

### SLX4 interacts with RTEL1 to prevent transcription-mediated DNA replication perturbations

A. Takedachi, E. Despras, S. Scaglione, R. Guérois, J. Guervilly, M. Blin, S.

Audebert, L. Camoin, Z. Hasanova, M. Schertzer, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

A. Takedachi, E. Despras, S. Scaglione, R. Guérois, J. Guervilly, et al.. SLX4 interacts with RTEL1 to prevent transcription-mediated DNA replication perturbations. Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, 2020, 27 (5), pp.438-449. 10.1038/s41594-020-0419-3 . hal-03079782

### HAL Id: hal-03079782 https://hal.science/hal-03079782

Submitted on 17 Dec 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Figure #	Figure title One sentence only	Filename This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system. Please include the file extension. i.e.: Smith_ED_Figl.jpg	Figure Legend If you are citing a reference for the first time in these legends, please include all new references in the Online Methods References section, and carry on the numbering from the main References section of the paper.
Extended Data Fig. 1	RTEL1 is a binding partner of SLX4	NSMB_A415 14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_1_fi nal.jpg	<ul> <li>(a) YFP-pull down from HeLa cells expressing YFP-SLX4. In lanes 3, 4 and 5 YFP-pull downs were washed in a high salt buffer (NaCl) or carried out in the presence of Benzonase (Benzo) or Ethidium bromide (EtBr) (See Methods). MUS81 and XPF were used as positive controls for SLX4-binding.</li> <li>(b) Endogenous SLX4 was immunoprecipitated from a HeLa cell- lysate with a combination of two different anti-SLX4 antibodies (See methods).</li> <li>(c) Immunoprecipitation of Flag-HA- SLX4 from dox-inducible HeLa cells at various time points after release from a thymidine block. Cell cycle profiles of the samples (left). Immunoblot of a representative experiment (right) and the quantifications of three independent experiments (mean with SEM).</li> <li>(d) RTEL1-binding domain of SLX4 and multiple SLX4 sequence alignment centred on the region 599-635 of human SLX4. Top two sequences report secondary structures (H: helix) and disorder status (D) predicted by PSIPRED and SPOTD algorithms, respectively (See Methods). NCBI RefSeq identifiers are given within brackets. UBZ4: ubiquitin-binding, MLR: primary XPF-binding domain, BTB: homodimerization domain (also contributes to XPF-binding), TBM: TRF2-binding motif, SIM: SUMO- interacting motifs, SAP: MUS81-binding region, CCD: SLX1-binding domain.</li> <li>(e) YFP-pull downs from HeLa cells expressing WT or mutated YFP-SLX4. ΔBTB: M<sub>684</sub>VNN-GLPP<sub>764</sub> was deleted from the BTB domain. BTB5A and SIM: point mutations in the BTB domain and SIM motifs, respectively, as described in<sup>2</sup>. D614G and L618P: cancer-associated</li> </ul>

			mutations (Fig. 1d). (f) YFP-pull downs from HeLa cells expressing WT or mutated YFP-SLX4. L1022A: TRF2-binding defective mutant. All immunoblots were performed with antibodies against the indicated proteins. Uncropped images for panels a,b,d,e,f and data for graphs in panel b are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 2	List of known SLX4 interactors identified in YFP-SLX4 pull down	NSMB_A415 14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_2_fi nal_new.jpg	List of all known SLX4 interactors (light green) and RTEL1 (dark green) that were identified in the YFP-SLX4 pull down shown in Extended Data 1a. The table shows a spectral counting based on the number of peptide-to-spectrum matching (PSM) events. (see Supplementary Note for Mass spectrometry and data analysis methods and Supplementary Table 1 for the full data report).
Extended Data Fig. 3	List of SLX4 partners impacted by the D614G and L618P SLX4 mutations	NSMB_A415 14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_3_fi nal_new.jpg	List of all proteins identified in all three runs of the wild-type YFP-SLX4 <sub>577-795</sub> sample but in none of the runs of the D614G and L618P mutated samples or the HeLa "Fit0" (HeLa Flp-In TREX cells with no SLX4 cDNA integrated at the FRT site) negative control (see Supplementary Table 4 for the mass spectrometry data full report). The table shows a spectral counting based on the number of peptide-to-spectrum matching (PSM) events. (see Supplementary Note for Mass spectrometry and data analysis methods and Supplementary Table 1 for the data full report).
Extended Data Fig. 4	SLX4 binds HD1 of RTEL1	NSMB_A415 14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_4_fi nal_new.jpg	(a) Multiple sequence alignment of RTEL1 homologs focused on the region 888-1156 of human RTEL1. Top two sequences report the secondary structures (H for helix) and disorder status (D) predicted by PSIPRED and SPOTD algorithms, respectively (See Methods). Blue boxes indicate the delimitation of the canonical harmonin/PAH domains HD1 and HD2 and the red box spots out the extension required for interaction with SLX4. For species having diverged before the emergence of bony fishes, the

		Î.	
			second harmonin domain is not present. NCBI RefSeq identifiers are given within brackets. (b) <i>E. coli</i> produced 6His-tagged HD1a (RTEL1 <sub>885-975</sub> ), HD1b (RTEL1 <sub>885-990</sub> ) and HD2a (RTEL1 <sub>1046-1142</sub> ) fragments were used in a Ni++-pull down <i>in vitro</i> assay to monitor their interaction with a GST- tagged SLX4 <sub>577-1042</sub> (Helix+BTB) fragment. The first and last lanes represent the inputs of the Ni++-pull down assays. B: Ni++-beads, Ft: Flow through. The pelleted beads were resuspended in a volume of Laemmli buffer equivalent to the initial volume of the binding assay. Identical volumes of the GST-tagged SLX4 <sub>577</sub> . 1042 (Helix+BTB) fragment (diluted to the final concentration used in the binding assay), the B and the Ft samples were loaded on the gel. (c) Schematic representation of the RTEL1 fragment (Top) used in Y2H to assess direct binding to the SLX4 <sub>577</sub> . 1042 fragment. K897E: Hoyeraal- Hreidarsson syndrome (HHS) associated mutation. Bottom panel shows Y2H to assess direct binding between the RTEL1 fragments. (d) Schematic representation of the YFP- tagged RTEL1 fragments (Top) used in the YFP-pull down to assess binding to endogenous SLX4 (Bottom). All indicated RTEL1 point mutations are from Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome (HHS) patients <sup>31</sup> . Uncropped images of the immunoblots in panels b,d and Y2H in c are available as source data.
Extended Data	Interaction	NSMB_A415	(a) Colony survival assay with mock-
Fig. 5	between SLX4 and RTEL1 is required for proper replication fork progression but not for ICL	14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_5_fi nal_new.jpg	depleted (siLUC) and SLX4-depleted (siUTR) HeLa "Fit0" and HeLa Flp-In TREX cells expressing WT or mutated Flag-HA-SLX4 as indicated treated with MMC for 24 hrs. Values represent the means and SEM from three independent experiments. The Immunoblots were
	repair		carried out with antibodies against SLX4 and XPF.

			n/a: lanes that are not relevant to the
			colony survival assay. A portion of the
			corresponding Ponceau stained
			membrane is shown under the
			immunoblots. SLX4 runs just above the
			250 kDa mark while XPF runs slightly
			above the 100 kDa mark.
			(b) Analysis of replication fork dynamics
			in HeLa cells depleted for SLX4 or
			RTEL1, as described in Fig. 3a. NT: non-
			targeting control siRNA. Data are shown
			in box-plots (median, first and third
			quartile) with 5 <sup>th</sup> -95 <sup>th</sup> percentile whiskers
			(+: mean, n: number of unbroken signals
			analysed). Statistical significance was
			assessed with the Mann-Whitney test (ns:
			not significant, ***: $p<0.001$ , ****:
			p<0.0001). The immunoblots were
			PTEL 1 and 6 actin used as internal
			loading control. The arrow indicates the
			SI X4 hand
			(c) as in h in U2OS "Fit0" cells depleted
			for SLX4 or RTEL1 LUC: control
			siRNA.
			(d) Control immunoblots and the
			corresponding Ponceau stained
			membrane for Fig. 3b showing the
			relative levels of endogenous SLX4 (lane
			1 before depletion; lanes 2 to 8 after
			depletion) and recombinant WT or
			mutated SLX4 proteins expressed in cells
			depleted for endogenous SLX4 (lanes 3
			to 8). SLX4 runs just above the 250 kDa
			mark while XPF runs slightly above the
			100 KDa mark.
			n/a. Tanes that are not relevant to the data
			e) as in h in U2OS "Fit0" and U2OS
			Fin-In TREX cells stably expressing
			DOX-inducible WT or mutated Flag-HA-
			SLX4 as indicated. siSLX4 <sup>UTR</sup> was used
			to deplete endogenous SLX4.
			Uncropped images of the immunoblots in
			panels a-d and data for graphs in panels
			a,b,c,e are available as source data.
Extended Data	SLX4 promotes	NSMB_A415	(a) PLA between SLX4 (HA) and RTEL1
Fig. 6	replication fork	14B_Takedac	was performed in HeLa Flp-In TREX
	progression	hi_Extended_	cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 before
	independently	Data_Fig_6_fi	HA counterstaining (in green and red,

	of its associated SSEs	nal_new.jpg	respectively). PLA spots per HA-positive cells are plotted (red bars: median with interquartile range). Parental HeLa "Fit0" cells were used as a negative control and PLA spots were counted in random nuclei for this condition (grey distribution with orange bars). Kruskal-Wallis test (n>55, ****: p<0.0001). Representative single cells with different HA contents are shown (scale bar: 10 $\mu$ m). (b) Nascent DNA strands were pulse- labelled with 5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU). Biotin was conjugated to EdU by click chemistry after cell fixation. <i>In situ</i> proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed between endogenous RTEL1 and EdU, using an anti-biotin antibody, before EdU counterstaining (in green and red, respectively). Reactions omitting one of the primary antibodies (Ab) were used as negative controls. The number of PLA spots per EdU-positive cells is plotted, except in the RTEL1 Ab only negative control in which PLA spots were counted in random nuclei (red or orange bars: median with interquartile range, n>79). Statistical significance was tested with the Kruskal-Wallis test (****: p<0.0001). Representative nuclei are shown (scale bar: 10 $\mu$ m). (c) As in b in SV40-immortalised patient fibroblasts expressing WT or R957W RTEL1. The immunoblot was performed with antibodies against RTEL1 and GAPDH used as internal loading control. Uncropped images of the immunoblots in
			Uncropped images of the immunoblots in panel c and data for graphs in panels a-c are available as source data.
Extended Data Fig. 7	SLX4-RTEL1 interaction is need for tight colocalization between FANCD2 and RNA Pol II and to avoid replication- transcription conflicts	NSMB_A415 14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_7_fi nal_new.jpg	<ul> <li>(a) Number of SLX4 foci detected by anti-HA immunofluorescence in U2OS Flp-In TREX cells producing the indicated Flag-HA-SLX4 proteins.</li> <li>(b) Representative images of the immunofluorescence data quantified in Fig. 5a and Extended data Fig. 7a.</li> <li>(c) Representative fields for the PLA FANCD2/RNA pol II pS2 shown in Fig. 5c. Scale bar: 10 μm.</li> <li>(d) PLA between SLX4 (HA) and RNA pol II pS2 was performed in U2OS Flp-In</li> </ul>

			TREX cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 before HA counterstaining. Single-cell HA intensity (n>152, left panel) and PLA spots per HA-positive cells (right panel) are plotted (n>109, red bars: median with interquartile range). Parental U2OS "Fit0" cells were used as a negative control and PLA spots were counted in random nuclei for this condition (grey distribution with orange bars). Kruskal- Wallis test (ns: not significant, ****: p<0.0001). (e) PLA between endogenous RTEL1 and RNA pol II pS2 was performed in SV40- immortalised patient fibroblasts expressing WT or R957W RTEL1. Reactions omitting one of the primary antibodies (Ab) were used as negative controls. Kruskal-Wallis test (ns: not significant, ****: p<0.0001). Data for graphs in panels a,d,e are
Extended Data	Transcription	NSMB A415	a) Supporting data for the DNA fiber
Fig. 8	is toxic to replication in absence of SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation	14B_Takedac hi_Extended_ Data_Fig_8_fi nal_new.jpg	assay shown in Fig. 6a. (b) HeLa "Fit0" cells were depleted for SLX4 or RTEL1. 1 $\mu$ M triptolide was added to the culture medium for 3 h before and during the IdU and CldU pulses to inhibit transcription initiation. Replication fork dynamics was analysed as in Fig. 3a. Mann-Whitney test, ns: not significant, **: p<0.01, ****: p<0.0001). Uncropped images of the immunoblots and data for graphs in panels a and b are available as source data.

Item	Present?	Filename This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system, and should include the file extension. The extension must be .pdf	A brief, numerical description of file contents. i.e.: Supplementary Figures 1-4, Supplementary Discussion, and Supplementary Tables 1-4.
Supplementary	Yes	NSMB_A41514	Supplementary note
Information		B_Takedachi_S	
		upplementary_N	
		ote.pdf	
Reporting Summary	Yes	NSMB_A41514	

	B_nr-reporting- summary.pdf
--	--------------------------------

Туре	Number If there are multiple files of the same type this should be the numerical indicator. i.e. "1" for Video 1, "2" for Video 2, etc.	Filename This should be the name the file is saved as when it is uploaded to our system, and should include the file extension. i.e.: Smith_ Supplementary_Video_1.mov	Legend or Descriptive Caption Describe the contents of the file
Supplementary Table	1	NSMB_A41514B_Ta kedachi_Supplementa ry_Table_1.xlsx	Full data report from the mass spectrometry analysis of Extended data Fig. 1a
Supplementary Table	2	NSMB_A41514B_Ta kedachi_Supplementa ry Table 2.xlsx	Full data report from the mass spectrometry analysis of Extended data Fig. 1e

Figura	Filonomo	Data description
riguie	<b>Filename</b>	Data description
	saved as when it is unloaded to our	Source Data etc
	system, and should include the file	Source Dura, etc.
	extension. i.e.:	
	Smith_SourceData_Fig1.xls, or Smith_	
	Unmodified_Gels_Fig1.pdf	
Source Data Fig. 1	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
	ata_Figure_1.pdf	gels, FACS data
	NSMB_A41514B_Takedachi	xlsx file: statistical source data and
	_SourceData_Fig1.xlsx	calculation of statistical values
Source Data Fig. 2	NSMB A41514B Source D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
	ata Figure 2.pdf	gels
Source Data Fig. 3	NSMB A41514B Source D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
	ata Figure 3.pdf	gels
	NSMB A41514B Takedachi	6
	SourceData Fig3 xlsx	xlsx file: statistical source data and
		calculation of statistical values
Course Data Eig. 4	NEMD A 41514D Course D	a de filos Usana constatistical values
Source Data Fig. 4	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed western Blots and/or
	ata_Figure_4.pdf	gels
	NSMB_A41514B_Takedach1	
	SourceData_Fig4.xlsx	xlsx file: statistical source data and
		calculation of statistical values
Source Data Fig. 5	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
	ata Figure 5.pdf	gels
	NSMB A41514B Takedachi	
	SourceData Fig5.xlsx	xlsx file: statistical source data and
		calculation of statistical values
Source Data Fig. 6	NSMB_A41514B_Source D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
	ata_Figure_6.pdf	gels

	NSMB_A41514B_Takedachi	
	_SourceData_Figo.xisx	calculation of statistical values
Source Data	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
Extended Data	ata_Extended_Data_Fig_1.pd	gels
Fig. I	I	
Source Data	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
Extended Data	ata_Extended_Data_Fig_4_n	gels
Fig. 4	ew.pdf	
Source Data	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
Extended Data	ata_Extended_Data_Fig_5_n	gels
Fig. 5	ew.pdf	
	NSMB_A41514B_Takedachi	xlsx file: statistical source data and
	_SourceData_ExtData_Fig5_	calculation of statistical values
	new.xlsx	
Source Data	NSMB_A41514B_Source_D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
Extended Data	ata Extended Data Fig 6 n	gels
Fig. 6	ew.pdf	
	NSMB A41514B Takedachi	xlsx file: statistical source data and
	SourceData ExtData Fig6	calculation of statistical values
	new.xlsx	
Source Data	NSMB A41514B Takedachi	
Extended Data	SourceData ExtData Fig7	
Fig. 7	new.xlsx	
Source Data	NSMB A41514B Source D	pdf file: Unprocessed Western Blots and/or
Extended Data	ata Extended Data Fig 8 n	gels
Fig. 8	ew.pdf	
	NSMB A41514B Takedachi	xlsx file: statistical source data and
	SourceData ExtData Fig8	calculation of statistical values
	new.xlsx	

5 SLX4 Interacts With RTEL1 To Prevent Transcription-Mediated DNA Replication6 Perturbations

7

### 8 AUTHOR LIST AND AFFILIATIONS

A. Takedachi<sup>1,2,3§</sup>, E. Despras<sup>4§</sup>, S. Scaglione<sup>1#</sup>, R. Guérois<sup>5#</sup>, J.H. Guervilly<sup>1</sup>, M. Blin<sup>1</sup>, S.
Audebert<sup>1</sup>, L. Camoin<sup>1</sup>, Z. Hasanova<sup>1,6</sup>, M. Schertzer<sup>7,8</sup>, A. Guille<sup>1</sup>, D. Churikov<sup>1</sup>, I. Callebaut<sup>9</sup>, V.
Naim<sup>10</sup>, M. Chaffanet<sup>1</sup>, J.P. Borg<sup>1</sup>, F. Bertucci<sup>1</sup>, P. Revy<sup>11</sup>, D. Birnbaum<sup>1</sup>, A. Londoño-Vallejo<sup>7,8</sup>,
P.L. Kannouche<sup>4</sup>, P.H.L. Gaillard<sup>1\*</sup>

13

<sup>1</sup>Centre de Recherche en Cancérologie de Marseille, CRCM, Inserm, CNRS, Aix-Marseille

- 15 Université, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France
- 16 <sup>2</sup>Inovarion, F-75013 Paris, France
- <sup>17</sup> <sup>3</sup>Current address: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Fukuoka University, Japan

- <sup>18</sup> <sup>4</sup>CNRS UMR9019, Université Paris-Saclay, Equipe labellisée Ligue contre le Cancer, Gustave
- 19 Roussy, Villejuif, France
- 20 <sup>5</sup>Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), CEA, CNRS, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université
- 21 Paris-Saclay, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France
- <sup>6</sup>Current address: Institute of Molecular Genetics, Prague, Czech Republic
- <sup>23</sup> <sup>7</sup>Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS, UMR3244, F-75005, Paris, France.
- <sup>8</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR3244, F-75005, Paris, France.
- <sup>9</sup>Sorbonne Université, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR CNRS 7590, IRD, Institut de
- 26 Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, IMPMC, 75005 Paris, France
- 27 <sup>10</sup>CNRS UMR9019, Université Paris-Saclay, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France
- 28 <sup>11</sup>INSERM Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 1163, Laboratory of Genome Dynamics in the
- 29 Immune System, Equipe labellisée Ligue contre le Cancer, Paris, France, Paris Descartes-
- 30 Sorbonne Paris Cité University, Imagine Institute, Paris, France
- 31
- 32
- 33 <sup>§</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work
- 34 <sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work
- 35 \*corresponding author pierre-henri.gaillard@inserm.fr
- 36
- 37
- 38

39 The SLX4 tumour suppressor is a scaffold that plays a pivotal role in several aspects of genome 40 protection including homologous recombination, interstrand DNA cross-link repair and the 41 maintenance of common fragile sites and telomeres. Here we unravel an unexpected direct 42 interaction between SLX4 and the DNA helicase RTEL1, which until now were viewed as having 43 independent and antagonistic functions. We identify cancer and Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson 44 syndrome-associated mutations in SLX4 and RTEL1, respectively, that abolish SLX4-RTEL1 45 complex formation. We show that both proteins get recruited to nascent DNA, tightly colocalize 46 with active RNA pol II and that SLX4, in complex with RTEL1, promotes FANCD2/RNA pol II 47 colocalization. Importantly, disrupting SLX4-RTEL1 interaction leads to DNA replication defects 48 in unstressed cells which are rescued by inhibiting transcription. Our data demonstrate that 49 SLX4 and RTEL1 interact to prevent replication-transcription conflicts and provide evidence that 50 this is independent of the nuclease scaffold function of SLX4.

51

### 52 Keywords

SLX4, RTEL1, FANCD2, DNA replication, transcription, cancer, Hoyeraal Hreidarsson
 syndrome, Fanconi anemia, replication stress, harmonin N-like domain, PAH domain, BTB
 domain

56

### 57 INTRODUCTION

58 Multi-protein scaffolds fulfil pivotal functions in the maintenance of genome stability by 59 orchestrating the action of their partners and coordinating mechanisms ranging from DNA 60 damage signalling, cell-cycle control, DNA repair, chromosome segregation to cell division. 61 Amongst these, the human SLX4 (FANCP) tumour suppressor has been in the spotlight since it 62 was found to associate with the XPF-ERCC1, MUS81-EME1 and SLX1 structure-specific 63 endonucleases (SSE) and control these enzymes in interstrand DNA cross-link (ICL) repair. 64 homologous recombination and/or the maintenance of telomeres and common fragile sites<sup>1-11</sup>. 65 SLX4 also associates with other factors involved in the maintenance of genome stability including MSH2, TRF2, TOPBP1 and the PLK1 kinase<sup>1,3,4,12</sup>. Interaction with both ubiguitin and 66 67 SUMO contributes to the regulatory functions of SLX4<sup>2,7,13</sup>, which itself has been shown to 68 promote its own SUMOylation as well as that of its XPF partner<sup>2</sup>. In yeast, SIx4 fulfils additional 69 functions ranging from checkpoint dampening to promoting DNA end resection(for review<sup>14</sup>). 70 The importance of its contribution to such diverse aspects of genome maintenance is 71 underscored by the fact that biallelic mutations in SLX4 are causative of the rare hereditary 72 syndrome Fanconi anemia that is characterized by chromosomal instability, bone marrow failure, developmental defects and high cancer predisposition<sup>15,16</sup>. Despite the progress made on our 73 74 understanding of some of SLX4 functions, in particular its well-established prominent role in ICL

repair<sup>1,3,4,13,14,17-19</sup>, much remains to be done before we can fully understand the various ways by
 which SLX4 contributes to the maintenance of genome stability.

77 Here we unravel an unexpected direct interaction between SLX4 and the RTEL1 78 helicase, which were viewed until now as having rather independent and antagonistic 79 functions<sup>20</sup>. The RTEL1 helicase contributes to the maintenance of genome stability by facilitating telomere as well as genome-wide replication<sup>20-26</sup>. Its ability to unfold D-loops is 80 81 believed to reduce crossover rates by promoting double-strand break repair through synthesis-82 dependent strand annealing<sup>27</sup>. It interacts with PCNA<sup>22</sup> and contributes to the replication of pericentromeric heterochromatin in complex with TRF2<sup>28</sup>. In addition to these DNA-metabolism 83 84 related functions, which are believed to primarily rely on its helicase activity, RTEL1 is also involved in the trafficking of ribonucleoproteins<sup>29</sup>. The functional importance of RTEL1 is 85 86 underscored by the fact that biallelic RTEL1 mutations are associated with Dyskeratosis 87 congenita (DC) and Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome (HHS), its severe form, characterized by 88 developmental defects, bone marrow failure and immunodeficiency<sup>30,31</sup> while heterozygous 89 RTEL1 mutations cause pulmonary fibrosis<sup>32,33</sup>.

90 We demonstrate that SLX4 is necessary for optimal DNA replication in unstressed cells 91 and that this relies on its interaction with RTEL1 but not its SSE partners. Importantly, we 92 identify cancer-patient associated SLX4 and RTEL1 somatic mutations and HHS-associated 93 RTEL1 germline mutations that abrogate SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation. We show that both 94 SLX4 and RTEL1 get recruited to nascent DNA strands and that they can be found in the 95 immediate vicinity of active RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II). SLX4 turns out to drive the 96 recruitment and/or accumulation of FANCD2 at RNA pol II. In line with the recently described 97 role of FANCD2 in preventing endogenous transcription-induced replication stress<sup>34,35</sup>, we 98 demonstrate that SLX4 and RTEL1 interact to prevent replication-transcription conflicts in 99 unstressed cells.

100

### 101 **RESULTS**

102

### 103 SLX4 interacts with RTEL1

104 While conducting tandem mass spectrometry analyses of proteins that co-purify with YFP-SLX4 105 stably produced in HeLa cells under the control of a doxycycline (Dox)-inducible promoter, we 106 reproducibly and specifically found a small number of RTEL1 peptides in SLX4 complexes 107 (Extended data Fig. 1a, Extended data Fig.2, Supplementary Table 1). Immunoprecipitation and 108 Western blot analysis confirmed SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation and showed that it is not 109 mediated by DNA and is sufficiently robust to be maintained in a high-salt buffer (Fig. 1a). 110 Importantly, endogenous RTEL1 was also detected in pull downs of endogenous SLX4 (Fig. 1b). 111 Furthermore, we found SLX4 and RTEL1 to partially colocalize in the nucleus (Extended data

Fig. 1b) and their interaction, while constitutive throughout the cell cycle, to be enhanced in late-

113 S/G2 and mitosis suggesting a cell-cycle dependent control (Fig. 1c and Extended data Fig. 1c).

114

### 115 SLX4 and RTEL1 are direct binding partners

116 To map the RTEL1 binding domain in SLX4, we assessed the ability of endogenous RTEL1 to 117 co-immunoprecipitate with various recombinant YFP-tagged fragments of SLX4 produced in 118 HeLa cells (Extended data Fig. 1d). As depicted in Fig. 1d, the RTEL1 binding domain 119 corresponds to a region of SLX4 that encompasses both the BTB domain, which drives the 120 homodimerization of SLX4 and is important for ICL-repair and telomere related functions of 121 SLX4<sup>2,36</sup>, and a short conserved amphipathic motif (residues 603 to 626) of unknown function 122 located just upstream of the BTB domain (Fig. 1d and Extended data Fig. 1d). Interestingly, we 123 have identified in biopsied metastases from two unrelated patients (lung metastasis of 124 chondroblastic osteosarcoma and liver metastasis of gastric adenocarcinoma), two somatic 125 mutations that alter conserved residues within that motif (Fig. 1d). Both D614G and L618P 126 mutations abrogate interaction of SLX4 with RTEL1 (Fig. 1e) but not with XPF despite the 127 nearby MLR XPF-binding domain (Fig. 1d). Deletion of the BTB or point mutations in that 128 domain also strongly impairs interaction with RTEL1 (Fig. 1e). Our results indicate that both the 129 short conserved motif upstream of the BTB and the BTB itself are required for optimal 130 interaction with RTEL1. Accordingly, proteomic analyses confirmed that RTEL1 is the primary 131 binding partner of an SLX4 fragment containing the conserved amphipathic motif and the BTB 132 domain (Extended data Fig. 1e, Extended data Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 2). Most strikingly, 133 the D614G and L618P mutations abrogated interaction only with RTEL1, amongst all 134 functionally relevant potential interactors of that region of SLX4 (Extended data Fig. 1e, 135 Extended data Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 2).

Noteworthy, SLX4 and RTEL1 can both interact with TRF2<sup>1,4,8,9,37</sup>. However, SLX4 mutations that abrogate interaction with RTEL1 do not impact interaction with TRF2 and *vice versa* (Fig. 138 1f). This indicates that TRF2 does not contribute to SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation and suggests that RTEL1 and TRF2, which preferentially associate at the G1/S transition and in S 140 phase<sup>37</sup>, bind SLX4 when not in complex with one another.

141 We next undertook the identification of the SLX4-binding domain in RTEL1. The important 142 contribution of the amphipathic motif in SLX4 provided clues as to which part of RTEL1 might be 143 involved in the SLX4-RTEL1 interaction. Indeed, RTEL1 contains two harmonin-N-like motifs that are related to the paired amphipathic helix (PAH) domain<sup>38</sup>. The PAH domain is a protein-144 145 protein interaction module that folds into a helical bundle structure that forms a hydrophobic 146 cleft for the binding of a short amphipathic helix. Such a helix is predicted to form between 147 residues 604 and 620 within the conserved domain of SLX4 that is critical for binding to RTEL1 148 (Fig. 1d). Our modelling analyses suggested that both harmonins of RTEL1 could accommodate

149 this helix (Fig. 2a). In particular, residues D614 and L618 in SLX4 would lie at the interface of the helix and the harmonins-binding site. Supporting our prediction, an RTEL1<sup>763-1164</sup> fragment 150 151 that contains both harmonin domains (HD) efficiently pulls down endogenous SLX4 (Fig. 2b). 152 Direct interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1 and precise mapping of the SLX4-binding domain 153 in RTEL1 were further monitored by yeast two hybrid (Y2H). Interestingly, the amphipathic helix 154 and the BTB are jointly needed to interact with an RTEL1 fragment containing both HDs as no 155 interaction was detected with just the helix (Fig. 2c). In contrast to our modelling predictions (Fig. 156 2a), interaction was detected only with the first HD (HD1) (Fig. 2c). This specificity turned out to 157 rely on a short conserved sequence located just after the C-terminus of HD1 that is not found 158 after the second harmonin domain (HD2) as an HD1 fragment lacking this short sequence, does 159 not bind SLX4 (Fig. 2c and Extended data Fig. 4a). Both SLX4 D614G and L618P patient-160 derived mutations totally abrogate interaction with RTEL1 in Y2H (Fig. 2d), confirming that the 161 conserved amphipathic motif of SLX4 is essential for direct binding to RTEL1. The specificity of 162 this direct interaction was further confirmed in vitro with bacterially produced recombinant SLX4 163 and RTEL1 fragments (Figure 2e and Extended data Fig. 4b). Interestingly, amongst the RTEL1 164 germline mutations identified in HHS patients, four missense mutations have been mapped 165 within HD1 and two nonsense mutations in the non-structured segment that links HD1 and 166 HD2<sup>38</sup>. Strikingly, all six mutations negatively impact interaction with SLX4 (Fig. 2f-h and 167 Extended data Fig. 4c.d). Our findings demonstrate that SLX4 and RTEL1 are direct binding 168 partners and suggest a complex mode of interaction that strictly relies on not only the docking of 169 a conserved amphipathic helix of SLX4 with the first HD of RTEL1 but also on the BTB homo-170 dimerization domain of SLX4.

171

### SLX4 promotes replication fork progression and genome stability via interaction withRTEL1

174 Having established that SLX4 and RTEL1 are direct binding partners, we next sought to 175 understand the functional relevance of this interaction. Overall, both proteins are required for 176 many of the same genome maintenance aspects including control of telomere homeostasis, ICL 177 repair and homologous recombination. However, it is not known whether they act within the 178 same pathways and, at least in mice, they have rather independent and antagonistic functions 179 at telomeres where RTEL1 unfolds T-loops to prevent their SLX4-driven endonucleolytic processing and telomere attrition<sup>20</sup>. Considering our findings, one explanation could have been 180 181 that RTEL1 also prevents such unscheduled processing of secondary DNA structures at 182 telomeres by directly interacting with SLX4 and negatively controlling its associated structure-183 specific endonucleases (SSEs). However, we observed no telomere attrition in human cells producing the RTEL1-binding defective SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> and SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> mutants, arguing against such 184 185 a scenario (data not shown). We also did not observe any increased cellular sensitivity to

mitomycin C in those cells indicating that SLX4 and RTEL1 do not need to interact to fulfil theirfunctions in ICL repair (Extended data Fig. 5a).

188 RTEL1 plays a role in genome wide replication through direct interaction with PCNA<sup>22</sup>. 189 Accordingly, impaired replication is observed in mouse cells expressing a PCNA-binding 190 defective mutant<sup>22</sup> or following depletion of RTEL1 in human cells<sup>22,28</sup>. Since SLX4 can also be 191 found associated with the replisome<sup>39</sup>, we first assessed in DNA fiber assays whether loss of 192 SLX4 similarly impairs replication. Cells were transfected with different siRNAs targeting SLX4 193 or control siRNAs and nascent DNA was labelled in vivo by successive pulses of 194 iododeoxyuridine (IdU) and chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU). Analogues and total DNA were detected 195 by immunofluorescence on spread DNA molecules. Depletion of SLX4 in HeLa and U2OS cells 196 resulted in shorter nascent DNA tracks and increased fork ratio. This is indicative of impaired 197 replication fork progression, as seen following depletion of RTEL1<sup>28</sup> (Fig. 3a and Extended data Fig. 5b,c). Importantly, the replication defects caused by depletion of SLX4 were fully rescued 198 199 by the expression of Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>WT</sup> but not Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> or Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> (Fig. 3b 200 and Extended data Fig. 5d.e). Using in situ proximity ligation assays (PLA) between SLX4 and 201 neo-synthesized DNA or RTEL1, we found that SLX4 does not need to interact with RTEL1 to 202 get recruited to the replication fork (Fig. 3c) and that both proteins do not need to interact to get 203 recruited in the vicinity of one another (Extended data Fig. 6a). To confirm that SLX4-RTEL1 204 complex formation is nevertheless critical for proper replication fork progression in unchallenged 205 cells, we used an HHS patient-derived immortalized cell line (P7) carrying a homozygous 206 missense R957W mutation in HD1<sup>31</sup> that abrogates binding to SLX4 (Fig. 2f,g and Extended data Fig. 4d). Although RTEL1<sup>R957W</sup>, which has an intact PCNA interacting motif (PIP), is 207 208 recruited like RTEL1<sup>WT</sup> to neo-synthesized DNA (Extended data Fig. 6b,c), the P7 patient-209 derived cell line presented short nascent DNA tracks and a high fork ratio (Fig. 3d), reminiscent 210 of what we observed in cells producing SLX4 mutants that cannot bind RTEL1 (Fig. 3b and 211 Extended data Fig. 5d,e).

212 Overall our results demonstrate that SLX4 is necessary for proper replication in unchallenged 213 cells and that it must associate with RTEL1 to help the replisome overcome situations which 214 impede replication fork progression during normal S-phase.

215

SLX4 prevents replication perturbations independently of its associated structure-specific endonucleases

Both MUS81 and XPF-ERCC1 were shown to promote normal replication fork rates during unperturbed S phase<sup>40</sup>. To assess whether the RTEL1-dependent function of SLX4 in DNA replication in unstressed cells relies or not on its interaction with its associated SSEs, we generated an SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> mutant that is unable to interact with all three SSE partners (Fig. 4a). This mutant carries a combination of *SLX4* mutations that were previously shown to each abrogate interaction between SLX4 and one of its SSE partners<sup>2,41</sup>. Immunoprecipitation of DOX-inducible Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> stably expressed in HeLa Flp-In TREX cells confirmed severely impaired interactions with all three SSE partners (Fig. 4b). Remarkably though and in stark contrast to the RTEL1-binding defective mutants, the SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> mutant fully rescued the replication defects caused by depletion of endogenous SLX4 (Fig. 4c). This demonstrates that in unstressed cells SLX4 acts with RTEL1 to promote replication fork progression independently of its associated SSEs.

230

### 231 SLX4 promotes FANCD2 foci formation via interaction with RTEL1

232 The Fanconi anemia pathway protein FANCD2 ensures proper replication fork progression in 233 response to various endogenous and exogenous sources of replication impediments (for 234 review<sup>42</sup>). In unchallenged cells, FANCD2 is monoubiquitinated and can form spontaneous foci<sup>43</sup>. 235 Interestingly, we noticed that depletion of SLX4 induced a drop in the amount of spontaneous 236 FANCD2 foci (Fig. 5a) without altering the level of monoubiquitination of FANCD2 (data not shown). This was fully rescued by expression of Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>WT</sup> but not Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> or 237 238 Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> (Fig. 5a and Extended data Fig. 7a,b). Our results demonstrate that SLX4 239 drives the formation of FANCD2 foci in unchallenged cells and that this relies on its interaction 240 with RTEL1. FANCD2 was recently shown to colocalize with RNA polymerase II (RNA pol II) and contributes to preventing endogenous transcription-induced replication stress<sup>34,35</sup>. We thus 241 242 assessed whether SLX4 may influence the recruitment and/or persistence of FANCD2 in the 243 vicinity of active RNA pol II. We observed a reduction in proximity ligation assay (PLA) signals 244 between FANCD2 and phosphorylated RNA pol II upon depletion of SLX4 (Fig. 5b). This was rescued by SLX4<sup>WT</sup> but not the RTEL1-binding defective mutants (Fig. 5c and Extended data 245 246 Fig. 7c), indicating that the accumulation of FANCD2 in the vicinity of active RNA pol II requires 247 an interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1.

248

### 249 SLX4 binds RTEL1 to prevent conflicts between replication and transcription

250 Since SLX4 appeared to drive the association of FANCD2 with RNA pol II we hypothesized that 251 it might itself be found in the immediate vicinity of RNA pol II. As shown in Fig. 5d, SLX4 can be 252 found in association with RNA pol II in PLA analyses. This was also the case for RTEL1 (Fig. 253 5e). However, as observed for the association of SLX4 and RTEL1 with nascent DNA, RTEL1binding defective SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> and SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> mutants were also detected in the vicinity of RNA pol 254 II indicating that SLX4 does not need to associate with RTEL1 to reach RNA pol II (Extended 255 data Fig. 7d). Similarly, we also found the SLX4-binding defective RTEL1<sup>R957W</sup> mutant to be in 256 257 tight vicinity with RNA Pol II in the P7 patient-derived cell line (Extended data Fig. 7e). Although 258 we cannot exclude that the pools of SLX4 and RTEL1 that we find associated with nascent DNA 259 strands (Fig. 3c and Extended data Fig. 6b,c) are different from those associated with active

260 RNA pol II (Fig. 5d,e and Extended data Fig. 7d,e), it is tempting to speculate that SLX4 and 261 RTEL1 play a role at the interface of DNA replication and transcription where they help 262 replication overcome transcription-mediated impediments. To test this hypothesis we used PLA 263 to determine whether depletion of SLX4 or RTEL1 leads to increased colocalization between 264 PCNA and active RNA pol II, which has been used as a readout of collisions between DNA 265 replication and transcription<sup>44</sup>. In agreement, a significant increase of PCNA tightly colocalized 266 with RNA pol II was detected in SLX4 and RTEL1-depleted cells compared to mock depleted 267 cells (Fig. 5f).

268 Finally, to determine if transcription is responsible for the replication defects seen in cells lacking 269 SLX4 and RTEL1 we tested the impact of transcription inhibition on replication fork dynamics. 270 Strikingly, inhibiting transcription with cordycepin or triptolide rescued the replication defects 271 caused by depletion of SLX4 or RTEL1 (Fig. 6a and Extended data Figure 8a,b). It also 272 corrected those resulting from loss of SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation in cells producing the 273 RTEL1-binding defective SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> or SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> mutants and in the P7 patient-derived cell line that produces the SLX4-binding defective RTEL1<sup>R957W</sup> mutant (Fig. 6b,c). Overall our results 274 275 demonstrate that SLX4 and RTEL1 play a key role in preventing replication impediments 276 caused by transcription which relies on their direct interaction.

277

### 278 **DISCUSSION**

279 We have unravelled a direct interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1 and demonstrated 280 that this interaction is critical to help DNA replication overcome transcription-mediated 281 impediments. By showing that the SLX4-RTEL1 interaction is mediated through the association 282 of a conserved amphipathic helix and the BTB domain of SLX4 with the HD1 of RTEL1, we 283 assign a function to a highly conserved region of SLX4 that was of unknown function until now 284 and identify the first partner of one of the HDs of RTEL1, which has important implications in 285 terms of human disease as later discussed. We also assign a possibly novel function to the BTB 286 domain, which was previously shown to mediate homodimerization of SLX4<sup>2,36</sup>. The fact that the 287 interaction between the amphipathic helix of SLX4 and the HD1 of RTEL1 is not sufficient for 288 stable SLX4-RTEL1 interaction, which also relies on the BTB domain of SLX4, suggests a more 289 elaborate mode of interaction than the canonical interaction that is established between a harmonin-like PAH domain in SIN3 and an amphipathic helix in MAD145,46. Instead, the 290 291 contribution made by the BTB domain of SLX4 is closer to what has been described for the 292 interaction between CCM2 and MEKK3 where the interaction between an amphipathic helix in 293 MEKK3 with the harmonin homology domain of CCM2 is stabilized by the PB1 protein binding 294 domain of MEKK3<sup>47</sup>. Structural analyses will help to better characterize the SLX4-RTEL1 295 binding interface and determine whether dimerization per se is necessary for binding to RTEL1

and/or whether the BTB domain makes direct contacts with RTEL1. Such analyses should also
 provide insight into how the association between SLX4 and RTEL1 might be controlled.

298 Adding to the multiple roles that SLX4 fulfils in the maintenance of genome stability (for review 299 see<sup>14</sup>), we demonstrate that SLX4 contributes to genome wide DNA replication in unstressed cells and that this relies on its direct interaction with RTEL1 (Fig. 3), since SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> and 300 301 SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> mutants that cannot bind RTEL1 are unable to rescue the replication defects caused 302 by depletion of endogenous SLX4. We cannot fully exclude that the D614G and L618P 303 mutations might impact additional functionalities of SLX4. However, both mutations affect highly 304 conserved residues within the amphipathic helix of SLX4 that are ideally positioned to engage in 305 contacts with the harmonins of RTEL1 (Fig. 2) and they are not predicted to impact the overall 306 fold of SLX4. Furthermore, we identified RTEL1 as the only functionally relevant interactor of 307 SLX4 to be impacted by both mutations (Extended data Fig. 1e, Extended data Fig. 3, 308 Supplementary Table 2) and, last but not least, an HHS patient-derived cell line producing an 309 RTEL1 mutant that cannot bind SLX4 (Fig. 2f,g and Extended data Fig. 4d) phenocopies the replication defects of cells producing the SLX4<sup>D614G</sup> and SLX4<sup>L618P</sup> mutants (Fig. 3b and 3d, 310 311 Extended data Fig. 5d,e). Therefore, all evidence points towards a need for SLX4 to interact 312 with RTEL1 to facilitate replication genome wide. Quite remarkably though, we find that it does 313 not need to interact with its SSE partners (Fig. 4), providing unprecedented evidence of a 314 function of SLX4 in human cells that is totally independent of its established nuclease scaffold 315 functions. Our findings further demonstrate that the SLX4-RTEL1 complex is necessary to 316 prevent replication-transcription conflicts (Fig. 5, 6). Indeed, inhibiting transcription not only 317 compensated for the loss of SLX4 or RTEL1, it alleviated to the same extent the replication 318 defects that result from impaired SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation (Fig. 6 and Extended data Fig. 319 8). Noteworthy, those replication defects were monitored through unbiased DNA fiber analyses 320 in unstressed cells. Therefore, the transcription-mediated impairments to DNA replication seen 321 in absence of SLX4 or RTEL1 must be frequent enough to be detected by such genome wide 322 analyses and not restricted to a limited number of loci.

323 Interestingly, RTEL1 was recently found to contribute to the removal of protein-DNA complexes that hinder the progression of replication forks<sup>48</sup>. Therefore, one way by which the 324 325 SLX4-RTEL1 complex may circumvent replication-transcription conflicts could be by promoting 326 the clearance of the RNA polymerase complex in the vicinity of replication forks. However, given 327 the functional ties between both RTEL1 and SLX4 and the processing of secondary DNA 328 structures, it is likely that the SLX4-RTEL1 complex is involved in non-nucleolytic processing of 329 nucleic acid structures that form as a result of replication-transcription conflicts. Amongst these, 330 R-loops that consist of a DNA:RNA hybrid and a displaced single-stranded DNA loop, which 331 itself can form G-quadruplexes that stabilize the R-loop<sup>49</sup>, represent a major obstruction for replication fork progression<sup>50</sup>. In line with a role in R-loop processing, we find that SLX4 drives 332

333 the accumulation and/or stabilization of FANCD2 in the direct vicinity of RNA pol II (Fig. 5b,c). 334 FANCD2, along with other components of the Fanconi anemia pathway, makes important contributions to the signalling of R-loops and their processing<sup>34,35,44,51</sup>. Intriguingly, we found that 335 336 SLX4 and RTEL1 can be detected in close proximity to one another, even when they cannot 337 interact, and are independently recruited to nascent DNA and RNA pol II (Fig. 3c and Extended 338 data Fig. 6). Yet, SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation is required for the tight colocalization of 339 FANCD2 and RNA pol II and is essential for proper replication fork progression (Fig. 3b,d, 340 Extended data Fig. 5e and Fig. 7c). This suggests that both proteins get recruited independently 341 from one another but that they need at one stage to make contact for replication to proceed 342 normally. Such contact may constitute a molecular switch that allows SLX4 to control the 343 catalytic activity of the RTEL1 helicase for the timely processing of secondary structures that 344 impede replication fork progression.

345 Although we found the SLX4-RTEL1 interaction to be constitutive throughout the cell 346 cycle, we noticed that it increased in late S and G2/M phases (Fig. 1c). Noteworthy, both 347 FANCD2 and SLX4 contribute to the maintenance of common fragile sites (CFS) in late G2 and 348 mitosis<sup>2,6,52,53</sup>. Furthermore, R-loops have been found to accumulate at CFS in absence of 349 FANCD2<sup>51,54</sup>. Therefore, the preferential interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1 in late S/G2 may 350 reflect an additional and specific role related to the maintenance of CFS and/or other late 351 replicating loci where SLX4 recruits RTEL1 for the processing of G4-associated R-loops as 352 recently described<sup>55</sup>.

353 The relevance of our findings in terms of human disease is underscored by the 354 identification of cancer-derived somatic SLX4 mutations and HHS-associated germline RTEL1 355 mutations that abrogate the SLX4-RTEL1 interaction (Fig. 1d,e and 2d-h). It is striking that de 356 novo mutations, each impacting a different and highly conserved residue in the predicted 357 amphipathic helix of SLX4 and that abrogate interaction with RTEL1, were identified in two 358 unrelated patients presenting different disease profiles. Furthermore, the HHS-associated 359 germline homozygote R957W mutation in the HD1 of RTEL1, is also reported in the COSMIC 360 data base<sup>56</sup> as the most represented cancer-associated somatic mutation identified in *RTEL1*. 361 Considering that replication stress is an established hallmarks of tumorigenesis (for review<sup>57</sup>) 362 and that loss of interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1 perturbs DNA replication (Fig. 3b and 363 Extended data Fig. 5e), it is tempting to speculate that mutations in SLX4 and RTEL1 that 364 abrogate complex formation are more than just passenger mutations. Replication-transcription 365 conflicts, which have been linked to the fragility of both late and early-replicating fragile sites of 366 the genome<sup>58-60</sup>, are emerging as a potentially much broader source of genome instability with 367 the realization that transcription is a pervasive process that covers more than 80% of the human 368 genome<sup>61,62</sup>. Moreover, oncogene activation during tumorigenesis drives premature entry into S 369 phase and the firing of intragenic origins, which increases conflicts between replication and

transcription and genomic instability<sup>63</sup>. Thus, it will be important to assess what impact SLX4 370 371 and RTEL1 mutations that abrogate SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation have on the tumoral 372 process. Importantly, we have also shown that all reported HHS-associated germline mutations 373 mapped in the HD1 of RTEL1 negatively impact interaction with SLX4, suggesting that loss of 374 SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation contributes to the aetiology of the disease. We cannot at this 375 stage draw any conclusions as to whether SLX4 mutations that abrogate SLX4-RTEL1 complex 376 formation could be associated with HHS, since both D614G and L618P SLX4 variants that we 377 have identified in cancer patients were somatic mutations with low allelic frequencies. 378 Furthermore, bi-allelic germline mutations in SLX4 have been associated until now with Fanconi 379 anemia<sup>15,16</sup>. However, there are many cases where different mutations in the same gene are 380 associated with different pathologic outcomes and diseases. Therefore, it will be important to 381 determine what clinical phenotypes might be associated with germline SLX4 mutations that 382 abrogate SLX4-RTEL1 complex formation and whether SLX4 should be considered as a 383 possible candidate gene for HHS.

Our demonstration of a functionally relevant interaction between SLX4 and RTEL1 redefines the way we ought to think about how they contribute to the maintenance of genome stability and opens new lines of investigation to help better understand how they prevent the emergence of cancer and other human diseases.

388

### 389 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.T. and P.-H.G. express their gratitude to Micaela Boiero Sanders and Christophe Machu for
 their help in the analysis of microscopy data. The authors thank Mauro Modesti for supplying the
 GFP nanobody and all members of the 3R community of the CRCM for helpful discussions.
 They also thank Samuel Granieaud for helpful discussions on statistical analyses.

394 Work in the laboratory of P.H.L.G. was funded by Institut National du Cancer (INCa-PLBio2016-395 159), Siric-Cancéropôle PACA (AAP Projets émergents 2015). A.T. was supported by (INCa-396 PLBio2016-159), Z.H. was supported by (INCa-PLBio2016-159) and Fondation ARC. Work in 397 the laboratory of D.B. was funded by SIRIC (INCa-DGOS-Inserm 6038), Label Ligue (EL2016) 398 DB), Ruban Rose and Fondation Groupe EDF. The laboratory of P.K. was supported by INCa 399 (INCa-PLBio2016-159) and INCa-DGOS-Inserm 12551, E.D. was supported by INCa 400 (PLBio2016-144). V.N.'s research is supported by the ERC starting grant agreement # 638898. 401 Work in the laboratory of R.G. was funded by FRISBI (ANR-10-INSB-05-01) and ANR CHIPSET 402 (ANR-15-CE11-0008-01). RTEL1 related work in ALV, PR and IC laboratories was partially 403 supported by a joint grant from the Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-14-CE10-0006-404 01). The IBiSA Marseille Proteomic platform is funded by Institut Paoli-Calmettes, National 405 Institute of Cancer and Aix-Marseille University. J.P.B. is a scholar of Institut Universitaire de 406 France.

407 We wish to thank Dr Liu and Dr Hickson for sharing unpublished results.

408

### 409 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

410 A.T. performed experiments for proteomic analyses, biochemical analyses of the SLX4-RTEL1 411 interaction, colony survival assays and the analysis of FANCD2 foci formation. A.T. generated 412 all cell lines producing YPF- or Flag-HA-tagged recombinant proteins used in the current study. 413 E.D. performed all DNA fiber analyses and PLA experiments. S.S. generated plasmids used in 414 Y2H and designed and performed all Y2H experiments. R.G. performed all structural analyses 415 with the help of I.C. and helped in the design of *in vitro* biochemical studies. J.H.G. worked on 416 the detection of the endogenous SLX4-RTEL1 complex, generated reagents and helped with 417 data analysis. M.B. performed the pulldowns and characterization of Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup>. S.A. 418 and L.C. carried out all proteomic analyses, with insight and expertise from J.P.B.. A.G., M.C., 419 F.B. and D.B. ran the NGS of biological samples and bioinformatic analyses of the SLX4 patient 420 derived mutations. P.R. generated the fibroblast cell line from the RTEL1-deficient patient P7 421 and helped in the design and data interpretation of experiments with HHS-associated RTEL1 422 mutations. Z.H., D.C., and V.N. helped in the design of cellular studies and data analysis. M.S. 423 and A.L-V. generated the RTEL1 antibody and contributed to the design of experiments and 424 data interpretation. P.H.G. produced recombinant proteins and performed in vitro binding 425 assays and wrote the manuscript. The manuscript was reviewed by all authors. A.T., E.D., P.K. 426 and P.H.G. conceived and planned the study. 427 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.H.L.G. 428

429

### 430 COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

431 The authors declare no competing interests.

432

### 434 **REFERENCES**

- 4361.Fekairi, S. *et al.* Human SLX4 is a Holliday junction resolvase subunit that binds multiple437DNA repair/recombination endonucleases. *Cell* **138**, 78–89 (2009).
- 4382.Guervilly, J.-H. *et al.* The SLX4 complex is a SUMO E3 ligase that impacts on replication439stress outcome and genome stability. *Mol. Cell* **57**, 123–137 (2015).
- 4403.Munoz, I. M. *et al.* Coordination of structure-specific nucleases by human SLX4/BTBD12441is required for DNA repair. *Mol. Cell* **35,** 116–127 (2009).
- 442 4. Svendsen, J. M. *et al.* Mammalian BTBD12/SLX4 assembles a Holliday junction 443 resolvase and is required for DNA repair. *Cell* **138**, 63–77 (2009).
- Andersen, S. L. *et al.* Drosophila MUS312 and the vertebrate ortholog BTBD12 interact
  with DNA structure-specific endonucleases in DNA repair and recombination. *Mol. Cell*35, 128–135 (2009).
- 447 6. Minocherhomji, S. *et al.* Replication stress activates DNA repair synthesis in mitosis.
  448 Nature **528**, 286–290 (2015).
- 449 7. Ouyang, J. *et al.* Noncovalent interactions with SUMO and ubiquitin orchestrate distinct
   450 functions of the SLX4 complex in genome maintenance. *Mol. Cell* **57**, 108–122 (2015).
- 451 8. Wilson, J. S. J. *et al.* Localization-Dependent and -Independent Roles of SLX4 in 452 Regulating Telomeres. *Cell Rep* **4**, 853–860 (2013).
- 453 9. Wan, B. *et al.* SLX4 Assembles a Telomere Maintenance Toolkit by Bridging Multiple
  454 Endonucleases with Telomeres. *Cell Rep* **4**, 861–869 (2013).
- Wyatt, H. D. M., Sarbajna, S., Matos, J. & West, S. C. Coordinated Actions of SLX1SLX4 and MUS81-EME1 for Holliday Junction Resolution in Human Cells. *Mol. Cell* 52, 1–14 (2013).
- 458 11. Duda, H. *et al.* A Mechanism for Controlled Breakage of Under- replicated Chromosomes
   459 during Mitosis. *Dev. Cell* **39**, 740–755 (2016).
- Gritenaite, D. *et al.* A cell cycle-regulated Slx4-Dpb11 complex promotes the resolution of
   DNA repair intermediates linked to stalled replication. *Genes Dev* 28, 1604–1619 (2014).
- 462 13. Kim, Y. *et al.* Regulation of multiple DNA repair pathways by the Fanconi anemia protein
  463 SLX4. *Blood* **121**, 54–63 (2013).
- 46414.Guervilly, J.-H. & Gaillard, P.-H. SLX4: multitasking to maintain genome stability. *Critical*465*Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **53**, 475–514 (2018).
- 46615.Stoepker, C. *et al.* SLX4, a coordinator of structure-specific endonucleases, is mutated in<br/>a new Fanconi anemia subtype. *Nat Genet* **43**, 138–141 (2011).
- 46816.Kim, Y. et al. Mutations of the SLX4 gene in Fanconi anemia. Nat Genet 43, 142–146469(2011).
- 470
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
  471
- 47218.Hodskinson, M. R. G. *et al.* Mouse SLX4 is a tumor suppressor that stimulates the activity473of the nuclease XPF-ERCC1 in DNA crosslink repair. *Mol. Cell* **54**, 472–484 (2014).
- 474 19. Lachaud, C. *et al.* Distinct functional roles for the two SLX4 ubiquitin-binding UBZ
  475 domains mutated in Fanconi anemia. *J Cell Sci* 127, 2811–2817 (2014).
- Vannier, J.-B., Pavicic-Kaltenbrunner, V., Petalcorin, M. I. R., Ding, H. & Boulton, S. J.
  RTEL1 dismantles T loops and counteracts telomeric G4-DNA to maintain telomere integrity. *Cell* **149**, 795–806 (2012).
- 479 21. Ding, H. *et al.* Regulation of murine telomere length by Rtel: an essential gene encoding
  480 a helicase-like protein. *Cell* **117**, 873–886 (2004).
- 481 22. Vannier, J.-B. *et al.* RTEL1 is a replisome-associated helicase that promotes telomere 482 and genome-wide replication. *Science* **342**, 239–242 (2013).
- 483 23. Uringa, E.-J. *et al.* RTEL1 contributes to DNA replication and repair and telomere 484 maintenance. *Mol Biol Cell* **23**, 2782–2792 (2012).
- 485 24. Sfeir, A. *et al.* Mammalian telomeres resemble fragile sites and require TRF1 for efficient replication. *Cell* **138**, 90–103 (2009).

487	25.	Sarek, G., Vannier, JB., Panier, S., Petrini, J. H. J. & Boulton, S. J. TRF2 Recruits
488		RTEL1 to Telomeres in S Phase to Promote T-Loop Unwinding. Mol. Cell 61, 788–789
489		(2016).
490	26.	Porreca, R. M. et al. Human RTEL1 stabilizes long G-overhangs allowing telomerase-
491		dependent over-extension. Nucleic Acids Res 46, 4533–4545 (2018).
492	27.	Youds, J. L. et al. RTEL-1 enforces meiotic crossover interference and homeostasis.
493		Science <b>327</b> , 1254–1258 (2010).
494	28	Mendez-Bermudez A <i>et al</i> Genome-wide Control of Heterochromatin Replication by the
495		Telomere Capping Protein TRF2 Mol Cell <b>70</b> , 449–461 e5 (2018)
496	29	Schertzer M <i>et al.</i> Human regulator of telomere elongation helicase 1 (RTFL1) is
497	20.	required for the nuclear and cytoplasmic trafficking of pre-II2 RNA Nucleic Acids Res 43
498		1834–1847 (2015)
499	30	Ballew B. Let al A recessive founder mutation in regulator of telomere elongation
500	50.	belicase 1 RTEL1 underlies severe immunodeficiency and features of Hoveraal
501		Heidarsson syndrome <i>PLoS Cenet</i> <b>0</b> , e1003605 (2013)
502	31	Tourot E of al Extended clinical and constic spectrum associated with hiallolic DTEL1
502	51.	mutations. <i>Pland Adv</i> <b>1</b> , 26, 46 (2016)
505	22	Cogon L.D. et al. Dare variants in DTEL 1 are conspired with familial interatitial
504	32.	Coyan, J. D. et al. Rale variants in RTELT are associated with familiar interstitian
505	22	pheumonia. Am. J. Respir. Cm. Care Med. 191, 640–655 (2015).
500	33.	Kannengiesser, C. <i>et al.</i> Heterozygous RTELT mutations are associated with familiar
509	24	pullionary indiosis. Eur. Respir. J. 40, 474–465 (2015).
500	54.	Schwab, R. A. <i>et al.</i> The Fancon Anemia Pathway Maintains Genome Stability by
509	25	Coordinating Replication and Transcription. <i>Nol.</i> Cell <b>60</b> , 551–561 (2015).
510	35.	Garcia-Rubio, M. L. <i>et al.</i> The Fanconi Anemia Pathway Protects Genome Integrity from
511	00	R-100ps. PLOS Genet 11, e1005674 (2015).
512	36.	Yin, J. et al. Dimerization of SLX4 contributes to functioning of the SLX4-nuclease
513	~-	complex. Nucleic Acids Res 44, gkw354–4880 (2016).
514	37.	Sarek, G., Vannier, JB., Panier, S., Petrini, J. H. J. & Boulton, S. J. 1RF2 recruits
515		RTEL1 to telomeres in S phase to promote t-loop unwinding. <i>Mol Cell</i> <b>57</b> , 622–635
516	~~	(2015).
517	38.	Faure, G., Revy, P., Schertzer, M., Londoño-Vallejo, A. & Callebaut, I. The C-terminal
518		extension of human RIEL1, mutated in Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome, contains
519	~~	harmonin-N-like domains. Proteins 82, 897–903 (2014).
520	39.	Dungrawala, H. et al. The Replication Checkpoint Prevents Two Types of Fork Collapse
521		without Regulating Replisome Stability. <i>Mol Cell</i> <b>59</b> , 1–14 (2015).
522	40.	Fu, H. et al. The DNA repair endonuclease Mus81 facilitates fast DNA replication in the
523		absence of exogenous damage. Nat Commun 6, 6746–14 (2015).
524	41.	Castor, D. et al. Cooperative Control of Holliday Junction Resolution and DNA Repair by
525		the SLX1 and MUS81-EME1 Nucleases. 52, 1–13 (2013).
526	42.	Federico, M. B., Campodónico, P., Paviolo, N. S. & Gottifredi, V. Beyond interstrand
527		crosslinks repair: contribution of FANCD2 and other Fanconi Anemia proteins to the
528		replication of DNA. <i>Mutat Res</i> 808, 83–92 (2018).
529	43.	Taniguchi, T. <i>et al.</i> S-phase-specific interaction of the Fanconi anemia protein, FANCD2,
530		with BRCA1 and RAD51. <i>Blood</i> <b>100,</b> 2414–2420 (2002).
531	44.	Okamoto, Y. et al. FANCD2 protects genome stability by recruiting RNA processing
532		enzymes to resolve R-loops during mild replication stress. FEBS J. 668, 4 (2018).
533	45.	Brubaker, K. et al. Solution structure of the interacting domains of the Mad-Sin3 complex:
534		implications for recruitment of a chromatin-modifying complex. Cell 103, 655–665 (2000).
535	46.	Spronk, C. A. et al. The Mad1-Sin3B interaction involves a novel helical fold. Nat. Struct.
536		<i>Biol.</i> <b>7</b> , 1100–1104 (2000).
537	47.	Fisher, O. S. et al. Structure and vascular function of MEKK3–cerebral cavernous
538		malformations 2 complex. Nat Commun 6, 1–11 (1AD).
539	48.	Sparks, J. L. et al. The CMG Helicase Bypasses DNA-Protein Cross-Links to Facilitate
540		Their Repair. <i>Cell</i> <b>176,</b> 167–181.e21 (2019).

541 49. De Magis, A. et al. DNA damage and genome instability by G-quadruplex ligands are 542 mediated by R loops in human cancer cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of 543 Sciences 116, 816-825 (2019). 544 Bhatia, V. et al. BRCA2 prevents R-loop accumulation and associates with TREX-2 50. 545 mRNA export factor PCID2. Nature 511, 362-365 (2014). 546 51. Okamoto, Y. et al. Replication stress induces accumulation of FANCD2 at central region 547 of large fragile genes. Nucleic Acids Res 46, 2932-2944 (2018). 548 52. Chan, K. L., Palmai-Pallag, T., Ying, S. & Hickson, I. D. Replication stress induces sister-549 chromatid bridging at fragile site loci in mitosis. Nat Cell Biol 11, 753–760 (2009). 550 53. Naim, V. & Rosselli, F. The FANC pathway and BLM collaborate during mitosis to 551 prevent micro-nucleation and chromosome abnormalities. Nat Cell Biol 11, 761-768 552 (2009).553 54. Madireddy, A. et al. FANCD2 Facilitates Replication through Common Fragile Sites. Mol. 554 Cell 64, 388-404 (2016). 555 55. Wu, W. RTEL1 suppresses G-quadruplex-associated R-loops at difficult-to-replicate loci 556 in the human genome. Nature structural molecular biology 1-2 (2020). 557 Tate, J. G. et al. COSMIC: the Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer. Nucleic Acids 56. 558 Res 47. D941–D947 (2018). Macheret, M. & Halazonetis, T. D. DNA replication stress as a hallmark of cancer. Annu 559 57. 560 Rev Pathol 10, 425-448 (2015). 561 58. Helmrich, A., Ballarino, M. & Tora, L. Collisions between replication and transcription 562 complexes cause common fragile site instability at the longest human genes. Mol. Cell 563 **44**, 966–977 (2011). 564 59. Blin, M. et al. Transcription-dependent regulation of replication dynamics modulates 565 genome stability. Nat Struct Mol Biol 26, 58-66 (2019). 566 60. Barlow, J. H. et al. Identification of early replicating fragile sites that contribute to genome 567 instability. Cell 152, 620-632 (2013). 568 61. Hangauer, M. J., Vaughn, I. W. & McManus, M. T. Pervasive transcription of the human 569 genome produces thousands of previously unidentified long intergenic noncoding RNAs. 570 PLoS Genet 9, e1003569 (2013). 571 Djebali, S. et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. Nature 489, 101–108 (2012). 62. 572 63. Macheret, M. & Halazonetis, T. D. Intragenic origins due to short G1 phases underlie 573 oncogene-induced DNA replication stress. Nature 555, 112-116 (2018). 574 575 576 577 578

### 579 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

580

### 581 Fig. 1: SLX4 interacts with RTEL1

(a) YFP-pull down from HeLa cells expressing YFP-SLX4. In lanes 3- 5, YFP-pull downs were
 washed in a high salt buffer (NaCl) or carried out in the presence of Benzonase (Benzo) or
 Ethidium bromide (EtBr) as indicated. MUS81 and XPF were used as positive controls for SLX4 binding.

585 binding.

(b) Endogenous SLX4 was immunoprecipitated from a HeLa cell-lysate with a combination of
 two different anti-SLX4 and visualized by western blotting.

(c) Immunoprecipitation of Flag-HA-SLX4 from dox-inducible HeLa cells at the indicated time
 points after release from a thymidine block. Cell cycle profiles of the samples are shown at left.
 Immunoblot of a representative experiment (right) and the quantifications of n=3 independent

591 experiments. Error bars = mean ± s.e.m.

(d) Schematic diagram showing RTEL1-binding domain of SLX4, and multiple SLX4 sequence alignment centred on the region 599-635 of human SLX4. Top two sequences report secondary structures (H: helix) and disorder status (D) predicted by PSIPRED and SPOTD algorithms, respectively (See Methods). NCBI RefSeq identifiers are given within brackets. UBZ4: ubiquitinbinding, MLR: primary XPF-binding domain, BTB: homodimerization domain (also contributes to

- 597 XPF-binding), TBM: TRF2-binding motif, SIM: SUMO-interacting motifs, SAP: MUS81-binding 598 region, CCD: SLX1-binding domain.
- (e) YFP-pull downs from HeLa cells expressing WT or mutated YFP-SLX4.  $\Delta$ BTB: M<sub>684</sub>VNN-GLPP<sub>764</sub> was deleted from the BTB domain. BTB5A and SIM: point mutations in the BTB domain and SIM motifs, respectively, as described in<sup>2</sup>. D614G and L618P: cancer-associated mutations (Fig. 1d).

603 **(f)** YFP-pull downs from HeLa cells expressing WT or mutated YFP-SLX4. L1022A: TRF2-604 binding defective mutant.

All immunoblots were performed with antibodies against the indicated proteins.

- 606 Uncropped images for panels a, b, d-f and data for graphs in panel b are available as source data.
- 608

### 609 Fig. 2: Mapping the interaction domains of SLX4 and RTEL1

610 (a) Schematic of the RTEL1 protein (top) and 3D modelling (ribbon representation) of HD1 and611 HD2, on which the SLX4 peptide has been docked.

- (b) Co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous SLX4 with Flag-tagged full-length RTEL1 or a fragment containing the two harmonins (763-1164) indicated in (a). Immunoblots were performed with antibodies against SLX4 or the Flag peptide. \*Flag indicates the band corresponding to Flag-RTEL1 full-length and the Flag-RTEL1<sub>763-1164</sub> fragment.
- 616 (c) Schematic of RTEL1 fragments containing HD1 and/or HD2 (top panel) that were used to
- 617 assess direct binding to the SLX4<sub>577-685</sub> (Helix) and SLX4<sub>577-1042</sub> (Helix+BTB) fragments by Y2H
- 618 (bottom panel). SLX4-interacting fragments are in red. SD: media complemented with all amino
- acids except Leu and Trp; X-Gal: ß-galactosidase test; -URA: same as -Leu-Trp with no uracil;
- 5-FOA: same as -Leu-Trp complemented with 0,2% 5-Fluoroorotic acid; 3-AT: same as -Leu-

- 622 (d) Y2H carried out with WT or mutated SLX4<sub>577-1042</sub> (Helix+BTB) fragment and the RTEL1<sub>885-990</sub>
- fragment (HD1b in **Fig. 2c**). All interaction assays are in duplicate. Media are indicated as in (**c**).
- 624 (e) *E.coli*-produced 6His-tagged HD1b (RTEL1<sub>885-990</sub>) and HD2a (RTEL1<sub>1046-1142</sub>) fragments were
- 625 used in a Ni<sup>++</sup>-pull down assay with a WT or a mutated GST-tagged SLX4<sub>577-1042</sub> (Helix+BTB)
- 626 fragment. Ni<sup>++</sup>-agarose beads were resuspended in a volume of Laemmli buffer equivalent to
- 627 the initial volume of the assay. Identical volumes of Helix+BTB fragments, diluted in Laemmli
- 628 buffer to the final concentration used in the assay, and of the Ni<sup>++</sup>-agarose beads resuspended
- 629 in Laemmli buffer were loaded on the gel.

630 (f) Schematic of RTEL1 fragments used in g and h with Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome (HHS)

- 631 patient mutations mapped in HD1.
- (g,h) Co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous SLX4 with transiently expressed WT or mutated
   YFP-RTEL1 fragments. Immunoblots were performed with antibodies against the indicated
- 634 proteins.
- 635 Uncropped images for panels b-e,g,h are available as source data.
- 636

### Fig. 3: SLX4 promotes replication fork progression during S phase through interactionwith RTEL1

639 (a) HeLa Flp-In TREX "Fit0" cells (empty FRT site) were transfected with siRNAs targeting SLX4 (siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup> and siSLX4<sup>SP</sup>) or control siRNAs (siLUC). Nascent DNA was labelled with pulses of 640 641 iododeoxyuridine (IdU) and chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU). Replication fork dynamics were analysed 642 on stretched DNA after immunostaining of IdU, CldU and DNA (red, green and blue, 643 respectively). Distributions of replication track lengths and fork ratios (ratio between the longest 644 tract over the shortest for each individual unbroken fork) are shown in box-plots (median, first and third quartiles) with 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile whiskers (+=mean; dots= outliers; n=number of 645 646 unbroken signals analysed by Mann-Whitney test, \*\*: p<0.01, \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001). The immunoblot 647 was performed with antibodies against SLX4 and ß-catenin (internal loading control). Arrow: 648 SLX4 band. \*: prominent non-specific band. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ .

649 **(b)** HeLa "Fit0" and Hela cells stably expressing DOX-inducible WT or mutated Flag-HA-SLX4 650 as indicated. siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup> was used to deplete endogenous SLX4. ns: not significant; \*\*: p<0.01; 651 \*\*\*: p<0.001; \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001 by Mann-Whitney test. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m.

652 (c) Nascent DNA strands were pulse-labelled with 5-ethynyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU). Biotin was 653 conjugated to EdU by click chemistry after cell fixation. In situ proximity ligation assay (PLA, 654 green) was performed between SLX4 (anti-HA) and EdU (anti-biotin) before EdU 655 counterstaining (red). Representative fields and zooms on single cells (white squares) are 656 shown. The number of PLA spots per EdU-positive cells is plotted (red bars: median with 657 interguartile range; n>79; Kruskal-Wallis test, \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001). In parallel, single-cell expression 658 of the different Flag-HA-SLX4 constructs was assessed by HA immunostaining (n>99). Parental 659 HeLa "Fit0" cells (-) were used as negative control. Scale bar =  $10 \mu m$ .

660 **(d)** Replication fork dynamics analysed as in **a** in HHS-patient derived SV40-transformed 661 fibroblasts (P7) bearing a homozygous RTEL1<sup>R957W</sup> mutation in HD1 that abrogates interaction 662 with SLX4 (Fig. 2g,h and Extended data Fig 4). P7 cells were compared to SV40-immortalized 663 fibroblasts expressing WT RTEL1. \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001 by Mann-Whitney test. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m.

Uncropped images of the immunoblots in panel a and data for graphs in panels a-d are

665 available as source data.

### 668 Fig. 4: SLX4 promotes replication fork progression independently of its associated SSEs

669 (a) Schematic of SLX4 showing the F529LW > ALA, E1577L > AA and C1805 > R point mutations introduced to generate the SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> mutant that abrogate binding to XPF, MUS81 670 671 and SLX1, respectively.

672 (b) anti-Flag immunoprecipitations were performed on cell lysates from HeLa "Fit0" and HeLa Flp-In TREX cells stably expressing DOX-inducible WT or Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> as indicated. 673 Immunoblots were performed with antibodies against SLX4, XPF, MUS81 and SLX1. The 674 675 fraction of SLX1, MUS81 and XPF associated with SLX4 was normalized to the total 676 immunoprecipitated SLX4. The relative ratio of SLX1, MUS81 and XPF associated with SLX4<sup>-</sup> 677 <sup>SMX</sup> was then normalized to the corresponding SLX4<sup>WT</sup> ratio.

(c) Replication fork dynamics were assessed as in Fig. 3 in HeLa "Fit0" and HeLa Flp-In TREX 678 cells stably expressing DOX-inducible Flag-HA-SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup>. siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup> was used to deplete 679 680 endogenous SLX4. Box-plots show median, first and third quartiles with 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile 681 whiskers (+=mean; dots= outliers; n=number of unbroken signals analysed). ns: not significant; 682

\*: p<0.05; \*\*: p<0.01; \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001 by Mann-Whitney test.

683 Uncropped images of blots for panels b and c and data for co-IP ratios in b and graphs in c are 684 available as source data.

### 686 Fig. 5: SLX4 and RTEL1 interaction promotes FANCD2:RNA pol II colocalization and 687 prevents conflicts between replication and transcription

688

(a) Quantification of FANCD2 foci in unchallenged interphase U2OS "Fit0" cells or expressing
WT or mutated Flag-HA-SLX4. The number of foci per cell with the mean ± s.e.m. of the
distribution is plotted (n=120 cells). Cells were mock- (siLUC) or SLX4-depleted (siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup>).
Immunoblots at top were performed with anti-SLX4, anti-RTEL1, anti-XPF and anti-GRB2
(loading control).

**(b)** PLA between FANCD2 and RNA pol II phospho S2 in HeLa "Fit0" cells mock- or SLX4depleted. Reactions with single primary antibodies (Ab) were used as negative controls. Boxplots show number of PLA spots per nucleus (n>180; median, first and third quartiles, 5-95<sup>th</sup> percentile whiskers, +: mean). Immunoblot at right was performed with anti-SLX4 or anti-ßcatenin (loading control). The arrow indicates the SLX4 band.

(c) As in b, in U2OS cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 (n>180). Endogenous SLX4 was depleted
 with siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup>.

701 (d) PLA between SLX4 (HA) and RNA pol II phospho S2 in U2OS cells expressing Flag-HA-

SLX4<sup>WT</sup> (left panel, n>139, median with interquartile range). Parental U2OS "Fit0" cells (-) were

used as a negative control. Right panel shows single-cell expression of the construct (HAstaining, n>124).

705 (e) PLA between endogenous RTEL1 and RNA pol II phospho S2 in HeLa "Fit0" cells (n>153).

706 PLA negative controls as in **b**.

(f) PLA between endogenous PCNA and RNA pol II phospho S2 was performed in mock depleted (siLUC), SLX4-depleted (siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup>, siSLX4<sup>sp</sup>) or RTEL1-depleted (siRTEL1) HeLa
 "Fit0" cells (n>175). PLA negative controls as in **b**.

710 Kruskal-Wallis (a, b, c, e, f) and Mann-Whitney (d) tests (ns: not significant, \*: p<0.05, \*\*:

711 p<0.01, \*\*\*: p<0.001, \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001). Scale bar of representative fields and cells = 10  $\mu$ m in all panels.

713 Uncropped images of the immunoblots in panels a,b and data for graphs in panels a-f are

available as source data.

### 716 Fig. 6: Transcription inhibition rescues replication defects caused by loss of SLX4-RTEL1

### 717 complex formation

- 718 (a) HeLa "Fit0" cells were depleted for SLX4 or RTEL1 and 50  $\mu$ M cordycepin was added to
- culture medium 3 h before and during the IdU and CldU pulses to inhibit transcription elongation.
- Replication fork dynamics were analysed as in **Fig. 3a**. The distribution of the fork ratio is shown
- in box-plots (median, first and third quartiles with 5<sup>th</sup>-95<sup>th</sup> percentile whiskers; +=mean; dots=
- outliers; n=number of unbroken signals analysed; ns: not significant, \*\*: p<0.01, \*\*\*\*: p<0.0001
- by Mann-Whitney test). See also Extended Data Fig. 8a for the distributions of replication track
- 724 lengths and western blot showing depletion efficiency.
- 725 (b) As in a in HeLa Flp-In TREX cells stably expressing DOX-inducible WT, D614G or L618P
- 726 Flag-HA-SLX4 depleted for endogenous SLX4. The immunoblot was performed with antibodies
- against SLX4 and ß-catenin used as internal loading control.
- 728 (c) As in **a** in RTEL1<sup>WT</sup> and RTEL1<sup>R957W</sup> SV-40 immortalized human fibroblasts.
- 729 Uncropped images of the immunoblots in panel b and data for graphs in panels a-c are 730 available as source data.
- 731
- 732
- 733

### 734 METHODS

735

### 736 **DNA construction and mutagenesis**

- 737 DNA constructions and cloning were performed using Gateway Technology (Invitrogen) as per
- 738 manufacturer's instruction. A list of primers used in this study is available upon request. The
- 739 BTB and SIM mutants of SLX4 were generated as previously described<sup>2</sup>:

740 SLX4<sup>BTB\*</sup> (H<sub>706</sub>KFVL<sub>710</sub>  $\rightarrow$  AAAAA)

741 SLX4<sup>SIM\*</sup> (SIM1: V<sub>1151</sub>ILLL<sub>1155</sub>  $\rightarrow$  AAAAA; SIM2: I<sub>1194</sub>IDV  $\rightarrow$  AADV; SIM3: V<sub>1392</sub>VEV  $\rightarrow$  AAEV)

- In addition, a deletion of the BTB domain was generated and used in the present study:
- 743 SLX4<sup> $\Delta$ BTB</sup> (deletion M<sub>684</sub>VNN-GLPP<sub>764</sub>)
- 744 SLX4<sup>-SMX</sup> ( $F_{529}LW \rightarrow ALA, E_{1577}L \rightarrow AA, C_{1805} \rightarrow R$ )
- 745

### 746 Antibodies

747 Rabbit anti-SLX4 (A302-270A), rabbit anti-XPF (A301-315A-T), rabbit anti-biotin (A150-109A) 748 and rabbit anti-TRF2 (A300-796A) antibodies were purchased from Bethyl. Rabbit anti-RTEL1 antibody was produced by the laboratory of Arturo Londoño-Vallejo's<sup>64</sup>. Mouse anti-MUS81 749 750 [MTA30 2G10/3] (ab14387), mouse anti-GAPDH (ab9484), rabbit anti-FANCD2 (ab2187) and 751 rabbit anti-RNA polymerase II (ab5095 [phospho S2]) antibodies were purchased from Abcam. 752 Mouse anti-RNA polymerase II (clone H5 MMS-129R [phospho S2]), mouse anti-HA [16B12] 753 (901513) antibodies were purchased from BioLegend. Mouse anti-XPF [clone 219] (XPF Ab-1) 754 antibody was from Thermo scientific. Mouse anti-ß-catenin (clone 14, 610153) and mouse anti-755 GRB2 (610111) antibodies were from BD Biosciences. Mouse anti-ß-actin antibody (AC-15, 756 A5441) was purchased from Sigma. Mouse anti-laminA/C antibody was purchased from Santa 757 Cruz (sc-7292). Mouse anti-BrdU antibody (clone B44, 347580) was from Becton Dickinson. Rat 758 anti-BrdU antibody (clone BU1/75, AbC117-7513) was purchased from Abcys. Mouse anti-759 ssDNA antibody (clone 16-19, MAB3034) was from Millipore. Mouse anti-biotin antibody (200-760 002-211) was purchased from Jackson Immunoresearch.

Duolink® In Situ PLA® Probe anti-Rabbit PLUS (DUO92002) anti-Mouse MINUS (DUO92004)
 were from Sigma.

Goat anti-rabbit IgG/HRP (2019-08) and goat anti-mouse IgG/HRP (2019-05) antibodies were purchased from Dako. Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated donkey anti-mouse (A-21202), goat antimouse (A-11001), goat anti-rat (A-11006) and Alexa Fluor 594 conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (A-21207), goat anti-mouse (A-11032 and A-11020), goat anti-rabbit (A-11072), rabbit antimouse (A-21062), goat anti-rabbit (A-11046) antibodies were from Molecular probes.

768

### 769 Immunoblotting

SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were done with Novex® NuPAGE® SDS-PAGE Gel System and XCell II<sup>™</sup> blot module (Invitrogen), respectively. Hybond-C Extra (RPN203e) was purchased from GE healthcare. Western lightning plus ECL (NEL105001eA) was from PerkinElmer, Hyperfilm ECL (28-9068-35) was purchased from GE healthcare. Chemidoc MP imaging system (Biorad), ImageQuant LAS 4000 system (GE Healthcare) or Amersham Imager 600 (GE health care) were also used for detection.

776

### 777 Cell lines, cell culture and transfection

778 HeLa cells (ATCC) were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and Pen/Strept (Gibco). HeLa Flp-In 779 TRex and U2OS FIp-In TRex cells (Fit0: parental cells with no cDNA integrated at the FRT site) 780 (kindly provided by Stephen Taylor and Palm Silver, respectively) were maintained in DMEM 781 containing 10% FBS and Pen/Strept with 2 µg/mL Blasticidine (Invivogen) and 100 µg/mL 782 Zeocin (Invitrogen) to maintain the genomic FRT site. For generating stable cell lines expressing 783 YFP- or Flag-HA- tagged SLX4 in a doxycycline-inducible manner, pDEST-YFP-SLX4 or 784 pDEST-Flag-HA-SLX4 were co-transfected with pOG44 (encoding the Flp recombinase) with 785 1:9 ratio, respectively, using JetPEI (Polyplus transfection) as per manufacturer's instruction. 786 Recombinant clones were selected with the medium described above with 100 µg/mL 787 Hygromycin B (Invitrogen) instead of Zeocin. Selected clones were pooled to generate a 788 population in order to minimize clonal heterogeneity. Fibroblasts from a severe combined 789 immunodeficient patient with genetic defect in the lymphoid specific RAG1 gene that is not 790 expressed in fibroblasts (WT RTEL1 control in Extended data Fig. 6c) and from an RTEL1deficient patient P7<sup>31</sup> were obtained from skin biopsies. Informed and written consent was 791 792 obtained from donors and patients. The study and protocols comply with the 1975 Declaration 793 of Helsinki as well as with the local legislation and ethical guidelines from the Comité de 794 Protection des Personnes de l'Ile de France II and the French advisory committee on data 795 processing in medical research. Fibroblasts were transformed by the large T antigen from

- <sup>796</sup> SV40T as previously described<sup>65</sup>. Fibroblasts were cultured in RPMI with 15% FBS, Pen/Strept
- and 25 mM Hepes (Gibco) under  $3\% O_2$ . All cell lines were checked for mycoplasma
- contamination.
- 799

### 800 Immunoprecipitation

- 801 In YFP-pull downs, the SLX4 complex was purified from HeLa Fit0 cells transfected with
- 802 pDEST-YFP-SLX4 or HeLa Flp-In TREX cells expressing YFP-SLX4 under 10 ng/mL
- 803 doxycycline, as previously described<sup>2</sup>. Briefly, cells were harvested by Trypsin/EDTA, washed
- with PBS then lysed with NETN buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA,
- 805 1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 0.25 mM PMSF) containing proteasome inhibitor cocktail (Complete
- 806 EDTA-free [Roche]) for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation (15000 rpm, 10 min at 4°C),

807 supernatants were incubated with GFP nanobody (kindly provided by Mauro Modesty) for 2 hrs

at 4°C. The beads were washed 3 times with NETN buffer, twice with TBS, and 5 times with 50

- 809 mM Tris-HCI [pH 8.0] before samples were eluted with NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen)
- 810~ for 5 min at 95°C. For Fig. 1a (lane 3), NETN buffer including 850 mM NaCl was added to the
- supernatant before immunoprecipitation to adjust the final concentration of NaCl to 500 mM.
- 812 After the incubation, beads were washed with NETN including 500 mM NaCl for 3 times before
- TBS wash. For Fig. 1a (lane 4 and 5), beads were incubated with either 500 U/mL Benzonase
- 814 (Sigma) in presence of 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> or 50 µg/mL EtBr for 16 hrs after TBS wash. Beads were
- 815 washed 5 times with 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0] buffer then samples were eluted with NuPAGE
- 816 LDS sample buffer. For anti-Flag immunoprecipitation samples were processed as described
- 817 above using FLAG M2 Agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) instead of a GFP-nanobody.
- 818

### 819 Co-immunoprecipitation of endogenous SLX4 and RTEL1

- <sup>820</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> HeLa (Fit0) cells were lysed in NETN buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH=8.0], 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM
- EDTA, 0.5% NP-40 supplemented with anti-proteases cocktail (Roche)) at 4°C before
- sonication and centrifugation. Half of the clarified lysate were incubated either with 2 $\mu$ g of IgG
- rabbit (Cell Signaling #2729S)) or 2µg of anti-SLX4 (1µg of A302-270A + 1µg A302-269A from
- 824 Bethyl laboratories) and 15µL dynabeads-protein G (invitrogen) overnight at 4°C. Beads were
- 825 washed 4 times in NETN buffer before elution in loading buffer. To probe for RTEL1 and SLX4
- in WB and avoid the signal of the IgG used for immunoprecipitation, specific secondary
- 827 antibodies were used (Veriblot, Abcam).
- 828

### 829 Cell cycle synchronization

- 830 HeLa FIp-In TREX cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 were synchronized by double thymidine
- block. 2x10<sup>6</sup> cells were seeded the day before treatment then incubated with 10% FBS/DMEM
- containing 2 mM Thymidine (Sigma) and doxycycline (10 ng/mL) for 18 hrs. After PBS wash,
- cells were incubated in the medium without Thymidine for 9 hrs then incubated in the medium
- containing 2 mM of Thymidine for 16 hrs. The cells synchronized at G1/S phase were released
- 835 from Thymidine block and collected at several time points after release (described in the Fig.).
- 836 Cell cycle stages of samples were analyzed with a Muse<sup>™</sup> cell analyser (Millipore) as per
- 837 manufacturer's instructions.
- 838

### 839 Yeast Two Hybrid

- 840 Yeast Two-Hybrid assay was performed using ProQuest Two-Hybrid systems as per
- 841 manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, various Human SLX4 and RTEL1 cDNA fragments indicated
- 842 in Fig. 2c,d and Extended data Fig. 4 were inserted in yeast two-hybrid vectors pDEST22 and
- 843 pDEST32, respectively. Yeast two-hybrid vectors were transformed into the yeast strain

- 844 MaV203. Cells were cultured on plates with the selective media as indicated in Fig. 2c,d and
- 845 Extended data Fig. 4.
- 846

### 847 Protein Expression and Purification and *in vitro* binding assay

- 848 The 6His-tagged RTEL1 HD1a, HD1b and H2a fragments and the GST-SLX4 (Helix-BTB) WT
- and D614G mutant fragments were expressed in *E.coli* (Rosetta) induced with 0.1 mM IPTG at
- 850 16°C overnight. Bacterial pellets were frozen in PBS. The next day, an equivalent volume of 2x
- 851 lysis buffer (100 mM Tris [pH=8], 20% [v/v] glycerol, 2% [v/v] Triton X-100, 1 M NaCl, 1 mg/ml
- 852 lysozyme (Sigma)) was added. A protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete EDTA-free, Roche) and
- 853 PMSF were added to the thawed lysates just before sonication and clarification.
- 854 Ni<sup>++</sup>-agarose was charged with the 6His-tagged RTEL1 HD fragments after incubation with the
- supernatant for 3 hrs at 4°C. The Ni++-agarose resin was washed 5 times in wash buffer (50
- mM Tris.Cl pH=8, 1 M NaCl, 0.01% [v/v] Triton X-100) and stored at 4°C in binding buffer
- 857 (150mM NaCl, Tris.Cl pH=8, 0,01% [v/v] Triton X100, 10% [v/v] glycerol). The GST-SLX4
- 858 (Helix-BTB) WT and D614G mutant fragments were purified on Glutathione agarose (Molecular
- 859 probes) following standard procedures
- 860 For *in vitro* binding assays the GST-SLX4 (Helix-BTB) fragments were incubated with Ni<sup>++</sup>-
- agarose charged with the 6His-tagged RTEL1 HD fragments for 2 hours at 22°C. The flow
- through (Ft) was recovered after centrifugation. The pelleted Ni<sup>++</sup>-agarose was subsequently
- 863 was washed 10 times with 10 bead volume of binding buffer before it was resuspended in a
- volume of Laemmli buffer equivalent to the total volume of the *in vitro* binding assay.
- 865 Proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and detected following transfer to a nitrocellulose
- 866 membrane (Amersham) with anti-6His or anti-GST antibodies.
- 867

### 868 siRNA transfection and Complementation assays

- 869 The following siRNA sequences were used in this work.
- 870 siLUC (CGUACGCGGAAUACUUCGAdTdT)
- siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup> targeting the 5'UTR and 3'UTR of SLX4 consists of a mix of two siRNAs:
- 872 SLX4 UTR87 (GCACCAGGUUCAUAUGUAUdTdT)
- 873 SLX4 UTR7062 (GCACAAGGGCCCAGAACAAdTdT)
- 874 In addition, siRNAs for SLX4 (M-014895-01-0005) (siSLX4<sup>SP</sup>) and RTEL1 (M-013379-00-0005)
- 875 (siRTEL1<sup>SP</sup>) were purchased from Dharmacon.
- 876 Fit0 cells or stable cell lines described in Cell lines section were seeded the day before
- 877 transfection (1.5x10<sup>5</sup> cells/6-well). The transfection was performed in 10%FBS/DMEM
- 878 containing doxycycline to induce Flag-HA-SLX4 protein expression. siRNAs were transfected at
- 879 10 or 20 nM of final siRNA concentrations using INTERFERin (Polyplus transfection) as per
- 880 manufacturer's instructions. The transfection was repeated 24 hrs later then cells were

reseeded 6 hrs after the 2nd siRNA transfection. Cells were then incubated in 10%FBS/DMEM

882 containing doxycycline for 1 or 2 days in order to achieve optimal knockdown efficiency and to

- 883 maintain Flag-HA-SLX4 expression. Cell biological and biochemical analysis were performed as
- 884 described below.
- 885

### 886 Colony survival assay

siRNA-treated HeLa FIp-In TREX cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 were reseeded at 900 cells/60
mm Petri dish 6 hrs after the 2nd siRNA transfection. 20 hrs later, cells were treated with the
indicated concentrations of mitomycin C (MMC) in presence of doxycycline (1 ng/mL) for 24 hrs.
Cells were then subsequently washed with PBS and cultured with 10% FBS/DMEM including 1
ng/ml of doxycycline. Colonies were fixed with fix solution (50% EtOH, 7% Acetic acid) including
0.5 mg/mL Brilliant blue R 250 (Sigma) 8 days after MMC treatment and counted.

893

### 894 **DNA fiber analysis**

895 Cells were pulse labelled with 25 µM IdU (Sigma) for 20 min, washed with pre-heated medium 896 and PBS, then pulse labeled with 50 µM CldU (Sigma) for 20 min. In Fig. 6 and Extended data 897 Fig. 8a, cells were pre-treated for 3 h with 50 µM cordycepin (Sigma) and cordycepin was 898 maintained during the labelling procedure. In Extended data Fig. 8b, cells were treated for 3 h 899 with 1 µM triptolide (Sigma) and triptolide was maintained during the labelling procedure. Cells 900 were harvested by trypsinization and resuspended in ice-cold PBS at 500,000 cells per ml. 2 µL 901 of the cell suspension (1,000 cells) were spotted on microscope glass slides and lyzed in 7 µL 902 of spreading buffer (200 mM Tris-HCI [pH 7.5], 50 mM EDTA [pH8.0], 10% SDS). Slides were 903 tilted to 15° in order to spread the DNA molecules. After fixation with a mixture of methanol and 904 acetic acid (3:1), air-dried slides were incubated twice in H<sub>2</sub>O for 5 min followed by denaturation 905 in 2.5 M HCl for 1 hr. Slides were washed in PBS and blocked for 1 h in blocking buffer (1% 906 BSA, 0.1% Tween20 in PBS). IdU, CldU and total DNA were immunodetected with the following 907 procedure: (1) mouse anti-BrdU (clone B44 347580, Becton Dickinson, 1/20, IdU) + rat anti-908 BrdU (clone BU1/75 AbC117-7513, Abcys, 1/100, CldU) for 1 h at room temperature, (2) goat 909 anti-mouse AF594 (A11032) + goat anti-rat AF488 (A11006) for 30 min at 37°C, (3) mouse anti-910 single stranded DNA (clone 16-19 MAB3034, Ozyme, 1/100) for 45 min at 37°C, (4) rabbit anti-911 mouse AF350 (A21062) for 20 min at 37°C and (5) goat anti-rabbit AF350 (A11046) for 20 min 912 at 37°C. All AlexaFluor-coupled antibodies were from Molecular probes and used at 1/100 913 dilution. All antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer. Samples were mounted in fluorescent 914 mounting medium (DAKO). Images were acquired on an Axio Imager Z1 microscope using the 915 Axio Vision software (Zeiss). Images were analyzed with the Axio Vision software. Broken 916 replication tracks, established by total DNA counterstaining, were excluded from the analysis.

- 917 For each intact individual fork (i.e. one IdU tract flanked by a CldU track), the fork ratio was
- 918 calculated as the ratio between max(IdU,CIdU) and min(IdU,CIdU).
- 919

### 920 Immunofluorescence

921 siRNA-treated HeLa Flp-In TREX or U2OS Flp-In TREX cells expressing Flag-HA-SLX4 or 922 YFP-SLX4 were cultured on coverslips. Cells were washed with CSK buffer (100 mM NaCl, 300 923 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES [pH 7.0], 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and pre-extracted in CSK buffer containing 924 0.5% TritonX-100 for 3 min at 4°C then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS. For Extended data 925 Fig. 1b, HeLa Flp-In TREX cells expressing YFP-SLX4 were washed with PBS and fixed in 4% 926 paraformaldehyde/PBS then permeabilized in 0.5% TritonX-100/PBS for 15 min. After PBS 927 wash, cells were incubated in blocking buffer (3% BSA/PBS) for 30 min then immunostained 928 with primary antibodies in blocking buffer for 2 hrs at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. 929 Cells were washed three times with blocking buffer and stained with secondary antibodies in 930 blocking buffer for 2 hrs at room temperature. After three times of PBS wash, coverslips were 931 mounted in fluorescent mounting medium supplemented with DAPI (Vector Laboratory or Dako). 932 Images were acquired with LSM-880 microscope using Zen software (Zeiss) (Fig. 4a) or with 933 Axio Imager Z1 and Z2 microscope using Axio Vision software (Zeiss) (Extended data Fig. 1c).

- 934 Images were analyzed with ImageJ software.
- 935

### 936 In situ Proximity ligation assay (PLA)

937 For PLA, cells were seeded on fibronectin-coated glass coverslips. PLA between SLX4, RTEL1 or FANCD2 and RNA polymerase II were performed as described previously<sup>34</sup>. Cells were fixed 938 939 with 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS and permeabilized in 0.5% TritonX-100/PBS for 5 min. PLA 940 was performed with the Duolink PLA In situ Green kit (Sigma) according to manufacturer's 941 instructions. The following couples of primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-HA [16B12] (to 942 detected SLX4, 1/1000) + rabbit anti-RNA polymerase II ab5095 (1/900); mouse anti-RNA 943 polymerase II MMS-129R (1/600) + rabbit anti-FANCD2 ab2187 (1/1000) or rabbit anti-RTEL1 944 (1/500). When indicated, HA counterstaining was performed by incubating the coverslips with 945 goat anti-mouse AF594 after the PLA procedure. 946 PLA between SLX4 and nascent DNA was performed as described previously<sup>66</sup>, with minor 947 modifications. Briefly, cells were pulse labeled with 30 µM EdU for 7 min, pre-extracted with 948 CSK100 buffer (100 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES [pH 6.8], 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM 949 EGTA, 0.5% TritonX-100, protease inhibitors) for 5 min at 4 °C and fixed. Biotin-azide 950 (Molecular Probes) was conjugated to EdU by click chemistry. PLA was performed using mouse 951 anti-HA [16B12] (1/1000) and rabbit anti-biotin (A150-109A, Bethyl, 1/3000) antibodies or 952 mouse anti-biotin (1/3000) and rabbit anti-RTEL1 (1/500) antibodies. PLA was followed by EdU 953 counterstaining.

### 955 **Bioinformatic and structural analyses**

956 Homolog Sequences of RTEL1 and SLX4 were retrieved using PSI-BLAST searches<sup>67</sup> against 957 the RefSeg database. Multiple sequence alignments were calculated using MAFFT (e-insi 958 mode)<sup>68</sup> and represented using Jalview<sup>69</sup>. Secondary structures (H for helix, E for extended) and 959 disorder status (d) were predicted by PSIPRED<sup>70</sup> and SPOTD<sup>71</sup> algorithms, respectively. 3d 960 models of the HD1 and HD2 harmonin domains of human RTEL1 in complex with the helix of 961 human SLX4 were generated by template-based docking using the alignments calculated by hhpred<sup>72</sup> and the RosettaCM<sup>73</sup> protocol for comparative modeling. As a structural template the 962 963 structure (PDB code:4yl6) of the complex between the harmonin domain of CCM2 and the 964 MEKK3 helix<sup>74</sup> was used as it was the best hhpred hit obtained from an X-ray structure (hhpred 965 proba of 98.2% and 97.8% for HD1 and HD2, respectively). HD1 and HD2 share 21% and 17% 966 sequence identity with CCM2, respectively, while SLX4 shares significant similarities with 967 MEKK3 sequence, which were used to align both ligands sequences.

968

### 969 Next generation sequencing of clinical samples

Targeted NGS was applied to a custom-made panel of 494 "cancer-associated" genes selected
 for their involvement in cancers (CCP-V8 panel). The DNA libraries of all coding exons and

- 972 intron-exon boundaries of all genes using the HaloPlex Target Enrichment System (Agilent,
- 973 Santa Clara, CA, USA) were done as described<sup>75</sup>. Sequencing was done using the 2×150-bp
- 974 paired-end technology on the Illumina Nextseq500 platform according to the manufacturer's
- 975 instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). To identify somatic mutations only, germline DNAs
- 976 from normal counterpart samples (blood lymphocytes of corresponding patients) were similarly
- 977 sequenced. Samples were biopsied metastases from patients enrolled in the prospective
- 978 PERMED-01 trial (NCT02342158)<sup>76</sup>. Samples were sequenced at an average depth greater
- 979 than 700x for the targeted regions. PERMED 1069 was a liver metastasis of gastric
- 980 adenocarcinoma; the SLX4 NM\_032444 exon8 c.T1853c p.L618P variant had an allelic
- 981 frequency of 2.30% (the ratio of malignant cells was 30%). PERMED 1115 was a lung
- 982 metastasis of chondroblastic osteosarcoma; the SLX4 NM\_032444 exon8 c.A1841G p.D614G
- 983 variant had an allelic frequency of 6.80% (the ratio of malignant cells was 90%).
- 984
- 985
- 986 Mass spectroscopy and data analysis methods are presented as a Supplementary Note.
- 987

### 988 Statistics

- 989 GraphPad Prism 6 software was used for statistics. Statistical comparisons of replication track
- 990 length and fork ratio distributions were assessed with the non-parametric two-tailed Mann-

- 991 Whitney test. IF and PLA experiments were tested with the non-parametric two-tailed Kruskal-
- 992 Wallis followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons. Complete results of statistics tests are shown
- 993 in the Source Data files.
- 994

### 995 DATA AVAILABILITY

- 996 The data supporting the findings of this study are available with the online article. The mass
- 997 spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via
- 998 the PRIDE [1] partner repository with the datasets identifiers PXD012426 and PXD012425.
- 999 Next Generation sequence data (PERMED 1069 = isolate P1, PERMED 1115 = isolate P2)
- 1000 were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject PRJNA611917 and
- 1001 can be retrieved at <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=PRJNA611917">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/study/?acc=PRJNA611917</a>
- 1002
- 1003 **Reporting summary.** Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature
- 1004 Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.
- 1005

### 1006 METHODS-ONLY REFERENCES

- 1007 64. Le Guen, T. *et al.* Human RTEL1 deficiency causes Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome with short telomeres and genome instability. *Hum Mol Genet* **22**, 3239–3249 (2013).
- 100965.Buck, D. *et al.* Cernunnos, a novel nonhomologous end-joining factor, is mutated in<br/>human immunodeficiency with microcephaly. *Cell* **124**, 287–299 (2006).
- 101166.Despras, E. et al. Rad18-dependent SUMOylation of human specialized DNA1012polymerase eta is required to prevent under-replicated DNA. Nat Commun 7, 133261013(2016).
- 101467.Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein1015database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**, 3389–3402 (1997).
- 101668.Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:1017improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
- 101869.Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview1019Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*102025, 1189–1191 (2009).
- 102170.Jones, D. T. Protein secondary structure prediction based on position-specific scoring<br/>matrices. J Mol Biol 292, 195–202 (1999).
- 1023 71. Hanson, J., Yang, Y., Paliwal, K. & Zhou, Y. Improving protein disorder prediction by
   1024 deep bidirectional long short-term memory recurrent neural networks. *Bioinformatics* 33,
   1025 685–692 (2017).
- 102672.Zimmermann, L. et al. A Completely Reimplemented MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit with a<br/>New HHpred Server at its Core. J Mol Biol 430, 2237–2243 (2018).
- 102873.Song, Y. et al. High-resolution comparative modeling with RosettaCM. Structure 21,10291735–1742 (2013).
- 1030 74. Wang, X. *et al.* Structural Insights into the Molecular Recognition between Cerebral Cavernous Malformation 2 and Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Kinase Kinase 3. *Structure* 23, 1087–1096 (2015).
- 103375.Collette, Y. et al. Drug response profiling can predict response to ponatinib in a patient1034with t(1;9)(q24;q34)-associated B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood Cancer J 5,1035e292 (2015).
- 1036 76. Chanez, B. *et al.* De novo metastatic small cell carcinoma of the prostate with BRCA2
  1037 mutation: report of a successful precision medicine management with PARP inhibitors.
  1038 JCO Precision Oncology, 2:1-8. doi.org/10.1200/PO.18.00083 (2018)

Count

Count

Count

Count

Count

ount

Count

10 State





 YFP-SLX4
 YFP-SLX4 (Ponceau)

 YFP-SLX4 (Ponceau)

 Pull-down
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 YFP-SLX4 (Ponceau)

 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput

 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput
 Imput





ŵт R957W (676)





С

b











Figure 6

а





e





ម្ម 10000

HeLa YFP-SLX4<sub>577-795</sub> 4G <mark>8</mark> В --0 0 Q the second se kDa 250 150 100 75



YFP-pull down YFP-SLX4





SLX4(YFP)

		PSM Number (Spectral count)										
			AT	<b>P-</b>		ATP+						
		YFP-SLX4 WT		<b>FIT0</b>		YFP-SLX4 WT		FIT0				
		Run1	Run2	Run1	Run2	Run1	Run2	Run1	Run2			
Accession	Description	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM			
Q8IY92	SLX4	423	415			363	353					
Q9BQ83	SLX1	17	18			18	15					
Q92889	XPF	83	72			71	69					
P07992	ERCC1	28	24			26	23					
Q96NY9	MUS81	20	17			14	15					
P43246	MSH2	31	29			28	25					
Q15554	TRF2	11	8			7	8					
Q96AY2	EME1	17	17			12	11					
Q5VYV7	SLX4IP	9	8			6	5					
Q9NZ71	RTEL1	7	6			2	2					

		PSM Number (Spectral count)											
		YFP-SLX4 WT		YFP-SLX4 D614G			YFP-SLX4 L618P			FITO			
		Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2	Run3
Accession	Description	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM	PSM
Q8IY92	SLX4	278	306	311	225	239	243	232	245	247	2	3	2
Q9NZ71	RTEL1	13	12	14									
P81605	Dermcidin	3	4	3									
P12273	Prolactin-inducible protein	3	3	3									

a

### HD1 extension required for interaction with SLX4 helix

Sec. Struct. pred. (PSIPRED) Disorder pred. (SPOTD) Homo sapiens (NP 057518) 886-1158 Equus caballus (XP 023482708) 903-1178 Miniopterus natalensis (XP 016057738) 889-1162 Bos taurus (XP 024856143) 889-1158 Rattus norvegicus (NP 001178786) 886-1157 Mus musculus (XP 006500700) 886-1140 Corvus cornix (XP 019139906) 890-1169 Gallus gallus (XP 004947187) 895-1172 Alligator mississippiensis (XP 006273127) 894-1181 Xenopus laevis (XP 018090505) 893-1140 Danio rerio (NP 001013328) 898-1151 Oryzias latipes (XP 011471289) 899-1139 Takifugu rubripes (XP 011619108) 887-1130 Nematostella vectensis (XP 001631451) 912-1082 Hydra vulgaris (XP 012564281) 907-1015 Drosophila melanogaster (NP 572254) 895-985 Apis mellifera (XP 026302051) 899-989 Anopheles gambiae (XP 311162) 895-985 Schistosoma haematobium (XP 012795483) 989-1081

ECHAAGAQIDKARLEMVAVRQELSQANFAIFIQALQDIRGSDDFAALAACLGFLFAEDF-KKHNLLQGFIQFVK-FHHRQQFEEVCIQLIGK	CCCVOREUSI PORCARRAL PRECEREEDREI TI COCAARO
EGPAASAQAGKANLEMVAVNQALSQASEDIEAKALQUINGSDUFEALVACLIPLEAEUP-KKISLLQGETQEVK-PHINQKFEEVCIQLIGQ	CCCUL DEVELL DCOWAODEL DDDMD EDVDKMTLCOCAADOL
GLAAGAQADRAALFIVIVAQALSQASFDSFIRALQETAGSDNFQALVDHLSPLFAEDP-KKHSLLQGFTQFVR-PHHAQQFEELCLQLIGR	SC SHLPETSLLRGQWAQPSLDPRMR-EPVPRMILSQGAARQL
VPAASIDIGRAKLEMVAVKQALSQASEDIEIQALRUTKSSUULEALVARLSPLEAEUP-KKHSLLQGETQEVK-PHHKQQFEEVCLQLIGQ	GCSSPHKHGHPQRQGAQLALDSSGRKESDPKLIVSQGATRQL
EPVAGIQAGRAKMEMVAVKQALSQANEDIEIQALQHYKSSDDEEALVASLICLEAEDP-KKHILLKGEYQEVK-PHHKQQEEDICEQLIGQ	RCSYQPGNSLPFGEQAQSIASKQGRRELESKLILSEGADRQL
EPMAGIQAGRAKMFMVAVKQALSQANFDIFIQALQHYKSSDDFEALVASLICLFAEDP-KKHILLKGFYQFVK-PHHKQQFEDICFQLIGQ	RCGYQPGKRELESKLILSEGVDRQL
- TORRAEPAEPKKARATFYIATVKOTLSQQNYELFSKALQQYKKT DOFHAMLSQMSSLFIEDE - KKHVLLRDFYQFVR - PQHKKQFDEACCNLTGV	GCGYKPEHSLPREEREILA - KRTEEKQSHQKSTFSKASCAQL
- A SKIA DPSEPKKA RATLYIA TVKKTLSQQSYNLFSEALQRYRTT A DFNTMLSQMSSLFTEDE - KKHILLREFYQFVR - PHHKKQFDEACCNLTGV	GCGYKPEHSLLLEEREGLA - KKIEEKESRQKTTFSENSCSQL
DS <mark>g</mark> kmtvpae <mark>p</mark> kktratsfiatv <mark>k</mark> eslsqlnydvfsealkqy <mark>k</mark> mt DDFStmlsqmsgvfledq - RKHILLREFYQFVR - PHHKKQFDEACRSLTGE	GCGYKPEHSLQRGEREMMA - KEAEAKQCQEKTTSSEGSSSQL
PEETC PSDQKMTSASLFLASVKQSLSQENYSIFMAAMADYKKN NDLQQLITHLRSLFCQDT - AKYNLLRGFYQFIR - PHHKHEFESACKDLTGK	GCGYKPEHSVPRAEREK AQCAAAVSES <mark>K</mark> VTFSEGS
TVTSHTAKT <mark>gk</mark> afmmel RrclshenfklimealqsyktaDNLNNLLATVAEPLIQDH-NTHSLLR <mark>glyqfir-Phhk</mark> keydercleltge	GCGYKQEHSLSREEKEALR HNSVKAEIPPVNPPIEQL
Q SR SEDV <mark>s</mark> eedkaara <mark>k</mark> lfladm <mark>k</mark> kslskvnfdrivqalqty <mark>k</mark> kt <mark>De</mark> ldvllt <mark>gt</mark> a - Vls <mark>ed</mark> a - Nthsllr <mark>gfyqfvr</mark> - <mark>Phhk</mark> rrf <b>de</b> rceqltgq	GCGYKPEHSLSKDQKKAVMLQSE RPAS <mark>RGG</mark> ASSSAYSQL
- KN <mark>P</mark> DEA <mark>S</mark> VESKTNKA <mark>ks</mark> flgemkkslsqvnfdqiivalqsyktt Dsleallstts - Vlteda - Nthnlfr <mark>gmyqfvr</mark> - Phhkklfhekcqqltgh	GCGFEPNH <mark>SLP</mark> KNKNNAVMLTKDGHTSVAL <mark>K</mark> S <mark>S</mark> CGASSSSQL
- E SA E E N <mark>S</mark> KAQKLEA A <mark>K</mark> MY I V K V K Q S L SG E NY KA F S R V L A NY K QC NDL DQL I SGL T L L F T QNR - S L Y P L F L G F S NY I R D P Q D K KR L F E A Y KE I T G E	DVPASYLSGLPSRKRAEPDFIHTSKVGKKMRMETDVKS
SIDAYNDKKENTKEDAKQYLIKVKSILSKEQYADFGSSMMCYKKNGDIRSLIDGFDKLFDKKSLVHMDLIQGFSFFVRKDNDKKLFSAAIDRWLAT	WC VICKNEA
- VE <mark>P</mark> SSSQAKEA <mark>P</mark> EERAAFLRELRSLVTQDQFRRFGKALLEYKNGTYESFQALMAILLDVLSA - P - KVRYMLVGMRKYLK - NEHKDEFDRRVGNL	
- ILGETSNKTDKKTL <mark>gkmyl</mark> kev <mark>k</mark> lslsetdykifatmiqdytk <mark>t</mark> NNLEVLLKILQDLFSPNK - Glfhlfigfkhfik - Kyhisefenyitlvt	
- VAAAANVKRVAPENRVDFLREVKCSLSAGSYKTFLQSLAVYNRN SDFVLFIKQLCSCFNK - P - HLHYLLLAMRRFIK - QEHTLEFDTIIEKIEA -	
SNGTNESVYASRLNDFKQVMKEYRQAITLNQNTLINQSNQLVEVLFTQLSRIFIP-L-EAPGLLQDAICFIL-PEHRKRYAELCHNLTGL	P

### HD1 RTEL1

### HD2 RTEL1

Sec. Struct. pred. (PSIPRED) Disorder pred. (SPOTD) Homo sapiens (NP 057518) 886-1158 Equus caballus (XP 023482708) 903-1178 Miniopterus natalensis (XP 016057738) 889-1162 Bos taurus (XP 024856143) 889-1158 Rattus norvegicus (NP 001178786) 886-1157 Mus musculus (XP 006500700) 886-1140 Corvus cornix (XP 019139906) 890-1169 Gallus gallus (XP 004947187) 895-1172 Alligator mississippiensis (XP 006273127) 894-1181 Xenopus laevis (XP 018090505) 893-1140 Danio rerio (NP 001013328) 898-1151 Oryzias latipes (XP 011471289) 899-1139 Takifugu rubripes (XP 011619108) 887-1130 Nematostella vectensis (XP 001631451) 912-1082 Hydra vulgaris (XP 012564281) 907-1015 Drosophila melanogaster (NP 572254) 895-985 Apis mellifera (XP 026302051) 899-989 Anopheles gambiae (XP 311162) 895-985 Schistosoma haematobium (XP 012795483) 989-1081

D

		нннннннннннн	HHHHHHHHHHHH	ННННННННН ННННННННННННННН	
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDD - DDDDDDDDDD	D DDD				- DDDD DDDDDDDD DDDDDDDD
HL SPRPPPTGDPGSQPQ-WGSGVPRAGK	K QGQHAVSAYLADARRALC	SAGCSQLLAALTAYKQD	D D L D K V L A V L A A L T T A K P E [	D F P L L H R F S M F V R P H H K Q R F S Q T C T D L T G	R PYPG MEPPGPQE ERLAVPPV
HL T S R P S S A G V S S S R P Q - E K S G A P R A E R	R QGQPAVSAYLADARRALC	SAGCSRLLAALTTYKRD	D D F E K V V A V V A A L T T E R P E C	DLPLLQRFSMFVRPHHKQRFRQTCAALTG	P V P G T D T E P P G P W E G S P A V P P D
HLASRPVPKGDSGGCLQ-EGCSNPQAEK	K PGQPAVSTYLADARRALC	SAGCSQLLAALTTYKRD	D D F E K M V A V V A S L T T S R P E C	D L P L L Q R F S M F V R P H H K L R F R Q M C A D L T G	VPTLGAGTEPPGPQE GSSKVPPD
HLASGPFPAGDLNCSLH-KGSRAPGAEK	K QHPSTVSAYLADVRRTLC	AAGYSQLLTALTTYKQD	D D F E K V V A V V A A L T T E K P E C	D L P L L Q R F G M F V R P H H K Q R F R Q M C V D L S G	P G T Q A P G P Q E G G P A M P S D
HLSTHLTSKGDTSNCPK-VGCV GEK	K PGQPAVNDYLSDVHKALC	SASCNQLTAALRAYKQD	D D L D K V L A V VA A L T T A K P E H	HLSLLQRFGMFIRRHHKPRFVQTCADLMG	L TIGKGLELPCPRD ESTTVPSE
HL SAHPTSKGHTSHCTK - VGCA VEK	K PGQPAVSDYLSDVHKALC	SASCNQL TAAL RAYKQD	D D L D K V V A V V A A L T T A K P E H	HLPLLQRFGMFVRRHHKPQFLQTCADLMG	TTGKDLELEGPRD ESPTVPPE
HLATGLNSAGQNASAAPRKEAEKAPGSR	RRE - HHQALRTAYLNDVQRALC	KSTYSQFYEALLAYKKT	D N Y D A M V S V I A A L T T E R PQ	DFHLLQRFYMFVRPHHKEQFRELCKDLTG	M/CGDG EKQPQQLEQSEGKPQS
HL T T G Q T S A G D N T S Q A T S K D T K N V S G S R	RRD-HHQVLRTTYLNDVKKALF	ESAYSRFHEALLAYKRT	D D Y D A M I P V I A A L T T E R P E C	DFHLLQRFTMFV <mark>R</mark> PHH <mark>k</mark> eqfr <mark>q</mark> vckdltg	A GGDE QKQQQM QSEKSAVT
HL T S G L I S A G E N H T R V Q S E V A G K G S S S L	LQESRHQLLKTTYLSDVKKSLC	KNDYNSFFAALCTYKKT	DNYDAMVAVIAAITTEKPEL	L F Y L L Q R F H M F I <mark>R P H H K</mark> E Q F R <mark>Q</mark> L C R D L T G	TSCDEG EKKLQQ QGARSPLD
HL STDSIK APTGNCPAGQ	Q A G Q S Q V S M Y L S R V R E A L C	SSSFQRFTAALSSYKKS	DSYEGMVCEIAALLTGQPE	HYALLREFHRFVRPHHKKMFNTLCEELTG	LYCPKKPQVL
HLGTIQLNGGEVNVRSE EQKPOTSI	I KEGPSCSSLLSDIKQAIC	AEKTRQMFSALQAYKTS	NNYEQMVSTVVSLLTERDE	DIALLERLSVLI RPQHRKQFGELLKSLTG	NDSAVVQDSD
HL SQGGLK E PQAAQ	Q NQARSTFAADVKKALC	AERCARLSDAISNYKKT	DNYEDLVTTVVSLFTERDD	NFQLLTRFSLFIHPHHKKKYKELLDALMG	Q T T
HL SQKQLR DPHTAS	SV PRSDGHPAFLAEVKRSLF	PEKSAELFQAISCYKKT	DNYDNLVVNFLGLFKDN	NVNLLVRLGIFIRPHHKKKYKEMLDGLAG	<b>AAK</b>
YLEKSALK EKVPKAGS	S	WNSAASAS NHL	DAHTHL		N <mark>GG</mark> QR
				<mark>L <mark>K</mark> P H</mark>	
					I Q S N





Ni++-agarose

2

J'



![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

siLUC

siSLX4<sup>UTR</sup>

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_8.jpeg)

a

b

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)