

A Short and Simple Proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis Charaf Ech-Chatbi

▶ To cite this version:

Charaf Ech-Chatbi. A Short and Simple Proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis. 2021. hal-03091429v7

HAL Id: hal-03091429 https://hal.science/hal-03091429v7

Preprint submitted on 15 Feb 2021 (v7), last revised 5 Mar 2021 (v10)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A Short and Simple Proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis

Charaf ECH-CHATBI *

Sunday 14 February 2021

Abstract

We present a short and simple proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis (RH) where only undergraduate mathematics is needed.

Keywords: Riemann Hypothesis; Zeta function; Prime Numbers; Millennium Problems.

1 The Riemann Hypothesis

1.1 The importance of the Riemann Hypothesis

The prime number theorem gives us the average distribution of the primes. The Riemann hypothesis tells us about the deviation from the average. Formulated in Riemann's 1859 paper[1], it asserts that all the 'non-trivial' zeros of the zeta function are complex numbers with real part 1/2.

1.2 Riemann Zeta Function

For a complex number s where $\Re(s) > 1$, the Zeta function is defined as the sum of the following series:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \tag{1}$$

In his 1859 paper[1], Riemann went further and extended the zeta function $\zeta(s)$, by analytical continuation, to an absolutely convergent function in the half plane $\Re(s) > 0$, minus a simple pole at s = 1:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx \tag{2}$$

^{*}One Raffles Quay, North Tower Level 35. 048583 Singapore. Email: charaf.chatbi@gmail.com. The opinions of this article are those of the author and do not reflect in any way the views or business of his employer.

Where $\{x\} = x - [x]$ is the fractional part and [x] is the integer part of x. Riemann also obtained the analytic continuation of the zeta function to the whole complex plane.

Riemann[1] has shown that Zeta has a functional equation¹

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s) \tag{4}$$

Where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Gamma function. Using the above functional equation, Riemann has shown that the non-trivial zeros of ζ are located symmetrically with respect to the line $\Re(s)=1/2$, inside the critical strip $0<\Re(s)<1$. Riemann has conjectured that all the non trivial-zeros are located on the critical line $\Re(s)=1/2$. In 1921, Hardy & Littlewood[2,3,6] showed that there are infinitely many zeros on the critical line. In 1896, Hadamard and De la Vallée Poussin[2,3] independently proved that $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros of the form s=1+it for $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Some of the known results[2,3] of $\zeta(s)$ are as follows:

- $\zeta(s)$ has no zero for $\Re(s) > 1$.
- $\zeta(s)$ has no zero of the form $s=1+i\tau$. i.e. $\zeta(1+i\tau)\neq 0, \forall \tau$.
- $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at s=1 with residue 1.
- ζ(s) has all the trivial zeros at the negative even integers s = −2k, k ∈ N*.
- The non-trivial zeros are inside the critical strip: i.e. $0 < \Re(s) < 1$.
- If $\zeta(s) = 0$, then 1 s, \bar{s} and $1 \bar{s}$ are also zeros of ζ : i.e. $\zeta(s) = \zeta(1 s) = \zeta(\bar{s}) = \zeta(1 \bar{s}) = 0$.

Therefore, to prove the "Riemann Hypothesis" (RH), it is sufficient to prove that ζ has no zero on the right hand side $1/2 < \Re(s) < 1$ of the critical strip.

1.3 Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

Let's take a complex number s such that $s = \sigma + i\tau$. Unless we explicitly mention otherwise, let's suppose that $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\tau > 0$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$.

We have from the Riemann's integral above:

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx \tag{5}$$

We have $s \neq 1$, $s \neq 0$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$, therefore:

$$\frac{1}{s-1} = \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx \tag{6}$$

$$\zeta(1-s) = 2^{1-s}\pi^{-s}\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)\Gamma(s)\zeta(s) \tag{3}$$

 $^{^1}$ This is slightly different from the functional equation presented in Riemann's paper[1]. This is a variation that is found everywhere in the litterature[2,3,4]. Another variant using the cos:

Therefore:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma + i\tau - 1} = \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\{x\}}{x^{\sigma + i\tau + 1}} dx \tag{7}$$

And

$$\frac{\sigma - 1 - i\tau}{(\sigma - 1)^2 + \tau^2} = \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\left(\cos(\tau \ln(x)) - i\sin(\tau \ln(x))\right) \{x\}}{x^{\sigma + 1}} dx \tag{8}$$

The integral is absolutely convergent. We take the imaginary part of both sides of the above equation and define the function G as following:

$$G(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{\tau}{(\sigma - 1)^2 + \tau^2} \tag{9}$$

$$= \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left(\sin(\tau \ln(x))\right)\{x\}}{x^{\sigma+1}} dx \tag{10}$$

We also have $1 - \bar{s} = 1 - \sigma + i\tau = \sigma_1 + i\tau_1$ a zero for ζ with a real part σ_1 such that $0 < \sigma_1 = 1 - \sigma < 1$ and an imaginary part τ_1 such that $\tau_1 = \tau$. Therefore

$$G(1 - \sigma, \tau) = \frac{\tau_1}{(\sigma_1 - 1)^2 + \tau_1^2} \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{\tau}{\sigma^2 + \tau^2} \tag{12}$$

$$= \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\left(\sin(\tau \ln(x))\right)\{x\}}{x^{2-\sigma}} dx \tag{13}$$

Before we move forward, we need to calculate the following functions $I(\sigma,\tau)$ and $I(1-\sigma,\tau)$ for $\sigma>0$ and $\tau>0$:

$$I(\sigma,\tau) = \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}} dx$$
 (14)

$$= \frac{\tau}{(\sigma)^2 + \tau^2} \tag{15}$$

And

$$I(1-\sigma,\tau) = \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{2-\sigma}} dx \tag{16}$$

$$= \frac{\tau}{(1-\sigma)^2 + \tau^2} \tag{17}$$

To continue, we will need to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. Let's consider two variables σ and τ such that $\sigma > 0$ and $\tau > 0$. Let's define two integrals I_{ϵ} and I as follows:

$$I_{\epsilon}(\sigma,\tau) = \int_{1}^{+\infty} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}} \epsilon(x)$$
 (18)

$$I(\sigma,\tau) = \int_{1}^{+\infty} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}}$$
 (19)

$$\epsilon(x) = \{x\} \tag{20}$$

Therefore, $I_{\epsilon} \leq I$.

i.e $I_{\epsilon}(\sigma, \tau) \leq I(\sigma, \tau), \quad \forall \ \sigma > 0, \ \forall \ \tau > 0.$

In the context of this lemma, the variables σ and τ are arbitrary and not necessarily related to the zeros of the zeta function.

Proof. Without loss of generality, let's consider $\sigma > 0$, $\tau > 0$. The functions $x \to \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}}$ and $x \to \frac{\sin(\tau \ln(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}} \epsilon(x)$ are integrable and we can write the following:

$$I_{\epsilon} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{e^{\frac{2k\pi}{\tau}}}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}}{dx} \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} \epsilon(x)$$
 (21)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} I_{\epsilon}(k) \tag{22}$$

Where

$$I_{\epsilon}(k) = \int_{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}}^{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} \epsilon(x)$$
 (23)

And

$$I = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{e^{\frac{2k\pi}{\tau}}}^{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}}$$
 (24)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} I(k) \tag{25}$$

Where

$$I(k) = \int_{\frac{2k\pi}{}}^{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}}$$

$$(26)$$

And

$$J_{\epsilon} = I - I_{\epsilon} \tag{27}$$

$$J_{\epsilon} = I - I_{\epsilon}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \int_{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}}^{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
(28)

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} J_{\epsilon}(k) \tag{29}$$

Where

$$J_{\epsilon}(k) = \int_{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}}^{e^{\frac{2(k+1)\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
(30)

To prove the lemma, we will prove that for each integer $k \geq 0$:

$$J_{\epsilon}(k) \ge 0 \tag{31}$$

Let's prove the case of k=0. Let's consider the function f(x) over $[1,+\infty)$:

$$f(x) = \int_{e^{\frac{2k\pi}{\tau}} = 1}^{x} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
(32)

Let's study the function over the interval $[1, e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}]$. We have the function f continuous and and its first derivative is a peicewise continuous function over $[1, +\infty)$ and:

$$f'(x) = \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
 (33)

We have $f'(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in [e^{\frac{2i\pi}{\tau}}, e^{\frac{(2i+1)\pi}{\tau}}]$ and i a positive integer. And $f'(x) \leq 0$ for each $x \in [e^{\frac{(2i+1)\pi}{\tau}}, e^{\frac{(2i+2)\pi}{\tau}}]$ and i a positive integer. Therefore, in the particular case of i=0. We have $f'(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in [1, e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}]$. And $f'(x) \leq 0$ for each $x \in [e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}, e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}]$. Therefore, over the interval $[1, e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}]$, the maximum value of f(x) is reached at $x = e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}$. And we have f(1) = 0 And

$$f(e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}) = \int_{1}^{e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x)) > f(0) = 0$$
 (34)

Now, let's prove that $f(e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}) \geq 0$. Let's prove it by contradiction and suppose that $f(e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}) < 0$. We have $f(e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}) > 0$. The function f is continuous, therefore, there exists a $\theta \in (\frac{\pi}{\tau}, \frac{2\pi}{\tau})$ such that $f(e^{\theta}) = 0$. Also, we have $f(e^{\theta})$:

$$f(e^{\theta}) = \int_{1}^{e^{\theta}} dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
 (35)

The function $x \to \frac{1-\epsilon(x)}{x^{1+\sigma}}$ is an integrable function that does not change sign on $[1, +\infty)$. And $x \to \sin(x)$ is a continuous function. We apply the Mean value theorem over the interval $[1, e^{\theta}]$ and we write the following:

$$f(e^{\theta}) = \sin(\tau \ln(c_{\theta})) \int_{1}^{e^{\theta}} dx \frac{(1 - \epsilon(x))}{x^{1+\sigma}}$$
(36)

Where $c_{\theta} \in (1, e^{\theta})$. We have $f(e^{\theta}) = 0$ and

$$\int_{1}^{e^{\theta}} dx \frac{\left(1 - \epsilon(x)\right)}{x^{1+\sigma}} > 0 \tag{37}$$

Therefore

$$\sin(\tau \ln(c_{\theta})) = 0 \tag{38}$$

Therefore $c_{\theta} = e^{\frac{i\pi}{\tau}}$ where i > 0. But we have $c_{\theta} \in (1, e^{\theta})$. Therefore, c_{θ} can only be $e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}$. Also, $f(e^{\frac{\pi}{\tau}}) > 0$. Hence the contradiction. Therefore $J_{\epsilon}(0) = f(e^{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}}) > 0$.

For the case where $k \geq 1$. We define the function f_k (like f) over the interval $\left[e^{\frac{2k\pi}{\tau}}, +\infty\right)$ as following:

$$f_k(x) = \int_{e^{\frac{2k\pi}{\tau}}}^x dx \frac{\sin(\tau \ln x)}{x^{1+\sigma}} (1 - \epsilon(x))$$
(39)

We use exactly the same technique above to prove that:

$$J_{\epsilon}(k) = f_k(e^{\frac{(2k+2)\pi}{\tau}}) \ge 0 \tag{40}$$

Therefore for each $k \geq 0$: $J_{\epsilon}(k) \geq 0$. Therefore $J_{\epsilon} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} J_{\epsilon}(k) \geq 0$.

Let's now go back to finish our proof of the Riemann's Hypothesis. The variables σ and τ are here again the real part and the imaginary part of a non-trivial zeta zero as stated in the beginning of the proof. i.e $0 < \sigma < 1$, $\tau > 0$ and $\zeta(s) = 0$.

From the lemma 3.1 above, we have that

$$I_{\epsilon}(\sigma, \tau) \leq I(\sigma, \tau)$$
 (41)

$$I_{\epsilon}(1-\sigma,\tau) \leq I(1-\sigma,\tau)$$
 (42)

From the equations (9) and (12) we get that:

$$I_{\epsilon}(\sigma,\tau) = G(\sigma,\tau) \tag{43}$$

$$I_{\epsilon}(1-\sigma,\tau) = G(1-\sigma,\tau) \tag{44}$$

And from the equation (15) and (17) we have:

$$I(\sigma,\tau) = G(1-\sigma,\tau) \tag{45}$$

$$I(1 - \sigma, \tau) = G(\sigma, \tau) \tag{46}$$

Therefore

$$G(\sigma, \tau) \leq G(1 - \sigma, \tau)$$
 (47)

$$G(1 - \sigma, \tau) \leq G(\sigma, \tau) \tag{48}$$

Therefore

$$G(1 - \sigma, \tau) = G(\sigma, \tau)$$
(49)

Therefore

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \tag{50}$$

Hence, the Riemann's Hypothesis is true.

1.4 Conclusion

We saw that if s is a zeta zero, then real part $\Re(s)$ can only be $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the Riemann's Hypothesis is true: The non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. In the next article, we will try to apply the same method to prove the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH).

References

- [1] Bernhard Riemann. On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity
 https://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/ezeta.pdf
- [2] Aleksandar Ivic. The Riemann Zeta-Function: Theory and Applica-
- [3] Peter Borwein, Stephen Choi, Brendan Rooney, and Andrea Weirathmueller The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike
 http://wayback.cecm.sfu.ca/~pborwein/TEMP_PROTECTED/book.pdf
- [4] Jørgen Veisdal. The Riemann Hypothesis, explained https://medium.com/cantors-paradise/the-riemann-hypothesis-explained-fa01c1f75d3f
- [5] Thai Pham. Dirichlet's Theorem on Arithmetic Progressions
 https://web.stanford.edu/ thaipham/papers/MIT_18.104_Review_Paper.pdf
- [6] G. H. Hardy. The general theory of dirichlet series. https://archive.org/details/generaltheoryofd029816mbp/page/n9
- [7] Garrett, Paul. Primes in arithmetic progressions, 2011. http://www.math.umn.edu/garrett/m/mfms/notes_c/dirichlet.pdf
- [8] A. Wintner. The fundamental lemma in Dirichlet's theory of the arithmetical progressions. Amer. J. Math., 68:285-292, 1946.
- [9] G. Bhowmik, D. Essouabri and B. Lichtin. Meromorphic continuation of multivariable Euler products, Forum Math. p. 1-29, DOI 10.1515, 2006.