

# Species coexistence and overlapping distributions in a metacommunity are compatible with niche differences and competition at a local scale

Maxime Dubart, Patrice David, Frida Ben-Ami, Christoph R. Haag, Väinö Ilmari Pajunen, Dieter Ebert

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maxime Dubart, Patrice David, Frida Ben-Ami, Christoph R. Haag, Väinö Ilmari Pajunen, et al.. Species coexistence and overlapping distributions in a metacommunity are compatible with niche differences and competition at a local scale. 2024. hal-03087974

# HAL Id: hal-03087974 https://hal.science/hal-03087974v1

Preprint submitted on 16 Dec 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Species coexistence and overlapping distributions in a metacommunity
2	are compatible with niche differences and competition at a local scale
3	
4	Authors: Maxime Dubart <sup>1,+</sup> , Patrice David <sup>1</sup> , Frida Ben-Ami <sup>2,3</sup> , Christoph R. Haag <sup>1,3</sup> , V. Ilmari
5	Pajunen <sup>3,4</sup> & Dieter Ebert <sup>3,5</sup>
6	
7	Addresses:
8	<sup>1</sup> CEFE UMR 5175, Université de Montpellier – CNRS – Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier
9	- IRD - EPHE, 1919 route de Mende, Montpellier 34293 France
10	<sup>2</sup> School of Zoology, George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv
11	6997801, Israel; email: frida@tauex.tau.ac.il
12	<sup>3</sup> Tvärminne Zoological Station, University of Helsinki, J.A. Palménin tie 260, 10900 Hanko,
13	Finland
14	<sup>4</sup> Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, P. O. Box 65,
15	Viikinkaari 1, Helsinki 00014, Finland; e-mail: ilmari.pajunen@helsinki.fi
16	<sup>5</sup> University of Basel, Department of Environmental Sciences, Zoology, Vesalgasse 1, 4051
17	Basel, Switzerland
18	<sup>+</sup> Corresponding Author: dubartmaxime@gmail.com
19	ORCID:
20	DE: <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2653-3772</u>
21	B-A F: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1978-5659

#### 22 Abstract.

23 Niche partitioning is the most studied factor structuring communities of competing species. In fragmented landscapes, however, a paradox can exist: different taxa may 24 25 competitively dominate different types of habitat patches, resulting in a form of spatial niche partitioning, yet differences in long-term distributions among species can appear surprisingly 26 small. This paradox is illustrated by an emblematic metacommunity - that of Daphnia spp. in 27 28 rockpools on the Finnish Baltic coast, where three species compete with each other, have 29 distinct ecological preferences, yet largely overlap in long-term distributions. Here we examine how metacommunity models that explicitly estimate species-specific demographic parameters 30 31 can solve the apparent paradox. Our research confirms previous studies that local extinction rates are influenced by environmental variables in a strong and species-specific way and are 32 considerably increased by interspecific competition. Yet, our simulations show that this 33 situation exists alongside interspecific differences in realized niches that are, overall, small, and 34 identified three main explanations for this compatibility. Our results illustrate how state-space 35 36 modelling can clarify complex metacommunity dynamics and explain why local competition and niche differentiation do not always scale up to the landscape level. 37

### 39 Introduction

40 Understanding the determinants of community composition and turnover is a long-standing challenge in ecology and is mandatory for explaining species distributions in time and space. 41 42 Two classes of models have addressed this topic: models of niche differentiation, which allow species coexistence by partitioning the niche space (Hutchinson 1959, Chase and Leibold 43 2003), and neutral models (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Bell 2001, Hubbell 2001), where 44 stochastic processes (e.g., birth, death) drive the dynamics, and species coexistence is transient, 45 resulting ultimately in the extinction of all but one species unless counteracted by speciation or 46 migration. These models represent extremes along a continuum from fully deterministic (niche 47 model) to fully stochastic community assemblies (Gravel et al. 2006). In the deterministic 48 (niche) models, species presence, and therefore coexistence or exclusion depends on the 49 interplay of both abiotic (i.e. environmental requirement) and biotic (i.e. interspecific 50 interactions) conditions. In purely neutral models, species relative abundances follow a random 51 walk as species are considered ecologically equivalent, and long-term community composition 52 is not predictable. 53

54 Through migration, local communities are linked in a larger metacommunity (Leibold et al. 55 2004) where species diversity and coexistence can be viewed at different scales (see e.g. Amarasekare, 2003). On a local scale, niche and neutral models may explain species presence, 56 while on the metapopulation scale, species diversity may depend on environmental 57 58 heterogeneity, migration among communities and differentiation among species. Identifying mechanisms and quantifying their relative importance for community assembly and species 59 coexistence is a difficult task in natural systems (Gilbert and Bennett 2010, Smith and 60 Lundholm 2010, Tuomisto et al. 2012). 61

In a metacommunity context, a specie's direct interactions with its biotic and abioticenvironment may not sufficiently describe its niche. Indeed, each species faces a complex

64 mosaic of favorable environments that allow it to maintain itself over the long term and 65 unfavorable ones that do not. At any given time, a species may be absent from a favorable habitat (e.g., due to stochastic extinction, competitive exclusion, or dispersal limitation) and 66 present in unfavorable ones (e.g., due to random colonization, recurrent immigration or positive 67 interspecific interactions). Therefore, characterizing the fundamental and realized niche of a 68 species requires teasing apart the roles of environment, interspecific interactions, and dispersal; 69 for this, spatio-temporal data that records community composition over multiple locations and 70 points in time is necessary (Fidino et al. 2019). 71

72 The Levins metapopulation model (Levins 1969) provides a framework for understanding how colonization and extinction rates in habitat patches influence the way species occupy 73 fragmented space. This metapopulation model has been extended to include patch 74 heterogeneity, for example in the Incidence Function Model (Hanski 1994), and to include 75 multiple species and their interactions (Ilkka Hanski, 1983; Slatkin, 1974; see Miller et al. 2012, 76 Davis et al. 2017, Fidino et al. 2019, for empirical examples). A state-space approach has also 77 78 been developed to deal with imperfect detection (MacKenzie et al. 2003). With spatio-temporal 79 data, multi-species metapopulation models can now, thus, disentangle the determinants of 80 community composition, although, to date, these state-space models have mainly been applied 81 to single species (Royle and Kéry 2007, Martin et al. 2010, Eaton et al. 2014) and only rarely to metacommunities (Miller et al. 2012, Davis et al. 2017). State-space models offer advantages 82 for analyzing metacommunities, though: they allow us to quantify colonization and extinction 83 84 rates depending on both environment and interspecific interactions; they can also consider dispersal explicitly, and they can accommodate imperfect data (MacKenzie et al. 2002, 85 86 Guillera-Arroita 2017).

87 This study extends the approach of Dubart et al. (2019), who used multispecies 88 metapopulation models to estimate colonization and extinctions rates based on environmental

conditions and the presence of other species, while also accounting for imperfect species detection probabilities and non-equilibrium situations. Here, we extend this approach by including space explicitly and reformulating the initial model as a continuous time version, so that it applies to a wider range of real metacommunities. We apply it to analyze a long-term dataset of three *Daphnia* species that form a rockpool metacommunity.

94

### 95 The study system

The planktonic crustaceans of the genus Daphnia that inhabit the rockpools of the Baltic 96 97 Skerry islands have been studied for over a hundred years (Levander 1900, Järnefelt 1940, Lagerspetz 1955). Three species, D. magna, D. longispina and D. pulex, are typically found in 98 these rockpools, but at any time, less than 50 % of the pools are occupied by any one species, 99 and local extinction rates are about 20 % per year, indicating extremely dynamic 100 101 metapopulations (Pajunen 1986, Pajunen and Pajunen 2003, Ebert et al. 2013). Attempts to 102 define their ecological niches have shown a large overlap in the niches of the three Daphnia species (Ranta 1979, Pajunen and Pajunen 2007), suggesting that competition is a strong factor 103 shaping presence/absence patterns, as experiments have shown (Hanski and Ranta 1983, 104 105 Bengtsson 1989, 1991, 1993). Although environmental factors such as temperature, water chemistry, food, and predation have been suggested as possible mediators of competition, no 106 general patterns have been identified (Bengtsson 1986, Ranta and Espo 1989, Milbrink and 107 Bengtsson 1991, Lehto and Haag 2010). Several studies have attempted, albeit without 108 109 consensus, to determine the driving principles underlying this system's pronounced dynamics: 110 Hanski & Ranta (1983) considered all rockpools as equal and suggested that a trade-off exists 111 between competitive ability and dispersal propensity (i.e. competition-colonization tradeoff). 112 Pajunen (1986) and Pajunen & Pajunen (2003) have suggested a continent-island model, while 113 Hugueny et al. (2007) favored a Levin-type metapopulation model, with all ponds being equal.

The continent-island view was based on the finding that some rockpools contain more stable 114 populations than others and may therefore contribute more to the pool of migrants. However, 115 Altermatt and Ebert (2010) suggest an inverse continent-island model, pointing out that 116 because small, unstable rockpools are more abundant, they contribute most to the migrant pool. 117 Furthermore, experimental tests have shown that not all pools provide equally suitable habitats, 118 suggesting that colonization rates depend on pool quality (Ebert et al. 2013). Evidence also 119 suggests that habitat preferences may differ among species (Lehto and Haag 2010), although 120 generally little is known about the species-specific spatio-temporal variation in habitat 121 suitability. All in all, although research on the Daphnia rockpool metapopulation has identified 122 123 some mechanisms that contribute to its pronounced dynamics, the relative importance of the 124 different factors remains unknown, and despite all these years of research, the coexistence of these species is still enigmatic. In this study, we investigate the contributions of spatial 125 structure, biotic and abiotic environmental factors, and species interactions in driving extinction 126 and colonization dynamics in this metacommunity, as well as occupancy patterns. We use the 127 above-mentioned metacommunity model to analyze 35-year time series data on three Daphnia 128 species from 546 rock pools on 17 islands in southern Finland. 129

130

#### 131 Material & Methods

132 *Daphnia* are planktonic crustacean that inhabit standing fresh and brackish water bodies 133 worldwide. Here, we study three species, *D. magna* Straus, *D. pulex* Leydig, and *D. longispina* 134 O.F.Müller, that co-occur in rockpools of the Baltic sea and Atlantic coasts in Fennoscandia, 135 where they form large metapopulations. Specifically, we studied all rockpools (n = 546 in total) 136 in an area of ~1.5 km<sup>2</sup> near Tvärminne Zoological Station (coordinates: 59.844, 23.249) in 137 southern Finland, an area that comprises a total of 17 Skerry islands. The rockpools are situated 138 along the shore of the Baltic Sea, keeping them clear of plant overgrowth and are mostly filled

by rainwater, although the water chemistry of pools closer to the shore is also affected by wave
action and sea water spray. During winter, the ponds may freeze solid, and, in summer, they
may dry up completely. Pool volumes range from about 3 to 50000 L with a median of about
200 L (Altermatt et al. 2009).

143

144 *Data collection* 

145 For this study, we used time series of presence/absence data collected twice a year from August 1982 to August 2017. Rockpools were visited every year in late May/early June (spring sample) 146 147 and in late July/August (summer sample), usually after rainy periods, but long enough after the rain to allow *Daphnia* to hatch from resting eggs (Pajunen 1986, Pajunen and Pajunen 2003). 148 Using a handheld net (250 um mesh size), we sampled the free water of each pool, inverted the 149 net into a white bowl and checked for the presence of *Daphnia*. If they were present, a small 150 151 sample was taken to the laboratory. If different phenotypes were visible, care was taken to 152 include these. In the laboratory, samples were checked with a stereomicroscope to identify the 153 species. This procedure suffers from two potential sources of error. First, Daphnia may be present but overlooked by the observer, for example, if they are present in very low density, or 154 if one species is much more rare than a co-occurring one. It may also happen if Daphnia are 155 present only as resting eggs ("ephippia"). which are highly resistant to a wide range of 156 157 conditions, including freezing and drought (Hanski and Ranta 1983, Altermatt and Ebert 2008), and which cannot be systematically sampled. In each of these cases, the error leads to a false 158 159 absence record. Second, a species may also be misidentified (or misrecorded), which results in 160 a false presence as well as a false absence record. In addition to these two possible sources of errors, ponds that had dried up during the recording period presented uncertainty, as the 161 presence of resting eggs could not typically be verified. In these cases, the presence/absence of 162 163 each species was recorded as "not assessed". To estimate the repeatability of our data, seven surveys between 2009 and 2012 were repeated a second time by a different team of researchers within two weeks of the first survey. These repetitions allowed us to assess the likelihood of missing a given *Daphnia* species (i.e. the detection probability) and the frequency of misidentification. As the latter (the apparent replacement of one species by another within two weeks, which we attribute to misrecording or misidentification) occurred only once across all seven repeated surveys, we discounted misidentification and misrecording from further analyses.

Following earlier protocols (Pajunen 1986, Ebert et al. 2001, Pajunen and Pajunen 2003, 171 2007, Altermatt and Ebert 2008, Altermatt et al. 2009, Altermatt and Ebert 2010), GPS 172 173 coordinates (using a Garmin GPSMAP 76CS), island ID and a number of environmental variables were recorded for each pool. As opposed to earlier studies, we included all pools in 174 the study area, including those with no history of *Daphnia*, such as pools very close to the sea, 175 176 so that no inclusion-criteria had to be used. Distance to the sea and height above sea level were measured. Pool surface area was estimated by multiplying its longest axis by widest width 177 (measured perpendicular to the longest axis) at maximal water level divided by 2. Maximal 178 pool depth was measured. Catchment area was estimated as described by Altermatt et al. 2009. 179 180 During several August surveys, we also estimated the percentage of the surface water covered by terrestrial plants using the categories: 0, >0-5, -25, -50, -75, -95, >95% of the water surface. 181 We also measured water conductivity and pH using a hand-held portable pH meter and 182 conductivity device several times across multiple years. For plant coverage, conductivity and 183 184 pH, we calculated the mean and the standard deviation across all measures. The Baltic sea has no tides, but the sea level varies depending on wind, atmospheric pressure and other factors. 185 186 Rockpools close to the shore may alternate between being submerged and then being above sea level for extended periods, long enough for *Daphnia* to colonize and produce a population. 187 Pools below the maximal sea level are labelled as "sometime submerged" (binary variable). D. 188

*magna*, but not *D. longispina* nor *D. pulex*, can survive in brackish water up to or even greater
than the salinity of the Baltic Sea, though none of the species occurs in the sea.

The ecological variables and GPS coordinates are complete in our dataset, but the presence/absence data are not: individual data points are missing from when pools dried up, which especially affected the smaller, shallower pools with smaller catchment areas (Altermatt et al. 2009). The dataset has also missing values from spring 1993 when the survey could not be conducted. For most of the rockpools, the final dataset includes time series of 70 samples of present/absence data for three *Daphnia* species (nearly 40,000 total pool visits).

197 The ecological variables for each pool (Table S1) were summarized using a principle component analysis. The first two principle components together explained 43.9% of the 198 variance (first axis: 25.4%, second axis: 18.5%) and were ecologically meaningful, as they 199 describe the impact of the marine habitat (e.g., closeness to the sea, water chemistry, plant 200 cover, horizontal axis on Fig. S1), and the effect of geo-physical features independent of the 201 sea (e.g. pool size, depth, catchment area, vertical axis on Fig. S1), respectively. We use the 202 terms "marine to terrestrial" to describe ecological variation among pools along the first axis 203 204 and "small to large" habitats for the second axis. In analyzing extinction and colonization 205 dynamics, we distinguished between events that happened between the spring and the summer 206 survey ("summer events") and events that happened between the summer survey and next year's spring survey ("winter events"). 207

208

209 *Model* 

In order to estimate *Daphnia* metapopulation dynamics, we used a state-space modeling approach (MacKenzie et al. 2003, Royle and Kéry 2007) based on one developed by Dubart et al. (2019), which models colonization and extinction rates as a function of the environment and

the presence of other species, while accounting for imperfect species detection. This model 213 allowed us to consider colonization rate as dependent on overall metapopulation occupancy, as 214 in Levins' metapopulation model (Levins 1969). In contrast to Dubart et al (2019), who 215 modeled extinction and colonization as discrete events, we used transition probabilities from a 216 continuous time model to account for the extremely dynamic nature of the Daphnia 217 metapopulations (Pajunen 1986, Pajunen and Pajunen 2003). Furthermore, we extended the 218 previous model to account explicitly for space, given the distance-dependent dispersal seen in 219 the Daphnia metapopulations (Haag et al. 2005, 2006). 220

The idea behind state-space modeling approaches is to distinguish between the true state and the observed state (MacKenzie et al. 2002), hence accounting for imperfect species detection. The true state ( $x_{s,i,t}$ , for species *s*, in site *i* at time *t*), and the observed state ( $y_{s,i,t}$ ) are linked as follows:

$$P(y_{s,i,t} = 1) = d_s (1 - X_{i,t}) x_{s,i,t}$$
(1)

where  $X_{i,t}$  is an indicator variable that equals 1 for a site that was dry at the time of sampling (species cannot be detected in dry sites), and 0 otherwise, and  $d_s$  is the detection probability for species *s* in a non-dry site -  $d = P(y_{s,i,t} = 1 | x_{s,i,t} = 1)$ . The latter can be jointly estimated with all other model parameters (see below) and is primarily informed by the repeated surveys. Next, we modeled the transitions among states (from  $x_{s,i,t}$  to  $x_{s,i,t+1}$ ) as a function of species-

specific and site-specific colonization ( $\gamma_{s,i,t}$ ) and extinction ( $e_{s,i,t}$ ) rates: for a given species in a given site, this gave the following probabilities of presence at time *t*+1 (depending on the presence or absence at time *t*, species and site subscripts were dropped for readability):

234 
$$P(x_{t+1} = 1 | x_t = 1) = 1 - (1 - e^{-(\gamma_t + e_t)})(\frac{e_t}{\gamma_t + e_t})$$
(2a)

235 
$$P(x_{t+1} = 1 | x_t = 0) = (1 - e^{-(\gamma_t + e_t)})(\frac{\gamma_t}{\gamma_t + e_t})$$
(2b)

236 The two probabilities of species absence  $(P(x_{t+1} = 0 | x_t = 1) \text{ and } P(x_{t+1} = 0 | x_t = 0))$ ,

are given by the complements of equations (2a) and (2b), respectively.

Taking Eq. 2b as an example, the probability of having  $x_{t+1} = 1$  knowing  $x_t = 0$  at a given site (i.e. the probability that a species is present at time t+1 knowing it was absent at time t) is the product of the probability of having at least one event in the time step  $1 - e^{-(\gamma+e)}$  and the probability that the last event, if there is one, is a colonization  $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+e}$  (note that  $e^{-(\gamma+e)}$  is the Poisson probability of no event with rate  $\lambda = \gamma + e$ ).

The colonization rate  $(\gamma_{s,i,t})$  depends on the per-capita colonization rate  $(c_{s,i,t})$  and on metapopulation occupancy  $(p_{s,i,t})$ :  $\gamma_{s,i,t} = c_{s,i,t} p_{s,i,t}$ . Per-capita colonization and extinction rates are species and site specific and depend on environmental variables and species interactions:

247 
$$\log(c_{s,i,t}) = \mu^{c}_{s} + X_{i} \beta^{c}_{s} + \sum_{s' \neq s} \alpha^{c}_{s,s'} x_{s',i,t}$$
(3a)

248 
$$\log(e_{s,i,t}) = \mu^{e}_{s} + X_{i} \beta^{e}_{s} + \sum_{s' \neq s} \alpha^{e}_{s,s'} x_{s',i,t}$$
(3b)

Where  $\mu^e$  and  $\mu^c$  represent extinction and colonization rates, respectively, in a site with average 249 characteristics and no other species present,  $X_i$  is a row vector of environmental variables 250 (standardized to zero mean and unit variances), and  $\beta_s^e$  and  $\beta_s^c$  are the species-specific 251 regression coefficients for extinction and colonization, reflecting that these parameters depend 252 on environmental variables. The parameters  $\alpha^{e}_{s,s'}$  and  $\alpha^{c}_{s,s'}$  measure competitive (or 253 facilitative) effects of species s' on extinction and colonization rates, respectively. Note that 254  $x_{s',i,t}$  are latent variables (i.e. estimated true state) rather than observed states. Since  $x_{s',i,t}$  are 255 256 indicator variables (equal zero or one),  $\mu$ 's represent rates in a site with average characteristics  $(X_i = 0)$  and no competitors. 257

Following Levins (1969), colonization rate ( $\gamma$ ) depends on overall metapopulation occupancy (p) as propagules pressure is expected to increase with the number of potential source populations. However, when dispersal is limited (in terms of distance), the sources from which colonists originate may vary depending on the focal site. We therefore use a site-specific metapopulation occupancy ( $p_{s,i,t}$ ) defined as follows:

$$p_{s,i,t} = \sum_{j \neq i} x_{s,j,t} e^{-\delta D_{ij}}$$
(4)

where  $D_{ij}$  is the Euclidean distance between sites *i* and *j* (in meters), and  $1/\delta$  the mean colonization distance. In this way, the contribution of a given site to colonizing a focal site decreases exponentially with the distance between sites. Note that we therefore assume symmetrical connectivity patterns. Using this formulation, our *c* does not have the same meaning (corresponding to per-capita colonization) as Levins' *c*. We therefore scale  $p_{s,i,t}$  to recover an analog of Levins' *c* (see Appendix. A for derivation), so that the final  $p_{s,i,t}$  reads:

$$p_{s,i,t} = \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} x_{s,j,t} e^{-\delta D_{ij}}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} e^{-\delta D_{ij}}}$$
(5)

As we only modeled transitions, we made no assumptions about the (quasi-)equilibrium state, nor about initial spatial distributions or abundances of species. The initial state (initial spatial distributions and abundances) was estimated using an additional species-specific parameter  $(\psi_s)$ .

### 275 *Implementing the model*

We split the dataset into five groups of islands with large distances between them (>200m), so that the effect of between-group dispersal could be neglected. Our main motive was to reduce computation time, as only submatrices needed to be considered for the calculations of  $p_{s,i,t}$  and previous studies have found that colonization events beyond the 200m threshold are rare (Pajunen 1986, Pajunen and Pajunen 2003), although it is possible to find

distances over 200 meters within islands groups. Island groups were considered as independent
for parameter estimation, but parameters are shared by the five groups and are estimated
simultaneously.

284 We relied on a Bayesian approach to estimate model parameters and implemented the model using JAGS (Just Another Gibbs Sampler, Plummer 2003) through its R interfaces 285 ({rjags} and {coda} R packages, Plummer et al. 2006). We used wide prior distributions for all 286 parameters:  $\mu$ 's,  $\beta$ 's, and  $\alpha$ 's ~ N(0,0.1) (0.1 being a precision parameter - the inverse of the 287 variance), detection probabilities (**d**) and initial probabilities of occupancy ( $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ ) followed a 288 uniform distribution on [0,1], and the exponential decay of the dispersal kernel  $\delta$ 289 ~  $U(10^{-5}, 0.5)$ . We ran six chains, with random initial values for each of these, for 20,000 290 iterations, with the first 10,000 iterations discarded as burn-in periods. We assessed 291 convergence visually and used several diagnostic tools from the {ggmcmc} R-package 292 (Fernández-i-Marín 2016). The potential scale reduction factors ( $\hat{R}$ ) were computed following 293 Gelman et al. (2013) and were all less than 1.03 (mean = 1.0036, CI95 = [1; 1.02]). 294

#### 295 Investigating species-environment relationships

Environmental effects estimated by the model represent effects without competition and 296 does not include dispersal effects or habitat heterogeneity. It follows that a pond embedded in 297 298 the full habitat matrix could harbor a population for some time due to colonization from 299 surrounding, more favorable habitats, but could not support a population over the long term if it were surrounded by habitats similar to itself. Indeed, in the absence of competition, the long-300 term presence probability at site *i* is  $P(x_i = 1) = \frac{c_i p_i^*}{c_i p_i^* + e_i}$ , with  $p_i^*$  being long-term occupancy 301 in the surrounding sites - the expectation of Eq. 7. Thus,  $p_i^*$  encapsulates both dispersal effects 302 and habitat heterogeneity but is not easily derived analytically. It follows that defining the 303 environmental space allowing species persistence (i.e. fundamental niche) in a metapopulation 304

relies on simulating metapopulations with a single habitat type common to all patches, 305 306 excluding heterogeneity. The question therefore becomes whether - knowing the spatial arrangement of sites and the dispersal ability of the species - a given habitat type (a set of values 307 of environmental variables) allows species persistence at the landscape scale? Defining the 308 realized niche (i.e. accounting for species interactions) is based on similar arguments but 309  $P(x_i = 1)$  takes a more complicated form  $E[c_i]$ ,  $E[e_i]$  and  $E[p_i^*]$  depend on the presence 310 probability of other species. As there are no analytical expressions for these quantities, we rely 311 312 on simulations based on parameter estimates.

313 The simulation model for the full landscape of 546 pools and their explicit spatial arrangement and species dynamics follows Eqs. 2. Initial occupancy was set to 0.2 (the 314 approximate mean over all species) for all species, and the spatial distribution of initially 315 316 occupied sites was randomly drawn. We removed habitat heterogeneity by setting all site covariate values to those observed for one of the 546 sites, then explored all 546 environmental 317 318 variable combinations in turn, setting competition to zero or not. These 546 combinations fall into three categories based on whether the metapopulation (i) persists in a uniform landscape 319 320 even in competition with other species, (ii) persists only in the absence of competition, or (iii) 321 does not persist. Category (i) is the metapopulation estimate of the realized niche, whereas the 322 fundamental niche is defined by (i) and (ii) together. Each simulation was run for 500 cycles 323 (i.e. years) and replicated 500 times, each time with a new set of parameters drawn from the 324 full joint posterior distribution provided by the statistical model (thus also including model uncertainty). A metapopulation was considered to have persisted if the final occupancy was 325 above zero in at least 50 % of the replicates (results stay qualitatively similar when considering 326 327 other thresholds, see Fig. S2).

Finally, we simulated species dynamic in the heterogeneous, "real" landscape (i.e. each site with its own combination of covariables) with three aims: (i) to assess the fit to data by

comparing the observed 35-yr series to the simulated 35-yr series with the same initial situation 330 331 (short-term simulations), (ii) to estimate the long-term stationary distribution of occupancy for each species (long-term simulations), and (iii) to assess how dispersal limitation and 332 interspecific interactions influenced long-term and short-term occupancy. To this end, we 333 performed a set of simulations that included both the observed heterogeneity in environmental 334 variables among sites and the explicit spatial arrangement of the sites. Simulations were 335 initialized using either the observed distributions at the beginning of the dataset (in spring 1983 336 sample) or a random drawn of occupied sites with probability 0.2. Simulations were run for a 337 time span of 2,500 years to get the quasi-stationary distribution (long-term simulations). The 338 339 first 35 years were also recorded for short-term predictions. For the long-term simulations, we 340 visually verified that the distributions of occupancy in non-extinct metapopulations converged at the 2,500th year. Note that the final state of any isolated, finite stochastic metapopulation 341 model is always extinction, but the non-extinct states converge to a quasi-stationary distribution 342 before that. In a spatialized model, this may occur for an isolated subpart of the system, which 343 we observed in some preliminary simulations, so we therefore also ran models including a small 344 offset ( $\epsilon = 5.10^{-4}$ ) to the colonization rate, mimicking an exterior colonization source, to avoid 345 this situation. The chosen value was small enough, approximatively one external colonization 346 every 3.5 years, to be just useful to recolonize the subpart. The initial simulation sets included 347 348 the estimated dispersal kernel in the colonization function and species interactions, using all the 349 model estimates. We also ran simulations without distance effects (i.e. using Levins' c only, so 350 that colonization would no longer be sensitive to distance but remain the same on average over all pairs of sites; we kept the offset for sake of comparability), and without interactions (setting 351 352 all interspecific interaction coefficients to zero). In each case, we ran 500 replicate simulations; variation among replicates included the inherent stochasticity of the model and the uncertainty 353

- in parameter estimation (the parameters for colonization and extinction rates are redrawn for
- each replicate in the posterior distribution).

#### 357 **Results**

#### 358 <u>Bayesian estimation of metacommunity parameters</u>

In ponds that retained water, the estimated detection probabilities were 0.74 for *D. longispina* and *D. magna*, and 0.63 for *D. pulex* (Fig. 1A). Median colonization distances were similar for all three species (around 15-20 m.) with overlapping 95% credibility intervals (hereafter CI95) (Fig. 1B). Model intercepts estimated species-specific colonization and extinction rates in a site with average environmental characteristics (*i.e.* at (0,0) in the PCA space) in the absence of competing species. For all species, colonization rates during the

Figure 1. Estimated detection probabilities, colonization distance and demographic rates (median of posterior distribution with 95 % Credibility Interval CI95) for three species of Daphnia. (A) Detection probability (i.e. P(y = 1 | x = 1)). (B) Median colonization distances, with subpanel representing a site's contribution to colonization as a function of the distance to the colonized site. The vertical lines provide medians (where the contribution crosses the horizontal grey dashed line, which represents 50% contribution) and are equivalent to values represented in B's main plot. The solid lines represent both D. magna and D. pulex (not visually separable) and the dashed lines represent D. longispina. (C) Colonization and extinction rates for summer and winter for sites with average environmental characteristics (at (0,0) in the PCA space as depicted in Fig. 3) and without species interactions.



summer were two to three times higher than in winter (see Fig. 1C). In contrast, extinctions occurred mostly during winter (Fig. 1C). Thus, the number of occupied sites tended to increase during summer and decrease during winter. The winter decrease in occupancy was most marked in *D. longispina* but also occurred in *D. magna*. It was non-significant in *D. pulex* (the CI95 of (e - c) for that species overlaps with zero).

Figure 2. Estimated effects of environmental covariates (posterior medians and CI95) on colonization and extinction rates. (A) Log-scale changes in summer and winter demographic rates per unit of environmental PC1, mainly representing the marine–terrestrial gradient. (B) Log-scale changes in rates along the environmental gradient PC2, mainly representing geo-physical properties of the pool, such as size, depth and catchment area.



Overall, environmental variables impacted extinction rates more strongly than 371 372 colonization rates (Figs. 2 and 3). On environmental PC1 and PC2, eight out of twelve CI95 for regression coefficients of colonization rates overlap with zero, versus only one out of twelve 373 CI95 for extinction rates. The colonization rates of both D. longispina and D. magna depended 374 slightly on PC1, but in opposite directions: In D. longispina, colonization rates were slightly 375 lower in more marine pools, whereas D. magna colonization increased with marine influence 376 (in winter only). Environmental variation impacted extinction rates similarly in D. magna and 377 D. longispina, but quite differently in D. pulex: In D. magna and D. longispina, marine pool 378 populations showed considerably reduced extinction rates during summer but increased 379 380 extinction rates during winter (Figs. 2 and 3). In D. pulex, the pattern was reversed with 381 increased extinction rates in marine habitats in summer and decreased rates in winter, though the CI for the latter overlaps with zero. In addition, extinction rates also depended on PC2. D. 382 longispina and D. magna populations in small, shallow ponds showed increased extinction rates 383 regardless of the season, although effects were stronger during the summer. In D. pulex, 384 extinction rates increased in small, shallow ponds during the winter but, in summer, extinctions 385 increased in large, deep pools. A first, crude criterion for site *i* suitability for a species is the 386 estimated occupancy in Levin's unstructured metapopulation model  $(1-e_i/c_i)$ , averaging rates 387 388 over the two seasons). According to this criterion, the same type of large, terrestrial site (see the *Expected occupancy* column in Fig. 3) appeared suitable for both *D pulex* and *D. longispina*, 389 as both were limited by high extinctions rates in small terrestrial sites and marine sites, although 390 391 these limitations arose at different times of the year (in small terrestrial sites D. longispina experiences more extinctions in winter, while D. pulex sees them in summer; the reverse is true 392 in marine sites). Observed occupancy (averaged over years and seasons) appears to agree with 393 our crude estimate of suitability (Fig. 3, last two columns), although more heterogeneous. 394

#### 395 Indeed, sites with low and high observed occupancy can co-occur in the same region of the

#### 396 environmental PC plane, which by construction, cannot happen with the expected occupancies.

Figure 3 Site-specific colonization and extinction probabilities (per season), and site suitability expressed as expected occupancy in relation to environmental variables (PC axes). Colonization and extinction probabilities for site i are computed as  $(1 - e^{-x_i})$ , with  $x_i = e_i$  for extinction, and  $x_i = c_i$  for colonization (assuming  $p_i = 1, \forall i$ , i.e., a fully occupied metapopulation). Site-specific expected occupancy is approximated for site i as  $P(x_i = 1) \approx 1 - \frac{(e_i^{summer} + e_i^{winter})}{(c_i^{summer} + c_i^{winter})}$ , the expectation in an infinite metapopulation without environmental heterogeneity, species interactions or distance effects, i.e. the Levins model. Each row of graphs corresponds to one species (from top to bottom: D. magna, D. longispina and D. pulex), probabilities vary from 0 (light green) to 1 (dark green); colonization probabilities range from 0 (dark green) to 0.4 (light green), with light colors highlighting favorable conditions. Expected and observed occupancies, the latter averaged over seasons and years, vary from 0 (transparent light blue) to 1 (opaque dark blue).



398

Colonization and extinctions were both affected by the presence of other species but in roughly opposite ways (Fig. 4). Colonization rates during both summer and winter were either not significantly affected (grey in Fig. 4), or positively affected, i.e. colonization rates were higher in pools previously occupied by another species than in previously empty pools (blue in 403 Fig. 4). The effects were generally stronger in winter, with an up to six-fold increase in colonization rates (for D. longispina in pools inhabited vs. uninhabited by D. magna the 404 previous summer). In contrast, extinction rates tended to be affected mostly by negative 405 406 interspecific interactions (i.e. increased extinction rates in ponds inhabited, in the previous survey, by other species, red in Fig. 4). Most notably, the presence of D. pulex and D. longispina 407 in spring reciprocally increased each other's extinction risk by over tenfold. Furthermore, D. 408 magna suffered from the presence of both other species in winter, with a  $\sim 1.7$  fold increase in 409 extinction rate, but its presence in the previous summer survey also increased the extinction risk 410 of D. longispina. Only D. magna had a significant positive interspecific interaction, showing 411

412 reduced summer extinction in pools occupied by *D. pulex* in spring.

Figure 4. Interspecific interactions expressed as multipliers, i.e. how the presence of a species in the previous season multiplies the colonization or extinction rate of another species. Median and 95 % CI of posterior distributions are given. Coefficients with 95 % CI overlapping 1 (= no effect) are in grey. Robust competition and facilitation effects (i.e. CI not overlapping 1) are highlighted in red and blue, respectively.



413

#### 414 Fundamental and realized niches based on simulations of homogeneous landscapes

415 We previously defined the niche as the set of environmental characteristics for which a metapopulation entirely composed of sites with these characteristics would persist on the long 416 term. In practice, we established this niche by simulating homogeneous metapopulations that 417 418 preserved the number and spatial structure of the observed sites, but set them all to identical environmental values and used a 50 % threshold (i.e. the criterion for inclusion in the 419 fundamental niche is that the simulated metapopulation is not extinct in >50% of replicate 420 421 simulations at generation 500; other threshold values do not considerably change the results see 422 Fig. S2). Based on these simulations, 63% of rockpools belonged to the fundamental niche (i.e. simulations without interspecific interactions, Fig. 5A) of D. magna, 52 % for D. longispina, 423 and 72 % for D. pulex. The fundamental niches of D. longispina and D. pulex seem similar 424 (intermediate to large-sized terrestrial sites, whereas D. magna preferred more marine-425 426 influenced sites. The realized niches (simulations with interspecific interactions Fig. 5B), included 64 %, 54 % and 69 % of the sites, respectively (Fig. 3). In D longispina and D. pulex, 427 428 two species with very similar fundamental niches, their reciprocal impacts on each other's 429 summer extinction rates resulted in a divergence of their realized ecological niches: the occupancy of D. longispina decreased in the smallest terrestrial sites, while that of D. pulex 430 decreased in the most marine ones. However, these effects were moderate, and the two realized 431 432 niches still overlapped considerably. Similarly, D. magna showed both weak positive and weak negative effects from interacting with the other two species, and only subtle differences between 433 fundamental and realized niches (Fig. 5C). Based on our simulations in homogeneous 434 landscapes, the proportion of ponds with habitat types that could support a stable 435 metapopulation of only one species (exclusive niche) were relatively low at 7% for D. magna, 436 437 0% for D. longispina, and 5% for D. pulex (black dots in Fig. 5D). Exclusive ponds for D. pulex

fell into two groups: relatively small, shallow ponds with strong terrestrial or marine influence
(see Fig. 5D), whereas for *D. magna*, most exclusive sites were larger, deep rockpools with a
strong marine influence (Fig. 5D). Very small marine sites seemed unsuitable for all three
species, and all three had largely overlapping realized niches, centered on more marine,
intermediate, and terrestrial habitats for *D. magna, longispina* and *pulex* respectively (Fig. 5D).

These simulations of theoretical, homogeneous landscapes predicted well the 443 occupancies we observed in the real, heterogeneous landscape. Indeed, we saw a positive 444 correlation between the average occupancy of a site over the 35 years of data and the simulated 445 occupancy in a homogeneous metacommunity with the same environmental characteristics, 446 447 including interspecific interactions (realized niche); this correlation was, however, stronger for D. magna (0.48) and D. longispina (0.56) than for D. pulex (0.23). Simulations without 448 interactions (fundamental niche) resulted in similar correlations with the data (resp., 0.53, 0.56 449 and 0.20, see Table S2). 450

Figure 5. Site-specific probability of occupancy based on simulations in homogeneous environments. (A), (B) The distribution of suitable ponds within the environmental space with or without species interactions, respectively, i.e. representing the realized and fundamental niches. The light blue to dark blue gradient reflects the probability of occupancy from 0 to 1, whereas the transparency gradient represents the proportion of non-extinct metapopulations among replicates from 100 % (opaque) to 50 % (half transparent) and when < 50 %, dots are empty. (C) Shows how interactions modify site-specific probability of occupancy. Blue dots represent environments where species expected occupancies increase with interactions, and green dots, environments where they decrease. Dot transparency reflects the effect strength (defined as the difference in mean occupancy when interactions occurs or not). (D) Pools that are exclusive to each species are shown in black (i.e. exclusive niche: competition free part of the niche space), while blue dots represent remaining suitable ponds. The transparency gradient reflects the proportion of non-extinct metapopulations among replicates.



452

#### 454 *Simulated metacommunity dynamics in a realistic, heterogeneous landscape*

455 As expected, the offset in form of an added colonization rate from external sources, did not change results observed for the mean-field model (i.e. without dispersal limitation), indicating 456 457 that our offset had little effect (compare Tables S3/S4 and S5/S6). The pattern was different with the spatialized model; indeed, the offset prevented the definitive extinction of a given 458 459 species in subparts of the system. In what follows, we compared simulation results to data on two criteria (i) do they yield similar occupancies at the metapopulation scale, and (ii) do they 460 yield similar spatial distributions, i.e. do the same sites tend to be frequently occupied in both 461 the simulations and the data. For the latter question, we used correlations between site-specific 462 simulated and predicted occupancies (averaged over time, and, for simulated data, over 463 replicate simulations). Note that these correlations cannot be expected to exceed some limits, 464 465 due to the inherent stochasticity of the simulated metapopulation. This inherent stochasticity is given by how 35-yr samples of each particular replicate simulation is correlated to the mean 466 over all simulations - a range of values that we computed in each case. 467

Short-term simulations, starting with the observed data in 1983, produced global 468 average species occupancies similar to the real data (Table S4). However, the simulations 469 470 tended to predict slightly lower metapopulation occupancies than observed for D. magna (range from 0.11 to 0.13 instead of 0.15) and slightly higher for *D. longispina* (from 0.11 to 0.15) 471 instead of 0.13) and D. pulex (from 0.06 to 0.09 instead of 0.05). The correlation between 472 473 observed and simulated site-specific occupancies (averaged over 35 years) were similar to those expected based on the fundamental niches (i.e. not taking into account the initial state of the 474 system) for *D. longispina*, slightly better for *D. magna* and slightly worse for *D. pulex* (Table 475 S4). These correlations are, however, lower than would be expected from the stochastic 476 variation between replicate simulations (i.e. correlation between one particular simulation and 477 478 the grand mean). In the simulations, interspecific interactions did not have a large effect in

479 general. Removing them yielded slightly lower metapopulation occupancies for all species 480 without affecting the predicted patterns of site-specific occupancy (Table S4). Distance-limited dispersal did, however, have a detectable effect: a mean-field colonization model not 481 accounting for distances between sites increased the expected metapopulation occupancy of D. 482 magna and D. pulex, but reduced it for D. longispina, making it closer to the observed 483 occupancies for D. magna and D. longispina but slightly further for D. pulex. Predictions of 484 site-specific occupancy  $r(x_{di}, x_{i})$  were improved for all species and fell within the range 485 expected from replicate simulations (Table S4). Short-term simulations were mainly useful 486 487 when initialized with the observed 1983 distribution, although that distribution was underestimated due to imperfect detection. As expected, starting with a different initial 488 489 occupancy (0.2, random) tended to increase or decrease simulated occupancy depending on whether 0.2 was higher or smaller than the observed occupancy in 1983, and to weaken 490 predictions of spatial distributions (correlations of site-specific occupancy). 491

Long-term simulations started with uniformly distributed occupancies that were either 492 493 set to 0.2 for all species or used the distributions observed in spring 1983. Results were identical 494 (Table S6), which shows that the memory of the initial distribution is lost over the long-term. 495 All occupancy distributions visually converged at 2,500 years, and definitive extinctions were avoided thanks to the small offset. The offset led to slightly higher occupancies and a slight 496 497 improvement in the correlations of the spatialized model (compare Tab. S5/S6). Simulations in the real landscape yielded similar results to the simulation in homogeneous landscapes (i.e. 498 499 realized niches), although site-specific probabilities of occupancy were lower, and occupancy 500 patterns were somewhat blurred in the environmental space (compare Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). 501 Interestingly, competition had almost no effect on the site-specific occupancies, which were 502 more (but still weakly) affected by positive interactions (See Fig. 6C). The simulations predicted a quasi-stationary metapopulation occupancy similar to that observed in the data for 503

*D. magna*, disregarding whether dispersal limits were considered or not. The occupancy of *D. longispina* was also overall well predicted, although slightly higher than observed.
Interestingly, expected occupancy for that species was higher when dispersal limitation was
considered. Lastly, the long-term predicted occupancy of *D. pulex* was considerably higher than
what we observed (ca. 0.15 instead of 0.05, Table S5/S6).

Figure 6. Site-specific probability of occupancy (similar to Fig. 5) based on simulations in the "true" landscape, i.e. including environmental heterogeneity and corrected for imperfect detection. In such simulations, extinctions of the whole metapopulations are very rare, and the color scale represents the average occupancy of sites among all simulations where the metapopulation was not extinct. Contrary to Fig. 5, both scales (transparency and color) represent the probability of occupancy.



510 The simulation models behaved quite deterministically with respect to the spatial 511 distribution of the species, i.e. site-specific occupancy in any particular 35-yr simulated series

512 was highly correlated with the quasi-stationary distribution  $(r(x_{ij}, x_{j})$  close to 0.9). In comparison, observed site-specific occupancy was poorly correlated with quasi-stationary 513 514 expectations (around 0.40, 0.35 and -0.05, for D. magna, D. longispina, and D. pulex, respectively, Table S6). The impact of interspecific interactions was relatively moderate 515 516 overall, as neither expected occupancy nor the patterns of site-specific occupancy were strongly 517 modified when interactions were set to zero. However, the models were sensitive to the removal of distance effects on colonization, which affected expected metapopulation occupancy only 518 519 moderately, but made the system less deterministic in two ways: (i) metapopulation occupancy 520 varied over a larger range among replicates, and (ii) site-specific occupancy patterns were more 521 variable across replicates  $(r(x_{ij}, x_{j}))$  between 0.4 and 0.8 see Table S6). Nonetheless, quasistationary site-specific occupancy ended up predicting the data better in the model without 522 523 distance-dependent colonization than in the model with it. The correlations between the two were 0.48 and 0.55 for *D. magna* and *D. longispina*, respectively, close to the maximum 524 525 correlation possible based on the stochastic variation of the model and lower, though still 526 positive at 0.21, for *D. pulex* (see Table S6).

527

#### 528 Discussion

529 Parallel, highly seasonal, and fast-turnover extinction-colonization dynamics

In all three species, the estimated demographic rates (in an average pond, with no interactions) showed a marked difference between summer and winter, with colonization rates being much higher during summer than winter, and extinctions mostly occurring during the winter. The resulting net increase in predicted occupancy during summer and net decrease during winter corroborates with data and previous speculations based on the observation that dispersal stages (resting eggs) mainly disperse when pools fall dry in summer and the eggs are exposed to wind 536 (Altermatt 2008, Altermatt and Ebert 2008). Low water levels in the rockpool shortly before it 537 dries up also attract birds that feed on the animals and disperse resting eggs that survive through their gut passage (Figuerola and Green 2002, Cuhra 2019). In contrast, ponds are filled with 538 water and may be covered by snow and ice in winter, rendering dispersal less likely. 539 Furthermore, the presence of active (i.e. non-dormant) populations during summer can also 540 contribute to higher colonization rates. Over short distances, planktonic Daphnia can be washed 541 into neighboring pools during strong rains. On the other hand, extinction may be high during 542 the winter because of harsh weather. Although larger resting egg banks likely help a population 543 survive winter, some populations may not produce sufficient amounts of ephippia before the 544 545 winter, so then go extinct. Furthermore, fall and winter storms increase the probability of pools 546 being washed out by wave action or heavy rains, possibly eradicating entire populations. Lastly, 547 predatory fish (mainly the three-spined stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus) may contribute to extinction by invading pools close to the sea, although it is unclear if this is linked to specific 548 periods during the year. 549

550

#### 551 Similar distance-limited dispersal but different colonization rates

552 The estimated dispersal parameters suggest that most colonization events originate from nearby 553 sources (median 15-20 m), with a similar distance decay for all three species. These short 554 colonization distances make sense given the dispersal mechanisms (see previous paragraph) and correspond with previous estimates (Pajunen and Pajunen 2003). Our distance-based model 555 556 is a simplification because it ignores local topography (e.g. dispersal barriers, local dendritic 557 network, e.g. Carrara et al. 2012, Seymour et al. 2015), and may not capture rare events of long-558 distance dispersal. Although long-distance dispersal may constitute a tiny fraction of total 559 colonization and thus have little influence on the fit of the dispersal kernel, it may be important 560 for the long-term fate of the metacommunity, particularly by allowing colonization of islands that were formerly uninhabited by a given species. Yet the striking agreement among the three species and the relatively narrow credibility intervals of the estimates support the idea that the three species share the same basic, passive, dispersal mode at short distances.

564 At this stage, one may note that although dispersal kernels are similar among species, their basal colonization rates as well as their sensitivity to local environmental conditions are 565 566 different. As the passive dispersal of ephippia seems similar, differences in colonization success may rather depend on the number and hatching success of these eggs. D. magna has been shown 567 to produce more resting eggs than D. longispina (Zumbrunn 2009), but it has been suggested 568 that the dispersal ability of *D. longispina* ephippia may be better than both *D. pulex*, and *D.* 569 magna, with D. magna ephippia having the lowest dispersal capacity of the three species 570 (Hanski and Ranta 1983). Environmental control can also influence successful colonization: D. 571 magna cannot settle in ponds with a pH below 6.4, with experimental elevation of pH resulting 572 in an increase of colonization rate (Ebert et al. 2013). In our model, estimates of environmental 573 effects on colonization do not seem very large (compared to those on extinction rates); however, 574 unfavorable environments may already be captured by the model through their effect on 575 576 extinctions. Indeed, if the model predicts that populations will go extinct before the next season, the model has little information to predict whether colonization in these sites differs from the 577 average. In addition, we cannot exclude that we may have missed potentially important 578 environmental variables nor that some of the recorded variables have non-linear effects on 579 colonization rates. For example, local topography determines water connection with nearby 580 pools in a way that is not captured by our distance-based colonization function. Apparent 581 facilitation among species on colonization may also reflect the action of such non-recorded 582 583 variables (see below).

584 Species-specific effects of environment on local extinctions determine fundamental niches at
585 the metapopulation scale

In contrast to colonization rates, extinction rates seemed very sensitive to environmental 586 587 parameters, although this sensitivity differed among species. A practical way to express speciesspecific preferences is to represent their fundamental niche, which we defined, in a 588 metapopulation context as the set of site types, defined by environmental variables, that, if 589 generalized across the entire metapopulation, allows persistence. Because persistence also 590 depends on the density and spatial arrangement of habitat patches, this definition of 591 592 fundamental niche only applies to a particular landscape and requires simulations that preserve the landscape characteristics. Site types that belong to the fundamental niche in our system may 593 not do so in another system, where, for example, colonization rates may be lower because 594 595 habitat patches are more distant from each other. However, although niche limits in the 596 environmental space may expand or contract depending on patch density, the relative suitability of different site types for a species has little reason to change unless the whole environment 597 differs. Also, different species can be compared as long as they inhabit the same landscape, as 598 is the case here. 599

600 In our case, it turns out that the two small-bodied species (D. pulex and D. longispina) 601 present quite similar, overlapping niches compared to the fundamental niche of the largerbodied D. magna. This pattern appears to be driven mainly by species-specific sensitivities of 602 603 extinction rates to both environmental axes (PC1 and PC2). The species' position in the environmental space defined by our model strongly resembles the ordination given in Pajunen 604 & Pajunen (2007). For example, small sites with strong marine influence are unsuitable for all 605 606 three species. Furthermore, D. magna is known to be the most brackish water tolerant, whereas 607 the other two are known as freshwater species (Ranta 1979, Pajunen and Pajunen 2007). It is 608 particularly interesting that although D. pulex and D. longispina have similar fundamental niches, our model suggests that the two species have opposite seasonal patterns (i.e. summer 609 vs. winter) of extinction rate-dependency on environmental conditions. This may indicate a 610

form of temporal niche partitioning, that is, in a given site type, each species tends to thrive ina different season.

613

614 Weak niche partitioning at the landscape scale despite strong competitive interactions615 at the local scale

616 Our model predicts very strong competition effects on extinction rates for all species 617 that may or may not be symmetric, depending on species pair and season. Patterns of strong local competition, including competition effects on extinction, have previously been reported 618 619 for this system (Bengtsson 1989, 1991, 1993, Hugueny et al. 2007, Zumbrunn 2009). The 620 particularly strong effect (tenfold increase in extinction rates) of D. pulex and D. longispina on each other (in summer) is not unexpected given their similar life-histories. Species-specific 621 parasites may also influence this dynamic, although the parasites of D. pulex and D. longispina 622 are not much studied (Ebert et al. 2001, Zumbrunn 2009), but ongoing research suggests that 623 624 they are much less parasitized than D. magna (D. Ebert, unpublished).

625 Competition may restrict the realized niches (as opposed to the fundamental ones) if it results in asymmetrical effects in some part of the ecological space. This can arise from the 626 627 joint effects of environment and competition; for example, in an intermediate-sized terrestrial habitat ((-1,0) in the PC plane) where both species co-occur, extinction probability in summer 628 is about 0.10 for D. pulex, and 0.26 for D. longispina; while it is 0.61 for D. pulex, and 0.04 for 629 630 D. longispina in a more marine habitat ((1,0) in the PC plane). As a result, some habitats of the first type are removed from D. longispina's niche, and some habitats of the second type from 631 D. pulex's niche, which generally coincides with the observed occupancy patterns of the two 632 species and suggests that our model, although it simplifies matters by ignoring interactions 633

between habitat and competition, still has the capacity to fit habitat-dependent impacts ofspecies on each other.

At this point we are left with an apparent paradox: the two ingredients required for 636 637 spatial niche partitioning, i.e., different species habitat preferences and strong local competitive interactions among species, are present; however, they do not seem to scale up to produce a 638 strong pattern of spatial niche partitioning at the metapopulation scale. Indeed, species do not 639 clearly segregate into different parts of the ecological space (i.e. subsets of sites) as previously 640 supposed (Pajunen and Pajunen 2003), as we see from the fact that, globally, realized niches 641 differ little from fundamental ones and strongly overlap between species. This may stem from 642 several reasons: First, competitive effects on local extinction are strong but mostly symmetrical, 643 so that in habitats broadly tolerated by two species, they may often locally exclude each other, 644 645 but without a systematic advantage to one, so both species persist in this habitat type and realized niches are not or hardly reduced compared to fundamental ones. Second, the expected 646 occupancy of the species is not very high (around 20 % of all sites), and competition only acts 647 648 on doubly-occupied sites, which are rather infrequent. Third, the impact of species on each other's extinction rates seems to be compensated by positive impacts on colonization (see 649 below). Fourth, the high turnover of the three species in concert with habitat heterogeneity in 650 651 space strongly reduces effective competitive effects at the regional scale, where only the competitive effects on D. magna are visible (Fig. 6C), which may explain why it was seen as a 652 bad competitor in previous studies (Hanski and Ranta 1983). 653

654 Apparent facilitation on colonization rates

In contrast to the negative impact observed on persistence, the presence of one *Daphnia* species in late summer tends to increase the site's probability of being colonized by other *Daphnia* species before the next spring (i.e. winter colonization rate). The mechanism of interspecific facilitation for *Daphnia* colonization is hard to imagine, given that dispersal is 659 passive, hatching of ephippia not known to depend on intra- or interspecific cues, and hatchling 660 survival is probably affected by competition. However, any unmeasured factor or mechanism that makes some pools better habitats for all Daphnia species can results in such apparent 661 facilitation. For instance, the absence of predators may favor colonization for all species, 662 although predators seem not to be a major ecological factor in this system (but see Ranta and 663 Espo 1989). Likewise, field topography may play an unrecognized role: pools located 664 downstream in a cascade of connected pools may receive more propagules and are usually 665 occupied more often, and colonized by new species more often than average, making it likely 666 that a species is already present when another colonizes the site. As such specificities (and other 667 668 potential effects of unmeasured variables or non-linear measured ones) are not present in our 669 environmental variables or the current model, they will result in apparent positive interactions, potentially masking true negative interactions. Similarly, the interspecific impacts we observed 670 on extinction may also have been underestimated because of positive correlations driven by 671 overall lifestyle similarity and common dependency on water regimes. 672

Overall, the net effect of interspecific interactions - both positive and negative, apparent 673 or real - on distribution patterns was moderate: realized niches did not differ much from 674 675 fundamental ones (even slightly larger in total for *D. magna* and *D. longispina*) and still largely overlapped among the three species. While long-term relative occupancy of species in each 676 habitat type differed, with different species being quantitatively dominant depending on the site 677 type, few sites can be considered "private" niches, i.e. sites tolerated exclusively by only one 678 679 species, confirming previous studies by Ranta (1979) and Pajunen & Pajunen (2007), which suggested that niche differences are small. 680

681

Niche-based prediction of species distribution and the role of distance-limited dispersal

682 Simulations in the heterogeneous landscape based on our initial observed distributions well683 reflected the observed occupancies over the 35 years' study period, but did not predict site-

specific occupancies (i.e. spatial distribution) better than the estimated niche alone. 684 Surprisingly, predictions for *D. magna* and *D. longispina* aligned with observations better when 685 dispersal limitations were not considered. For D. pulex, expected occupancy was better 686 predicted by including dispersal limitation. When pool occupancy was randomly initialized, the 687 correlation between simulated and real data was weaker, since less information was provided, 688 this being particularly strong for *D. pulex*. In this regard, conclusions about dispersal kernels 689 appear somewhat paradoxical. Indeed, the dispersal kernels themselves appear well estimated 690 (i.e. small CI, biologically realistic and consistent with previous estimation), but simulations 691 using these kernels generally performed worse than without, except for D. pulex. Long-distance 692 693 colonization events, because of their apparent rarity, may explain this paradox, as these events 694 have only a very small impact on the kernel estimation but may be important for predicting the future of the system (e.g., to repopulate isolated parts of the area that have gone extinct 695 previously). 696

697

698

#### The long-term behavior of the Daphnia metacommunity

Overall, the long-term projections presented the same characteristics as the short-term 699 700 simulations. Again, the non-spatialized model predicted patterns of occupancies and spatial distributions quite well, showing that two species, D. magna and D. longispina would keep 701 702 stable metapopulation occupancies, close to what was observed, but that D. pulex would reach a much higher occupancy than currently observed. Based on niche, D. pulex has the largest 703 704 number of suitable ponds compared to the other species, yet it occupies only a small fraction of 705 these. It is possible that D. pulex is slowly expanding across the archipelago, but too slowly to leave a clear signal in the 35-year series. Islands in the Tvärminne archipelago undergo 706 707 modifications with time, becoming increasingly terrestrial because of post-glacial land uplift 708 (~30 cm/100 years), colonization and growth by trees and other plants. Such habitats are known to be more favorable to *D. pulex*, the most "continental" of the three species. On the other hand,
uplifting creates new habitats as pools emerge from the sea.

711

#### 712 *Limits of the approach*

713 Our approach works well at disentangling spatial, environmental and interaction effects while 714 accounting for imperfect detection. It allows proposing a mechanistic viewpoint on species 715 dynamics in a metacommunity context and uses simulation to project dynamics under various assumptions. It enables us to see, for example, how local interactions scale at the 716 717 metacommunity level when habitat heterogeneity, spatial repartition of habitat and limited dispersal are considered. With regard to the spatialized aspect, the choice of the exponential 718 function was arbitrary and the colonization kernel could have been modeled with other 719 distributions. In particular, long-distance events were most difficult to estimate well, leading to 720 potentially unrealistic long-term projections. Also, some external colonization sources may 721 722 exist. Indeed, although not all islands in the archipelago were sampled, some may contain 723 Daphnia populations that act as stepping stones for colonization, but are not accounted for in the model. Adding an *ad hoc* small offset to the colonization rate would account for these 724 725 limitations in the simulations, although further studies would benefit from addressing this issue directly. 726

We modeled interactions as pairwise coefficients between species independently of the environment. Yet, competition between species is likely to change depending on the environmental context (Chamberlain et al. 2014). In the current model, competitive exclusion outcomes depend on both environmental effects and interactions, but in an orthogonal way (i.e. there is no covariance between interactions and environmental effects). Similarly, we only consider first-order interactions, although higher order ones may exist. In addition, although

our model considers environmental variables as fixed properties of ponds, rockpools in the 733 734 Tvärminne archipelago evolve with time, as post-glacial uplifting raises islands from the sea. If such changes are important on intermediate time scales, environmental effects may be 735 misestimated and misunderstood as interactions, as species presence is the only source of 736 spatiotemporal variation in the predictors. For example, when a pond becomes suitable for 737 several species due to an environmental change, environmental properties in the model do not 738 change, so the model will attribute effects to other species, resulting in apparent facilitation. 739 Lastly, detection probabilities are species specific and do not depend on the presence of other 740 species or environmental factors, although these could affect it. 741

742

#### 743 Conclusion

Our study extends previous research on metacommunity dynamics both technically through its 744 745 data analysis tools and biologically, with insights about the ecology of the system. It reveals 746 marked seasonal effects, with the summer period being generally more favorable for the 747 presence of *Daphnia* but also more dynamic. Our most striking observation is that the three species do not have identical ecological niches in the sense that their metapopulation dynamics 748 749 are not affected by environmental parameters in the same way, and that they strongly compete with each other, but do not show a pattern of clear long-term segregation into ecologically 750 751 distinct site subgroups (i.e. niche partitioning at the metacommunity scale). Local interactions do not scale up to the metacommunity scale because of the complex interplay of environmental 752 753 heterogeneity, stochasticity in extinction and colonization processes, and spatially-limited 754 dispersal relative to the distribution of habitats across the landscape. This echoes the fact that 755 niche and neutral models are not opposed, and that considering a metacommunity as being 756 driven by one or the other may depend on one's perspective (i.e. the spatial scale). Dispersal 757 limitation seems to be relatively well captured in our model, influencing metacommunity dynamic especially in terms of spatial distribution and number of occupied ponds. However, rare long-distance dispersal events are probably not well estimated, though they, like immigration from surrounding areas, may stabilize the metacommunity dynamic over the long term. A primary goal for future studies is to better integrate the different spatial and temporal scales at which dispersal occurs, so that long-term metacommunity trajectories can be extrapolated and closed-system assumptions relieved.

764

## 765 Acknowledgements

766 We thank Jürgen Hottinger, Andrea Cabalzar, Mikko Lehto, Peter Fields, Jennifer Lohr, David

767 Preiswerk, David Duneau, Katharina Ebert Gleb Ebert, A. Marcelino, Y. Haag, E. Haag, C.

768 Liautard-Haag, C. Reisser, C. Molinier, E. Hürlimann, and C. Mills for help with data collection

in the field. Jürgen Hottinger supported the laboratory work. Suzanne Zweizig improved the

170 language of the manuscript. This work was supported by a grant of the Swiss National Science

Foundation to DE and a PhD fellowship from the University of Montpellier to MD.

772

## 773 Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

#### 775 Literature cited / references

- Altermatt, F. 2008. Ecological and evolutionary dynamics in "Daphnia" metapopulations.
  (Doctoral dissertation, Philosophisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät, Basel,
  Switzerland).
- Altermatt, F., and D. Ebert. 2008. The influence of pool volume and summer desiccation on
   the production of the resting and dispersal stage in a Daphnia metapopulation. Oecologia
   157:441–452.

# Altermatt, F., and D. Ebert. 2010. Populations in small, ephemeral habitat patches may drive dynamics in a Daphnia magna metapopulation. Ecology 91:2975–2982.

- Altermatt, F., V. I. Pajunen, and D. Ebert. 2009. Desiccation of rock pool habitats and its
   influence on population persistence in a Daphnia metacommunity. PLoS ONE 4.
- Amarasekare, P. 2003. Competitive coexistence in spatially structured environments: A
   synthesis. Ecology Letters 6:1109–1122.
- 788 Bell, G. 2001. Neutral macroecology. Science 293:2413–2418.
- Bengtsson, J. 1986. Life histories and interspecific competition between three Daphnia
   species in rockpools. The Journal of Animal Ecology 55:641–655.
- Bengtsson, J. 1989. Interspecific competition increases local extinction rate in a
   metapopulation system. Nature 340.
- Bengtsson, J. 1991. Interspecific competition in metapopulations. Biological Journal of the
   Linnean Society 42:218–237.
- Bengtsson, J. 1993. Interspecific competition and determinants of extinction in experimental
   populations of three rockpool Daphnia species. Oikos 67:451–464.
- Carrara, F., F. Altermatt, I. Rodriguez-Iturbe, and A. Rinaldo. 2012. Dendritic connectivity
   controls biodiversity patterns in experimental metacommunities. Proceedings of the
   National Academy of Sciences 109:5761–5766.
- Chamberlain, S. A., J. L. Bronstein, and J. A. Rudgers. 2014. How context dependent are
   species interactions? Ecology Letters 17:881–890.
- Chase, J. M., and M. A. Leibold. 2003. Ecological niches: linking classical and contemporary
   approaches. University of Chicago Press.
- Cuhra, M. 2019. Observations of water-flea Daphnia magna and avian fecalia in rock pools:
  is traditional natural history reporting still relevant for science? Journal of Natural
  History 53:315–334.
- Bor Davis, C. L., D. A. W. Miller, S. C. Walls, W. J. Barichivich, J. W. Riley, and M. E. Brown.
  2017. Species interactions and the effects of climate variability on a wetland amphibian
  metacommunity. Ecological Applications 27:285–296.
- Bubart, M., J. H. Pantel, J. P. Pointier, P. Jarne, and P. David. 2019. Modeling competition,
  niche, and coexistence between an invasive and a native species in a two-species
  metapopulation. Ecology 100:1–15.
- Eaton, M. J., P. T. Hughes, J. E. Hines, and J. D. Nichols. 2014. Testing metapopulation
  concepts: Effects of patch characteristics and neighborhood occupancy on the dynamics
  of an endangered lagomorph. Oikos 123:662–676.
- Ebert, D., J. W. Hottinger, and V. I. Pajunen. 2001. Temporal and spatial dynamics of
  parasites in a Daphnia metapopulation: Which factors explain parasite richness? Ecology
  818 82:3417–3434.
- Ebert, D., J. W. Hottinger, and V. I. Pajunen. 2013. Unsuitable habitat patches lead to severe
  underestimation of dynamics and gene flow in a zooplankton metapopulation. Journal of
  Animal Ecology 82:759–769.
- Fernández-i-Marín, X. 2016. ggmcmc : Analysis of MCMC Samples and Bayesian Inference.
   Journal of Statistical Software 70.

- Fidino, M., J. L. Simonis, and S. B. Magle. 2019. A multistate dynamic occupancy model to
  estimate local colonization–extinction rates and patterns of co-occurrence between two
  or more interacting species. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 10:233–244.
- Figuerola, J., and A. J. Green. 2002. Dispersal of aquatic organisms by waterbirds: A review
  of past research and priorities for future studies. Freshwater Biology 47:483–494.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., Dunson, D. B., Vehtari, A., & Rubin, D. B. (2013). *Bayesian data analysis*. CRC press.
- Gilbert, B., and J. R. Bennett. 2010. Partitioning variation in ecological communities: Do the
  numbers add up? Journal of Applied Ecology 47:1071–1082.
- Gravel, D., C. D. Canham, M. Beaudet, and C. Messier. 2006. Reconciling niche and
  neutrality: The continuum hypothesis. Ecology Letters 9:399–409.
- Guillera-Arroita, G. 2017. Modelling of species distributions, range dynamics and
   communities under imperfect detection: advances, challenges and opportunities.
   Ecography 40:281–295.
- Haag, C. R., M. Riek, J. W. Hottinger, V. I. Pajunen, and D. Ebert. 2005. Genetic diversity
  and genetic differentiation in Daphnia metapopulations with subpopulations of known
  age. Genetics 170:1809–1820.
- Haag, C. R., M. Riek, J. W. Hottinger, V. I. Pajunen, and D. Ebert. 2006. Founder events as
  determinants of within-island and among-island genetic structure of Daphnia
  metapopulations. Heredity 96:150–158.
- Hanski, I. 1983. Coexistence of competitors in patchy environment. Ecology 64:493–500.
- Hanski, I. 1994. A practical model of metapopulation dynamics. Journal of Animal Ecology
  63:151-162.
- Hanski, I., and E. Ranta. 1983. Coexistence in a patchy environment: Three species of
  Daphnia in rock pools. Journal of Animal Ecology 52:263–279.
- Hubbell, S. P. 2001. The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogrography. Princeton
  University Press.
- Hugueny, B., H. V. Cornell, and S. Harrison. 2007. Metacommunity models predict the local regional species richness relationship in a natural system. Ecology 88:1696–1706.
- Hutchinson, G. E. 1959. Homage to Santa Rosalia or why are there so many kinds of
  animals ? The American naturalist 93.
- Järnefelt, H. 1940. Beobachtungen über die Hydrologie einiger Schärentümpel. Verh. Int.
  Ver. Theoret. Angew. Limn. 9:79–101.
- Lagerspetz, K. 1955. Physiological studies on the brackish water tolerance of some species of
  Daphnia. Archivum Societatis Zoologicae Botanicae Fennicae "Vanamo" 9:suppl. 138143.
- Lehto, M. P., and C. R. Haag. 2010. Ecological differentiation between coexisting sexual and
   asexual strains of Daphnia pulex. Journal of Animal Ecology 79:1241–1250.
- Leibold, M. A., M. Holyoak, N. Mouquet, P. Amarasekare, J. M. Chase, M. F. Hoopes, R. D.
  Holt, J. B. Shurin, R. Law, D. Tilman, M. Loreau, and A. Gonzalez. 2004. The

- 864 metacommunity concept: A framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecology
  865 Letters 7:601–613.
- Levander, K. M. 1900. Zur Kenntnis des Lebens in den stehenden Kleingewässern auf den
  Skäreninseln. Acta Societatis pro Fauna et Flora Fennica 18:1–107.
- Levins, R. 1969. Some demographic and genetic consequences of environmental
   heterogeneity for biological control. Bulletin of the Entomological Society of America
   15:237–240.
- MacArthur, R., and E. O. Wilson. 1967. The theory of island biogeography. Princeton
  University Press.
- MacKenzie, D. I., J. D. Nichols, J. E. Hines, M. G. Knutson, and A. B. Franklin. 2003.
  Estimating site occupancy, colonization, and local extinction when a species is detected
  imperfectly. Ecology 84:2200–2207.
- MacKenzie, D. L., J. D. Nichols, G. B. Lachman, S. Droege, J. A. Royle, and C. A.
  Langtimm. 2002. Estimating site occupancy rates when detection probabilities are less
  than one. Ecology 83:2248–2255.
- Martin, J., S. Chamaillé-Jammes, J. D. Nichols, H. Fritz, J. E. Hines, C. J. Fonnesbeck, D. I.
  Mackenzie, and L. L. Bailey. 2010. Simultaneous modeling of habitat suitability,
  occupancy, and relative abundance: African elephants in Zimbabwe. Ecological
  Applications 20:1173–1182.
- Milbrink, G., and J. Bengtsson. 1991. The Impact of Size-Selective Predation on Competition
   between two Daphnia Species: A Laboratory Study. Journal of Animal Ecology
   60:1009–1028.
- Miller, D. A. W., C. S. Brehme, J. E. Hines, J. D. Nichols, and R. N. Fisher. 2012. Joint
  estimation of habitat dynamics and species interactions: Disturbance reduces cooccurrence of non-native predators with an endangered toad. Journal of Animal Ecology
  889 81:1288–1297.
- Pajunen, V. I. 1986. Distributional dynamics of Daphnia species in a rock-pool environment.
   Annales Zoologici Fennici 23.
- Pajunen, V. I., and I. Pajunen. 2003. Long-term dynamics in rock pool Daphnia
  metapopulations. Ecography 26:731–738.
- Pajunen, V. I., and I. Pajunen. 2007. Habitat characteristics contributing to local occupancy
  and habitat use in rock pool Daphnia metapopulations. Hydrobiologia 592:291–302.
- Plummer, M. 2003. JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs
   sampling. Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical
   Computing (DSC 2003):20–22.
- Plummer, M., N. Best, and K. Cowles. 2006. CODA: convergence diagnosis and output
  analysis for MCMC. R News 6.
- Ranta, E. 1979. Niche of Daphnia species in rock pools. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 87:205–
  223.
- 903 Ranta, E., and J. Espo. 1989. Predation by the rock-pool insects Arctocorisa carinata,
  904 Callicorixa producta (Het. Corixidae) and Potamonectes griseostriatus (Col. Dytiscidae).
  905 Annales Zoologici Fennici 26:53-60.

- Royle, J. A., and M. Kéry. 2007. A Bayesian state-space formulation of dynamic occupancy
   models. Ecology 88:1813–1823.
- Seymour, M., E. a. Fronhofer, and F. Altermatt. 2015. Dendritic network structure and
   dispersal affect temporal dynamics of diversity and species persistence. Oikos:908–916.
- Slatkin, M. 1974. Competition and regional coexistence. Ecology 55:128–134.
- Smith, T. W., and J. T. Lundholm. 2010. Variation partitioning as a tool to distinguish
  between niche and neutral processes. Ecography 33:648–655.
- Tuomisto, H., L. Ruokolainen, and K. Ruokolainen. 2012. Modelling niche and neutral
   dynamics: On the ecological interpretation of variation partitioning results. Ecography
   35:961–971.
- 2009. Daphnia metacommunity dynamics: The roles of inbreeding, parasitim,
   competition, and dispersal. (Doctoral dissertation, Philosophisch-
- 918 Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät, Basel, Switzerland)
- 919