

Inherited state of stress as a key factor controlling slip and slip mode: inference from the study of a slow slip event in the Longitudinal Valley, Taiwan

Alexandre Canitano, Maxime Godano, Marion Y. Thomas

▶ To cite this version:

Alexandre Canitano, Maxime Godano, Marion Y. Thomas. Inherited state of stress as a key factor controlling slip and slip mode: inference from the study of a slow slip event in the Longitudinal Valley, Taiwan. Geophysical Research Letters, 2021, 48 (3), pp.e2020GL090278. 10.1029/2020GL090278. hal-03083654

HAL Id: hal-03083654 https://hal.science/hal-03083654

Submitted on 19 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Inherited state of stress as a key factor controlling
- ² slip and slip mode: inference from the study of a
- ³ slow slip event in the Longitudinal Valley, Taiwan

Alexandre Canitano¹, Maxime Godano² and Marion Y. Thomas³

Corresponding author: A. Canitano, Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan. (canitano@earth.sinica.edu.tw)

¹Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia

Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan.

²Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS,

Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, IRD,

Géoazur, 250 rue Albert Einstein, Sophia

Antipolis 06560 Valbonne, France.

³Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU,

Institut des Sciences de la Terre Paris,

ISTeP UMR 7193, F-75005 Paris, France.

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

X - 2 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

Using borehole strainmeters, we detected a 13-day long slow slip event in 4 2011 with $M_w = 5.45$ on the Longitudinal Valley Fault, Taiwan. It has been 5 likely promoted by the significant Coulomb stress changes (~ 0.5 -1 MPa) im-6 parted by a combination of coseismic and postseismic slip of the 2003 Chengkung 7 earthquake. Using kinematic slip models, we infer that the slow event has 8 accomodated about 15 to 35% of the slip deficit accumulated in 2011 in its 9 source region. Further, we find that inherited state of stress may have con-10 trolled the slow event southward propagation. We also highlight a spatiotem-11 poral correlation between the slow event and a cluster of repeating microearthquakes, 12 suggesting a complex interplay between seismic and aseismic processes on 13 the fault, where transient aseismic regions are adjacent to highly coupled zones. 14

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

1. Introduction

Over the last two decades, the growing development of geodetic and seismological mon-15 itoring arrays in active regions has revealed episodic aseismic slip in the crust, spanning 16 timescales from seconds to years [e.g., *Peng and Gomberg*, 2010]. These slow slip events 17 (SSEs) play an important role in redistributing stress in the Earth's crust [e.g., Linde 18 et al., 1996] and are now observed in various tectonic regions [e.g., Bürgmann, 2018]. 19 SSEs are often accompanied by earthquake swarms [Vallée et al., 2013; Gualandi et al., 20 2017; Fasola et al., 2019] or nonvolcanic tremors [e.g., Beroza and Ide, 2011], and together, 21 represent an important mechanism of strain release in active regions. Therefore, investi-22 gating the stress conditions, the faulting mechanisms of slow slip and what role they play 23 in the earthquake cycle is fundamental to determine time-dependent earthquake hazard. 24

25

In eastern Taiwan, the Longitudinal Valley (LV) is an active collision boundary be-26 tween the Eurasian and Philippine Sea plates [Barrier and Angelier, 1986], and accounts 27 for more than half of the 9 cm.yr⁻¹ of oblique plate convergence [Yu et al., 1997]. The 28 Longitudinal Valley Fault (LVF), which runs along the eastern side of the LV, represents 29 the major active structure in the region and accounts for about 4.5 cm.yr^{-1} of total plate 30 convergence [Thomas et al., 2014a] (Figure 1). The fault is creeping at the surface at 31 the rate of 1-6 cm/yr between latitudes 23°00′ and 23°30′ [Thomas et al., 2014a] and also 32 experiences seasonal and transient creep episodes [Lee et al., 2003; Murase et al., 2013]. 33 Despite significant historical earthquakes, there is a paucity of large shocks along the 34 fault relatively to the high convergence rate, suggesting that a significant fraction of the 35

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

X - 4 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

long-term slip rate, in the seismogenic depth range, is released aseismically [Liu et al., 2009]. Indeed, based on the analysis of geodetic data for the 1992-2010 period, Thomas 37 et al. [2014a] demonstrate that 80 to 90% of the long-term slip budget on the southern 38 section of the LVF is the result of aseismic slip. Following the 2003 M_w 6.8 Chengkung 39 earthquake, a 7-year long afterslip has been detected by Global Positioning System (GPS) 40 stations along the Chihshang Fault (CF) [Thomas et al., 2014a], a 30-km long section of 41 the southern LVF. Borehole strainmeters have captured a very shallow SSE (2 to 4 km 42 depth) with geodetic moment magnitude $M_w \sim 4.5$ in central LV [Canitano et al., 2019]. 43 A 1-month long afterslip following a M_w 4.6 earthquake on the CF was also shown to 44 control the rate of aftershocks near the earthquake source region [Canitano et al., 2018a]. 45 However, the dearth of aseismic transient observations in the region strongly limits our 46 ability to investigate the mechanisms of slow deformation along the fault and to further 47 interpret the interplay between seismic and aseismic processes. 48

49

In this study, we document a 2-week long SSE with an equivalent moment magnitude of 50 5.45. It occurred in January-February 2011 on the central section of the LVF, northeast 51 of the source rupture of the 2003 Chengkung earthquake. This event occurred between 52 about 8 to 14 km depth and was detected by borehole strainmeters deployed in two net-53 works distant by 35 km. Using static Coulomb stress modeling, we investigate a possible 54 contribution of coseismic and postseismic slip of the Chengkung event to the SSE occur-55 rence. We also analyze the spatiotemporal pattern of a cluster of earthquake multiplets 56 occurring during the SSE episode and investigate its relationship with aseismic slip. Fi-57

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

nally, we investigate the behaviour of the SSE region during the Chengkung earthquake
 and discuss the SSE contribution to the release of slip deficit along the LVF.

60

2. Near-fault instrumentation and data processing

Beginning in 2003, to shed additional light on the nature of the deformation in the 61 LV, the Institute of Earth Sciences (IES) Academia Sinica, in cooperation with the De-62 partment of Terrestrial Magnetism, Carnegie Institution of Washington, has deployed 11 63 Sacks-Evertson [Sacks et al., 1971] borehole strainmeters along the LVF (Figure 1). They 64 monitor rock volume change (dilatation ϵ_v) and complement the GPS measurements for 65 detecting crustal transients at short to intermediate periods (minutes to weeks). We cali-66 brate the dilatometers using solid-Earth and ocean tides [Canitano et al., 2018b] (Figure 67 S1), and correct the strain data for borehole relaxation, for solid-Earth and ocean tidal 68 strain and air pressure induced strain. To monitor strain changes caused by hydrological 69 variations, rainfall and groundwater level stations were deployed by the Central Weather 70 Bureau (CWB) and the Water Resources Bureau in Taiwan, respectively. Sea level changes 71 are continuously monitored by a tide gauge installed near Chengkung and operated by 72 the CWB. The corrected strain signals and environmental signals are presented in Figure 73 2. We process the GPS data (Figure 1b) with the GAMIT10.42/GLOBK5.16 software 74 packages [Herring et al., 2010] using the 2005 International Terrestrial Reference Frame 75 (ITRF2005) [Altamini et al., 2007] coordinates in GLOBK processing (Figure S2). 76

77

3. Detection and characterization of the SSE

Volumetric strain response to rainfall represents the largest signal observed in strain-78 meter time-series. Hours to days following the rainfall, a contraction signal proportional 79 to the amount of cumulative rainfall is recorded (Figure S3a). A rainfall-strain admittance 80 of -0.51 n ϵ /mm is found for the central LV [*Hsu et al.*, 2015]. At longer periods (weeks to 81 months), an alternance of phases of contraction and expansion is observed, which suggests 82 that volumetric crustal strain is likely modulated by the cumulative rainfall loading (Fig-83 ure S3b). Such deformation is complex, highly site-dependent (e.g., local topography, rock 84 elastic/poroelastic properties) [Mouyen et al., 2017], and its mechanisms remain poorly 85 constrained in the region. 86

87

Starting on 29 January 2011 (day 29), we observe an expansion of +50 nanostrain 88 $(n\epsilon)$ at SSTB station, synchronous with a contraction of -39 ne at ZANB station and 89 a moderate contraction (~ -10 n ϵ) at HGSB station (Figure 2a). FBRB do not record 90 any relevant signal (SSNB and CHMB experience a power outage). On day 28.2, a light 91 rainfall episode (12 mm of rainfall within 12 hours) (Figures 2b and S4) occurs in the CR 92 (Figure 1b, station C0T9M0 near ZANB) inducing a contraction of -7 n ϵ at ZANB, which 93 is about the value expected based on the rainfall-strain admittance in the region [Hsu94 et al., 2015]. Rainfall has likely stopped when SSTB signal initiates its large expansion 95 (Figure S4a), that is unlikely to be the strain response to rainfall. Besides, to produce 96 the contraction detected by ZANB and HGSB (-39 n ϵ and -10 n ϵ , respectively), it would 97 require continuous rainfall from days 29 to 42 with an amount of ~ 80 mm and of 20 mm 98

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

⁹⁹ of water, respectively, which is not observed (Figure S4b). Therefore, strain variations are ¹⁰⁰ not associated with precipitation and neither are they induced by hydrology as no tran-¹⁰¹ sient change in groundwater level is detected during January-February 2011 (Figure 2b). ¹⁰² There are also no appreciable sea level changes, the largest variations occurring during ¹⁰³ day 34 (~ 0.1 m) and remain undetected by near-coastal stations (Figure 2c). Finally, no ¹⁰⁴ signal is detected by the GPS stations (Figure S2).

105

Strain signals with nearly similar temporal evolution are detected from days 29 to 41.8 106 in two networks distant by 35 km (Figure 2d). They are unrelated to environmental 107 changes and represent unambiguous evidence for a slow slip episode. Signals follow a log-108 arithmic time-dependence, a pattern observed on borehole strainmeters during a previous 109 SSE [Canitano et al., 2019]. Additionally, following day 41.8, ZANB records a moderate 110 expansion (+20 n ϵ) during 3 days (Figure 2a), while a contraction of ~ -5 n ϵ is expected 111 due to 10 mm of cumulative rainfall (Figure S4, station C0T9M0). HGSB records a con-112 traction of $-10 \text{ n}\epsilon$ that is about 5 times larger than the expected changes due to 3-4 mm of 113 rainfall (Figure S4, station C0Z070), while FBRB do not record any variation. Since these 114 variations are unrelated to environmental perturbations or to sensor drift or relaxation, 115 they may be the signature of a propagating source [e.g., *Linde et al.*, 1996]. However, 116 it remains difficult to characterize this possible second slow phase because of the lack of 117 data (no signal for SSTB), which limits our ability to fully decipher the complexity of this 118 event. Consequently, we only characterize the 13-day long main phase and thus estimate 119

DRAFT

¹²⁰ a minimum moment magnitude for the sequence.

121

We search for a source compatible with the LVF (strike = 23° NE) and a geologic rake 122 of 70°, which corresponds to the mean slip vector direction in the Yuli-Fuli region [Peyret 123 et al., 2011]. We estimate the optimal source location and magnitude for a rectangular 124 fault with uniform slip embedded in an elastic homogeneous half-space [Okada, 1992] with 125 a rigidity G = 30 GPa using a grid search approach derived from GPS source modeling 126 [Lin et al., 2019] (Section S1 and Figure S5). A minimum misfit is achieved (rms < 1127 $n\epsilon$) for a source with length of L = 16-24 km, width of W = 3.8-12 km and aseismic 128 slip of U = 2-10 cm at the depth of 10.5-11.5 km. The fault surface is constrained in 129 the range 65-288 km², the source aspect ratio (L/W) is ranging from 1.8 to 4.6 and the 130 geodetic moment magnitude $M_w = 5.41-5.45$ (Figure S6a). Our preferred source model 131 (rms misfit = 0.36 n ϵ) is located at 10.75 km depth, it has length and width of 19 km x 132 6.4 km, respectively, and a total displacement of 5 cm ($M_w = 5.44$) (Figure S6b). The 133 SSE geodetic moment magnitude is strongly constrained, it represents a typical value for 134 a 2-week long SSE [Bürgmann, 2018; Michel et al., 2019] and agrees with an earthquake-135 like cubic moment-duration scaling, as reported in Cascadia [Michel et al., 2019; Dal Zilio 136 et al., 2020] and Mexico [Frank and Brodsky, 2019]. We compute the static stress drop 137 $\Delta \sigma$ following Kanamori and Anderson [1975]: 138

139

$$\Delta \sigma = \frac{8}{3\pi} \frac{GU}{\sqrt{LW}} \tag{1}$$

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

The average stress drop ranges from 0.03 MPa to 0.32 MPa with $\Delta \sigma = 0.115$ MPa for our best source model, which is consistent with values from *Gao et al.* [2012].

142

We search for recurrent events for the 2004-2019 period using a geodetic template match-143 ing [e.g., Rousset et al., 2017] but found no additional events (Section S2 and Figure S7). 144 At the minimum, the recurrence time of such event is about 7-8 years. Our search is 145 however limited by the strain templates allowing to detect only events with nearly similar 146 duration and location as the 2011 SSE. We cannot exclude either that our method failed 147 to detect recurrent events with lower magnitude. Indeed, such signals are below the GPS 148 ambient noise level and frequent rainfall strongly impact strainmeter records, potentially 149 concealing transient signals. 150

151

4. Analyze of the seismicity during the SSE episode

We analyze the seismicity from days 28 to 43 in 2011 but find no evidence for the 152 occurrence of nonvolcanic tremors or for a temporary increase in seismicity (Figure S8). 153 We also search for repeating earthquakes (REs), as a possible seismological signature of 154 aseismic slip [Uchida and Bürgmann, 2019]. They represent nearly identical asperities 155 that rupture repeatedly under the influence of aseismic slip [e.g., Beeler et al., 2001]. 156 We calculate the cross-correlation coefficients (ccc) of the vertical velocity signals for all 157 earthquake pairs (13 events) recorded by 10 broadband seismic stations distant from 5 to 158 65 km from the SSE source (Figure 1a). We filter the 100-Hz sampling signals between 159 3 and 20 Hz to suppress microseismic noise and select a cross-correlation window which 160

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

X - 10 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

¹⁶¹ includes *P*- and *S*-wave arrivals. Window lengths are ranging from 4 s to 13 s between ¹⁶² nearby and remote stations. We find high waveform coherence for 3 events (*ccc* > 0.92) ¹⁶³ (Figure 3a). They occur during a 40-min period on 5 February 2011 (day 36) and have ¹⁶⁴ local magnitude $M_L < 2$ (events 1-3, Table S2).

165

To further characterize the earthquake multiplet, we search for additional events for the 166 2003-2019 period using waveform template matching. We use event 1 as a template and 167 perform sliding-window cross correlations [Yang et al., 2009] for the same previous stations 168 and same P-S phase time-windows. A new event is added to the multiplet if its cross-169 correlation coefficient with event 1 is ≥ 0.80 , at minimum, for three stations. We find a 170 total of 11 new events (Table S2 and Figure 3b) with $M_L = 1.43-2.90$. The multiplet is re-171 located using the double-difference algorithm HypoDD [Waldhauser and Ellsworth, 2000] 172 with manually picked P- and S-wave absolute arrival times and relative cross-correlation 173 delay times. The relative times are determined by cross-correlating all earthquake pairs 174 in the multiplet for both P and S waves using 2-s length windows beginning 0.1 s before 175 manual wave picks. All pairs satisfying $ccc \ge 0.80$ are retained for the inversions (85) 176 pairs). To ensure a good constraint on the absolute location of the multiplet, we jointly 177 relocate it with 100 earthquakes selected near and within the SSE source. For these earth-178 quakes, only manually picked P- and S- arrival times are inverted. 179

180

As a result, 10 events of the multiplet ($M_L = 1.43-2.76$) are relocated at the depth of about 14.2 km along a structure dipping about 55° southeastward (Figure S9), which is

DRAFT

compatible with the geometry of the LVF. We assess the relative location uncertainties 183 by a bootstrap resampling method following Waldhauser and Ellsworth [2000] for two 184 types of uncertainties. First we assess the relative uncertainty inside the multiplet by 185 bootstrapping residual times from the relocation of the multiplet without the additional 186 earthquakes. Second is absolute uncertainty of the multiplet location estimated from the 187 bootstrapping performed on the joint relocation. We obtain relative errors of about 24, 19 188 and 42 m in EW, NS, and vertical directions, and absolute errors of 178, 124 and 243 m in 189 EW, NS, and vertical directions, respectively (Figure S10). The source radius R of each 190 relocated event is estimated based on the relationship between stress drop $\Delta\sigma$ and seismic 191 moment M_0 [Eshelby, 1957]. Local magnitude M_L is converted to moment magnitude M_w 192 using an empirical formula derived for Taiwan [Huang et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2005] and 193 M_0 is estimated from M_w following Kanamori and Anderson [1975] (Section S3). Source 194 radii range between 20 and 90 m (30-40 m for the 3 events in 2011) with uncertainties 195 varying between -40 and +250%. Then, using a stochastic approach, we find a maximum 196 of 4 cumulative ruptures inside the cluster, suggesting limited source overlapping and an 197 asperity that likely ruptured by small parts (Figure 3c). 198

199

5. Influence of static stress changes from the 2003 Chengkung earthquake

The SSE source is adjacent to the rupture area of the 2003 M_w 6.8 Chengkung earthquake. The mainshock was followed by an afterslip with an aseismic moment equivalent to 0.8 times the seismic moment at the end of the study period (end of November 2010) [*Thomas et al.*, 2014a, 2017]. The SSE occurred two months after the end of the study

DRAFT

X - 12 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

period while some parts of the fault were still creeping at higher rate than during the 204 preseismic period [Thomas et al., 2014a, 2017]. Given that SSEs are highly sensitive to 205 small stress perturbations (a few kPa) [e.g., Hawthorne and Rubin, 2010], we estimate the 206 contribution of coseismic and postseismic slip to the SSE occurrence using the Coulomb 207 failure criterion. If $\delta \sigma_n$ and $\delta \tau$ represent respectively the changes in normal stress (tensile 208 stress is positive) and shear stress on the fault plane (positive in the direction of the long-209 term fault slip) induced by the cumulative slip, the static Coulomb stress change δCFF 210 is defined as: 211

212

$$\delta CFF = \delta \tau + \mu' \delta \sigma_n \tag{2}$$

where μ' is the effective friction coefficient, here taken as 0.4. The fault moves closer to failure if $\delta CFF > 0$ and away from failure if $\delta CFF < 0$. δCFF is resolved onto the LVF plane using *Coulomb 3.3* [*Toda et al.*, 2005; *Lin and Stein*, 2004] for an assigned rake, we further argue for.

217

²¹⁸ Unlike the simple geometry we use to compute the SSE source (justified by the strain ²¹⁹ data resolution), the fault geometry in the kinematic study followed the local variation of ²²⁰ strike of the surface fault trace (to be consistent with the high InSAR spatial resolution) ²²¹ as well as the variation of the dip angle, highlighted by the microseismicity [*Thomas et al.*, ²²² 2014a]. Thus, even the projection onto the fault of a smooth displacement field leads to a ²²³ corresponding rake that varies from one patch to another. It can therefore be misleading ²²⁴ to compute the δCFF on each individual patch for an imposed rake corresponding to that

DRAFT

Range [*Thomas et al.*, 2014a]. This further allows to discuss if the SSE accommodates some slip deficit. For the sake of the discussion we also compute the δCFF for a patch corresponding to the inferred SSE source (70°).

231

225

226

227

The time-evolution of postseismic slip is modeled using 7 years of geodetic data follow-232 ing the mainshock [*Thomas et al.*, 2014a]. Thus, modeled δCFF represents a very close 233 estimate of the static stress changes on the fault at the SSE onset timing but predicts the 234 cumulative stress as if it had occurred instantaneously. It does not account for the com-235 plex interactions between fault plane patches that occurred over the postseismic period. 236 The SSE source is located in an area of very low coseismic slip, ≤ 0.1 m (Figure 4a), which 237 results in positive Coulomb stress changes of 0.5-0.7 MPa, essentially in the southern SSE 238 region (Figure 4c). Moderate cumulative postseismic slip (about 0.2-0.6 m during the 239 7-yr period) (Figure 4b) is observed in the source region with significant positive (δCFF 240 $\sim 0.5-0.7$ MPa) and negative ($\delta CFF \sim -0.5$ MPa) Coulomb stress changes in the north-241 ern and southern SSE area, respectively (Figure 4d). Cumulative slip estimated for the 242 preseismic period (01/1997-12/2003) is about 20-30 cm in the SSE source region (Figures 243 4e and S11c). It is however more subject to caution given that data are more sparse and 244 as a direct consequence the applied smoothing is stronger (see Thomas et al. [2014a] for 245 details), which inevitably impacts the δCFF computation. However, δCFF is essentially 246

DRAFT

X - 14 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

²⁴⁷ null and negative in the southern and central sections ($\delta CFF \sim -0.5$ MPa) and positive ²⁴⁸ ($\delta CFF \sim 0.5$ MPa) in a limited northermost section. Note that since Coulomb pre-stress ²⁴⁹ changes are only estimated over a 7-year period, they may represent a minimum amount ²⁵⁰ in the SSE area at the onset of the Chengkung rupture. Finally, for a rake of 70° on the ²⁵¹ patch corresponding to the SSE source, $\delta CFF \sim 0.1-0.2$ MPa for all models (Figures 4c ²⁵² & d and S11d).

253

6. Discussion and concluding remarks

Coseismic static stress changes are permanent perturbations, with effects lasting from 254 days to years [e.g., Sequence and Parsons, 2020], and can therefore explain an extended period 255 for triggering of aftershocks [King et al., 1994] and SSEs [Hayes et al., 2014; Rolandone 256 et al., 2018]. Besides, long-lasting stress effects from postseismic deformation can also 257 play a significant role in promoting delayed rupture [Segou and Parsons, 2018]. The 2017 258 M_w 7.1 Puebla earthquake, Mexico, was likely triggered by postseismic stress changes (up 259 to 0.1 MPa) following the 2012 M_w 7.5 Oaxaca event [Segou and Parsons, 2018], while a 260 combination of postseismic stress changes from the 1964 M_w 9.2 Alaska earthquake and 261 a 12 year-long SSE possibly promoted the 2018 M_w 7.1 Anchorage earthquake [Segou 262 and Parsons, 2020]. 263

264

Here, the 2003 Chengkung earthquake represents the largest event impacting the LVF during the past decades. It generated maximum static Coulomb stress perturbations in the SSE source region two orders of magnitude larger than any other event (Figure S12).

DRAFT

We propose that the SSE occurrence has been promoted by the significant Coulomb stress 268 changes ($\delta CFF > 0.5$ -1 MPa, about 5-10 times greater than the SSE average stress drop) 269 imparted by a combination of coseismic and postseismic slip from the earthquake, and 270 which persists several years after the mainshock. δCFF distribution onto the SSE fault 271 plane reveals a complex pattern (Figures 4 and S11). First, the preseismic stress (1997) 272 to 2003) is essentially negative, with a minimum level similar to the positive coseismic 273 δCFF . Therefore, it is possible that coseismic Couloumb stress changes could not over-274 whelm Coulomb pre-stress [e.g., Mildon et al., 2019], which may explain the lack of de-275 tected recurrent SSEs right after the mainshock, despite the favourable stress conditions. 276 Conversely, we simply missed an event since no strain data are available within 6 months 277 following the mainshock. Then, the positive coseismic δCFF on the southern part of 278 the SSE area may have induced the postseismic slip (0.4-0.6 m) which in turn generated 279 significant Coulomb stress changes ($\delta CFF > 0.5$ MPa) in the northern section. The latter 280 could be the trigger of the SSE. However, δCFF only gives the relative changes of stress 281 for a defined time interval, here the 7-yr preseismic, coseismic and 7-yr postseismic periods. 282

We now analyze the location of the SSE with respect to the total slip budget using *Thomas et al.* [2014a] kinematic study. We plot the along-dip variations of slip through time, at the SSE latitude (Figure 4e, area A4) and compare the accumulated slip over \sim 14 years with the total motion of the fault if it had crept at the long term slip rate. We observe a slip deficit between 0 and 16 km depth, which encompasses the SSE source area. Analyzing the along-strike variation of slip within area A4, we see that for the southern-

DRAFT

283

X - 16 $\,$ Canitano, godano and thomas: see on the longitudinal valley fault

most section (column c12, Figure 4f), there is almost no slip deficit unlike for the columns 290 c13 to c18 (Figures S13). Combining δCFF and the kinematic analysis we propose the 291 following scenario: 7 years of afterslip leads to a $\delta CFF > 0$ in the north, which triggers 292 the SSE. It then propagates southward and was further stopped by a stress barrier, i.e. 293 in an area where all the stress has been released by a combination of coseismic and post-294 seimic slip. We also observe a slip deficit near the surface (Figure 4e) which is not covered 295 by the inferred SSE source model. We still know little about the physical mechanisms 296 and conditions that lead to SSE generation near the surface, where deformation is more 297 distributed [Thomas et al., 2014b]. Thus, while most of the deformation occurs on the 298 LVF, we could have failed to detect slip on secondary faults, underestimating the defor-299 mation near the surface, therefore leading to an apparent slip deficit. This is supported 300 by the evidence that the shallow creeping portion of the fault (at the depths < 7 km) had 301 acted as a rather effective barrier during the 2003 seismic rupture [Thomas et al., 2017]. 302 This means that no remaining stress (therefore no slip deficit) was available to help the 303 rupture propagates to the surface. These observations raise the question of the role of the 304 SSE transient zone during the 2003 Chengkung rupture. Since insignificant coseismic slip 305 is infer in the transient region (Figures 4 and S13), the latter may have acted as a bar-306 rier, limiting the rupture propagation northeastward. Rupture penetration in transient 307 regions being mainly controlled by effective stress differences between "fast" and "slow" 308 slip regions [Lin et al., 2020], further analysis are needed to decipher whether a dominant 309 aseismic slip mode prevails throughout the earthquake cycle for the transient slow slip 310 zone [e.g., Perfettini et al., 2010; Rolandone et al., 2018] (i.e., a permanent barrier), or if 311

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT X - 17 seismic ruptures can partially or completely penetrate it [e.g., *Lin et al.*, 2020]. Finally, the SSE region also lies in an area of moderate afterslip, suggesting that sections of the LVF experiencing afterslip can also host SSEs [*Yarai and Ozawa*, 2013; *Rolandone et al.*, 2018].

In addition to triggering aseismic slip, the Chengkung earthquake had also impacted 316 the dynamics of repeater sequences along the LVF, notably halving their recurrence in-317 terval [Chen et al., 2020]. During the 2011 SSE, we observe burst-type of nearly identical 318 REs which are events that have extremely short recurrence intervals [Iqarashi et al., 2003; 319 Templeton et al., 2008]. However, 8 events (out of 10) of the cluster have $M_L < 2$ for 320 which distinguishing REs requires high-frequency signal contents and may remain poorly 321 resolved in the seismic waveforms [Uchida and Bürgmann, 2019]. Magnitude limitations 322 as well as uncertainties on the source stress drops and relocations strongly limit our ability 323 to resolve the source overlapping and to assess the source mechanisms of the REs. We 324 therefore propose two possible scenarii based on the previous analysis: 325

326

³²⁷ i : Since burst-type REs tend to occur off the major fault planes [*Igarashi et al.*, 2003; ³²⁸ *Templeton et al.*, 2008] and Coulomb stress changes caused by the SSE in the RE region ³²⁹ is negative ($\delta CFF \sim -0.1$ MPa) (Figure S14), the REs may have occurred spontaneously ³³⁰ with no mechanical link with the SSE. Besides, no SSE is detected during other RE oc-³³¹ currences, and particularly during other burst-type REs (Figure S15), suggesting that ³³² aseismic slip is unlikely to be the dominant mechanism of REs in the region [*Chen et al.*,

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

X - 18 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT 2009, 2020] (Figure 3d).

334

333

ii: Conversely, since the fault plane solution of the REs is unknown, our Coulomb 335 stress model, which consider the LVF as receiver fault, may not be adequate. Moreover, 336 given the uncertainties on the RE relocations (Section 4) and that our best SSE source 337 locations are within a range of a kilometer (Section S1), aseismic and seismic sources can 338 be spatially colocated. This clustering may suggest a dominant control of the SSE on the 339 repeating microearthquakes. However, given that source overlapping is not observed, the 340 40-min RE burst is unlikely to be the result of recurrent seismic ruptures to accommo-341 date aseismic slip in the surrounding area [e.g., Beeler et al., 2001]. It rather suggests a 342 triggering cascade of ruptures [e.g., Lengliné and Marsan, 2009], in which event 1, likely 343 triggered by aseismic slip, has then ruptured close-by, but distinct, asperities (as events 344 2 and 3), together partially rupturing the main asperity (event 54). Overall, burst-type 345 REs are likely caused by local, transient stress concentration from nearby earthquakes 34F and/or aseismic slip events [Templeton et al., 2008] and they are also observed during 347 postseismic slip on the LVF [Canitano et al., 2018a]. 348

349

To conclude, while a SSE was detected at the transition between the creeping section of the LVF and the locked zone [*Canitano et al.*, 2019], we now show that the fault can also host larger events at seismogenic depths. A limited fraction (about 15-35%) of ~ 0.15 -0.35 m of the slip deficit accumulated in 2011 (Figure 4e) has been accommodated by the SSE, additional and/or recurrent aseismic transient slip should likely help to release

DRAFT

the remaining slip deficit. Besides, the SSE region, which coincides with a region of low interseismic coupling (ISC ~ 0.1-0.3) (Figure S11b), is adjacent to the highly coupled Chengkung rupture region (ISC > 0.6). Future studies focussing on a systematic analyze of aseismic slip events and microseismicity combined with dynamic modeling of seismicaseismic interactions through the seismic cycle would help to further interpret the complex deformation processes in the region, to identify areas with high seismic hazard, and to connect SSEs to large, destructive earthquakes [e.g., *Radiguet et al.*, 2016].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Associate Editor German Prieto and two anonymous reviewers for 362 their constructive suggestions and comments allowing to improve the manuscript. We 363 thank Alan Linde, Selwyn Sacks and the support staff of the Carnegie Institution of 364 Washington for the construction, installation, and maintenance of the dilatometers, and 365 Hsin-Ming Lee for collecting the strainmeter data. The authors acknowledge Yi-Chuen 366 Tsai and Mei-Jun Yu for processing the GPS data and Ya-Ju Hsu for providing codes for 36 visualizing seismicity. We are grateful to Kate Chen and Yaochieh Chen for providing 368 the repeater catalog. We thank Wen-Tzong Liang and Wen-Hui Lee for the access to 369 the seismological data. Some figures were drawn using the Generic Mapping Tools [Wes-370 sel and Smith, 1998]. Strainmeter, hydrological, tide-gauge and broadband seismological 371 data (CWB and BATS network [Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan, 372 1996]) are archived in PANGAEA (https://issues.pangaea.de/browse/PDI-25970). This 373 research is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology grant MOST 108-2116-374 M-001-027-MY2. This is the contribution of the Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia 375

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

377

376

References

- Altamini, Z., X. Collilieux, J. Legrand, B. Garayt and C. Boucher (2007), ITRF2005: A new release of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame based on time series of
- station positions and Earth Orientation Parameters, J. Geophys. Res., 112, B09401,
 doi:10.1029/2007JB004949.
- Barrier, E. and J. Angelier (1986), Active collision in eastern Taiwan: the Coastal Range,
 Tectonophysics, 125, 39–72.
- Beroza, G. C. and S. Ide (2011), Slow earthquakes and nonvolcanic tremors, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 39, 271–296.
- Beeler, N. M., D. A. Lockner and S. H. Hickman (2001), A simple stick-slip and creep slip
 model for repeating earthquakes and its implication for micro-earthquakes at Parkfield,
 Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 91, 1797–1804.
- ³⁸⁹ Bürgmann, R. (2018), The geophysics, geology and mechanics of slow fault slip, *Earth*³⁹⁰ Planet. Sci. Lett., 495, 112–134.
- ³⁹¹ Canitano, A., M. Godano, Y. J. Hsu, H. M. Lee, A. T. Linde and S. Sacks (2018a),
 ³⁹² Seismicity controlled by a frictional afterslip during a small magnitude seismic sequence
- ³⁹³ $(M_L < 5)$ on the Chihshang Fault, Taiwan, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 123(2), ³⁹⁴ 2003–2018, doi:10.1002/2017JB015128.
- ³⁹⁵ Canitano, A., Y. J. Hsu, H. M. Lee, A. T Linde and S. Sacks (2018b), Calibration for ³⁹⁶ the shear strain of 3-component borehole strainmeters in eastern Taiwan through Earth
 - DRAFT

CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT X - 21 and ocean tidal waveform modeling, J. Geodesy, 92(3), 223-240.

- ³⁹⁸ Canitano, A., H. Gonzalez-Huizar, Y. J. Hsu, H. M. Lee, A. T. Linde and S. Sacks (2019),
- ³⁹⁹ Testing the influence of static and dynamic stress perturbations on the occurrence of
- a shallow, slow slip event in eastern Taiwan, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 124(3),
- 401 3073–3087, doi:10.1029/2018JB016742.

397

- ⁴⁰² Chen, K. H., R. J. Rau and J. C. Hu (2009), Variability of repeating earthquake behavior
 ⁴⁰³ along the Longitudinal Valley fault zone of eastern Taiwan, J. Geophys. Res., 114,
 ⁴⁰⁴ B05306, doi:10.1029/2007JB005518.
- ⁴⁰⁵ Chen, Y., K. H. Chen, J. C. Hu and J. C. Lee (2020), Probing the variation in aseismic
- slip behavior around an active suture zone: observations of repeating earthquakes in
- 407 Eastern Taiwan, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 125(5), doi:10.1029/2019JB018561.
- ⁴⁰⁸ Dal Zilio, L., N. Lapusta and J. P. Avouac (2020), Unraveling scaling properties of slow-⁴⁰⁹ slip events, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, doi:10.1029/2020GL087477.
- Eshelby, J. D. (1957), The determination of the elastic field of an ellipsoid inclusion and
 related problems, *Proc. R. Soc. London A*, 241, 376–396.
- Fasola, S. L., M. R. Brudzinski, S. G. Holtkamp, S. E. Graham and E. Cabral-Cano
 (2019), Earthquake swarms and slow slip on a sliver fault in the Mexican subduction
 zone, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, *116*(15), 7198–7206.
- ⁴¹⁵ Frank, W. B. and E. E. Brodsky (2019), Daily measurement of slow slip from low-frequency
- earthquakes is consistent with ordinary earthquake scaling, Sciences Advances, 5(10),
 eaaw9386.

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

X - 22 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

- ⁴¹⁸ Gao, H., D. A. Schmidt and R. J. Weldon (2012), Scaling relationships of source param-⁴¹⁹ eters for slow slip events, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 102(1), 352–360.
- Gualandi, A., C. Nichele, E. Serpelloni, L. Chiaraluce, D. Latorre, M. E. Belardinelli and
 J. P. Avouac (2017), Aseismic deformation associated with an earthquake swarm in the
- 422 northern Apennines (Italy), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, doi:10.1002/2017GL073687.
- Hawthorne, J. C. and A. M. Rubin (2010), Tidal modulation of slow slip in Cascadia, J. *Geophys. Res.*, 115, B09406, doi:10.1029/2010JB007502.
- Hayes, G. P., K. P. Furlong, H. M. Benz and M. W. Herman (2014), Triggered aseismic
- slip adjacent to the 6 February 2013 M_w 8.0 Santa Cruz Islands megathrust earthquake,
- 427 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 388, 265–272.
- Herring, T., W. King and S. M. McCluskey (2010), Introduction to GAMIT/GLOBK
 release 10.4., Mass. Inst. of Technol., Cambridge.
- ⁴³⁰ Hsu, Y. J., Y. S. Chang, C. C. Liu, H. M. Lee, A. T. Linde, S. Sacks, G. Kitagawa and
 ⁴³¹ Y. G. Chen (2015), Revisiting borehole strain, typhoons, and slow earthquakes using
 ⁴³² quantitative estimates of precipitation-induced strain changes, J. Geophys. Res. Solid
 ⁴³³ Earth, 120(6), 4556–4571.
- $_{\rm 434}\,$ Huang, K. C., H. Kao and Y. M. Wu (2000), The determination of $M_L\text{-}M_w$ in Taiwan,
- in Proceedings of the 8th Annual Meeting of Geophysical Society of China, Taipei City,
 Taiwan, pp. 193–201.
- Igarashi, T., T. Matsuzawa and A. Hasegawa (2003), Repeating earthquakes and interplate
 aseismic slip in the northeastern Japan subduction zone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(B5),
 2249, doi:10.1029/2002JB001920.

DRAFT

- Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan (1996), Broadband Array in Taiwan 440
- for Seismology. Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan. Other/Seismic 441 Network, doi:10.7914/SN/TW.
- Kanamori, H. and D. L. Anderson (1975), Theoretical basis of some empirical relation in 443 seismology, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 65(5), 1073–1095. 444
- King, G. C. P., R. S. Stein and J. Lin (1994), Static stress changes and the triggering of 445 earthquakes, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 84(3), 935–953. 44F
- Lee, J. C., J. Angelier, H. T. Chu, J. C. Hu, F. S Jeng and R. J.Rau (2003), Active fault 447 creep variations at Chihshang, Taiwan, revealed by creep meter monitoring, 1998-2001, 448 J. Geophys. Res., 108(B11), 2528, doi:10.1029/2003JB002394.
- Lengliné, O. and D. Marsan (2009), Inferring the coseismic and postseismic stress changes 450 caused by the 2004 $M_w=6$ Parkfield earthquake from variations of recurrence times of 451
- microearthquakes, J. Geophys. Res., 114, B10303, doi:10.1029/2008JB006118. 452
- Lin, J. and R. S. Stein (2004), Stress triggering in thrust and subduction earthquakes and 453
- stress interaction between the southern San Andreas and nearby thrust and strike-slip 454
- faults, J. Geophys. Res., B02303, doi:10.1029/2003JB002607. 455
- Lin, J. T., W. L. Chang, D. Melgar, A. M. Thomas and C. Y. Chiu (2019), Quick determi-456 nation of earthquake source parameters from GPS measurements: a study of suitability 457 for Taiwan, Geophys. J. Int., 219, 1148-1162. 458
- Lin, J. T., K. S. Aslam, A. M. Thomas and D. Melgar (2020), Overlapping regions of 459 coseismic and transient slow slip on the Hawaiian décollement, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 460 544, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116353. 461

DRAFT

442

449

X - 24 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

- Linde, A. T., M. T. Gladwin, M. J. S. Johnston, R. L. Gwyther and R. G. Bilham (1996),
 A slow earthquake sequence on the San Andreas fault, *Nature*, 383, 65–68.
- Liu, C. C., A. T. Linde and I. S. Sacks (2009), Slow earthquakes triggered by typhoons, *Nature*, 459, 833–836.
- Michel, S., A. Gualandi and J. P. Avouac (2019), Similar scaling laws for earthquakes and
 Cascadia slow-slip events, *Nature*, 574, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1673-6.
- ⁴⁶⁸ Mildon, Z. K., G. P. Roberts, J. P. Faure Walker and S. Toda (2019), Coulomb pre-stress

and fault bends are ignored yet vital factors for earthquake triggering and hazard, Nat.
 Commun., 10(2744), doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10520-6.

- ⁴⁷¹ Mouyen, M., A. Canitano, B. F. Chao, Y. J. Hsu, P. Steer, L. Longuevergne and J. P.
- Boy (2017), Typhoon-induced ground deformation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44 (21), 11,004–
 11,011.
- ⁴⁷⁴ Murase, M., N. Matta, C. H. Lin, W. S. Chen and N. Koizumi (2013), An episodic creep⁴⁷⁵ slip event detected by precise levelling surveys in the central part of the Longitudinal
 ⁴⁷⁶ Valley Fault, eastern Taiwan, in 2011-2012, *Tectonophysics*, 2013, 904–913.
- ⁴⁷⁷ Okada, Y. (1992), Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space,
 ⁴⁷⁸ Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 82(2), 1018–1040.
- Peng, Z. and J. Gomberg (2010), An integrated perspective of the continuum between
 earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena, *Nat. Geosc.*, doi:10.1038/NGEO940.
- ⁴⁸¹ Perfettini, H., J. P. Avouac, H. Tavera, A. Kositsky, J. M. Nocquet, F. Bondoux, M.
- ⁴⁸² Chlieh, A. Sladen, L. Audin, D. L. Farber and P. Soler (2010), Seismic and aseismic
 ⁴⁸³ slip on the Central Peru megathrust, *Nature*, 465, 78–81.

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am

- ⁴⁸⁴ Peyret, M., S. Dominguez, R. Cattin, J. Champenois, M. Leroy and A. Zajac (2011),
- ⁴⁸⁵ Present-day interseismic surface deformation along the Longitudinal Valley, eastern
- Taiwan, from a PS-InSAR analysis of the ERS satellite archives, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
- 487 B03402, doi:10.1029/2010JB007898.
- ⁴⁸⁸ Radiguet, M., H. Perfettini, N. Cotte, A. Gualandi, B. Valette, V. Kostoglodov, T.
- ⁴⁸⁹ Lhomme, A. Walspersdorf, E. Cabral Cano and M. Campillo (2016), Triggering of
- ⁴⁹⁰ the 2014 M_w 7.3 Papanoa earthquake by a slow slip event in Guerrero, Mexico, Nat. ⁴⁹¹ Geosc., doi:10.1038/NGEO2817.
- ⁴⁹² Rolandone, F., J. M. Nocquet, P. A. Mothes, P. Jarrin, M. Vallée, N. Cubas, S. Hernandez,
- ⁴⁹³ M. Plain, S. Vaca and Y. Font (2018), Areas prone to slow slip events impede earthquake ⁴⁹⁴ rupture propagation and promote afterslip, *Sci. Adv.*, *4*, eaao6596.
- ⁴⁹⁵ Rousset, B., M. Campillo, C. Lasserre, W. B. Frank, N. Cotte, A. Walpersdorf, A. Socquet
 ⁴⁹⁶ and V. Kostoglodov (2017), A geodetic matched filter search for slow slip with applica-
- ⁴⁹⁷ tion to the Mexico subduction zone, J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, 122, 10,498–10,514.
- ⁴⁹⁸ Sacks, S., S. Suyehiro, D. W. Evertson and Y. Yamagishi (1971), Sacks-Evertson strain-
- meter, its installation in Japan and some preliminary results concerning strain steps,
 Pap. Meteorol. Geophys., 22, 195–208.
- Segou, M. and T. Parsons (2018), Testing earthquake links in Mexico from 1978 to the 2017 M = 8.1 Chiapas and M = 7.1 Puebla shocks, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 708–714.
- ⁵⁰³ Segou, M. and T. Parsons (2020), The role of seismic and slow slip events in triggering the
- ⁵⁰⁴ 2018 M7.1 Anchorage earthquake in the Southcentral Alaska subduction zone, *Geophys.*
- ⁵⁰⁵ *Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2019GL086640.

DRAFT

X - 26 CANITANO, GODANO AND THOMAS: SSE ON THE LONGITUDINAL VALLEY FAULT

- Templeton, D. C., R. M. Nadeau and R. Bürgmann (2008), Behavior of repeating earth-506
- quake sequences in Central California and the implications for subsurface fault creep, 507
- Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 98(1), 52-65. 508
- Thomas, M. Y., J. P. Avouac, J. Champenois, J. C. Lee and L. C. Kuo (2014a), Spa-509
- tiotemporal evolution of seismic and aseismic slip on the Longitudinal Valley Fault, 510 Taiwan, J. Geophys. Res., 119(6), 5114–5139. 511
- Thomas, M. Y., J. P. Avouac, J. P. Gratier and J. C. Lee (2014b), Lithological control 512 on the deformation mechanism and the mode of fault slip on the Longitudinal Valley 513 Fault, Taiwan, Tectonophysics, 632, 48–63.
- Thomas, M. Y., J. P. Avouac and N. Lapusta (2017), Rate-and-state friction properties 515 of the Longitudinal Valley Fault from kinematic and dynamic modeling of seismic and 516 aseismic slip, J. Geophys. Res., 122, doi:10.1002/2016JB013615. 517
- Toda, S, R. S. Stein, K. Richards-Dinger and S. Bozkurt (2005), Forecasting the evolution 518 of seismicity in southern California: Animations built on earthquake stress transfer, J. 519 Geophys. Res., 110, B05S16, doi:10.1029/2004JB003415. 520
- Uchida, N. and R. Bürgmann (2019), Repeating earthquakes, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet 521 Sci., 47, doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060119. 522
- Vallée, M., J. M. Nocquet, J. Battaglia, Y. Font, M. Segovia, M. Régnier, P. Mothes, P. 523
- Jarrin, D. Cisneros, S. Vaca, H. Yepes, X. Martin, N. Béthoux and M. Chlieh (2013), 524
- Intense interface seismicity triggered by a shallow slow slip event in the Central Ecuador 525
- subduction zone, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2965–2981. 526

DRAFT

514

- ⁵²⁷ Waldhauser, F. and W. L. Ellsworth (2000), A double-difference earthquake location ⁵²⁸ algorithm: method and application to the northern Hayward fault, *Bull. Seism. Soc.* ⁵²⁹ Am., 90(6), 1353-1368.
- ⁵³⁰ Wessel, P. and W. H. F. Smith (1998), New, improved version of the Generic Mapping ⁵³¹ Tools released, *Eos Trans. AGU*, *79*, 579, doi:10.1029/98EO00426.
- ⁵³² Wu, Y. M., R. M. Allen and C. F. Wu (2005), Revised M_L determination for crustal ⁵³³ earthquakes in Taiwan, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 95(6), 2517–2524.
- Yang, H., L. Zhu and R. Chu (2009), Fault-plane determination of the 18 April 2008 Mount
- Carmel, Illinois, earthquake by detecting and relocating aftershocks, Bull. Seism. Soc.
 Am., 99(6), 3413–3420.
- Yarai, H. and S. Ozawa (2013), Quasi-periodic slow slip events in the afterslip area of the
 1996 Hyuga-nada earthquakes, Japan, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2512–2527.
- Yu, S. B., H. Y. Chen and L. C. Kuo (1997), Velocity field of GPS stations in the Taiwan
 area, *Tectonophysics*, 274, 51–59.

November 6, 2020, 11:55am



Figure 1. (a) Map of southern Taiwan. Inverted triangles denote broadband seismometers used in this study. (Inset) Geodynamic framework of Taiwan. Black arrow indicates relative motion between Philippine Sea plate (PSP) and Eurasian plate (EP). Black box shows the area in (b). (b) Map of the Longitudinal Valley. Gray rectangle indicates the surface projection of the SSE fault plane. Blue and red dots represent the earthquake multiplet before and after relocation, respectively (Section 4). Black star denotes the Chengkung earthquake epicenter and black dots the main towns. LV: Longitudinal Valley; CR: Coastal Range; LVF: Longitudinal Valley fault; CF: Chihshang fault.

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am



Figure 2. (a) Residual dilatation signals (expansion strain > 0). SSNB experiences a power outage from days 42-47. Vertical black and green dashed lines indicate the SSE episode duration (around days 29.06 to 41.8) and the light rainfall period preceding the SSE, respectively. (b) Hourly rainfall and groundwater level variations (black curves), and (c) detided sea level variations (black curve) and smoothed signal (red curve). (d) Dilatation signals from 01/27 to 02/13/2011 (days 27 to 44) normalized by the value reached at the end of the SSE episode (ZANB and HGSB are inverted). Vertical red dashed line indicates the timing of repeaters, occurring when 85% of total aseismic slip was relieved (4.25 cm) (horizontal red dashed line).



Figure 3. (a) Burst-type REs identified during the SSE episode (ccc > 0.92). (b) Multiplets (ccc > 0.90) detected during the 2003-2019 period for station FULB using template matching analysis. (c) Projection of the event centroids and rupture surfaces onto the LVF plane. (Left) Mean source rupture radii. Red, green and blue circles denote events 1, 2 and 3 in 2011, respectively. Gray circles are other events of the multiplet. (Right) Mean (plain circle) and extremum source radius uncertainties (dashed circles) for the 2011 events superimposed with the average number of ruptures of the multiplet. (d) Seismic and aseismic processes near the 2003 Chengkung earthquake (black star) source region. Periodic REs and burst-type REs are events with $M_L \ge 2$ for the 2000-2011 period (see *Chen et al.* [2020] for details). Gray and plain red rectangles outline the SSE and afterslip [*Canitano et al.*, 2018a] fault planes, respectively.

DRAFT

November 6, 2020, 11:55am



Kinematic slip models of (a) the 2003 Chengkung earthquake and (b) the Figure 4. following 7-year postseismic period (12/2003-11/2010). Static Coulomb stress changes imparted by (c) coseismic and (d) postseismic slip, resolved onto the LVF fault plane. The plane depicted on the side represents δCFF computed for a fixed rake of 70°. Black rectangle outlines the SSE rupture area and black star denotes the Chengkung earthquake epicenter. Black curves give the contour lines of the coseismic (b,c) and postseismic (d) slip distribution models (in meter). Cumulative slip along the long-term rake for the A4 area and for the column c12 (see (a)) are given respectively in (e) and (f). For area A4, slip time-series are averaged for patches at the same depth, i.e. we performed 15 times an along-strike average within a swath spanning 7 patches $(3.16 \times 22.12 \text{ km})$. Cumulative slip with depth are plotted with an increment of 1 year for the 7-yr preseismic (blue) and the 7-yr postseismic (green) periods. Red and grey shading give respectively the coseismic slip and the total motion of the fault if it had crept at the long term slip rate during those November 6, 2020, 11:55am DRAFT DRAFT 14 years.