



HAL
open science

Endometrioma ethanol sclerotherapy could increase IVF live birth rate in women with moderate-severe endometriosis

Laura Miquel, Lise Preaubert, Audrey Gnisci, Noémie Resseguier, Audrey Pivano, Jeanne Perrin, Blandine Courbiere

► To cite this version:

Laura Miquel, Lise Preaubert, Audrey Gnisci, Noémie Resseguier, Audrey Pivano, et al.. Endometrioma ethanol sclerotherapy could increase IVF live birth rate in women with moderate-severe endometriosis. PLoS ONE, 2020, 15 (9), pp.e0239846. 10.1371/journal.pone.0239846 . hal-03080576

HAL Id: hal-03080576

<https://hal.science/hal-03080576>

Submitted on 18 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Endometrioma ethanol sclerotherapy could increase IVF live birth rate in women with moderate-severe endometriosis

Laura Miquel¹*, Lise Preaubert¹, Audrey Gnisci¹, Noémie Resseguier², Audrey Pivano¹, Jeanne Perrin^{1,3}, Blandine Courbiere^{1,3}

1 Department of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, Pôle femmes parents enfants, IVF Unit/CECOS, AP-HM La Conception University Hospital, Marseille, France, **2** Research Unit EA 3279, Department of Public Health, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France, **3** Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

* lo.miquel@yahoo.fr



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Miquel L, Preaubert L, Gnisci A, Resseguier N, Pivano A, Perrin J, et al. (2020) Endometrioma ethanol sclerotherapy could increase IVF live birth rate in women with moderate-severe endometriosis. *PLoS ONE* 15(9): e0239846. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239846>

Editor: Simone Garzon, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, ITALY

Received: June 7, 2020

Accepted: September 14, 2020

Published: September 28, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239846>

Copyright: © 2020 Miquel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All primary files are available from the dryad database (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.vx0k6djqj>).

Abstract

Objective

To examine the impact of ethanol sclerotherapy (EST) for endometrioma on in vitro fertilization (IVF) cumulative live birth rates (CLBR) in women with moderate-severe endometriosis.

Methods

This retrospective cohort study included women with moderate-severe endometriosis (revised American Fertility Society stage III-IV) and endometrioma who underwent IVF with the ultra-long agonist protocol. We compared two groups: women undergoing EST for endometrioma before IVF (EST group), and women whose endometrioma was left in situ during IVF (No-EST group). The primary outcome was the CLBR per IVF cycle, including fresh and frozen embryo transfers. The secondary endpoints included the complication rate, number of mature oocytes retrieved, clinical pregnancy rate and pregnancy loss rate.

Results

Seventy-four women were included in the study, with 37 in the EST group and 37 in the No-EST group, representing 67 and 69 IVF cycles, respectively. The population and cycle characteristics were comparable between the two groups, especially the ovarian response to stimulation. The CLBR was significantly increased in the EST group compared to the No-EST group (31.3% vs. 14.5%, $p = 0.03$). The clinical and biochemical pregnancy rates were significantly increased in the EST group (37.3% vs. 15.9%, $p = 0.01$ and 43.3% vs. 23.2%, $p = 0.01$, respectively). Multivariate analysis revealed a significantly increased chance of live birth in women exposed to EST before IVF with an adjusted OR of 2.68 (95% confidence interval, CI: 1.13–6.36, $p = 0.02$). In the EST group, we reported one major complication Clavien and Dindo classification grade III, complication involving an ovarian abscess that required a laparoscopic drainage.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

EST is an interesting technique to improve IVF success rates in women with moderate-severe endometriosis. EST could be discussed before IVF in infertile women.

Introduction

Endometriosis affects 20% to 40% of women consulting for fertility disorders [1]. In particular, women with moderate-severe endometriosis (revised American Fertility Society (rAFS) stage III-IV) have lower pregnancy rates in IVF compared to infertile women with mild to moderate endometriosis (r-AFS stage I-II) or women with tubal factor [2, 3]. Endometriomas are detected in up to 44% of women with endometriosis and are associated with pelvic pain, deep lesions and disease severity [4]. Surgical treatment remains the recommended strategy in symptomatic women and is associated with significantly increased spontaneous pregnancy rates in infertile women [5–7]. However, evidence suggests that the ovarian reserve is injured following surgical excision of endometriomas [8], especially in the case of multiple interventions. The ovarian reserve is established during fetal life with a stock of reserve follicles that decreases from birth to menopause. Any alteration of the ovarian reserve is irreversible and may lead to premature ovarian failure [9]. Conventional endometriosis laparoscopic surgery with divergent cystectomy traction may compromise the ovarian reserve by the excision of the surrounding ovarian cortex. Roustan et al. demonstrated that women with a diminished ovarian reserve after endometrioma cystectomy had significantly lower live birth rates compared with a control group with an idiopathic diminished ovarian reserve [10]. For this reason, routine excision of endometriomas before IVF is currently not recommended unless the endometrioma is painful or hampers oocyte retrieval [11, 12]. Left in situ, endometriomas are associated with significantly lower ovarian response to controlled ovarian stimulation (COS) and incomplete follicular aspiration as demonstrated by reduced numbers of follicles and oocytes retrieved [13, 14]. Moreover, the cyst often has to be transfixed to obtain all the follicles, which involves a risk of contaminating the follicular fluid, potentially affecting live birth rates and contributing to an increased risk of post-retrieval infection [15]. Various agents have been used for intra-cystic instillation with the aim to induce the direct destruction of endometriosis present in the cyst wall: tetracycline [16], methotrexate [17, 18], and ethanol [19–22]. Ethanol sclerotherapy for endometrioma (EST) was first described in 1988 in Japan [23] and later standardized by Yasbeck et al. [22]. Recurrence rates after EST range from 12.9 to 20% and are comparable to those found after laparoscopic cystectomy [24–26]. EST is offered to women with recurrent endometriomas [27] to preserve the ovarian reserve, avoiding another surgical excision [28]. Yazbeck et al. reported increased IVF pregnancy rates after EST compared to women undergoing a second laparoscopic cystectomy [22]. There are few studies, and the results are contradictory. Some studies demonstrated a benefit [20, 22, 29–31], whereas others did not show any significant improvement. Currently, the role of EST in the management of endometriomas is not well defined as demonstrated by the meta-analysis of Cohen et al. [19].

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have investigated IVF cumulative live birth rates (CLBR) after EST. Our aim was to examine the impact of EST for endometrioma on IVF CLBR in women with moderate-severe endometriosis. In particular, we compared women with ethanol sclerotherapy before IVF with women in whom endometrioma(s) was left in situ during IVF. Our main secondary endpoints included the complication rate, the response to

ovarian stimulation (number of mature oocytes retrieved), clinical pregnancy and pregnancy loss rates.

Materials and methods

Study population

This single-center retrospective cohort study at the Department of Reproductive Medicine of a University Hospital included infertile women aged 18 to 43 years old presenting with rAFS stage III or IV endometriosis with at least one endometrioma and an indication for IVF between May 2013 and May 2017. All women were followed-up until May 2020.

Women undergoing surgery immediately before IVF for the treatment of endometriosis were not included. However, we did not exclude women with a history of previous laparoscopy and cystectomy if they presented with an endometrioma recurrence at the time of IVF. Endometriomas were defined as endometriotic ovarian cysts between 25 to 65 mm in diameter [32]. The diagnostic was made by trained gynecologists on transvaginal ultrasound and then confirmed by pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for all women by expert radiologists specialized in endometriosis imaging. Although the rAFS classification is defined by a laparoscopic evaluation, it is widely admitted that the MRI evaluation is effective for diagnosing moderate-severe endometriosis when performed by experienced radiologists [33–36].

Our cohort included two groups: women having had an EST before IVF (EST group) and women whose endometrioma was left in situ during IVF (No-EST group). Given the lack of consensus concerning EST indications before IVF, some physicians of our IVF unit systematically perform EST, whereas the others do not. The EST group was compared to a group of women who did not have EST before IVF during the same period. Women were matched (1:1) by age, body mass index (BMI) and smoking status. Individual characteristics considered included age, BMI, smoking status, ovarian reserve (antral follicle count on day 3 and Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH) dosage), endometriosis severity evaluated by MRI or laparoscopy, duration of infertility, association of a male factor, number of previous IVF cycles, and history of laparoscopy.

The Aix Marseille University's ethics committee approved this study (2018-24-01-008). All women provided written informed consent.

Ethanol sclerotherapy

We followed a standardized sclerotherapy procedure as previously described by Yasbeck et al., which consists of an ultrasound-guided transvaginal puncture of one or more endometriomas [32]. The intervention was performed on an outpatient basis in the lithotomic position by a trained gynecologist under general anesthesia and transvaginal ultrasound control (Hitachi EUB-5500). Antibiotic prophylaxis with 2g Cefazolin was systematically administered. All women had a negative vaginal sample one week before the procedure. Position and size of the endometrioma were initially recorded. Then, the endometrioma was transvaginally punctured under ultrasound guidance using a single lumen 17 Gx250 mm needle. The cyst content was completely aspirated, and its volume was measured in ml. Part of the liquid was sent to cytology for analysis. The cyst was flushed with saline solution until obtaining a clear liquid. Then, 96% ethanol was injected at 60% of the initial volume of the endometrioma to not over-distend and to avoid any rupture of the cyst and ethanol diffusion into the pelvis. The maximum volume of 96% ethanol for intracystic injection was 60 ml. Ethanol remained in the cyst for 10 minutes and was completely reaspirated. The EST procedure was considered successful if the remaining endometrioma measured less than 20 mm after three months [32]. EST complications were classified according to the Clavien and Dindo surgical classification (Grade I, which

indicates any deviation from the normal postoperative course, to Grade IV, which indicates life-threatening complications) [37].

IVF procedure

As recommended by the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), the IVF protocol was an ultra-long-agonist protocol consisting of an intra-muscular injection of 3 mg Triptoreline (Decapeptyl, Ipsen, France) at least three months before the EST procedure [11]. Controlled ovarian stimulation was initiated 2 weeks after the EST procedure. The dose and type of gonadotropins were determined on individual basis according to data from previous IVF cycles, age, BMI and ovarian reserve. All women underwent serial transvaginal ultrasounds and hormonal dosages during stimulation, and human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) was administered subcutaneously (Ovitrelle, Merck Serono, Germany) when three or more leading follicles with a mean diameter greater than 18 mm were visualized. Oocyte retrieval was performed transvaginally 36 h later. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) was performed if necessary according to the sperm characteristics. After IVF or ICSI, one to two embryos were transferred on the second, third or fifth day after retrieval using a soft transfer catheter under ultrasound control. Supernumerary embryos were cryopreserved on days 2, 3 or 5 for subsequent embryo transfer.

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was the CLBR per IVF cycle, including fresh and frozen embryo transfers (FET). Live birth was defined by a live birth after 22 weeks of gestation according to The International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care [38]. Only the first delivery was counted in the analysis if a patient achieved multiple deliveries. Secondary outcomes included pre-operative and post-operative complications related to EST, number of mature oocytes retrieved per cycle, fertilization rate, number of diploid embryos per cycle, number of “top” embryos per cycle, number of cryopreserved embryos per cycle, number of embryos transferred per cycle, implantation rate (number of intrauterine gestational sacs divided by the number of transferred embryos), cumulated biochemical pregnancy rate, cumulated clinical pregnancy rates, and pregnancy loss rate. Standard definitions were used as follows: biochemical pregnancy was defined as positive HCG 15 days after embryo transfer, and clinical pregnancy was defined as the visualization of a positive fetal heartbeat on ultrasound at 7 weeks.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R software version 3.4.1. Continuous variables are presented as means \pm standard deviations, and categorical variables are presented as numbers (percentages). Women exposed to EST were selected and matched in a 1:1 fashion to women who were not exposed to EST. Matching criteria included age (\pm 2 years), BMI (\pm 3 kgs/m²), and smoking status. For univariate analysis, we used Student, Chi2, and Fisher exact tests, as appropriate. Comparisons between cycles and their issues between groups were performed using classical statistical tests (Student t test for quantitative parameters and chi-squared test for categorical parameters) that were adapted for clustered data, which allows consideration of the correlation that might exist between cycle characteristics within a given woman. All tests were bilateral, and a P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Assumption of normality of the distribution for continuous variables was assessed graphically (histograms and quantile-quantile plots). Median and [1st quartile- 3rd quartile] were presented when the assumption of normality was not verified. A multivariate logistic regression model was used to assess the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of live birth. The model included classical variables

known to influence the chances of success (live birth): age, smoking status, and number of previous IVF cycles. A post-hoc power analysis was performed, and a power of 68% was identified [39].

Results

Among 2148 women who underwent IVF-ICSI in our center between May 2013 and May 2017, 381 had endometriosis, and 294 had moderate-severe endometriosis. Among those 294 women, 191 women had ovarian endometriosis. Among those 191 women, 158 women still had ovarian endometriosis immediately before IVF. Among these 158 women, 123 women had endometrioma measuring 25 to 65 mm in diameter. Among these 123 women, 6 women underwent a laparoscopic management of the endometrioma immediately before IVF, 77 women did not undergo any treatment and 40 women underwent sclerotherapy. Among these 117 women eligible for the study, 99 gave their consent to the study. Among those 99 women selected for the study, 37 women had undergone EST and were matched in a 1:1 fashion to women who were not exposed to EST. The median follow-up among patients who obtained a pregnancy was 13.9 ± 7.7 months for the EST group and 9.2 ± 8.5 months for the No EST group. The median follow-up among patients who did not obtain a pregnancy was 51.1 ± 10.1 months for the EST group and 72.0 ± 18.9 months for the No EST group. There was no loss to follow-up.

These 74 women represented a total of 67 IVF cycles in the EST group and 69 IVF cycles in the No-EST group. In the EST group, 43.2% of women had a history of previous laparoscopy for endometrioma treatment vs. 37.8% in the No-EST group ($p = 0.64$). Population characteristics were comparable between the two groups (Table 1).

The IVF cycle characteristics were not significantly different between the EST group and the No-EST group (Table 2).

The CLBR was significantly increased in the EST group compared to the No-EST group (31.3% vs. 14.5%, $p = 0.03$). Multivariate analysis showed a significantly increased chance of live birth in women exposed to EST before IVF. The adjusted OR of live birth was 2.68 (95% confidence interval, CI: 1.13–6.36, $p = 0.02$).

Clinical and biochemical pregnancy rates were significantly increased in the EST group (37.3% vs. 15.9%, $p = 0.01$ and 43.3% vs. 23.2%, $p = 0.01$, respectively). The differences in pregnancy loss rate and implantation rate were not significant between the two groups (respectively 26.7% vs. 37.5%, $p = 0.45$ and 21.0% vs. 10.7%, $p = 0.06$).

In the EST group, 8 women underwent EST for bilateral endometriomas, and one iterative procedure was performed in one woman for endometrioma recurrence 6 months later. The mean diameter of the endometriomas eligible at EST in the EST group was 37.3 ± 9.4 mm. In the No-EST group, the mean diameter of the endometriomas eligible at EST was 34.6 ± 11.4 mm. The difference was not significant between the two groups ($p = 0.27$). EST was successful in 87% of the procedures (40/46). The mean reduction of endometriomas after EST was $28.2\% \pm 10.6\%$ with a mean final diameter of 9.1 ± 9.5 mm ($p < 0.01$).

In 6 procedures (13.0%), a persistent endometrioma (>20 mm) was observed on ultrasound during IVF (6/46), but its size was at least 5 mm smaller than the original endometrioma. In these cases, the mean reduction of the endometrioma was $27.8\% \pm 18.5\%$ with a mean final diameter of 29.4 ± 4.9 mm.

Three women presented an immediate post-EST complication. Specifically, two women presented with fever and pelvic pain, amongst whom one resolved after oral antibiotic therapy (Clavien and Dindo classification Grade II) and one required a laparoscopic drainage of an ovarian abscess (Clavien and Dindo classification grade III). A woman presented with

Table 1. Characteristics of infertile women with moderate-severe endometriosis: Ethanol sclerotherapy (EST) (n = 37) vs. No-EST group (n = 37).

	EST group (n = 37)	No-EST group (n = 37)	p-value
Age (years)	31.5 ± 4.5	33.0 ± 3.8	0.13
Tobacco smoker	8 (21.6)	6 (16.2)	0.55
BMI (kg/m ²)	22.8 ± 4.7	24.6 ± 6.2	0.16
Day 3 FSH (IU/L)	6.5 ± 2.1	7.7 ± 3.4	0.08
Day 3 estradiol (pg/mL)	44.0 ± 21.2	44.2 ± 18.6	0.97
AMH (ng/ml)	3.2 ± 2.6	3.1 ± 2.2	0.90
AFC	11.1 ± 6.3	12.4 ± 5.6	0.36
Duration of infertility (years)	4.4 ± 3.1	4.3 ± 2.4	0.82
Male factor associated	12 (32.4)	10 (27.0)	0.61
Number of previous IVF cycles	0.4 ± 0.9	0.2 ± 0.4	0.11
History of operative laparoscopy for endometriosis global treatment	14 (37.8)	13 (35.1)	0.81
Extra ovarian endometriosis	26 (70.3)	25 (67.6)	0.80
Number of endometrioma	2.1 ± 1.3	2.1 ± 1.3	0.86
Bilateral endometriomas	22 (59.5)	17 (45.9)	0.24
Median endometriomas size	33 [22–39]	27 [25–33]	0.33
Type of extra ovarian endometriosis			
Rectovaginal space or vaginal	11 (29.7)	15 (40.5)	0.33
Uterosacral and cardinal ligaments	21 (56.8)	15 (40.5)	0.16
Rectosigmoid	13 (35.1)	11 (29.7)	0.62
Bladder or ureter	2 (5.4)	4 (10.8)	0.67
Other bowel involvement and extragenital localizations	2 (5.4)	2 (5.4)	1.00
Adenomyosis	5 (13.5)	3 (8.1)	0.71

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD or *n* (%) if not specified. Median are expressed with [1st quartile- 3rd quartile]. BMI = body mass index. AFC = antral follicle count on Day 3; AMH = anti-Müllerian hormone.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239846.t001>

intravascular alcohol diffusion (Clavien and Dindo classification grade I) with a positive blood alcohol concentration without other consequences (0.22 g/L) [37]. No malignant cells were found in the cytological analyses.

Concerning the IVF procedure, during the follow-up after oocyte retrieval, 2 women in the EST group (2.9%) presented with post-retrieval fever and pelvic pain, amongst whom one resolved after antibiotic therapy (Clavien and Dindo classification Grade II) and one required transvaginal drainage of a tubal abscess (Clavien and Dindo classification grade III). In the No-EST group, 5 post-retrieval complications were recorded (7.2%). Five women presenting with fever and pelvic pain were successfully treated by antibiotics (Clavien and Dindo classification Grade II).

Discussion

In our study, EST before IVF in women with moderate-severe endometriosis was associated with significantly increased CLBR compared with IVF performed with endometrioma left in situ. These results are clinically important given that managing infertile women with an endometrioma before IVF remains a matter of debate [11].

Compared to controls, women with endometriomas have a lower ovarian reserve and ovarian response to COS as demonstrated by the reduced number of retrieved oocytes and mature oocytes [40, 41]. The impact of IVF on an endometriotic cyst seems to be mild. In their systematic review, Somigliana et al. reported that IVF does not worsen endometriosis-related pain symptoms or increase the risk of endometriosis recurrence [42].

Table 2. Cycle characteristics and IVF outcomes in women with moderate-severe endometriosis: Ethanol sclerotherapy (EST) vs. No-EST group.

	EST group (n = 67 cycles)	No-EST group (n = 69 cycles)	p-value
Total gonadotropin dose (IU)	3316.4 ± 1374.8	2871.1 ± 1082.6	0.10
E2 on HCG day (pg/ml)	2250.5 ± 1295.9	2613.6 ± 1534.7	0.25
Stimulation days	11.0 ± 2.0	10.7 ± 2.2	0.43
No. of mature oocytes	5.5 ± 3.3	5.8 ± 3.8	0.71
Fertilization rate (%)	62.3 ± 30.3	58.2 ± 27.7	0.46
No. of diploid embryos	3.4 ± 2.4	3.4 ± 3.0	0.97
No. of "Top" embryos	0.3 ± 0.8	0.3 ± 0.6	0.68
No. of cryopreserved embryos	0.7 ± 1.3	0.4 ± 0.9	0.12
No. of embryos transferred	1.9 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 1.0	0.31
Total number of embryos transfers	(n = 81)	(n = 70)	p-value
No. of frozen embryos transfers	26 (28.0)	12 (14.8)	0.08
No. of "Top" embryos per transfer	0.2 ± 0.5	0.1 ± 0.4	0.24
Implantation rate	21.0 ± 37.8	10.7 ± 28.1	0.06
Cumulative pregnancy outcomes per cycle	(n = 67 cycles)	(n = 69 cycles)	p-value
Live birth rate	21 (31.3)	10 (14.5)	0.03
Clinical pregnancy rate	25 (37.3)	11 (15.9)	0.01
Biochemical pregnancy rate	29 (43.3)	16 (23.2)	0.01
Pregnancy loss rate	8 (26.7)	6 (37.5)	0.45

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD or *n* (%). E2 = estradiol. HCG = human chorionic gonadotropin.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239846.t002>

Our results concerning the increased success rates of IVF are consistent with those from previous studies. In the prospective comparative study of women with recurrent endometriomas by Yazbeck et al., IVF pregnancy rates after IVF was increased after EST compared to surgical excision [22]. In the retrospective comparative study of Guo et al., endometriomas aspiration (without sclerotherapy) exhibited improved ovarian response, embryo quality, implantation rates and IVF clinical pregnancy rates compared to conservative management [43]. However, aspiration of endometrioma is not recommended because it is associated with a very high rate of recurrence (28.9%-91.5%) and a risk of complications, such as pelvic infections [44]. In a retrospective study of 101 women, Lee et al. compared EST before IVF to surgical excision or to conservative management [30]. EST and conservative management were associated with improved ovarian reserve and ovarian response to COS compared to surgical excision. However, LBR was comparable in the three groups. Nevertheless, Lee et al. used 20% ethanol for their EST procedure (vs. 96% pure sterile ethanol in our study) and they included women up to one year between EST and IVF, suggesting a possible decrease in the EST benefit with time.

Endometriosis is a chronic, inflammatory, estrogen-dependent disease, in which endometrial stromal cells acquire the capacity to proliferate, migrate outside the uterine cavity, and invade adjacent tissues. One of the main hypotheses is based on retrograde menstruation [34]. However, the mechanisms underlying the endometrial tissue grafting essentially remain unknown. Recent studies support a multifactorial origin, combining anatomical, hormonal, immunological, genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors [45]. Considering the molecular level, it appears that ion channels, such as cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, aquaporins, and chloride channel-3 dysregulation, are potentially involved in the physiopathology of endometriosis [46]. Several hypotheses could explain increased IVF CLBR after EST. Decreasing the size of the endometrioma could reduce the compression of the

ovarian cortex and enhance the vascular flow [25]. This mechanism may explain the significant increase in antral follicular count [47] as observed by some authors [19, 22]. We did not find any significant difference in AFC or ovarian response between our EST and No-EST group possibly due to the moderate size of endometrioma(s) included in our study. The increase in CLBR does not appear to be linked to a better ovarian response to COS or an increase in the number or the quality of the embryos. Our study groups were comparable in terms of number of embryos transfers, embryo quality and number of embryos transferred. In association with an ultra-long agonist protocol, EST could increase IVF LBR by decreasing pelvic and intra-ovarian inflammation that is associated with moderate-severe endometriosis, thereby improving the oocyte quality and implantation [34]. The low implantation rate observed in the No-EST group with an in situ endometrioma could support this hypothesis. We hypothesize that EST with an ultra-long agonist protocol might be associated with a better implantation rate.

EST may also act via a direct mechanism on the ovary. Indeed, the impact of ethanol sclerotherapy on the adjacent tissue remains unclear. The mechanism of action could combine cytotoxic damage, cell dehydration, coagulation and thrombosis [48]. Wang *et al.* identified differentially expressed genes and potential serum biomarkers in women with endometrioma before and after EST [49]. They reported that the expressions of interleukin-6 (IL6), *CD36*, *JUNB*, *B4GALT1*, *HES1*, and *NR4A1* gene, which are strongly associated with the pathogenesis of ovarian endometrioma, was significantly down regulated after EST. The mechanisms of EST remain unclear and should be further investigated.

On the other hand, in a small randomized clinical trial of women with endometriomas recurrence after laparoscopy, Aflatoonian *et al.* failed to show any difference in clinical pregnancy rates between women with EST and women with conservative management, possibly due to a lack of power [25]. In a retrospective study of 23 women, Suganuma *et al.* did not observe any significant differences between EST and conservative management regarding the number of retrieved oocytes, mature oocytes, or pregnancy rates [31]. The EST technique and the time between EST and IVF were not described.

The meta-analysis of Cohen *et al.* included seven studies on EST [19]. Only one was a randomized controlled trial including 40 woman [25]. The heterogeneity of sclerotherapy protocols and outcome measures make it difficult to draw conclusions about the impact of EST on IVF outcomes. The duration of ethanol instillation inside the cyst would be of major relevance given that EST is more efficient if the instillation lasts 10 minutes or more [19].

Our study is the first to compare IVF CLBR in women undergoing EST with an ultra-long agonist IVF protocol vs. ultra-long agonist IVF protocol alone. We were able to access the CLBR without loss to follow-up, whereas previous studies have mainly focused on ovarian response to COS and clinical pregnancy rate. Evaluating CLBR should be the main endpoint for fertility studies, especially in women with endometriosis, as they are supposedly at an increased risk for pregnancy loss [50]. Another strength of our study is the significant number of EST procedures described given that EST is not a routine procedure in many centers and only small numbers are available in the literature. Moreover, we examined the medical records thoroughly and extracted a large number of variables from the fertility and surgery files, which allowed us to analyze the essential information in detail.

In our study, we reported an EST complication rate of 6.5% with one grade III complication according to the Clavien and Dindo classification. The different types and frequency of complications observed are consistent with the literature (postoperative fever, intracystic abscess, alcohol intoxication) [19]. Of note, in the No-EST group, several cases of post-retrieval infections were recorded (7.2%). Endometrioma infection has been described as a classical complication of oocyte retrieval, but its exact incidence is not known and could be underestimated [15]. The small number of reported cases of complications could be due to publication bias. To

prevent any additional risk of infection, a strict sterile technique should systematically be used when performing EST, and most authors also recommend antibiotic prophylaxis.

Despite bias induced by a retrospective survey, including the possibility of confounding factors, our results suggest that EST associated with a long agonist protocol could be an interesting technique to improve IVF success rates in women with moderate-severe endometriosis. To ensure the comparability of our two groups, we performed a matching procedure based on age, BMI and smoking status, which are potential confounding factors.

We limited our analysis to small to medium endometriomas sizes (25–65 mm of diameter); therefore, our results cannot be extrapolated to larger cysts. The EST procedure was based on Yasbeck's protocol and Cohen's meta-analysis [19, 22, 32], which both describe the sclerotherapy of cysts up to 65 mm. However, in our experience, we have gradually increased the size of endometriomas eligible for sclerotherapy from 65 to 100 mm in diameter. A recent study also reports the successful sclerotherapy of endometriomas up to 100 mm [51]. It would be interesting to study IVF live birth rates after EST of cysts measuring 65 to 100 mm. Moreover, the management of endometriosis should be multidisciplinary, reproducible and standardized to improve the quality of care [52]. The development of reference centers dedicated to the care of women with endometriosis is potentially useful to achieve this goal.

EST offers several advantages compared to the laparoscopic approach. EST is a rapid outpatient procedure that requires minimal equipment at a low cost. Importantly, comparing EST with cystectomy, EST preserves the ovarian reserve [22, 30, 53] in a population already at risk for decreased ovarian reserve, especially in the case of recurrence. EST could be discussed before IVF as first-line therapy in infertile women, especially among those with preexisting diminished ovarian reserve. However, the cost-effectiveness of EST should be further investigated, and large randomized studies are needed to better evaluate the CLBR and safety of EST before IVF.

Supporting information

S1 Data.
(XLSX)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Audrey Gnisci, Blandine Courbiere.

Formal analysis: Noémie Resseguier.

Investigation: Audrey Pivano, Jeanne Perrin.

Methodology: Noémie Resseguier.

Resources: Audrey Pivano.

Supervision: Audrey Pivano, Blandine Courbiere.

Validation: Blandine Courbiere.

Writing – original draft: Laura Miquel, Lise Preaubert.

Writing – review & editing: Blandine Courbiere.

References

1. Meuleman C, Vandenabeele B, Fieuws S, Spiessens C, Timmerman D, D'Hooghe T. High prevalence of endometriosis in infertile women with normal ovulation and normospermic partners. *Fertil Steril*. 2009 Jul; 92(1):68–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.04.056> PMID: 18684448

2. Horton J, Sterrenburg M, Lane S, Maheshwari A, Li TC, Cheong Y. Reproductive, obstetric, and perinatal outcomes of women with adenomyosis and endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2019 Sep 11; 25(5):592–632. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz012> PMID: 31318420
3. Revised American Society for Reproductive Medicine classification of endometriosis: 1996. *Fertil Steril*. 1997 May; 67(5):817–21. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282\(97\)81391-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(97)81391-x) PMID: 9130884
4. Chapron C, Vercellini P, Barakat H, Vieira M, Dubuisson J-B. Management of ovarian endometriomas. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2002 Dec; 8(6):591–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/8.6.591> PMID: 12498427
5. Hart RJ, Hickey M, Maouris P, Buckett W. Excisional surgery versus ablative surgery for ovarian endometriomata. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2008 Apr 16;(2):CD004992. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004992.pub3> PMID: 18425908
6. Nezhat C, Crowgey S, Nezhat F. Videolaseroscopy for the treatment of endometriosis associated with infertility. *Fertil Steril*. 1989 Feb; 51(2):237–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282\(16\)60483-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(16)60483-1) PMID: 2912770
7. Vercellini P, Fedele L, Aimi G, De Giorgi O, Consonni D, Crosignani PG. Reproductive performance, pain recurrence and disease relapse after conservative surgical treatment for endometriosis: the predictive value of the current classification system. *Hum Reprod Oxf Engl*. 2006 Oct; 21(10):2679–85.
8. Garcia-Velasco JA, Somigliana E. Management of endometriomas in women requiring IVF: to touch or not to touch. *Hum Reprod Oxf Engl*. 2009 Mar; 24(3):496–501.
9. Wallace WHB, Kelsey TW. Human ovarian reserve from conception to the menopause. *PloS One*. 2010 Jan 27; 5(1):e8772. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008772> PMID: 20111701
10. Roustan A, Perrin J, Debals-Gonthier M, Paulmyer-Lacroix O, Agostini A, Courbiere B. Surgical diminished ovarian reserve after endometrioma cystectomy versus idiopathic DOR: comparison of in vitro fertilization outcome. *Hum Reprod*. 2015 Apr 1; 30(4):840–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dev029> PMID: 25740883
11. Dunselman G a. J, Vermeulen N, Becker C, Calhaz-Jorge C, D'Hooghe T, De Bie B, et al. ESHRE guideline: management of women with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Oxf Engl*. 2014 Mar; 29(3):400–12.
12. Hamdan M, Dunselman G, Li TC, Cheong Y. The impact of endometrioma on IVF/ICSI outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2015 Dec; 21(6):809–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmv035> PMID: 26168799
13. Benaglia L, Busnelli A, Biancardi R, Vegetti W, Reschini M, Vercellini P, et al. Oocyte retrieval difficulties in women with ovarian endometriomas. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2018 Jul; 37(1):77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2018.03.020> PMID: 29759886
14. Gupta S, Agarwal A, Agarwal R, Loret de Mola JR. Impact of ovarian endometrioma on assisted reproduction outcomes. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2006 Sep; 13(3):349–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1472-6483\(10\)61439-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1472-6483(10)61439-3) PMID: 16984764
15. Somigliana E, Benaglia L, Paffoni A, Busnelli A, Vigano P, Vercellini P. Risks of conservative management in women with ovarian endometriomas undergoing IVF. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2015 Aug; 21(4):486–99. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmv012> PMID: 25750209
16. Aboulghar MA, Mansour RT, Serour GI, Sattar M, Ramzy AM, Amin YM. Treatment of recurrent chocolate cysts by transvaginal aspiration and tetracycline sclerotherapy. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 1993 Nov; 10(8):531–3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01204365> PMID: 8081092
17. Agostini A, De Lapparent T, Collette E, Capelle M, Cravello L, Blanc B. In situ methotrexate injection for treatment of recurrent endometriotic cysts. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2007 Jan; 130(1):129–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2006.01.015> PMID: 16530317
18. Shawki HE, Elmorsi M, Samir A, Eissa MK. In situ methotrexate injection after transvaginal ultrasound-guided aspiration of ovarian endometriomas: A randomized controlled trial. *Middle East Fertil Soc J*. 2011 Sep 1; 16(3):224–31.
19. Cohen A, Almog B, Tulandi T. Sclerotherapy in the management of ovarian endometrioma: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril*. 2017 Jul; 108(1):117–124.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.05.015> PMID: 28579409
20. Hsieh C-L, Shiau C-S, Lo L-M, Hsieh T-T, Chang M-Y. Effectiveness of ultrasound-guided aspiration and sclerotherapy with 95% ethanol for treatment of recurrent ovarian endometriomas. *Fertil Steril*. 2009 Jun; 91(6):2709–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.03.056> PMID: 18571165
21. Wang S-M, Cai H-Q, Dong X-Q, Fan Q-L, Wang L-L, Shao X-H, et al. Correlation between ovarian chocolate cyst and serum carbohydrate antigen 125 level and the effect of ultrasound-guided interventional sclerotherapy on serum carbohydrate antigen 125 level. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2015 Jan; 41(1):92–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.12491> PMID: 25159825

22. Yazbeck C, Madelenat P, Ayel JP, Jacquesson L, Bontoux LM, Solal P, et al. Ethanol sclerotherapy: a treatment option for ovarian endometriomas before ovarian stimulation. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2009 Jul; 19(1):121–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1472-6483\(10\)60055-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1472-6483(10)60055-7) PMID: 19573300
23. Akamatsu N, Hirai T, Masaoka H, Sekiba K, Fujita T. [Ultrasonically guided puncture of endometrial cysts—aspiration of contents and infusion of ethanol]. *Nihon Sanka Fujinka Gakkai Zasshi*. 1988 Feb; 40(2):187–91. PMID: 3283269
24. Gatta G, Parlato V, Di Grezia G, Porto A, Cappabianca S, Grassi R, et al. Ultrasound-guided aspiration and ethanol sclerotherapy for treating endometrial cysts. *Radiol Med (Torino)*. 2010 Dec; 115(8):1330–9.
25. Aflatoonian A, Rahmani E, Rahsepar M. Assessing the efficacy of aspiration and ethanol injection in recurrent endometrioma before IVF cycle: A randomized clinical trial. *Iran J Reprod Med*. 2013 Mar; 11(3):179–84. PMID: 24639744
26. Kikuchi I, Takeuchi H, Kitade M, Shimanuki H, Kumakiri J, Kinoshita K. Recurrence rate of endometriomas following a laparoscopic cystectomy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2006; 85(9):1120–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016340600627154> PMID: 16929419
27. Rubod C, Jean Dit Gautier E, Yazbeck C. [Surgical management of endometrioma: Different alternatives in term of pain, fertility and recurrence. CNGOF-HAS Endometriosis Guidelines]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol*. 2018 Mar; 46(3):278–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gofs.2018.02.013> PMID: 29510964
28. Miquel L, Preaubert L, Gnisci A, Netter A, Courbiere B, Agostini, et al. Transvaginal ethanol sclerotherapy for an endometrioma in 10 steps. *Fertility and Sterility*. *in press*.
29. André GM, Vilarino FL, Christofolini DM, Bianco B, Barbosa CP. Aspiration and ethanol sclerotherapy to treat recurrent ovarian endometriomas prior to in vitro fertilization—a pilot study. *Einstein Sao Paulo Braz*. 2011 Dec; 9(4):494–8.
30. Lee K-H, Kim C-H, Lee Y-J, Kim S-H, Chae H-D, Kang B-M. Surgical resection or aspiration with ethanol sclerotherapy of endometrioma before in vitro fertilization in infertile women with endometrioma. *Obstet Gynecol Sci*. 2014 Jul; 57(4):297–303. <https://doi.org/10.5468/ogs.2014.57.4.297> PMID: 25105103
31. Suganuma N, Wakahara Y, Ishida D, Asano M, Kitagawa T, Katsumata Y, et al. Pretreatment for ovarian endometrial cyst before in vitro fertilization. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2002; 54 Suppl 1:36–40; discussion 41–42.
32. Yazbeck C, Koskas M, Cohen Scali S, Kahn V, Luton D, Madelenat P. [How I do . . . ethanol sclerotherapy for ovarian endometriomas]. *Gynécologie Obstétrique Fertil*. 2012 Oct; 40(10):620–2.
33. Bazot M, Bornier C, Dubernard G, Roseau G, Cortez A, Daraï E. Accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging and rectal endoscopic sonography for the prediction of location of deep pelvic endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Oxf Engl*. 2007 May; 22(5):1457–63.
34. Chapron C, Marcellin L, Borghese B, Santulli P. Rethinking mechanisms, diagnosis and management of endometriosis. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2019 Nov; 15(11):666–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0245-z> PMID: 31488888
35. Guerriero S, Saba L, Pascual MA, Ajossa S, Rodriguez I, Mais V, et al. Transvaginal ultrasound vs magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosing deep infiltrating endometriosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol Off J Int Soc Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 May; 51(5):586–95.
36. Zanardi R, Del Frate C, Zuiani C, Bazzocchi M. Staging of pelvic endometriosis based on MRI findings versus laparoscopic classification according to the American Fertility Society. *Abdom Imaging*. 2003 Oct 1; 28(5):733–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-003-0005-2> PMID: 14628887
37. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical Complications: A New Proposal With Evaluation in a Cohort of 6336 Patients and Results of a Survey. *Ann Surg*. 2004 Aug; 240(2):205–13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae> PMID: 15273542
38. Zegers-Hochschild F, Adamson GD, Dyer S, Racowsky C, de Mouzon J, Sokol R, et al. The International Glossary on Infertility and Fertility Care, 2017. *Fertil Steril*. 2017 Sep 1; 108(3):393–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.06.005> PMID: 28760517
39. Flynn TN. Design and Analysis of Cluster Randomization Trials in Health Research. Allan Donner and Neil Klar. London: Arnold, 2000, pp.178, £35.00. ISBN: 0-340-69153-0. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2001 Apr 1; 30(2):407–8.
40. González-Foruria I, Soldevila PB, Rodríguez I, Rodríguez-Purata J, Pardos C, García S, et al. Do ovarian endometriomas affect ovarian response to ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI? *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2020 Jul; 41(1):37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2020.03.013> PMID: 32456967

41. Terzic M, Aimagambetova G, Garzon S, Bapayeva G, Ukybassova T, Terzic S, et al. Ovulation induction in infertile women with endometriotic ovarian cysts: current evidence and potential pitfalls. *Minerva Med.* 2020 Feb; 111(1):50–61. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4806.19.06346-8> PMID: 31755673
42. Somigliana E, Viganò P, Benaglia L, Busnelli A, Paffoni A, Vercellini P. Ovarian stimulation and endometriosis progression or recurrence: a systematic review. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2019 Feb; 38(2):185–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2018.11.021> PMID: 30609970
43. Guo Y, Lu N, Zhang Y, Su Y, Wang Y, Zhang Y, et al. Comparative study on the pregnancy outcomes of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer between long-acting gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist combined with transvaginal ultrasound-guided cyst aspiration and long-acting gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist alone. *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2012 Nov; 33(6):1206–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2012.07.009> PMID: 22820320
44. Gonçalves FC, Andres MP, Passman LJ, Gonçalves MOC, Podgaec S. A systematic review of ultrasonography-guided transvaginal aspiration of recurrent ovarian endometrioma. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet Off Organ Int Fed Gynaecol Obstet.* 2016 Jul; 134(1):3–7.
45. Laganà AS, Garzon S, Götte M, Viganò P, Franchi M, Ghezzi F, et al. The Pathogenesis of Endometriosis: Molecular and Cell Biology Insights. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019 Nov 10; 20(22).
46. Riemma G, Laganà AS, Schiattarella A, Garzon S, Cobellis L, Autiero R, et al. Ion Channels in The Pathogenesis of Endometriosis: A Cutting-Edge Point of View. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020 Feb 7; 21(3).
47. Molloy D, Martin M, Speirs A, Lopata A, Clarke G, McBain J, et al. Performance of patients with a “frozen pelvis” in an in vitro fertilization program. *Fertil Steril.* 1987 Mar; 47(3):450–5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282\(16\)59054-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(16)59054-2) PMID: 3556623
48. Albanese G, Kondo KL. Pharmacology of sclerotherapy. *Semin Interv Radiol.* 2010 Dec; 27(4):391–9.
49. Wang L-L, Cai H-Q, Dong X-Q, Zhang L-W, Jiang S-S, Zhao N, et al. Differentially expressed gene profiles in the serum before and after the ultrasound-guided ethanol sclerotherapy in patients with ovarian endometriomas. *Clin Biochem.* 2015 Nov 1; 48(16):1131–7.
50. Santulli P, Marcellin L, Menard S, Thubert T, Khoshnood B, Gayet V, et al. Increased rate of spontaneous miscarriages in endometriosis-affected women. *Hum Reprod Oxf Engl.* 2016 May; 31(5):1014–23.
51. Garcia-Tejedor A, Martinez-Garcia JM, Candas B, Suarez E, Mañalich L, Gomez M, et al. Ethanol Sclerotherapy versus Laparoscopic Surgery for Endometrioma Treatment: A Prospective, Multicenter, Cohort Pilot Study. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2020 Jul 1; 27(5):1133–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2019.08.036> PMID: 32272240
52. Findekle S, Radosa JC, Hamza A, Haj Hamoud B, Iordache I, Sklavounos P, et al. Treatment algorithm for women with endometriosis in a certified Endometriosis Unit. *Minerva Ginecol.* 2020 Feb; 72(1):43–9.
53. Han K, Seo SK, Kim M-D, Kim GM, Kwon JH, Kim HJ, et al. Catheter-directed Sclerotherapy for Ovarian Endometrioma: Short-term Outcomes. *Radiology.* 2018; 289(3):854–9. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2018180606> PMID: 30152743