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Divination, Pyromancy, Hesiod: P. Gen. inv. 161 Has More to Offer

Following the appearance of Reading the Liver. Papyrological Studies in Greek Extispicy (W. Furley/V. Gysembergh, Tübingen 2015) the Geneva text inv. 161 was brought to our attention, which seems to have something to do with divination, perhaps specifically extispicy. With the help of new digital scans we decided to take a fresh look at the fragments first published by André Hurst in 1998, ${ }^{1}$ to which a number seem to have been added in the meantime by the keepers in Geneva, who have assimilated fragments with the same handwriting. V. Gysembergh examined the papyrus in November 2016 with the help of P. Schubert. Hurst was concerned in the ed.pr. to publish a minimalistic text of what could be read, without bold conjectures. We have the opposite intention here, namely to tease the maximum sense possible from these badly preserved fragments, consistent with the evidence, however faint, and aided by the background we have gained from Reading the Liver. Quite late in the preparation of this article a new citation from Hesiod, no less, revealed itself in fr. 5; whether genuine or spurious, is at present impossible to say.

According to Hurst, Claude Wehrli was responsible for the order of the fragments as they appear in the ed. pr. However, this order appears to be more or less arbitrary, as content does not permit arranging the fragments in a logical sequence, and there is no discussion of the physical appearance of the fragments (colour, fibres etc.) in the ed.pr. The order of the fragments as they appear in the online photograph provided by the Bibliothèque de Genève serves merely to fit them all into one frame, and therefore tells us nothing about the original book-roll. ${ }^{2}$ As already stated, some new fragments have been assimilated with those in the original publication by the Genevan librarians and their publication here is therefore ed.pr. ${ }^{3}$

The papyrus is a nicely written text datable to the second century $\mathrm{AD}^{4}$ with writing in Turner's formal round style. It is carefully bilinear, only phi and psi have long, sometimes extravagantly long, verticals. Rho is easy to mistake with iota, having only a small top loop. Some letters are somewhat oversized: omega and nu typically. Epsilon is only minimally distinct from theta. The writer carefully adds serifs to many letter forms, but not always consistently. As is typical with prose, the text is written in 'Blocksatz' with approximately twenty letters to the line on the 'good' side of the papyrus, parallel to the fibres. Paragraphus appears at various points, according to our view, to mark new sentences or sense units. Colon appears occasionally as a punctuation mark, for example to mark the new 'Hesiod' fragment in fr. 5. Diction is that of Attic prose except possibly in fr. 1.16 where we seem to have Ionic кعív $\omega v$ for $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon$ ív $\omega v$. Mistakes in spelling (as far as we can judge) are rare, with possible cases in frr. 1.5, 1.14, 7 col. ii.31, 10 col. ii.5. We find several cases where nu is not assimilated: frr. 5.8,11.10. This was a good piece of work, indicating that the content was considered valuable. Where the writing is well preserved it is like a printed document; that is, however, rarely the case. Below we give a restored text, then a commentary aimed primarily at explaining our readings but also treating some pressing questions of interpretation. We retain the original numbering of the fragments for clarity's sake, only reversing 3 and $4,{ }^{5}$ and following on from them with the new unedited fragments (9, 10 etc.).

[^0]

Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 1
(C) Bibliothèque de Genève)
fragment $1+6$ col. ii
Column i consists of just a few line endings with single letters.

## Column ii




$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \dot{\alpha} \subset \chi \rho \underline{\eta} \mu \dot{\mu} \alpha[[\omega \vee \subset \eta-]$ $\mu \alpha i ́ v \varepsilon 1, \pi \omega \rho o v ~ \eta!.[$
そ $\tau!\chi \alpha \lambda$.

к $\alpha \grave{~ \delta v с \tau v \chi i ́ \alpha v ~ c ̣ ̣ \mu \alpha i ́ v e ı . ~}$

ท̣ $\delta \underline{\omega} \alpha \beta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\omega} c ฺ \downarrow ~ \eta ̣ . .[. .].$.
..... $\varepsilon \vee \varrho \nu \tau \alpha \imath, \chi \rho ̣ ̣ ̀ ~[\tau o i ̂ c ~$

```
д̀ \(\pi\) ovcí \(\alpha v\) тov̂ \(\theta \alpha v \alpha ́ \tau[0 v ~ c \eta-] ~\)
```



```
\(\mu \varepsilon \chi^{\alpha} \lambda_{\text {ov }} \kappa \alpha \kappa о \hat{0} \pi \alpha[v \tau о\) íov,
```




```
ovóv七ө̣ ọ.ọvoc̣ [
ро[.].к....о.[
ŋ̀ \(\chi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \dot{\zeta} \alpha[v]\)...[
```


..[..].otoo..[
[......]o[
.

```

App. crit. \({ }^{6}\)


Translation \({ }^{7}\)
' ... and the inclination/willingness to sacrifice to the same god is given if the Way/journey demands it, as it points toward robbery of money, [? distress] or some difficulty, if ... this Way/ journey points toward [trouble] or misfortune. If ... are damaged or eaten through or ... , it is necessary to imprecate the gods with large offerings which point toward the absence of death and of any other great evil. If they listen ... ,

1 This seems to be the first line of this column, with a clear top margin above. But it is not necessarily the first line of a section. First four words relatively clear, although the last two letters of \(\theta \varepsilon \omega\) are ghostly. There is no indication in the text below which god is meant: the god of divination, Apollo, or the god of travel, Hermes? Then a mysterious trace followed by, probably, \(\pi \rho 0\) connecting with \(\theta v . \underline{\varphi} \alpha\) in the following line as \(\pi \rho o \theta v \mu \mu^{\prime} \alpha\) (possibly in dative case). The trace of the pi should be compared with the pi in \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha \alpha_{c}\) (4) to show that this is how the scribe did the left descender. Before this probably the definite article \(\dot{\eta}\), of which one can see something of the left descender. After \(\pi \rho o\) there is an indefinable trace, probably not a letter at all. The rare word \(\pi \rho \circ \circ \theta\) v \(\rho\) v́c, door-keeper (here perhaps \(\pi \rho o c \theta v \rho \varepsilon ́ \alpha\), acc.), is ruled out by date and context.
 to sacrifice'. The last two letters are damaged. Sense, and the ink traces, then suggest кęit \([\alpha 1\), 'occurs' or 'is given'. The sentence continues with conditions according to which 'the willingness to sacrifice is given'. They are given by conditional clauses with żóv and subjunctive, 'if so-and-so is the case'. Under -v[ \(\mu]!\underline{i} \alpha\) at line beginning there is the first of the paragraphi which feature sporadically in this papyrus. It seems to mark syntactical units, here the comma after кعitcol, followed by a number of conditions (ushered in by غ́óv).
 Costanza, P. Gen. inv. 161: un trattato di ieroscopia, Analecta Papyrologica 16-17 (2004-2005), 37-46, \({ }^{8}\) argued that the term was in fact that familiar from the extispicy texts, a Way (line) on the liver of deep significance. In Furley-Gysembergh I 51 we find кé \(\overline{\varepsilon v} \theta_{0}\) oc used in combination with ó óóc (ódòc кと́ \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{6}\) In this and the following apparatūs AH = André Hurst (ed.pr.), WF = William Furley, VG = Victor Gysembergh; all new readings compared to the editio princeps by AH are by WF unless specifically attributed to others.
\({ }^{7}\) Elements of the translation are, of course, as tentative as the readings on which it is based.
\({ }^{8}\) Cf. id., Manuali su papiro di observationes divinatorie e diffusione del sapere magico, in: Magali de Haro Sanchez (ed.), Écrire la magie dans l'antiquité. Actes du colloque international (Liège, 13-15 octobre 2011). Papyrologica Leodiensia 5, Liège 2015, 173-185.
}
'Path-Way' perhaps). Other instances are \(\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} v \tau \tau \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc (I 54, 99, II 19, 20), \(\kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc alone I 58. In this or the other fragments of P. Gen. 161 there are, however, no explicit references to the liver or its lobes, such as we find in the extispicy papyri. In fr. 7 col. iii. 3 there is another instance of \(c \eta \mu \alpha\) íver, this time apparently with Sío \(\delta o c\), 'passageway' (?), as subject. The meaning again might be literal or symbolic. Another instance of к \(\varepsilon\) ' \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc in fr. 9 col. ii. 17 is clearly literal, 'way', 'journey'. Perhaps there is a further possibility here beyond either literal 'journey' or technical-symbolic 'Way' (line on the liver). кع́ \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc may be a symbolic term in another area of divination which we do not know about. In favour of the symbolic interpretation of \(\kappa \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \forall\) oc here is the general similarity of sentence structure (so-and-so signifies \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\) ) with other divinatory manuals, hieroscopic and palmomantic, which we possess. \({ }^{9}\) Moreover, in the latter section of this fragment (lines 9ff.) the probability is that the symbolic language continues. For if кع́ \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc in lines 3 and 7 is meant literally as 'journey', what can be the subject of the verbs 'if [? ?] is damaged or eaten through or [? ?]'? It does not seem possible to supplement a literal subject here, whilst some divinatory token might well be 'damaged or eaten through'. Against the symbolic reading of кє́ \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc here one might object that it is illogical to say 'enthusiasm to sacrifice to the same god exists, if the Way [sc. on the liver] demands it' as one has already sacrificed in order to inspect the Way. But there are ways round that difficulty. Inspection of the Way may come from an initial sacrifice, the results of which then demand sacrifice to a particular god. For lack of further context we must leave the interpretation of \(\kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc an open issue.
 epsilon is likely - only that and theta match the traces. Middle phi is as good as absent. Eta is clear. Other

 needs some conjunction to begin the clause of which cпuaiver is the verb in the indicative.
\(4 \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ c\) is certain, \(\chi \rho \underline{p} \mu \dot{\mu} \alpha \alpha^{\tau}[\omega v]\) in context very plausible, although by no means obvious palaeographically. The first three letters look most like \(\chi \iota \tau\) - but any form of \(\chi \iota \tau \dot{\omega} v\) or \(\chi \tau \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \circ v\) is ruled out for other reasons. The кદ́ \(\lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc, either literal 'journey' or symbolic 'Way' (see note on lines \(2-3\) ) indicates a 'pressing need' to sacrifice as it is beset by highway robbers. One may compare in the Moscow extispicy text
 Hands which stretch on the outside (sc. of the liver) towards the Head [indicate] robbery'. Clearly the danger of robbery was one which the diviner anticipated with trepidation. For the expression \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha i \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v\) cf. Isokr. Panath. 259.3, Dionys. Hal. Ant. Rom. 3.41.1.
\(4-5[c \eta-] \mu \alpha i v e \imath\) is not the only possible supplement; we also considered \(\delta \varepsilon \iota \mu \alpha\) ives, 'fears', but this is poetical and we would need a human subject for that, which, on the whole, does not fit. c \(\eta \mu \alpha\) ivel, moreover, clearly parallels other instances: in this fragment line 9, fr. 7 col. iii.3.
\(5 \pi \omega \rho o v\) is puzzling. The dictionaries define \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c\) as a 'stone used in building' that is, a 'block of stone'. But lexica and scholia also gloss \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c\) as \(\pi \varepsilon ́ v \theta\) oc, \(\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta\) oc vel sim., and \(\pi \omega \rho \varepsilon \hat{v} v, \pi \omega \rho \eta \tau v ́ c\), and \(\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \pi \omega \rho o c\) presuppose such a noun. Divination might be held to foretell 'misery', 'grief' on a journey, and such a rare and possibly archaic word as \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c\) might have been used to describe it. Thus, we seem to have here the first attestation in context of a noun with this meaning, which should be added to dictionaries as \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c 2\). (A line from Antimachus of Colophon's Thebaid (fr. 54 Matthews) is adduced in some ancient sources for the occurrence of \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c\), but the original text almost certainly read \(\pi \omega \rho \eta \tau v\) v, see Matthews ad loc.) Interestingly, the Et. Gudianum defines П \(\omega \rho о с \cdot \pi \varepsilon ́ v \theta\) ос ск \(\lambda \eta \rho о \hat{\nu}\) к \(\alpha i ̀ \lambda i \theta\) ос, ' \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho о с\), an intractible grief and a stone', as if the hardness of stone might stand for a type of grief. The difficulties vanish, of course, if we read \(\pi\) ó \(\rho o v\), as Raffaella Cribiore suggested in discussion (3.11.16 Parma), but the scribe does not elsewhere confuse quantities. \(\quad\) ?.[: Probably eta, not pi, after \(\pi \omega \rho o v\).
6 Hurst read the first three letters as \(\eta \pi \lambda\) which might give \(\hat{\eta} \pi \lambda 0 \hat{v}\), for example (a voyage requiring propitiatory sacrifice?), but tau looks more likely in second position followed by iota. The remaining traces are at least


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{9} \uparrow \eta \mu \alpha\) íveı e.g. Furley-Gysembergh I 66, or an equivalent verb such as I \(54 \pi \rho o \alpha v \delta \alpha \hat{\alpha}\), I 57 б́íkvocı.
}
be the end of a feminine noun (e.g. ск \(\lambda \eta \rho o ́ \tau \eta \tau \imath\) ). After \(\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi o ́ v\), \(\mathcal{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} v\), but the sentence structure is not clear as the next verb form (in line 8) is indicative, c̣̣uaível. A subjunctive form must have lurked in one of the gaps.
\(7 \alpha v ̇ \tau \eta(\) or \(\alpha v ̋ \tau \eta) \dot{\eta} \kappa \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon v \theta\) oc is clear, despite Hurst's cogitations. True, initial alpha is truncated, but it can hardly be anything else. \(\quad \pi[\) óv \(]\) ọv: Just a guess, suggested by the legible nu at the end, the ghost of what might be pi initially, and the coordinating \(\kappa \alpha\) í of the next line. Presumably some unwanted and troubling thing stood here. Perhaps a repetition of the mysterious \(\pi \omega \rho o v\) from before ( \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o v\) ?).

8 Also read thus by Hurst. The iota of \(\kappa \alpha\) í is strangely formed, but can hardly be anything else. It seems similar to the iota of \(\pi \rho o l \theta v[\mu] \underline{\epsilon} \alpha\) in line 2 . The deformity results from the wish of the scribe on occasion to add quite sizable upper and lower serifs to the downstroke.
9-10 Here the sense goes unfortunately lost although the (third?) \(\varepsilon\) cóv sentence starts with two relative-
 through'. The subject of both verbs (masculine or feminine plural), and probably a third in the gap in line 11 , is unfortunately lost. ẹợ \(\underset{\text { is }}{ }\) is badly damaged on the surface of the papyrus, but plausible. Before that \(\delta \varepsilon\) is clear, preceded by approximately \(4-5\) letters whose traces are indistinct. Presumably the subject of the three (?) verbs stood in this small gap. \(\delta \varepsilon\) might be the particle, or part of a noun such as \(c \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \delta \varepsilon c\), 'columns of writing', or coví \(\delta \varepsilon c\), 'tablets'. For the worm-holes in livers which were a feature in divination see Fur-ley-Gysembergh I 40-52 with commentary (especially notes on 40, 47, 48-50). One might also think of worm-holes in papyrus or wood \(\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \circ\) in kleromancy.
 in the previous line.

 \(\theta v^{\prime} \mu \alpha c t\) in 16: 'it is necessary to imprecate the gods with great imprecations which signify the absence of death and of any other great evil'. The idea would be that given the misfortune described in lines \(10-12\), it is necessary to take efficacious counter-measures (no doubt through prayer and sacrifice). An alternative to \(\tau 0 i ̂ c\) might be \(\tau\) cív, 'with some [rites] signifying .... Anything like ôcouc or oíctıcıv can be ruled out as then we would expect cпu \(\alpha\) íveı (3rd person sg.) with the neuter \(\theta\) v́ \(\mu \alpha c 1\).
\(12 \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\prime} v^{\prime} i^{\prime} \alpha v\) is clear. After that \(\theta \alpha v \alpha \tau[\), possibly in the genitive ('absence of death'). Between the two words some unidentifiable traces which do not match e.g. \(\tau 0 \hat{v}\) very well. Gysembergh, on inspecting the papyrus, thought \(\tau 0 \cup ̣ ̂\) a possible reading. Or каí?

At line end [cп-] suggests itself with \(\mu \alpha\) ivovc!v in the next line, but Hurst rejected that, saying there were traces at the end of line 12 which could not be \(c \eta\)-. But on the photograph which we have, and in the casing, the line end is lost. In the internet a composite photograph shows a fragment abutting the main fragment here which does indeed offer traces of a line ending for 12 . But the margin of this does not line up with the margin elsewhere and we suspect that this small fragment must belong elsewhere. Nevertheless,
 which might fit), it is dative plural neuter of the participle, with [ \(\tau 0 i c\) ].
[ \(\dot{0}] \mu \hat{\omega} c:\) The right half of mu in second (or third?) position is clear. The supplement must be considered speculative.
\(14 \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \lambda\) оv к \(\alpha \kappa о \hat{v}\), 'of a great evil', is clear, in the genitive, nothing else.
 are rife, the reading is probable. But what is the construction before the infinitive iкعтعv́عv? Possibly \(\chi \rho \eta\) in line 11. There seems to be some correspondence between the 'large evil' and the 'large offering' to appease the gods. \(\theta \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha\) is an alternative word for \(\theta v c i ́ \alpha\); it can mean specifically 'victim', 'sacrificial offering', but not necessarily here. After \(\theta v\) the writing is very rubbed. At the end of the sentence (if such it is) one would expect \(\theta \dot{v} \mu \alpha c \imath v\), which the scribe is otherwise careful to observe (e.g. in 10). Perhaps the following kappa put him in two minds, whether to write nu or gamma, and he left it out.

16 кعív \(\omega v\) [ \(\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} \kappa\)-]: Probably a new sentence begins here, marked by the paragraphus left. 'If they hear' (sc. the entreaties of the previous sentence). кعívตv, if correctly read, is an Ionic form, Attic prose preferring \(\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \varepsilon i v \omega v\). Perhaps this is a sign of the influence of Ionic prose here, as in Hippocratic writings.
17 o.oıvoc̣: Probably the definitive article ó followed by either a noun or adjective (коıóc, \(\delta \varepsilon ı v o ́ c ?\) ) on -owoc or \(-\varepsilon ı v o c\). Whatever came after seems to have run on to the next line with a termination \(-\rho \mathrm{o}[\mathrm{c}]\). A convincing supplement eludes us. It would seem that if this sentence truly begins with a genitive absolute (with gods the subject), one might expect what follows to indicate something like 'the fear is less'. Perhaps - \(\rho \mathrm{o}[\).\(] at the\) beginning of 18 is the ending of a comparative.

19 خ̀ \(\chi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha[v]\), 'or hail', or \(\dot{\eta} \chi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha\) nom. The case ending is unknown, but accusative seems more likely. Real hail or symbolic hail of some sort? Costanza loc. cit. 43-44 discusses the question with reference to a notice in Hesychius that \(\chi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta \alpha\) was also 'a sign in sacrifice’ ( \(с \eta \mu \varepsilon i ̂ o v ~ \theta v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v)\).
 after gap; just a dot remaining of iota. Nevertheless one may guess the sense: the gods will (under some circumstances) 'pity' humans more (or less!).
col. iii (= fr. 6)



1 cùv \(\theta\) vcía nos: cuvoucía AH \(4 \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i c ~ \grave{̣ ̂}\) VG 7-8 toîc coîc VG

This column follows on from fr. 1, col. ii. But this does not help much with establishing the drift. Line 1 is top of the column.
1 c̣[̀̀]v Ơvíá: Initial sigma (or omikron, see below) is a trace from col. iii abutting col. ii (= our frag. 1). Nu after the gap is clear. The following letter was read by AH as omikron (cuvovcí \(\alpha\) ) but inspection of the papyrus (VG) shows traces of a crossbar, making theta much


Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 6 (C) Bibliothèque de Genève) more likely; hence \(\theta v c i ́ \alpha ̣\) with cúv before: 'with sacri-

2 ]. \(\kappa \alpha<\) : Either . \(\kappa \alpha<\) ì or an adjective ending -к \(\alpha\).
 Since this fragment follows on from fragment 1 the subject might be the traveller here and things (or reasons why) he does not fear.
5 ...[.] \(\quad\) ! \(\mu\) oc: There are adjectives with such terminations, e.g. \(\varepsilon v ̋ \subset \eta \mu o c\), but there are of course also proper nouns (e.g. Philodemos!). The first trace would suit delta, so a guess would be \(\delta\) ióc \(\eta \mu o c\), eminent, distinguished. The construction might be \(\tau\) ò \(\delta \iota \alpha ́ c \eta \mu о с \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ c \theta \alpha\) l.
7-9 Not on photograph. These letter traces are still attached to column ii (= fragment 1). See photograph on p. 2.

\section*{7 .[: Probably sigma.}

8 çoic \(\theta \rho\) é \(\mu \mu \alpha c\) : : The first letter has been partially obscured. Sigma seems most likely, with the beginning of a paragraphus underneath. If so, probably \(\tau 0 i ̂ c\) at the end of the previous line. \(\quad \theta \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \mu \alpha c\) : Probably just 'animals', as opposed to humans. The ending of \(\theta \rho \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha c ı\) is anything but clear; our supplement depends on the previous word which seems in any event to be a dative plural.
9 ov..: Perhaps ov̉ \(\delta \varepsilon\) or or oui \(\tau\).
 and believed we might here have a common point with palmomantic texts (divination by jerks or jumps of muscles in the body). But the reading we give is certain, nothing to do with \(\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \lambda \lambda_{\mathrm{o}} \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}\). \(\pi \rho\) orc with the dative usually means 'in addition to', but it can mean something like 'next to'.
\(\tau \alpha i \bar{c}\) probably marks line end: sigma is the twentieth letter.

\section*{fragment 2}

\section*{fragment 2}
. \(0 \tau\)... \([\)
\(\dot{\varepsilon}\)-]
 \(\dot{\varepsilon} \subset \tau\) í \(\alpha c\) к \(\alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \alpha \lambda[\dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \theta \rho о v\) \(\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o ̀ v ~ к \alpha i ̀ . .[~[\) ur





 скє \(\psi \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v \circ с \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~[\tau \grave{\alpha} с ~ \chi \varepsilon i ̂-~\)



 ....[......]oo.[ ...]..[ ....]....[

7 jam AH in comm.
8 крı \(\theta \grave{\alpha} с\) VG 8-9 غ̌ \(\lambda \alpha \imath\) AH \(\quad 10 \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda\) окоvрí \(\alpha\) G. Bastianini ap. AH in comm., vex rete 11-12 غ̇ \(\pi \imath с \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha ́ \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o c ~ i a m ~ A H ~ 14 ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda i ̀ ~ A H ~\) in comm.


Bibliothèque de Genève, P . Gen. inv. 161, fragment 2
(C) Bibliothèque de Genève)

Translation \({ }^{10}\)
'... on vine branches on the hearth and pure albiflorum and ... [if] you have anything else as substrate, kindle [the fire] scattering the leaves of oak and laurel and wheat and barley, and, having poured on olive oil, place the burned offering on top and observe the Heart of this and its Hands, whether they are [? ?], with salt lay on [? ?] if these are clear or black ...,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{10}\) Elements of the translation are, of course, as tentative as the readings on which it is based.
}
 branches（ \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ́\) with the accusative）．The adjective \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota v o c\) is found applied to wine itself，leaves and the wooden stems of vines（LSJ）．There is also \(\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda\) ıкóc with the same meaning，also a possibility here．Instead of \([\dot{\varepsilon}-] \pi{ }^{i}\) i we also considered the reading \(\gamma \eta\)（e．g．\(\left.\gamma \hat{\eta}\right)\) at the beginning of line 2 ，but small things tell against that，in particular that there is no trace of a cross－bar between the two visible descenders which eta would need．The split of \(\dot{\varepsilon}-\pi i\) over the line is irritating to us，but corresponds to ancient practice for prose blocksatz．
\(2-3[\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ / \tau \eta \bar{\eta} c] \dot{\varepsilon} c \tau i \alpha \alpha c\) ，＇round the hearth＇．Clearly there is to be a fire and the elaborate preparations described here apply to a fire which is to be lit．Note \(\dot{\varepsilon} c \tau^{\prime} \alpha\) and not \(\beta \omega \mu\) óc：this seems to be a private hearth rather than a public altar．The vine branches are to be laid upon（ \(\varepsilon\) 覑）the hearth and then something placed on them．
\(3 \mu \alpha \lambda[\dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \theta \rho o v\) ，leaf of Cinnamomum Tamala or Albiflorum，Peripl．M．Rubr．56，65，Dsc．1．12，Gal．12．66， Plin．HN 12．129；malobathrum Hor．Od．2．7．8．This is a guess based on a search for neuter words of appro－ priate length meaning some kind of plant to parallel the vine branches mentioned before．But only the first three letters exist and alternatives cannot be ruled out．
 admixture of anything else（LSJ s．v．2）．We take it that whatever substance is named in line 3 beginning with \(\mu \alpha \lambda\)［ is to be placed pure around the hearth．Hurst read \(\kappa \alpha \theta \mathrm{o} \delta \mathrm{ov}\) ，inexplicably．The rho is quite clear．
 before theta of \(\dot{\cup} \pi \mathrm{o} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \underline{\tau} \underline{\varphi}\) is likely rather than iota．\(\delta \underline{\varepsilon} \delta \underline{[ }\) might be articulated \(\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta[\) or e．g．\(\delta \varepsilon \delta[\) o as a form of \(\delta^{\prime} \delta \omega \mu\) ，But the traces are tantalizing and a plausible reading eludes us．If correct，\(\dot{v} \pi \mathrm{\sigma} \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \nu\)（from \(\dot{v} \pi O ́ \theta \varepsilon \tau \sigma\) c）seems to fit the context of laying a＇substrate＇of leaves and twigs for the fire．\(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \imath \theta \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu\) or \(\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \theta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \eta \nu\) would also be possible．
6 ह́ \(\chi \eta \square\) ：It seems reasonably certain that this is the correct word division，hence that this is an address to a person in the second person rather than a third person description．The second person address suits the type of text we think this is，a prescription for private offerings．The ending here is subjunctive，indicating perhaps an غ̇ớv lost somewhere above（＇if you have＇）．纹 \(\lambda \lambda \eta v\) ：Unfortunately what the＇you＇might have
 \(s g\) ．）and the sense behind it may be＇or if you have different material（ư \(\lambda \eta\) ？）as a substrate＇．The practitioner might not have the substances first mentioned in the prescription，and might fall back on others which he has．We find such fall－back alternatives in magical prescriptions，e．g．PGM V．370－446 olive can be used instead of laurel in a magical spell．\({ }^{11}\)
\(\delta \alpha \hat{\imath} \underline{\text { ：}}\) ：The traces suggest this word in context，but it cannot be considered certain．If correct，this is the point in the prescription at which the fire is lit．The next two lines mention a number of things which are probably to be placed on the burning flames．
\(\chi \notin \alpha ̣ c\), ＇scattering＇，a common meaning of \(\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega\) ，see LSJ s．v．II．An instance in the Iliad is with leaves，
 of \(\chi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha c\) is here aorist as in \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \chi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha c\) in 9 of the olive oil．Probably the sense is＇kindle the fire by scattering leaves ．．．．That is，they are the kindling．There is space after \(\chi \varepsilon\) 白 \(\alpha c\) for one，possibly two，letters at line end： \(\tau \alpha \dot{p}\) perhaps，with the \(\varphi v ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha\) of the next line（VG）．
 These are all inflammable when dry and make good kindling．［ \(\delta \rho]\) yòc，＇of oak＇．Although the reading springs to mind，there might be an alternative tree／plant with genitive in－voc and long enough to require 1－2 letters in line 6 ．We have not，however，found one．The choice of oak and laurel might point in the direction of Apollo，although oak was said to be sacred to Hera by Apollodorus of Athens（ \(\Sigma\) Apollon．Rh．I．1124）．
 Harrison，Prolegomena to the Study of Greek Religion，Cambridge 1908，86；H．von Fritze，OY AAI，Hermes 1897，235－250）．Also called \(\pi \rho \circ \chi\) v́ \(\alpha \alpha c\) in Eur．El．804．They are normally thought to have been scattered over the sacrificial animal＇s head before it was killed．Here they seem to be scattered over the flames of the fire，
\({ }^{11}\) Thanks to Ljuba Bortolani（Heidelberg）for this and other references to the magical papyri．
where they would no doubt have crackled and popped as they burned. Eustath. ad Il. i. 449 \#132, 23 says cici
 \(\beta \omega \mu\) ôc \(\pi \rho\) ò \(\tau \bar{\eta} c\) i i \(\rho o v \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha c\). That seems to fit the context here well, except that wheat is also mentioned, not only barley. Since it seems that the author is here prescribing an act of pyromancy, the sight and sound of the grain burning may have contributed to the effects which the rite was intended to produce; cf. Theocr. \(2.24 \chi \omega \bar{c}\) \(\alpha u ̈ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \varepsilon i ̂ ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \kappa \alpha \pi \pi v \rho i ́ c \alpha c \alpha\), 'as this (sc. laurel) crackles loudly when it is burnt .... Generally, Simaitha's rite in Theocr. 2.18-28 bears some similarities to the rite described here: grains of barley are heated in fire, then sprinkled, to the utterance 'I sprinkle the bones of Delphis'. This is followed by burning of laurel and bran.
 flames. It is no doubt intended to make the fire burn fiercely.
\(9 \dot{\varepsilon} \pi[\iota \tau i \in \varepsilon \varepsilon\) : Although only the first two letters \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi\) - survive, some verb is required here meaning 'lay on' if our reconstruction generally can stand. This is the point at which the offering is placed on the flames and the practitioner is to observe how it behaves in the fire (pyromancy).
\(10 \tau o ̀ \mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) окт \(\varepsilon \rho \mathrm{c} c[\mu \alpha\) : Although the word is not to be found in any dictionary, the reading is nearly certain. We take it to mean 'sacrificial offering' (sc. to be burnt). It joins a large number of words beginning \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}-\) meaning 'to-be', e.g. \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) ó \(\alpha \mu \beta \rho о с\), 'brother-in-law-to-be', \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) óvv \(\mu \varphi о с\), 'bride-to-be', \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) ó \(о с\) ос, 'hus-band-to-be'. The second element -кєєрıс \(\alpha\) is documented in the plural form клєрíc \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\), Soph. OC 1410, El. 434, 931, Eur. Supp. 309, Tr. 1249, Hel. 1391, equivalent to \(\kappa \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \alpha\), which are funeral gifts burned with the dead (LSJ). кєєр' \(\zeta \omega\) is mainly an epic verb (but cf. Soph. Ant. 204) with the sense 'bury', whereby the form of burial implied is the cremation which is lavishly described in the case of Patroklos. We think this justifies the sense for \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) oкт́́pıc \(\mu \alpha\) as 'that which will be burned as an offering'. That the word is singular here is guaranteed by the article \(\tau\) ó before it. If this offering has a heart ( \(11 \kappa \alpha \rho \delta \dot{̣}[\alpha v\) ) whose behaviour in the fire is to be observed, the offering must, it would seem, be a living creature. A cadaver of an animal is to be laid in the fire and burned, it would seem. In the magical papyri models of animals and people are made and sometimes burned (e.g. PGM IV.296-466, two clay figures, one male, one female; \(P G M\) IV.2359-2372, wax figurine of Hermes): that is conceivable here, that the \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) ок \(\tau \varepsilon \rho 1 c \mu \alpha\) is an artificial offering, with a model heart. On the whole the rest of the legible text of this papyrus does not point in the direction of magic, but rather private religion.
 As pointed out in the previous note this means that we are either dealing with a real animal whose heart has been excised prior to this fire ritual, or a model of some sort with a model heart. Normally one hears in such rituals of how the bladder, or the tail, of the animal reacts in the flames (by bursting, e.g. Eur. Phoin. 1255, or curling up respectively) but here the author is clear it is the heart and its 'hands' which are to be examined. Magical rites ( \(\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon c)\) commonly thematize the heart of the model being manipulated to achieve the magician's ends so we have here a similarity to magic; e.g. PGM III.127; III.424-466 heart of a hoopoe; IV.3086-3124 heart of a cat.
\(11-12[\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{l}]\) скє \(\psi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v \circ\) : The participle of the simple verb is certain here, we add following AH \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \mathrm{r}-\) to fill the space at the end of 11 but it is not necessary for the sense. The verb tells us that the practitioner should examine the behaviour of the heart and its Hands in the fire to see if they exhibit a certain quality - which quality is lost at the end of line 13.
\(12-13\) к \(\alpha i ̀[\tau \grave{\alpha} c \chi \varepsilon i ̂] \rho \alpha c \alpha v \jmath \tau \eta ̂ c\), 'and its hands'. At the beginning of line 13 rho (- \(\rho \alpha c\) ) is more likely than iota \((-1 \alpha c)\) and is confirmed by inspection (VG). The 'hands' of certain organs are named in the extispicy texts which we studied: I 76 ('let there be Hands on it [the Table] and, being of good colour, let them stretch up to the Head'), I 121 (Hands of the liver should be a good colour); Hephaistion in his astrological work describing the parts of the liver talks about a 'heart' of the liver which has 'ears' and 'hands' (p. 255 Pingree). In Reading the Liver (comm. ad I.76, 121) we argued that these 'hands' are most likely to refer to visible blood vessels of the liver; here, then, by analogy, the 'Hands' should also be the blood vessels leading to and from the heart (which are large and conspicuous!).

13 cil cicly [, 'whether they are -'. This reading suggests itself although the letters are badly preserved. We would have here a short indirect question following \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota c \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon v o c, ~ ' e x a m i n i n g ~ w h e t h e r ~ . . . . ~ W h a t ~ a p p e a r-~\) ance should be watched for is lost in the gap. In 14 and 15 , however, the author says that one should add salt (sc. to the flames) 'if they (fem. pl. so presumably these 'Hands') are pure (in colour) or black'. This still does not allow us to supplement line 13 with any confidence. Conceivably we could also have here eicív in an absolute sense: 'examine the Hands if there are (any)'. Then we could add an object to \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \tau i \theta \varepsilon ı\) at the end of 13 , 'put on [? ?] with salt'. This, however, seems less good than to take cí cicıv [ ] as part of an indirect question after \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota c \kappa \varepsilon \psi \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon\) ос.
\(14 \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \tau i \theta \varepsilon^{\prime} \imath\) ', 'add with salt'. The dative is surprising as the construction can hardly take in the end of 13 as well ('add something with salt'), since a word is required to complete the previous clause ('whether they are -'; see previous note). One wonders whether the author has made a mistake here, writing \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{i}\) for \(\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha\), or perhaps \(\ddot{\alpha} \lambda_{\mathrm{rc}}\) ('ample', suggested by A. Vergados). In the same line he has made another mistake which he corrects by inserting iota at the end of \(\varepsilon \pi \imath \tau \imath \varepsilon \varepsilon\). He seems to have been a little distracted during this line. But we are reluctant to emend. For salt in sacral ritual cf. Menander Phasma 31; Eustath. ad Il. i. 449 \#132, 23, quoted above on line 7. No doubt the salt is intended here to give the flames a lively and interesting colour. Cf. now Bernard Moinier, Olivier Weller, Le sel dans l'Antiquité, ou les cristaux d'Aphrodite, Paris 2015.
\(15 \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \alpha i ̀ \eta\rangle \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha 1[v \alpha 1\) : The reading is almost certain. But we are not sure about the construction. 'Pure' and 'black' might seem to us like opposites, particularly when applied to flames ('clean' or 'sooty'), but the sentence seems to be saying 'add salt if [they] are either clean or sooty', as if both qualities demanded the same treatment. But perhaps that is right: in both cases salt would change the appearance to colourful.
\(16 . v \chi \alpha c\) : The traces before upsilon seem, on inspection, to be compatible with \(\varepsilon / \theta / c\) so perhaps we have
 rite: the hearth has been prepared, the fire is lit with the offering on it, now is the time for prayers. There is something which looks like a very short accent (acutus?) over the sigma.
\[
\text { fragment } 4(1 . \text { col } .)=\text { col. iv }
\]
fr. \(4=\) col. \(i v\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Ev̇ठท́ \(\mu \mathrm{ọ}\) [v} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline [ vac. ] & \\
\hline [ vac. ] & \\
\hline ]..とı \(\alpha\) ט̉兀[ & 5 \\
\hline ] v к \([\) & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{غ̇лі́ктпсьv.[} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline  & 10 \\
\hline \(\tau \eta \nu^{*}\) ov̉סغ \(\theta[\varepsilon-\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 This is highly likely to be the name Eudemos, although AH thought the first letter was theta, in which case one might think of [Evi] \(\theta v \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{o}[v\). But there are no visible ink traces before the epsilon (or theta), so the following text is likely to be an excerpt from the work On Favourable Omens by Eudemos. Eudemos is (probably) the author of one section of the Moscow extispicy papyrus (Furley-Gysembergh I 71-123 Пعрi' 'Eนлорı \(\omega\) v, On Mercantile Endeavours), in which the author describes the signs of the liver relating to business endeavours. The Amherst extispicy papy-
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 \(\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha\) с \(\eta \mu \varepsilon \hat{i} \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon[1] \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon i v\), 'on condition that the accompanying signs are auspicious'), which gives an indication of the verb's use. The verb is widely used by prose authors meaning 'obtain favourable signs through sacrifice' (LSJ): e.g. Xenophon Kyr. 6.4.12, An. 5.4.22, Hdt. 7.113. Suda (and other ancient lexica) defines as follows: "E \({ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \nu \varepsilon c \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \tau \varepsilon ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon i ̂ v ~ v o \mu i ́ \zeta o v c ı v, ~ o ̈ \tau \alpha \nu ~ \delta \alpha i ́ \mu o v i ́ ~ \tau ı v ı ~ \theta v ́ c \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon c ~ \alpha i c i ́ \omega v ~\)
 course of sacrifice to a god they obtain propitious signs in the liver of the victim.' LSJ distinguish a sense 'obtain good omens' from 'give favourable omens' (of the offering). The formulation of Eudemos' title suits the first sense better, with an implied human agent 'obtaining favourable omens' from sacrifice. The work will probably have given instructions for the due execution of sacrifice and prayer in order to obtain favourable omens. The work will presumably also have described and enumerated the signs from sacrifice and extispicy which were considered favourable, in the manner of the liver extispicy papyri (Furley-Gysembergh). Since this appears to be a heading whilst fr. 3 gives the end (ко \(\lambda о \varphi \omega v\) ) we have reversed the order of these fragments, whilst retaining their numbers. We do not know which (if any) of the remaining fragments belong between 4 and 3, i.e. come from Eudemos' work. A Eudemos is mentioned by Philodemos Pragmateia (P.Herc. 1418) 18 Obbink; for others see our note in Reading the Liver p. 48. Also intriguing is Eudemus of Cyprus, a familiaris of Aristotle who, in the eponymous dialogue, is connected with oneiromancy (Cicero, De div. I 25, \(53=\) fr. 1 Ross \(=\) fr. 56 Gigon).
2 We supplement the line on the basis of fr. 3 col. ii which contains this formula. It implies that the present text contains excerpts from works, among them On Favourable Omens by Eudemos. There seems to be no writing for approximately the next two lines, indicating that in 1-2 we do indeed have a title.
\(6] \vee \kappa \alpha[\) : Perhaps divided like this but elsewhere the scribe does not assimilate nu before a guttural, so \(] \nu \kappa \alpha[\) (e.g. \(\dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta)\) is quite possible.
7-8 These lines at least contain positive things ('Renown', 'Growth') which seems to accord with the subject of this excerpt: favourable omens.
10 vọ̣ọ. \(\delta\) ovк[: Perhaps vó \(\mu\) ov \(\delta\) ' ov̉к but not enough survives to construe the sentence.
11 Perhaps ṭòv \(\varepsilon\) そ́ \(\chi o v[\tau] \alpha\), but the first three letters need not constitute a word by themselves (one might also consider e.g. \(\lambda o ́-\gamma \bigcirc \vee\) है \(\chi \circ \vee[\tau] \alpha)\).
12 There is a high dot after \(\tau \eta v\), but ov̉ \(\delta \grave{\varepsilon}\), which follows, is an unusual beginning for a Greek sentence. End of column.
\[
\text { fragment } 3=\text { col. i, ii, iii }
\]
col. \(i\)
].......
\(\delta]!\alpha \beta \oplus \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} c\)
]..
col. ii
\[
[\theta \varepsilon-
\]
\(\alpha c \alpha ́ \alpha \varepsilon\) vov \(\tau 0\) îc \(\alpha\) ט̉兀oîc>
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi\) ò K \(\alpha \lambda \lambda 1 \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon\) îv \(\theta\) عoîc к \(\alpha\) ì
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ii \(2 \alpha c \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v\), surely the end of a participle such as \(\theta \varepsilon \alpha c \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v o v\), but many other things possible.
There is a small forked sign like an inverted \(\delta i \pi \lambda \bar{\eta}(>)\) at line end after sigma. This seems to mark the end of a section. \({ }^{12}\) The next line is surely an end-title (кодорळ́v) 'from Favourable Divine Signs and -'. It is a little difficult to see how the title might have continued after кגí. Perhaps îpoct? The full title might
 section which ends with frag. 3 .
col. iii
Nothing can be read, only traces.
fragment \(5=\) col. iii
frag. \(5=\) col. iii
[......]. \(\omega v \delta[\)
].v[.]ep \(\omega v\) סı \(\varepsilon \pi\).[
\(] \omega \vee \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \hat{\varphi} \delta\).[


5
]. \(\dot{\alpha}[\dot{q}] \theta \dot{\lambda}\) orcı \(\chi\) рреıov[



(minimal traces of three more lines)
2 ].v[.] \(\varepsilon \rho \hat{\omega} v:\) If nu is right, we might have vocpôv here, a philosophical term for 'intellectual' or 'spiritual'. Or غ̇v \(\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega v\), with initial epsilon plausible. After that perhaps a form of \(\delta_{1}\) к \(\pi \omega\), 'manage', which is found in prose e.g. Arist. Mu. 399a18, Plut. Lyc. 3. Lines 2-4 might, with the exception of \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \alpha v\), be hexametric, as Hurst pointed out.
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(C) Bibliothèque de Genève)
 correct we have the surprisingly rare word \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon 1 \alpha\), 'completeness': A.D. Synt. 186.15. The future infinitive écec \(\theta \alpha 1\), on the other hand, is clear. We seem to have some kind of acc. with infinitive construction.
 the next two lines (see note). There is a high stop after the name. The trace before eta of Hcioסoc is at least compatible with, but hardly indicative of, nu.
6 As AH already recognized, this is a verse quotation, as both words are epic forms. Now we can see that it claims to be a quote from Hesiod, otherwise unknown. The fragment of epic which follows is, then, a new, or perhaps spurious, fragment of Hesiod. \(\dot{\varepsilon} \subset \theta \lambda\) [ in 5 is the epic adjective \(\dot{\varepsilon} c \theta \lambda\) óc in some case. It is the first word of the quote, as there is punctuation before it. AH suggests that -té \(\lambda \varepsilon 1 \alpha v\) ëc \(\varepsilon \subset \theta \alpha \iota\) (4) would also fit an hexameter, but it looks unlikely that this line belongs to the quote, given the positioning of 'as Hesiod says'. The quotation seems to be finished by line 7 , where the diction is prosaic again. The quote might contain a thought such as 'the Good are not worse through trials', e.g. \(\varepsilon\) èc \(\theta \lambda\) oì \(\delta\) ' oủ \(\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \sigma\) îc \(\mathfrak{\alpha}\) ह́ \(\theta \lambda\) oicı \(\chi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon\) íovéc eiciv, whereby A. Vergados points out that \(-\theta \lambda\) - universally make position in Hesiod, creating a metrical problem. This might be an indication that the 'quote' is late and spurious, but much is conjectural anyway in the e.g. reconstruction. Vergados suggests a sense such as 'it is through (hard) contests that good men

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{12}\) C. Römer told us by email that she thought the sign was merely a line filler. That is possible, but it seems to us a strong indication that the sign is in fact placed at the end of a section.
}
prove themselves superior to the \(\chi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon\) íovec', but does not suggest a Greek verse. Xenophon (Mem. 1.2.20) has an anonymous epic quote ( \(\mu \alpha \rho \tau \nu \rho \varepsilon \imath ̂ ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi o \not \eta \tau \hat{\omega} v\) ó \(\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v\) ) which says that the good may lose their excellence if they mix with bad people:
 со \(\mu \mu i ́ c \gamma \eta!c, ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi\) о \(\lambda \varepsilon i ̂ c ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ đ o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ́ o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ v o ́ o v, ~\)
\(\chi \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon 1 o v[\) could be almost any case except nom. sing. If the quote is a generalized piece of popular philosophy the forms are likely to be in the generalizing plural ('good men' ... 'lesser men').
\(8 \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau v \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́[v \alpha ı:\) As Costanza recognized (loc. cit. 45) we have a form here of the verb \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \pi \tau v ́ c c \omega\), seemingly future perfect (perhaps fem. with the ending \(-\alpha_{1}\) in the same line): \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \tau \gamma \mu \varepsilon \in\left[v \alpha_{1} \varepsilon ้ c o\right] v \tau \alpha ı\), 'they will have been folded back'. For Costanza this was a word from extispicy, describing an appearance of the liver with a lobe folded over (cf. Furley-Gysembergh II 16 ह̇ \(\pi \iota \pi \tau v \chi \grave{\eta} \mu \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha}[\gamma \varepsilon]\) you \(\varepsilon\) v \(\eta\) with note, a small fold sc. in the lobos of the liver). It strengthened his argument that the whole papyrus was concerned with divination through inspection of the liver. Here a reading in the technical sense does not square so easily with the following word \(\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau\), 'complaints'. One might rather suspect a sense such as 'the letters ( \(\delta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \tau \tau 0\), \(c \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \delta \varepsilon c ?)\) will be sealed up containing complaints'. In Ps.-Luc. Demosth. enc. 61.3 we find \(\varepsilon ่ \pi \imath \pi \tau v \xi \alpha c \tau o ̀\) \(\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon i o v\), 'having closed the written paper'. It cannot, however, be categorically ruled out that here we have a sign from extispicy. If \(\notin c o v \tau \alpha \iota\) is correctly restored, we might have a left edge in the epsilon. Otherwise in the preceding lines it is difficult to make out a left edge.
\(9 \dot{\varepsilon} v \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau\)..[: This cannot be \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha c ı\) (pl.) but it might conceivably be \(\dot{\varepsilon} v \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota\). More likely the writer has not assimilated the nu to the guttural, and we have a plural form of \(\varepsilon\) ' \(\gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha\), 'formal complaint' or 'accusation'. After \(\varepsilon v \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau\). the bottom of a long descender is visible, perhaps phi of \(\varphi \eta c i ́\).
This fragment gives the best sample of the scribe's hand, but unfortunately continuous sense is not to be had. In particular, the Hesiodic quote cannot be definitely restored.
fragment \(7=\) coll. \(1,2,3\)
col. \(i\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]. } \\
& \text { three lines empty } \\
& \text { ]द̣coûv } \frac{\alpha}{\square} \text { ! } \\
& \text { ].v..ovcụ } \\
& \text { ].ce }
\end{aligned}
\]
three lines empty

> ]. \(\mathrm{\pi} 1\)
> ] \(\alpha\) [
> ].
> ] \(\varepsilon\)
> ]. \(\alpha\)
> ] yc!
> ] \(\delta\)
> ].vo
> ] \(ฺ . \alpha\)
vacat
].[.].. 1
] yc . .
] \(\omega \pi\).

]ép̣̂̀ \(\tau\)
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```

]. $\mathrm{rìv}$
].[.]vov
]çc.c. $\varepsilon$
]е̣стє
] $\omega$.
$] \varepsilon \beta \eta$

```
col. ii
\(\mu .[.] \tau . . .[].\).
\(\lambda_{\mathrm{o}}[\mathrm{l}] \pi \alpha \mathrm{\alpha}[\mathrm{i} ..] . \mathrm{o}[\mathrm{].[ }\)

\(\pi \eta \ldots . . .\).\(] غ́vòc \delta \underline{\varepsilon}\).
घv̉x![...]... [].[
социєтро[..]...саүочто.[



\(c \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \varepsilon v \ldots \ldots . .\).
10
ठєкоvo...बc.........[]...v

..o т \(\rho о \pi \alpha і\)...[ ]......
ca... \(\alpha . c o[\) ].[ ]..oc \(\pi\)
.......cк[ ] \(\propto . \theta\). \(\tau .\).

\(\ldots . .[..] \varepsilon v \alpha[ \pm 3-4]\) ọ̣ \(ب \varepsilon ย \alpha\)
\(\kappa \alpha[\) ]..o.v
र[]...[ ] ].[ ]..[.]ọ
]...[ \(] \ldots 20\)
]. \(\alpha[.\).\(] .[ ]....[\)
\(\chi\).[ ].[ ]...[
\(\pi![\)
] \(\pi\) [
тоv..[
т.[].] ].[ 25
\(\mu\). .
о́иоі́шс.[

далı как.[
о́иошсс кк[
30
\(\dot{\alpha}[] \mu[.] \delta \rho[] \varepsilon \chi o .[\)
.[ ].[ ].ס.[

\(\alpha . \eta \tau . \alpha 1 \lambda . \tau]\)
(end of column)

col. iii
\(\theta\) عov̂ тo.[
...[ ]....[
спиаíveı \(\delta[i ́]\) odoc[
\(\nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota\) غ̀vт \(\rho о \pi\).[..].[
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\rho o v \alpha \pi[\) & 5 \\
\(\mu . . \alpha .[] .\). & \\
(three lines empty) & \\
.\(\alpha v \alpha[\) & 10 \\
\(..] \alpha i o[\) & \\
.\(] \mu \pi[\) & \\
]. \([\) &
\end{tabular}

It is a great shame the text of column ii is so rubbed, as where glimmers of sense still shine through, the content seems to be of high theological interest. In lines \(7-8\) the talk seems to be of the god's name or perhaps epiklesis which is said to be \(\sigma v \mu \mu \varepsilon \tau \rho o[\), 'fitting', 'matching'. This may have been an interesting remark on divine names somehow reflecting the powers they are attached to. At any rate, the individual words which can be made out point clearly to theological or cultic context. The names of gods were of particular interest to Apollodorus of Athens in his \(\Pi \varepsilon \rho i ~ \Theta \varepsilon \widehat{\omega} v\), but the aetiological bent of Apollodorus is not visible here. In col. iii line 3 we get another instance of cquaíver apparently with סíoסoc as subject.
 agreeing with \(\varepsilon v \chi \chi \dot{\eta}\) in the previous line. All very tentative.
\(7 \grave{\varepsilon}[\pi] \omega \dot{\omega} v \nu \mu[o v\), not Hurst's \(\dot{o} \mu \dot{\omega} v v \mu o v\), as the first position is either epsilon or theta ( \(\theta \varepsilon \dot{\rho} v v \mu o v ?\) ) but the sense is unfortunately lost.
\(11 \delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha v \alpha\) : This could be articulated in various ways, but a form of \(\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha v o\), 'decans' ( 36 gods of the zodiac), does not look possible, as alpha suits the traces after nu best. Otherwise one might articulate \(\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha\) \(v \alpha\) or \(\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha v \alpha\).
 the gap is a little too long unless zeta is drawn very widely.
18-19 o.vx: One could connect up the traces here to make a form of ővv \(\xi,-\chi \circ c\).
\(28 \kappa \alpha \theta_{0} \lambda_{1} \kappa \underset{\alpha}{\alpha}\) [ seems to be from к \(\alpha \theta_{\text {o }} \lambda_{\text {וкóc, }}\) general.
28-29-30 It is slightly odd that all of these lines have paragraphus. Elsewhere it is our opinion that the scribe uses paragraphus to mark syntactical breaks (new sentences).
\(28-29[\mu \dot{\varepsilon}-] \lambda \alpha \nu \imath\), dative singular of \(\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha c\), is one possible articulation. No case of the name [ \(E \lambda] \lambda \lambda \nu \iota \kappa o ́ c\) e.g. fits the traces.
\(31 \dot{\alpha}[] \mu[.] \underset{\rho}{\rho}[] \varepsilon \chi \circ\).[: There is a hole in the papyrus between initial alpha and mu, perhaps large enough to cover a letter. After the rho there is another hole, barely large enough to cover a missing letter. It is hard to see how the letters combine. One might try \(\delta \rho \varepsilon \chi \circ-\) as a misspelling of \(\tau \rho \varepsilon \chi 0-\), particularly since other tenses have delta ( \(\varepsilon \delta \rho \alpha \mu o v\) ). If so perhaps \(\alpha \hat{i} \mu \alpha\) or \(\ddot{\alpha}^{\rho} \rho \mu \alpha\) before that. A form of \(\alpha \mu \nu \delta \rho o ́ c, ~ ' d i m ’, ~ ‘ f a i n t ', ~ ' o b s c u r e ', ~\) at line beginning, however tempting, seems ruled out.
\(33 c \omega[\theta] \underline{\dagger} c \varepsilon ฺ \subset \theta \alpha[1\) : Future infinitive passive of \(c \varphi \varrho \zeta \omega\), if correct. The match of traces is quite good.

\section*{fragment 8}

Small fragment with the top of a column.
```

]@v\tauov [
].[

```


Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 8 (C Bibliothèque de Genève)


Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 9 (© Bibliothèque de Genève)
\[
\text { fragment } 9 \text { (= } 1 . \text { ined. })
\]
col.i

(gap of approx. 9 lines)
]....... 0

\(4 \varepsilon ı \alpha\) : Letter before this perhaps a delta (e.g. \(\alpha v ̋ \theta \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \alpha\) ?).
 subscript or not. Since the copyist usually does not write iota subscript, the former reading is probably the more likely: 'in its own order'. \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi_{1 c}\) does not necessarily indicate a military context. On the other hand the next column is dealing with runaway slaves, or possibly deserters from battle formation.
\(\kappa \varepsilon\). Last letter not iota, so not e.g. кєt- \(\mu \varepsilon v-\).
col. ii
].[
]....
]..ع! © [ ].....[
```

$\alpha v \tau o v ̂ ~ \varepsilon i ̣ ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega ́ c ॄ[\tau \alpha \iota ~ o ̉] ~ \delta \rho \rho \alpha-$

```





```

].............[
-----
-----

```

```

j $\alpha \varepsilon$.... [
$\left.\delta_{\rho}\right] \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \circ ง$ op
... $\left.{ }^{\circ} \alpha \tau \alpha \tau 0 \cup \eta \tau\right]$

```

```

ко....ย $ย \alpha \lambda[$
סpaлદ́tov коı кọ[
..............[
]..... [
]. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \subset \mu[-$
] $\tau \omega \delta ¢ ฺ[$
]...ко.[

```
\(6 \delta\) เ́́коу \(\tau \alpha\) VG
col. ii
3-9 If our reconstruction can stand, a most interesting section of text in which divination is discussed in the case of runaway slaves or deserters ( \(\delta \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \eta c\) ). It seems that the writer recommends sacrifice ( \(\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \theta v ́ \varepsilon ı v 6\) ) to see whether the runaway slave will be recaptured ( \(\varepsilon!\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} c \in \in[\tau \alpha l] 4\) ), and then again when one is pursuing the runaway slave to see if the omens 'agree' ( \(\varepsilon i\) divei 8 ) presumably with the first sacrifice. The reading of \(8-9\) is certain, containing as it does the explicit statement that one should 'pay attention to the difference in significance' (sc. [we think] of the two sacrifices). This is not the only occasion on which we hear of divination repeated to confirm an earlier result. In the Moscow extispicy text (Furley-Gysembergh I 102ff.) it is clear that the merchant should divine before and after his business venture to see whether the omens agree (see our notes there). Julia Lugovaya (Heidelberg) reminds us of a passage in Lukian's Alexander the False Prophet, 24, in which mention is made of the oracle's alleged ability to help find runaway slaves: "H \(\delta \eta\)


 envoys abroad with the intention of spreading the word among the foreign peoples about the oracle, that it had predicted and located runaway slaves, uncovered thieves and robbers, enabled [people] to dig up [buried] treasures, healed the sick and even in some cases restored those already dead to life.' She also refers to reports that unpublished oracles from Dodona have queries about runaway slaves, cf. e.g. Esther Eidinow, Oracles, Curses, and Risk Among the Ancient Greeks, Oxford 2007, 101, or Stephen Hodkinson/Dick Geary (eds.), Slaves and Religions in Graeco-Roman Antiquity and Modern Brazil, Cambridge 2012, 263.
 conducive to this guess, cf. introductory note on Lukian. If it is correct, there is, strictly, hysteron proteron, as the deserter must be found ( \(\varepsilon \dot{v} \rho \varepsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \subset \varepsilon \tau \alpha \imath\) ) before he can be captured ( \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} с \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ), but perhaps this is not fatal to the reconstruction.
\(6 \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \theta\) v́ \(\varepsilon ı v:\) We think the construction goes with an indirect question beforehand, 'sacrifice [sc. to see] whether he will be taken'.
\(\delta!̣ \oplus \nsubseteq \nless v \tau \alpha\) : Gysembergh's decipherment is plausible: 'and when one is pursuing' or 'in pursuit of'.
7 عỉ dị̂ci, 'whether it agrees'. Unfortunately the letters here are quite indistinct and the reading should be considered tentative, although it is difficult to see what else it could be.
\(7-8 \delta_{\imath}[\alpha] \delta_{0}\) кєị̣
 we considered \(\delta 1 \alpha \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \varepsilon i v\) from \(\delta 1 \alpha \delta \varepsilon ́ p \kappa о \mu \alpha ı\), 'see one thing through another', but the verb is poetic.
\(9-10\) As stated, the decipherment is clear. cпиعícic is one of the long, technical-sounding words beloved by 'scientific' writers. An abstract noun formed from \(\subset \eta \mu \varepsilon\) וó \(\omega\) clearly cognate with \(\subset \eta \mu \alpha i v \omega\). A work by Philodemos was entitled Пє \(\dot{\text { c }}\) с \(\mu \varepsilon \iota \omega ́ с \varepsilon \omega v\), in which the word featured largely. One may translate this sentence 'And one should sacrifice when pursuing a runaway slave and discern (by comparison) whether (the signs) agree and pay attention to any difference in the signs obtained'. It seems that the diviner should pay minute attention to the difference between the omens he obtains now and those previously (before beginning his pursuit of the slave?). One might compare the underlying thought with that of the mariner who, not so long ago, took a compass bearing on a certain point, then another to check the first (it has all changed with GPS).

\[
\text { fragment } 10 \text { (= fr. ined. 2) }
\]

Small, damaged fragment of approximately four lines. A cobweb of papyrus fibres at the edges.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma 0] \text { you } \xi \mathfrak{i}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ].[...toîc סov́[- }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1-4 \text { leg. VG adiuv. WF }
\end{aligned}
\]


Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 10 (© Bibliothèque de Genève)
1 There does not seem to be more ink after the iota of \(\gamma v]\) yous \(\xi\) i, so probably line end.
2 [ \(\bar{\varepsilon}] \lambda \varepsilon \cup \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon_{\rho} \alpha_{1}[c:\) The end - \(\alpha\) ctc is damaged but iota has traces.
4 Counting twenty letters to the line, a supplement \([\lambda \mathrm{otc}]\) at line beginning would leave space for \(1-2\) letters before a probable \(\delta\) ov́ \(\lambda_{\text {ouc ( }}\) (or \(\delta\) oú \(\lambda_{01 c}\) ) following that. These letters ...oov \(\lambda_{0} . .[\) [ are on a piece of papyrus which seems to belong to line four according to horizontal fibres of papyrus. It itself is considerably lower than line 4 as mounted in the frame, so there is some doubt whether the line can be connected up in this manner. \(\quad\) cuev could, of course, be articulated differently, e.g. \(\pi![\pi v] \sigma \mu \varepsilon v[-\).
fragment 11 (= fr. ined. \(3=\) P. Gen. inv. 485)


Bibliothèque de Genève, \(P\). Gen. inv. 161, fragment 11 (© Bibliothèque de Genève)
The Genevan librarians \({ }^{13}\) are of the opinion that this fragment belongs to our roll on the strength of the hand.
col. \(i\)


If the supplement of line 2 is correct, this fragment may bear on the question of 'difficult terrain' which is also the subject in frag. 1.
\(2 \chi \hat{\omega} \rho o c:\) The Genevan librarians read these letters as \(K \omega \iota \circ\), 'of Cos', believing that a name preceded this. But the chi is securely read, and the putative iota may easily be rho, so \(\chi \omega \rho o c\) is the easier reading.
 tences are found.
```

col. ii

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \(\chi \omega-]\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{pìc.[} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\pi \varepsilon\) ¢ \(\delta ¢ \alpha\).[} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\alpha v \mu\) èv.[} \\
\hline \(\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \eta\).[ & 5 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{غ̇v \(\tau\) ¢̣̣1......]} \\
\hline (five more & with only single letters legible) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{13}\) See above n. 3 .
}
\(2 \tau 0 \pi[\) or \(\tau\) ò \(\pi[\) : If \(\tau\) ó \(\pi\)-, the word supports \(\chi \hat{\omega} \rho\) oc in the previous column. The writer is discussing (probably difficult) terrain.
\(3 \pi \varepsilon 1\) : Perhaps the end of скó \(\pi \varepsilon 1\), 'consider'.
\(5 \beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \eta\).: Eta most likely after kappa, which is not without difficulties. Only the older forms of the pluperfect are formed with eta, and subjunctive forms e.g. \(\beta \varepsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta\) are more commonly formed with the participle: \(\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \grave{c} c \hat{\grave{1}}\). Might the scribe have miswritten infinitive \(\beta \varepsilon \beta \eta \kappa \eta v \alpha \iota\) ?
```

                    fragment 12(= fr. ined. 4)
    ]..
]\varepsilon\
]colı.[
]...[\alpha`\pió-
\lambda\omegav\tau\alphaı \deltaov̂\lambda[ol......
5
\eta.....v\tau.[..]..[ \pio-]
vov̂cıv \pió\delta\varepsilonc \kappa\alpha\tau\alpha.[ ].
..]. к\omega\lambdaúovcı \pi\varepsilon\lambda\tau[
..].[.]ç к\alphaì \pi\varepsilon\rhol[..]c..[
\tauрv́o\imath \varepsiloṅv\pió\delta!ç̣[\alpha
10
..]к⿺.о\lambda....[
.....]0o.....[
4-5 [\dot{\alpha}\pió]\lambda\omegav\tau\alphaı vel [\check{]}]\lambda\omegav\tau\alpha\imath VG 10 VG post \tau\rhov́ol\varepsilonv \pio\delta\alphac WF

```

There is nothing in this fragment to indicate cultic or divinatory content. The talk seems to be about (not?) losing servants (4-5), feet aching (twice 6-7,10) and possibly a reference to shields or fighters with shields ( \(8 \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tau[\) ). If anything the lines seem to be discussing problems of soldiers in the field (sore feet, shields etc.). It is hard to see how sacrifice or divination might fit in here, although e.g. Xenophon and Onosander (see Furley-Gysembergh app. B) show how important divination was for the ancient military commander.


Bibliothèque de Genève, P . Gen. inv. 161, fragment 12 (© Bibliothèque de Genève)
\(4-5\) ' \(\left.\pi \sigma^{\prime}\right] \lambda \omega \nu \tau \alpha_{1} \delta o \hat{\imath} \lambda[\) ot: The construction might be final ('so that slaves do not die') or temporal/conditional

\(6-7[\pi \mathrm{o}]\) vov̂cıv, 'suffer'. A guess from context (10) but there are many verbs ending -vovcıv. But 'feet' have to be a plausible subject.
\(8 \pi \varepsilon \lambda \tau\left[\right.\) : A \(\pi \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \eta\) is a (small) Thracian shield, carried by a \(\pi \varepsilon \lambda \tau \alpha c \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}\).
 'impediment, hindrance'. If correct, it seems the nu is not assimilated, as in fr. \(5.8 \dot{\varepsilon} v \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau-\). The sense 'the impediment distresses' seems compatible with line 7 . One might guess that the author is discussing some complaints of soldiering.
\(12 \theta \mathrm{o}\) : Not such a common letter combination: perhaps \(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha]\) Oóv?
fragment 13 (= fr. ined. 5)

```

$\mu$ ب̀̀v عủ $\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \frac{1}{[ }[c$

```



```

ب̣عv то́ $\chi \alpha \lambda$.[
..c. $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \mu \mu \mathrm{y}[$
к $\alpha \underset{~}{\mathrm{j}}[\ldots . . ..] \mu[$
].
5
10

```

Although little can be read here, the context, we would guess, is sacrifice made in connection with formal celebrations (4-5 סохо́c, \(\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̇ o \rho \tau[\hat{\mathrm{v}}])\).
\(2[..] \xi_{\varepsilon v o v ̂ v} \theta \varepsilon o \hat{̣}\left[c:\right.\) We seem to have a reference here to \(\theta \varepsilon o \xi_{\varepsilon v i ́ \alpha}\), the entertaining of gods or heroes with a sacred meal. What came before \(\xi \varepsilon v o v ̂ v ~ i s ~ d i f f i c u l t ~ t o ~ s a y: ~ \varepsilon v ̂ ? ~ o r ~ p e r h a p s ~ a ~ c o m p o s i t e ~ \varepsilon v ่ ~ \xi \varepsilon v o v ̂ v ? ~(n o t ~\) attested). There is paragraphus beneath previous line, so perhaps this is the beginning of a section.
3 عv̉ \(\mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon \frac{1}{[c}\) : See previous note.


Bibliothèque de Genève, P. Gen. inv. 161, fragment 13 (© Bibliothèque de Genève)

4 סoұóc: When we first deciphered this word we were strongly tempted to think we had here one of the key words in extispicy, \(\delta o \chi \eta\), referring to a mark or indentation on the liver, which plays an important role in the Moscow extispicy text (Furley-Gysembergh I 81). But here the word is clearly accusative plural, which is difficult to accommodate if the sign in extispicy is meant. Moreover in the next line we hear of 'festivals' ( \(5 \dot{\varepsilon} \circ \rho \tau[\hat{\omega} v\) ) so it seems more likely that \(\delta o \chi \alpha ́ c h e r e ~ m e a n s ~ ' r e c e p t i o n s ' ~ f o r ~ o n e ' s ~ o w n ~ f a m i l y ~(4 ~ \tau o ̣ ̂ c ~ c o i ̂ c) . ~\)
\(\theta \varepsilon \lambda .:\) Probably a second person singular form of the verb going with coîc.
\(6 \pi\) ọ.ọ \(\mu . .:\) Perhaps \(\pi\) o七ov̂ \(\mu \varepsilon v\).
\(7 \mu \varepsilon v\) : Not necessarily \(\mu \varepsilon ́ v\), possibly end of \(-o \mu \varepsilon v,-\omega \mu \varepsilon v\) etc.
\(8 \theta\) 白 \(\lambda \omega \mu \varepsilon v\), , [if] we want'. Inspection shows first letter not tau (so not \(\tau \varepsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v\) vel sim.).

\section*{fragment 14 (= fr. ined. 6)}

Small, damaged fragment of approximately eight lines. Nothing readable, only traces and a couple of individual letters.

To sum up: the fragments of P. Gen. 161 seem to come from a bookroll on the general subject of sacrifice and divination. The work seems to have consisted of excerpts from technical treatises on these subjects. We
 preceded by \(\dot{\alpha} \pi\) ó, indicating that the text above is an excerpt. If this was the format, it is exactly comparable to the Moscow extispicy papyrus (Furley-Gysembergh I) which contains a series of excerpts from technical treatises on reading omens from the liver of a sacrificed animal. Such a work appears to have presented a compendium of knowledge on a particular subject, excerpts from technical monographs. For that reason it is perhaps less surprising that the now legible sections of P. Gen. 161 touch on a variety of subjects and contexts. Fragment 1 appears to deal with divination of an unspecified type; fragment 2 gives a detailed recipe for a pyromantic ritual; 3 and 4 are the end and beginning respectively of a section of Eudemos' On Favourable Omens; fragment 5 contains a new fragment, perhaps spurious, of Hesiod; fragments 9 (= fr. ined. 1) and 11 (= fr. ined. 4) relate to sacrifice and divination in the context of runaway slaves or deserters. This last seems to confirm the practice of comparing the results of one sacrifice with another, a kind of empirical testing of evidence. But apart from the interest and novelty of some of the fragments the text contains new or little-known words of interest to lexicographers: first, the very interesting word \(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \lambda\) октє́ \(\operatorname{c} с \mu \alpha\), which we take to mean 'that which will be consigned to the fire', or 'burned offering'; and \(\pi \hat{\omega} \rho o c\) which may be an old word for 'misery' or 'bane'. The register of language in which these technical treatises were written is educated and (pseudo-)scientific. This is evidenced by such high-faluting words as \(\subset \eta \mu \varepsilon i ́ \omega c i c\) for 'signification', abstract nouns such as \(\varepsilon v ̉ \delta o \xi i ́ \alpha\) and \(\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ́ \kappa \tau \eta с ו c\) in fragment 4 . We should imagine a scenario in which technical knowledge was passed from seer to seer through such works as these, to be offered to clients wanting advice on such undertakings as journeys, recovering runaway slaves (fr. 9), celebrations in the family (fr. 12) and no doubt public and military life (fr. 11) generally. If the papyrus dates to the second century AD , the works excerpted must be earlier, probably Hellenistic treatises, the great age of scientific and pseudo-scientific knowledge.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ed.pr. André Hurst, Le papyrus de Genève inv. 161 (Bibliothèque publique et universitaire), Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Firenze 1998, Istituto Papirologico «G. Vitelli», Firenze 2001, 669-679.
    ${ }^{2}$ http://www.ville-ge.ch/musinfo/imageZoom/?iip=bgeiip/papyrus/pgen161-1ri.ptif.
    ${ }^{3}$ Permission to publish these fragments was kindly given by P. Schubert. All references to 'the Genevan librarians' in this article may be understood to refer to Paul Schubert assisted by Christelle Fischer, Sarah Gaffino and Isabelle Jornot (online credits).
    ${ }^{4}$ Confirmed now again by P. Schubert.
    $5^{5}$ As these appear to represent respectively the end and beginning of a section from one Eudemos' work on K $\alpha \lambda \lambda 1 \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon i ̂$, Favourable Signs.

