate pre-concentration step is employed [6].

The use of resonant nano-antennas has proven to be effective in enhancing light absorption by organic molecules via the near field enhancement effect (Surface Enhanced Infrared Absorption – SEIRA) [7, 8]. The increase of sensitivity by 3 to 5 orders of magnitude compared to traditional IR absorption spectroscopy on films was reported [9]. Antennas are designed to have the resonance overlapping with the vibrational transition of target molecules as shown in **Figure 1a** [10, 11]. This approach is very successful for detecting the vibrational fingerprint of solid state monolayers covering the nano-antennas. In such systems, the target

molecules have to be very close to the antenna surface, where the field enhancement is the highest [12]. However, for low concentrated gases the probability of a molecule transiting within the strong field enhancement region can be very low. As an example, at a concentration of 25 ppb, there is roughly 1 molecule of benzene in a volume of $1 \mu\text{m}^3$, whereas the mode volume of standard SEIRA nano-antennas is many orders of magnitude smaller ($\sim 10^{-3} \mu\text{m}^3$). Therefore, for low concentrated volatile compounds that do not show significant adsorption on the antennas, the SEIRA approach alone is not suitable.

In this communication, we present a new approach that enables to extend the SEIRA towards the detection of gases at very low concentrations. The quick detection of benzene in the range of 20-30 ppb using a ultra-thin functional layer, and the capability to discriminate benzene from other VOCs such as toluene is demonstrated. To the best of our knowledge this sets a record for benzene detection using IR spectroscopy without pre-concentration steps.




Figure 1: (a) Surface-Enhanced Infrared Absorption (SEIRA) concept: near-field coupling between molecular transitions and optical resonances enables the amplification of the fingerprint. (b) Gold nanorods array coated by zeolite nanocrystals able to trap benzene in regions where the near field enhancement is the highest (c).

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Zeolite concentrating coating

The development of an IR device for fast VOC detection of analytes in the ppb range requires a concerted approach in which the target molecules are trapped in close proximity of resonant nano-antennas hot spots, where the SEIRA can effectively take place. This can be achieved by coating the nano-antenna with a porous material able to capture and retain the analytes of interest. The porous material could be either organics such as polymers, hybrid such as metal-organic frameworks (MOF) or inorganic, such as zeolites or clays. Similar approaches were recently proposed by combining SEIRA nano-antennas with a MOF coating able to adsorb selectively CO₂ or CH₄. An improved detection limit for CO₂ in the order of several tens of ppm was reported [13, 14, 15]. This approach is limited to a certain extent due to the fact that the hybrid material used possesses many vibrational modes overlapping with molecules of interest (analytes).

Thus the desired ‘concentrating’ coating should be suited to adsorb molecules with very low concentration and be compatible with the integration on the resonant nano-antennas. Zeolites have little overlap of vibrational bands of the targeted molecules thanks to the pure inorganic nature [16]. Additionally, the zeolite with nanosized crystals enabled their deposition in a sub-100 nm zeolite film at low temperature via spin coating, which is compatible with resonant nano-antennas [17, 18]. Among the available zeolites, the selection made in our study was based on (i) the pore size of the zeolites (that should be large enough to host benzene), (ii) the hydrophobicity (to retain little water and adsorb predominantly VOCs), (iii) the Henry’s constant, i.e. to adsorb VOCs at very low partial pressure [19], and (iv) the availability of the zeolites with nanosized dimensions stable in coating suspensions. Faujasite zeolite (sample denoted as FAU), [20] with a suitable pore diameter (0.72 nm) to adsorb benzene (0.5 nm) [21], was selected for the current work.




Figure 2: IR spectra of benzene (black solid line) and toluene (red solid line) adsorbed on FAU coating. Bottom panel: the IR spectra of toluene and benzene in gas phase.

Figure 2 shows the IR spectrum of benzene (black solid line) upon adsorption on FAU nanozeolite layer, while its spectrum in the gas form is shown in the bottom panel. Although the spectral shape of the molecular vibrations differs after adsorption, the peaks position and relative intensity are approximately unchanged. In particular, the characteristic aromatic C=C stretching (1480 cm^{-1}) and the out of plane C-H vibration (694 cm^{-1}) are clearly visible. It's important to notice a negative peak at 1645 cm^{-1} , corresponding to the loss of water still adsorbed in the zeolite structure despite extensive flushing with N_2 at room temperature. Benzene exposure promotes rapid water desorption, probably due to its higher affinity towards the coating surface. The negative peaks at 1140 cm^{-1} and 1000 cm^{-1} and the positive peak at 1053 cm^{-1} fall in a region where the FAU zeolite coating exhibits vibrations (**Figure**

3e, blue curve), that are damped by benzene adsorption and modulated by the C-H in plane deformation of benzene (1040 cm^{-1}).

Additionally, toluene was selected as an analyte to evaluate the selectivity of the sensors, i.e., the capability to distinguish between molecules with very similar structures. We present in Figure 2 the IR spectra of toluene adsorbed in the FAU zeolite coating and in the gas phase. One can still discern between benzene and toluene even after adsorption in the zeolite coating. The use of zeolite coatings does not affect the quality of the IR spectra.

We evaluated the performance of the FAU coating by comparing the absorbance of the aromatic C=C stretching (1480 cm^{-1}) for a coating with a defined thickness with that of benzene in the gas phase for a given optical path. The concentration factor α was defined as:

$$\alpha = \frac{C_{ref}d_{cell}}{A_{ref}} \frac{A_{sat}}{C_0t_{coat}} \quad (1)$$




Figure 3: (a) SEM image of a resonant nano-antenna array composed of phased gold nanorods. (b) Field distribution at resonance, normalized by the incident field. (c) IR spectra of nanoantenna array of different length overlapped with benzene spectrum (dotted spectrum). (d) SEM image of FAU zeolite nanocrystals. (e) IR spectrum of nano-antenna array coated with zeolite nanocrystals for parallel (red) and perpendicular polarization (blue), showing the resonance and the zeolite fingerprint.

A_{ref} is the absorbance (for a specific peak) measured at concentration C_{ref} if no coating is used, and d_{cell} is the optical path of the cell. A_{sat} is the absorbance (of the same peak) measured in equilibrium conditions for a concentration C_0 when a zeolite coating with a thickness t_{coat} is present. For the FAU zeolite coating, $\alpha = 2 \cdot 10^8$ was estimated from **Equation 1**, meaning that in the linear regime (coating not saturated) under steady-state conditions, the concentration of benzene inside the coating is more than eight orders of magnitude higher than outside (see SI for further details). The interactions are reversible upon N_2 delivery to the sensor, and the benzene desorbed from the zeolite coating (**Figure S4**).

2.2 SEIRA resonant nano-antennas

After identifying the best performing coating among those explored, we looked at a suitable nano-antennas design that is also a critical aspect of a device. Among the many possible designs, we fabricated gold nanorods in a phased array configuration. The individual nanorod is a nanoantenna itself with a resonance wavelength that depends on its characteristic size and on the refractive index of the supporting substrate. It is possible to use approximative scaling rules that predict the resonance wavelength from the length of the nanorods, given that nanorod width and height set [22]. Under some assumptions, a linear positive correlation of the resonance wavelength with the nanorod length is predicted. Therefore, nanorods are highly versatile, since they can be tuned to support resonances at any wavelength, by simply adjusting their length. By engineering the collective interaction in nanorod arrays, light scattered from individual nanorod can interfere constructively to yield a larger near field enhancement, and therefore a larger extinction in the far-field. The design of ordered arrays of nanorods has been shown to improve by one order of magnitude their performance compared to random arrays [23].

Resonant nano-antennas consisting of gold nanorods arrays were prepared by e-beam lithography on a ZnSe substrate that remains fairly transparent to IR radiation until 15 μm , where VOCs possess the characteristic vibrational modes. Numerical simulations were employed to design a set of phased arrays resonant with several characteristic vibrational modes of benzene. The dimensions of nanorods and the periodicity of the array were chosen to maximize the near field enhancement. Figure 3a shows a representative SEM image of the fabricated arrays revealing nanorod size and pattern periodicity, which is very important to have an optimal response in phase scattering. Figure 3b shows the electromagnetic field distribution simulated at resonance conditions, with a maximum near field enhancement in the order of 300. The experimental IR response of a set of arrays for a beam polarized along the long axis of the nanorods, is presented in Figure 3c, showing the tuneability of resonant features across a wide range of wavelengths, by mainly adjusting the nanorod length (see SI for further description). If the beam was polarized perpendicularly to the antenna axis (short length), no resonant features were visible, in accordance with theoretical predictions (**Figure S2**); a clean ZnSe substrate was used as the reference.

2.3 Fast detection of benzene traces

The FAU zeolite coating (Figure 3d) with an average thickness of 200 nm was prepared on the nano-antenna array via drop-casting (**Figure S3**); the zeolite coating was used to trap and concentrate the targeted analyte in close proximity of the nano-antennas where the field enhancement is the highest (see Figure 3b). A new vibrational mode (1045 cm^{-1}) characteristic of FAU zeolite appears in the spectrum presented in Figure 3e.

The nano-antenna-zeolite was mounted in a gas cell in the optical path of IR light to assess its performance; mass flow controllers were used together with permeation tubes to obtain low

concentrations of gases. The sample response upon exposure to 25 ppb of benzene is shown in **Figure 4a** and for different exposure times (IR light is polarised parallel to the nanorods long axis) in Figure 4b. A strong effect on the IR spectrum is observed within a few minutes on the bands that correspond to the interaction of benzene with the zeolite ($940\text{-}1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$). Within 10 minutes, the characteristic aromatic C=C stretching peak (1480 cm^{-1}) is already above the noise ($>3\sigma$). The change of the intensity of the band at 1480 cm^{-1} as a function of time is presented in Figure 4c, both for parallel and perpendicular polarization. The boost in sensitivity given by the resonant interaction of nano-antennas with the vibrational modes of benzene trapped within the near field enhancement volume is demonstrated in Figure 4. The concerted action of the FAU zeolite coating and the resonant nano-antennas enabled us to attain performance equivalent to a $\sim 120\text{ m}$ long optical path (see SI for further details).




Figure 4: (a,b) IR response of nano-antenna-zeolite device upon exposure to 25 ppb of benzene at different time intervals, (c) Intensity of the peak at 1480 cm^{-1} as a function of time for parallel (blue) and perpendicular (red) polarisation.

Furthermore, we evaluated the individual contribution of the nanozeolite coating and SEIRA, by looking at the ratio of absorbance between parallel and perpendicular polarization. The nano-antennas enabled us to improve the detection limit by a factor 3, which allowed the detection of 25 ppb benzene.

3. Conclusions

We showed that by combining the nanozeolite concentrating coating with specifically designed IR nano-antennas was possible to use SEIRA for enhancing the light-matter interaction with the gas molecules used in this study (benzene and toluene). As a consequence, the detection of benzene down to 25 ppb was possible. This detection limit can be easily improved by using a N₂ cooled MCT detector as opposed to the DGTS detector used in this work, or by optimizing the thickness of the zeolite coating. Further improvement can be achieved by using a core-shell architecture [24] with the nano-antennas being the resonant core uniformly coated by a zeolite shell, and ultimately by reducing ohmic losses employing monocrystalline nano-antennas applying the procedure previously reported [25]. This findings would have important effect in the design of portable systems for efficient detection of low concentrated gas pollutants in the atmosphere, especially in urban areas. Furthermore, the possibility to detect VOC in low concentrations can enforce guidelines regarding air quality standards.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))
Revised: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))
Published online: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))


References

- [1] L. Spinelle, M. Gerboles, G. Kok, S. Persijn, T. Sauerwald, *Sensors-Basel* **2017**, *17*.
- [2] D. Dregely, F. Neubrech, H. G. Duan, R. Vogelgesang, H. Giessen, *Nat Commun* **2013**, *4*.
- [3] T. Etzkorn, B. Klotz, S. Sorensen, I. V. Patroescu, I. Barnes, K. H. Becker, U. Platt, *Atmos Environ* **1999**, *33*, 525-540.
- [4] R. Lu, G. P. Sheng, W. W. Li, H. Q. Yu, Y. Raichlin, A. Katzir, B. Mizaikoff, *Angew Chem Int Edit* **2013**, *52*, 2265-2268.
- [5] M. Sieger, B. Mizaikoff, *Analytical Chemistry* **2016**, *88*, 5562-5573.
- [6] C. R. Young, N. Menegazzo, A. E. Riley, C. H. Brons, F. P. DiSanzo, J. L. Givens, J. L. Martin, M. M. Disko, B. Mizaikoff, *Anal Chem* **2011**, *83*, 6141-6147.
- [7] F. Neubrech, C. Huck, K. Weber, A. Pucci, H. Giessen, *Chem Rev* **2017**, *117*, 5110-5145.
- [8] X. Yang, Z. Sun, T. Low, H. Hu, X. Guo, F. J. Garcia de Abajo, P. Avouris, Q. Dai, *Adv Mater* **2018**, *30*, e1704896.
- [9] F. Neubrech, A. Pucci, T. W. Cornelius, S. Karim, A. Garcia-Etxarri, J. Aizpurua, *Phys Rev Lett* **2008**, *101*.
- [10] R. Adato, A. Artar, S. Erramilli, H. Altug, *Nano Lett* **2013**, *13*, 2584-2591.
- [11] T. Neuman, C. Huck, J. Vogt, F. Neubrech, R. Hillenbrand, J. Aizpurua, A. Pucci, *J Phys Chem C* **2015**, *119*, 26652-26662.
- [12] R. Adato, H. Altug, *Nat Commun* **2013**, *4*.
- [13] Y. H. Chang, D. Hasan, B. W. Dong, J. X. Wei, Y. M. Ma, G. Y. Zhou, K. W. Ang, C. Lee, *Acs Appl Mater Inter* **2018**, *10*, 38272-38279.
- [14] X. Y. Chong, Y. J. Zhang, E. W. Li, K. J. Kim, P. R. Ohodnicki, C. H. Chang, A. X. Wang, *Acs Sensors* **2018**, *3*, 230-238.
- [15] H. Zhou, X. D. Hui, D. X. Li, D. L. Hu, X. Chen, X. M. He, L. X. Gao, H. Huang, C. Lee, X. J. Mu, *Adv Sci* **2020**.
- [16] A. Jentys, R. R. Mukti, H. Tanaka, J. A. Lercher, *Micropor Mesopor Mat* **2006**, *90*, 284-292.
- [17] S. Mintova, J. P. Gilson, V. Valtchev, *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 6693-6703.
- [18] T. Babeva, H. Awala, M. Vasileva, J. El Fallah, K. Lazarova, S. Thomas, S. Mintova, *Dalton T* **2014**, *43*, 8868-8876.
- [19] M. J. Hwang, W. G. Shim, D. W. Ryu, H. Moon, *J Chem Eng Data* **2012**, *57*, 701-707.
- [20] H. Awala, J. P. Gilson, R. Retoux, P. Boullay, J. M. Goupil, V. Valtchev, S. Mintova, *Nat Mater* **2015**, *14*, 447-451.
- [21] N. Y. Chen, *J Phys Chem-US* **1976**, *80*, 60-64.
- [22] L. Novotny, *Phys Rev Lett* **2007**, *98*.
- [23] D. Weber, P. Albella, P. Alonso-Gonzalez, F. Neubrech, H. Gui, T. Nagao, R. Hillenbrand, J. Aizpurua, A. Pucci, *Opt Express* **2011**, *19*, 15047-15061.
- [24] B. Sciacca, S. A. Mann, F. D. Tichelaar, H. W. Zandbergen, M. A. van Huis, E. C. Garnett, *Nano Lett* **2014**, *14*, 5891-5898.
- [25] B. Sciacca, A. Berkhout, B. J. M. Brenny, S. Z. Oener, M. A. van Huis, A. Polman, E. C. Garnett, *Adv Mater* **2017**, *29*.

The table of contents entry should be 50–60 words long and should be written in the present tense. The text should be different from the abstract text.

Javier Nunez¹, Arjen Boersma¹, Julien Grand², Svetlana Mintova² and Beniamino Sciacca^{3}*

Ultrathin functional zeolite layer supported on infrared resonant nano-antennas for fast detection of benzene traces



The concerted action of infrared resonant nano-antennas with an ultra-thin zeolite concentrating coating, enables unprecedented rapid detection of benzene traces (25 ppb). In this hybrid material, the zeolite coating effectively traps VOCs analytes nearby the hotspots of nano-antennas, thus enabling Surface Enhanced Infrared Absorption (SEIRA). Sensitivity equivalent to a ~120 m long optical path is achieved in a ~200 nm layer.

Supporting Information

Ultrathin functional zeolite layer supported on infrared resonant nano-antennas for fast detection of benzene traces

Javier Nunez¹, Arjen Boersma¹, Julien Grand², Svetlana Mintova² and Beniamino Sciacca^{3}*

Experimental Setup

The experimental setup consists of a Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer Thermofisher Nicolet 6700, with a DGTS detector, equipped with an Auxiliary Experimental Module (AEM) in which a custom gas cell system is installed. The gas cell is a modified commercially available Pike Technologies Short Path Gas Cell, that on one end has a KBr window and, on the other, a custom metal lid with two round orifices where samples are placed, as shown in Figure S1.



Figure S1. Gas cell featuring a custom metal lid with two orifices where the samples are placed and fixed.

Both orifices are designed so that the samples (square shaped and bigger than the orifice) can fit in square dips on the inner surface of the lid, mounted on viton O-rings. For their fixation, a small metal cover, also provided with two identical round orifices, is placed in turn on new O-rings on top of the samples, and screwed onto the main lid. This way, the samples form a “sandwich” between the gas cell lid and the internal metal cover, where they are “suspended” with O-rings on both faces. Thus, a good gas sealing of the system is guaranteed.

A calibrated permeation tube is placed in the permeation device’s oven, and this is set at 60 °C with a constant flow of nitrogen. By tuning the nitrogen flow a desired concentration of benzene in the nitrogen atmosphere is obtained.

A polariser in the optical path allows us to tune the polarization of the IR beam.

Nano-antenna design.

Several nanoantenna designs were developed to match the vibrational fingerprint of benzene in the range 3-15 μm . Due to the complexity of the system, numerical simulations were performed to find, for a given resonance wavelength, an optimal configuration (highest field enhancement) in terms of nanorod size and array lattice parameters. The commercial package COMSOL multiphysics was used to run the optimisations, which aimed at maximising the local field enhancement of resonances that overlapped with benzene vibrational fingerprints. The arrays were modelled on a ZnSe substrate, and only a quarter of the system was simulated as a result of symmetry consideration. A plane wave was launched in the system and the reflected, transmitted and absorbed spectrum was calculated, along with the near field distribution.

A total of five arrays was designed, to match five major modes of benzene (see table below). The height and width of nanorods were inversely proportional to the field enhancement, in accordance with calculations reported in literature [26]. However, to allow for reproducible fabrication of such arrays, the width was fixed at 65 nm and the height at 35 nm. ZnSe was selected as the substrate to allow for transmission measurements in the range of interest (3-15 μm), and because, compared to other substrates as undoped Si or Ge, it has a smaller refractive index (~ 2.3) which reduces the amount of field localised inside the substrate itself.

The periodicity of the array in the direction along the long axis of the rod (X axis) was fixed to have an end-to-end distance between adjacent rods of ~ 100 nm, which allows for near field interaction between the rods (the smaller the gap the higher the field enhancement) and for the deposition of a concentrating coating in the gap.

The periodicity of the array in the Y-direction and the length of the nanorods were the variables used to optimise the field enhancement for the given resonance wavelengths. The periodicity in the Y-direction allowed us to have constructive interference of the scattered field, as mentioned above, to maximize the enhancement. In order to suppress grating modes, and have only forward scattered light, the periodicity in the Y-direction needs to be below the first grating order, that is approximately $\sim \lambda_{\text{res}}/n_{\text{substrate}}$. Optimal conditions (perfectly in phase scattered fields) normally occur just below the first grating order. However, from the macroscopic point of view, a further decrease in periodicity from one hand negatively affects the maximum local field enhancement (scattered fields are less and less in phase), but from the other hand allows having *more* nanorods and therefore *more* hotspots (spots with enhanced electromagnetic radiation). Since the accurate design and optimisation are quite cumbersome, as one needs to take into account the size of respective mode volumes and the interaction with coating and molecules, a different approach was chosen. Typically, the arrays resonant at small energies (8.5 μm and 12.5 μm) were designed to have a larger number of nanorods instead of a perfectly phased condition. This is because the experimental deviation from ideal conditions, such as for example substrate flatness/roughness, was estimated to occur more likely at a very large Y periodicity, therefore decreasing the experimental field enhancement. Instead, for the arrays resonant at higher energy (3.3 μm , 5 and 6.2 μm), the phased condition design was followed.

The design parameters for the arrays corresponding to the spectra shown in Figure 2 are summarized in Table 1. The maximum field enhancement (magnitude) was in the order of 200-300, depending on the array configuration.




Figure S2: Comparison between parallel and perpendicular polarisation of the incoming IR light. The small peak observed at 1730 cm^{-1} is due to residual PMMA, and is used to assess the performance of the array. Note that the curve for perpendicular polarisation is magnified by a factor 40 to allow the comparison. Also the acquisition time (optical velocity and number of scans) needed to resolve the peak for perpendicular polarisation was substantially higher than that for the parallel polarisation due to the smaller signal to noise ratio.

	L (nm)	Dx (nm)	Dy (nm)	Resonance (cm^{-1})
Chip 1	550	700	1300	3030
Chip 2	925	1075	1900	2000
Chip 3	1200	1350	2000	1610
Chip 4	1870	2020	2000	1180
Chip 5	2800	2960	2900	940

Table 1. Physical parameters of nano-antennas fabricated on the ZnSe substrate. L is the nanorods length (oriented along the X -axis), D_x and D_y represent the lattice parameters of the array. The width (W) is 60 nm .

Nano-antenna fabrication.

Fabrication was performed via e-beam lithography by the company *Nanophab* based at TU/e (Technical University of Eindhoven). Briefly, a ZnSe substrate ($30 \times 30\text{ mm}^2$) was first coated with a conductive polymer and subsequently with an electron sensitive resist. After calibrating the correct amount of electrons to dose for exposing a certain feature, the structures were written on a large area ($6.5 \times 6.5\text{ mm}^2$ for each array). After exposure and development, 35 nm of Au were deposited on the sample, followed by a lift-off procedure. Then, a protective layer of PMMA (600 nm) was deposited prior to dice the substrate into $10 \times 10\text{ mm}^2$ pieces. After dicing, PMMA was removed with acetone and IPA.




Figure S3: Backscattered electrons image of a nanoantenna array coated with FAU zeolite crystals.

Nano-antenna array characterization.

Figure S2 shows the experimental absorbance band of Chip 2 (resonance at 2000 cm^{-1}) for parallel and perpendicular polarisation.

The small peak present at 1730 cm^{-1} is due to the C=O stretching mode of some residual PMMA on the substrate. This peak can be used to estimate the enhancing capabilities of the nanoantennas. An average amplification of ~ 40 is obtained by calculating the ratio between the parallel and orthogonal polarisation for the peak at 1730 cm^{-1} . The filling fraction of the array is $L_x W/D_x D_y = 2.7\%$ (percentage of surface occupied by nanorods). However, the nanoantennas enhance only molecular vibrations of molecules that lie in the near vicinity, due to the nature of the near field distribution that decays exponentially [2]. One can therefore assume that only molecules that lie within $\sim 100\text{ nm}$ at most of the nanoantennas extremities are excited with an amplified field; taking into account the array geometry, a local effective enhancement > 4000 can be estimated ($40 * D_x * D_y / 2 * 100^2$).


As one can see from the field plot in Figure 1, this estimation is fairly conservative, since the field decays quite rapidly from the nanoantennas surface, and therefore the actual local enhancement is underestimated. A more precise estimation can be performed by calculating the overlap integral of the field distribution with the molecule absorption, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

It is interesting to note that the shape of the band at 1730 cm^{-1} is different among the arrays shown in Figure 1. In fact, in some arrays it appears as a peak (increase absorbance), while in some other arrays it appears as a dip (decreased absorbance), or it has an asymmetric (Fano-like) shape. This phenomenon was shown to be dependent on the relative spectral position of the molecular vibrational mode with the nanoantenna resonance. It is related to the phase between the molecular oscillator and the nanoantenna resonator [10]. An oxygen plasma cleaning step was employed to remove such PMMA traces before to deposit the zeolite coating.

Selection of nanosized zeolite

Within the vast database of zeolites materials, different structures (EMT, EMT, FAU, MFI) were considered to test the response towards species such as benzene. The choice was based on the following arguments:

- 1) Hydrophobicity. Due to the non-polar nature of target molecules such as benzene, zeolites materials with a more hydrophobic character were expected to be able to concentrate such molecules to a larger extent. Furthermore, for a sensor to work in uncontrolled environments, it is important to reduce as much as possible the interference of other agents such as humidity. The degree of hydrophobicity is controlled by the Si/Al ratio, with higher ratios results in more hydrophobic materials.
- 2) Pore diameter. The pore diameter of the zeolite material is a crucial factor determining the effectiveness of the coating to adsorb the species of interest. Considering that the size of the benzene molecule is in the order of ~0.5 nm, structures with pores larger than 0.5 nm were selected.
- 3) Availability as nano-sized crystals. Due to the system design it is important to coat the nanoantennas with a zeolite film in the order of 100 nm, to exploit at best the field enhancement effect. Therefore bottom-up synthesis of nano-sized zeolites crystals was selected. This allows: i) low temperature deposition (no damage on the nanoantennas) and ii) conformal coating with a tuneable thickness.
- 4) Affinity. Another important aspect that was taken into consideration was the affinity of the zeolite material towards benzene. This relates to the chemical interactions that takes place inside the pores when a benzene molecule enters. In other words it is important that at very low partial pressure the coating is capable of adsorbing the target species, and therefore that possesses a large Henry's constant. Furthermore, depending on the metal loading the zeolites, a better concentration factor can be observed at low partial pressure. Ag and Cu loading have been shown to coordinate extremely well with benzene.

Structure	Pores (Å)	Framework	Si/Al ratio	Availability as nanosized	Hydrophobicity	Affinity
EMT	6-7		1.2	Yes	Low	High, can be doped by Ag
FAU	7		1.6	Yes	Low	High
			2.7	Yes	Medium	High


Structure	Pores (Å)	Framework	Si/Al ratio	Availability as nanosized	Hydrophobicity	Affinity
MFI	5.5		25-∞	Yes	High	Low

Table 2: Properties of zeolite coatings.

Nanozeolite synthesis and deposition.

Nanozeolites were synthesized with a procedure described previously [20]. The FAU zeolite crystals were further stabilized in colloidal coating suspensions. Next, the suspensions were sonicated for 5 minutes, in order to make them homogeneous, and subsequently an aliquot was taken and drop-casted onto the substrate and let it dry.

Characterisation of zeolite coatings

To characterize the performance of different coating when exposed to benzene and toluene, the samples were mounted on the gas cell and the absorbance was monitored as a function of concentration and/or time. Prior to exposure, the glass cell was purged with dry nitrogen until a stable spectrum was obtained. The transmission through the coating in nitrogen environment was used as background to reference the spectra.

The absorbance measured depends on the *effective* amount of Benzene in the optical path of the IR beam. In a scenario without coating, for a given benzene concentration, the measured Absorbance follows Lambert-Beer's law, and depends on the gas cell optical path (5 cm in our setup) and on the molar extinction coefficient of benzene for that specific molecular transition (peak energy), which is an intrinsic feature of the target molecule. When a coating is present, the target molecules accumulate inside the coating itself, therefore yielding a higher absorbance than that without a coating. The amount of benzene/toluene that can be adsorbed inside the zeolite coating depends, as mentioned in previous sections, on the chemical interactions at a molecular level, that define the equilibrium constant of the system. To isolate the contribution of coating affinity and assess performance, the thickness of the deposited coating was measured with a profilometer and averaged along different line scans. This allowed us to normalise the absorbance by the thickness, and calculate a **concentration factor** (defined in Equation 1), that was used to compare different coatings with different thicknesses. The meaning of this factor relates to the amount of target molecules per unit length, and therefore to the ability of the coating to concentrate a certain species.

Without coating the absorbance of benzene in the gas phase with a concentration of 62 ppm, in the 5 cm gas cell was measured to be $7.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $0.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for the peaks at 675 cm^{-1} and 1485 cm^{-1} respectively. For comparison, in Figure 4b, (after 56 min exposure at 25 ppb) the absorbance at 1485 cm^{-1} was $0.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$, therefore enabling a sensitivity ~three orders of magnitude higher than the prediction from Lambert-Beer's law.

The absorbance was monitored as a function of time for a fixed concentration, to study the kinetics of the system. This allowed to assess the response time (time constant) of the coating and to estimate the reversibility (release of molecules from the coating when no species are present in the outside environment). Typically, a quasi-linear slope is observed at early stages and saturation occurs when equilibrium conditions are reached, following a $\exp(-t/k)$ response curve (see next).

FAU zeolite

Two types of FAU zeolite samples were tested, with a Si/Al ratio of 1.6 and 2.7 respectively. As already mentioned this confers a different degree of hydrophobicity. Figure S4 shows a typical FTIR spectrum upon benzene exposure (left) and on the right the load ($t=1-30$ min) - unload (30-80 min) kinetics in a 80 min timeframe (right) for two different peaks. The FTIR spectrum is quite similar to that observed for other coatings. This coating performs quite well both in terms of reversibility at room temperature and capability to quickly adsorb benzene at low concentration.

The behaviour of FAU-Y 1.6 is somewhat different from that of the higher Si/Al ratio counterpart (see Figure S5). In fact, upon exposure to benzene, there are important water losses, due to the higher hydrophilicity of the zeolite coating that makes it difficult to properly remove water from the pores before the experiments. The response to benzene is quite remarkable as well, and features the appearance of new peaks at 755 and 675 cm^{-1} , which were not present in FAU-Y 2.7.

Performance summary

Equation 1 was used to estimate the concentration factor using the peak at 1480cm^{-1} . As an example, for a FAU-Y2.7 with $t_{\text{coat}} \sim 190$ nm an absorbance of $A_{\text{sat}} = 0.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ was measured for a benzene concentration of $C_0 = 155$ ppb, while without coating an absorbance of $0.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ was measured for a benzene concentration of $C_{\text{ref}} = 62$ ppm for a $d_{\text{cell}} = 5$ cm optical path of the gas cell. Therefore $\alpha = 2 \cdot 10^8$.

Coating	Concentrating factor	Target
FAU-Y 1.6	$\sim 10^8$	Benzene
FAU-Y 2.7	$2 \cdot 10^8$	Benzene




Figure S4: FTIR spectrum of a 190 nm thick FAU-Y 2.7 coating exposed to benzene (left) and kinetic response to a 155 ppb benzene (1-30 min) and flushing in dry nitrogen (30-80 min) (right). The performance of this coating is quite good in terms of concentrating efficiency, but also in terms of reversibility at room temperature.




Figure S5: FTIR spectrum of a FAU-Y 1.6 coating exposed to benzene (left) and kinetic response to a 155 ppb benzene (1-40 min) and flushing in dry nitrogen (40-140 min) (right). The inset is a spectrum that shows also the feature at higher energy, that corresponds to OH modes of water.

References

- [1] J. Aizpurua, et al., Optical properties of coupled metallic nanorods for field-enhanced spectroscopy, *Phys Rev B* **2005**, 71.
- [2] D. Dregely, et al., Vibrational near-field mapping of planar and buried three-dimensional plasmonic nanostructures, *Nat Commun* **2013**, 4.
- [3] R. Adato, et al., Engineered Absorption Enhancement and Induced Transparency in Coupled Molecular and Plasmonic Resonator Systems, *Nano Lett* **2013**, 13.
- [4] H. Awala, et al., Template-free nanosized faujasite-type zeolites, *Nat Mater* **2015**, 14