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#### Abstract

The pattern and timing of deformation in southeast Tibet resulting from the early stages of the IndiaAsian collision, are crucial to understand the growth of the Tibetan Plateau but remain poorly constrained. Detailed field mapping, structural analysis, geochronological and thermochronological data along a 120 km section of the Ludian-Zhonghejiang (LZ) fold-thrust belt bounding the Jianchuan basin in western Yunnan, China document the Early Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the conjunction between the Lanping-Simao and South China blocks. The study area is cut by two major southwestdipping brittle faults named Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault and Tongdian fault from east to west. Numerous kinematic indicators and the juxtaposition of Triassic meta-sedimentary rocks on top of Paleocene strata indicate thrusting along the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault. Similarly, structural analysis shows that the Tongdian fault is a reverse fault. Between these structures, fault-bounded Permo-Triassic and Paleocene rocks are strongly deformed by nearly vertical and upright southwest-vergent folds with axes that trend nearly parallel to the traces of the main faults. Zircon and apatite ( $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}$ )/He and apatite fission track data from a Triassic pluton with zircon U-Pb ages of 225-237 Ma in the hanging wall of the LudianZhonghejiang fault assisted by inverse modeling reveal two episodes of accelerated cooling during 125110 Ma and $50-39 \mathrm{Ma}$. The Cretaceous cooling event was probably related to crustal thickening during the collision between the Lhasa and Qiangtang terranes. The accelerated exhumation during 50-39 Ma is interpreted to record the lifespan of the fold-thrust belt. This timing is corroborated by the intrusive relationship of Eocene magmas of $\sim 35-36 \mathrm{Ma}$ dated by zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ into the fold-thrust belt. Early Cenozoic activity of the deformation system controlled deposition of alluvial-fan and braided-river sediments in the Jianchuan basin evidenced by eastward and northeastward paleoflows and terrestrial clasts derived from the hanging wall of the Ludian-Zhonghejiang thrust. Since 39 Ma , decreasing cooling rates likely reflects cessation of the fold-thrust belt. Early Cenozoic compressive deformation on the western margin of the South China block, together with geological records of contraction in central, northern and eastern Tibet, document Eocene upper crustal shortening located in the Himalaya, Qiangtang terrane and northern plateau margins together with contractional basin development intervened by Lhasa, Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes, coevally with or shortly after the onset of the India-Asian collision. This suggests that moderate crustal shortening affected a large part of Tibet in a spaced way contrary to homogenous crustal thickening soon after the collision, prior to the main crustal thickening propagated progressively from south to north. This complex deformation pattern illustrates the complexity of the Asian crustal rheology that contrasts with what were assumed in the existing geodynamic models.
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## 1. Introduction

The collision between India and Asia, which started since 60-50 Ma (Allègre et al., 1984; Ding et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016; Najman et al., 2010; Rowley, 1996), has resulted in double-thickness crust and growth of the Tibetan Plateau that stands as a mean elevation of 5 km over a distance of $\sim 2000 \mathrm{~km}$ north of the plate boundary. The reconstruction of the appropriate rheology of the Asian continental lithosphere as inferred from geodynamic models is crucial to understand the uplift and growth history of the Tibetan Plateau that have puzzled the earth scientists for a century (see reviews in Yin and Harrison (2000) and Kapp and Decelles (2019)). The prevailing models encompass 1) homogenous shortening and thickening distributed within Tibetan lithosphere analogue to a viscous sheet (Dewey and Burke, 1973; England and Houseman, 1986), 2) homogenous crustal thickening followed by flow of low-viscosity lower crust driven by topographic load (Bird, 1991; Clark et al., 2005; Clark and Royden, 2000; Royden et al., 1997), and 3) upper crustal shortening and oblique intracontinental subduction between coherent elasto-plastic lithospheric blocks (Meyer et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1990). The first two models predict that uplift and deformation of the Tibetan plateau commenced throughout the plateau synchronously with or shortly after the India-Asian collision, while the third one predicts stepwise growth of the plateau from south to north and crustal deformation mostly localized along the boundaries of the lithospheric blocks. The discrimination of the three models largely depends on the quantification of the spatiotemporal evolution of intracontinental deformation resulting from the India-Asian collision and convergence. The middle-late Cenozoic extrusion of the crustal materials to the Tibetan sideways, inferred from plasticine analogue modeling, has been relatively well documented by structural analyses and geo-thermochronology (e.g., Tapponnier et al., 1976, 1982; Leloup et al., 1993, 1995, 2001; Cao et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010, 2011, 2014; Zhang et al., 2015, 2017). In contrast, how the Tibetan plateau crust deformed in the early Cenozoic remains poorly constrained (e.g., Cao et al., 2013; Clark et al., 2010; Horton et al., 2002; Leloup et al., 2012; Spurlin et al., 2005; Staisch et al., 2016; Tong et al., 2017; Yin and Harrison, 2000). Quantitative data of the geometry and kinematics of the first-order structures that predated the occurrence of the crustal extrusion within the collision belt are lacking.

Southeast Tibet is characterized by extrusion tectonics accommodated by large-scale strike-slip fault systems in middle-late Cenozoic times especially along the Ailao Shan-Red River shear zone (ASRR) and Red River fault (e.g., Tapponnier et al, 1976, 1982, 1990; Leloup et al., 1995, 2001) and is thus an ideal region to address the above question. However, the tectonic configuration of southeast Tibet prior to extrusion remains poorly understood. Deformed Paleogene sediments in southeast Tibet provide an opportunity to study Early Cenozoic sedimentation and deformation. The Jianchuan basin, one of the largest hinterland basins in southeast Tibet (Fig. 1), is proximal to the Red River fault and has been the subject of several recent studies pertaining to its age, deformation, exhumation and paleoelevation (Cao et al., 2019; Gourbet et al., 2017; Hoke et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Sorrel et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2016; Wissink et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2014). Despite its importance, the geodynamic context of its formation and the subsidence mechanisms are still poorly known.

In this study, our field and analytical data provide first quantitative constraints on the geometry, kinematics and timing of the compressive deformation along a fault zone that we termed LudianZhonghejiang fold-thrust belt (LZTB) on the western margins of the South China block, western Yunnan, which preceded sinistral motion of the ASRR. These results allow establishing casual links between Early Cenozoic thrusting-induced rock exhumation within the deformation zone and deposition in the Jianchuan basin. Accordingly, we provide mechanistic interpretations for tectonic deformation and sedimentation in southeast Tibet corresponding to the India-Asian collision.

## 2. Geological setting

### 2.1 Regional tectonics

The southeast Tibetan Plateau comprises a collage of several major lithospheric fragments, including the Songpan-Garze, Lanping-Simao, Indochina and South China (Fig.1a). This region has experienced a protracted tectonic history from Paleozoic amalgamation to Mesozoic Tethys evolution (e.g., Burchfiel and Chen, 2012; Deng et al., 2014a; Jian et al., 2009a, b; Metcalfe, 2006), overprinted by
significant Cenozoic intracontinental deformation under the compound effects of India-Asian convergence and Pacific-Indonesian subduction (e.g., Burchfiel and Chen, 2012; Deng et al., 2014b; Leloup et al., 1995; Yin, 2010). Paleozoic-Mesozoic orogenesis in southeast Tibet is largely related to the evolution of the Paleo-Tethys recorded by numerous NS-striking belts of Devonian-Permian ophiolites and accretionary mélange complex (Burchfiel and Chen, 2012; Jian et al., 2009a, b). Large volume of Permo-Triassic plutonic and volcanic assemblages between the South China and LanpingSimao blocks had been produced by westward subduction and final suturing of the Paleo-Tethys along the Jinsha-Ailao Shan suture zone (Burchfiel and Chen, 2012; Deng et al., 2014a; Faure et al., 2014; Jian et al., 2009a, b; Zi et al., 2012, 2013).

Since initial collision of the India with Asia at 60-50 Ma (Allègre et al., 1984; Ding et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016; Najman et al., 2010; Rowley, 1996), the eastern margin of the Tibetan Plateau was strongly deformed, with early Cenozoic crustal shortening (Tapponnier et al., 2001; Spurlin et al., 2005; Studnicki-Gizbert et al., 2008; Tong et al., 2017) followed by southward block extrusion and rotation via large-scale strike slip faults (e.g., the ASSR; Fig.1; Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1990; Cao et al., 2011; Leloup et al., 1993, 1995, 2001; Lin et al., 2009; Tong et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2010, 2011, 2014; Zhang et al., 2015, 2017). Early Cenozoic crustal deformation in east Tibet has been recorded by magmatism (Spurlin et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2010), tectonic activity of fold-thrust system (Horton et al., 2002; Spurlin et al., 2005), deposition of syn-tectonic Paleogene basins, such as the Yushu-Nangqian and Gonjo basins (Horton et al., 2002; Spurlin et al., 2005; Studnicki-Gizbert et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2017) and paleomagnetism (Tong et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Recent thermochronological results from two vertical profiles at Deqin and Weixi in southeast Tibet reveal two episodes of rapid exhumation during 120-80 Ma and 60-40 Ma to the northwest of the Jianchuan basin (Liu-Zeng et al., 2018).

The middle-late Cenozoic crustal deformation in southeast Tibet is characterized by large-scale strikeslip fault system. Amongst them, the nearly- 1000 km long ASRR extending from Vietnam to southeast Tibet defines a prominent crustal discontinuity and separates the South China to the northeast from Lanping-Simao/Indochina to the southwest. This shear zone comprises four narrow segments of strongly deformed metamorphic rocks: Day Nui Con Voi, Ailao Shan, Diancang Shan and Xuelong Shan from southeast to northwest (Fig. 1). The onset timing for left-lateral shear of the ASRR remains controversial, ranging between $\sim 34$ and 28 Ma (Cao et al., 2011; Leloup et al., 1995, 2001, 2007; Palin et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014) to $\sim 25 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Searle et al., 2010), or even later than 21 Ma (Searle, 2006). Cessation of its left-lateral deformation occurred at $\sim 17 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Leloup et al., 2001). Since $\sim 10-5 \mathrm{Ma}$, the dextral Red River fault almost followed the trace of the ASRR (Leloup et al., 1995; Replumaz et al., 2001).

### 2.2 Subsidence and uplift history of the Jianchuan basin

The Jianchuan basin is a Paleogene intermontane basin in southeast Tibet on the South China block, mostly standing at an elevation of $3-4 \mathrm{~km}$ (Figs. 1 and 2). It is bounded to the west by the Qiaohou thrust belt (Gourbet et al., 2017). Paleogene sediments are strongly folded in the vicinity of the LudianZhonghejiang fault, the eastern branch of the fold belt (Fig.2). To the northeast of the basin, basal sediments of the Paleogene Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) rest unconformably on the Proterozoic and Paleozoic basement rocks. To the southeast of the basin, Eocene sediments are bounded by the Jianchuan normal fault, which belongs to a releasing bend of the Red River fault system that probably initiated in the Late Miocene (Leloup et al., 1995; Replumaz et al., 2001). The Paleogene sediments in the Jianchuan basin and surrounding areas stand unconformably on folded Triassic and older basement rocks (Burchfiel and Chen, 2012). Basin stratigraphy was recently reappraised based on new results of geological mapping, geochronologic data and fossil assemblages (Gourbet et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). Revised stratigraphic sequences of the Paleogene basin consist of the Mengyejing Formation "(Fm.)" ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ), Baoxiangsi Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} b\right)$, Jiuziyan Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j z\right)$, Shuanghe Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right)$ and Jianchuan Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j c\right)$ from the bottom to the top (Fig. 3).

The Mengyejing Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ), outcropping in the southern part of the basin, is dominated by red mudstones and siltstones, with limited presence of sandstone and conglomerate layers (Fig. 2). These
sediments are interpreted to be a flood plain environment with local occurrence of alluvial-fan deposits (Gourbet et al., 2017). The Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) having a thickness of up to $\sim 600 \mathrm{~m}$ is exposed parallel to the bounding Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault to the west and occupies the greatest volume of basin infill (Gourbet et al., 2017; Fig. 3). This formation can be subdivided into two units: $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 1$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 2$. The sediments of $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 1$ are characteristics of basal conglomerates, filling an uneven paleo-relief carved within the Shigu metamorphic schists along the northeast basin margin (Studnicki-Gizbert, 2006), indicative of an angular unconformable contact of the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) above the Proterozoic to Paleozoic metamorphic rocks (Fig. 2). This suite of sediments ( $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ b1) also locally appear along the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault (e.g., at site CD522 and east of site CD144; Figs. 2 and 4). Contrary to what was described by Wei et al. (2016), there is no clear field evidence for an angular unconformity between the $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 1$ and the underlying $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ (Gourbet et al., 2017). Most of the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 2$ ) consists of massive red siltstones interbedded with red sandstones, containing parallel and cross bedding and channel-fill conglomerates, consistent with a facies interpretation of a braided river system, while the base of the sedimentary succession is locally made of massive, well-sorted, thick multi-storey channelfill sandstones. There is no precise age control for both the Mengyejing Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ) and Baoxiangsi Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} b\right)$. The presence of Paleocene-Early Eocene ostracods in the Mengyejing Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ), along with charophyte assemblages and Late Eocene mammalian faunas in the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ b), allow roughly attributing Paleocene and Eocene ages to these two formations respectively (BGMRY, 1990). This timing assignment is supported by the cut-off relationships between $35-36 \mathrm{Ma}$ old intrusive rocks and the sediments of the Mengyejing ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ) and Baoxiangsi Fms. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ b) (Fig. 3; Gourbet et al., 2017).

The overlying deposits of the Jiuziyan Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j z\right)$, Shuanghe Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right)$ and Jianchuan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j c$ ) appear to be restricted to the eastern part of the Jianchuan basin (Fig. 2). The 0-100 m-thick Jiuziyan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{j} z$ ) seems limited to the northeastern corner of the basin, mostly resting on the mountain top and isolated by incision of the river network (Fig.2). The Jiuziyan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j z$ ) is locally in an erosional basal contact above the red mudstones of the Mengyejing Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ), while the sediments show a regular transition without any clear angular unconformity at some spots (Gourbet et al., 2017). It consists of multi-storey carbonate successions, including life-position stromatolites interbedded with massive matrix-supported conglomerates and argillaceous calcisiltites, indicative of a palustrine-lacustrine environment (Sorrel et al., 2017). The $0-200$ m-thick Shuanghe Fm. ( $\left.\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right)$, overlying conformably the Jiuziyan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j z$ ), is composed of poorly consolidated yellow sandstones, interbedded with organic-rich coal levels, as well as few layers of lava, tuff and volcanoclastic sediments. The sediments are commonly cut by intrusions (mostly lamprophyres), often sills in the coals or along sandstones stratifications. The strata are folded under nearly EW-directed contraction (Gourbet et al., 2017). The 0-300 m thick Jianchuan Fm. (E2jc) overlies the folded sediments of the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ). These strata are dominantly volcanoclastic and relates to Late Eocene intrusion of the Shamao Shan syenite (Gourbet et al., 2017). The deposition timing of the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) and Jianchuan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j c$ ) was recently refined to be at $\sim 35.9 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ and $35.4 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{Ma}$ respectively, from zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ and ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}{ }^{\beta 9} \mathrm{Ar}$ dating on interbedded and crosscutting igneous rocks (Fig. 3; Gourbet et al., 2017). This is supported by newly-discovered fossils of chara and ostracod (Wu et al., 2018). The age of the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ ) is further corroborated by a jaw (found in coal deposits) of a large amynodontid that thrived during the Late Eocene Ergilian interval (37.233.9 Ma ) (Gourbet et al., 2017).

The elevation history of the Jianchuan basin remains highly debated. Paleoaltimetry reconstructions based on stable isotopes suggest an attainment of modern surface height of $\sim 3.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. in the Late Eocene for the Jianchuan basin (Hoke et al., 2014). Similar work on the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) suggests that the Jianchuan basin had reached $\sim 2.6 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. close to modern surface elevation in the Late Eocene (Li et al., 2015). However, the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) was recently revised to be Late Eocene in age, and basin elevation was also re-evaluated to indicate a lower elevation of $\sim 1.2 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. (Gourbet et al., 2017). Recent stable isotope and fossil pollen coexistence data suggest the Jianchuan basin experienced a gradual uplift from between 0.5 km asl. and $2.5 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. during deposition of the Jiuziyan Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j z\right)$ to between 0.9 km asl and $2.9 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. during deposition of the Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) (Wu et al., 2018). Low temperature thermochronology data interpreted in the light of thermal modelling suggest that the Jianchuan basin experienced $2.3-3.2 \mathrm{~km}$ of rapid exhumation at a rate of $0.57-0.80 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ between $\sim 28$ and 20 Ma (Cao et al., 2019). These results allowed us to link pronounced relief generation
of southeast Tibet during the Oligocene-Early Miocene to thrusting in the context of regional NW-SE crustal shortening (Cao et al., 2019).

## 3. Geological mapping

The geometry, kinematics and timing of Cenozoic deformation of the Jianchuan basin and adjacent area have remained debated (Burchfiel and Chen, 2012; Deng et al., 2014b; Gourbet et al., 2017; Leloup et al., 1993; Tang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2014). New mapping results on the western flank of the Jianchuan basin (Figs. 2 and 4) indicate that this area is predominantly affected by NNWstriking, WSW-dipping brittle thrusts separating narrow panels of Permo-Triassic and Paleocene strata. These panels are deformed by upright and tight folds with axes subparallel to the trend of the main faults. These structures define a fold-thrust belt that lacks detailed descriptions and quantitative constraints in Yang et al. (2014) and tentatively named "Qiaohou thrust belt" in Gourbet et al. (2017). In order to avoid confusion with the dextral strike-slip Qiaohou fault that is considered as a part of the active Red River fault system (Allen et al., 1984; Leloup et al., 1995), we use the new term LudianZhonghejiang fold-thrust belt (LZTB) instead of "Qiaohou thrust belt". The fold-thrust belt consists of two main thrusts: the Tongdian and Ludian-Zhonghejiang faults and several parallel folds (Figs. 2 and 4). The following sections present the mapping results that focus on geology of the central and southern portions of the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fold-thrust belt, NE-trending faults and Qiaohou fault, as well as folded lithologic units along three main transects A-B, B'-C and E-F (Fig. 4).

### 3.1 Ludian-Zhonghejiang fold-thrust belt (LZTB)

The LZTB stretches over 120 km between the Xuelong Shan and Diancang Shan metamorphic gneiss belts (Fig. 1) along a zone used to be called "Madeng gap", where no high-grade metamorphic rocks are related to the ASRR (Leloup et al., 2001). The $7-12 \mathrm{~km}$ wide deformation zone involves PermoTriassic sedimentary and volcanic rocks in the hanging wall, as well as Paleogene terrestrial sediments of the Jianchuan basin in the footwall (Fig. 4). The northern part of the fold-thrust belt is poorly defined due to heavy vegetation, but the principal fault still can be identified based on field observations of prominent topographic relief and intensively folded Eocene sediments proximal to the fault trace. In contrast, the central segment of the fold-thrust belt outcrops well and is thus the target of our detailed mapping.

### 3.1.1 Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault and Paleogene strata

The Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault brings Triassic strata on top of the Eocene Baoxiangsi Fm. (E 2 b; Figs. 2 and 4). To the east of the fault, the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) is dominated by thick-bedded red mediumgrained lithic sandstone interbedded with lenticular layers of conglomerates that are poorly-sorted, grain-supported, sub-rounded, and display scour-and-fill and cross bedding structures. This unit is interpreted as an alluvial fan fed by a braided river proximal to a local topographic gradient (Gourbet et al., 2017).

The Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault (LZF) is a NNW-SSE-striking and west-dipping thrust fault (Fig. 2). Folding is more intense on either side of the fault zone than it is further away, indicative of localized deformation along the contact between the Triassic and Paleogene strata. The fault has locally a complex trace (Fig. 4). At sites S277 and S219, a fault striking N92E brings the Triassic rocks on top of the Eocene red beds (Figs. 5a and 5m). At site CD335/CD435, faults with various orientations bring the Triassic rocks atop the Eocene sediments (Figs. 5b, 5c and 6a). The main fault contact in general trends NW-SE and dips to the SW, but is locally close to horizontal, gently dipping to the south, or even to the SE (Fig. 6a). This results in a complex shape of contact between the Triassic and Paleogene units. The primary fault appears as a low angle thrust nappe affected by latter deformation. The fault contact bears striations (Figs. 5d and 5e) but with various orientations, which prevents to define a clear common direction of motion for the thrusting (Fig. 6a). The intrusive relationship of a late Eocene lamprophyre with the main thrust fault is ambiguous (Figs. 5b and 5c). However, the intrusion clearly cut across the schistosity parallel to the thrust affecting the Triassic rocks (Fig. 5f), suggesting that the intrusion postdated the thrusting. This observation is also supported by the intrusion of a late Eocene syenite within the LZF at site CD321 (Fig. 4). The Eocene and Triassic sediments are folded and affected by later faults (Figs. 6a-c). Some of these faults are parallel to the main contact, but others are $\sim \mathrm{N} 80^{\circ}$ to
$\mathrm{N} 120^{\circ}$ trending dextral faults (Fig. 6c). As this later set of faults cartographically crosscuts the contact between the Eocene and Triassic sediments (Fig. 4), we interpret them as resulting from a SE-NW compression after the thrust motion. At site CD237, Triassic coal-bearing slates above the nearly N-Sstriking thrust are schistosed with S-C fabrics (Figs. 5g, 5h and 6b), suggesting top to the NE ductilebrittle thrusting (Figs. 5i-k). In contrast, sandstone beds of stronger competence at site CD333 show less ductile-brittle fabrics but are deformed, forming meter-scale asymmetric necked boudins (Fig. 5n).

Along transect E-F between the observation sites CD237 and CD522 (Fig. 5h), the Eocene strata dip almost vertically at the vicinity of the fault, but dip gradually more gently away from the fault. The vertical clastic layers proximal to the thrust fault are dominated by a mixture of very poorly-sorted, subangular to sub-rounded pebbles and boulders of limestone, sandstone and volcanic origin (Fig. 51), indicative of debris flow and hyper-concentrated flood flow deposits. In general, the Eocene strata east of the fault are deformed by a set of open synclines with steep axial planes striking N-S to NW-SE (Figs. $2 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{f}$ and 6 g ). A section across the Paleogene sediments (mostly $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) of the Jianchuan basin is typified by long-wavelength folds with one syncline showing a steep western limb adjacent to the thrust front (Fig. 2b). The axial traces of the folds within the $E_{2} b 2$ are sub-parallel to the strike of the LZF (Figs. 6 f and 6 g ). The Triassic clastic sediments $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} S\right)$ are also strongly folded with fold hinge striking NNW (Fig. 6h), parallel to the fault trace of the LZF.

### 3.1.2 Tongdian fault and flanking units

West of the LZF, the Tongdian fault places folded late Permian clastic deposits over Triassic volcanic sequences (Fig. 4). To the west of the Tongdian fault, the rocks comprise a thick sequence of limestone interlayered with siltstone and mudstone of late Permian ages (Tang et al., 2016) that was originally considered to be Triassic in age (BGMRY, 1990). Limestone and marl are most common in the lower part of the unit that shows an overturned anticline at site CD524. In the upper part the strata contain more fine-grained clastic deposits of siltstone and mudstone. East of the Tongdian fault, the early to middle Triassic volcanic rocks ( $\mathrm{T}_{1-2} p$ ) consist of massive rhyolitic lava, dacitic lava, rhyolitic or dacitic breccias and tuff. In the northern end of the mapped area, these rocks are intruded by small bodies of Eocene syenite with irregular intrusive margins (Fig. 4). In general, this volcanic sequence is strongly fractured by discretely-spaced small scale secondary faults and abundant cleavages that trends S115$155^{\circ}$ and dips SW60-86 ${ }^{\circ}$ (Fig. 6d). Locally, NE-dipping tuff layers are still distinguished in the lower part of the unit (Tang et al., 2016). The suite of Triassic volcanic rocks shows a nonconformable contact with the overlying Triassic clastic deposits $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} s\right)$ to the east.

The Tongdian fault is a steeply dipping fault zone that locally strikes $\mathrm{S} 130-160^{\circ}$ and dips $\mathrm{SW} 46-80^{\circ}$ at sites CD517, CD518 and CD524 (Figs. 4, 50 and 5p). Striated surfaces within the fault zone show both top to the NE reverse slip and right slip (Fig. 6d) indicative of transpressional deformation. The late Permian strata are deformed by a set of open to tight, upright-plunging folds that trend NW nearly parallel to the bounding Tongdian fault (Fig. 6i), locally showing overturned limb compatible with a NE vergence (Fig. 4). At distances of $<1 \mathrm{~km}$ from the Tongdian fault, the fold are recumbent and chevron folds less spaced than further away from the fault (Fig. 4), suggesting that the folds are genetically related to thrusting along the fault. Proximal to the Tongdian fault, the Triassic volcanic unit has well-developed cleavages (Fig. 5d) that strikes parallel to the principal fault planes, indicating localized deformation associated with the transpression.

At site CD516 ~500 m to the southwest of the Tongdian fault, a steeply dipping brittle fault strikes S140-180 ${ }^{\circ}$ and dips SW60-80 (Fig. 6d). Striations and chatter marks on the fault surfaces indicate both reverse slip and right slip (Fig. 6d). These suggest this fault to be a transpressional structure, which led to folding of the late Permian strata in its hanging wall (Figs. 4 and 6i). The similarity of geometry and kinematics of this fault to the Tongdian fault suggest that this fault is likely a branch fault of the Tongdian fault.

### 3.2 ENE-trending dextral strike-slip faults

Apart from thrust faults, we observed a set of ENE-trending, steeply dipping, brittle faults at several localities (e.g., CD241, CD320, CD327, CD328 and CD347; Figs. 4 and 6c). On the fault planes, nearly
horizontal calcite growth striae together with the asymmetric calcite crystallization marks indicate a dextral sense of shear. Besides, meters-scale of right lateral separation of Eocene conglomerate beds near site CD320 attests to dextral strike slip offset on the fault. Although the relationship between these ENE-striking right lateral faults with the fold-trust belt cannot be easily examined in the field, we infer that these faults could postdate the thrust structures based on the observations that the ENE-striking dextral strike slip faults apparently cut all the rocks involved in the LZTB and especially the Eocene Baoxiangsi Fm. (e.g., at site CD320; Fig. 4). More convincing evidences for this relationship would require more work.

### 3.3 Qiaohou fault

The Qiaohou fault was regarded as a part of the Red River fault system (Allen et al., 1984; Leloup et al., 1995). In the mapped area, this fault zone consists of two splays, named Qiaohou fault-1 and Qiaohou fault-2 respectively (Fig. 4). The Qiaohou fault-1 separates Eocene volcanic rocks in the west from late Permian siltstone and limestone to the east, between which a prominent topographic step occurs. Field measurements show that it is a NNE-striking, steeply dipping, brittle fault at site CD502 (Figs. 4 and 6e). Nearly horizontal striae and chatter marks on the fault planes indicate a sense of dextral strike slip with a minor normal component (Fig. 6e). Likewise, the Qiaohou fault-2 shares a similar geometry and kinematics with the Qiaohou fault-1. This fault splay bounds the eastern margin of the Quaternary Madeng basin and is presumably an active fault. These dextral faults are thus young structures that probably initiated in the Late Miocene or later (Allen et al., 1984; Leloup et al., 1995).

To sum up, field observations provide relative timing for the Cenozoic deformation in the study area based on cutoffs between the structures and Cenozoic sediments and Eocene magmas. Accordingly, we identified four Cenozoic deformation generations. The LZTB dominated the first deformation phase with top to the NE-directed thrusting that took place prior to the Late Eocene. The exact magnitude of crustal shortening across the LZTB is difficult to restore due to the paucity of constraints on the deep structure of the thrust belt. Subsequently, the Qiaohou fault being a part of the Red River system likely commenced in the Late Miocene or later (Allen et al., 1984; Leloup et al., 1995). The ENE-trending dextral strike-slip faults offset the fold-thrust belt, indicating that this set of faults postdated the thrusting, but their exact activity timing remains unknown. The latest deformation generation is typified by the Qiaohou fault-2, which could be an active fault controlling the Quaternary Madeng basin. Meanwhile, we do not exclude the existence of Pre-Cenozoic structures in this area, but it seems that they have been modified by Cenozoic deformation. In this paper, we thus concentrate on the geometry, kinematics, timing and implications of the first deformation generation expressed by the LZTB.

## 4. Sampling and analytical methods

In order to address the timing of deformation within the LZTB, we employed radiometric dating within the deformation zones (Fig. 2; Tables 1 and S1). On one hand, we collected 8 samples from syenitic plutons and lamprophyres intruding the fold-thrust belt for zircon U-Pb dating. These results will firmly place minimum age for the activity of the thrust belt. We also took 6 samples along a vertical profile in the Ludian pluton in the hanging wall of the LZF for multiple dating techniques, including zircon U Pb , zircon (U-Th)/He (ZHe), apatite fission track (AFT) and apatite (U-Th)/He (AHe). The zircon UPb geochronology with closure temperature of $750 \pm 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Cherniak et al., 2004) resolves the crystallization age of the igneous rocks. By contrast, the combination of lower-temperature thermochronological systems of $\mathrm{ZHe}, \mathrm{AFT}$ and AHe with closure temperatures ranging from $\sim 220$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ record the cooling path in the upper several kilometers of the crust (Reiners and Brandon, 2006). Together, these data analyzed with inverse thermal modeling, are able to constrain a complete thermal history of the rocks in the hanging wall of the LZF and further provide information on the onset, duration and rate of rock exhumation, which can be related to thrusting (Reiners and Brandon, 2006; Turab et al., 2017). Apatite and zircon aliquots were extracted from samples using standard crushing, magnetic and heavy-liquid separation techniques. Detailed analytical procedures for zircon U-Pb geochronology, apatite fission track and zircon and apatite (U-Th)/He are described in the supplementary material S1.

## 5. Results and interpretation

The analytical datasets are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 1, as well as supplementary material S1 and

Tables S1-S6.

### 5.1 Zircon U-Pb geochronology

The majority of dated zircon grains from Mesozoic igneous rocks with oscillatory zoning have low $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{Th}$ ratios of $<10$ (Table S2), indicative of igneous origin. Two samples CD144 and CD149 were collected from the Ludian granite in the hanging wall of the northern segment of the LudianZhonghejiang fault (Fig. 2a). Most zircon grains yield concordant ages clustering at 208-252 Ma (Tables 1 and S2; Fig. S1a). Fifteen and nineteen age points from samples CD144 and CD149 give weighted mean U-Pb ages of $227.7 \pm 4.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ and $234.5 \pm 4.7 \mathrm{Ma}$ respectively (Figs. S1a and S1b). These two ages thus represent the crystallization age of the Ludian granite. The sample CD327 is a dacite collected in the Pangtiange Fm. $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2} p\right)$. Several ages are pre-Cenozoic, but the lower intercept defined by the linear regression of 10 ages clusters at two group ages at $\sim 270 \mathrm{Ma}$ and $\sim 235 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Tables 1 and S2; Figs. S1c and S1d). Seven out of ten zircon grains yield a younger weighted mean U-Pb age of $234.4 \pm 8.5 \mathrm{Ma}$, overlapping with published zircon U-Pb ages spanning from $\sim 237$ to 250 Ma in the same volcanic unit (Yang et al., 2014; Table S3).

Six samples CD316, CD323, CD325, CD330, CD331, CD335/CD435 were collected from some small porphyry dykes intruding in the LZTB in the northern end of the mapped area (Figs. 2 and 4). Of them, samples CD316, CD323 and CD331 have a few Cenozoic ages that are sub-concordant at $\sim 34-36 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Tables 1 and S4; Figs. S1e-j). Ten, five and six ages yield weighted mean U-Pb ages of $34.5 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{Ma}$, $34.3 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{Ma}$ and $35.3 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{Ma}$ for samples CD316, CD323 and CD331 respectively (Fig. S1; Tables 1 and S2). Samples CD335 and CD435 were taken from the same lamprophyre at site CD335, so we combined their zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ data sets together. Most zircons from these two samples present large range of pre-Cenozoic ages spanning from 251 Ma to 2273 Ma . Six zircon grains yield a weighted mean UPb age of $35.1 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Figs. S1k and S11). The other two samples CD325 and CD330 yield much more inherited pre-Cenozoic ages (Figs. S1m-p). Only one grain per sample yield Eocene ages that may represent the intrusion age, but the points fall off the concordant curves and thus do not provide a robust age constraint. Overall, the late Eocene ages recorded by these samples generally overlap with previous zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ data for the porphyry, granite and syenite plutons and dykes as well as volcanic clasts in and around the Jianchuan basin (Fig. 3 and Table S4), indicating a major flare-up event occurred from $\sim 35.7$ to 34.5 Ma (Gourbet et al., 2017).

### 5.2 Low-temperature thermochronology

Single-grain ZHe ages obtained from the samples CD144, CD145 and CD148 consistently cluster within $\sim 100-140 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Tables 1 and S5; Fig. 7a), significantly younger than the Triassic emplacement age of the Ludian granite. These ages thus reflect later cooling or exhumation event. Single-grain ZHe ages of samples CD145 and CD148 show reverse relationships with the effective uranium concentrations ( $[\mathrm{eU}]=[\mathrm{U}]+0.235^{*}[\mathrm{Th}]$; Guenthner et al., 2013; Fig.7a), indicating that radiation damage affected the zircon grains (Guenthner et al., 2013).

Four single-grain AHe ages from the low-elevation sample CD145 range from 29.4 Ma to 43.6 Ma (Fig. $7 b)$. Five single-grain ages from the high-elevation sample CD148 span from 36.0 Ma to 49.6 Ma . In general, neither positive nor negative relationships between the AHe ages and eU appear in Fig. 7b. The single-grain AHe ages of the sample CD148 overlaps with the AFT ages of CD148 and CD149 within errors at comparable elevations, but much younger than the corresponding ZHe ages, indicating self-consistency of the multiple thermochronology data. Two replicates from samples CD145 and CD148 yield very young ZHe ages probably because of grain loss during the preparation process. These two data were not used for inverse modeling and interpretation. The Eocene cooling ages broadly overlap with AHe ages from the northern part of the Ludian pluton (Liu-Zeng et al., 2018).

Five samples CD144, CD146, CD147, CD148 and CD149 from an elevation profile along the Ludian granite with sufficiently countable grains and kinetic parameters yield a cluster of Eocene AFT ages ranging from 35.8 Ma to 45.3 Ma (Tables 1 and S6). All ages passed the $\mathrm{P}\left(\chi^{2}\right)$ test with very low dispersion values, indicative of single age populations (Galbraith and Green, 1990). The mean track lengths span from 13.35 to $14.01 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Table S6). AFT age plot against elevation show a general positive correlation (Fig.7c).

### 5.3 Thermal modeling

The cooling histories of the Ludian granite were further derived by using the Bayesian transdimensional Markov chain Monte Carlo protocol (Gallagher, 2012). The inverse scheme of this program is preferably designed to reconstruct thermal history from a thermochronological age-elevation profile. The model was set up taking into account all AFT ages, track length distribution and kinetic parameters, as well as acceptable single ZHe and AHe ages, apatite and zircon crystal dimensions and U , Th and Sm concentrations from samples CD144, CD145 and CD148. Fission track annealing and He diffusion algorithms used for ZHe, AFT and AHe modelling refer to Guenthner et al. (2013), Ketcham et al. (2007) and Gautheron et al., (2009), respectively.

In order to make the modeling informative and convincing, two independent geological boundary conditions were given: 1) samples collected from the Ludian granite are at the surface, and thus between 0 and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at present day; 2) a loosely constrained condition of a temperature of $300 \pm 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $110 \pm 20$ Ma was given as a start. This constraint box forces the model to pass through the ZHe partial retention zone during the late Cretaceous based on reported Late Cretaceous rapid cooling event of the same batholith near Weixi $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km}$ northwest of our samples (Liu-Zeng et al., 2018). We used the default values of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{km}$ for the paleo and present geothermal gradients and allow the temperature offset to vary over time. Each 1,000,000 iterations were performed as burn-in and post-burn-in iterations. The optimized temperature-time curves present a four-episode cooling history (Fig. 7d). The first cooling stage at $\sim 125-110 \mathrm{Ma}$ reflects a rapid exhumation process for the pluton passing through the ZHe partial retention zone (PRZ). The following cooling episode between 110-50 Ma shows the rock resided on the upper boundary of the ZHe PRZ for $\sim 60 \mathrm{Ma}$. Notably, the subsequent accelerated cooling between $\sim 50$ and 39 Ma at a rate of $\sim 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{Ma}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7d) is interpreted as exhumation related to thrusting along the LZTB. Based on the modelled cooling histories, the temperature difference of the highest and lowest samples ranges from $\sim 6$ to $\sim 12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 7d). An elevation difference of $\sim 400 \mathrm{~m}$ between these two samples thus infers geothermal gradients between $\sim 15-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{km}^{-1}$. The cooling rate of the Ludian pluton between $\sim 50-39 \mathrm{Ma}$ translates to an apparent exhumation rate of $\sim 0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{a}^{-1}$. The relatively rapid exhumation ended at $\sim 39 \mathrm{Ma}$ and was followed by a protracted period of extremely slow cooling at a rate of $<1.75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{Ma}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7d) indicative of the cessation of the deformation in the LZTB.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1 Temporal and spatial constraints on the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fold-thrust belt

Geological crosscutting relationships provide relative chronology for the activity of the LZTB. For example, the intrusion of porphyry dykes of $35-36 \mathrm{Ma}$ within the LZTB (Figs. 4, 5b and 5c) indicates that the LZTB was active prior to $\sim 36 \mathrm{Ma}$. Likewise, the occurrence of syn-tectonic sediments of Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) (see below) older than the Shuanghe Fm. dated at $\sim 35.9 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Gourbet et al., 2017) suggest that the deformation within the fold-thrust belt occurred no later than 36 Ma . Independently, rapid cooling of the Ludian pluton in the hanging wall of the LZF derived from thermochronology and inverse models are compatible with thrusting activity of the LZTB during 50-39 Ma. The existence of syn-tectonic sediments of the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) in the footwall of the thrust belt rules out regional uplift at that time span (See the detailed discussion in the next section), and thus implies NE-oriented crustal shortening initiated at 50 Ma and continued until 39 Ma on the western margin of the South China block. This robust temporal constraint is consistent with geological crosscutting observations. Since 39 Ma , the quiescence of the LZTB is supported by the deposition of sediments in low-lying and flat alluvial to palustrine and fluvial systems of the Jiuziyan and Shuanghe Fms. (Figs. 2 and 3; Gourbet et al., 2017; Sorrel et al., 2017) in the eastern part of the Jianchuan basin. In space, this fold-thrust system may extend to the northwest near Weixi county, where the onset of rapid exhumation during $60-40 \mathrm{Ma}$ was interpreted as relating to the reactivation of local structures (Liu-Zeng et al., 2018). Further investigations on the fault extent and correlation are still needed.

### 6.2 Syn-tectonic deposition in the Jianchuan basin

The Jianchuan basin, filled by kilometers-thick Paleogene terrestrial sediments, has attracted much attention in order to understand the tectonic, paleoenvironmental and topographic evolution of southeast

Tibet. Despite its importance, how the basin did form remains unclear. Two sets of models have been proposed to explain the basin formation and evolution. In the first set of models, the alluvial-fan and braided-stream clasts of the Baoxiangsi Fm. were interpreted as deposits coeval with the ultrapotassic magmatism from 40 to 27 Ma in a rift setting (Wei et al., 2016), which was induced by intraplate extension related to the delamination of a thickened lithosphere (Chung et al., 1997). However, field evidences show that the deposition of the Shuanghe and Jianchuan Fms. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} j$ c), rather than the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ), was coeval with the magmatic episode that was restricted to a very short time between 35 and 36 Ma (Table S4; Gourbet et al., 2017; this study). Therefore, the argument for the deposition of the Baoxiangsi Fm. in a rift basin is not supported by the new stratigraphy of the Jianchuan basin. Furthermore, no normal fault of that age has been described up to now, neither within nor close to the Jianchuan basin. In the second model, Paleogene sedimentation in the Jianchuan basin was proposed to be simultaneous with basement uplift and thrusting in a regional NE-SW compression based on the existence of possible syn-depositional structures (e.g., mudstone dykes in the major thrust fault plane and diapirs in the fracture of hanging wall) in the thrust (Yang et al., 2014). This hypothesis seems partly consistent with local structural observations but lends no support from our observations on the architecture of the LZTB and the syn-depositional structures of the Jianchuan basin.

Our results suggest that deposition of the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\left.\mathrm{E}_{2} b\right)$ in the Jianchuan basin is syn-tectonic and closely related to the development of the LZTB during crustal shortening (Fig. 8) based on several lines of evidence. Field observations show that the sediments in the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) were predominately sourced from the hanging wall of the LZF. At the bottom of the Baoxiangsi Fm. (E2b1), an abrupt appearance of massive basal conglomerates and up-section sandstones bearing cross bedding marks a significant change of sedimentary facies from flood plain (i.e., the Mengyejing Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ ) ) to alluvial fan and braided river (Fig. 3). This indicates prominent topographic relief generation triggered by the contractional deformation within the LZTB, which supplied large amounts of terrestrial clasts to the adjacent Jianchuan basin (Fig. 8). This inference is also corroborated by predominantly eastward and northeastward paleocurrents restored by measurements of pebble imbrications and cross beddings in the Baoxiangsi Fm. (Wei et al., 2016; Wissink et al., 2016; this study; Fig. 2). Apart from these, a combination of poorly-sorted, sub-angular to sub-rounded pebbles and boulders in the basal conglomerates are composed of limestone, sandstone and volcanic rocks (Fig. 51), which were apparently derived from proximal Permo-Triassic lithologic units in the hanging wall of the LZF. We thus propose that sedimentation of the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) commenced since $\sim 50 \mathrm{Ma}$ and lasted until $\sim 39 \mathrm{Ma}$, coevally with the duration of the crustal shortening in the LZTB. This timing is broadly in agreement with estimated Eocene age from fossil assemblages for the Baoxiangsi Fm. (BGMRY, 1990), overlain by deposition of the Jiuziyan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j z$ ), Shuanghe Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) and Jianchuan Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j c$ ) during the Late Eocene (Gourbet et al., 2017; Sorrel et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018). Sedimentation during the Jiuziyan and Shuanghe Fms. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} j z$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} s$ ) likely took place in distal alluvial fan-deltas and sublacustrine (alluvial-lake) environments characteristics of low-lying depositional systems (Sorrel et al., 2017), which is congruent with the cessation of the fold-thrust belt and final infill of the Late Eocene intermontane Jianchuan basin.

### 6.3 Cretaceous-Early Cenozoic crustal deformation and uplift of the southeast Tibet and implications for the lithospheric rheology of the Tibet

Rapid Cretaceous cooling events have been revealed by thermochronometres in the east and southeast Tibet (Tian et al., 2014; Liu-Zeng et al., 2018). They most likely corresponded to crustal thickening as a result of the collision between the Lhasa and Qiangtang terranes implied from the geological records of central Tibet (Kapp et al., 2005, 2007). We follow this hypothesis to interpret rapid Cretaceous exhumation in the Jianchuan area recorded by our thermochronological data. However, the detailed geological processes in southeast Tibet during Lhasa-Qiangtang collisional orogenesis would need much more work. We focus here on Early Cenozoic deformation as discussed below.

A growing number of structural and paleomagnetic investigations suggest that southeast Tibet has been dominated by extrusion tectonics since the Late Eocene, which was predominantly accommodated by major strike slip faults along the block boundaries (Tapponnier et al., 1982; 1990; Cao et al., 2011; Leloup et al., 1993, 2001; Lin et al., 2009; Tong et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2011, 2014), though some
others argued for a geodynamic model of lower crustal flow for Late Cenozoic surface uplift (Clark et al., 2005; Ouimet et al., 2010; Royden et al., 1997, 2008; Schoenbohm et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2016). Nevertheless, how regional crustal deformation in the Early Cenozoic was partitioned remains poorly understood. Our results indicate that the western margin of the South China block was affected by NEdirected compression since $\sim 50 \mathrm{Ma}$ nearly synchronous with the onset of the India-Asian collision, which is expressed by compressive deformation in the LZTB and simultaneous sediment deposition in the adjacent Jianchuan basin. The Permo-Triassic rocks were intensely deformed within the LZTB in a pattern of tight and recumbent fold assemblages in a short wavelength and high amplitude between major thrust faults (Fig. 2b). In contrast, the Eocene sediments in the Jianchuan basin show typical broad, long-wavelength folds in the footwall of the LZF (Fig. 2b). The discrepancy of deformation intensity between the basin and fold-thrust belt raise two questions of 1) whether southeast Tibet attained its present-day height due to crustal shortening in the Eocene, and 2) how the strain propagated to accommodate the India-Asian convergence.

The Eocene paleoaltimetry of southeast Tibet, taking the Jianchuan basin in northwest Yunnan as an example, remains controversial. Previous studies argued that the Jianchuan basin has uplifted to an elevation of $\sim 3.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. (Hoke et al., 2014) or $\sim 2.6 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. (Li et al., 2015) in the Eocene close to a modern surface height, which was interpreted as resulting from crustal shortening in response to the India-Asian collision (Li et al., 2015). However, recent work based on stable isotopes and fossil pollen coexistence instead argue for a lower elevation for the Eocene basin ranging from $\sim 1.2 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. (Gourbet et al., 2017) to $0.9-2.9 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. ( Wu et al., 2018). Our structural data suggest limited uplift of the Jianchuan basin in the Eocene as follows. The long wavelength of the folds affecting the Paleogene sediments (i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{1} m$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) imply a small crustal shortening (less than 5 km estimated from cross section in Fig. 2b) in the Jianchuan basin during the activity of the LZTB between $\sim 50 \mathrm{Ma}$ and 39 Ma . In addition, Late Eocene rocks (i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{2} j z, \mathrm{E}_{2} s$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2} j c$ ) restricted in the southeastern corner of the Jianchuan basin, are generally flat-lying and partly involved in a broad fold with a NE-trending axis parallel to the striking of the Yulong thrust belt (Fig. 1), which was likely related to the NW-directed compression in a later stage during the basin exhumation at $\sim 28-20 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Cao et al., 2019). Therefore, our results indicate a prominent topographic gradient in the hanging wall of the LZF that supplied clasts to the nearby lowland-Jianchuan basin, and thus support a relatively lower surface elevation for the Jianchuan basin in the Late Eocene. Independently, an altitude of $0.5-2.9 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~km}$ asl. is suggested for the basin by new stable isotope results integrated with fossil pollen coexistence (Wu et al., 2018). The topographic relief generated by intense crustal shortening within the LZTB created a local vertical vegetation variation (Fig. 8), consistent with the wide range of pollen assemblage and major flood events documented in the Late Eocene sediments of the basin (Sorrel et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018).

The collision between Indian and Asian plates since $\sim 60-50 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Allègre et al., 1984; Ding et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2016; Najman et al., 2010; Rowley, 1996) has induced widespread deformation across the Tibetan Plateau, but the details of strain propagation remains debated. Apart from the Himalayan foldthrust belt bounding the collision belt (Guillot et al., 2003; DeCelles et al., 2014), a growing body of studies documented extensive upper crustal shortening and development of syn-contractional basins within the Qiangtang terrane coevally with or shortly after the onset of the India-Asian collision (Fig. 9). For example, geological mapping integrated with balanced cross section and radiometric dating revealed upper crustal shortening of $34 \pm 12 \mathrm{~km}$ and $\sim 61 \mathrm{~km}$ in the Hoh Xil basin and Yushu-Nangqian basin since $\sim 48-52 \mathrm{Ma}$ and $\sim 51 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Horton et al., 2002; Spurlin et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008; Staisch et al., 2016), respectively. This is also the case for the crustal shortening deformation in the Gonjo basin and LZTB in east and southeast Tibet (Studnicki-Gizbert et al., 2008; Tong et al., 2017; Yin and Harrison, 2000; Gourbet et al., 2017; this study; Fig. 9). In contrast, limited post-50 Ma shortening have been documented in the interiors of the Lhasa, Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes (Kapp et al., 2005, 2007; van Hinsbergen et al., 2011). Such an intervening deformation pattern implies that the whole Plateau has not been homogenously shortened and thickened in the early stage of the collision as often assumed (Dewey and Burke, 1973; England and Houseman, 1986).

The deformation pattern approximately fit with the proposition of an Eocene Tibet corresponding to the Qiangtang terrane (Tapponnier et al., 2001). However, other compressional structures, including the

Main Pamir thrust-West Kunlun thrust belt (Cao et al., 2013, 2015; Yin et al., 2002), the Lungmuco fault (Leloup et al., 2012), Altyn Tagh fault and Qaidam thrust (Yin et al., 2002), Northern Qaidam thrust belt (Yin et al., 2008) and West Qinling thrust (Clark et al., 2010; Duvall et al., 2011), located far away ( $>400$ to 1400 km ) from the plate boundary appear active soon after the collision (Fig. 9). This implies that the compressive stresses as a result of the India-Asian collision have been transmitted efficiently across the whole plateau indicating a rigid-plastic rheology, such that an early Cenozoic stage of deformation along the northern boundary of the plateau preceded the migration to the north of the main deformation events in a stepwise manner (Tapponnier et al., 2001). We thus suggest that the deformation pattern is more complex than simple propagation from central to northern Tibet through time as proposed by Tapponnier et al. (2001). Accordingly, a synthesis of middle Eocene tectonic scenario throughout the India-Asian collision zone illustrates that contraction concentrated in the Qiangtang terrane, which intervened the less deformed Lhasa, Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes (Fig. 9). The Eocene contractional structures that localized in the Qiangtang terrane were probably inherited from preexisting structures formed during mid-Cretaceous crustal shortening related to the LhasaQiangtang collision (Kapp et al., 2005, 2007), while deformation in the Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes was older. The spaced deformation pattern of crustal shortening may be explained by the difference of deeper crustal strength as the deep crust of the Qiangtang terrane is composed of large volume of mélange and flysch that are relatively weaker than the Lhasa, Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes (Kapp et al., 2005).

## 7. Conclusions

An integration of geological mapping and geochronological and thermochronological dating illustrate Early Cenozoic configuration of tectonic deformation, rock exhumation and basin development on the western margin of the South China block (western Yunnan) during the early stage of the India-Asian collision. The Early Cenozoic deformation is expressed by the LZTB, which is a contractional structure which presently outcrop for $\sim 120 \mathrm{~km}$ between the Diancang Shan and Xuelong Shan metamorphic belt in western Yunnan. This deformation belt mainly consists of two major SW-dipping brittle thrust faults, named the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault (LZF) and the Tongdian fault from east to west. Between them, Permo-Triassic strata are involved in a set of short-wavelength, nearly vertical and southwest-vergent tight folds with axes that trend subparallel to the traces of the principal faults. The Paleocene sediments in the Jianchuan basin were deformed by a long-wavelength, vertical broad syncline. Inverse modeling with multiple low temperature thermochronological data, including $\mathrm{ZHe}, \mathrm{AHe}$ and AFT, from a Triassic pluton in the hanging wall of the LZF reveals two episodes of accelerated cooling during 125-110 Ma and $50-39 \mathrm{Ma}$. The Cretaceous cooling event was probably ascribed to crustal thickening during the Lhasa and Qiangtang collision. The Eocene rapid exhumation is interpreted to date the activity of the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fold-thrust system. This timing is corroborated by the intrusive relationship between Eocene magmas of $\sim 35-36 \mathrm{Ma}$ and the fold-thrust belt. The Eocene contraction seemingly controlled deposition of alluvial-fan and braided-river sediments of the Baoxiangsi Fm. in the Jianchuan basin, which is supported by paleoflows and provenance analysis. The contractional deformation likely ended at $\sim 39$ Ma reflected by significantly decreasing cooling rates in the thermal history and the occurrence of low-lying palustrine and alluvial-lake depositional systems in the basin since then.

At the plateau scale, our results along with geological records of contraction in central, northern and eastern Tibet document Eocene upper crustal shortening localized in the Himalaya, Qiangtang terrane and northern plateau margins (intervened by Lhasa, Songpan-Garze and Kunlun terranes) together with contractional basin development coevally with, or shortly after, the onset of the India-Asian collision, which is inconsistent with a homogenous crustal thickening (Dewey and Burke, 1973; England and Houseman). Moderate crustal shortening affected a large part of Tibet in a spaced way soon after the collision, prior to the main crustal thickening propagated progressively from south to north (Tapponnier et al., 2001). This spaced deformation pattern of crustal shortening illustrates the strain of the IndiaAsian collision and convergence propagated from the plate boundary within the Asian continent during the Early Cenozoic in a more complex way than what were assumed in the existing geodynamic models.
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## Tables

Table 1. Summary of geochronological and thermochronological results within the LZTB, SE Tibet.

| Sample <br> ID | Longitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ | Latitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Zircon <br> U-Pb age <br> $(\mathrm{Ma})$ | Mean <br> ZHe age <br> $(\mathrm{Ma})$ | Pooled <br> AFT age <br> $(\mathrm{Ma})$ | Mean <br> AHe age <br> $(\mathrm{Ma})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD144 | 99.5151 | 27.0599 | 2595 | $228 \pm 5$ | $121 \pm 2$ | $35.8 \pm 2.8$ | - |
| CD145 | 99.5034 | 27.0696 | 2635 | - | $108 \pm 5$ | - | $35.9 \pm 3.2$ |
| CD146 | 99.4895 | 27.0908 | 2710 | - | - | $45.3 \pm 3.7$ | - |
| CD147 | 99.4851 | 27.1013 | 2789 | - | - | $41.3 \pm 3.4$ | - |
| CD148 | 99.4927 | 27.1031 | 2939 | - | $119 \pm 7$ | $38.7 \pm 3.2$ | $41.7 \pm 2.6$ |
| CD149 | 99.4999 | 27.0996 | 2985 | $235 \pm 5$ | - | $44.0 \pm 3.5$ | - |
| CD316 | 99.6712 | 26.5934 | 3143 | $34.5 \pm 1.3^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD323 | 99.6616 | 26.6113 | 3060 | $34.3 \pm 1.6^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD325 | 99.6420 | 26.6105 | 2818 | $36.0 \pm 0.5^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD327 | 99.6304 | 26.6027 | 2806 | $234 \pm 9^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD330 | 99.6248 | 26.6014 | 2842 | $36.3 \pm 0.8^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD331 | 99.6171 | 26.6010 | 2876 | $35.3 \pm 1.7^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD335/ | 99.6991 | 26.5534 | 2592 | $35.1 \pm 0.5^{*}$ | - | - | - |
| CD435 |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |

Note: * denotes youngest peak ages of plutons in $\leq 10 \%$ discordance.
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Fig. 1 (a) Simplified geological map of the southeast Tibet, showing major Cenozoic structures and basins, modified from Gourbet et al. (2017). Black arrows indicate Oligo-Miocene motion of the ASRR while red arrows indicate Plio-Quaternary motion of the Red River fault. (b) The inset shows location within Tibet. Abbreviations: ATF-Altyn Tagh fault, ASRR-Ailao Shan-Red River shear zone, BNS-Bangong-Nujiang suture, MBT-Main boundary thrust, JS-Jinsha suture, KLF-Kunlun fault, KFKarakorum fault, RRF-Red River fault, XSF-Xianshuihe fault, IYS-Indus-Yarlung suture.
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Fig. 2 (a) Geological map of the Jianchuan area, southeast Tibet, based on BGMRY (1990), Gourbet et al. (2017), Cao et al. (2019) and new mapping results. See location on Fig. 1a. Geochronology and thermochronology ages are indicated from this study and previous studies in the Tables 1 and S2-S6, as well as paleocurrent directions in the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) from this study and Wei et al. (2016). The frame corresponds to Fig. 4. (b) Sketch geological cross section across the LZTB and the Jianchuan basin, showing relationships between major structures and lithological units. Sections are located on Fig. 2a.


Fig. 3 Stratigraphy of the Jianchuan basin with age constraints on the upper part of the sediment sequences, modified from Gourbet et al. (2017) and Sorrel et al. (2017). Age constraints on the sediment sequences are based on Gourbet et al. (2017), Sorrel et al. (2017), Wu et al. (2018) and this study.


Fig. 4 Geological map in the central segment of the LZTB, focusing on the major structures and lithological units to the west of the Jianchuan basin. Mapping based on BGMRY (1990) and this study. The structural measurements for southern section of the mapping area are partly from Tang et al. (2016). Observation sites are shown with corresponding structural observation and samples ages (Table S1). See location on Fig 2a. The lower panel is a composite cross section across the LZTB, composed of A$\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}$ '-C and E-F segments located on the above panel.


Fig. 5 Photos showing the main structures of the LZTB. (a) Triassic slate thrusted above Eocene sediments at site S277. (b-f) field observations at site CD335/CD435: (b) field photo and (c) corresponding sketch interpretation, showing schistosed Triassic meta-sandstone and coal-bearing slate $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} S\right)$ resting above folded Eocene sandstones and conglomerates $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} b 1 / \mathrm{E}_{2} b 2\right)$. Black arrows indicate the direction of motion given by the striae (d-e) gently dipping fault within the Triassic metasandstone and slate ( $\mathrm{T}_{3} s$ ) with striae indicative of thrust motion towards the northwest to northeast (see Fig. 6b). (f) a $\sim 35 \mathrm{Ma}$ lamprophyre dyke crosscutting schistosed Triassic slate ( $\mathrm{T}_{3} s$ ) suggestive of initial thrusting prior to $\sim 35 \mathrm{Ma}$. (g-l) field observations at sites CD237 and CD522 (cross section E-F on Fig. 4). (g) LZF placing Triassic coal-bearing slates ( $\mathrm{T}_{3} s$ ) on top of Eocene sandstone ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b 1$ ) at site CD237. (h) a cross section E-F between CD237 and CD522 showing the LZF placing Triassic strata $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} S\right.$ ) over the Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ). (i) gently dipping striae and slickensides and (j-k) sigmoid breccia lenses and SC fabrics in highly schistosed Triassic slate ( $\mathrm{T}_{3} s$ ) indicating top to the NE low-angle thrusting. (l) syntectonic conglomerates in the footwall of the LZF near site CD237 with abundant cobbles and boulders of volcanic, sandstone and limestone sourced from the hanging wall of the LZF. (m) Triassic clastic sediments $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} s\right)$ thrusted above Eocene sediments $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} b 2\right)$ at site S 219 . (n) sandstone boudins within the LZF at site CD333. (o) striae and slickensides and (p) fault gouge in the Tongdian fault suggesting thrusting at sites CD517 and CD524. (q) asymmetrical ripples in the Late Permian sandstone near site CD506 indicative of overturned bedding dipping to the SW. All sites are shown in Fig. 4 and Table S1b.


Fig. 6 Geometry of faults and folds in the LZTB and western Jianchuan basin. Stereographic projection, lower hemisphere atop the equal area net. (a-b) fault splays within the LZF at outcrops (a) CD335/CD435 and (b) CD237, (c) ENE-striking dextral strike-slip faults at outcrops CD241, CD320, CD327, CD328 and CD347, (d) fault splays (solid curves) within the Tongdian fault (TDF) at outcrops CD516, CD517, CD518 and CD524 and cleavages (dash curves) in the Triassic volcanic rocks close to the TDF at site CD517, (e) fault splays within the Qiaohou fault (QHF) at outcrops CD500 and CD502. Arrows indicate fault kinematics (motion of the upper block). (f-i) stratification (great circles) and poles to stratification (dots). (f) and (g) Eocene sediments $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} b\right)$ in the syncline proximal to the LZF, to the NW and to the SE respectively. (h) and (i) within the fold-thrust belt west of the LZF: Triassic metasediments $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3} s\right)$ and Late Permian sediments $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3} s\right)$ respectively. The axis and hinge orientations of the folds are calculated based on representative strike-and-dip measurements of the limbs of the folded bedding surface. The blue lines are the planes passing by the stratification poles. The red lines are the axial planes with fold axis plotted as squares.


Fig. 7 Cooling ages and results of thermal history modelling of the Triassic Ludian granite in the hanging wall of the LZF. (a-b) corrected single-grain ZHe and AHe ages versus effective uranium content (eU). (c) apatite fission-track age and elevation relationship. (d) cooling history of the Ludian granite derived from QTQt inverse modelling with an age-temperature box of $300 \pm 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $110 \pm 20 \mathrm{Ma}$ as an initial simulation constraint. The thermal history of the uppermost sample is shown in blue, with the $95 \%$ confidence interval in cyan, while the thermal history of the lowermost sample is shown in red, with the $95 \%$ confidence interval in magenta. Thermal histories for samples at intermediate elevations are in grey. These reflect the combined uncertainty in the inferred thermal history and the offset parameters. (e) the fits of the predicted and observed data.


Fig. 8 Cartoon showing middle Eocene source-to-sink relationship between the LZTB and syn-tectonic sediments of Baoxiangsi Fm. ( $\mathrm{E}_{2} b$ ) in the Jianchuan basin. Topographic relief was produced by the activity of the LZTB during $\sim 50-39 \mathrm{Ma}$, resulting in a high vegetation diversity from the mountains to relatively low-elevation basin recorded by pollen grains (Wu et al., 2018).


Fig. 9 Middle Eocene tectonic scenario across the Tibetan plateau with emphasis on initial activity of the contractional structures and syn-contractional basins immediately after the onset of the India-Asian collision. The size of the Greater India with respect to the Tibet is approximated from van Hinsbergen et al. (2011). Names of the major crustal blocks and sutures are shown. Abbreviations: ATF-Altyn Tagh fault, BNS-Bangong-Nujiang suture, FFTB-Fenghuoshan fold-thrust belt, GJB-Gonjo basin, GJTGonjo thrust, HXB-Hoh Xil basin, JCB-Jianchuan basin, JS-Jinsha suture, LMC-Lungmuco fault, LPB-Lanping basin, LZTB-Ludian-Zhonghejiang thrust belt, MPT-WKTB-Main Pamir thrust-West Kunlun thrust belt, QNTB-North Qaidam thrust, YNTB-Yushu-Nangqian thrust belt, YNB-YushuNangqian basin, QB-Qaidam basin, QT-Qaidam thrust, SGAT-Shiquanhe-Gaize-Amdo thrust, TRBTarim basin, WQLT-West Qinling thrust, XNB-Xining basin. Initial timing for major faults are labeled: FFTB at $\sim 52-48 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Wang et al., 2008; Staisch et al., 2016); GJT at $\sim 54 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Zhang et al., 2018); Himalayan fold-thrust belt at $\sim 50-45 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Guillot et al., 2003; Decelles et al., 2014); LMC at $60-55 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Leloup et al., 2011); LZTB at 50-39 Ma (this study); MPT-WKTB at $\sim 50 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Cao et al., 2013, 2015; Yin et al., 2002); QNTB at 65-50 Ma (Yin et al., 2008); QT and ATF at $\sim 49 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Yin et al., 2002); SGAT at $<42.5 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Yin and Harrison, 2000); WQLT at $\sim 50 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Clark et al., 2010; Duvall et al., 2011), YNTB at $\sim 51 \mathrm{Ma}$ (Horton et al., 2002; Spurlin et al., 2005).
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## Appendix

## Supplementary material S1 Analytical procedures for geochronology and

 thermochronology
## Zircon U-Pb geochronology

Zircon U-Pb geochronological analyses were conducted at China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China. U-Pb dating was performed using an Agilent 7500a ICP-MS, which is equipped with a GeoLas 2005 excimer laser ablation system at a spot diameter of $32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Zircon 91500 was used as an external standard and analyzed twice every 5 unknowns. To keep age reproducibility and instrument stability, four GJ-1 zircon standards were inserted at the beginning and end of each run. Typical operating conditions and detailed analytical procedures are described in (Liu et al., 2008). Selection and integration of analytic signals, time-drift correction, and quantitative calibration for $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ dating were performed by ICP-MS DataCal. Ages were accepted with up to $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ discordance for plutonic and volcanic samples, respectively. The results reported here are ${ }^{206} \mathrm{~Pb} /{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ ages for zircon ages $\leq 1.0$ Ga and ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb} /{ }^{206} \mathrm{~Pb}$ ages for grains with ages $>1.0 \mathrm{Ga}$. Age-distribution plots and age-concordia diagrams were generated using Isoplot/Ex. ver. 3.75 (Ludwig, 2012).

## Low-temperature thermochronology

Apatite fission track analysis was performed at Apatite to Zircon, Inc., Viola, Idaho, USA. Apatite aliquots were mounted in epoxy and polished, and then etched in a $5.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ solution at $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 s . Apatite fission track data were acquired using a New Wave Neodymium-YAG 213 nm laser ablation system coupled to a Finigan Element II Magnetic Sector ICP-MS at a $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spot and a 5 Hz repetition rate with the laser set in constant energy mode. Analyses were corrected by ${ }^{43} \mathrm{Ca}$ as an internal standard for variations in ablation volume. For detailed procedures of sample analysis refer to Donelick et al. (2005)

Zircon and Apatite (U-Th)/He dating was accomplished at the University of Arizona, USA. Normally, 3-5 euhedral zircon and apatite crystals without visible inclusions, fracture and stainless surface were chosen using a stereo-zoom polarized microscope. The geometry of each grain was measured and photographed. The grains larger than $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ but smaller than $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in both length and width were accepted to processed for $(\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Th}) / \mathrm{He}$ analysis. The zircon and apatite grains were wrapped into Nb foil tubes and degassed by laser heating, and then analyzed for He using ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ isotope dilution, cryogenic purification and quadrupole mass spectrometry. The U, Th and Sm concentrations of each dissolved aliquots was measured on a sector inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer. Corrected (U-Th)/He ages were processed by applying the $\alpha$-ejection correction factor (Farley, 2002). Standard Fish Canyon Tuff zircon and Durango apatite fragments were identically analyzed together with unknowns to validate age determination, age reproducibility and measurement accuracy. Mean ages of each sample were calculated based on all grain ages and relevant errors.
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Fig. S1 Concordia diagrams and weighted mean ages of zircon U-Pb samples. The ages that were not used for final age calculation were marked by dashed ellipses on concordia diagram.

Table S1a Sample location and description.

| Location | Sample ID | Lithology | Era | Longitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ | Latitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weixi | CD144 | Granite | Triassic | 99.5151 | 27.0599 | 2595 |
| Weixi | CD145 | Granite | Triassic | 99.5034 | 27.0696 | 2635 |
| Weixi | CD146 | Granite | Triassic | 99.4895 | 27.0908 | 2710 |
| Weixi | CD147 | Granite | Triassic | 99.4851 | 27.1013 | 2789 |
| Weixi | CD148 | Granite | Triassic | 99.4927 | 27.1031 | 2939 |
| Weixi | CD149 | Granite | Triassic | 99.4999 | 27.0996 | 2985 |
| Laojun Shan | CD316 | Porphyry | Eocene | 99.6712 | 26.5934 | 3143 |
| Laojun Shan | CD323 | Granitic porphyry | Eocene | 99.6616 | 26.6113 | 3060 |
| Laojun Shan | CD325 | Granite | Eocene | 99.6420 | 26.6105 | 2818 |
| Laojun Shan | CD327 | Dacite | Triassic | 99.6304 | 26.6027 | 2806 |
| Laojun Shan | CD330 | Granitic porphyry | Eocene | 99.6248 | 26.6014 | 2842 |
| Laojun Shan | CD331 | Granitic porphyry | Eocene | 99.6171 | 26.6010 | 2876 |
| Laojun Shan | CD335 | Lamprophyre | Eocene | 99.6991 | 26.5534 | 2592 |
| Laojun Shan | CD435 | Lamprophyre | Eocene | 99.6991 | 26.5534 | 2592 |

Table S1b Sites for structural and sedimentary observations.

| Sites |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| CD237 | Longitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ | Latitude <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$ | Target |
| S219 | 99.7031 | 26.5370 | Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault |
| S277 | 99.7030 | 26.5534 | Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault |
| CD333 | 99.6942 | 26.5545 | Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault |
| CD335/CD435 | 99.7057 | 26.5532 | Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault |
| CD320 | 99.6991 | 26.5534 | Ludian-Zhonghejiang fault |
| CD327 | 99.6851 | 26.5668 | ENE-trending dextral fault |
| CD328 | 99.6304 | 26.6027 | ENE-trending dextral fault |
| CD347 | 99.6267 | 26.6023 | ENE-trending dextral fault |
| CD241 | 99.7060 | 26.4792 | ENE-trending dextral fault |
| CD502 | 99.6479 | 26.4346 | Qiaohou fault and ENE-trending dextral fault |
| CD517 | 99.6168 | 26.5003 | Qiaohou fault |
| CD518 | 99.6556 | 26.5408 | Tongdian fault |
| CD524 | 99.6136 | 26.5903 | Tongdian fault |
| CD522 | 99.6943 | 26.4586 | Tongdian fault |

1100 Table S2 Zircon U-Pb geochronologic data analyzed by Laser-Ablation ICP Mass Spectrometry.

| Sample \# Analysis | U $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Th (ppm) | U/Th | $207 \mathrm{~Pb} *$ 235 U | $\pm$ (\%) | $206 \mathrm{~Pb}{ }^{*}$ 238 U | $\pm$ (\%) | error corr. | 206Pb* 238U | $\pm$ (Ma) | $207 \mathrm{~Pb} *$ 235 U | $\pm$ (Ma) | 207Pb* 206Pb* | $\pm$ (Ma) | Best age (Ma) | $\pm$ (Ma) | Discordanc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDI44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDI44-1-1 | 7136 | 2201 | 3.2 | 0.2578 | 0.0056 | 0.0346 | 0.0003 | 0.4525 | 219.4 | 2.1 | 232.9 | 4.5 | 372.3 | 50.0 | 219.4 | 2.1 | 6\% |
| CD144-1-2 | 5259 | 1320 | 4.0 | 0.2574 | 0.0069 | 0.0368 | 0.0004 | 0.4389 | 232.8 | 2.7 | 232.6 | 5.5 | 227.8 | 57.4 | 232.8 | 2.7 | 0\% |
| CDI44-1-3 | 4757 | 1230 | 3.9 | 0.2593 | 0.0068 | 0.0372 | 0.0004 | 0.4218 | 235.2 | 2.6 | 234.1 | 5.5 | 213.0 | 55.5 | 235.2 | 2.6 | 0\% |
| CDI44-1-4 | 6075 | 1640 | 3.7 | 0.2484 | 0.0055 | 0.0354 | 0.0003 | 0.4362 | 224.3 | 2.1 | 225.3 | 4.5 | 227.8 | 43.5 | 224.3 | 2.1 | 0\% |
| CD144-1-5 | 6939 | 1356 | 5.1 | 0.2412 | 0.0053 | 0.0347 | 0.0003 | 0.3948 | 219.7 | 1.9 | 219.4 | 4.3 | 213.0 | 50.0 | 219.7 | 1.9 | 0\% |
| CDI44-1-6 | 5746 | 1814 | 3.2 | 0.2520 | 0.0057 | 0.0359 | 0.0004 | 0.4433 | 227.4 | 2.2 | 228.2 | 4.6 | 235.3 | 50.0 | 227.4 | 2.2 | 0\% |
| CDI44-1-7 | 5197 | 1154 | 4.5 | 0.2455 | 0.0060 | 0.0362 | 0.0004 | 0.4112 | 229.5 | 2.3 | 222.9 | 4.9 | 142.7 | 47.2 | 229.5 | 2.3 | 3\% |
| CD144-1-8 | 5135 | 1528 | 3.4 | 0.2508 | 0.0060 | 0.0356 | 0.0003 | 0.3900 | 225.7 | 2.1 | 227.2 | 4.9 | 231.6 | 47.2 | 225.7 | 2.1 | 1\% |
| CD144-1-9 | 5066 | 967 | 5.2 | 0.2491 | 0.0059 | 0.0351 | 0.0004 | 0.4766 | 222.3 | 2.5 | 225.9 | 4.8 | 261.2 | 56.5 | 222.3 | 2.5 | 2\% |
| CD144-1-10 | 7971 | 1840 | 4.3 | 0.2452 | 0.0047 | 0.0329 | 0.0002 | 0.2864 | 208.6 | 1.1 | 222.6 | 3.8 | 361.2 | 73.1 | 208.6 | 1.1 | 6\% |
| CDI44-1-11 | 5171 | 1664 | 3.1 | 0.2871 | 0.0075 | 0.0380 | 0.0004 | 0.3801 | 240.4 | 2.3 | 256.3 | 5.9 | 390.8 | 49.1 | 240.4 | 2.3 | 6\% |
| CD144-1-12 | 5909 | 1839 | 3.2 | 0.2491 | 0.0056 | 0.0358 | 0.0003 | 0.4163 | 226.7 | 2.1 | 225.8 | 4.5 | 213.0 | 50.0 | 226.7 | 2.1 | 0\% |
| CD144-1-13 | 6337 | 1324 | 4.8 | 0.2467 | 0.0053 | 0.0345 | 0.0002 | 0.3264 | 218.8 | 1.5 | 223.9 | 4.3 | 272.3 | 43.5 | 218.8 | 1.5 | 2\% |
| CDI44-1-14 | 5490 | 1908 | 2.9 | 0.2835 | 0.0053 | 0.0378 | 0.0002 | 0.3118 | 239.2 | 1.4 | 253.4 | 4.2 | 383.4 | 47.2 | 239.2 | 1.4 | 6\% |
| CD144-1-15 | 5032 | 796 | 6.3 | 0.2502 | 0.0060 | 0.0371 | 0.0004 | 0.4743 | 234.9 | 2.6 | 226.8 | 4.9 | 146.4 | 49.1 | 234.9 | 2.6 | 4\% |
| CD144-1-16 | 5235 | 1506 | 3.5 | 0.2732 | 0.0064 | 0.0377 | 0.0004 | 0.4482 | 238.3 | 2.5 | 245.2 | 5.1 | 305.6 | 50.0 | 238.3 | 2.5 | 3\% |
| CDI44-1-17 | 5291 | 1234 | 4.3 | 0.2581 | 0.0054 | 0.0369 | 0.0004 | 0.5534 | 233.3 | 2.7 | 233.2 | 4.4 | 235.3 | 48.1 | 233.3 | 2.7 | 0\% |
| CD144-1-18 | 7296 | 2040 | 3.6 | 0.2414 | 0.0048 | 0.0349 | 0.0003 | 0.3612 | 221.4 | 1.6 | 219.5 | 3.9 | 194.5 | 46.3 | 221.4 | 1.6 | 1\% |
| CD144-1-19 | 5257 | 1132 | 4.6 | 0.2763 | 0.0059 | 0.0389 | 0.0003 | 0.3539 | 246.2 | 1.8 | 247.7 | 4.7 | 253.8 | 46.3 | 246.2 | 1.8 | 1\% |
| CD144-1-20 | 6596 | 1513 | 4.4 | 0.2655 | 0.0061 | 0.0350 | 0.0003 | 0.3964 | 222.0 | 2.0 | 239.1 | 4.9 | 466.7 | 48.1 | 222.0 | 2.0 | 7\% |

CD149

| CDI49-2-1 | 5020 | 1382 | 3.6 | 0.2551 | 0.0066 | 0.0375 | 0.0003 | 0.3126 | 237.2 | 1.9 | 230.7 | 5.4 | 161.2 | 57.4 | 237.2 | 1.9 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDI49-2-2 | 6844 | 1788 | 3.8 | 0.2470 | 0.0054 | 0.0346 | 0.0003 | 0.3682 | 219.0 | 1.7 | 224.1 | 4.4 | 276.0 | 43.5 | 219.0 | 1.7 | 2\% |
| CDI49-2-3 | 4000 | 1186 | 3.4 | 0.2916 | 0.0066 | 0.0394 | 0.0003 | 0.2970 | 249.0 | 1.6 | 259.8 | 5.2 | 366.7 | 51.8 | 249.0 | 1.6 | 4\% |
| CDI49-2-4 | 3269 | 805 | 4.1 | 0.2671 | 0.0064 | 0.0398 | 0.0003 | 0.2932 | 251.6 | 1.7 | 240.4 | 5.1 | 200.1 | 57.4 | 251.6 | 1.7 | 5\% |
| CDI49-2-5 | 5276 | 1058 | 5.0 | 0.2542 | 0.0059 | 0.0371 | 0.0004 | 0.4614 | 234.5 | 2.5 | 230.0 | 4.7 | 189.0 | 50.0 | 234.5 | 2.5 | 2\% |
| CDI49-2-6 | 5795 | 1075 | 5.4 | 0.2447 | 0.0054 | 0.0349 | 0.0004 | 0.4824 | 221.0 | 2.3 | 222.3 | 4.4 | 231.6 | 46.3 | 221.0 | 2.3 | 1\% |
| CDI $49-2-7$ | 5110 | 1023 | 5.0 | 0.2603 | 0.0055 | 0.0360 | 0.0003 | 0.4494 | 228.2 | 2.1 | 234.9 | 4.4 | 301.9 | 48.1 | 228.2 | 2.1 | 3\% |
| CDI $49-2-8$ | 4607 | 1195 | 3.9 | 0.2581 | 0.0062 | 0.0370 | 0.0003 | 0.3892 | 234.5 | 2.1 | 233.1 | 5.0 | 213.0 | 51.8 | 234.5 | 2.1 | 1\% |
| CDI49-2-9 | 4747 | 1412 | 3.4 | 0.2639 | 0.0060 | 0.0370 | 0.0004 | 0.4836 | 234.1 | 2.5 | 237.8 | 4.8 | 333.4 | 48.1 | 234.1 | 2.5 | 2\% |
| CD149-2-10 | 4069 | 1256 | 3.2 | 0.2649 | 0.0067 | 0.0376 | 0.0004 | 0.3739 | 238.1 | 2.2 | 238.6 | 5.4 | 239.0 | 55.5 | 238.1 | 2.2 | 0\% |
| CD149-2-11 | 4731 | 1399 | 3.4 | 0.2466 | 0.0059 | 0.0348 | 0.0003 | 0.4084 | 220.5 | 2.1 | 223.8 | 4.8 | 261.2 | 55.5 | 220.5 | 2.1 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-12 | 6056 | 1851 | 3.3 | 0.2648 | 0.0059 | 0.0380 | 0.0005 | 0.5466 | 240.6 | 2.9 | 238.5 | 4.7 | 213.0 | 44.4 | 240.6 | 2.9 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-13 | 5837 | 1262 | 4.6 | 0.2517 | 0.0059 | 0.0359 | 0.0003 | 0.3509 | 227.1 | 1.8 | 228.0 | 4.8 | 231.6 | 47.2 | 227.1 | 1.8 | 0\% |
| CD149-2-14 | 4177 | 1186 | 3.5 | 0.2819 | 0.0067 | 0.0386 | 0.0004 | 0.4279 | 244.1 | 2.4 | 252.2 | 5.3 | 324.1 | 50.0 | 244.1 | 2.4 | 3\% |
| CD149-2-15 | 4738 | 833 | 5.7 | 0.2573 | 0.0068 | 0.0369 | 0.0005 | 0.5037 | 233.8 | 3.0 | 232.5 | 5.5 | 216.7 | 55.5 | 233.8 | 3.0 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-16 | 3731 | 977 | 3.8 | 0.2554 | 0.0063 | 0.0370 | 0.0003 | 0.3441 | 234.2 | 1.9 | 230.9 | 5.1 | 211.2 | 57.4 | 234.2 | 1.9 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-17 | 5222 | 1694 | 3.1 | 0.2598 | 0.0065 | 0.0366 | 0.0004 | 0.4481 | 231.9 | 2.5 | 234.5 | 5.2 | 253.8 | 45.4 | 231.9 | 2.5 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-18 | 6445 | 1527 | 4.2 | 0.2607 | 0.0061 | 0.0368 | 0.0003 | 0.3607 | 232.9 | 1.9 | 235.2 | 4.9 | 253.8 | 47.2 | 232.9 | 1.9 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-19 | 4645 | 1416 | 3.3 | 0.2602 | 0.0064 | 0.0376 | 0.0004 | 0.4502 | 238.1 | 2.6 | 234.8 | 5.2 | 211.2 | 55.5 | 238.1 | 2.6 | 1\% |
| CD149-2-20 | 5398 | 1064 | 5.1 | 0.3621 | 0.0115 | 0.0400 | 0.0003 | 0.2637 | 252.8 | 2.1 | 313.7 | 8.6 | 772.2 | 52.8 | 252.8 | 2.1 | 19\% |

CD316

| CD316-1-1 | 558 | 387 | 1.4 | 0.0624 | 0.0059 | 0.0056 | 0.0002 | 0.3625 | 35.8 | 1.2 | 61.5 | 5.6 | 1636.7 | 244.4 | 35.8 | 1.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD316-1-2 | 871 | 893 | 1.0 | 0.0460 | 0.0044 | 0.0057 | 0.0001 | 0.2569 | 36.8 | 0.9 | 45.7 | 4.3 | 738.9 | 222.2 | 36.8 | 0.9 |
| CD316-1-3 | 577 | 394 | 1.5 | 0.0366 | 0.0036 | 0.0048 | 0.0002 | 0.3339 | 30.9 | 1.0 | 36.5 | 3.5 | 683.3 | 245.2 | 30.9 | 1.0 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD316-1-4 | 2191 | 136 | 16.1 | 1.1579 | 0.0244 | 0.1321 | 0.0010 | 0.3603 | 799.8 | 5.7 | 781.0 | 11.5 | 722.2 | 43.7 | 799.8 | 5.7 | $2 \%$ |
| CD316-1-5 | 442 | 232 | 1.9 | 0.0404 | 0.0069 | 0.0056 | 0.0002 | 0.2166 | 35.8 | 1.3 | 40.2 | 6.7 | 990.7 | 415.6 | 35.8 | 1.3 | $11 \%$ |
| CD316-1-6 | 858 | 325 | 2.6 | 0.9079 | 0.0251 | 0.1021 | 0.0011 | 0.4054 | 626.8 | 6.7 | 655.9 | 13.4 | 750.0 | 61.1 | 626.8 | 6.7 | $4 \%$ |
| CD316-1-7 | 1548 | 224 | 6.9 | 0.9978 | 0.0250 | 0.1105 | 0.0010 | 0.3567 | 675.7 | 5.7 | 702.7 | 12.7 | 775.9 | 54.5 | 675.7 | 5.7 | $4 \%$ |
| CD316-1-8 | 3402 | 7003 | 0.5 | 0.0384 | 0.0020 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.2861 | 35.2 | 0.5 | 38.3 | 1.9 | 279.7 | 131.5 | 35.2 | 0.5 | $8 \%$ |
| CD316-1-9 | 1903 | 331 | 5.7 | 0.9431 | 0.0216 | 0.1144 | 0.0011 | 0.4151 | 698.2 | 6.3 | 674.5 | 11.3 | 583.4 | 50.0 | 698.2 | 6.3 | $4 \%$ |
| CD316-1-10 | 472 | 303 | 1.6 | 0.0590 | 0.0082 | 0.0054 | 0.0002 | 0.2254 | 34.7 | 1.1 | 58.2 | 7.8 | 1418.2 | 289.4 | 34.7 | 1.1 | $40 \%$ |
| CD316-1-11 | 535 | 362 | 1.5 | 0.0344 | 0.0059 | 0.0052 | 0.0002 | 0.1872 | 33.6 | 1.1 | 34.4 | 5.7 | 305.6 | 322.2 | 33.6 | 1.1 | $2 \%$ |
| CD316-1-12 | 1382 | 1523 | 0.9 | 0.0335 | 0.0027 | 0.0054 | 0.0001 | 0.2550 | 34.5 | 0.7 | 33.4 | 2.6 | 100.1 | 179.6 | 34.5 | 0.7 | $3 \%$ |
| CD316-1-13 | 559 | 388 | 1.4 | 0.0653 | 0.0064 | 0.0058 | 0.0002 | 0.3606 | 37.1 | 1.3 | 64.2 | 6.1 | 1457.4 | 201.9 | 37.1 | 1.3 | $42 \%$ |
| CD316-1-14 | 556 | 436 | 1.3 | 0.0695 | 0.0061 | 0.0053 | 0.0002 | 0.3520 | 34.3 | 1.1 | 68.2 | 5.8 | 1820.7 | 197.4 | 34.3 | 1.1 | $50 \%$ |
| CD316-1-15 | 1369 | 344 | 4.0 | 1.1559 | 0.0330 | 0.1385 | 0.0011 | 0.2848 | 836.4 | 6.4 | 780.0 | 15.6 | 633.4 | 61.1 | 836.4 | 6.4 | $7 \%$ |
| CD316-1-16 | 1127 | 821 | 1.4 | 0.0420 | 0.0042 | 0.0060 | 0.0001 | 0.2156 | 38.4 | 0.8 | 41.8 | 4.1 | 527.8 | 244.4 | 38.4 | 0.8 | $8 \%$ |
| CD316-1-17 | 1773 | 1584 | 1.1 | 0.0327 | 0.0027 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.2247 | 36.1 | 0.7 | 32.7 | 2.7 |  |  | 36.1 | 0.7 | $10 \%$ |
| CD316-1-18 | 711 | 429 | 1.7 | 0.0346 | 0.0056 | 0.0058 | 0.0002 | 0.1751 | 37.1 | 1.1 | 34.5 | 5.5 |  |  | 37.1 | 1.1 | $8 \%$ |

CD323

| CD323-1-1 | 484 | 142 | 3.4 | 0.3028 | 0.0150 | 0.0425 | 0.0005 | 0.2389 | 268.1 | 3.1 | 268.5 | 11.7 | 283.4 | 118.5 | 268.1 | 3.1 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD323-1-2 | 1026 | 125 | 8.2 | 1.3077 | 0.0306 | 0.1398 | 0.0013 | 0.4051 | 843.5 | 7.5 | 849.1 | 13.5 | 857.4 | 50.0 | 843.5 | 7.5 | 1\% |
| CD323-1-3 | 5841 | 374 | 15.6 | 0.6305 | 0.0113 | 0.0745 | 0.0004 | 0.3307 | 463.0 | 2.7 | 496.4 | 7.1 | 635.2 | 37.0 | 463.0 | 2.7 | 7\% |
| CD323-1-4 | 2615 | 2145 | 1.2 | 0.0429 | 0.0033 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.1854 | 35.6 | 0.5 | 42.7 | 3.2 | 524.1 | 174.8 | 35.6 | 0.5 | 17\% |
| CD323-1-5 | 1102 | 156 | 7.1 | 1.2674 | 0.0260 | 0.1380 | 0.0009 | 0.3313 | 833.4 | 5.3 | 831.2 | 11.6 | 820.4 | 44.4 | 833.4 | 5.3 | 0\% |
| CD323-1-6 | 845 | 644 | 1.3 | 1.1804 | 0.0254 | 0.1281 | 0.0010 | 0.3499 | 777.0 | 5.5 | 791.5 | 11.9 | 827.8 | 45.5 | 777.0 | 5.5 | 2\% |
| CD323-1-7 | 128 | 79 | 1.6 | 0.2684 | 0.0420 | 0.0202 | 0.0006 | 0.2025 | 129.2 | 4.1 | 241.4 | 33.6 | 1620.7 | 294.0 | 129.2 | 4.1 | 46\% |
| CD323-1-8 | 533 | 397 | 1.3 | 0.9399 | 0.0321 | 0.0992 | 0.0009 | 0.2722 | 609.6 | 5.4 | 672.8 | 16.8 | 887.0 | 68.5 | 609.6 | 5.4 | 9\% |
| CD323-1-9 | 3993 | 77 | 51.6 | 0.7509 | 0.0153 | 0.0874 | 0.0011 | 0.5931 | 540.2 | 6.3 | 568.7 | 8.9 | 679.6 | 37.0 | 540.2 | 6.3 | 5\% |
| CD323-1-10 | 1612 | 1715 | 0.9 | 0.0315 | 0.0029 | 0.0052 | 0.0001 | 0.1998 | 33.7 | 0.6 | 31.5 | 2.8 |  |  | 33.7 | 0.6 | 7\% |
| CD323-1-11 | 1561 | 963 | 1.6 | 1.0661 | 0.0226 | 0.1194 | 0.0013 | 0.5098 | 727.1 | 7.4 | 736.8 | 11.1 | 761.1 | 39.7 | 727.1 | 7.4 | 1\% |
| CD323-1-12 | 2036 | 177 | 11.5 | 1.2449 | 0.0225 | 0.1355 | 0.0009 | 0.3682 | 819.1 | 5.1 | 821.1 | 10.2 | 833.3 | 37.0 | 819.1 | 5.1 | $0 \%$ |
| CD323-1-13 | 1927 | 511 | 3.8 | 0.8840 | 0.0189 | 0.1003 | 0.0008 | 0.3520 | 615.9 | 4.4 | 643.2 | 10.2 | 738.9 | 44.4 | 615.9 | 4.4 | 4\% |
| CD323-1-14 | 2270 | 1326 | 1.7 | 0.0350 | 0.0027 | 0.0053 | 0.0001 | 0.1897 | 33.8 | 0.5 | 34.9 | 2.6 | 189.0 | 177.8 | 33.8 | 0.5 | $3 \%$ |
| CD323-1-15 | 1655 | 1054 | 1.6 | 0.1878 | 0.0077 | 0.0234 | 0.0004 | 0.4586 | 149.2 | 2.8 | 174.7 | 6.6 | 531.5 | 76.8 | 149.2 | 2.8 | 15\% |
| CD323-1-16 | 612 | 728 | 0.8 | 0.6277 | 0.0237 | 0.0780 | 0.0012 | 0.3987 | 484.2 | 7.0 | 494.6 | 14.8 | 553.7 | 76.8 | 484.2 | 7.0 | 2\% |
| CD323-1-18 | 4982 | 2712 | 1.8 | 0.0361 | 0.0018 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.2203 | 35.4 | 0.4 | 36.0 | 1.7 | 105.6 | 114.8 | 35.4 | 0.4 | 2\% |
| CD323-1-19 | 904 | 678 | 1.3 | 0.8523 | 0.0208 | 0.1015 | 0.0008 | 0.3287 | 622.9 | 4.8 | 625.9 | 11.4 | 635.2 | 49.1 | 622.9 | 4.8 | 0\% |
| CD323-1-20 | 4678 | 12 | \#\#\#\# | 0.0301 | 0.0017 | 0.0051 | 0.0001 | 0.2214 | 32.7 | 0.4 | 30.1 | 1.7 |  |  | 32.7 | 0.4 | 9\% |

CD325

| CD325-1-1 | 2028 | 4147 | 0.5 | 0.6883 | 0.0167 | 0.0842 | 0.0006 | 0.3110 | 521.0 | 3.8 | 531.8 | 10.0 | 572.3 | 58.3 | 521.0 | 3.8 | $2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD325-1-2 | 1788 | 772 | 2.3 | 1.2091 | 0.0335 | 0.1219 | 0.0012 | 0.3631 | 741.8 | 7.1 | 804.8 | 15.4 | 966.4 | 47.2 | 741.8 | 7.1 | $8 \%$ |
| CD325-1-3 | 5851 | 329 | 17.8 | 1.0207 | 0.0188 | 0.1145 | 0.0009 | 0.4171 | 698.8 | 5.1 | 714.2 | 9.5 | 750.0 | 38.1 | 698.8 | 5.1 | $2 \%$ |
| CD325-1-4 | 1073 | 1449 | 0.7 | 4.4102 | 0.0835 | 0.3031 | 0.0021 | 0.3601 | 1706.7 | 10.3 | 1714.2 | 15.7 | 1710.8 | 35.2 | 1706.7 | 10.3 | $0 \%$ |
| CD325-1-5 | 307 | 392 | 0.8 | 0.2959 | 0.0273 | 0.0389 | 0.0007 | 0.1965 | 245.9 | 4.4 | 263.2 | 21.4 | 501.9 | 207.4 | 245.9 | 4.4 | $7 \%$ |
| CD325-1-6 | 6189 | 5120 | 1.2 | 0.1922 | 0.0087 | 0.0159 | 0.0002 | 0.2476 | 101.8 | 1.1 | 178.5 | 7.4 | 1358.3 | 80.3 | 101.8 | 1.1 | $43 \%$ |
| CD325-1-7 | 817 | 700 | 1.2 | 1.4828 | 0.0416 | 0.1470 | 0.0013 | 0.3066 | 884.1 | 7.1 | 923.4 | 17.0 | 1005.6 | 57.4 | 884.1 | 7.1 | $4 \%$ |
| CD325-1-8 | 955 | 1342 | 0.7 | 1.1198 | 0.0288 | 0.1180 | 0.0012 | 0.4030 | 719.3 | 7.1 | 762.9 | 13.8 | 900.0 | 57.4 | 719.3 | 7.1 | $6 \%$ |
| CD325-1-9 | 345 | 533 | 0.6 | 1.4278 | 0.0937 | 0.0438 | 0.0010 | 0.3316 | 276.5 | 5.9 | 900.6 | 39.2 | 3047.8 | 95.2 | 276.5 | 5.9 | $69 \%$ |
| CD325-1-10 | 6070 | 935 | 6.5 | 2.1298 | 0.0467 | 0.1834 | 0.0017 | 0.4311 | 1085.4 | 9.5 | 1158.5 | 15.2 | 1264.8 | 35.7 | 1085.4 | 9.5 | $6 \%$ |
| CD325-1-11 | 3021 | 6603 | 0.5 | 0.0437 | 0.0035 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.1917 | 36.0 | 0.5 | 43.4 | 3.4 | 505.6 | 177.6 | 36.0 | 0.5 | $17 \%$ |
| CD325-1-12 | 1917 | 2803 | 0.7 | 0.0555 | 0.0045 | 0.0058 | 0.0001 | 0.1995 | 37.5 | 0.6 | 54.8 | 4.4 | 925.0 | 166.7 | 37.5 | 0.6 | $32 \%$ |
| CD325-1-13 | 7102 | 4390 | 1.6 | 0.7384 | 0.0203 | 0.0781 | 0.0005 | 0.2438 | 485.0 | 3.1 | 561.5 | 11.9 | 855.2 | 56.3 | 485.0 | 3.1 | $14 \%$ |
| CD325-1-14 | 313 | 504 | 0.6 | 0.2714 | 0.0197 | 0.0378 | 0.0006 | 0.2013 | 239.1 | 3.4 | 243.8 | 15.8 | 342.7 | 169.4 | 239.1 | 3.4 | $2 \%$ |


| CD325-1-15 | 1614 | 3570 | 0.5 | 0.8492 | 0.0214 | 0.0978 | 0.0008 | 0.3071 | 601.3 | 4.5 | 624.2 | 11.8 | 687.1 | 53.7 | 601.3 | 4.5 | $4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD325-1-16 | 477 | 912 | 0.5 | 0.2829 | 0.0151 | 0.0378 | 0.0006 | 0.3012 | 239.4 | 3.8 | 253.0 | 11.9 | 420.4 | 127.8 | 239.4 | 3.8 | $5 \%$ |
| CD325-1-17 | 2654 | 3075 | 0.9 | 0.3044 | 0.0082 | 0.0430 | 0.0004 | 0.3075 | 271.2 | 2.2 | 269.9 | 6.3 | 253.8 | 67.6 | 271.2 | 2.2 | $0 \%$ |
| CD325-1-18 | 228 | 277 | 0.8 | 0.3973 | 0.0186 | 0.0386 | 0.0006 | 0.3082 | 244.3 | 3.5 | 339.7 | 13.6 | 1094.4 | 100.0 | 244.3 | 3.5 | $28 \%$ |
| CD325-1-19 | 804 | 678 | 1.2 | 1.4524 | 0.0367 | 0.1502 | 0.0012 | 0.3173 | 902.3 | 6.8 | 910.9 | 15.2 | 920.4 | 47.2 | 902.3 | 6.8 | $1 \%$ |
| CD325-1-20 | 1163 | 431 | 2.7 | 1.2839 | 0.0304 | 0.1438 | 0.0012 | 0.3658 | 866.3 | 7.0 | 838.6 | 13.5 | 762.7 | 51.1 | 866.3 | 7.0 | $3 \%$ |

CD327

| CD327-1-1 | 551 | 300 | 1.8 | 0.2682 | 0.0133 | 0.0359 | 0.0005 | 0.2898 | 227.4 | 3.2 | 241.2 | 10.6 | 376.0 | 114.8 | 227.4 | 3.2 | 6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD327-1-2 | 309 | 221 | 1.4 | 0.2506 | 0.0190 | 0.0359 | 0.0006 | 0.2177 | 227.3 | 3.7 | 227.0 | 15.4 | 276.0 | 177.8 | 227.3 | 3.7 | 0\% |
| CD327-1-3 | 2052 | 296 | 6.9 | 1.1287 | 0.0222 | 0.1225 | 0.0011 | 0.4380 | 745.1 | 6.1 | 767.1 | 10.6 | 816.7 | 38.9 | 745.1 | 6.1 | 3\% |
| CD327-1-4 | 732 | 391 | 1.9 | 1.1503 | 0.0292 | 0.1228 | 0.0012 | 0.3694 | 746.4 | 6.6 | 777.4 | 13.8 | 861.1 | 54.8 | 746.4 | 6.6 | 4\% |
| CD327-1-5 | 559 | 493 | 1.1 | 0.3512 | 0.0156 | 0.0403 | 0.0005 | 0.2590 | 254.5 | 2.9 | 305.6 | 11.7 | 744.5 | 98.9 | 254.5 | 2.9 | 17\% |
| CD327-1-6 | 1634 | 219 | 7.5 | 1.1003 | 0.0240 | 0.1246 | 0.0011 | 0.4014 | 756.8 | 6.3 | 753.5 | 11.6 | 727.8 | 46.3 | 756.8 | 6.3 | 0\% |
| CD327-1-7 | 324 | 182 | 1.8 | 0.2465 | 0.0189 | 0.0353 | 0.0006 | 0.2129 | 223.9 | 3.6 | 223.7 | 15.4 | 320.4 | 185.2 | 223.9 | 3.6 | 0\% |
| CD327-1-8 | 782 | 289 | 2.7 | 0.2688 | 0.0118 | 0.0390 | 0.0006 | 0.3318 | 246.5 | 3.5 | 241.7 | 9.4 | 198.2 | 97.2 | 246.5 | 3.5 | 2\% |
| CD327-1-9 | 293 | 143 | 2.0 | 0.3256 | 0.0224 | 0.0420 | 0.0006 | 0.2175 | 265.4 | 3.9 | 286.2 | 17.1 | 472.3 | 155.5 | 265.4 | 3.9 | 7\% |
| CD327-1-10 | 304 | 223 | 1.4 | 0.2152 | 0.0197 | 0.0361 | 0.0006 | 0.1723 | 228.6 | 3.5 | 197.9 | 16.4 |  |  | 228.6 | 3.5 | 15\% |
| CD327-1-11 | 2725 | 380 | 7.2 | 0.9329 | 0.0172 | 0.1058 | 0.0008 | 0.3910 | 648.2 | 4.4 | 669.2 | 9.0 | 727.8 | 39.7 | 648.2 | 4.4 | 3\% |
| CD327-1-12 | 1046 | 864 | 1.2 | 0.0521 | 0.0056 | 0.0061 | 0.0001 | 0.1931 | 39.0 | 0.8 | 51.6 | 5.4 | 731.5 | 231.5 | 39.0 | 0.8 | 24\% |
| CD327-1-13 | 348 | 249 | 1.4 | 0.2241 | 0.0196 | 0.0374 | 0.0005 | 0.1673 | 236.7 | 3.4 | 205.3 | 16.3 |  |  | 236.7 | 3.4 | 15\% |
| CD327-1-14 | 447 | 255 | 1.8 | 0.3021 | 0.0180 | 0.0434 | 0.0006 | 0.2239 | 273.6 | 3.6 | 268.0 | 14.0 | 242.7 | 137.0 | 273.6 | 3.6 | 2\% |
| CD327-1-15 | 796 | 625 | 1.3 | 0.2625 | 0.0120 | 0.0358 | 0.0004 | 0.2445 | 226.5 | 2.5 | 236.7 | 9.7 | 353.8 | 105.5 | 226.5 | 2.5 | 4\% |
| CD327-1-16 | 1284 | 606 | 2.1 | 0.2667 | 0.0097 | 0.0387 | 0.0004 | 0.2759 | 244.9 | 2.4 | 240.1 | 7.8 | 187.1 | 115.7 | 244.9 | 2.4 | 2\% |
| CD327-1-17 | 1210 | 752 | 1.6 | 1.1901 | 0.0259 | 0.1337 | 0.0011 | 0.3755 | 809.0 | 6.2 | 796.0 | 12.0 | 766.7 | 245.4 | 809.0 | 6.2 | 2\% |
| CD327-1-18 | 1043 | 198 | 5.3 | 1.0494 | 0.0228 | 0.1178 | 0.0010 | 0.3734 | 718.1 | 5.5 | 728.6 | 11.3 | 753.7 | 44.4 | 718.1 | 5.5 | 1\% |
| CD327-1-19 | 1223 | 569 | 2.1 | 0.3219 | 0.0119 | 0.0439 | 0.0004 | 0.2404 | 277.1 | 2.4 | 283.3 | 9.1 | 338.9 | 83.3 | 277.1 | 2.4 | 2\% |
| CD327-1-20 | 733 | 368 | 2.0 | 0.2715 | 0.0129 | 0.0375 | 0.0004 | 0.2297 | 237.4 | 2.6 | 243.9 | 10.3 | 338.9 | 111.1 | 237.4 | 2.6 | 3\% |

CD330

| CD330-1-1 | 1776 | 558 | 3.2 | 1.4761 | 0.0285 | 0.1481 | 0.0010 | 0.3446 | 890.2 | 5.5 | 920.6 | 11.7 | 988.6 | 38.9 | 890.2 | 5.5 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD330-1-2 | 905 | 393 | 2.3 | 0.1823 | 0.0088 | 0.0223 | 0.0004 | 0.4148 | 142.4 | 2.8 | 170.1 | 7.6 | 694.5 | 128.5 | 142.4 | 2.8 | 16\% |
| CD330-1-3 | 3233 | 2733 | 1.2 | 8.4031 | 0.1312 | 0.4114 | 0.0022 | 0.3502 | 2221.5 | 10.3 | 2275.5 | 14.3 | 2315.7 | 20.8 | 2221.5 | 10.3 | 2\% |
| CD330-1-4 | 7040 | 455 | 15.5 | 4.9598 | 0.0803 | 0.2995 | 0.0018 | 0.3636 | 1688.7 | 8.8 | 1812.5 | 13.7 | 1950.0 | 28.4 | 1688.7 | 8.8 | 7\% |
| CD330-1-5 | 6261 | 409 | 15.3 | 4.8644 | 0.0889 | 0.2941 | 0.0022 | 0.4080 | 1662.0 | 11.0 | 1796.1 | 15.4 | 1946.3 | 29.8 | 1662.0 | 11.0 | 7\% |
| CD330-1-6 | 1101 | 397 | 2.8 | 1.1849 | 0.0289 | 0.1262 | 0.0010 | 0.3238 | 766.1 | 5.7 | 793.6 | 13.4 | 864.8 | 51.9 | 766.1 | 5.7 | 3\% |
| CD330-1-7 | 566 | 493 | 1.1 | 0.4897 | 0.0225 | 0.0640 | 0.0007 | 0.2246 | 400.2 | 4.0 | 404.7 | 15.4 | 442.6 | 99.1 | 400.2 | 4.0 | 1\% |
| CD330-1-8 | 4155 | 3006 | 1.4 | 8.0144 | 0.1854 | 0.3930 | 0.0034 | 0.3737 | 2136.8 | 15.8 | 2232.6 | 20.9 | 2322.2 | 37.7 | 2136.8 | 15.8 | 4\% |
| CD330-1-9 | 7219 | 476 | 15.2 | 4.9904 | 0.0993 | 0.2998 | 0.0019 | 0.3113 | 1690.2 | 9.3 | 1817.7 | 16.9 | 1958.3 | 34.1 | 1690.2 | 9.3 | 7\% |
| CD330-1-10 | 2696 | 1410 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.0112 | 0.0644 | 0.0005 | 0.3347 | 402.3 | 2.9 | 410.4 | 7.6 | 450.0 | 17.6 | 402.3 | 2.9 | 2\% |
| CD330-1-11 | 5867 | 3937 | 1.5 | 8.3841 | 0.1460 | 0.4079 | 0.0032 | 0.4461 | 2205.1 | 14.6 | 2273.5 | 15.9 | 2329.3 | 32.6 | 2205.1 | 14.6 | 3\% |
| CD330-1-12 | 5935 | 481 | 12.3 | 5.2788 | 0.0842 | 0.3103 | 0.0019 | 0.3773 | 1741.9 | 9.2 | 1865.4 | 13.7 | 2002.2 | 26.7 | 1741.9 | 9.2 | 7\% |
| CD330-1-13 | 6758 | 8410 | 0.8 | 0.2640 | 0.0060 | 0.0340 | 0.0003 | 0.3352 | 215.3 | 1.6 | 237.9 | 4.8 | 477.8 | 50.0 | 215.3 | 1.6 | 9\% |
| CD330-1-14 | 3305 | 1530 | 2.2 | 9.1913 | 0.1693 | 0.4141 | 0.0029 | 0.3839 | 2233.5 | 13.4 | 2357.3 | 16.9 | 2464.8 | 28.5 | 2233.5 | 13.4 | 5\% |
| CD330-1-15 | 318 | 204 | 1.6 | 0.2503 | 0.0201 | 0.0342 | 0.0006 | 0.2186 | 216.8 | 3.8 | 226.8 | 16.4 | 394.5 | 187.0 | 216.8 | 3.8 | 4\% |
| CD330-1-16 | 899 | 798 | 1.1 | 0.0453 | 0.0049 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.2075 | 36.3 | 0.8 | 44.9 | 4.7 | 633.0 | 242.6 | 36.3 | 0.8 | 19\% |
| CD330-1-17 | 400 | 231 | 1.7 | 0.3441 | 0.0195 | 0.0414 | 0.0006 | 0.2441 | 261.3 | 3.5 | 300.3 | 14.7 | 650.0 | 124.1 | 261.3 | 3.5 | 13\% |
| CD330-1-18 | 9753 | 701 | 13.9 | 4.9415 | 0.0740 | 0.3009 | 0.0018 | 0.4022 | 1696.0 | 9.0 | 1809.4 | 12.7 | 1935.5 | 25.6 | 1696.0 | 9.0 | 6\% |
| CD330-1-19 | 2733 | 981 | 2.8 | 0.0767 | 0.0037 | 0.0094 | 0.0001 | 0.2280 | 60.4 | 0.7 | 75.0 | 3.5 | 561.1 | 105.5 | 60.4 | 0.7 | 20\% |
| CD330-1-20 | 602 | 253 | 2.4 | 0.2913 | 0.0142 | 0.0369 | 0.0004 | 0.2467 | 233.8 | 2.8 | 259.6 | 11.2 | 524.1 | 111.1 | 233.8 | 2.8 | 10\% |

CD331

| CD331-2-1 | 1000 | 345 | 2.9 | 0.2735 | 0.0106 | 0.0386 | 0.0004 | 0.2741 | 243.8 | 2.5 | 245.5 | 8.4 | 276.0 | 88.0 | 243.8 | 2.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD331-2-2 | 4329 | 3071 | 1.4 | 0.0573 | 0.0025 | 0.0057 | 0.0001 | 0.2611 | 36.8 | 0.4 | 56.6 | 2.4 | 1020.4 | 91.5 | 36.8 | 0.4 |


| CD331-2-3 | 2178 | 2073 | 1.1 | 0.0726 | 0.0044 | 0.0060 | 0.0001 | 0.2186 | 38.3 | 0.5 | 71.2 | 4.1 | 1402.8 | 118.1 | 38.3 | 0.5 | $46 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD331-2-4 | 3542 | 3166 | 1.1 | 0.0459 | 0.0025 | 0.0058 | 0.0001 | 0.2329 | 37.0 | 0.5 | 45.6 | 2.4 | 553.7 | 122.2 | 37.0 | 0.5 | $19 \%$ |
| CD331-2-5 | 1153 | 216 | 5.3 | 1.3154 | 0.0289 | 0.1349 | 0.0011 | 0.3622 | 815.9 | 6.1 | 852.5 | 12.7 | 942.6 | 44.1 | 815.9 | 6.1 | $4 \%$ |
| CD331-2-6 | 884 | 608 | 1.5 | 0.0448 | 0.0065 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.1532 | 35.7 | 0.8 | 44.5 | 6.3 | 635.2 | 346.1 | 35.7 | 0.8 | $20 \%$ |
| CD331-2-7 | 597 | 406 | 1.5 | 0.3698 | 0.0213 | 0.0375 | 0.0006 | 0.2562 | 237.1 | 3.4 | 319.5 | 15.8 | 950.0 | 114.8 | 237.1 | 3.4 | $26 \%$ |
| CD331-2-8 | 862 | 208 | 4.1 | 0.3024 | 0.0143 | 0.0390 | 0.0004 | 0.2136 | 246.7 | 2.5 | 268.3 | 11.2 | 461.2 | 103.7 | 246.7 | 2.5 | $8 \%$ |
| CD331-2-9 | 796 | 471 | 1.7 | 0.0738 | 0.0076 | 0.0059 | 0.0002 | 0.2596 | 38.0 | 1.0 | 72.3 | 7.2 | 1701.9 | 223.9 | 38.0 | 1.0 | $47 \%$ |
| CD331-2-10 | 1985 | 2071 | 1.0 | 0.0560 | 0.0044 | 0.0058 | 0.0001 | 0.2135 | 37.0 | 0.6 | 55.3 | 4.3 | 1044.1 | 162.8 | 37.0 | 0.6 | $33 \%$ |
| CD331-2-11 | 4955 | 2547 | 1.9 | 0.0472 | 0.0021 | 0.0054 | 0.0001 | 0.2848 | 34.9 | 0.4 | 46.8 | 2.0 | 750.0 | 102.8 | 34.9 | 0.4 | $26 \%$ |
| CD331-2-12 | 802 | 487 | 1.6 | 0.0599 | 0.0078 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.1987 | 35.9 | 0.9 | 59.1 | 7.5 | 1395.4 | 265.7 | 35.9 | 0.9 | $39 \%$ |
| CD331-2-13 | 2532 | 1582 | 1.6 | 0.0394 | 0.0032 | 0.0053 | 0.0001 | 0.1862 | 33.9 | 0.5 | 39.2 | 3.1 | 387.1 | 185.2 | 33.9 | 0.5 | $14 \%$ |
| CD331-2-14 | 5450 | 4762 | 1.1 | 0.0336 | 0.0017 | 0.0053 | 0.0001 | 0.2741 | 33.9 | 0.5 | 33.6 | 1.6 | 42.7 | 118.5 | 33.9 | 0.5 | $1 \%$ |
| CD331-2-15 | 5423 | 968 | 5.6 | 0.0490 | 0.0023 | 0.0068 | 0.0001 | 0.2255 | 43.9 | 0.5 | 48.6 | 2.2 | 300.1 | 104.6 | 43.9 | 0.5 | $10 \%$ |
| CD331-2-16 | 2850 | 1691 | 1.7 | 0.0656 | 0.0052 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.1846 | 36.2 | 0.5 | 64.5 | 5.0 | 1258.9 | 154.6 | 36.2 | 0.5 | $44 \%$ |
| CD331-2-17 | 2009 | 151 | 13.3 | 1.2834 | 0.0249 | 0.1304 | 0.0009 | 0.3543 | 790.3 | 5.1 | 838.4 | 11.1 | 961.1 | 39.7 | 790.3 | 5.1 | $6 \%$ |
| CD331-2-18 | 1209 | 691 | 1.8 | 0.0409 | 0.0047 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.1409 | 35.1 | 0.6 | 40.7 | 4.6 | 450.0 | 247.2 | 35.1 | 0.6 | $14 \%$ |
| CD331-2-19 | 1417 | 366 | 3.9 | 0.3743 | 0.0169 | 0.0392 | 0.0004 | 0.2070 | 248.1 | 2.3 | 322.8 | 12.5 | 875.9 | 87.0 | 248.1 | 2.3 | $23 \%$ |
| CD331-2-20 | 1339 | 1181 | 1.1 | 0.0427 | 0.0047 | 0.0059 | 0.0001 | 0.1620 | 37.7 | 0.7 | 42.4 | 4.6 | 483.4 | 254.6 | 37.7 | 0.7 | $11 \%$ |

$\underset{\text { 1/CD435-1 }}{\text { CD }}$

| CD335-1-1 | 1194 | 384 | 3.1 | 1.7252 | 0.0310 | 0.1649 | 0.0011 | 0.3731 | 984.1 | 6.1 | 1018.0 | 11.6 | 1083.3 | 30.6 | 1018.0 | 11.6 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD335-1-3 | 1469 | 265 | 5.5 | 1.1206 | 0.0228 | 0.1338 | 0.0009 | 0.3385 | 809.6 | 5.3 | 763.3 | 11.0 | 620.4 | 42.6 | 809.6 | 5.3 | 6\% |
| CD335-1-4 | 897 | 322 | 2.8 | 4.7725 | 0.0849 | 0.3283 | 0.0026 | 0.4379 | 1830.3 | 12.4 | 1780.1 | 15.0 | 1714.5 | 29.9 | 1780.1 | 15.0 | 3\% |
| CD335-1-6 | 2311 | 314 | 7.4 | 1.0505 | 0.0221 | 0.1272 | 0.0009 | 0.3397 | 771.7 | 5.2 | 729.1 | 11.0 | 598.2 | 42.6 | 771.7 | 5.2 | 6\% |
| CD335-1-7 | 942 | 294 | 3.2 | 1.0315 | 0.0264 | 0.1293 | 0.0010 | 0.3135 | 783.6 | 5.9 | 719.7 | 13.2 | 527.8 | 55.5 | 783.6 | 5.9 | 9\% |
| CD335-1-8 | 1520 | 311 | 4.9 | 1.0120 | 0.0237 | 0.1262 | 0.0010 | 0.3225 | 766.1 | 5.5 | 709.9 | 12.0 | 538.9 | 48.1 | 766.1 | 5.5 | 8\% |
| CD335-1-9 | 1259 | 181 | 6.9 | 0.9721 | 0.0227 | 0.1156 | 0.0012 | 0.4622 | 705.0 | 7.2 | 689.5 | 11.7 | 642.6 | 46.3 | 705.0 | 7.2 | 2\% |
| CD335-1-10 | 1624 | 477 | 3.4 | 1.0365 | 0.0209 | 0.1245 | 0.0009 | 0.3438 | 756.6 | 5.0 | 722.2 | 10.5 | 616.7 | 42.6 | 756.6 | 5.0 | 5\% |
| CD335-1-11 | 3420 | 304 | 11.2 | 0.4277 | 0.0095 | 0.0613 | 0.0006 | 0.4103 | 383.7 | 3.4 | 361.6 | 6.7 | 216.7 | 48.1 | 383.7 | 3.4 | 6\% |
| CD335-1-12 | 2222 | 349 | 6.4 | 1.1468 | 0.0209 | 0.1332 | 0.0008 | 0.3412 | 806.0 | 4.7 | 775.7 | 9.9 | 683.3 | 38.1 | 806.0 | 4.7 | 4\% |
| CD335-1-13 | 3332 | 102 | 32.5 | 0.3487 | 0.0085 | 0.0470 | 0.0005 | 0.4773 | 296.0 | 3.4 | 303.7 | 6.4 | 350.1 | 48.1 | 296.0 | 3.4 | 3\% |
| CD335-1-14 | 548 | 663 | 0.8 | 1.0572 | 0.0300 | 0.1219 | 0.0010 | 0.2972 | 741.5 | 5.9 | 732.5 | 14.8 | 698.2 | 63.9 | 741.5 | 5.9 | 1\% |
| CD335-1-15 | 1301 | 454 | 2.9 | 1.1695 | 0.0254 | 0.1292 | 0.0012 | 0.4128 | 783.1 | 6.6 | 786.4 | 11.9 | 790.7 | 46.3 | 783.1 | 6.6 | 0\% |
| CD335-1-16 | 495 | 209 | 2.4 | 0.6517 | 0.0204 | 0.0846 | 0.0008 | 0.3123 | 523.8 | 4.9 | 509.5 | 12.5 | 455.6 | 75.0 | 523.8 | 4.9 | 3\% |
| CD335-1-17 | 1774 | 8 | \#\#\#\# | 0.4903 | 0.0119 | 0.0641 | 0.0005 | 0.3196 | 400.2 | 3.0 | 405.1 | 8.1 | 420.4 | 53.7 | 400.2 | 3.0 | 1\% |
| CD335-1-18 | 1044 | 255 | 4.1 | 1.2445 | 0.0261 | 0.1346 | 0.0009 | 0.3214 | 813.9 | 5.2 | 820.9 | 11.8 | 825.6 | 44.4 | 813.9 | 5.2 | 1\% |
| CD335-1-19 | 1014 | 667 | 1.5 | 1.1755 | 0.0270 | 0.1294 | 0.0010 | 0.3284 | 784.7 | 5.6 | 789.2 | 12.6 | 787.0 | 48.9 | 784.7 | 5.6 | 1\% |
| CD335-1-20 | 1413 | 424 | 3.3 | 1.2181 | 0.0279 | 0.1268 | 0.0009 | 0.3131 | 769.8 | 5.2 | 808.9 | 12.8 | 901.9 | 48.9 | 769.8 | 5.2 | 5\% |
| CD435-1-1 | 419 | 228 | 1.8 | 0.0423 | 0.0026 | 0.0058 | 0.0001 | 0.3774 | 37.3 | 0.9 | 42.1 | 2.6 | 427.8 | 121.3 | 37.3 | 0.9 | 11\% |
| CD435-1-2 | 281 | 125 | 2.2 | 1.1364 | 0.0482 | 0.1378 | 0.0016 | 0.2739 | 832.2 | 9.1 | 770.8 | 22.9 | 590.8 | 91.7 | 832.2 | 9.1 | 8\% |
| CD435-1-3 | 340 | 77 | 4.4 | 1.0862 | 0.0529 | 0.1363 | 0.0015 | 0.2279 | 823.6 | 8.6 | 746.6 | 25.7 | 531.5 | 114.8 | 823.6 | 8.6 | 10\% |
| CD435-1-14 | 907 | 75 | 12.1 | 1.0314 | 0.0397 | 0.1255 | 0.0016 | 0.3330 | 762.4 | 9.2 | 719.6 | 19.9 | 583.4 | 83.3 | 762.4 | 9.2 | 6\% |
| CD435-1-15 | 395 | 70 | 5.6 | 1.1774 | 0.0384 | 0.1408 | 0.0021 | 0.4568 | 849.1 | 11.9 | 790.1 | 17.9 | 635.2 | 69.3 | 849.1 | 11.9 | 7\% |
| CD435-1-16 | 327 | 47 | 7.0 | 1.1457 | 0.0338 | 0.1330 | 0.0017 | 0.4454 | 805.0 | 10.0 | 775.2 | 16.0 | 699.7 | 63.0 | 805.0 | 10.0 | 4\% |
| CD435-1-17 | 443 | 54 | 8.3 | 1.2145 | 0.0322 | 0.1356 | 0.0022 | 0.6102 | 819.5 | 12.4 | 807.3 | 14.8 | 783.3 | 53.7 | 819.5 | 12.4 | 2\% |
| CD435-1-18 | 318 | 84 | 3.8 | 1.1136 | 0.0279 | 0.1250 | 0.0014 | 0.4465 | 759.1 | 8.0 | 759.9 | 13.4 | 761.1 | 52.9 | 759.1 | 8.0 | 0\% |
| CD435-1-19 | 300 | 53 | 5.7 | 1.3047 | 0.0315 | 0.1392 | 0.0018 | 0.5494 | 840.4 | 10.4 | 847.8 | 13.9 | 873.8 | 45.4 | 840.4 | 10.4 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-21 | 345 | 60 | 5.7 | 1.2496 | 0.0306 | 0.1326 | 0.0021 | 0.6321 | 802.8 | 11.7 | 823.2 | 13.8 | 887.0 | 80.6 | 802.8 | 11.7 | 2\% |
| CD435-1-22 | 565 | 111 | 5.1 | 0.9750 | 0.0224 | 0.1068 | 0.0014 | 0.5632 | 654.1 | 8.0 | 691.1 | 11.5 | 803.4 | 47.2 | 654.1 | 8.0 | 5\% |
| CD435-1-24 | 862 | 152 | 5.7 | 1.1934 | 0.0281 | 0.1256 | 0.0015 | 0.5113 | 762.8 | 8.7 | 797.5 | 13.0 | 900.0 | 47.1 | 762.8 | 8.7 | 4\% |
| CD435-1-26 | 515 | 103 | 5.0 | 1.1952 | 0.0264 | 0.1282 | 0.0015 | 0.5363 | 777.4 | 8.7 | 798.4 | 12.2 | 857.4 | 45.5 | 777.4 | 8.7 | 3\% |
| CD435-1-28 | 433 | 79 | 5.5 | 1.2929 | 0.0287 | 0.1330 | 0.0018 | 0.6154 | 805.2 | 10.3 | 842.6 | 12.7 | 946.3 | 43.1 | 805.2 | 10.3 | 4\% |
| CD435-1-29 | 204 | 73 | 2.8 | 1.2868 | 0.0357 | 0.1385 | 0.0021 | 0.5391 | 836.2 | 11.7 | 839.9 | 15.9 | 870.1 | 59.3 | 836.2 | 11.7 | 0\% |


| CD435-1-30 | 447 | 79 | 5.7 | 1.2303 | 0.0273 | 0.1286 | 0.0014 | 0.4787 | 780.1 | 7.8 | 814.5 | 12.4 | 905.6 | 44.4 | 780.1 | 7.8 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD435-1-31 | 385 | 255 | 1.5 | 0.3062 | 0.0114 | 0.0397 | 0.0005 | 0.3597 | 251.2 | 3.3 | 271.2 | 8.9 | 438.9 | 83.3 | 251.2 | 3.3 | 7\% |
| CD435-1-32 | 332 | 73 | 4.6 | 1.5409 | 0.0383 | 0.1506 | 0.0017 | 0.4562 | 904.1 | 9.6 | 946.9 | 15.3 | 1042.6 | 48.6 | 904.1 | 9.6 | 5\% |
| CD435-1-33 | 208 | 83 | 2.5 | 8.3802 | 0.1888 | 0.4153 | 0.0054 | 0.5718 | 2239.3 | 24.4 | 2273.0 | 20.5 | 2299.7 | 36.4 | 2273.0 | 20.5 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-36 | 541 | 82 | 6.6 | 1.3323 | 0.0272 | 0.1414 | 0.0017 | 0.5974 | 852.5 | 9.8 | 859.9 | 11.9 | 883.3 | 44.4 | 852.5 | 9.8 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-37 | 425 | 88 | 4.8 | 1.3843 | 0.0298 | 0.1462 | 0.0017 | 0.5363 | 879.9 | 9.5 | 882.3 | 12.7 | 900.0 | 77.9 | 879.9 | 9.5 | 0\% |
| CD435-1-39 | 396 | 69 | 5.8 | 1.3293 | 0.0314 | 0.1413 | 0.0017 | 0.5197 | 852.0 | 9.8 | 858.6 | 13.7 | 872.2 | 44.0 | 852.0 | 9.8 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-40 | 567 | 93 | 6.1 | 1.2748 | 0.0297 | 0.1369 | 0.0017 | 0.5455 | 827.1 | 9.9 | 834.5 | 13.3 | 847.8 | 152.8 | 827.1 | 9.9 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-41 | 167 | 135 | 1.2 | 2.6526 | 0.0732 | 0.2297 | 0.0034 | 0.5407 | 1332.7 | 18.0 | 1315.4 | 20.4 | 1300.0 | 53.4 | 1315.4 | 20.4 | 1\% |
| CD435-1-44 | 403 | 70 | 5.7 | 1.2468 | 0.0331 | 0.1326 | 0.0024 | 0.6868 | 802.6 | 13.8 | 821.9 | 15.0 | 887.0 | 53.7 | 802.6 | 13.8 | 2\% |
| CD435-1-45 | 233 | 93 | 2.5 | 1.2766 | 0.0380 | 0.1329 | 0.0019 | 0.4898 | 804.2 | 11.0 | 835.3 | 17.0 | 920.4 | 65.7 | 804.2 | 11.0 | 4\% |
| CD435-1-46 | 366 | 87 | 4.2 | 1.2720 | 0.0313 | 0.1332 | 0.0018 | 0.5550 | 806.1 | 10.4 | 833.3 | 14.0 | 905.6 | 56.5 | 806.1 | 10.4 | 3\% |
| CD435-1-47 | 354 | 70 | 5.1 | 1.2307 | 0.0342 | 0.1327 | 0.0021 | 0.5758 | 803.2 | 12.1 | 814.7 | 15.6 | 861.1 | 61.1 | 803.2 | 12.1 | 1\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CD435(2)- } \\ 1-2 \end{gathered}$ | 59 | 47 | 1.3 | 0.2816 | 0.0166 | 0.0419 | 0.0006 | 0.0141 | 264.3 | 3.8 | 251.9 | 13.1 | 198.2 | 137.0 | 264.3 | 3.8 | 5\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD435(2)- } \\ & 1-4 \end{aligned}$ | 161 | 94 | 1.7 | 0.0304 | 0.0042 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 | 0.0021 | 36.3 | 0.9 | 30.4 | 4.1 |  |  | 36.3 | 0.9 | 20\% |
| ${ }_{1-6}^{\text {CD435(2)- }}$ | 306 | 72 | 4.3 | 1.1843 | 0.0190 | 0.1313 | 0.0012 | 0.0413 | 795.0 | 6.9 | 793.3 | 8.9 | 794.4 | 34.3 | 795.0 | 6.9 | 0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD435(2)- } \\ & \hline 1-7 \end{aligned}$ | 98 | 58 | 1.7 | 0.0438 | 0.0052 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.0017 | 35.1 | 0.9 | 43.5 | 5.1 | 683.3 | 266.6 | 35.1 | 0.9 | 19\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CD435(2)- } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | 749 | 853 | 0.9 | 0.0335 | 0.0014 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.0018 | 35.1 | 0.4 | 33.4 | 1.3 |  |  | 35.1 | 0.4 | 5\% |
| $\underset{1-9}{\text { CD43(2)- }}$ | 531 | 533 | 1.0 | 0.0383 | 0.0018 | 0.0054 | 0.0001 | 0.0017 | 34.5 | 0.6 | 38.2 | 1.8 | 366.7 | 104.6 | 34.5 | 0.6 | 10\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CD435(2)- } \\ 1-10 \end{gathered}$ | 170 | 117 | 1.5 | 0.0377 | 0.0037 | 0.0055 | 0.0001 | 0.0019 | 35.5 | 0.7 | 37.6 | 3.7 | 205.6 | 225.9 | 35.5 | 0.7 | 5\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD435(2)- } \\ & 1-12 \end{aligned}$ | 178 | 118 | 1.5 | 0.0345 | 0.0034 | 0.0054 | 0.0001 | 0.0017 | 34.9 | 0.7 | 34.4 | 3.4 | 77.9 | 220.3 | 34.9 | 0.7 | 1\% |
| CD435(2)- | 160 | 97 | 1.6 | 0.0437 | 0.0041 | 0.0059 | 0.0001 | 0.0020 | 37.8 | 0.7 | 43.4 | 4.0 | 435.2 | 213.9 | 37.8 | 0.7 | 13\% |

Note: Ages were accepted with up to $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ discordance for plutonic and volcanic samples, respectively. All errors are at the 1 -sigma level.

Table S3 Zircon U-Pb geochronologic ages for Mesozoic magmatic rocks exposed within the LZTB, SE Tibet.

| Location | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Longitude <br> (E) | Latitude <br> (N) | Lithology | Formation | Age type | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age } \\ & \text { (Ma) } \end{aligned}$ | $\pm$ (Ma) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weixi | CD144 | 99.5151 | 27.0599 | granite | Tgr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zircon U- } \\ & \mathrm{Pb} \end{aligned}$ | 227.7 | 4.6 | This study |
| Weixi | CD149 | 99.4999 | 27.0996 | granite | Tgr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zircon U- } \\ & \mathrm{Pb} \end{aligned}$ | 234.5 | 4.7 | This study |
| Jianchuan | CD327 | 99.6304 | 26.6027 | dacite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pantiange } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Zircon U- } \\ & \text { Pb } \\ & \text { Weigthed } \\ & \text { mean age } \\ & \text { of agest } \\ & \text { youngest } \\ & \text { concordant } \\ & \text { zircons ( } 7 \\ & \text { ages) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 234.4 | 8.5 | This study |
| Jianchuan | CX036-8 | 99.6646 | 26.5578 | granite | Tgr | Zircon U- <br> Pb | 244.9 | 2.2 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yang } & \text { et al., } \\ 2014 & & \end{array}$ |
| Jianchuan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CX037- } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 99.6765 | 26.5671 | granite | Tgr | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Zircon U- } \\ \text { Pb } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 244.9 | 2.2 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yang } & \text { et al., } \\ 2014 & & \end{array}$ |
| Jianchuan | QD-2 | 99.5082 | 26.7703 | dacite | Pantiange Fm. $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Zircon U- } \\ \text { Pb } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 244.9 | 3.3 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yang } & \text { et al., } \\ 2014 \end{array}$ |
| Jianchuan | QD-5 | 99.5082 | 26.7703 | dacite | Pantiange Fm. $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zircon U- } \\ & \mathrm{Pb} \end{aligned}$ | 236.9 | 2.8 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yang } & \text { et al., } \\ 2014 & & \end{array}$ |
| Jianchuan | DX038-2 | 99.6860 | 26.5533 | volcanoclastic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pantiange } \\ & \text { Fm.(T } \mathrm{T}_{1-2 p} \end{aligned}$ | U/Pb <br> Weighthed <br> mean age <br> of <br> youngest <br> concordant <br> zzirons <br> (14 ages) | 246.5 | 6.3 | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline \text { Yang } & \text { et al., } \\ 2014 & & \end{array}$ |
| Maliqing | D2047-3 | 99.6834 | 26.4501 | dacite | Pantiange <br> Fm. $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zircon U- } \\ & \mathrm{Pb} \end{aligned}$ | 247.0 | 4.3 | Tang al.,2016 |
| Maliqing | D3052-1 | 99.6835 | 26.4501 | rhyolite | Pantiange Fm. $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right.$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Zircon U- } \\ \mathrm{Pb} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 250.0 | 4.3 | Tang al.,2016 |
| Maliqing | D3053-1 | 99.7000 | 26.4501 | rhyolite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pantiange } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Zircon U- } \\ \text { Pb } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 246.7 | 4.3 | Tang al.,2016 |
| Maliqing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D3055- } \\ & \text { 5A } \end{aligned}$ | 99.7001 | 26.4667 | rhyolitic lava | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pantiange } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{T}_{1-2 p)}\right. \end{aligned}$ | Zircon U- | 244.0 | 5.2 | Tang al.,2016 $\quad$ et |
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1115 Table S4 Geochronologic ages for Eocene volcanic clasts and plutonic rocks in and around the

## Jianchuan basin and LZTB, SE Tibet.

| Location | Sample ID | Longitud e(E) | Latitud $\mathrm{e}(\mathbf{N})$ | Lithology | Formatio <br> n | Age type | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Age } \\ & \text { (Ma) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \pm \\ & \text { (Ma) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within the Ludian-Zhonghejiang fold-thrust belt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lajun Shan | CD316 | 99.6712 | 26.5934 | Porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons ( 10 ages) | 34.5 | 1.3 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | CD323 | 99.6616 | 26.6113 | Granitic porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons ( 5 ages) | 34.3 | 1.6 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | CD325 | 99.6420 | 26.6105 | Granite |  | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ age of the only youngest age (1 age) | 36.0 | 0.5 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | CD330 | 99.6248 | 26.6014 | Granitic porphyry |  | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ age of the only youngest age (1 age) | 36.3 | 0.8 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD335/CD43 } \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 99.6991 | 26.5534 | Lamprophyre |  | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (6 ages) | 35.1 | 0.5 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | CD331 | 99.6171 | 26.6010 | Granitic porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (6 ages) | 35.3 | 1.7 | This study |
| Laojun Shan | CD321-1 | 99.6713 | 26.6175 | Granitic porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (12 ages) | 34.7 | 0.8 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jianchuan basin | J161 | 99.8919 | 26.5913 | Volcanoclastics | Jianchuan Fm. (E $2 j c$ ) | Zircon U-Pb lower intercept of the youngest population (3 ages) | 35.9 | ${ }^{1.1}$ | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | J284 | 99.8509 | 26.6324 | Rhyolitic tuff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jianchuan } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j c\right) \end{aligned}$ | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ lower intercept of the youngest population ( 3 ages) | 34.8 | 1.1 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Laojun Shan | J308 | 99.6484 | 26.4346 | Volcanoclastics | Jianchuan Fm. (E $2 j c$ ) | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (4 ages) | 35.0 | 2.3 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Laojun Shan | DX-39-5 | 99.6113 | 26.5082 | Volcanoclastics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jianchuan } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} j c\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (4 ages) | 34.7 | 0.2 | Yang et al., 2014 |
| Jianchuan basin interstratified (Shuanghe Fm. $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jianchuan basin | J121 | 99.8672 | 26.4477 | Trachy-andesitic lava flow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smuanghe } \\ & \text { Fm.(E2s) } \end{aligned}$ | Zircon U-Pb lower intercept of the main population (22 ages) | 35.8 | 0.3 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | J120 | 99.8672 | 26.4477 | Trachy-andesitic lava flow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shuanghe } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Zircon U-Pb lower intercept of the youngest grain (2 ages) | 35.0 | 1.0 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | J168A | 99.8529 | 26.5970 | Volcanoclastic | Shuanghe Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right)$ | Zircon U-Pb lower intercept of the main population ( 34 ages) | 35.7 | 0.2 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | J278 | 99.8770 | 26.5918 | Volcanoclastic | Shuanghe <br> Fm.(E2s) | Zircon U-Pb age of the only youngest age $(1$ age) | 36.8 | 1.0 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | J203 | 99.8531 | 26.5904 | Volcanic tuff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shuanghe } \\ & \text { Fm. }\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right) \end{aligned}$ | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ lower intercept of the youngest zircons ( 18 ages) | 36.4 | 0.4 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
| Jianchuan basin | DX-030-6 | 99.8672 | 26.4477 | Trachydacite volcanic level | Shuanghe <br> Fm. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} s\right)$ | Zircon U-Pb weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (18 ages) | 36.6 | 0.7 | Yang et al., 2014 |
| Jianchuan basin | JIAN-11-06 | 99.8531 | 26.5904 | Ttuffaceous sandstone | Shuanghe <br> Fm. (E2s) | Zircon $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{Pb}$ weigthed mean age of the youngest concordant zircons (5 ages) | 37.1 | 1.6 | Hoke et al., 2014 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jianchuan (S808) | J279 | 99.9030 | 26.5923 | Lamprophyre (dyke) |  | Ar/Ar inverse isochron | 34.5 | 1.0 | Gourbet et al., 2017 |
|  | JC-9 | 99.9197 | 26.5842 | Amphibole syenite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.6 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Jianchuan ( $\sim 8 \mathrm{~km}$ east of the Laoiun Shan) | JC-7 | 99.8121 | 26.6567 | Biotite porphyry monzogranite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.3 | 0.4 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Jianchuan <br> Shan) | JC-1 | 99.7253 | 26.6323 | Amphibole syenite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.4 | 0.2 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jianchuan }(\sim 8 \quad \mathrm{~km} \\ & \text { northeast of the Laojun } \\ & \text { Shan) } \end{aligned}$ | SG-12 | 99.7764 | 26.7819 | Monzogranite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.7 | 0.2 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Jianchuan Shan) (Laojun | Y81-791 | 99.6873 | 26.7243 | Quartz monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.5 | 0.3 | Liang et al., 2007 |
|  | Y81-909 | 99.6944 | 26.8417 | Syenite, monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.6 | 0.2 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 5 \mathrm{~km}$ to the east of Jianchuan | Y81-862 | 99.9000 | 26.3667 | Trachyte |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.4 | 0.4 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| Jianchuan <br> Shan) (Shamao | YU70 |  |  | Monzonitic-syenitic |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.0 | 0.1 | Schärer et al., 1994 |
| Plutonic rocks away from the Jianchuan county |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midu Gap | Y83-803 | 100.2889 | 25.2236 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.8 | 0.3 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| Yongping-Weishan | WS-4 | 100.2870 | 25.1545 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.4 | 0.6 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Machangqing | BXC-8 | 100.4258 | 25.5278 | $\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Biotite } \\ \text { monzogranite }\end{array} & \text { amphibole } \\ & \end{array}$ |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.6 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Machangqing | BXC-2 | 100.4519 | 25.5341 | Amphibole monzogranite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.0 | 0.2 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Machangqing | BXC-9 | 100.4258 | 25.5278 | Granitic aplite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.4 | 0.2 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Binchuan | BC-4 | 100.6568 | 25.7736 | Biotite quartz monzonite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.4 | 0.4 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Binchuan | BC-5 | 100.4763 | 25.7926 | Amphibole quartz monzonite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.6 | 0.6 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | 72ZK11-207 |  |  | Monzogranite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.9 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | BY-9 | 100.1804 | 26.1462 | Alkali porphyry feldspar granite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.2 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | 56ZK14-4 |  |  | Alkali feldspar syenite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.6 | 0.5 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | BY-YKD | 100.1845 | 26.1628 | Biotite porphyry monzogranite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.8 | 0.7 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | TKT | 100.2042 | 26.1640 | Monzonite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.9 | 0.7 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | LH-1 | 100.3294 | 26.4522 | Biotite-amphibole-augite syenite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.9 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | PTS | 100.1864 | 26.2873 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.5 | 0.8 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Beiya | BL-2 | 100.2257 | 26.1478 | Monzogranite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.7 | 0.8 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Yao'an | YA-2 | 101.2891 | 25.3853 | Biotite quartz monzonite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 33.4 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Yongsheng-Ninglang | DHS-1 | 100.9860 | 26.8467 | Biotite quartz monzodiorite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 33.1 | 0.4 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Yongsheng-Ninglang | DHS-4 | 100.9742 | 26.8595 | Biotite granite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.1 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| Yongsheng-Ninglang | BNC-3 | 100.9307 | 27.3614 | Granite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 32.5 | 0.3 | Lu et al., 2012 |
| $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km}$ east of Midu | Y81-974 | 101.2528 | 25.3833 | Trachydacite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.0 | 0.5 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 25 \mathrm{~km}$ east of Xiaguan | Y83-634 | 100.4292 | 25.5250 | Syenite, quartz monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.5 | 0.4 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 25 \mathrm{~km}$ east of Xiaguan | Y83-620 | 100.4319 | 25.5194 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 34.8 | 0.2 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 25 \mathrm{~km}$ south of CD100 | Y83-745 | 100.2028 | 26.1125 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.6 | 0.5 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 25 \mathrm{~km}$ south of CD101 | Y83-740 | 100.2333 | 26.1631 | Quartz porphyry $\quad$ monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.7 | 0.6 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| $\sim 25 \mathrm{~km}$ south of CD102 | Y83-760 | 100.1722 | 26.3000 | Quartz <br> porphyry monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 36.2 | 0.6 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| Phan Si Pan | YS50 | 103.7744 | 22.3646 | Syenite, quartz monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.0 | 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Zhang and Schärrer, } \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ |
| Phan Si Pan | Sh-126 | 103.1292 | 22.7500 | Syenite, quartz monzonite |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.4 | 0.4 | Liang et al., 2007 |
| Phan Si Pan | Y81-78 | 103.0583 | 22.7917 | Granitic pporphyry, quartz monzonite porphyry |  | Zircon U-Pb | 35.1 | 0.3 | Liang et al., 2007 |
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Table S5 Zircon and apatite (U-Th)/He data.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Sa} \\ & \mathrm{mpl} \\ & \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{A} \\ & \hline \mathbf{l i} \\ & \mathbf{q} . \end{aligned}$ | He |  | U |  | Th |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{T h} / \\ & \mathbf{U} / \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{gr} \\ & \mathrm{ai} \\ & \mathrm{n} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \\ & \mathrm{as} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & (\mu \\ & \mathrm{g}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Ejec } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { corr. } \end{array} \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T} 2}\right. \\ & \mathbf{3 8}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ejec } \\ & \text { tion } \\ & \text { corr. } \\ & \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T} 2}\right. \\ & \mathbf{3 5}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Rs } \\ & \hline(\mu \\ & \mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { [Th] } \\ & \text { (pp } \\ & \mathbf{m}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} {[\mathrm{eV}]} \\ (\mathrm{ppm} \\ ) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uncor } \\ & \text { r. He } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { (Ma) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}- \\ & \mathrm{Cor} \\ & \text { r. } \\ & \text { He } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { (Ma } \\ & )^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 1 \sigma \\ (M) \\ \text { a) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Weight <br> ed <br> mean <br> age <br> (Ma) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 1 \sigma( \\ \text { Ma) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vol. } \\ & \text { (nc } \\ & \text { c) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \sigma \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { mas } \\ & \mathrm{s} \\ & (\mathrm{ng}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \sigma \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ma } \\ & \text { ss } \\ & \text { (ng } \\ & \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \sigma \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zircon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CD } \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{z} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.77 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.06 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.2 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.27 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.70 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.70 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 . \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1422 . \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 381 . \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1512 . \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | 91.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 124 . \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 121.37 | 1.93 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline z \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.7 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.07 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.22 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.77 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 . \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 895.8 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 193 . \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 941.4 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 95.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 122 . \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline z \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.3 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.02 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.53 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.03 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.6 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.28 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.78 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.75 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.75 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 . \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 345.2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94.5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 367.4 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 92.17 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 117 . \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CD } \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{z} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \\ & 86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.98 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.3 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.35 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.72 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.68 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 . \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1537 . \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 529 . \\ & 91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1662 . \\ & \hline 29 \end{aligned}$ | 72.24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99.8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 107.68 | 4.68 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{z} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.3 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.04 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.07 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.4 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.29 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.70 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.70 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 . \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1425 . \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 415 . \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1523 . \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | 79.58 | $\begin{aligned} & 107 . \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline z \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.70 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.39 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.68 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 . \\ & 56 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1312 . \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505 . \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1431 . \\ & 09 \end{aligned}$ | 84.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 116 . \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CD } \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{z} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.05 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.25 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.07 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.6 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.33 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.72 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.72 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 . \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1172 . \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 378 . \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1261 . \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | 89.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 118 . \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 119.42 | 7.27 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{z} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.3 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.55 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.71 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 . \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 . \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 548 . \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2118 . \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | 72.04 | $\begin{aligned} & 101 . \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline z \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.04 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.8 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.29 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.71 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41 . \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1124 . \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325 . \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1201 . \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | 97.39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 136 . \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{z} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.9 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.12 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.14 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.7 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.47 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 . \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1248 . \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 579 . \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1384 . \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | 96.33 | $\begin{aligned} & 121 . \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Apatite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CD } \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \text { a } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.30 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 . \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | 43.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55.5 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 56.62 | 0.06 | 0.09 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 35.85 | 3.2 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.08 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.26 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.4 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.67 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.63 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.63 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42 . \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 47.07 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.9 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 60.68 | 19.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29.4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline a \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.08 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.22 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.64 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.60 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.60 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 . \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 55.45 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 66.0 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 70.98 | 27.78 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43.5 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.05 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 05 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.32 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.0 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.79 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 . \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 7.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 46.8 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 18.62 | 24.96 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.9 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline a \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.15 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.2 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.72 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.8 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.77 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.74 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 . \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | 26.26 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44.1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 36.64 | 29.47 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 38.4 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CD } \\ & 148 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.12 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.88 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.75 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.72 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 . \\ & 95 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | 24.01 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 34.40 | 37.06 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 49.5 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 41.67 | 2.58 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline * \\ & \text { a } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.12 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.99 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \\ & \hline 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.76 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.73 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.73 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 . \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 24.30 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47.1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 35.38 | 1.81 | 2.40 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \hline a \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.08 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.99 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.71 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $0.67$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.67 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 . \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | 28.57 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55.4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 41.60 | 27.59 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 39.4 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.86 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.69 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.65 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.65 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 . \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 29.07 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 52.8 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 41.49 | 24.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 36.0 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.09 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.0 \\ & 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.07 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.72 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.68 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.68 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51 . \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | 22.89 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 46.3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 33.79 | 26.79 | $37.4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & 6 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.08 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.68 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.64 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.64 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 44 . \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | 36.42 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71.2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 53.17 | 30.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 45.7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.6 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| * Ages were deemed outliers based on Dixon's q-test (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dixon\%27s_Q_test), and not used for weight mean age calculation and inverse modeling. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | age calculation and inverse modeling.

Table S6 Apatite fission-track data.


1149
1150
1151
a Number of grains analyzed.
1152
1153
1154
1155
e Number of track length measured.
Note: Zeta value is $8.27266 \pm 0.1407$.
b Average uranium content of all crystals measured using LA-ICP-MS.
c Chi-square value for $v$ degrees of freedom ( $v=\mathrm{N}-1$ ).
d Track length measured after 252Cf irradiation, and projected in respect to c axis (Ketchem et al., 2007).

