



A compactness result for an equation with regular weight and boundary singularity on the annulus.

Samy Skander Bahoura

► To cite this version:

Samy Skander Bahoura. A compactness result for an equation with regular weight and boundary singularity on the annulus.. 2021. hal-03092369

HAL Id: hal-03092369

<https://hal.science/hal-03092369>

Preprint submitted on 2 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A COMPACTNESS RESULT FOR AN EQUATION WITH REGULAR WEIGHT AND BOUNDARY SINGULARITY ON THE ANNULUS.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give a blow-up behavior for the solutions of an elliptic equation under some conditions. We also derive a compactness criterion for this equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60, 35B44, 35B45, 35B50

Keywords: blow-up, boundary, elliptic equation, a priori estimate, Lipschitz condition, regular weight, boundary singularity, annulus.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let us consider the following operator:

$$L_\epsilon := \Delta + \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(x) < (x - x_0) | \nabla u_i >$$

We consider the following equation:

$$(P_\epsilon) \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(x) < x - x_0 | \nabla u > = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, we assume that:

We denote by $C(1)$ and $C(1/2)$ the unit circle and the circle of radius $1/2$ respectively.

$\Omega = A(0, 1/2, 1)$ is an annulus of center 0 and radii $1/2, 1$, $x_0 \in C(1/2)$

and,

$$0 < \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \in (0, +\infty), \quad u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^u \in L^1(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq V \leq b.$$

We assume that:

Ω is an annulus of exterior circle the unit circle and interior circle the circle of radius $1/2$.

$\epsilon \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of the unit circle $C(1)$ and $\epsilon \equiv -1$ in a neighborhood of the circle of radius $1/2$.

Important Remark: To give an example of a blow-up sequence of the previous type on the boundary. We use the counter-exemple of Brezis and Merle, this counterexample works if we replace the unit ball centered at $y_0 = (1, 0)$ by the annulus centered at $y_0 = (1, 0)$. After we use an inversion to have the blow-up point on the interior circle of the annulus. (do not forget to add the term $\epsilon \cdot \epsilon(x) < x - x_0 | \nabla u_i >$ in the equation).

Here we present the result of Brezis-Merle in the regular case.

When $\epsilon = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, the previous equation was studied by many authors with or without the boundary condition, also for Riemann surfaces see [1-20] where one can find some existence and compactness results. Also we have a nice formulation in the sense of the distributions of this Problem in [7].

Among other results, we can see in [6] the following important Theorem,

Theorem A (Brezis-Merle [6]) *If $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions relative to the problem (P_0) with $\epsilon = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, and,*

$$0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$$

then it holds, for all compact K of Ω :

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on a, b, K and Ω .

We can find in [6] an interior estimate if we assume $a = 0$ but we need an assumption on the integral of e^{u_i} , namely:

Theorem B (Brezis-Merle [6]). *For $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ two sequences of functions relative to the problem (P_0) ($\epsilon = \beta = 0$) with,*

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

then it holds, for all compact K of Ω :

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on b, C, K and Ω .

The condition $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C$ is a necessary condition in the Problem (P_{ϵ}) as showed by the following counterexample for $\epsilon = 0$:

Theorem C (Brezis-Merle [6]). *There are two sequences $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ of the problem (P_0) ($\epsilon = \beta = 0$) with;*

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

such that,

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

To obtain the two first previous results (Theorems A and B) Brezis and Merle used an inequality (Theorem 1 of [6]) obtained by an approximation argument and they used Fatou's lemma and applied the maximum principle in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ which arises from Kato's inequality. Also this weak form of the maximum principle is used to prove the local uniform boundedness result by comparing a certain function and the Newtonian potential. We refer to [5] for a topic about the weak form of the maximum principle.

Note that for the problem (P_0) ($\epsilon = \beta = 0$), by using the Pohozaev identity, we can prove that $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i}$ is uniformly bounded when $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$ and $\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq A$ and Ω starshaped, when $a = 0$ and $\nabla \log V_i$ is uniformly bounded, we can bound uniformly $\int_{\Omega} V_i e^{u_i}$. In [17] Ma-Wei have proved that those results stay true for all open sets not necessarily starshaped in the case $a > 0$.

In [8] Chen-Li have proved that if $a = 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i}$ is uniformly bounded and $\nabla \log V_i$ is uniformly bounded then $(u_i)_i$ is bounded near the boundary and we have directly the compactness result for the problem (P_0) . Ma-Wei in [17] extend this result in the case where $a > 0$.

When $\epsilon = \beta = 0$ and if we assume V more regular we can have another type of estimates called sup + inf type inequalities. It was proved by Shafrir see [19] that, if $(u_i)_i, (V_i)_i$ are two sequences of

functions solutions of the Problem (P_0) without assumption on the boundary and $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$ then it holds:

$$C\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \sup_K u_i + \inf_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(a, b, K, \Omega).$$

We can see in [9] an explicit value of $C\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$. In his proof, Shafrir has used the blow-up function, the Stokes formula and an isoperimetric inequality see [2]. For Chen-Lin, they have used the blow-up analysis combined with some geometric type inequality for the integral curvature see [9].

Now, if we suppose $(V_i)_i$ uniformly Lipschitzian with A its Lipschitz constant then $C(a/b) = 1$ and $c = c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)$ see Brezis-Li-Shafrir [4]. This result was extended for Hölderian sequences $(V_i)_i$ by Chen-Lin see [9]. Also have in [15], an extension of the Brezis-Li-Shafrir result to compact Riemannian surfaces without boundary. One can see in [16] explicit form, $(8\pi m, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ exactly), for the numbers in front of the Dirac masses when the solutions blow-up. Here the notion of isolated blow-up point is used. Also one can see in [10] refined estimates near the isolated blow-up points and the bubbling behavior of the blow-up sequences.

Here we give the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary and a proof of a compactness result with Lipschitz condition. Note that our problem is an extension of the Brezis-Merle Problem.

The Brezis-Merle Problem (see [6]) is:

Problem. Suppose that $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq V_i$. Also, we consider a sequence of solutions (u_i) of (P_0) relative to (V_i) such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dx \leq C,$$

is it possible to have:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq C = C(b, C, V, \Omega)?$$

Here we give blow-up analysis on the boundary when V (similar to the prescribed curvature when $\epsilon = 0$) are nonnegative and bounded, and on the other hand, if we add the assumption that these functions (similar to the prescribed crvature) are uniformly Lipschitzian, we have a compactness of the solutions of the problem (P_ϵ) for ϵ small enough. (In particular we can take a sequence of ϵ_i tending to 0):

For the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary, the following condition is sufficient,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b,$$

The condition $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is not necessary. But for the compactness of the solutions we add the following condition:

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Our main results are:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\max_{\Omega} u_i \rightarrow +\infty$, where (u_i) are solutions of the probleme (P_{ϵ_i}) with:

$$\beta \in (0, +\infty), \quad 0 \leq V_i \leq b, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} dx \leq C,$$

then, after passing to a subsequence, there is a function u , there is a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and N points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \partial\Omega$, such that,

$$\partial_\nu u_i \rightarrow \partial_\nu u + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \alpha_1 \geq 4\pi \text{ and } \alpha_j \geq 4\pi, \quad \text{in the sense of measures on } \partial\Omega.$$

$$u_i \rightarrow u \text{ in } C_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} - \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}),$$

We have the following compactness result for the problem (P_ϵ) :

Theorem 1.2. Assume that (u_i) are solutions of (P_{ϵ_i}) relative to (V_i) with $\beta \in (0, +\infty)$ and the following conditions:

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b, \|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} \leq C.$$

Then we have:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(b, \beta, ((A)_i), x_0, C, \Omega),$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of theorem 1.1:

First remark that:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i = \epsilon_i(x_1 \partial_1 u_i + x_2 \partial_2 u_i) + |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} \in L^1(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$u_i \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

By the corollary 1 of Brezis-Merle see [6] we have $e^{u_i} \in L^k(\Omega)$ for all $k > 2$ and the elliptic estimates of Agmon and the Sobolev embedding see [1] imply that:

$$u_i \in W^{2,k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Also remark that, we have for two positive constants $C_q = C(q, \Omega)$ and $C_1 = C_1(\Omega)$ (see [7]) :

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^q} \leq C_q \|\Delta u_i\|_{L^1} \leq (C'_q + \epsilon C_1 \|\nabla u_i\|_{L^1}), \forall i \text{ and } 1 < q < 2.$$

Thus, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough and by the Holder inequality, we have the following estimate:

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^q} \leq C''_q, \forall i \text{ and } 1 < q < 2.$$

Step 1: interior estimate

First remark that, if we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w_i = \epsilon_i(x_1 \partial_1 u_i + x_2 \partial_2 u_i) \in L^q, 1 < q < 2 & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ w_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

If we consider v_i the Newtonian potential of $\epsilon_i(x_1 \partial_1 u_i + x_2 \partial_2 u_i)$, we have:

$$v_i \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}), \Delta(w_i - v_i) = 0.$$

By the maximum principle $w_i - v_i \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ and thus $w_i \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$.

Also we have by the elliptic estimates that $w_i \in W^{2,1+\epsilon} \subset L^\infty$, and we can write the equation of the Problem as:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(u_i - w_i) = \tilde{V}_i e^{u_i - w_i} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_i - w_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

with,

$$0 \leq \tilde{V}_i = V_i e^{w_i} \leq \tilde{b}, \quad \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i - w_i} \leq \tilde{C}.$$

We apply the Brezis-Merle theorem to $u_i - w_i$ to have:

$$u_i - w_i \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega),$$

and, thus:

$$u_i \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega).$$

Step2: boundary estimate

Set $\partial_\nu u_i$ the inner derivative of u_i . By the maximum principle $\partial_\nu u_i \geq 0$.

We have:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i d\sigma \leq C.$$

We have the existence of a nonnegative Radon measure μ such that,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \varphi d\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^0(\partial\Omega).$$

We take an $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that, $\mu(x_0) < 4\pi$. Set $B(x_0, \epsilon) \cap \partial\Omega := I_\epsilon$.

We choose a function η_ϵ such that,

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\epsilon \equiv 1, & \text{on } I_\epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \delta/2, \\ \eta_\epsilon \equiv 0, & \text{outside } I_{2\epsilon}, \\ 0 \leq \eta_\epsilon \leq 1, \\ \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(I_{2\epsilon})} \leq \frac{C_0(\Omega, x_0)}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

We take a $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ such that,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = \eta_\epsilon & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Remark: We use the following steps in the construction of $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$:

We take a cutoff function η_0 in $B(0, 2)$ or $B(x_0, 2)$:

1- We set $\eta_\epsilon(x) = \eta_0(|x - x_0|/\epsilon)$ in the case of the unit disk it is sufficient.

2- Or, in the general case: we use a chart $(f, \tilde{\Omega})$ with $f(0) = x_0$ and we take $\mu_\epsilon(x) = \eta_0(f(|x|/\epsilon))$ to have connected sets I_ϵ and we take $\eta_\epsilon(y) = \mu_\epsilon(f^{-1}(y))$. Because f, f^{-1} are Lipschitz, $|f(x) - x_0| \leq k_2|x| \leq 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/k_2$ and $|f(x) - x_0| \geq k_1|x| \geq 2$ for $|x| \geq 2/k_1 > 1/k_2$, the support of η is in $I_{(2/k_1)\epsilon}$.

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\epsilon \equiv 1, & \text{on } f(I_{(1/k_2)\epsilon}), \quad 0 < \epsilon < \delta/2, \\ \eta_\epsilon \equiv 0, & \text{outside } f(I_{(2/k_1)\epsilon}), \\ 0 \leq \eta_\epsilon \leq 1, \\ \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(I_{(2/k_1)\epsilon})} \leq \frac{C_0(\Omega, x_0)}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

3- Also, we can take: $\mu_\epsilon(x) = \eta_0(|x|/\epsilon)$ and $\eta_\epsilon(y) = \mu_\epsilon(f^{-1}(y))$, we extend it by 0 outside $f(B_1(0))$. We have $f(B_1(0)) = D_1(x_0)$, $f(B_\epsilon(0)) = D_\epsilon(x_0)$ and $f(B_\epsilon^+) = D_\epsilon^+(x_0)$ with f and f^{-1} smooth diffeomorphism.

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\epsilon \equiv 1, & \text{on a the connected set } J_\epsilon = f(I_\epsilon), \quad 0 < \epsilon < \delta/2, \\ \eta_\epsilon \equiv 0, & \text{outside } J'_\epsilon = f(I_{2\epsilon}), \\ 0 \leq \eta_\epsilon \leq 1, \\ \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(J'_\epsilon)} \leq \frac{C_0(\Omega, x_0)}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

And, $H_1(J'_\epsilon) \leq C_1 H_1(I_{2\epsilon}) = C_1 4\epsilon$, because f is Lipschitz. Here H_1 is the Hausdorff measure.

We solve the Dirichlet Problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = -\Delta \eta_\epsilon & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

and finally we set $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = -\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon + \eta_\epsilon$. Also, by the maximum principle and the elliptic estimates we have :

$$\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Delta \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}) \leq \frac{C_1}{\epsilon^2},$$

with C_1 depends on Ω .

As we said in the beguening, see also [3, 7, 13, 20], we have:

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^q} \leq C_q, \quad \forall i \text{ and } 1 < q < 2.$$

We deduce from the last estimate that, (u_i) converge weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, almost everywhere to a function $u \geq 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^u < +\infty$ (by Fatou lemma). Also, V_i weakly converge to a nonnegative function V in L^∞ . The function u is in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ solution of :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^u \in L^1(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

According to the corollary 1 of Brezis-Merle result, see [6], we have $e^{ku} \in L^1(\Omega)$, $k > 1$. By the elliptic estimates, we have, $u \in W^{2,k}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$.

We denote by $f \cdot g$ the inner product of any two vectors f and g of \mathbb{R}^2 .

We can write,

$$-\Delta((u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon) = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} (V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u) \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon - 2\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon + \epsilon_i (\nabla u_i \cdot (x - x_0)) \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon. \quad (1)$$

We use the interior estimate of Brezis-Merle, see [6],

Step 1: Estimate of the integral of the first term of the right hand side of (1).

We use the Green formula between $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ and u , we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^u \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \eta_\epsilon \leq C\epsilon = O(\epsilon) \quad (2)$$

We have,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_i - \epsilon_i \nabla u_i \cdot (x - x_0) = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the Green formula between u_i and $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ to have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon dx &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \eta_\epsilon d\sigma - \epsilon_i \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u_i \cdot (x - x_0)) \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \eta_\epsilon d\sigma + o(1) \rightarrow \mu(\eta_\epsilon) \leq \mu(J_\epsilon^!) \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0, \quad \epsilon_0 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we have for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is i_0 such that, for $i \geq i_0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} ||x - x_0|^{2\beta} (V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u) \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + C\epsilon \quad (4)$$

Step 2.1: Estimate of integral of the second term of the right hand side of (1).

Let $\Sigma_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) = \epsilon^3\}$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon^3} = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \epsilon^3\}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for ϵ small enough, Σ_ϵ is an hypersurface.

The measure of $\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}$ is $k_2 \epsilon^3 \leq \text{meas}(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}) = \mu_L(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}) \leq k_1 \epsilon^3$.

Remark: for the unit ball $\bar{B}(0, 1)$, our new manifold is $\bar{B}(0, 1 - \epsilon^3)$.

(Proof of this fact; let's consider $d(x, \partial\Omega) = d(x, z_0)$, $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, this imply that $(d(x, z_0))^2 \leq (d(x, z))^2$ for all $z \in \partial\Omega$ which it is equivalent to $(z - z_0) \cdot (2x - z - z_0) \leq 0$ for all $z \in \partial\Omega$, let's consider a chart around z_0 and $\gamma(t)$ a curve in $\partial\Omega$, we have;

$(\gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0) \cdot (2x - \gamma(t) - \gamma(t_0))) \leq 0$ if we divide by $(t - t_0)$ (with the sign and tend t to t_0), we have $\gamma'(t_0) \cdot (x - \gamma(t_0)) = 0$, this imply that $x = z_0 - s\nu_0$ where ν_0 is the outward normal of $\partial\Omega$ at z_0))

With this fact, we can say that $S = \{x, d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \epsilon\} = \{x = z_0 - s\nu_{z_0}, z_0 \in \partial\Omega, -\epsilon \leq s \leq \epsilon\}$. It is sufficient to work on $\partial\Omega$. Let's consider a charts $(z, D = B(z, 4\epsilon_z), \gamma_z)$ with $z \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\cup_z B(z, \epsilon_z)$ is cover of $\partial\Omega$. One can extract a finite cover $(B(z_k, \epsilon_k)), k = 1, \dots, m$, by the area formula the measure of $S \cap B(z_k, \epsilon_k)$ is less than a $k\epsilon$ (a ϵ -rectangle). For the reverse inequality, it is sufficient to consider one chart around one point of the boundary).

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx + \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx. \quad (5)$$

Step 2.1.1: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx$.

First, we know from the elliptic estimates that $\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1/\epsilon^2$, C_1 depends on Ω

We know that $(|\nabla u_i|)_i$ is bounded in L^q , $1 < q < 2$, we can extract from this sequence a subsequence which converge weakly to $h \in L^q$. But, we know that we have locally the uniform convergence to $|\nabla u|$ (by the Brezis-Merle's theorem), then, $h = |\nabla u|$ a.e. Let q' be the conjugate of q .

We have, $\forall f \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| f dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| f dx$$

If we take $f = 1_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}}$, we have:

$$\text{for } \epsilon > 0 \exists i_1 = i_1(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq i_1, \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u| + \epsilon^3.$$

Then, for $i \geq i_1(\epsilon)$,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \text{meas}(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}) \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \epsilon^3 = \epsilon^3 (k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) = O(\epsilon^3).$$

Thus, we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) = O(\epsilon) \quad (6)$$

The constant C_1 does not depend on ϵ but on Ω .

Step 2.1.2: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx$.

We know that, $\Omega_\epsilon \subset\subset \Omega$, and (because of Brezis-Merle's interior estimates) $u_i \rightarrow u$ in $C^1(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})$. We have,

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})} \leq \epsilon^3, \text{ for } i \geq i_3.$$

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx \leq \|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})} \|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} = C_1 \epsilon = O(\epsilon) \text{ for } i \geq i_3,$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we have for $i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq i'$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_i - u) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon| dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2) = O(\epsilon) \quad (7)$$

From (4) and (7), we have, for $\epsilon > 0$, there is i'' such that, $i \geq i''$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon]| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + \epsilon 2C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2 + C) = 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + O(\epsilon) \quad (8)$$

We choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough to have a good estimate of (1).

Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon] = g_{i,\epsilon} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ (u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

with $\|g_{i,\epsilon}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0/2$.

We can use Theorem 1 of [6] to conclude that there are $q \geq \tilde{q} > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{V_\epsilon(x_0)} e^{\tilde{q}|u_i - u|} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{q|u_i - u|\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon} dx \leq C(\epsilon, \Omega).$$

where, $V_\epsilon(x_0)$ is a neighborhood of x_0 in $\bar{\Omega}$. Here we have used that in a neighborhood of x_0 by the elliptic estimates, $1 - C\epsilon \leq \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \leq 1$.

Thus, for each $x_0 \in \partial\Omega - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$ there is $\epsilon_0 > 0, q_0 > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{B(x_0, \epsilon_0)} e^{q_0 u_i} dx \leq C, \quad \forall i.$$

By the elliptic estimate see [14] we have:

$$\|u_i\|_{C^{1,\theta}[B(x_0, \epsilon)]} \leq c_3 \quad \forall i.$$

We have proved that, there is a finite number of points $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m$ such that the sequence $(u_i)_i$ is locally uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\theta}(\theta > 0)$ on $\bar{\Omega} - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$.

Proof of theorem 1.2:

We have:

$$u_i \in C^{2,\epsilon}(\bar{\Omega}),$$

Thus, we can use integration by parts. The Pohozaev identity gives locally around each blow-up :

a) When x is in the neighborhood of the unit circle, the Pohozaev identity gives

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 dx \leq c_0(b, A, C, \Omega). \quad (9)$$

Thus we can use the weak convergence in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ to have a subsequence $\partial_\nu u_i$, such that:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^2(\partial\Omega),$$

Thus, $\alpha_j = 0, j = 1, \dots, N$ and (u_i) is uniformly bounded.

b) When x is in the neighborhood of x_0 or $y_0 \in C(1/2)$, and the circle of radius $1/2$, we use again the Pohozaev identity, by multiplying by $\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle$. Here we use $\nabla V_i \rightarrow 0$ (because we do not multiply by $\langle x | \nabla u_i \rangle$ but by $\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle$) and the radius ϵ of the neighborhood, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the Pohozaev identity.

Indeed, we have,

$$-\Delta u_i - \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(x) \langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle = |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V e^{u_i} \quad (10)$$

Let Ω_ϵ^1 be a neighborhood of $C(1)$ or $C(1/2)$; first, we have $\epsilon \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $C(1)$, we multiply by $\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle$ the previous equation and we integrate by parts, we obtain around the blow-up y_0 , and,

also, we consider blow-up from $C(1/2)$, in the neighborhood of $C(1/2)$, $\epsilon \equiv -1$, we multiply the equation of u_i , (10), by $\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle$ and we integrate by parts,

Thus,

$$\int -\Delta u_i (\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle) - \int \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(x) (\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle)^2 = \int |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(x) \langle x - x_0 | \nabla (e^{u_i}) \rangle$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} \Delta u_i (\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle) &= \int_{\partial^+ \Omega_\epsilon^1} \langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle \langle \nu | \nabla u_i \rangle - \frac{\langle x - x_0 | \nu \rangle}{2} |\nabla u_i|^2 + \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \langle x - x_0 | \nu \rangle (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(x) \langle x - x_0 | \nabla(e^{u_i}) \rangle = 2(1 + \beta) \int |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(x) e^{u_i} + \\
& + \int |x - x_0|^{2\beta} \langle x - x_0 | \nabla V_i \rangle e^{u_i} - \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon^1} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i(x) \langle x - x_0 | \nu \rangle e^{u_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{C(1) \cap B_\epsilon(y_0)} \frac{1}{2} (||x||^2 - \langle x_0 | x \rangle) (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 d\sigma + \int_{C(1) \cap B_\epsilon(y_0)} (||x||^2 - \langle x_0 | x \rangle) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i + \\
& + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} (\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle)^2 dx = O(\epsilon) + O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

but, on $C(1)$, $\nu = x$, and, $||x_0|| = 1/2$ and $||x|| = 1$, thus $||x||^2 - \langle x_0 | x \rangle \geq 1/2$, and thus:

$$\int_{C(1)} (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 \leq C$$

Now, we consider blow-up from $C(1/2)$, in the neighborhood of $C(1/2)$, $\epsilon \equiv -1$, we multiply the equation of u_i , (10), by $\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle$ and we integrate by parts, we obtain (here $\nu = -2x$ on $C(1/2)$):

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(1 + \beta) \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} + \int_{C(1/2) \cap B_\epsilon(y_0)} - (||x||^2 + \langle x_0 | x \rangle) (\partial_\nu u_i)^2 d\sigma + \\
& + \int_{C(1/2) \cap B_\epsilon(y_0)} -2 (||x||^2 + \langle x_0 | x \rangle) |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} (\langle x - x_0 | \nabla u_i \rangle)^2 dx = \\
& = \left| \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} \langle x - x_0 | \nabla V_i \rangle |x - x_0|^{2\beta} e^{u_i} \right| + O(\epsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

The previous left hand side is non-negative because $||x_0|| = 1/2$ and $||x|| = 1/2$ and $|\langle x_0 | x \rangle| \leq ||x_0|| \times ||x|| = ||x||^2$.

We tend $i \rightarrow +\infty$ and then $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\nabla V_i \rightarrow 0$, to obtain:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} = 0,$$

however:

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon^1} |x - x_0|^{2\beta} V_i e^{u_i} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon^1} \partial_\nu u_i d\sigma + O(\epsilon) + o(1) \rightarrow \alpha_1 > 0,$$

it is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998
- [2] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications. Pitman, 1980.
- [3] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal. 87 no 1, (1989), 149-169.
- [4] H. Brezis, YY. Li and I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [5] Brezis. H, Marcus. M, Ponce. A. C. Nonlinear elliptic equations with measures revisited. Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations, 55-109, Ann. of Math. Stud., 163, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [6] H. Brezis, F. Merle. Uniform estimates and Blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimension. Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 16 (8 and 9), 1223-1253(1991).Comm.Part.Diff. Equations. 1991.
- [7] H. Brezis, W. A. Strauss. Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L^1 . J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 565-590.
- [8] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori estimates for solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [9] C-C. Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [10] C-C.Chen, C-S. Lin. Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 55 (2002), no. 6, 728-771.
- [11] Chang, Sun-Yung A, Gursky, Matthew J, Yang, Paul C. Scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3-spheres. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), no. 2, 205-229.
- [12] D.G. De Figueiredo, P.L. Lions, R.D. Nussbaum, A priori Estimates and Existence of Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations, J. Math. Pures et Appl., vol 61, 1982, pp.41-63.
- [13] Ding.W, Jost. J, Li. J, Wang. G. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface. Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 2, 230-248.
- [14] D. Gilbarg, N. S, Trudinger. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second order, Berlin Springer-Verlag.
- [15] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the method of moving planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [16] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = V e^u$ in dimension two. Indiana. Math. J. Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [17] L. Ma, J-C. Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001) 506-514.
- [18] Nagasaki, K, Suzuki,T. Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities. Asymptotic Anal. 3 (1990), no. 2, 173-188.
- [19] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = V e^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [20] Tarantello, G. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996), no. 8, 3769-3796.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE.

Email address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr, samybahoura@gmail.com