



Coloring graphs with no induced subdivision of K_4^+

Louis Esperet, Nicolas Trotignon

► To cite this version:

Louis Esperet, Nicolas Trotignon. Coloring graphs with no induced subdivision of K_4^+ . 2021. hal-03092640

HAL Id: hal-03092640

<https://hal.science/hal-03092640v1>

Preprint submitted on 2 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COLORING GRAPHS WITH NO INDUCED SUBDIVISION OF K_4^+

LOUIS ESPERET AND NICOLAS TROTIGNON

ABSTRACT. Let K_4^+ be the 5-vertex graph obtained from K_4 , the complete graph on four vertices, by subdividing one edge precisely once (i.e. by replacing one edge by a path on three vertices). We prove that if the chromatic number of some graph G is much larger than its clique number, then G contains a subdivision of K_4^+ as an induced subgraph.

Given a graph H , a *subdivision* of H is a graph obtained from H by replacing some edges of H (possibly none) by paths. We say that a graph G contains an *induced subdivision* of H if G contains a subdivision of H as an induced subgraph.

A class of graphs \mathcal{F} is said to be χ -*bounded* if there is a function f such that for any graph $G \in \mathcal{F}$, $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$, where $\chi(G)$ and $\omega(G)$ stand for the chromatic number and the clique number of G , respectively.

Scott [7] conjectured that for any graph H , the class of graphs without induced subdivisions of H is χ -bounded, and proved it when H is a tree. But Scott's conjecture was disproved in [6]. Finding which graphs H satisfy the assumption of Scott's conjecture remains a fascinating question. It was proved in [1] that every graph H obtained from the complete graph K_4 by subdividing at least 4 of the 6 edges once (in such a way that the non-subdivided edges, if any, are non-incident), is a counterexample to Scott's conjecture. On the other hand, Scott proved that the class of graphs with no induced subdivision of K_4 has bounded chromatic number (see [5]). Le [4] proved that every graph in this class has chromatic number at most 24. If triangles are also excluded, Chudnovsky et al. [2] proved that the chromatic number is at most 3.

In this paper, we extend the list of graphs known to satisfy Scott's conjecture. Let K_4^+ be the 5-vertex graph obtained from K_4 by subdividing one edge precisely once.

Theorem 1. *The family of graphs with no induced subdivision of K_4^+ is χ -bounded.*

We will need the following result of Kühn and Osthus [3].

Theorem 2 ([3]). *For any graph H and every integer s there is an integer $d = d(H, s)$ such that every graph of average degree at least d contains the complete bipartite graph $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph, or an induced subdivision of H .*

Proof of Theorem 1. Let k be an integer, let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the function defined in Theorem 2, and let $R(s, t)$ be the Ramsey number of (s, t) , i.e. the smallest n such that every graph on n vertices has a stable set of size s or a clique of size t .

The authors are partially supported by ANR Project STINT (ANR-13-BS02-0007), and LabEx PERSYVAL-Lab (ANR-11-LABX-0025).

We will prove that every graph G with no induced subdivision of K_4^+ , and with clique number at most k , is d -colorable, with $d = \max(k, d(K_4^+, R(4, k)))$. The proof proceeds by induction on the number of vertices of G (the result being trivial if G has at most k vertices). Observe that all induced subgraphs of G have clique number at most k and do not contain any induced subdivision of K_4^+ . Therefore, by the induction, we can assume that all induced subgraphs of G are d -colorable. In particular, we can assume that G is connected.

Assume first that G does not contain $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph, where $s = R(4, k)$. Then by Theorem 2, G has average degree less than d , and hence contains a vertex of degree at most $d - 1$. By the induction, $G - v$ has a d -coloring and this coloring can be extended to a d -coloring of G , as desired.

We can thus assume that G contains $K_{s,s}$ as a subgraph. Since G has clique number at most k , it follows from the definition of $R(4, k)$ that G contains $K_{4,4}$ as an induced subgraph. Let M be a set of vertices of G inducing a complete multipartite graph with at least two partite sets containing at least 4 vertices. Assume that among all such sets of vertices of G , M is chosen with maximum cardinality. Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t be the partite sets of M .

Let v be a vertex of G , and S be a set of vertices not containing v . The vertex v is *complete* to S if v is adjacent to all the vertices of S , *anticomplete* to S if v is not adjacent to any of the vertices of S , and *mixed* to S otherwise. Let R be the vertices of G not in M . We can assume that R is non-empty, since otherwise G is clearly k -colorable and $k \leq d$. We claim that:

If a vertex v of R has at least two neighbors in some set V_i , then it is not mixed to any set V_j with $j \neq i$. (1)

Assume for the sake of contradiction that v has two neighbors a, b in V_i and a neighbor c and a non-neighbor d in V_j , with $j \neq i$. Then v, a, b, c, d induce a copy of K_4^+ , a contradiction. This proves (1).

Each vertex v of R has at most one neighbor in each set V_i . (2)

Assume for the sake of contradiction that some vertex $v \in R$ has two neighbors a, b in some set V_i . Then by (1), v is complete or anticomplete to each set V_j with $j \neq i$. Let \mathcal{A} be the family of sets V_j to which v is anticomplete, and let \mathcal{C} be the family of sets V_j to which v is complete. If \mathcal{A} contains at least two elements, i.e. if v is anticomplete to two sets V_j and $V_{j'}$, then by taking $u \in V_j$ and $u' \in V_{j'}$, we observe that v, a, b, u, u' induces a copy of K_4^+ , a contradiction. It follows that \mathcal{A} contains at most one element.

Next, we prove that v is complete to V_i . Assume instead that v is mixed to V_i . If v is complete to some set V_ℓ containing at least two vertices, then we obtain a contradiction with (1). It follows that all the elements of \mathcal{C} are singleton. By the definition of M , this implies that \mathcal{A} contains exactly one set V_j , which has size at least 4. Let c be a non-neighbor of v in V_i , and let d, d' be two vertices in V_j . Then v, a, b, c, d, d' is an induced subdivision of K_4^+ , a contradiction. We proved that v is complete to V_i . Hence, every set

V_j is either in \mathcal{A} or in \mathcal{C} . Since \mathcal{A} contains at most one element, the graph induced by $M \cup \{v\}$ is a complete multipartite graph, with at least two partite sets containing at least 4 elements. This contradicts the maximality of M , and concludes the proof of (2).

Each connected component of $G - M$ has at most one neighbor in each set V_i . (3)

Assume for the sake of contradiction that some connected component of $G - M$ has at least two neighbors in some set V_i . Then there is a path P whose endpoints u, v are in V_i , and whose internal vertices are in R . Choose P, u, v, V_i such that P contains the least number of edges. Note that by (2), P contains at least 3 edges. Observe also that by the minimality of P , the only edges in G between V_i and the internal vertices of P are the first and last edge of P . Let V_j be a partite set of M with at least 4 elements, with $j \neq i$ (this set exists, by the definition of M). By (2) and the minimality of P , at most two vertices of V_j are adjacent to some internal vertex of P . Since V_j contains at least four vertices, there exist $a, b \in V_j$ that are not adjacent to any internal vertex of P . If V_i has at least three elements then it contains a vertex w distinct from u, v . As w is not adjacent to any vertex of P , the vertices w, a, b together with P induce a subdivision of K_4^+ , a contradiction. If V_i has at most two elements, then there must be an integer ℓ distinct from i and j such that V_ℓ has at least four elements. In particular, V_ℓ contains a vertex c that is not adjacent to any internal vertex of P . As a consequence, the vertices a, c together with P induce a subdivision of K_4^+ , which is again a contradiction. This proves (3).

Recall that we can assume that R is non-empty. An immediate consequence of (3) is that the neighborhood of each connected component of R is a clique. Since G is connected, it follows that it contains a *clique cutset* K (a clique whose deletion disconnects the graph). Let C be a connected component of $G - K$, let $G_1 = G - C$, and let G_2 be the subgraph of G induced by $C \cup K$. It follows from the induction that there exist d -colorings of G_1 and G_2 . Furthermore, since K is a clique, we can assume that the colorings coincide on K . This implies that G is d -colorable and concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

We remark that we could have used $K_{3,3}$ instead of $K_{4,4}$ in the proof, at the expense of a slightly more detailed analysis. The resulting bound on the chromatic number would have been $\max(k, d(K_4^+, R(3, k)))$ instead of $\max(k, d(K_4^+, R(4, k)))$.

Acknowledgement. The main result of this paper was proved in January 2016 during a meeting of the ANR project STINT at Saint Bonnet de Champsaur, France. We thank the organizers and participants for the friendly atmosphere. We also thank Alex Scott for spotting a couple of typos in a previous version of the draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chalopin, L. Esperet, Z. Li, and P. Ossona de Mendez, *Restricted frame graphs and a conjecture of Scott*, Electron. J. Combin. **23(1)** (2016), #P1.30.
- [2] M. Chudnovsky, C.-H. Liu, O. Schaudt, S. Spirkl, N. Trotignon, and K. Vušković, *Triangle-free graphs that do not contain an induced subdivision of K_4 are 3-colorable*, J. Graph Theory, 2018. To appear.

- [3] D. Kühn and D. Osthus, *Induced subdivisions in $K_{s,s}$ -free graphs of large average degree*, *Combinatorica* **24(2)** (2004), 287–304.
- [4] N.-K. Le, *Chromatic number of ISK_4 -free graphs*, *Graphs Combin.*, **33(6)** (2017), 1635–1646.
- [5] B. Lévêque, N. Trotignon, and F. Maffray, *On graphs with no induced subdivision of K_4* , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **102** (2012), 924–947.
- [6] A. Pawlik, J. Kozik, T. Krawczyk, M. Lasoń, P. Micek, W.T. Trotter, and B. Walczak, *Triangle-free intersection graphs of line segments with large chromatic number*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **105(6)** (2014), 6–10.
- [7] A. Scott, *Induced trees in graphs of large chromatic number*, *J. Graph Theory* **24** (1997), 297–311.

LABORATOIRE G-SCOP (CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ GRENoble-ALPES), GRENoble, FRANCE
E-mail address: louis.esperet@grenoble-inp.fr

LIP (CNRS, ENS DE LYON), LYON, FRANCE
E-mail address: nicolas.trotignon@ens-lyon.fr