

# Influence of Subseasonal Variability on the Diurnal Cycle of Precipitation on a Mountainous Island: The Case of New Caledonia

Damien Specq, Gilles Bellon, Alexandre Peltier, Jérôme Lefèvre, Christophe

Menkes

# ▶ To cite this version:

Damien Specq, Gilles Bellon, Alexandre Peltier, Jérôme Lefèvre, Christophe Menkes. Influence of Subseasonal Variability on the Diurnal Cycle of Precipitation on a Mountainous Island: The Case of New Caledonia. Monthly Weather Review, 2019, 148 (1), pp.333 - 351. 10.1175/mwr-d-19-0177.1. hal-03086291

# HAL Id: hal-03086291 https://hal.science/hal-03086291

Submitted on 21 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Influence of subseasonal variability on the diurnal cycle of precipitation on a
2	mountainous island: the case of New Caledonia
3	Damien Specq <sup>*†</sup>
4	CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France
5	Gilles Bellon
6	Department of Physics, The University of Auckland, New Zealand
7	Alexandre Peltier
8	Météo-France Nouvelle-Calédonie, Nouméa, New Caledonia
9	Jérôme Lefèvre
10	LEGOS/MIO, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Nouméa, New Caledonia
11	Christophe Menkes
12	ENTROPIE, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Nouméa, New Caledonia

<sup>13</sup> \*Corresponding author address: Damien Specq, Météo-France, Centre National de Recherches

<sup>14</sup> Météorologiques, 42 avenue Gaspard Coriolis, 31100 Toulouse, France

<sup>15</sup> E-mail: damien.specq@meteo.fr

<sup>16</sup> <sup>†</sup>Additional affiliation: Direction de la Recherche, École des Ponts, Paris, France

## ABSTRACT

The relationship between the large-scale intraseasonal variability, synoptic 17 wind regimes and the local daily variability of precipitation over the main is-18 land of New Caledonia (southwest tropical Pacific) is investigated with a focus 19 on the austral summer wet season (November to April). The average diurnal 20 cycle of precipitation over the island is characterized by a sharp afternoon 21 maximum around 4 pm, with significant differences between the windward 22 east coast, the leeward west coast, and the mountain range. The afternoon 23 peak is related to the afternoon sea breeze circulation and to the diurnal cycle 24 of convection over land. In general, its magnitude follows the same evolution 25 as the daily mean. In agreement with past studies, a clear modulation of the 26 Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) on both the diurnal cycle of precipitation 27 and the probability of occurrence of four robust wind regimes can be identi-28 fied in the New Caledonia region during the wet season. From the evidence 29 that there is a qualitative correspondence between the effects of both the MJO 30 phases and the wind regimes on features in the diurnal cycle of precipitation, 3 a simple model is proposed to inspect the MJO forcing mediated by wind 32 regimes on the diurnal variability of rain. The complete decomposition of the 33 MJO impact shows that the modulation of diurnal cycle by the MJO relies 34 on complex interactions between the MJO and synoptic winds that involve 35 both large-scale MJO convective anomalies and MJO-induced modification 36 of wind patterns. 37

# 38 1. Introduction

New Caledonia is a group of islands composing one of the largest and most populated territories 39 in the tropical South Pacific. Located between 18°S and 23°S, 163°E and 169°E, about 1,500 km 40 east of Australia, it is made up of a long and narrow main island (the Grande Terre or Mainland) 41 and other smaller islands. The main island is roughly 400 km long, 50 km wide and features a 42 central mountain range averaging 800 m in altitude, with the two highest peaks reaching around 43 1,600 m. On a climatological average, New Caledonia is swept by the South Pacific southeasterly 44 trade winds that are almost parallel to the 45° orientation of the Grande Terre (Lefèvre et al. 2010), 45 as shown in Figure 1. Although winter temperatures frequently drop below  $10^{\circ}$ C, it experiences a 46 tropical climate with an average temperature of  $18^{\circ}$ C or higher every month of the year. The annual 47 cycle of rainfall can be decomposed into a warm, wet season from November to April, peaking in 48 the austral summer, and a dry, cold season from May to October. Rainfall is a paramount matter in 49 New Caledonia. At the end of the dry season, agricultural activities and drinking water resources 50 are particularly vulnerable to drought hazards on the West Coast plains. Subsequent rainy spells 51 often come as a relief in the fight against wildfires considered as the main cause of degradation 52 of primary forests and their unique ecosystem (Barbero et al. 2011). Reducing New Caledonia's 53 vulnerability to heavy precipitating events is a also a major concern as some inhabited areas are 54 exposed to landslides and flash-floodings (Gouvernement de la Nouvelle-Calédonie 2016). 55

Heavy rainfall generally occurs on short time scales ranging from a few hours to a few days.
Within these time scales, the diurnal cycle is expected to play an important role as it influences the
timing of rainfall initiation or intensification. In the tropics, the diurnal cycle of convection and
corresponding wind patterns control the timing of diurnal precipitation peaks (Mori et al. 2004).
Over the open ocean, a precipitation maximum is generally reported at night or in the early morn-

ing (Yang and Smith 2006; Kikuchi and Wang 2008), resulting from convective instability created 61 by nocturnal longwave radiative cooling in the middle and upper troposphere. Over islands, stud-62 ies in the Maritime Continent and the Western Pacific have shown that provided the land surface 63 is large enough, tropical islands are rainier than the open ocean and exhibit a diurnal cycle with a 64 precipitation peak in the afternoon due to local forcings (Saito et al. 2001; Yang and Smith 2006; 65 Kikuchi and Wang 2008; Vincent and Lane 2016). The main forcing is the sea breeze (Kikuchi 66 and Wang 2008; Cronin et al. 2015). Owing to the smaller heat capacity of land surfaces com-67 pared to the ocean, the magnitude of the warming and cooling of the island with the day-night 68 cycle is much larger than that of the ocean. A sea breeze develops in the afternoon when the land 69 is warmer than the ocean and reverses into a land breeze at night when the land cools. Using 70 an idealized model experiment of a flat, circular, low heat-capacity island, Cronin et al. (2015) 71 have proposed a mechanism involving this land/sea breeze cycle to explain the specific features of 72 rainfall on tropical islands. The convergence at the sea-breeze front is responsible for the forma-73 tion of low-level convective clouds around noon, before these shallow clouds develop into deeper 74 precipitating convective cells in the afternoon that eventually merge together and converge at the 75 center of the island, triggering heavy rainfall in the late afternoon or early evening. Evidence of 76 this process over real islands in the Maritime Continent is also shown in Qian (2008). Owing to 77 the heavy afternoon rainfall, the island experiences heavier rainfall than the surrounding ocean. 78 However, the size of the island and its orography also influence the rainfall pattern: according to 79 Sobel et al. (2011), the larger the island, the more rainfall and for large islands, mountains increase 80 precipitation. Indeed, in the case of mountainous islands, orographic effects can lead to further 81 enhancement of the afternoon peak in the diurnal cycle. First, a mountain range tends to regularly 82 experience afternoon cumulus formation because of the elevated surface heating, and is therefore 83 a favorable place of its own for a strong diurnal cycle. Second, its interaction with the sea breeze is 84

likely to intensify cumulus formation in the afternoon through orographic lifting (Yang and Chen
2008; Vincent and Lane 2016). As for New Caledonia, Lefèvre et al. (2010) showed that the main
island exhibits a strong diurnal cycle in the wind that is related to a diurnal cycle in surface heating. They also highlighted an asymmetric sea-breeze pattern between the windward and leeward
sides. Consequently, precipitation on the island is also expected to exhibit an asymmetric pattern
in average rainfall, that has already been documented (Atlas Climatique de la Nouvelle-Calédonie
2008), and a diurnal cycle that will be the purpose of this study.

At longer time scales, the climate in New Caledonia is influenced by large-scale meteorological 92 patterns located both in the tropics and the mid-latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. Mid-latitude 93 highs and lows, and especially the Kermadec high, control the orientation of the prevailing trade 94 winds, while tropical influences impact New Caledonia through the seasonal variations in the 95 location of the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ, Griffiths et al. 2003). Being located to 96 the south of the mean position of the SPCZ, New Caledonia's weather is impacted by the frequent 97 southward shifts of the SPCZ in the wet season, which bring increased precipitation (Lefort 2005; 98 Moron et al. 2016). Global modes of variability then modulate these climatological processes. 99 At the inter-annual time scale, El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) influences the orientation of 100 the SPCZ (Vincent et al. 2011; Salinger et al. 2014) and the probability of occurrence of tropical 101 cyclones, that is increased in La Nina phase and decreased in El Nino phase (Leroy and Wheeler 102 2008). At the subseasonal time scale, the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO, Madden and Julian 103 1971) impacts wind patterns (Lefort 2005; Lefèvre et al. 2010), while it is also responsible for 104 shifts in the SPCZ (Matthews 2012; Moron et al. 2016) and changes in the probability of observing 105 a tropical cyclone (Leroy and Wheeler 2008). As a result, Leroy (2006) highlights an impact of 106 the MJO on New Caledonia rainfall, and Moron et al. (2016) also show a modulation of rainfall 107

<sup>108</sup> by the subseasonal variability, although they need to use a tailor-made OLR-based intraseasonal
 <sup>109</sup> index centered on New Caledonia to demonstrate it.

Moreover, the MJO not only impacts the daily rainfall but also the diurnal cycle around islands, 110 as documented by several studies based on observations (Chen and Houze 1997; Sui et al. 1997; 111 Tian et al. 2006; Suzuki 2009; Hidayat and Kizu 2009; Rauniyar and Walsh 2011; Oh et al. 2012; 112 Kanamori et al. 2013; Peatman et al. 2014) and model simulations (Birch et al. 2016; Vincent and 113 Lane 2017). These studies analyze the direct relationship between the MJO phase and the diurnal 114 cycle of precipitation or convection with composite or case study analysis. Most of them focus 115 on the Maritime Continent area. Their conclusions vary depending on mesoscale phenomena such 116 as orogaphic effects and sea breeze, specific to the location under study. But they all highlight 117 the differentiated impacts of MJO variability on the diurnal cycle between land and ocean. In 118 particular, through the analysis of TRMM data, Peatman et al. (2014) come to the conclusion that, 119 in the Maritime Continent, 80% of the modulation of the precipitation by the MJO over land result 120 from MJO-induced changes of the amplitude of the diurnal cycle. 121

Finally, synoptic variability also influences daily rainfall and diurnal cycle over islands. Wind 122 regimes enable to classify the synoptic atmospheric circulation around the area of interest into 123 a few specific and recurrent patterns. They can be related to the large-scale, intraseasonal MJO 124 variability by considering how the MJO modulates their probability of occurrence. They can 125 also be related to the island mesoscale effects that are responsible for variations in the diurnal 126 cycle. Wind regimes were used to study the diurnal cycle, for instance by Qian et al. (2013) 127 over Borneo, by Moron et al. (2015) over the whole Maritime Continent, and more recently by 128 Hopuare et al. (2018) over the Pacific island of Tahiti. In New Caledonia, Lefort (2005) and Leroy 129 (2006) have shown that atmospheric conditions could be classified into a small number of regimes. 130 Lefèvre et al. (2010) have also highlighted the link between wind regimes and the phases of the 131

<sup>132</sup> MJO. With a 6-state classification performed on daily New Caledonia rainfall, Moron et al. (2016) <sup>133</sup> have even managed to explain the relationships between the local precipitation and the large-<sup>134</sup> scale atmospheric dynamics at various time scales. However, the link between the subseasonal <sup>135</sup> variability and the diurnal cycle of precipitation in New Caledonia has not been investigated so far <sup>136</sup> and the present study intends to fill this gap.

The following section documents the influence of the MJO and wind regimes on the diurnal 137 cycle of rainfall over the main island of New Caledonia during the wet season, and investigates 138 the relationship between wind regimes and MJO. Section 2 introduces the data and methodology 139 used in this article. Section 3 is devoted to the change in diurnal cycle with synoptic and large-140 scale circulation. It first presents the influence of the MJO on rainfall and its diurnal cycle in New 141 Caledonia, and that of wind regimes on the spatial variations of the diurnal cycle over the island, 142 independently from the MJO's influence. Section 4 investigates the link between the MJO and the 143 wind regimes and its impacts on the diurnal cycle. Finally, the main conclusions of the study are 144 presented in Section 5. 145

### **146 2. Data and methodology**

147 *a. Data* 

As this study is carried out for the wet season, only the months from November to April will be considered in all datasets.

150 1) LARGE-SCALE DATA

<sup>151</sup> Since the diurnal cycle of precipitation involves interactions between the large-scale atmospheric <sup>152</sup> dynamics and the island's specific topography, surface wind is presumably the best field to deter-<sup>153</sup> mine wind regimes and investigate the dynamical signal of the MJO as seen by the island. For this purpose, the daily averaged 10-m winds from the ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) are used at 1° resolution over a small domain encompassing New Caledonia (161°E-170°E, 25°S-17°S). The period considered is January 1, 1979-December 31, 2017 (39 years). ERA-Interim 10-m winds are also considered at a lower resolution of 2° on a large tropical domain (50°E-240°E, 30°S-0°) in order to represent the circulation anomalies associated to the MJO, along with OLR anomalies computed from the daily averaged OLR from NOAA's polar-orbiting satellites (Liebmann and Smith 1996).

### 161 2) NEW CALEDONIA RAINFALL DATA

A comprehensive study of the diurnal cycle of precipitation requires to use high-frequency rain-162 fall data, ideally with an hourly or shorter time step. This work relies on the Météo-France hourly 163 rain gauge data for 28 stations scattered all over the main island of New Caledonia. These sta-164 tions were chosen among the available stations according to three criteria: they provided hourly 165 rain rates, they had a sufficiently long record over the year and they were deemed reliable by the 166 Météo-France climatological services in New Caledonia. These stations are listed in Table A1 167 and shown on the map in Figure 2. We deliberately choose to focus this study on the main island 168 owing to the smaller sizes and the very different topographic profiles of the other islands, which 169 make them likely to exhibit a diurnal cycle that would be governed by different mechanisms. The 170 period of available data is station-dependent and some stations present periods of missing values. 171 In order to include as much data as possible, the period considered is January 1, 1991-December 172 31, 2017 (27 years), 1991 being the year when the first hourly records started. 173

174 3) MJO INDEX

Wheeler and Hendon (2004) have defined a set of two indices to represent the propagation of the 175 MJO and classify every day with significant MJO activity into one of the eight phases representing 176 the location of the convective envelope. These two Real-time Multivariate MJO indices (RMM1 177 and RMM2) are computed operationally at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) from 178 NOAA OLR data (as a proxy for cloud amount) and NCEP2 reanalysis zonal winds at lower (850 179 hPa) and upper (200 hPa) levels of the atmosphere. In this study, the indices' values were retrieved 180 directly from the BoM website for the period from January 1, 1979 to December 31, 2017. The 181 (RMM1, RMM2) phase space is separated into eight quadrants and the location of a point indicates 182 the MJO phase. In this phase space, a canonical MJO event will be represented with a counter-183 clockwise trajectory, the phase for each day going from 1 to 8. Note that when the amplitude of 184 the MJO, i.e. the norm of the (RMM1,RMM2) vector, is less than 1, the MJO is considered to be 185 weak (no MJO event) and the classification of the days into phases is no longer relevant. 186

### <sup>187</sup> b. Wind regime classification

Wind regime classification aims at sorting out the regional wind maps around New Caledonia 188 (i.e. in the selected 161°E-170°E, 25°S-17°S domain) into a few specific and distinct circulation 189 patterns. For this purpose, we use the same method as Lefèvre et al. (2010), Moron et al. (2015) 190 and Hopuare et al. (2018), which is based on a k-means clustering algorithm. Zonal and meridional 191 components of the daily-mean 10-m wind data at the 90 grid points in the domain were used 192 for each day of the wet season. Standardized anomalies were computed at each grid point by 193 subtracting the long-term mean and dividing by the corresponding standard deviation. Principal 194 Component Analysis was performed to filter out the smallest scales of spatial variability and the 195 first two principal components, accounting for 69% of the total variance, were retained. The 196

7,069 days of the 39 wet seasons were partitioned in this two dimensional space using the k-197 means clustering algorithm with Euclidean distance. The optimal number of clusters was chosen 198 using a Classifiability Index as described by Michelangeli et al. (1995). The k-means clustering 199 algorithm takes the user-provided k number of clusters to choose k initial centroids (the seeds) at 200 random among the points to classify. The clusters are created by gathering each point to its closest 201 cluster, and a new centroid is computed for each cluster. This step is repeated until the algorithm 202 converges (i.e. no more changes in the clusters with the next iteration). The Classifiability Index 203 is computed for each number of clusters k. For a given k, N different partitions are computed. The 204 similarity between two partitions is taken as the largest of the k correlations (in the initial wind 205 field space) between the pairs of corresponding centroids. The Classifiability Index is the average 206 of the similarities in  $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  possible pairs of partitions. In this study, the Classifiability Index was 207 calculated for a number of clusters k from 2 to 10 and N = 50. The closer the Classifiability Index 208 is to 1, the less the partition depends on the random initial seeds and the more robust it is. 209

## 210 c. Quantifying the difference between two diurnal cycles

A diurnal cycle of precipitation is an array of 24 values, one for each hour of the day. To quantify the difference between two diurnal cycles, we define a distance between the diurnal cycles  $\mathbf{r_1}$  and  $\mathbf{r_2}$  as the Euclidean norm of  $\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2}$ 

$$\|\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2} | \mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2} \rangle} = \sqrt{\Sigma_{t=1}^{24} [\mathbf{r_1}(t) - \mathbf{r_2}(t)]^2} , \qquad (1)$$

that is constructed from the scalar product. The smaller the distance, the more similar  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_2$ . For more convenience, the squared distance  $\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2\|^2$ , rather than the distance itself, will be used as a metric in the following sections to compare the diurnal cycle within the MJO phases and the wind regimes to the long-term mean diurnal cycle. The significance of the distance between the <sup>216</sup> average diurnal cycle of a given MJO phase (resp. wind regime) to the long-term mean diurnal <sup>217</sup> cycle is assessed through bootstrapping. If *n* is the number of days in the MJO phase (resp. wind <sup>220</sup> regime) and  $N_t$  the total number of days, 10,000 average diurnal cycles for random subsets of *n* <sup>221</sup> days among the total  $N_t$  are constructed and the corresponding 10,000 distances to the long-term <sup>222</sup> mean diurnal cycle are computed. The distance in the MJO phase (resp. wind regime) is significant <sup>223</sup> if it is higher than the 95th percentile of the distribution of distances determined from the 10,000 <sup>224</sup> random draws.

Moreover, the diurnal cycles  $\mathbf{r_1}$  and  $\mathbf{r_2}$  can also be decomposed into the sum of their daily mean and the diurnal cycle of the anomalies relative to this daily mean. For instance,

$$\mathbf{r_1} = r_1^m + \mathbf{r_1^d} \,, \tag{2}$$

where  $r_1^m$  is the daily mean and  $\mathbf{r_1^d}$  the anomalies of the diurnal cycle relative to  $r_1^m$ . The squared distance  $\|\mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2}\|^2$  can therefore be written as:

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{2}\|^{2} = 24(r_{1}^{m} - r_{2}^{m})^{2} + \|\mathbf{r}_{1}^{d} - \mathbf{r}_{2}^{d}\|^{2}.$$
(3)

The first term on the right-hand side of Equation (3) represents the contribution of the difference in daily means, and the second term is the contribution of the difference in diurnal variability.

## <sup>231</sup> *d.* Spatial classification of rain gauge stations

A classification of the 28 rain gauge stations was performed in order to distinguish several geographical zones which exhibit significantly different diurnal cycles of rainfall. Similar to any clustering problem, such a classification requires the definition of an accurate metric of the difference between the items being classified (i.e. rain gauge stations). Our main purpose in this study is to illustrate, for each geographical zone, the influence of the wind regime on the diurnal

cycle. For each station, we computed the smoothed composite diurnal cycle for each wind regime 237 by averaging hourly rainfall over the days belonging to that regime and filtering out all harmonics 238 with periods below 8 hours. Given that four wind regimes (see Section 3) were finally retained, 239 each station is characterized by 96 parameters corresponding to the 24 hourly rain rate values of 240 its smoothed composite diurnal cycle in each of the four regimes. The metric used to quantify 241 the difference between two stations was the square-rooted sum of the squared differences in this 242 96-parameter space. This metric is relevant for this case as it takes into account both the average 243 rainfall at the station and the diurnal variation: if two stations receive similar rainfall on average, 244 they will be close to each other but they will be even closer if, for instance, they also have similar 245 diurnal peak. The clustering algorithm used for this classification is hierarchical clustering. At 246 each step, the two closest clusters according to Ward's minimum variance method were merged 247 together. The optimal number of clusters could be determined thanks to the dendrogram to ensure 248 that the clusters were well separated from each other. 249

### e. Statistical testing of the influence of MJO on the frequency of wind regimes

In order to assess the statistical link between the MJO and the wind regimes, a contingency table showing the classification of wet-season days both into MJO phases and into wind regimes was built. The contingency table was submitted to a chi-square test (Wilks 2006) for each column representing a given wind regime. The null hypothesis states that the frequency of occurrence of the regimes does not depend on the MJO phase, which gives an expected distribution of the wind regimes that is similar to the overall distribution. The observed distribution is compared to the expected distribution under the null hypothesis through the chi-square indicator:

$$\chi^{2} = \Sigma_{\phi=1}^{N_{\Phi}=9} \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_{w}} \frac{(O_{\phi i} - E_{\phi i})^{2}}{E_{\phi i}} .$$
(4)

<sup>258</sup> MJO phases are indicated with the subscript  $\phi$  and wind regimes are indicated with the subscript <sup>259</sup> *i*.  $O_{\phi i}$  is the observed number of days in wind regime *i* and MJO phase  $\phi$ , while  $E_{\phi i}$  is the <sup>260</sup> corresponding number of days that would be expected if the proportion of days in regime *i* among <sup>261</sup> the days in MJO phase  $\phi$  were the same as the overall proportion of days in regime *i* among all <sup>262</sup> days.  $N_w$  the number of wind regimes and  $N_{\Phi} = 9$  the number of MJO phases (including weak <sup>263</sup> MJO). Given the null hypothesis described above, the expected value  $E_{\phi i}$  is given by

$$E_{\phi i} = \frac{N_{days}(\phi) \times N_{days}(i)}{N_t} \,. \tag{5}$$

 $N_t$  is the total number of wet season days in this study from 1979 to 2017 (i.e.  $N_t = 7069$ ), while  $N_{days}(\phi)$  (resp.  $N_{days}(i)$ ) is the number of days in MJO phase  $\phi$  (resp. wind regime *i*).

<sup>266</sup> The  $\chi^2$  indicator was computed separately for each regime. Under the null hypothesis, it follows <sup>267</sup> a chi-square distribution with n-1=8 degrees of freedom. The p-value gives the probability that the <sup>268</sup> observed distribution of the given regime within the MJO phase could be obtained at random. The <sup>269</sup> null hypothesis is rejected at the 95% confidence level if the p-value is less than 0.05. If the chi-<sup>270</sup> square test allows to reject the null hypothesis, the contribution of each phase in this rejection can <sup>271</sup> be assessed through the use of Pearson's residuals:

$$R_{\phi i} = \frac{O_{\phi i} - E_{\phi i}}{\sqrt{E_{\phi i}}} \,. \tag{6}$$

Because they are generally assumed to follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and standard deviation 1, the Pearson's residuals with values less than -2 or greater than +2 are considered to indicate the phases for which the deviation from the expected distribution of the wind regimes under the null hypothesis is significant. These phases are the largest contributors to the rejection of the chi-square null hypothesis. Given their regime-phase symmetry, Pearson's residuals similarly indicate if a regime is significantly more or less frequent within a phase. The overor under-representation at the 95% level of a given regime within a MJO phase was also tested
with bootstrapping. This method leads to conclusions that are identical to the Pearson's residuals
approach.

*f. Impact of the interaction between the MJO and wind regimes on the diurnal cycle of precipitation* 

In order to understand how the interaction between the MJO and wind regimes impacts the diurnal cycle, a method is proposed based on two simple but opposite models.

In this section, all diurnal cycle anomalies relative to the average diurnal cycle will be noted with a *I*, e.g

$$\mathbf{r}_{\phi}' = \mathbf{r}_{\phi} - \overline{\mathbf{r}} , \qquad (7)$$

with  $\mathbf{r}'_{\phi}$  the diurnal cycle anomaly in MJO phase  $\phi$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$  the average diurnal cycle. MJO phases are indicated with the subscript  $\phi$  and wind regimes are indicated with the subscript *i*.

<sup>289</sup> By definition, the diurnal cycle anomaly in MJO phase  $\phi$  is the mean of the diurnal cycle anoma-<sup>290</sup> lies of each regime in MJO phase  $\phi$ , weighted by the frequencies of the regimes in the phase:

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_{i\phi} \mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} \ . \tag{8}$$

Let's re-write Equation (8) as:

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_w} [f_i + (f_{i\phi} - f_i)] [\mathbf{r}'_i + (\mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}'_i)], \qquad (9)$$

where we have introduced the perturbation of regime frequencies  $(f_{i\phi} - f_i)$  in MJO phase  $\phi$ , and the deviations  $(\mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}'_i)$  of the regime diurnal cycle in that MJO phase from the mean regime diurnal cycle. The expansion of (9) yields:

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i\phi} \mathbf{r}'_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i} \mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i} \mathbf{r}'_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} (f_{i\phi} - f_{i}) (\mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}'_{i}) .$$
(10)

By definition,  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_i = 1$  and  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_i \mathbf{r_i} = \mathbf{\bar{r}}$ , which leads to  $\Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_i \mathbf{r'_i} = 0$ .

Therefore, an exact decomposition of the diurnal cycle anomaly  $\mathbf{r}'_{\phi}$  in MJO phase  $\phi$  is:

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i\phi} \mathbf{r}'_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i} \mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} (f_{i\phi} - f_{i}) (\mathbf{r}'_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}'_{i}) .$$
(11)

In Equation (11), the third sum on the right-hand side is a non-linear, second-order residual term. The first term on the right-hand side of this equation is the contribution of the modification of the frequencies of occurrence of wind regimes. A simple model (Model 1) would be to assume that this term is dominant, as in the qualitative analysis carried out in previous studies on other regions (Ichikawa and Yasunari 2008; Hopuare et al. 2018). In this simple model,

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} \simeq \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i\phi} \mathbf{r}'_{i} \,, \tag{12}$$

which corresponds to assuming that the characteristics of the regimes (wind direction and intensity, and characteristic precipitation patterns on the islands) are the same in all phases of the MJO.

An opposite model (Model 2) would be to assume that the first term on the right-hand side of Equation (11) is negligible, and that the second term, which is the contribution of regime change, is dominant:

$$\mathbf{r}'_{\phi} \simeq \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_i \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{i}\phi} = \Sigma_{i=1}^{N_w} f_i (\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}\phi} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}) .$$
(13)

This corresponds to considering that the characteristics of the regimes change between phases of the MJO, but the frequency of these regimes do not change. Equation (13) also shows that the deviations of the diurnal cycle  $\mathbf{r}_{i\phi}$  can be taken from the long-term mean  $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$  or from the characteristic diurnal cycle of each regime  $\mathbf{r}_i$ .

We can expect the observed diurnal cycles to follow a pattern somewhat in between the two extreme simple models 1 and 2, and we can actually determine which model is closest to the observations.

The squared distances to the long-term mean diurnal cycle can be expressed as:

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{\phi}'\|^{2} = \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' \rangle .$$
<sup>(14)</sup>

According to Equation (11), this can be decomposed as:

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{\phi}'\|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{i}' \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i} \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{i\phi}' \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} (f_{i\phi} - f_{i}) \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{i\phi}' - \mathbf{r}_{i}' \rangle , \qquad (15)$$

and, according to Equation (13), it can be re-written:

$$\|\mathbf{r}_{\phi}'\|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{i}' \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} f_{i} \langle \mathbf{r}_{\phi}' | \mathbf{r}_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}_{i} \rangle + \mathbf{s} .$$
(16)

This decomposition into  $2 \times N_w + 1$  terms, which are scalar products of anomalous diurnal cycles against the anomalous diurnal cycle of the MJO phase, represents the contributions of all phenomena responsible for the change in the diurnal cycle within the MJO phase. The first term on the right-hand side of Equation (16) represents the difference to the long-term mean diurnal cycle accounted for by the change in frequency of each of the  $N_w$  regimes, following Model 1. The second term on the right-hand side of Equation (16) terms represents the difference to the long-term mean diurnal cycle accounted for by the change in the diurnal cycle of the regime within the MJO phase relative to the average diurnal cycle of the regime, according to Model 2. **s** is the second-order residual term.

### **327 3.** Influence of large-scale dynamics on the diurnal cycle of rainfall

### a. Climatology of rainfall in New Caledonia

The average daily rainfall received in the wet season by the 28 selected rain gauge stations is 329 represented in Figure 2. The rainiest stations are all along the east coast and at specific locations 330 inland that correspond to mountain peaks or passes. Stations along the west coast are compara-331 tively drier. This east-west contrast is usually explained by two factors. First, with the presence 332 of the central mountain range and the easterly-southeasterly climatological direction of the trade 333 winds (illustrated in Figure 1), orographic lifting on the windward side combined with foehn effect 334 on the lee side drive a wetter climate along the east coast and a drier climate along the west coast 335 (Atlas Climatique de la Nouvelle-Calédonie 2008). Second, rainfall in New Caledonia can also be 336 triggered by the advection of warm and moist tropical air masses by northerly and easterly winds 337 that therefore preferentially hit the east coast (Moron et al. 2016). 338

The diurnal cycle of observed rainfall is represented in Figure 3 for both the warm, wet (November-April) and the cool, dry (May-October) seasons, averaged over all stations shown in Figure 2. While there is a strong diurnal cycle in the warm season, with a marked afternoon peak at 4 pm and a secondary peak at night, rainfall in the dry season shows very little diurnal variation. As detailed in Section 1, sea breeze and orographic effects are likely to explain the afternoon maximum in warm convection, and therefore in precipitation, over land (Cronin et al. 2015). Nighttime

convection over the open ocean and advection of precipitating clouds by large-scale winds can 345 presumably account for the secondary, nocturnal maximum. During the dry season, the sea sur-346 face temperature is lower and the troposphere is much drier than in the warm season: these inhibit 347 deep convection, resulting in lower precipitation rates. The diurnal solar forcing is also smaller 348 in that season, so it creates weaker land/sea surface temperature gradient and sea breeze, which 349 is rarely strong enough to break through the convective inhibition. The diurnal cycle is therefore 350 considered to be one major player to explain differences in rainfall rates between wet and dry 351 season. 352

## <sup>353</sup> b. Impact of the MJO on the diurnal cycle of rainfall in New Caledonia

The influence of the MJO on the mean precipitation in New Caledonia (averaged over the 28 354 stations) is displayed in Figure 4a, along with the 95% confidence interval determined from a 355 bootstrap procedure (for each phase, 10,000 draws of the days in the phase are performed with 356 replacement). Daily mean rainfall variation oscillates with the MJO, following the propagation of 357 the MJO convective envelope. Phases 4, 5 and 6 are the rainiest phases, and in particular, they are 358 significantly rainier at the 95% confidence level than phases 1 and 2 which are the driest phases. 359 This is in agreement with the MJO-induced OLR anomalies over New Caledonia as shown in 360 Figure 5: the largest negative OLR anomalies over New Caledonia, associated with intensified 361 convection, are found in phases 4, 5 and 6, while the largest postive OLR anomalies, associated 362 with suppressed convection, correspond to phases 1 and 2. Figure 5 also shows that New Caledonia 363 is located at the southern edge of the strong, equatorial MJO signal and it experiences convection 364 anomalies in advance compared to the equatorial band. Positive or negative MJO-induced con-365 vection anomalies extend southeastward from the heart of the equatorial convective patch. For 366 instance, New Caledonia experiences intensified convection when the equatorial convective enve-367

<sup>368</sup> lope is located over the Maritime Continent (phase 5), while it experiences reduced convection <sup>369</sup> when the equatorial convective envelope is roughly north of New Caledonia (phase 7).

The influence of the MJO on the diurnal cycle is summarized in Figure 4b which shows the 370 evolution of the diurnal amplitude with the MJO phase, along with its 95% bootstrap confidence 371 interval. The diurnal amplitude is defined as the difference between the maximum and the mini-372 mum of the composite diurnal cycle of each MJO phase. The impact of the MJO on the diurnal 373 amplitude is less clear than that on average precipitation considering the error bars are larger, but it 374 also exhibits noteworthy features. The diurnal amplitude is largest for phase 4 to 6, which means 375 that the diurnal cycle tends to peak approximately one phase in advance compared to the mean 376 rainfall (phase 4 vs phase 5). This is reminiscent of Peatman et al. (2014)'s results, which docu-377 mented a peak in diurnal amplitude with a one-phase lead before the arrival of the MJO envelope 378 over the Maritime Continent. As for the time of the daily rainfall maximum, no significant mod-379 ulation by the phase of the MJO has been observed (not shown). The change in the timing of the 380 afternoon peak in relation with the MJO will therefore not be further investigated in this study. 381

The squared distance between the long-term mean diurnal cycle  $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$  (as represented in Figure 3) 382 and the composite diurnal cycle  $\mathbf{r}_{\phi}$  for a given MJO phase  $\phi$  is defined in Section 2c. Figure 6 383 shows these squared distances for each MJO phase as a fraction of the squared norm  $\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}\|^2$  of the 384 long-term mean diurnal cycle. The distances that are significant at the 95% confidence level (see 385 Section 2c) are indicated with asterisks above. The MJO phases for which the average diurnal 386 cycle over all stations in New Caledonia is the most modified are phases 1, 2, 5, 6 and, to a lesser 387 extent, 4. This is consistent with the results on Figure 4: phases 1 and 2 are the driest phases with 388 little diurnal variability, while phases 4, 5 and 6 are the wettest phases with an enhanced diurnal 389 peak. The same analysis for separate geographical zones (not shown) highlights similar impacts 390 with only minor changes. 391

Following Equation (3), the modifications of the diurnal cycle in Figure 6 are also split into a 392 contribution from the change in daily mean (in dark grey) and a contribution from the change in 393 the hourly anomalies relative to the daily mean (in light grey). The modification of the diurnal 394 cycle by the MJO appears to be primarily driven by the modification of the daily mean, while 395 the modification of the diurnal variability only plays a secondary role. This is consistent with 396 the results in Figure 4 in which the impact of the MJO is clearer on the daily mean than on the 397 diurnal amplitude. However, this decomposition neglects the influence of the diurnal cycle on 398 the mean and the contribution from the diurnal anomalies should be considered a lower bound of 399 the contribution from the diurnal cycle. The contribution of the diurnal variability is noteworthy 400 for the five MJO phases when the deviation from the long-term mean diurnal cycle is particularly 401 significant, e.g in phase 4. 402

## 403 c. Identification of wind regimes

The surface wind anomalies associated with the MJO propagation (Figure 5) suggest an influ-404 ence of the MJO on the circulation around New Caledonia. This is an incentive to investigate how 405 the effect of the MJO on the diurnal cycle of rainfall over New Caledonia is mediated through the 406 synoptic wind regimes, as in Leroy (2006), Lefèvre et al. (2010) and Moron et al. (2015). The 407 wind regimes were determined using ERA-Interim 10-m winds as explained in Section 2b. The 408 computation of the classifiability index for 2 to 10 clusters is illustrated in Figure 7. Given the 409 excellent classifiability for 4 clusters which is also a reasonable number of wind regimes, 4 wind 410 regimes were retained. This number is consistent with the previous findings of Leroy (2006) and 411 Lefèvre et al. (2010), although the classifications were carried out on different datasets. 412

The composite wind maps for each regime are represented in Figure 8. These regimes can be described as follows, in order of increasing average wind speed:

415	• Northerly regime: the flow crossing the island is slowed down on the windward side, while
416	the wind flowing to the west remains slightly stronger. It is the least frequent regime (14.3%
417	of wet-season days) and has the weakest wind speed.
418	• Southerly regime: the flow comes south-southeasterly and is stronger south of the island than
419	north of it. It has a frequency of 19.3% of wet-season days.
420	• Easterly regime: the flow is straight from the East and slows down slightly in the island's
421	wake. It is one of the two most common regime, with a frequency of 33.2%.
422	• Trade wind regime: the flow is very regular, almost along the main axis of the island. This
423	flow is very similar to, although faster than, the seasonal mean. It is the other most common
424	regime (33.2% of wet-season days) and it has the strongest wind speed.
425	Since wind regimes are supposed to represent recurrent patterns that may last for a few days,
426	the probabilities of transition between regimes are also important additional information about
427	their behavior. Table 1 displays these probabilities. The only transition that is significantly more
428	probable than a random draw at the 95% confidence level is the transition from the northerly to the
429	southerly regime. This corresponds to the eastward propagation of a cyclonic perturbation over
430	the island. All other probabilities that are significantly more probable than a random draw are
431	the probabilities of persistence of each regime. All other transitions are less likely than a random
432	draw.

# 433 d. Influence of the wind regimes on rainfall and its diurnal cycle

# 434 1) Spatially-averaged influence

Figure 9a displays the diurnal cycle for each regime, averaged over all 28 stations. In terms of average rainfall, the northerly regime is by far the wettest, the easterly and southerly regimes

exhibit a similar, intermediate behavior, and the trade wind regime is the driest. The northerly 437 regime can be interpreted as a southward shift of the SPCZ identified in previous studies (Lefort 438 2005; Leroy 2006). It corresponds to the arrival of warm, moist air from the equatorial band 439 resulting in precipitation over the island. The difference in rainfall averages between wind regimes 440 generally coincides with a difference in amplitude of the diurnal cycle. The afternoon peak in the 441 northerly regime is larger in amplitude than that of the easterly and southerly regime, while the 442 trade wind regime exhibits an even flatter cycle. Another interesting feature for the northerly 443 regime is the secondary maximum during the night. This secondary peak is presumably due to the 444 advection of precipitating cloud from the equatorial oceanic regions. Similar to the MJO phases 445 (Figure 6), the distance between the average diurnal cycle for each regime and the long-term mean 446 diurnal cycle is illustrated in Figure 9b. The two regimes for which there is a significant difference 447 are the northerly and the trade regimes, that is to say the more extreme (wettest and driest) ones, 448 in agreement with Figure 9a. 449

### 450 2) Spatial classification of rain-gauge stations

The presence of a mountain range and the asymmetry in the island's mean rainfall pattern (see 451 Figure 2) suggests that the influence of the wind regimes on the diurnal cycle might not be the same 452 for all stations. This matter is investigated by dividing the island into distinct geographical zones 453 following the procedure of spatial clustering detailed in Section 2d. The resulting dendrogram is 454 represented in Figure 10a. It shows that a classification into 3 geographical clusters is relevant 455 in order to obtain distinct, well-separated clusters. The location of the stations in the 3 clusters 456 is represented in Figure 10b. The clustering algorithm separates the stations into geographically-457 consistent zones: the mountain range (Range), the east coast (East) and the west coast (West). The 458 mountain stations of the Range can be identified by their altitude since they are higher than any 459

other station in East or West. The smoothed, average diurnal cycles for each cluster are represented
in Figure 11. The mountain range and the east coast are both wetter than the west coast, as could
be expected from Figure 1. In terms of diurnal variability, the west coast and the mountain range
exhibit a similar behavior with an afternoon peak as in the all-station average diurnal cycle (Figure
3). On the other hand, the east coast features a flatter diurnal cycle with a minimum in the morning
around 10-11 am and slightly more rain from the mid-afternoon until the end of the night.

Two hypotheses can be put forward to explain the flatter diurnal cycle in the East. First, the 466 east coast is on the windward side most of the time so it presumably undergoes precipitation that 467 is mostly related to the large-scale wind dynamics (cloud formation due to orographic lifting, 468 cloud advection from the open sea). This kind of precipitation is therefore independent from 469 the local diurnal variability. Second, the local diurnal variability of winds and solar radiation 470 is itself very weak on the east coast. This aspect has already been thoroughly investigated with 471 numerical modeling by Lefèvre et al. (2010). Because of the higher mean cloud cover on the east 472 coast, less solar radiation reaches the surface. The sea breeze then tends to be far weaker than 473 that on the west coast due to this difference in insolation, but also to a difference in sea surface 474 temperatures. Indeed, the sea surface temperature is also warmer off the east coast than off the west 475 coast because New Caledonia is located at the edge of the western Pacific warm pool. This creates 476 a smaller land/sea surface temperature contrast and a weaker sea breeze on the east coast. As a 477 result, rainfall on the east coast shows less diurnal variations, while the west coast experiences a 478 pronounced diurnal cycle of rainfall owing to its strong sea breeze, even if its average precipitation 479 is lower than in the other two parts of the island. As for the mountain range, the diurnal cycle of 480 thermal convection and the interaction with the sea breeze are likely to explain most of its strong 481 afternoon peak. 482

#### 483 3) GEOGRAPHICAL INFLUENCE OF THE WIND REGIMES ON THE DIURNAL CYCLE

Figure 12 shows the influence of the wind regimes for each geographical cluster of stations. The 484 influence on rainfall in clusters Range and West (Figure 12a,c) is very similar to the influence that 485 is observed in Figure 9 for the diurnal cycle averaged over all 28 stations. In other words, the 486 rainiest regime is the northerly regime, followed by the southerly and easterly regimes, and finally 487 by the driest trade-wind regime. The diurnal amplitude is also similarly related to the average 488 rainfall: the rainier the regime, the larger the diurnal amplitude. However, the influence of the 489 wind regimes on the east coast (Figure 12b) shows an altogether different behavior. While the 490 easterly and trade wind regimes are associated with a relatively flat diurnal cycle with a minimum 491 in late morning, the northerly and southerly regimes differ significantly from the average east 492 coast diurnal cycle (Figure 11). The southerly regime, that is quite dry on the east coast, exhibits a 493 diurnal variability with a marked afternoon peak. The northerly regime is also characterized by a 494 similar afternoon peak and by another secondary peak at the end of the night that has already been 495 identified in Figure 9. 496

We can propose a few tentative explanations for the specific behavior of the diurnal cycle on 497 the east coast in the southerly and northerly regimes, although the mechanisms that explain this 498 sensitivity would deserve a thorough investigation that is beyond the scope of this article. In 499 Section 3d-2), two hypotheses have been put forward to explain the flatter average diurnal cycle 500 on the east coast: the continuous rainfall due to large-scale dynamics, and the weaker insolation 501 and sea breeze diurnal variability. These hypotheses are strongly linked to the fact the east coast is 502 the average windward coast. In the southerly regime, however, the east coast becomes the leeward 503 coast. Sheltered by the mountain range, a sea breeze circulation can develop and the absence of 504 clouds favors a diurnal cycle of surface heating and afternoon convection. As a result, the diurnal 505

<sup>506</sup> cycle of rainfall on the east coast in the southerly regime exhibits an afternoon peak similar to the <sup>507</sup> diurnal cycle on the west coast in the easterly regime. During the northerly regime, very weak <sup>508</sup> winds and high humidity generally enhance the diurnal cycle of convection all over the island and <sup>509</sup> the east coast is also affected, hence the afternoon peak it also exhibits in this case. The secondary <sup>510</sup> nocturnal peak, on the other hand, can be attributed to the advection of precipitating clouds from <sup>511</sup> oceanic regions further north.

### **4. MJO impact on the diurnal cycle via wind regimes**

The findings in Section 3 illustrate separately the impact of the MJO and of wind regimes on the diurnal cycle. These two points can be linked by considering the influence of the MJO on the wind regimes, as well whether the MJO influence on the diurnal cycle of rainfall is mediated by the wind regimes.

### 517 a. Frequency variability of wind regimes with the MJO

We first investigate whether the MJO influences the frequency of occurrence of the wind 518 regimes, in line with Model 1 introduced in Section 2f. Following the methodology described 519 in Section 2e, we perform a statistical analysis of these frequencies of occurrence in the 8 MJO 520 phases. Table 2 shows the p-values of the chi-square test applied separately for each regime. The 521 p-value is always less than 5%, which enables to reject the null hypothesis that the regime frequen-522 cies do not depend on the MJO phase. Table 3 indicates the percentage of the regimes per MJO 523 phase. Percentages corresponding to a significantly more frequent regime within the MJO phase 524 (according the values of Pearson's residuals) are in **bold**, those corresponding to a significantly 525 less frequent regime are in italics. 526

A striking fact from Table 3 is that the easterly, northerly and southerly regimes seem to succeed 527 each other in the MJO cycle in terms of over-representation. Indeed, the easterly regime occur 528 significantly more frequently in phases 2, 3, and 4, when the MJO convective envelope is still in 529 the Indian Ocean or over the Maritime Continent. On the contrary, it is significantly less frequent in 530 phases 6, 7, and 8 when the convective envelope is over the Central Pacific or weak and further east. 531 The northerly regime occurs significantly less frequently in the phases 1, 2, and 3 when convection 532 is suppressed over New Caledonia, and it occurs significantly more frequently in the wettest phases 533 5 and 6. As for the southerly regime, it is also significantly less frequent in the dry phases 2 and 534 3 and significantly more frequent in phase 6. Trade winds are frequent in all phases, except in 535 the phases 5 and 6 of intensified convection over New Caledonia, when they are significantly less 536 frequent. These findings are in agreement with the wind anomalies associated to the MJO phases 537 as represented in Figure 5. In phases 2 and 3, New Caledonia experiences easterly MJO low-level 538 wind anomalies. These MJO anomalies rotate counter-clockwise around New Caledonia with the 539 succession of phases: they have a northeasterly orientation in phase 4, a northerly orientation in 540 phase 5, a westerly orientation in phase 6 and a southerly orientation in phase 7. In phases 8 541 and 1, which are phases for which the MJO is less active over New Caledonia, the anomalies 542 are southeasterly, with the same orientation as the climatological trade winds. Considering these 543 observations and the transition probabilities in Table 1, it can be deduced that there is a sequence 544 of wind regimes (easterly  $\rightarrow$  northerly  $\rightarrow$  southerly) that is due to the propagation of the MJO 545 wind anomalies. This sequence will not necessarily be observed exactly for every MJO event, 546 because fast intraseasonal and synoptic variability allow all regimes to occur in all phases, but it 547 corresponds to a canonical evolution that the atmosphere tends to follow during such events. It is 548 typical of the MJO dynamical signature (Rui and Wang 1990; Zhang 2005), with easterly winds 549 east of the convective signal and two off-equatorial cyclonic gyres propagating along with the 550

<sup>551</sup> equatorial convective center. The southern gyre is responsible for the wind anomalies around New
 <sup>552</sup> Caledonia, with a northerly component when New Caledonia is the poleward branch of the gyre
 <sup>553</sup> and a southerly component when it is in the equatorward branch.

### <sup>554</sup> b. How does the interaction between MJO and wind regimes impact the diurnal cycle?

As seen in Figures 4 and 6, the MJO modifies the diurnal cycle of precipitation over New Cale-555 donia during its most active and suppressed phases. As for wind regimes, Figures 8 and 11 also 556 demonstrate their impact on the diurnal cycle. How do these two large-scale influences interact? 557 And how does this interaction help understand the impact of the MJO on the diurnal cycle? The 558 decomposition of the anomalous diurnal cycles proposed in Section 2f with two simple models, for 559 the particular case where the number of wind regimes is  $N_w = 4$ , is used to answer these questions. 560 The contributions of the 9 terms in the squared distance to the long-term mean diurnal cycle, 561 detailed in Equation (16), are represented in Figure 13. Consistent with Figure 6, the deviations 562 from the long-term mean diurnal cycle are weak for phases 3, 7 and 8. Consequently, Figure 13 563 only represents the decomposition for the five other MJO phases (1, 2, 4, 5 and 6). The contribu-564 tions are shown as fractions of  $\|\bar{\mathbf{r}}\|^2$  in the same way as in Figure 6. The first four bars correspond 565 to the  $f_{i\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}'_{i} \rangle$  terms for each regime, associated with Model 1 and which we will call frequency 566 change terms, and their sum is indicated by the first background grey bar. The next four bars cor-567 respond to the  $f_i \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}_{i\phi} - \mathbf{r}_i \rangle$  terms associated with Model 2 and which we will call regime change 568 terms, and their sum is also represented in the background. The grey bar on the right indicates 569 the value of the residual term s. Note that all these terms correspond to the decomposition of 570 the overall distances represented in Figure 6, without separating between the daily mean and the 571 diurnal anomalies relative to the daily mean. The same decomposition has been carried out for 572 these two components separately (not shown). According to Figure 6, the change in daily mean 573

accounts for most of the changes in the diurnal cycle. As a result, when the decomposition in
Equation (16) is carried out on the daily mean only, the results are similar as those in Figure 13,
while the contributions related to the diurnal anomalies are less prominent. The results in Figure
13 are therefore mostly interpreted in terms of modification of the daily mean.

The contributions of frequency changes  $f_{i\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}'_{i} \rangle$ , associated with Model 1, need to be consid-578 ered with caution. They tend to attribute prominent contributions to the northerly and trade wind 579 regimes because the average diurnal cycles of these regimes are the most different to the long-term 580 mean diurnal cycle, as shown in Figure 9b. When one of these two regimes is less represented than 581 usual, its contribution  $f_{i\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}'_{i} \rangle$  will be negative: the decrease in frequency contributes to decreas-582 ing the deviation  $\|\mathbf{r}_{\phi}'\|^2$  from the long-term mean diurnal cycle in phase  $\phi$ . On the contrary, when 583 one of these two regimes is more frequent than usual, the same contribution will be positive and 584 increase the deviation to the long-term mean diurnal cycle. Moreover, owing to the propagation 585 of the MJO, the frequency of these two regimes tend to vary in opposite ways. For instance, in 586 phases 1 and 2, the northerly regime is less frequent and the trade wind regime is more frequent, 587 while the contrary can be observed in phases 4, 5, and 6. As a result, the contributions of the 588 frequencies of the trade and northerly regimes tend to compensate each other partially (phases 1 589 and 2) or completely (phase 4 and phases 3, 7 and 8 not shown). In the case of a partial com-590 pensation, an additional compensation by the residual term can also be noted. Therefore, except 591 for phases 5 and 6, during which the change in frequency of the northerly regime appears to play 592 an important role due its significant over-representation (see Table 3), the frequency change terms 593 include systematic strong compensating effects and are not as physically meaningful as the regime 594 change terms. 595

<sup>596</sup> Phases 1 and 2 (Figures 13a and 13b) exhibit a similar behavior: the dominant term in <sup>597</sup> the modification of the diurnal cycle is the change in diurnal cycle for the northerly regime

 $f_{north}\langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}_{north,\phi} - \mathbf{r}_{north} \rangle$ , associated with Model 2. According to Figure 5, these phases corre-598 spond to large-scale conditions that are not favorable for convection. Then, the northerly regime, 599 which is supposed to be the wettest regime cannot be as wet as usual, hence the influence of the 600 modification of its diurnal cycle in the overall changes. This contribution is positive: the change 601 in the diurnal cycle of the northerly regime increases the difference between the diurnal cycles of 602 phases 1 and 2 and the long-term mean diurnal cycle. Similar contributions can be observed, to 603 a lesser extent, for the southerly and easterly regimes. Therefore, the diurnal cycle modification 604 for MJO phases 1 and 2 is mostly a matter of unfavorable large-scale conditions for convection 605 that impact almost all regimes but have the most effect on the regime with the strongest diurnal 606 cycle. MJO phase 4 (Figure 13d) is also characterized by a positive contribution from the change 607 in the northerly-regime diurnal cycle associated with Model 2. However, unlike in MJO phases 608 1 and 2, in this phase convection intensifies over New Caledonia and as a result the diurnal cy-609 cle in the northerly regime is enhanced. A secondary contribution from the diurnal cycle of the 610 trade-wind regime  $f_{trades} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}_{trades,\phi} - \mathbf{r}_{trades} \rangle$  is also significant, while the effects of the easterly 611 and southerly regimes compensate. The diurnal cycle is enhanced in the northerly regime in MJO 612 phase 4 compared to the all-phase northerly-regime composite, and this can be explained by three 613 factors: the favorable large-scale conditions for convection mentioned above, the moister air that 614 is brought by the northerly regime, but also the weaker wind speed in this regime. Indeed, results 615 from previous work tend to demonstrate that all other conditions being identical, the diurnal cycle 616 will be stronger when low-level wind is weak (Wang and Sobel 2017). Finally, phases 5 and 6, as 617 mentioned previously, are dominated by a significant effect of the change in the northerly regime 618 frequency  $f_{north,\phi} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}'_{north} \rangle$  that is not entirely compensated by the change in the trade regime 619 frequency or by the residual term. This is in agreement with the findings by Moron et al. (2016, 620 Figure 12), for which the wettest rainfall regime, associated with a southwestward shifted SPCZ 621

and prevalent northerly winds, also has its frequency strongly modulated by subseasonal vari-622 ability. Here, the increase in northerly regime frequency in phases 5 and 6 can be held partially 623 responsible for the intensification of the diurnal cycle, along with changes in the diurnal cycle 624 for the easterly regime  $f_{east} \langle \mathbf{r}'_{\phi} | \mathbf{r}_{east,\phi} - \mathbf{r}_{east} \rangle$ . The easterly regime exhibits an enhanced diurnal 625 cycle in phases 5 and 6 owing to its orientation that is shifted northeasterly, its weaker speed and, 626 again, the favorable large-scale conditions for convection. These different interactions between 627 the MJO and the wind regimes have been considered for the diurnal cycle averaged on all stations. 628 Qualitatively similar results can be found separately for the three geographical groups of stations 629 (Range, East and West) identified in Section 3d (not shown). 630

# **5.** Summary and discussion

This study demonstrates that the Madden-Julian oscillation is associated with rainfall anomalies 632 in New Caledonia that can be noted mostly in the daily average but also, to a lesser extent, in the 633 diurnal variability. These two components are in fact closely entangled together when it comes to 634 modulation by the MJO because the variations in the diurnal amplitude result in variations in the 635 daily mean. MJO phases 1 and 2 are responsible for a weaker diurnal cycle while it is enhanced 636 in phases 4, 5 and 6. The link between the large-scale intraseasonal variability and the local-scale 637 diurnal cycle of rainfall is established through the use of wind regimes as an intermediate tool. Four 638 regimes were identified to classify the surface wind circulation in a small domain encompassing 639 New Caledonia. They are also associated with differences in the rainfall average and its diurnal 640 cycle, depending on where the air masses come from and how they interact with the island's 641 topography. Owing to its complex topography, the island can also be objectively separated into 642 three distinct geographical zones that exhibit differences in rainfall amounts and diurnal cycles: 643 the mountain range, the east coast and the west coast. The diurnal cycle in these zones might be 644

<sup>645</sup> subject to quantitative but also qualitative changes depending on the regime, the most notable ones
 <sup>646</sup> being for the east coast during the northerly and southerly regimes.

From a statistical point of view, the MJO appears to modulate the probability of occurrence 647 of the wind regimes. Its propagation brings about a counterclockwise rotation of surface wind 648 anomalies around New Caledonia that is suggestive of an easterly-northerly-southerly sequence. 649 This sequence is also discernible in the frequencies of occurrence of the wind regimes within the 650 MJO phases, some regimes being significantly more frequent in some phases while the others 651 are significantly less frequent. However, this undeniable relationship does not necessarily mean 652 that the influence of the MJO on the diurnal cycle of precipitation is entirely mediated by the 653 change in frequency of wind regimes. Indeed, Moron et al. (2016, see Figure 12) also highlight 654 the limitations of the frequentist interpretation for the influence of subseasonal variability when it 655 comes to rainfall itself, except for the wettest days. 656

This is why this study proposes a framework to assess the different contributions to the sensi-657 tivity of the diurnal cycle to the MJO. This framework consists in a decomposition into (1) terms 658 associated with the modification of the frequency of occurrence of the wind regimes by the MJO, 659 and (2) terms due to changes of the characteristics of the wind regimes within the phases of the 660 MJO. It shows that the modification of the regimes' diurnal cycle of precipitation due to the MJO 661 (Model 2) eventually proves to account for the largest part of the MJO diurnal cycle anomalies, and 662 that the modification of regime frequencies (Model 1) plays a lesser role. This raises the question 663 of how the MJO influences changes in the wind regimes' diurnal cycles. We can provide several 664 hypotheses which would require further examination. First, the MJO-induced large-scale con-665 vective conditions (enhanced, neutral or suppressed) might have different effects on the different 666 regimes but are not directly related to the wind patterns. Second, the regime circulation anoma-667

lies in a given MJO phase are presumably involved through modifications of the wind orientationand/or speed.

The application of this framework for New Caledonia therefore highlights complex interactions that go far beyond the simple model assuming that the MJO mostly modulates the frequencies of wind regimes, contrary to what was previously expected considering past work on other regions (Ichikawa and Yasunari 2008; Hopuare et al. 2018). The complexity of these interactions even suggests that other tools besides wind regimes should be considered in order to fully decompose and understand the MJO signal that impacts New Caledonia at a local scale.

*Acknowledgments.* We acknowledge support from the Pacific Fund of the Ministère des Affaires Étrangères (France) through the research program PLUVAR. All data analysis in this study was performed using the open source language R. The clustering algorithms were carried out with the functions 'kmeans' and 'hclust' from the R base package 'stats'. MJO RMM values were obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology: http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/mjo/ graphics/rmm.74toRealtime.txt. We would also like to thank the two anonymous reviewers whose comments helped improve this study.

683

684

### APPENDIX A

### **Rain gauge stations information**

<sup>685</sup> Table A1 here

### 686 **References**

Barbero, R., V. Moron, M. Mangeas, M. Despinoy, and C. Hély, 2011: Relationships between
 MODIS and ATSR fires and atmospheric variability in New Caledonia (SW Pacific). *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, D21 110, doi:10.1029/2011JD015915.

Birch, C. E., S. Webster, S. C. Peatman, D. J. Parker, A. J. Matthews, Y. Li, and M. E. E. Hassim,
 2016: Scale Interactions between the MJO and the Western Maritime Continent. *J. Climate*, 29,
 2471–2492, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0557.1.

<sup>693</sup> Chen, S. S., and R. A. Houze, 1997: Diurnal variation and life-cycle of deep convective systems
 <sup>694</sup> over the tropical pacific warm pool. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **123**, 357–388, doi:10.1002/qj.
 <sup>695</sup> 49712353806.

696	Cronin, T. W., K. A. Emanuel, and P. Molnar, 2015: Island precipitation enhancement and the
697	diurnal cycle in radiative-convective equilibrium: Island Rainfall Enhancement in Radiative-
698	Convective Equilibrium. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 141, 1017–1034, doi:10.1002/qj.2443.
699	de la Nouvelle-Calédonie, G., 2016: Dossier sur les risques majeurs de la Nouvelle-Calédonie,
700	Direction de la Sécurité Civile et de la Gestion des Risques de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. URL
701	https://securite-civile.nc/documents/dossier-sur-les-risques-majeurs-de-la-nouvelle-caledonie.
702	Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of
703	the data assimilation system. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-597, doi:10.1002/qj.828.
704	Griffiths, G. M., M. J. Salinger, and I. Leleu, 2003: Trends in extreme daily rainfall across the
705	South Pacific and relationship to the South Pacific Convergence Zone. Int. J. Climatol., 23,
706	847-869, doi:10.1002/joc.923.
707	Hidayat, R., and S. Kizu, 2009: Influence of the Madden-Julian Oscillation on Indonesian rainfall
708	variability in austral summer. Int. J. Climatol., 30, 1816–1825, doi:10.1002/joc.2005.
709	Hopuare, M., M. Guglielmino, and P. Ortega, 2018: Interactions between intraseasonal and diurnal

- variability of precipitation in the South Central Pacific: The case of a small high island, Tahiti,
- <sup>711</sup> French Polynesia. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 1–17, doi:10.1002/joc.5834.

- <sup>712</sup> Ichikawa, H., and T. Yasunari, 2008: Intraseasonal Variability in Diurnal Rainfall over New
   <sup>713</sup> Guinea and the Surrounding Oceans during Austral Summer. *J. Climate*, **21**, 2852–2868, doi:
   <sup>714</sup> 10.1175/2007JCLI1784.1.
- <sup>715</sup> Kanamori, H., T. Yasunari, and K. Kuraji, 2013: Modulation of the Diurnal Cycle of Rainfall As-
- sociated with the MJO Observed by a Dense Hourly Rain Gauge Network at Sarawak, Borneo.

J. Climate, **26**, 4858–4875, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00158.1.

- <sup>718</sup> Kikuchi, K., and B. Wang, 2008: Diurnal Precipitation Regimes in the Global Tropics. *J. Climate*,
   <sup>719</sup> **21**, 2680–2696, doi:10.1175/2007JCLI2051.1.
- Lefèvre, J., P. Marchesiello, N. C. Jourdain, C. Menkes, and A. Leroy, 2010: Weather regimes and
   orographic circulation around New Caledonia. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, **61**, 413–431, doi:10.1016/j.
   marpolbul.2010.06.012.
- Lefort, T., 2005: Starting up medium-range forecasting for New Caledonia in the South-West
   Pacific Ocean a not so boring tropical climate. *ECMWF Newsletter*, **102**, 2–7.
- Leroy, A., 2006: Utilisation des Prévisions Saisonnières en Nouvelle-Calédonie. Météo-France.
   note de la DP n°6. 171 pp.
- Leroy, A., and M. C. Wheeler, 2008: Statistical Prediction of Weekly Tropical Cyclone Activity
   in the Southern Hemisphere. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **136**, 3637–3654, doi:10.1175/2008MWR2426.1.
- Liebmann, B., and C. A. Smith, 1996: Description of a Complete (Interpolated) Outgoing Long wave Radiation Dataset. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **77**, 1275–1277.
- <sup>731</sup> Madden, R. A., and P. R. Julian, 1971: Detection of a 40-50 Day Oscillation in the Zonal Wind
- in the Tropical Pacific. J. Atmos. Sci., 28, 702–708, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028 $\langle 0702:$
- <sup>733</sup> DOADOI $\rangle$ 2.0.CO;2.

Matthews, A. J., 2012: A multiscale framework for the origin and variability of the South Pacific
 Convergence Zone. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **138**, 1165–1178, doi:10.1002/qj.1870.

Météo-France, 2008: Atlas Climatique de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. Accessed 10 De cember 2018, http://www.meteo.nc/en-savoir-plus/comprendre-la-meteo/publications/
 atlas-climatique-de-la-nouvelle-caledonie-sommaire.

<sup>739</sup> Michelangeli, P.-A., R. Vautard, and B. Legras, 1995: Weather Regimes: Recurrence and
 <sup>740</sup> Quasi Stationarity. J. Atmos. Sci., **52**, 1237–1256, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1995)052(1237:
 <sup>741</sup> WRRAQS)2.0.CO;2.

Mori, S., and Coauthors, 2004: Diurnal Land-Sea Rainfall Peak Migration over Sumatera Island, Indonesian Maritime Continent, Observed by TRMM Satellite and Intensive Rawinsonde Soundings. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **132**, 2021–2039, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132(2021:
DLRPMO)2.0.CO;2.

<sup>746</sup> Moron, V., R. Barbero, and A. W. Robertson, 2016: Subseasonal-to-interannual variability of
 <sup>747</sup> rainfall over New Caledonia (SW Pacific). *Climate Dynamics*, 46, 2449–2468, doi:10.1007/
 <sup>748</sup> s00382-015-2712-0.

Moron, V., A. W. Robertson, J.-H. Qian, and M. Ghil, 2015: Weather types across the Maritime
 Continent: from the diurnal cycle to interannual variations. *Front. Env. Sci.*, 2, 65, doi:10.3389/
 fenvs.2014.00065.

Oh, J.-H., K.-Y. Kim, and G.-H. Lim, 2012: Impact of MJO on the diurnal cycle of rainfall over
 the western Maritime Continent in the austral summer. *Climate Dyn.*, **38**, 1167–1180, doi:10.
 1007/s00382-011-1237-4.

755	Peatman, S. C., A. J. Matthews, and D. P. Stevens, 2014: Propagation of the Madden-Julian Os-
756	cillation through the Maritime Continent and scale interaction with the diurnal cycle of precip-
757	itation: MJO Propagation and Scale Interaction with the Diurnal Cycle. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor.
758	Soc., 140, 814–825, doi:10.1002/qj.2161.

- Qian, J.-H., 2008: Why Precipitation Is Mostly Concentrated over Islands in the Maritime Continent. J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 1428–1441, doi:10.1175/2007JAS2422.1.
- Qian, J.-H., A. W. Robertson, and V. Moron, 2013: Diurnal Cycle in Different Weather Regimes
   and Rainfall Variability over Borneo Associated with ENSO. *J. Climate*, 26, 1772–1790, doi:
   10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00178.1.
- Rauniyar, S. P., and K. J. E. Walsh, 2011: Scale Interaction of the Diurnal Cycle of Rainfall
   over the Maritime Continent and Australia: Influence of the MJO. *J. Climate*, 24, 325–348,
   doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3673.1.
- <sup>767</sup> Rui, H., and B. Wang, 1990: Development Characteristics and Dynamic Structure of Tropical In <sup>768</sup> traseasonal Convection Anomalies. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 47, 357–379, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1990)
   <sup>769</sup> 047(0357:DCADSO)2.0.CO;2.

Saito, K., T. Keenan, G. Holland, and K. Puri, 2001: Numerical Simulation of the Diurnal Evolution of Tropical Island Convection over the Maritime Continent. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **129**, 378–400, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129(0378:NSOTDE)2.0.CO;2.

Salinger, M. J., S. McGree, F. Beucher, S. B. Power, and F. Delage, 2014: A new index for
variations in the position of the South Pacific convergence zone 1910/11-2011/2012. *Climate Dyn.*, 43, 881–892, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-2035-y.

- Sobel, A. H., C. D. Burleyson, and S. E. Yuter, 2011: Rain on small tropical islands. J. Geophys. 776 *Res.*, **116**, D8, doi:10.1029/2010JD014695. 777
- Sui, C.-H., X. Li, K.-M. Lau, and D. Adamec, 1997: Multiscale Air-Sea Interactions during TOGA 778
- COARE. Mon. Wea. Rev., 125, 448–462, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1997)125(0448:MASIDT)2. 779 0.CO;2. 780
- Suzuki, T., 2009: Diurnal cycle of deep convection in super clusters embedded in the Madden-78 Julian Oscillation. J. Geophys. Res., 114, D22, doi:10.1029/2008JD011303. 782
- Tian, B., D. E. Waliser, and E. J. Fetzer, 2006: Modulation of the diurnal cycle of tropical deep 783 convective clouds by the MJO. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **33**, 20, doi:10.1029/2006GL027752.
- Vincent, C. L., and T. P. Lane, 2016: Evolution of the Diurnal Precipitation Cycle with the Passage 785 of a Madden-Julian Oscillation Event through the Maritime Continent. Mon. Wea. Rev., 144, 786

1983–2005, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-15-0326.1. 787

- Vincent, C. L., and T. P. Lane, 2017: A 10-Year Austral Summer Climatology of Observed and 788 Modeled Intraseasonal, Mesoscale, and Diurnal Variations over the Maritime Continent. J. Cli-789 *mate*, **30**, 3807–3828, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0688.1. 790
- Vincent, E. M., M. Lengaigne, C. E. Menkes, N. C. Jourdain, P. Marchesiello, and G. Madec, 791 2011: Interannual variability of the South Pacific Convergence Zone and implications for tropi-792 cal cyclone genesis. *Climate Dyn.*, **36**, 1881–1896, doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0716-3. 793
- Wang, S., and A. H. Sobel, 2017: Factors Controlling Rain on Small Tropical Islands: Diurnal 794 Cycle, Large-Scale Wind Speed, and Topography. J. Atmos. Sci., 74 (11), 3515–3532, doi: 795 10.1175/JAS-D-16-0344.1. 796

- <sup>797</sup> Wheeler, M. C., and H. H. Hendon, 2004: An All-Season Real-Time Multivariate MJO Index:
   <sup>798</sup> Development of an Index for Monitoring and Prediction. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **132**, 1917–1932,
   <sup>799</sup> doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2004)132(1917:AARMMI)2.0.CO;2.
- Wilks, D. S., 2006: *Statistical methods in the atmospheric sciences*. 2nd ed., Academic Press,
  Boston, MA.
- Yang, S., and E. A. Smith, 2006: Mechanisms for Diurnal Variability of Global Tropical Rainfall
   Observed from TRMM. *J. Climate*, **19**, 5190–5226, doi:10.1175/JCLI3883.1.
- Yang, Y., and Y.-L. Chen, 2008: Effects of Terrain Heights and Sizes on Island-Scale Circulations
- and Rainfall for the Island of Hawaii during HaRP. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **136**, 120–146, doi:10.1175/
   2007MWR1984.1.
- <sup>807</sup> Zhang, C., 2005: Madden-Julian Oscillation. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **43** (2), doi:10.1029/ <sup>808</sup> 2004RG000158.

# LIST OF TABLES

810	Table 1.	(a) Probabilities of transition between wind regimes. Row: Regime in day $n$ .
811		Column: Regime in day $n + 1$ . The probabilities are expressed relative to the
812		regime in day n (rows add up to 1). Bold: transition more probable at the 95%
813		level than in 10000 random sequences. Italics: transition less probable at the
814		95% level than in 10000 random sequences. (b) Number and average duration
815		for each regime spell. All statistics are computed for the 1979-2017 NDJFMA
816		period
817	Table 2.	p-value of the $\chi^2$ -test assessing the distribution of each wind regime among the
818		MJO phases (weak MJO included)
819	Table 3.	Percentage of wind regimes by MJO phase. Bold: the regime is significantly
820		more frequent in the phase (Pearson's residual greater than +2). Italics: the
821		regime is significantly less frequent in the MJO phase (Pearson's residual less
822		than -2)
823	Table A1.	List of Météo-France rain gauge stations and coordinates

TABLE 1. (a) Probabilities of transition between wind regimes. Row: Regime in day *n*. Column: Regime in day n + 1. The probabilities are expressed relative to the regime in day n (rows add up to 1). Bold: transition more probable at the 95% level than in 10000 random sequences. Italics: transition less probable at the 95% level than in 10000 random sequences. (b) Number and average duration for each regime spell. All statistics are computed for the 1979-2017 NDJFMA period.

	Northerly	Southerly	Easterly	Trades
(a) Regime transition				
Northerly	0.63	0.25	0.12	0
Southerly	0.06	0.59	0.14	0.21
Easterly	0.12	0.08	0.69	0.11
Trades	0	0.06	0.17	0.77
(b) Size and duration				
Episodes	374	565	735	557
Duration (days)	2.7	2.4	3.2	4.2

TABLE 2. p-value of the  $\chi^2$ -test assessing the distribution of each wind regime among the MJO phases (weak MJO included).

	Northerly	Southerly	Easterly	Trades
p-value	0	1.2e-06	1.6e-11	1.8e-04

TABLE 3. Percentage of wind regimes by MJO phase. Bold: the regime is significantly more frequent in the phase (Pearson's residual greater than +2). Italics: the regime is significantly less frequent in the MJO phase (Pearson's residual less than -2).

MJO phase	Total	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Weak MJO
Northerly	14.3	9.3	5.5	8.4	11.5	24.0	20.6	16.8	15.2	14.8
Southerly	19.3	23.0	14.4	14.7	15.8	15.7	26.1	22.0	21.6	19.5
Easterly	33.2	30.4	44.0	39.5	42.5	34.0	27.9	25.0	27.0	32.3
Trades	33.2	37.3	36.1	37.4	30.2	26.3	25.4	36.2	36.2	33.4

Station	Start date	End date	Longitude (°E)	Latitude (°S)	Altitude (m)
AOUPINIE	1991/01/01	2014/12/31	165.2853	21.177	905
BORINDI	1992/01/08	2014/12/31	166.4915	21.7963	5
BOURAIL	1997/06/11	2014/12/31	165.4938	21.5548	25
BOURAKE	2000/12/13	2014/12/31	165.9998	21.9425	53
CANALA	1993/06/15	2014/12/30	165.9692	21.5263	35
COL ROUSSETTES	1999/06/01	2014/12/31	165.454	21.4233	361
DUMBEA	1998/02/04	2014/12/31	166.4808	22.1357	14
HIENGHENE GEND.	1994/02/01	2014/12/31	164.9495	20.6883	22
HOUAILOU P.	1993/01/01	2014/12/31	165.628	21.2783	11
KONE	1995/07/01	2014/12/31	164.8335	21.0513	9
KOUMAC	1993/11/15	2014/12/31	164.2842	20.5587	25
LA FOA	1995/12/15	2014/12/31	165.8147	21.7013	12
LA TONTOUTA	1996/01/01	2014/12/31	166.2223	22.0173	37
NASSIRAH	1999/09/13	2014/12/31	166.0632	21.815	52
NEPOUI	1990/04/01	2014/12/31	165.0022	21.3182	82
NESSADIOU	1991/05/01	2014/12/31	165.4802	21.6205	2
NOUMEA	1996/01/01	2014/12/31	165.4528	22.276	70
OUEHOLLE	1996/07/11	2008/12/01	164.525	20.588	155
POINDIMIE	1993/01/01	2014/12/31	165.328	20.9325	14
POUEBO	1992/05/01	2011/06/06	164.587	20.3982	13
POUEMBOUT	1992/04/03	2009/02/19	164.9013	21.1195	27
POYA	1999/06/01	2014/12/31	165.157	21.3453	7
RIVIERE BLANCHE	2000/12/06	2014/12/31	166.7263	22.1327	171
TANGO	1998/03/01	2014/12/31	165.0262	20.9837	341
TOUHO AEROD.	1993/01/01	2014/12/31	165.2545	20.7893	2
YATE MRIE	1993/01/01	2014/12/31	166.939	22.1565	25

Table A1. List of Météo-France rain gauge stations and coordinates

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

835 836	Fig. 1.	Long-term mean 10-meter wind velocity (vectors) and intensity (shadings) around New Caledonia.	45
837 838 839	Fig. 2.	Average precipitation at the 28 stations in wet season (NDJFMA). Grey lines indicate ele- vation levels every 200 m from 200 m to 1000 m, retrieved from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data.	46
840 841	Fig. 3.	Mean diurnal cycle of rainfall (mm/h) averaged over the 28 stations in the wet season (blue) and the dry season (orange).	47
842 843 844	Fig. 4.	a) Daily mean rainfall (mm/day) averaged on the 28 stations for each MJO phase b) Ampli- tude (mm/h) of the spatially averaged composite diurnal cycle for each MJO phase. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval determined from a bootstrap procedure.	48
845 846 847	Fig. 5.	NOAA OLR anomalies (OLRa as defined in Wheeler and Hendon (2004)) (shadings, in $W/m^2$ ) and 10-m wind anomalies (vectors, m/s) in ERA-Interim for each MJO phase. The black rectangle indicates New Caledonia's region shown in Figure 1.	49
848 849 850 851 852	Fig. 6.	Squared distance between the composite diurnal cycle for each MJO phase $\mathbf{r}_{\phi}$ (weak MJO days not included) and the mean diurnal cycle $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$ expressed as a percentage of $\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2$ (100 × $\frac{\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}_{\phi} - \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2}{\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2}$ ). The asterisks indicate distances that are significant at the 95% confidence level (see Section 2c). Dark shadings: contribution from the change in daily mean. Light shadings: contribution from the change in the hourly anomalies relative to the daily mean.	50
853 854	Fig. 7.	Classifiability index for each number of clusters, determined from the two first PCs of ERA-Interim 10-m winds in the New Caledonia domain ( $161^{\circ}E-170^{\circ}E$ , $25^{\circ}S-17^{\circ}S$ ).	51
855 856	Fig. 8.	Composite maps of ERA-Interim 10-m winds (arrows) and wind speed (shadings) for the four wet season regimes.	52
857 858 859 860	Fig. 9.	a) Spatially-averaged diurnal cycle of rainfall for each wind regime (mm/h). b) Squared distance between the composite diurnal cycle for each wind regime $\mathbf{r}_i$ and the mean diurnal cycle $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$ expressed as a percentage of $\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2 (100 \times \frac{\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}_i - \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2}{\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2})$ . The asterisks indicate distances that are significant at the 95% confidence level (see Section 2c)	53
861 862	Fig. 10.	a) Dendrogram of the hierarchical clustering of the 28 rain gauge stations b) Location of the 3 clusters retained with elevation levels indicated by grey lines.	54
863	Fig. 11.	Diurnal cycles of rainfall (mm/h) for each geographical zone.	55
864 865	Fig. 12.	Spatially-averaged diurnal cycle of rainfall for each wind regime (mm/h) a) Mountain range b) East coast c) West coast	56
866 867 868 869 870	Fig. 13.	Decomposition of the squared distances between the MJO composite cycles $\mathbf{r}_{\phi}$ and the long- term mean diurnal cycle $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$ as a percentage of $\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}\ ^2$ , according to Equation (16). The first four terms represent the contribution of regime frequency changes, the next four terms the contribution of regime change at constant frequency. N: Northerly regime. S: Southerly regime. E: Easterly regime. T: Trade winds regime.	57



FIG. 1. Long-term mean 10-meter wind velocity (vectors) and intensity (shadings) around New Caledonia.



FIG. 2. Average precipitation at the 28 stations in wet season (NDJFMA). Grey lines indicate elevation levels every 200 m from 200 m to 1000 m, retrieved from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) data.



FIG. 3. Mean diurnal cycle of rainfall (mm/h) averaged over the 28 stations in the wet season (blue) and the dry season (orange).



FIG. 4. a) Daily mean rainfall (mm/day) averaged on the 28 stations for each MJO phase b) Amplitude (mm/h)
of the spatially averaged composite diurnal cycle for each MJO phase. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence
interval determined from a bootstrap procedure.



FIG. 5. NOAA OLR anomalies (OLRa as defined in Wheeler and Hendon (2004)) (shadings, in  $W/m^2$ ) and 10-m wind anomalies (vectors, m/s) in ERA-Interim for each MJO phase. The black rectangle indicates New Caledonia's region shown in Figure 1.



FIG. 6. Squared distance between the composite diurnal cycle for each MJO phase  $\mathbf{r}_{\phi}$  (weak MJO days not included) and the mean diurnal cycle  $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$  expressed as a percentage of  $\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2 (100 \times \frac{\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}_{\phi} - \mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2})$ . The asterisks indicate distances that are significant at the 95% confidence level (see Section 2c). Dark shadings: contribution from the change in daily mean. Light shadings: contribution from the change in the hourly anomalies relative to the daily mean.



FIG. 7. Classifiability index for each number of clusters, determined from the two first PCs of ERA-Interim 10-m winds in the New Caledonia domain (161°E-170°E, 25°S-17°S).



FIG. 8. Composite maps of ERA-Interim 10-m winds (arrows) and wind speed (shadings) for the four wet season regimes.



FIG. 9. a) Spatially-averaged diurnal cycle of rainfall for each wind regime (mm/h). b) Squared distance between the composite diurnal cycle for each wind regime  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and the mean diurnal cycle  $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$  expressed as a percentage of  $\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2 (100 \times \frac{\|\mathbf{\bar{r}_i} - \mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2}{\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2})$ . The asterisks indicate distances that are significant at the 95% confidence level (see Section 2c)



FIG. 10. a) Dendrogram of the hierarchical clustering of the 28 rain gauge stations b) Location of the 3 clusters
 retained with elevation levels indicated by grey lines.



FIG. 11. Diurnal cycles of rainfall (mm/h) for each geographical zone.



FIG. 12. Spatially-averaged diurnal cycle of rainfall for each wind regime (mm/h) a) Mountain range b) East coast c) West coast



FIG. 13. Decomposition of the squared distances between the MJO composite cycles  $\mathbf{r}_{\phi}$  and the long-term mean diurnal cycle  $\mathbf{\bar{r}}$  as a percentage of  $\|\mathbf{\bar{r}}\|^2$ , according to Equation (16). The first four terms represent the contribution of regime frequency changes, the next four terms the contribution of regime change at constant frequency. N: Northerly regime. S: Southerly regime. E: Easterly regime. T: Trade winds regime.