

### Probing the ionotropic activity of glutamate GluD2 receptor in HEK cells with genetically-engineered photopharmacology

Damien Lemoine, Sarah Mondoloni, Jérome Tange, Bertrand Lambolez, Philippe Faure, Antoine Taly, Ludovic Tricoire, Alexandre Mourot

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Damien Lemoine, Sarah Mondoloni, Jérome Tange, Bertrand Lambolez, Philippe Faure, et al.. Probing the ionotropic activity of glutamate GluD2 receptor in HEK cells with genetically-engineered photopharmacology. eLife, 2020, 9, pp.e59026. 10.7554/eLife.59026 . hal-03087878

### HAL Id: hal-03087878 https://hal.science/hal-03087878

Submitted on 15 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Probing the ionotropic activity of glutamate GluD2 receptor in HEK cells with
2	genetically-engineered photopharmacology.
3	
4	
5	
6	Damien Lemoine <sup>1</sup> , Sarah Mondoloni <sup>1</sup> , Jérôme Tange <sup>1</sup> , Bertrand Lambolez <sup>1</sup> , Philippe
7	Faure <sup>1</sup> , Antoine Taly <sup>2,3*</sup> , Ludovic Tricoire <sup>1*</sup> and Alexandre Mourot <sup>1*</sup> .
8	
9	
10	<sup>1</sup> Neuroscience Paris Seine - Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (NPS - IBPS), CNRS,
11	INSERM, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
12	<sup>2</sup> CNRS, Université de Paris, UPR 9080, Laboratoire de Biochimie Théorique, UPR
13	9080, 13 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, F-75005, Paris, France
14	<sup>3</sup> Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique-Fondation Edmond de Rothschild, PSL
15	Research University, Paris, France
16	* Equal contribution
17	
18	
19	Correspondence to: alexandre.mourot@inserm.fr ; ludovic.tricoire@upmc.fr
20	
21	
22	Keywords: optogenetics, photopharmacology, Glutamate receptors, tethered ligands,
23	ion channels, azobenzene
24	
25	

#### 26 Abstract

Glutamate delta (GluD) receptors belong to the ionotropic glutamate receptor family, 27 yet they don't bind glutamate and are considered orphan. Progress in defining the ion 28 channel function of GluDs in neurons has been hindered by a lack of 29 pharmacological tools. Here we used a chemo-genetic approach to engineer specific 30 and photo-reversible pharmacology in GluD2 receptor. We incorporated a cysteine 31 mutation in the cavity located above the putative ion channel pore, for site-specific 32 conjugation with a photoswitchable pore blocker. In the constitutively-open GluD2 33 Lurcher mutant, current could be rapidly and reversibly decreased with light. We then 34 transposed the cysteine mutation to the native receptor, to demonstrate with high 35 pharmacological specificity that metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling triggers 36 opening of GluD2. Our results assess the functional relevance of GluD2 ion channel 37 38 and introduce an optogenetic tool that will provide a novel and powerful means for probing GluD2 ionotropic contribution to neuronal physiology. 39

#### **Introduction**

The delta glutamate receptors, GluD1 and GluD2, belong to the ionotropic 41 glutamate receptor (iGluR) family, yet they don't bind glutamate (Yuzaki and Aricescu 42 43 2017). They are considered as glutamate receptors solely based on their strong sequence and structure homology with AMPA, NMDA and kainate receptors (Lomeli 44 et al. 1993; Araki et al. 1993; Schmid and Hollmann 2008; Elegheert et al. 2016; 45 Burada, Vinnakota and Kumar 2020a; Burada, Vinnakota and Kumar 2020b). GluD 46 receptors are widely expressed throughout the brain, GluD1 predominantly in the 47 forebrain, while GluD2 is highly enriched in cerebellar Purkinje cells (PCs) (Konno et 48 49 al. 2014; Hepp et al. 2015; Nakamoto, Konno, et al. 2020). Both GluD1 and GluD2 play a role in the formation, stabilization, function and plasticity of synapses through 50 their interaction with members of the cerebellin (Cbln) family (Fossati et al. 2019; Tao 51 52 et al. 2018; Matsuda et al. 2010; Kakegawa et al. 2008). Cbln1 notably binds both the N-terminal domain of postsynaptic GluD2 and presynaptic neurexins, leading to a 53 54 trans-synaptic bridge that promotes synaptogenesis and is essential for GluD2 55 signaling in vivo (Elegheert et al. 2016; Suzuki et al. 2020). Deletion of genes coding for GluD1 or GluD2 in mouse results in marked behavioral alterations (Yadav et al. 56 2012; Lalouette et al. 2001; Yadav et al. 2013; Nakamoto, Kawamura, et al. 2020), 57 in these genes in humans have been associated with 58 and mutations neurodevelopmental and psychiatric diseases (Griswold et al. 2012; Treutlein et al. 59 2009; Greenwood et al. 2011; Cristino et al. 2019), attesting to their functional 60 importance in brain circuits. 61

62

63 Despite their structural similarity with other iGluRs, and notably the presence
64 of a ligand binding domain (LBD), GluDs stand out because they are not activated by

65	glutamate (Araki et al. 1993; Lomeli et al. 1993). Nonetheless, recent studies
66	revealed that GluD pore opening could be triggered indirectly, through the activation
67	of Gq-coupled metabotropic receptors, and contributes to neurotransmission and
68	neuronal excitability (Ady et al. 2014; Dadak et al. 2017; Benamer et al. 2017; Gantz
69	et al. 2020). Indeed, the activation of GluD channels triggered by metabotropic
70	glutamate receptors (mGlu1/5) underlies the slow excitatory postsynaptic current in
71	cerebellar PCs (GluD2, Ady et al. 2014) and in midbrain dopaminergic neurons
72	(GluD1, Benamer et al. 2017). Moreover, the demonstration that GluD1 channels
73	carry the noradrenergic slow excitatory current in dorsal raphe neurons (Gantz et al.
74	2020), suggests that the contribution of GluD channels to neuronal excitability and
75	synaptic physiology may be widespread. The above studies relied largely on genetic
76	tools, such as dead-pore mutants or targeted deletions, to assess the ion channel
77	function of GluDs. Yet, due to the absence of specific pharmacological tools to block
78	their ion permeation, the role of GluD1/2 channels in the regulation of neural activity
79	remains largely elusive
80	
81	Pore blockers for GluDs, such as pentamidine and 1-Naphthyl acetyl spermine
82	(NASPM), were previously identified using a point mutation (A654T) in GluD2 that
83	confers constitutive ion flow and causes the degeneration of cerebellar PCs in
84	Lurcher (GluD2 <sup>Lc</sup> ) mice (Wollmuth et al. 2000; Zuo et al. 1997). These molecules are
85	however also pore blockers of NMDA and AMPA receptors, respectively. Other
86	ligands such as D-serine and glycine bind to the LBD and reduce spontaneous
87	currents in GluD2 <sup>Lc</sup> , which suggests a coupling between the LBD and the channel
88	(Naur et al. 2007; Hansen et al. 2009), but these molecules have broad spectrum
89	activity. Finally, 7-chlorokynurenic acid has been identified to modulate GluD2 <sup>Lc</sup>

90 <u>current by binding to the D-serine site but it is also a GluN1 competitive antagonist</u>
91 <u>(Kristensen et al. 2016).</u>

92

93 To fill this gap, we bestowed light-sensitivity to the GluD ion channel pore using a photoswitchable tethered ligand (PTL) approach (Paoletti et al. 2019; 94 95 Mondoloni et al. 2019). Using structure-based design, we incorporated a cysteine point mutation at the surface of GluD2, right above the hypothetical channel lumen, 96 onto which can be anchored a photoswitchable pore blocker. Different wavelengths 97 of light are then used to modify the geometry of the PTL, thereby 98 presenting/removing the blocker to/from the channel, resulting in optical control of 99 ionotropic activity. Here we demonstrate rapid and reversible, optical control of ion 100 current through a cysteine-substituted GluD2 receptor. This novel tool, called light-101 102 controllable GluD2 (LiGluD2), allows rapid, reversible and pharmacologically-specific control of ionic current through GluD2, and may help provide a mechanistic 103 104 understanding of how this receptor contributes to brain circuit <u>function</u> and behaviors.

105

106 **Results** 

107

#### Designing a light-controllable GluD receptor

Our approach to probing the functionality of the ion channel in GluD is to install a photo-isomerizable pore blocker at the extracellular entrance to the channel lumen (Figure 1A). The tethered ligand is site-specifically attached to a cysteine-substituted residue. In darkness or under green light (500-535 nm), the PTL adopts an elongated shape and reaches the lumen, resulting in ion channel blockade, while under violet light (380-390 nm), it switches to a twisted, shorter configuration, relieving blockade. Our design of the PTL was based on the chemical structure of pentamidine (Figure

1B), a pore blocker that efficiently blocks current through GluD2<sup>Lc</sup> receptors (Williams 115 116 et al. 2003). The PTL, called MAGu, contains a thiol-reactive maleimide (M) moiety, a central photo-isomerizable azobenzene (A) chromophore, and a guanidinium (Gu) 117 head group that resembles the amidinium groups of pentamidine (Figure 1C). MAGu 118 119 was selected notably because its synthesis route has been described (referred to as 120 PAG1c in the original article) and because it was shown to have no adverse effect on native brain tissue (Lin et al. 2015). In aqueous solution, MAGu could be converted 121 to its *cis* form using 380 nm light, and converted back to *trans* either slowly in 122 darkness (t<sub>1/2</sub> ~ 20 min) or rapidly upon illumination with 525 nm light (Figure 1-figure 123 124 supplement 1A-B), in agreement with previous reports (Lin et al. 2018). To find the best attachment site for MAGu on GluD, we developed a homology model of the 125 GluD2 receptor, based on the structure of the recently crystallized GluA2 receptor 126 127 (Twomey et al. 2017) (see methods). Using this model, we selected a series of 15 128 residues, located on the peptide that links the LBD to the third transmembrane 129 domain (M3) that lines the channel lumen, for mutation to cysteine (Figure 1D-E).

130

## 131

#### Cysteine Screening

Since no known ligand directly gates the ion channel of GluD2, we used a Lc 132 mutant, L654T, which displays a constitutively open channel (Wollmuth et al. 2000; 133 Zuo et al. 1997), for screening the 15 single-cysteine mutations. Accordingly, we 134 found that heterologous expression of GluD2-L654T, but not of the wild-type (WT) 135 136 protein, in HEK cells produces large currents that reverse at membrane potential close to 0 mV and are reduced by externally-applied pentamidine (Figure 2A). 137 Subtracted Lc current showed clear rectification at positive potentials, as reported 138 with the blockade by NASP, another GluD blocker (Kohda et al. 2000). Therefore, the 139

L654T Lc mutant was subsequently used as a screening platform to find the best 140 141 attachment site for MAGu on GluD2. Each of the 15 residues identified in Figure 1D were mutated individually to cysteine on the L654T background, and tested using 142 patch-clamp electrophysiology. Cells were treated with MAGu (20 µM, 20 min) and 143 Lc currents were measured in voltage-clamp mode (-60 mV) under different 144 145 illumination conditions to toggle MAGu between its *cis* and *trans* states. As expected, 146 <u>current</u> through L654T was not affected by light, indicating that in the absence of a 147 properly positioned cysteine, MAGu has no effect on this Lc channel. In contrast, we found several cysteine mutants for which current was significantly larger under 380 148 149 than under 535 nm light, and one mutant (Q669C) for which there was a tendency for "reverse photoswitching", i.e. larger currents under 535 than under 380 nm light 150 (Figure 2B). We then quantified the degree of photoswitching by comparing the block 151 152 in darkness (trans state) to the block evoked by a saturating concentration of pentamidine (100  $\mu$ M). We excluded from the analysis mutants that displayed no 153 154 pentamidine-decreased leak current (i.e. mutants for which pentamidine block was significantly smaller than that observed on A654T, Figure 2-figure supplement 1A-B), 155 because they were likely either not expressed or not functional. Photoswitching was 156 significant for Q666C, Q669C, D670C, Q674C and I677C, suggesting that MAGu 157 covalently reacted with these cysteine mutants and that, once tethered, it could 158 159 modulate current in one of its conformer (Figure 2C). Importantly, photomodulation was absent in the control A654T and the other cysteine mutants, indicating that the 160 effect of light is specific to the attachment of MAGu to the above-mentioned cysteine 161 162 mutants. From a structural point of view, the photocontrollable mutants are all located at the very top of the linker, i.e. further away from the membrane domain compared 163 to other tested residues (Figure 2D). 164

166

#### Photocontrol of GluD2-L654T-I677C tethered with MAGu

We then selected the best mutant GluD2-L654T-I677C for further 167 characterization. Because pentamidine does not fully block GluD2, even at saturating 168 concentrations (Williams et al. 2003), we quantified the extent of photoswitching by 169 blocking leak current completely, using impermeant N-Methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG). 170 We found that MAGu blocked about 33% of the leak current in its trans form (Figure 171 3A). Photoregulation was fully reversible over many cycles of 380 and 535 nm light 172 (Figure <u>3B</u>), in agreement with the fact that azobenzenes photobleach minimally 173 174 (Beharry and Woolley 2011). Under our illumination conditions, light pulses of 200 ms were sufficient to fully unblock the current, while shorter illumination times could be 175 used to finely tune the degree of blockade (Figure 3C). Once in the *cis* configuration, 176 177 MAGu relaxes back to its thermodynamically stable trans state slowly, with a half-life of about 20 min in solution (Figure S1B). Accordingly, relief of blockade persisted for 178 179 many seconds in darkness after a brief flash of 380 nm light (Figure 3D), eliminating 180 the need for constant illumination, an important feature for future neurophysiology experiments. 181

182

From a pharmacological point of view, current blockade occurred in the *trans* state (535 nm) and was relieved in the *cis* configuration (380 nm) for all membrane potential tested, with <u>very little</u> voltage-dependence (Fig<u>ure 4A</u>), which contrasts with the profound voltage-dependence of block observed with pentamidine. This suggested to us that the positive charge of MAGu may not sense the electrical field of the membrane as much as pentamidine does, and thus that the two molecules <u>may bind to different sites</u>. To investigate whether MAGu and pentamidine compete

for the same binding site, we evaluated the dose-response relationship of 190 191 pentamidine block on GluD2-L654T-I677C conjugated with MAGu, under both 380 and 535 nm light (Figure 4B). We found the IC50s under both wavelengths to be 192 virtually indistinguishable, favoring the idea that MAGu and pentamidine have 193 distinct, non-overlapping binding sites. To get further molecular insight into trans 194 195 MAGu-induced reduction of current, we performed molecular modeling experiments. 196 After inserting the cysteine mutation, trans MAGu was docked by covalent docking. 197 i.e. the reactive maleimide moiety was forced to be in contact with the cysteine while the rest of the molecule was free to move. We found that the guanidinium headgroup 198 199 of *trans* MAGu couldn't reach the membrane-embedded lumen of GluD2 (Figure 4C), in agreement with our electrophysiology data. The effect of *trans* MAGu on the ion 200 current was tested with the MOLEonline webserver (Pravda et al. 2018), which 201 202 allowed to compute the geometry of the ion channel. We found that the photoswitch 203 has a direct steric effect on the size of the cavity above the channel, as shown by the 204 comparison of the computed channel in presence or absence of the photoswitch 205 (Figure 4D). In addition, the charge of the photoswitch could modify the electrostatic potential in the cavity and thereby affect ion transfer. 206

207

208

#### Optical control of the native GluD2 channel.

We next sought to determine whether the non-Lc, native channel could be photocontrolled after installation of MAGu on the cysteine-substituted GluD2-I677C receptor. In heterologous expression system, activation of mGlu1 using the selective agonist 3,5-Dihydroxyphenylglycine (DHPG) was reported to trigger opening of GluD2 receptors (Ady et al. 2014; Dadak et al. 2017). Therefore, we co-expressed the b isoform of mGlu1, which displays low basal activity (Prézeau et al. 1996), 215 together with GluD2 in HEK cells. Cells were labeled with MAGu and DHPG currents 216 were recorded while alternating between 380 and 535 nm light. We found that 217 DHPG-induced currents were reversibly reduced by about 23 % under 535 nm 218 compared to 380 nm light for I677C, indicating that optical blockade with MAGu could 219 be transposed to the native, non-Lc GluD2 (Figure 5A). Importantly, DHPG-induced 220 currents were identical in both wavelengths of light for the WT receptor (Figure 5B). 221 confirming that the effect of light is specific to the attachment of MAGu to I677C (Figure 5C). In addition, we observed that the holding current increased when 222 switching from darkness to 380 nm light for I677C, and decreased when switching 223 224 back to 535 nm light, but remained constant in both wavelengths of light for WT (Figure 5D). This suggests that a fraction GluD2 receptors are constitutively open 225 prior to DHPG application, likely due to some basal mGlu1 activity in these cells. 226 227 Altogether, these results show that the GluD2 I677C mutant labeled with MAGu 228 (a.k.a. LiGluD2) possesses a functional ion channel, which can be gated through the 229 mGlu signaling pathway, and which can be reversibly blocked and unblocked with 230 green and purple light, respectively.

232	In order to evaluate the usefulness of LiGluD2 in neurons, we verified that
233	MAGu treatment does not lead to photosensitization of native glutamate currents in
234	PCs of WT mice. Since GluD2 is enriched at the parallel fiber-PC synapse (Landsend
235	et al. 1997), we used local application of DHPG (200 µM) to induce inward current in
236	both MAGu- and vehicle-treated slices. We found that the DHPG current amplitude
237	remained unchanged under 535 nm compared to 380 nm in the two treatment
238	conditions, resulting in a ratio of current amplitude I <sub>380</sub> /I <sub>535</sub> measured for each cell not
239	significantly different from 1 (Figure 5-figure supplement 1A). We then recorded

AMPA-mediated excitatory post-synaptic currents (EPSCs) in both vehicle- and
MAGu-treated PCs. We found that the amplitude of electrically evoked EPSCs was
stable under 535 nm compared to 380 nm, and that the ratio of EPSC amplitudes
I<sub>380</sub>/I<sub>535</sub> was not significantly different from 1 in both conditions (Figure 5-figure
supplement 1B). These control experiments demonstrate that wild-type GluD and
GluA receptors, which lack a properly-positioned cysteine residue near the pore
lumen, remain insensitive to light after MAGu treatment.

- 247
- 248

#### **Discussion**

249 The PTL strategy has been successfully applied to several members of the iGluR family, including kainate (Volgraf et al. 2005) and NMDA (Berlin et al. 2016) 250 251 receptors. In these former studies, the photoswitches were made with a glutamate 252 head group, and were tethered to the LBD in proximity to the glutamate binding pocket, providing photocontrol of channel gating (Reiner et al. 2015). Because their 253 254 activation mechanism is still unknown, we adopted a different strategy for 255 photocontrolling GluD receptors. We installed the photoswitchable ligand MAGu in proximity to the pore lumen, in hope to alter ion conduction through non-competitive 256 antagonism. We found several cysteine mutants for which current was specifically 257 258 modulated by light after attachment of MAGu, notably I677C (a.k.a. LiGluD2). In 259 LiGluD2, trans MAGu likely does not reach the pore lumen as originally designed. Nevertheless, it reversibly modulates current through the open GluD2 channel with 260 261 high temporal and pharmacological precision.

262

The compounds traditionally used to probe the ionic function of GluDs, such as pentamidine and NASPM (Kohda et al. 2000; Williams et al. 2003), are not

specific of GluD and also block NMDA and AMPA receptors. As to D-serine and 265 glycine, they partially inhibit GluD2<sup>Lc</sup> and mGlu1-gated GluD currents (Naur et al. 266 2007; Ady et al. 2014; Benamer et al. 2017), but they are also co-agonists of NMDA 267 268 receptors. Here, the pharmacological specificity of LiGluD2 is exquisite: after MAGu 269 treatment, only the I677C mutant, and not the WT receptor, became sensitive to light. 270 Likewise, MAGu did not photosensitize other WT glutamate receptors expressed in native brain tissue, in agreement with previous report demonstrating that MAGu has 271 272 no off-target effects on WT GABA receptors, glutamate receptors and voltage-gated ion channels (Lin et al. 2015). Indeed, even though the maleimide group of MAGu 273 274 reacts in principle with any extracellular cysteine freely accessible on the cell surface, the ability of the tethered ligand (here guanidinium) to reach a particular site of action 275 276 on any given protein in one configuration, but not the other (e.g. *trans* but not *cis*), is 277 highly improbable. In fact, the PTL approach has already demonstrated exquisite 278 pharmacological specificity for a large variety of cysteine-substituted ion channels 279 and receptors (Paoletti et al. 2019; Mondoloni et al. 2019), even in complex biological 280 settings such as brain slices (Berlin et al. 2016) or intact neuronal circuits in vivo (Lin et al. 2015; Durand-de Cuttoli et al. 2018). 281

282

Attachment of MAGu to GluD2 requires a single amino acid substitution, which is unlikely to disrupt the function of the receptor. In line with this, we found that the functional coupling of GluD2 with mGlu1 signaling (Ady et al. 2014; Dadak et al. 2017) was intact in LiGluD2. This enabled us to <u>validate</u> that activation of mGlu1 triggers the opening of the GluD2 channel in heterologous expression system, in support of earlier evidence that opening of the ion channel of GluD receptors can be triggered in response to metabotropic signaling mechanisms (Ady et al. 2014; Dadak et al. 2017; Benamer et al. 2017; Gantz et al. 2020). Even though light-induced
blockade in LiGluD2 is partial, the rapid kinetics of block/unblock, coupled to the
genetic specificity of the methodology, provide a unique opportunity to detect even
small variations in GluD2 current, such as the tonic current we observed in
heterologous expression system. LiGluD2 remains to be deployed in neuronal
setting, yet we believe it will be a crucial tool for probing the ionotropic contribution of
this orphan receptor to synaptic physiology.

- 297
- 298

#### 299 Materials and methods

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
cell line ( <i>H.</i> sapiens)	HEK tsa201	Sigma-Aldrich #96121229	RRID:CVCL_ 2737	
Chemical compound, drug	MAGu	10.1016/j.neuro n.2015.10.026		Originally named PAG- 1c. Custom- synthetized by Enamine, Ukraine
Chemical compound, drug	DMSO	Sigma-Aldrich	D2650	
Chemical compound, drug	Pentamidine	Sigma-Aldrich	1504900	

Chemical compound, drug	N-methyl-d- glucamine (NMDG)	Sigma-Aldrich	M2004	
Chemical compound, drug	(R,S)-3,5- DHPG	Hello-bio	HB0026	
Chemical compound, drug	CNQX	Hello-bio	HB0205	
Chemical compound, drug	D-APV	Hello-bio	HB0225	
Chemical compound, drug	SR 95531 (Gabazine)	Hello-bio	HB0901	
Chemical compound, drug	CGP 55845	Hello-bio	HB0960	
gene ( <i>Mus</i> <i>musculus</i> )	Grid2 (glutamate receptor, ionotropic, delta 2)	Genbank	GenelD: 14804	
gene ( <i>Rattus</i> norvegicus)	Grm1 (glutamate receptor, metabotropi c 1)	Genbank	Gene ID: 24414	
transfected construct ( <i>Mus</i> <i>musculus</i> )	pcDNA3- GluD2	https://doi.org/10 .1002/embr.201 337371	NM_008167.3	
transfected construct ( <i>Mus</i> <i>musculus</i> )	pRK5- mGlu1b	Laurent Prezeau (IGF, Montpellier, France).	NM_0011143 30.1	

Software, algorithm	R Project for Statistical Computing	http://www.r- project.org/	RRID:SCR_0 01905	
Software, algorithm	Modeller 9.19	https://salilab.or g/modeller/9.19/ release.html	RRID:SCR 008395	
Software, algorithm	Smina	https://sourcef orge.net/proje cts/smina/		

301

302 Chemicals

Bio-grade Chemicals products was provided by Sigma-Aldrich from Merck. MAGu was synthesized as previously described (Lin et al. 2015) and provided by Enamine Ltd., Kyiv, Ukraine (<u>www.enamine.net</u>). MAGu was stored at -80°C as stock solutions in anhydrous DMSO.

307

308 Spectrophotometry

309 UV-visible spectra were recorded on a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, 1 mm path) with 100  $\mu$ M MAGu in PBS pH 7.4 (10% final DMSO). The sample was illuminated for 1 minute using ultra high-power LEDs (Prizmatix) connected to an optical fiber (URT, 1 mm core, Thorlabs), followed by an immediate measurement of absorbance. Light intensity at the tip of the 1 mm fiber was 100 mW for the 390 nm LED, and 150 mW for the 520 nm LED.

315

316 Molecular biology

The single-cysteine mutations of GuD2 were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the Quick Change II kit (Agilent technology) performed on pcDNA3-GluD2 (Ady <u>et al. 2014</u>). All mutants were verified by sequencing.

320

321 Cell line

We used human Embryonic Kidney cells (HEK tsA201, Sigma-Aldrich # 96121229). Cells were certified by Sigma-Aldrich. Mycoplasma contamination status were negative.

325

326 Cell culture

Cells were cultured in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> tissue culture flask (Falcon, Vented Cap, 353109) with a culture medium composed of Dulbeco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco life technologies, 31966047) containing Glutamax and supplemented with Fetal Bovine Serum (10%, Gibco life technologies, 10500064), Nonessential Amino-Acids (1%, Life Technologies, 11140–035), ampicillin, streptomycin (50,000 U, Gibco, life technologies, 15140-122) and mycoplasma prophylactic (2.5 mg, InvivoGen) antibiotics.

334

335 Transfection

HEK tsA201 cells were freshly seeded and plated out in a 6-well plate, on coverslips (10 mm) treated with poly-L-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma, P6282-5MG). Cells were transiently transfected using calcium-phosphate precipitation, as described in (Lemoine et al. 2016), using 1 µg of cDNA of GluD2 cysteine mutant per well. For cotransfection experiments, we used mGlu1b/GluD2 ratio from 0.7 to 1, with a

maximum of 2 µg of total DNA. The plasmid pRK5-mGlu1b used in this study is a
generous gift of L. Prezeau (IGF, Montpellier).

343

#### 344 <u>In vitro e</u>lectrophysiology

Electrophysiological currents were recorded on HEK tsA201 cells at room 345 temperature (21-25°C), 24-48h after transfection. Prior to whole cell patch-clamp 346 experiments, cells were incubated for 20 minutes with an extracellular solution 347 containing 20 µM MAGu, and then washed for at least 5 minutes with a fresh external 348 349 solution. Cells were perfused with an external solution containing (in mM): 140 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 2 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 12 glucose, 10 HEPES and NaOH-buffered at pH 7.32. 350 The external NMDG solution contained (in mM): 140 NMDG, 2.8 KCl, 2 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 351 352 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 12 glucose, 10 HEPES and was KOH-buffered at pH 7.32. Cells were patched with a borosilicate pipette (4-5 M $\Omega$ ) containing an intracellular solution 353 containing (in mM): 140 KCl, 5 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, and pH-adjusted to 7.32 354 355 with KOH. For recording metabotropic activation of GluD2 by mGlu1, the internal 356 solution contained (in mM): 140 K-gluconate, 6 KCl, 12.6 NaCl, 0.1 CaCl2, 5 Mg-ATP, 0.4 Na-GTP, 1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, and was adjusted to pH 7.32 with KOH. 357 358 Pentamidine and NMDG solutions were applied using a fast-step perfusion system 359 equipped with three square tubes (SF77B, warning instruments), as described in (Lemoine et al. 2016). Illumination was carried out using a high-power LED system 360 (pE-2, Cooled) mounted directly on the epifluorescence port of a vertical microscope 361 (SliceScope Pro 6000, Scientifica). Light output at the focal plane was 5 and 11.7 362 mW/mm<sup>2</sup> for the 380 and 535 nm LEDs, respectively. Currents were recorded with 363 an axopatch 200B and digitized with a digidata 1440 (Molecular devices). Signals 364 were low-pass filtered (Bessel, 2 kHz) and collected at 10 kHz using the data 365

366	acquisition software pClamp 10.5 (Molecular Devices). Electrophysiological
367	recordings were extracted using Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and analyzed with R.
368	
369	Slice electrophysiology
370	Animal breeding and euthanasia were performed in accordance to European
371	Commission guidelines and French legislation (2010/63/UE) and procedures were
372	approved by the French Ministry of Research (Agreement APAFIS#16198-
373	2018071921137716v3). Mice at age P30-40 were anesthetized with isoflurane and
374	decapitated. Cerebella were rapidly extracted and transferred into ice-cold ACSF
375	supplemented with 50 mM sucrose and 1mM kynurenic acid. The composition of
376	ACSF in mM was as follows: 126 NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 1
377	MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 2 CaCl <sub>2</sub> , 20 glucose. pH was adjusted to 7.4 by continuous gassing with
378	carbogen. Sagittal slices (250 µm) were sectioned from the vermis on a vibratome
379	(Leica VT 1200S) and transferred to oxygenated ACSF. Slices were incubated for 15
380	min at 30°C then transferred at room temperature before recording. Unless stated
381	otherwise, all the steps were performed at room temperature. Purkinje cells were
382	visually identified using infrared Dodt contrast imaging with a 60 × water immersion
383	objective. Whole-cell recordings from Purkinje cells in cerebellar lobules IV-VI
384	(voltage-clamped at -70 mV, liquid junction potentials not corrected) were performed
385	with borosilicate glass pipettes (WPI, 2–4 M $\Omega$ ) pulled with a horizontal micropipette
386	puller (Sutter instruments). Internal pipette solutions contained (in mM): 140 Cs-
387	gluconate, 5 CsCl, 2 MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 0.5 EGTA, 2 Na-ATP (pH 7.3, adjusted with CsOH).
388	Whole-cell currents were recorded at 20 kHz and filtered with a Bessel low pass filter
389	at 4 kHz using a patch-clamp amplifier (Multiclamp 700B, Molecular Devices)
390	connected to a Digidata 1440A interface board (Molecular Devices). Only Purkinje

391	cells with a series resistance <12 MΩ (not compensated; monitored during
392	experiments by applying 200 ms, -5 mV voltage pulses) were used for the analyses.
393	Slices were incubated for 20 min under continuous oxygenation with MAGu 20 $\mu$ M or
394	vehicle (DMSO 0.4%) dissolved in 750 µl ACSF in a well of 24-well plate. The slices
395	were then washed in ACSF for at least 20 min, and transferred in the recording
396	chamber. Photoswitching was achieved by illuminating the slice as described above
397	alternatively at 380 nm and 535 nm for 1 s.
398	(RS)-DHPG (200 µM) was diluted in ACSF and locally pressure-applied using a
399	patch pipette placed in the dendrites of the recorded Purkinje-cell. A pneumatic
400	microinjector (Picopump, WPI) was used to deliver 0.1-0.2 ms air pressure pulses (4-
401	10 PSI) every minute. DHPG-mediated currents were recorded at room temperature
402	in presence of CNQX 10 µM, D-APV 25 µM, gabazine 10 µM and CGP 55845 0.5
403	µM. DHPG was applied immediately after the light stimulation.
404	Parallel fiber stimulation was achieved every 10 s with a glass pipette filled with
405	ACSF and placed in the outer half part of molecular layer. A constant voltage
406	isolation unit (DS3, Digitimer Ltd) was used to deliver 10 µs rectangular pulses (50-
407	200 µA) for extracellular stimulation. Parallel fibers inputs were identified by paired-
408	pulse facilitation at 50 ms inter stimulus interval. Amplitudes of evoked EPSCs were
409	averaged from 6 to 12 traces. EPSCs were recorded at 30°C in presence of gabazine
410	10µM. Electrical stimulations were performed immediately after the light stimulation.
411	
412	Molecular modeling
413	The model of the GluD receptor has been obtained by homology modeling using the
414	software modeller version 9.19 (Webb and Sali 2016). The template was that of the
415	glutamate receptor GluA2 (PDB code 5weo) (Twomey et al. 2017). The automodel

class has been used with slow level of MD refinement and the optimisation has been
repeated 3 times for each model. 500 models were prepared and the best, as
assessed by the DOPE score, was retained for further studies.

419 The structure of the protein and ligand were converted to pdbgt files with the software open babel 2.4.1. Covalent docking was then performed with the software smina 420 421 (Koes et al. 2013). The box of 25\*25\*25 angstrom was defined manually to 422 encompass the mutated residue and extend to axis of symmetry. Covalent docking 423 forced the maleimide to be in direct contact with the SG atom of the cysteine with which it is shown experimentally to form a covalent bond. The geometry of the ion 424 425 channel has been computed with MOLEonline webserver, with the 'pore' mode. The resulting ion channel was color-coded as a function of the diameter of the channel 426 allowing to illustrate the reduction of the diameter from a large (green) to a small 427 428 (red) diameter.

429

#### 430 Data analysis

431 Data are plotted as mean ±SEM. Total number (n) of cells in each group and statistics used are indicated in figure and/or figure legend. Comparisons between 432 means were performed using parametric tests (two-sample t-test, Normality always 433 verified, Shapiro-Wilk test of normality). Homogeneity of variances was tested 434 435 preliminarily and the t-tests were Welch-corrected accordingly. For comparison with theoretical values of 0 or 1, we performed either one-sample t-tests when Normality 436 437 was verified, or a non-parametric test (one-sample Wilcoxon tests) when Normality was not verified. <sup>#</sup>p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. 438

439

440 Time-course of current unblock and of thermal relaxation were fitted with the 441 following mono-exponential function:

Eq.1

442 
$$y = 100 \times (1 - e^{-kx})$$

443 with k the <u>decay</u> constant, and ln2/k the half-life.

444 Dose-response relationships were fitted with the following equation:

445 
$$y = MIN + \frac{MAX - MIN}{1 + (\frac{x}{IC50})^{nH}}$$
 Eq.2

446 with MAX the maximal current, MIN the minimal current, IC50 the pentamidine 447 concentration yielding half block, and  $n_H$  the Hill number.

448

449

#### 450 Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Nadine Mouttajagane and Manel Badsi for their help with molecular biology work. This work was supported by funding provided by the French Agency for Research (ANR-16-CE16-0014-01 to LT, ANR-11-LABX-0011 to AT), by the "Initiative d'Excellence" (cluster of excellence LABEX Dynamo) to AT, by the Foundation for Medical Research (FRM, Equipe FRM EQU201903007961 to P.F) and by a post-doctoral fellowship from the Labex BioPsy to DL.

457

#### 459 Figure legends.



461 Figure 1: Optogenetic pharmacology strategy to probe the ionotropic activity of **GluD receptors.** A. GluD2 is genetically-modified to incorporate a cysteine residue 462 (yellow) at the entrance to the pore, which serves as a handle for the covalent 463 attachment of a synthetic, photoswitchable tethered ligand (PTL). Under green light 464 (500 nm), the PTL adopts an elongated state and places its cationic head group in 465 the lumen, resulting in ion channel blockade. Under violet light (380 nm), the PTL 466 467 switches to a twisted, shorter form and unblocks the channel. The position of the 468 Lurcher (Lc) mutation, which produces a permanently open channel, is depicted in 469 red. B. Chemical structure of pentamidine, a non-selective iGluR blocker with two amidinium head groups. C. Chemical structures of the PTL MAGu in its *trans* (top) 470 and cis (bottom) configurations. MAGu is composed of a cysteine-reactive maleimide 471 group, a central azobenzene chromophore, and a guanidinium cationic head group. 472 D. Molecular model of GluD2, based on the structure of activated GluA2 (5weo). 473 474 Residues mutated to cysteine are depicted in yellow, while the Lc mutant is shown in 475 red. E. Top, schematic representation of one GluD subunit, with its ligand-binding domain (LDB) and its four membrane segments (M1-4, M2 being a non-membrane 476 spanning pore loop). Bottom, sequence alignment between the mouse GluA2 and 477

GluD2 receptors around the engineered mutations. M3 is shown in grey, the 15
residues mutated to cysteine in yellow, and the position of the A654T Lc mutation in
red.



Figure 2: Screening of the fifteen single-cysteine mutants engineered on the Lc 483 484 background. A. Left, representative current-voltage relationship for GluD2-A654T Lc, with (blue) and without (black) pentamidine (100 µM). The subtracted current 485 (grey) shows clear inward rectification and block at positive voltages. Right, currents 486 487 recorded at -60 mV were larger for GluD2-A654T than for WT (n=6 cells, p = 0.0033, two-sample t-test), and were strongly reduced with 100 µM pentamidine for A654T 488 (n=6 cells, p = 0.0045, paired t-test) but not for the WT GluD2 (n = 6 cells, p = 0.34, 489 490 paired t-test). B. Left, ratio of the currents recorded at -60 mV under 380 and 535 nm light, for A654T and the fifteen cysteine mutants engineered on the A654T 491 492 background (n = 3-8 cells, one-sample t-test, or Wilcoxon when normality is not 493 verified, compared with a theoretical mean value of 1). Right, representative change 494 in holding current when switching between dark, 380 and 535 nm light, for A654T 495 and for 5 mutants that show modulation of the holding current when switching between 380 and 535 nm light (p< 0.05 except for Q669C where p = 0.09). C. Left, 496 representative current traces (Vm = -60 mV) recorded for A654T and A654T-I677C in 497

498	darkness, then under 380 nm light (violet), upon application of pentamidine (blue,
499	<u>100 <math>\mu</math>M), and then under 535 nm after pentamidine washout (green). Right, percent</u>
500	photoswitching (PS = $Block_{photo}/Block_{penta}$ ) for A654T and the cysteine mutants that
501	show both clear leak current and pentamidine block. Photoswitching reached 15.5 $\pm$
502	3.1 % for Q666C (p=0.016, n = 4), -1.9 $\pm$ 0.4 % for Q669C (p=0.008, n =6), 14.6 $\pm$
503	1.7 % for D670C (p=0.003, n=4), 20.5 $\pm$ 4.2 % for Q674C (p=0.008, n=5) and 46.3 $\pm$
504	4.3 % for I677C (p = 1.34E-05, n= 8, one-sample t-test, or Wilcoxon when normality
505	is not verified, compared with a theoretical mean value of 0). Photoswitching was
506	absent in the control A654T mutant (0.37 $\pm$ 0.9 %, p = 0.72, n = 5) and in the other
507	two cysteine mutants K673C (-0.9 $\pm$ 1.06 %, p = 0.43) and D676C (0.39 $\pm$ 0.57 %, p
508	= 0.53). D) Model of GluD2 showing the location of A654 (red), Q666, D670, Q674
509	and I677C (violet), Q669 (green), and all the non-photocontrollable cysteine mutants
510	(yellow). Data are presented as mean value ± sem. Source files of individual data
511	points used for the quantitative analysis are available in the Figure 2 –source data 1.



514 515 then under 380 light (violet), upon application of pentamidine or NMDG (blue, 100  $\mu$ M), and then under 535 nm (green). Membrane potential is switched from -60 to -68 516 mV during NMDG application to correct for the change in junction potential, and 517 518 percent Block<sub>NMDG</sub> is calculated for Vm = -68 mV. Right, percent block for 519 pentamidine (blue, 74.4  $\pm$  6.5 %) and for transMAGu (green, 535 nm, 33.0  $\pm$  2.4 %) 520 compared to NMDG block (n = 8 cells). B. Representative recording showing the reversibility of block/unblock over multiple cycles of 380/535 nm light. C. Left, 521 representative recording showing the extent of current unblock when varying the 522 523 illumination time under violet light. Right, quantification of current unblock as a function of illumination time (n = 8 cells). Inset, averaged time course of current 524 unblock when switching from dark to 380 nm light (mean value in black, ±SEM in 525

526	purple, n = 7 cells) and corresponding mono-exponential fit (red, k = $0.0296 \pm 0.0002$
527	ms <sup>-1</sup> ; $t_{1/2} = 23.4$ ms). D. Left, representative current trace showing the thermal
528	stability of <i>cis</i> MAGu in darkness after a brief flash of 380 nm light. Right, 86.2 $\pm$ 2.5
529	% of the residual current remains after 1 min in darkness (n = 9 cells). Data are
530	presented as mean value ± sem. Source files of individual data points used for the
531	quantitative analysis are available in the Figure 3 –source data 1.
532	





Figure 4. Pharmacological action of MAGu at GluD2-A654T-I677C. A. Average 535 current-versus-voltage relationship under 380 and 535 nm light (n = 21). S.E.M is 536 shown is shade, and subtracted current in grey. B. Left, representative dose-537 dependent blockade of the current upon pentamidine (0.1-100 µM) application, 538 under 380 and 535 nm light. Right, quantification of pentamidine blockade under both 539 wavelengths of light.  $IC50_{380} = 5.1 \pm 0.3 \mu M$ ,  $IC50_{535} = 4.8 \pm 0.5 \mu M$  (n = 6 cells). C. 540 Molecular modeling showing *trans* MAGu tethered to I677C. D. Molecular modeling 541 showing the ion channel computed in the absence (top) and presence (bottom) of 542 543 trans MAGu. The channel is represented with a color coding of the diameter in order 544 to facilitate observation of the change induced by *trans* MAGu (from green, large, to 545 red, small). One subunit is omitted for clarity. Data are presented as mean value ±

- 546 sem. Source files of individual data points used for the quantitative analysis are
- 547 <u>available in the Figure 4 source data 1.</u>

#### Figure 5



549 Figure 5. Photocontrol of GluD2-I677C (LiGluD2). A. Representative DHPG-550 induced current (measured as indicated by the red arrow) for a MAGu-treated (20 551 µM, 20 min) cell co-expressing mGlu1b and GluD2-I677C, under 380, 535 and 380nm light. Note the drop in holding current at the onset of the 380-nm illumination, 552 553 and the return to the baseline under 535 nm light. B. Representative DHPG-induced current for a MAGu-treated cell co-expressing mGlu1b and WT GluD2, under 380, 554 535 and 380nm light. C. DHPG-induced currents were reduced under 535 compared 555 to 380 nm light for I677C (22.8  $\pm$  4.6 %, n = 8 cells) but not for WT GluD2 (3.3  $\pm$  6.4 556 %, n = 6 cells, p = 0.02, two-sample t-test). D. Ratio of the holding current recorded 557 under 380 nm light and in darkness is different from 1 for I677C (1.09  $\pm$  0.004 %, p = 558 2.44 e-7, n = 8 cells) but not for WT GluD2 (1.01  $\pm$  0.006 %, p = 0.10, n = 8 cells, 559 one-sample t-test). Source files of individual data points used for the quantitative 560 561 analysis are available in the Figure 5 – source data 1.

#### 562 **References**

563

564 Ady, V. et al. 2014. Type 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGlu1) trigger the 565 gating of GluD2 delta glutamate receptors. *EMBO reports* 15(1), pp. 103–109.

Araki, K. et al. 1993. Selective expression of the glutamate receptor channel delta 2
subunit in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 197(3), pp. 1267–1276.

Beharry, A.A. and Woolley, G.A. 2011. Azobenzene photoswitches for biomolecules. *Chemical Society Reviews* 40(8), p. 4422.

571 Benamer, N. et al. 2017. GluD1, linked to schizophrenia, controls the burst ring of 572 dopamine neurons. *Molecular Psychiatry*, pp. 1–11.

573 Berlin, S. et al. 2016. A family of photoswitchable NMDA receptors. *eLife* 5.

574 Burada, A.P., Vinnakota, R. and Kumar, J. 2020a. Cryo-EM structures of the 575 ionotropic glutamate receptor GluD1 reveal a non-swapped architecture. *Nature* 576 *Structural & Molecular Biology* 27(1), pp. 1–22.

577 Burada, A.P., Vinnakota, R. and Kumar, J. 2020b. The architecture of GluD2 578 ionotropic delta glutamate receptor elucidated by cryo-EM. *Journal of Structural* 579 *Biology* 211(2), p. 107546.

580 Cristino, A.S. et al. 2019. Neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders 581 represent an interconnected molecular system. *Molecular Psychiatry* 19(3), pp. 1–8.

582 Dadak, S. et al. 2017. mGlu1 receptor canonical signaling pathway contributes to the 583 opening of the orphan GluD2 receptor. *Neuropharmacology* 115, pp. 92–99.

584 Durand-de Cuttoli, R. et al. 2018. Manipulating midbrain dopamine neurons and 585 reward-related behaviors with light-controllable nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. 586 *eLife* 7, p. 15991.

587 Elegheert, J. et al. 2016. Structural basis for integration of GluD receptors within 588 synaptic organizer complexes. *Science* 353(6296), pp. 295–299.

- Fossati, M. et al. 2019. Trans-Synaptic Signaling through the Glutamate Receptor
  Delta-1 Mediates Inhibitory Synapse Formation in Cortical Pyramidal Neurons. *Neuron* 104(6), pp. 1081–1094.e7.
- 592 Gantz, S.C. et al. 2020. Delta glutamate receptor conductance drives excitation of 593 mouse dorsal raphe neurons. *eLife* 9, p. 103.
- 594 Greenwood, T.A. et al. 2011. Analysis of 94 candidate genes and 12 595 endophenotypes for schizophrenia from the Consortium on the Genetics of 596 Schizophrenia. *The American journal of psychiatry* 168(9), pp. 930–946.
- 597 Griswold, A.J. et al. 2012. Evaluation of copy number variations reveals novel 598 candidate genes in autism spectrum disorder-associated pathways. *Human* 599 *molecular genetics* 21(15), pp. 3513–3523.
- Hansen, K.B. et al. 2009. Modulation of the Dimer Interface at Ionotropic GlutamateLike Receptor 2 by D-Serine and Extracellular Calcium. *Journal of Neuroscience*29(4), pp. 907–917.
- Hepp, R. et al. 2015. Glutamate receptors of the delta family are widely expressed in
  the adult brain. *Brain Structure and Function* 220(5), pp. 2797–2815.
- Kakegawa, W. et al. 2008. Differential regulation of synaptic plasticity and cerebellar
  motor learning by the C-terminal PDZ-binding motif of GluRdelta2. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28(6), pp. 1460–1468.
- Koes, D.R. et al. 2013. Lessons learned in empirical scoring with smina from the
  CSAR 2011 benchmarking exercise. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*53(8), pp. 1893–1904.
- Kohda, K. et al. 2000. Mutation of a glutamate receptor motif reveals its role in gating
  and delta2 receptor channel properties. *Nature Neuroscience* 3(4), pp. 315–322.
- Konno, K. et al. 2014. Enriched Expression of GluD1 in Higher Brain Regions and Its
  Involvement in Parallel Fiber-Interneuron Synapse Formation in the Cerebellum. *Journal of Neuroscience* 34(22), pp. 7412–7424.
- 616 Kristensen, A.S. et al. 2016. Pharmacology and Structural Analysis of Ligand Binding

to the Orthosteric Site of Glutamate-Like GluD2 Receptors. *Molecular Pharmacology*89(2), pp. 253–262.

Lalouette, A. et al. 2001. Neurobiological effects of a null mutation depend on genetic
context: comparison between two hotfoot alleles of the delta-2 ionotropic glutamate
receptor. *Neuroscience* 105(2), pp. 443–455.

Landsend, A.S. et al. 1997. Differential localization of delta glutamate receptors in the
rat cerebellum: coexpression with AMPA receptors in parallel fiber-spine synapses
and absence from climbing fiber-spine synapses. *Journal of Neuroscience* 17(2), pp.
834–842.

Lemoine, D. et al. 2016. Optogenetic Control of Mammalian Ion Channels with
Chemical Photoswitches. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* 1408, pp. 177–
193.

Lin, W.-C. et al. 2015. A Comprehensive Optogenetic Pharmacology Toolkit for In
Vivo Control of GABA(A) Receptors and Synaptic Inhibition. *Neuron* 88(5), pp. 879–
891.

Lin, W.-C. et al. 2018. Design of a Highly Bistable Photoswitchable Tethered Ligand
for Rapid and Sustained Manipulation of Neurotransmission. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 140(24), pp. 7445–7448.

Lomeli, H. et al. 1993. The rat delta-1 and delta-2 subunits extend the excitatory
amino acid receptor family. *FEBS Letters* 315(3), pp. 318–322.

Matsuda, K. et al. 2010. Cbln1 is a ligand for an orphan glutamate receptor delta2, a
bidirectional synapse organizer. *Science* 328(5976), pp. 363–368.

Mondoloni, S. et al. 2019. Cell-Specific Neuropharmacology. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* 40(9), pp. 696–710.

Nakamoto, C., Kawamura, M., et al. 2020. GluD1 knockout mice with a pure
C57BL/6N background show impaired fear memory, social interaction, and enhanced
depressive-like behavior. Ikeda, K. ed. *PLoS ONE* 15(2), p. e0229288.

Nakamoto, C., Konno, K., et al. 2020. Expression mapping, quantification, and

- complex formation of GluD1 and GluD2 glutamate receptors in adult mouse brain. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 528(6), pp. 1003–1027.
- Naur, P. et al. 2007. Ionotropic glutamate-like receptor delta2 binds D-serine and
  glycine. *PNAS* 104(35), pp. 14116–14121.
- Paoletti, P. et al. 2019. Optical control of neuronal ion channels and receptors. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 112, pp. 1–19.
- Pravda, L. et al. 2018. MOLEonline: a web-based tool for analyzing channels, tunnels
  and pores (2018 update). *Nucleic Acids Research* 46(W1), pp. W368–W373.
- Prézeau, L. et al. 1996. Changes in the carboxyl-terminal domain of metabotropic
  glutamate receptor 1 by alternative splicing generate receptors with differing agonistindependent activity. *Molecular Pharmacology* 49(3), pp. 422–429.
- Reiner, A. et al. 2015. ScienceDirectControlling ionotropic and metabotropic
  glutamate receptors with light: principles and potential. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology* 20, pp. 135–143.
- Schmid, S.M. and Hollmann, M. 2008. To gate or not to gate: are the delta subunits
  in the glutamate receptor family functional ion channels? *Molecular Neurobiology*37(2-3), pp. 126–141.
- Suzuki, K. et al. 2020. A synthetic synaptic organizer protein restores glutamatergic
  neuronal circuits. *Science* 369(6507), p. eabb4853.
- Tao, W. et al. 2018. Postsynaptic δ1 glutamate receptor assembles and maintains
  hippocampal synapses via Cbln2 and neurexin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115(23), pp. E5373–E5381.
- Treutlein, J. et al. 2009. Dissection of phenotype reveals possible association
  between schizophrenia and Glutamate Receptor Delta 1 (GRID1) gene promoter. *Schizophrenia research* 111(1-3), pp. 123–130.
- Twomey, E.C. et al. 2017. Channel opening and gating mechanism in AMPA-subtypeglutamate receptors. *Nature* 549(7670), pp. 60–65.

Volgraf, M. et al. 2005. Allosteric control of an ionotropic glutamate receptor with an
optical switch. *Nature Chemical Biology* 2(1), pp. 47–52.

Webb, B. and Sali, A. 2016. Comparative Protein Structure Modeling Using
MODELLER. *Current protocols in bioinformatics* 54(1), pp. 5.6.1–5.6.37.

Williams, K. et al. 2003. Pharmacology of delta2 glutamate receptors: effects of
pentamidine and protons. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*305(2), pp. 740–748.

- 679 Wollmuth, L.P. et al. 2000. The Lurcher mutation identifies delta 2 as an 680 AMPA/kainate receptor-like channel that is potentiated by Ca(2+). *Journal of* 681 *Neuroscience* 20(16), pp. 5973–5980.
- Yadav, R. et al. 2012. Deletion of glutamate delta-1 receptor in mouse leads to
  aberrant emotional and social behaviors. Christie, B. ed. *PLoS ONE* 7(3), pp.
  e32969–13.
- Yadav, R. et al. 2013. Deletion of Glutamate Delta-1 Receptor in Mouse Leads to
  Enhanced Working Memory and Deficit in Fear Conditioning Christie, B. ed. *PLoS ONE* 8(4), pp. e60785–12.
- Yuzaki, M. and Aricescu, A.R. 2017. A GluD Coming-Of-Age Story. *Trends in Neurosciences* 40(3), pp. 138–150.
- Zuo, J. et al. 1997. Neurodegeneration in Lurcher mice caused by mutation in delta2
  glutamate receptor gene. *Nature* 388(6644), pp. 769–773.
- 692

694	Supplementary figures
695	
696	Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Photochemical properties of MAGu. A. UV-visible
697	spectra of MAGu under 520 nm light (green, mostly trans) or under 390 nm light
698	(pink, mostly cis). B. Thermal relaxation of cis MAGu in aqueous solution in
699	darkness. Absorbance at 362 nm is plotted as a function of time in darkness following
700	illumination with 390 nm light. Data points were fitted with the following
701	monoexponential decay equation: $y = A \exp(-x/k)$ and yielded: $A = 95.8 \pm 1.9$ and $k =$
702	$5.8e-04 \pm 0.2e-04 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The half-life of <i>cis</i> MAGu was ln(2)/k = 1195 s.
703	
704	Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Functional characterization of the cysteine
705	mutants. A) Currents recorded at -60 mV for A654T and each of the fifteen cysteine
706	mutants (n = 3-8 cells). B. Pentamidine block for A654T and each of the fifteen
707	cysteine mutants (n = $3-8$ cells). Data are presented as mean value $\pm$ sem.
708	
709	Figure 5-figure supplement 1. MAGu does not photosensitize native GluD and
710	GluA currents in Purkinje cells. A. Top, DHPG (200 $\mu$ M)-induced current in
711	vehicle-treated cerebellar slices were of similar amplitude under 380 and 535 nm
712	<u>light (-800 ± 57 pA vs799 ± 57 pA, p&gt;0.999, n = 10). Middle, DHPG (200 μM)-</u>
713	induced current in MAGu-treated cerebellar slices were of similar amplitude under
714	<u>380 and 535 nm light (-666 ± 113 pA vs661 ± 111 pA, p= 0.625, n = 13). Bottom,</u>
715	ratio between the current measured under 380 and 535 nm light are not different
716	from 1 for both vehicle-treated (1.004 ± 0.008, p=0.6475) and MAGu-treated cells
717	(1.003 ± 0.01, p = 0.7755). B. Top, Excitatory post-synaptic currents (EPSCs) in
718	vehicle-treated cerebellar slices were of similar amplitude under 380 and 535 nm
719	light (-272 ± 32 pA vs -273 ± 34 pA, p = 0.742, n = 8). Middle, FPSCs in MAGu-

720treated cerebellar slices were of similar amplitude under 380 and 535 nm light (-176721 $\pm$  20 pA vs. -180  $\pm$  19 pA, p= 0.320, n = 11). Bottom, ratio between the current722measured under 380 and 535 nm light are not different from 1 for both vehicle-723treated (0.9976  $\pm$  0.0185, p>0.999) and MAGu-treated cells (1.035  $\pm$  0.020,724p=0.1748). Data are presented as mean value  $\pm$  sem.725

# Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



## Figure 5





## Figure 2-Figure supp1

Β



A654T



A654T

Figure 5-Figure Supp 1

Α



В