

# Use of mouse model in pharmacokinetic studies of poorly water soluble drugs: Application to fenofibrate

Badr Bahloul, Fathi Safta, Mohamed Ali Lassoued, Hélène Dhotel, Johanne Seguin, Nathalie Mignet, Souad Sfar

## ▶ To cite this version:

Badr Bahloul, Fathi Safta, Mohamed Ali Lassoued, Hélène Dhotel, Johanne Seguin, et al.. Use of mouse model in pharmacokinetic studies of poorly water soluble drugs: Application to fenofibrate. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology, 2018, 43, pp.149 - 153. 10.1016/j.jddst.2017.10.006 . hal-03078844

## HAL Id: hal-03078844 https://hal.science/hal-03078844v1

Submitted on 27 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Accepted Manuscript

Use of mouse model in pharmacokinetics study of poorly water soluble drugs: Application to fenofibrate

Badr Bahloul, Fathi Safta, Mohamed Ali Lassoued, Hélène Dhotel, Johanne Seguin, Nathalie Mignet, Souad Sfar

PII: S1773-2247(17)30369-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.jddst.2017.10.006

Reference: JDDST 488

To appear in: Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology

Received Date: 11 May 2017

Revised Date: 4 October 2017

Accepted Date: 8 October 2017

Please cite this article as: B. Bahloul, F. Safta, M.A. Lassoued, Héè. Dhotel, J. Seguin, N. Mignet, S. Sfar, Use of mouse model in pharmacokinetics study of poorly water soluble drugs: Application to fenofibrate, *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jddst.2017.10.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





Use of mouse model in pharmacokinetics study of poorly

water soluble drugs: Application to fenofibrate

- <sup>4</sup> Badr Bahloul <sup>a,\*</sup>, Fathi Safta <sup>a</sup>, Mohamed Ali Lassoued <sup>a</sup>, Hélène
- <sup>5</sup> Dhotel<sup>b</sup>, Johanne Seguin<sup>b</sup>, Nathalie Mignet<sup>b</sup>, Souad Sfar<sup>a</sup>.
- <sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Pharmacological Drug Development
   LR12ES09, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Tunisia
- <sup>b</sup>CNRS UMR 8258 Inserm U1022, Paris Descartes University, Sorbonne Paris Cité,
   Paris, F-75006, France.
- 10

1

2

3

11

12

### \* Corresponding author

- 13 Badr Bahloul
- 14 *Tel.* + 216 98 41 82 41 *Fax*: + 216 73 46 18 30

Author names and affiliation

- 15 *E-mail* : <u>Badrpharm07@gmail.com</u>
- 16 Permanent Address: Faculty of Pharmacy, Laboratory of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and
- 17 Pharmacological Drug Development LR12ES09. 01 rue Avicenne, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia

#### 18 ABSTRACT

19

Fenofibrate has recently been used as drug model in several studies with the objective of 20 optimizing the development of some drug delivery systems to overcome the problem of poor 21 aqueous solubility of the newly discovered API. The adequacy of the drug delivery systems to 22 improve the oral bioavailability of encapsulated drug is generally evaluated by a 23 pharmacokinetic study. The use of mouse as animal model for pharmacokinetic studies has 24 25 become more important in the last decade because of many similarities with the human model in terms of the mechanisms of absorption, metabolism and elimination. Nevertheless, the 26 27 mouse is often hampered by the very small volumes of blood that could be obtained during 28 sampling. The aim of this work was to overcome the problem of lower volumes of plasma withdrawn by developing an appropriate protocol for sample preparation and a suitable HPLC 29 method for drug quantification in mouse plasma. Linear calibration curve was obtained over the 30 concentration range from 0,16 $\mu$ g/mL to 32 $\mu$ g/mL (r<sup>2</sup>=0,9999) with LLOQ of 0,16 $\mu$ g/mL The 31 RSD in both intra-run and inter-run precision study was less than 11% and the extraction 32 recoveries were above 91.9%. The reproducible method was successfully applied to the 33 pharmacokinetic study of fénofibrate in mouse. 34

35

36 KEY WORDS: Fenofibric acid, HPLC-UV, Pharmacokinetic, mouse, fenofibrate, poorly
37 water soluble drugs

#### 38 INTRODUCTION

Fenofibrate, is a well-studied lipid regulating agent used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia and hyper-triglyceridemia. After absorption, the drug is completely hydrolyzed by esterases in its active metabolite, the fenofibric acid, [1] which is eliminated with a half-life of 20 hours in human after one daily dosage. Its maximal plasma concentration is obtained around 3 to 4 hours post dosage.

Fenofibrate is practically insoluble in water and exhibits an extremely poor oral 44 bioavailability [2]. Therefore, fenofibrate has recently been used as drug model in several 45 studies with the objective of optimizing the development of some drug delivery systems to 46 overcome the problem of poor aqueous solubility characterizing more than 50% of the newly 47 discovered API. Among these systems : solid lipid nanoparticles [3] nanocrystals [4], 48 nanosuspension [5], selfmicroemulsufying systems [6] that were developed using fenofibrate as 49 a drug model. The adequacy of these drug delivery systems to improve the oral bioavailability 50 of encapsulated drug is generally evaluated by a pharmacokinetic study which requires a 51 suitable animal species that should closely resembles to human in terms of the mechanisms of 52 absorption and elimination of the active ingredient. 53

The rat appears to be the primary species most commonly used in preclinical pharmacokinetic studies. However, it was shown that the oral bioavailability values and the metabolic enzymatic levels in intestine are distinct between humans and rats [7].

57 Moreover, the use of the mouse as an animal model for pharmacokinetic studies has become more important in predicting oral bioavailability due to several similarities in the absorption, 58 metabolism and elimination processes between humans and mice [8]. Besides, the presence of gall 59 60 bladder in mice led to the occurrence of an absorption mechanism, entitled collisional transfer, by which highly lipophilic drugs are diffused through the glycocalyx when they are intercalated 61 in the bile acids (fasting state) or in the mixed micelles of bile acids (fasted state) [9]. As rats 62 do not possess a gall bladder, we chose to perform our study in mice. Nevertheless, the mouse 63 exhibits a particular challenge because of the very small volumes of blood that could be 64 obtained during sampling and hence impediments for pharmacokinetics [8, 10]. 65

66

The aim of this work was at first to overcome the problem of lower volumes of plasma withdrawn by developing a one-step processing method for samples preparation that would be rapid in applying and ensuring at least 90% of drug extraction efficiency. Secondly, a fast and

sensitive analytical method was developed for the dosage of the active metabolite of fenofibrate
(fenofibric acid) by HPLC-UV.

72

This quantification method was subsequently validated according to the recommendations of the SFSTP [11] and the FDA guideline for validation of bioanalytical methods[12]. The feasibility of the animal model and the extraction protocol were evaluated by carrying out a pharmacokinetic application to fenofibrate in its commercialized form LIPANTHYL® with an oral dose corresponding to 5 mg / kg.

- 78
- 79

## 80 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 81 2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Fenofibric acid (purity ≥98.0%) was provided from Sigma-Aldrich and the internal
standard (I.S.), carbamazepine (≥99.0% purity) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (China).
Methanol and deionized water of HPLC grade were bought from Carlo Erba (France).

Ammonium acetate was of analytical grade was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Netherlands)
Hydrochloric acid and diethyl ether were acquired from Carlo Erba (France).. Normal mouse
plasma was brought from Sigma-Aldrich (USA) and stored at -20°C.

88

#### 89 2.2. Chromatographic conditions

Analyses were performed on a Shimadzu HPLC Class VP series (Shimadzu, Kyoto, 90 Japan) which was composed of a LC-10ADvp quadratic pump, an auto-sampler (model SIL-91 92 10Avp Shimadzu), a variable UV-Visible wavelength detector (model SPD 10Avp; Shimadzu) and the data were analyzed by Class-VP series software, version 5.03 (Shimadzu, Japan). The 93 analytical column used was LiChrospher 100 RP8 (125mm×4.6mm I.D, 5µm particle size). The 94 detection wavelength was fixed at 287 nm and the column oven temperature was set at 95 35°C.Elution was obtained by applying the gradient steps summarized in table 1 and 96 corresponding to solvents A (Methanol) and B ((5 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 3.3)). In 97 all cases the flow rate was 1.5 ml/mn and the UV detection was achieved at a wavelength of 287 98 99 nm.

#### 100 Table 1. Gradient elution system for separation of fenofibric acid and internal standard

Cumulative time of acquisition (min)	Gradient			
	% Solvent A Methanol	% Solvent B 5 mM ammonium acetate buffer (pH 3.3)		
5	56	44		
10.5	66	34		
12.5	76	24		
13	66	34		

101

#### 102 2.3. Preparation of standard and stock solutions:

103 The Stock solution of fenofibric acid was prepared in methanol at a concentration of104 1600µg/ml.

105 In the other hand, the preparation of the internal standard stock solution was done at a 106 concentration of  $4.7\mu$ g/ml by dissolving 2.35 mg carbamazepine in 500 ml HCl (1M).

107 Then, the standard solutions of fenofibric acid with concentrations of 1600, 800, 500, 160, 80,

108 16and 8µg/ml were prepared after serial volumetric dilution of fenofibric acid stock solution
109 in methanol.

110 These solutions were prepared and stored in appropriate conditions (at -20°C) until analysis.

111

112 2.2. Extraction methods and sample preparation procedure:

113 25 µL aliquot of mouse plasma was mixed with 12.5 µL of the internal standard solution in
114 1.5ml Eppendorff tube.

Precipitation of the plasma proteins has been carried out by adding 250  $\mu$ L of methanol then by vortexing the mixture for 30 minutes using a vortex mixer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).. Afterward the samples were placed in an ice bath for 60 min, followed by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 12.000 rpm (4 ° C). The top layer was injected (20  $\mu$ L) into the HPLC system for analysis.

120

#### 121 2.4. Calibration curves and quality control plasma samples preparation

122 Calibration curves of fenofibric acid were prepared with concentration levels of 32, 123 16, 3.2, 1.6, 0.32and 0.16  $\mu$ g/ml by spiking 0.5 $\mu$ L of the standard solution in 24.5  $\mu$ L of 124 mouse plasma. This ratio of 2:100 was chosen in order to avoid plasma alteration with the 125 standard solution [13].

126 Three control samples (QC) were prepared in blank mouse plasma at different concentrations 127 of fenofibric acid (0.64, 16 and 25.6)  $\mu$ g/ml. All samples were conserved at -80 °C until 128 analysis.

A single set of quality controls and standards were analyzed each day of the overall workusing the same procedure described above for plasma samples.

131

#### 132 2.6 Method validation:

A complete validation of the used method for the determination of fenofibric acid in mouse plasma was done according to the FDA guideline for validation of bioanalytical methods [12] and to the SFSTP recommendations [11].

136 The method has been validated for selectivity, linearity, lower limit of quantification (LLOQ),

137 precision and accuracy, stability and recovery.

138 **2.6.1.** Selectivity

139 Chromatograms of drug-free mouse plasma were compared (n=6). All samples were 140 processed using the protein precipitation procedure in order to ensure the absence of 141 endogenous peaks co-eluted with fenofibric acid or the internal standard.

142

#### 2.6.2. Precision and accuracy

To assess the intra- and inter-day accuracy and precision, repetitive measurements of the three QC sample concentrations (0.64, 16 and 25.6  $\mu$ g/ml) were realized. Intra-day accuracy was assessed through the QC samples analyzed in replicates of five per day. The inter-day precision was determined by performing the same procedure once a day during three consecutive days. Precision and accuracy were expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) and relative error (RE) respectively. The precision and accuracy acceptance criterion for each QC sample concentration was within ±15%.

#### 150 **2.6.3.** *Linearity and limit of quantification*

To assess the linearity, six-point standard curves had been run within the concentration 151 range 0.16 - 32µg/ml on three different days with replicates of four for each concentration per 152 day (n=4). 153

Standard curves were fitted by plotting peak area ratio of fenofibric acid to internal standard 154 155 versus the corresponding concentration. Calibration curves were obtained by least-squares linear regression method using weight scheme as x (x= concentration) 156

The acceptance criterion of the coefficient of correlation for the calibration curves was 157 0.999 or greater and every back-determined standard concentration had to be within 15% 158 deviation with the exception of the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) that was within 20%. 159 The LLOQ is corresponding to the lowest concentration on the standard curve which could be 160 evaluated with adequate precision and accuracy. 161

2.6.4. Recovery 162

Extraction recovery was calculated by analyzing the three QC samples (three samples 163 each) in order to evaluate the extraction performance of the used method. 164

The recoveries had been evaluated by comparing the peak areas of the extracted samples with 165 those in which the analytes were subsequently added. 166

167

#### 2.6.5. Stability study

Stability of fenofibric acid in mouse plasma during processing and storage was 168 checked using the QC samples at three distinct concentrations that were analyzed under 169 different conditions. 170

171 QC samples were analyzed after short-term storing at room temperature for 24 h, after longterm storage : one month at -20°C, and after three freeze-thaw stability cycles. The post-172 processing stability was also evaluated by analyzing reconstituted QC samples that were kept 173 174 in auto-sampler for 24 h.

Every stability study was carried out in replicates of four for each QC sample. 175

#### 2.7. Application to pharmacokinetic study 176

The *in vivo* study was carried out according to the EU Directive 2010/63/EU related 177 to animal experiments. 8-week-old male BALB/cJRj mice (Janvier, St. Genest de Lisle, 178

- France), weighing approximately 20-22 g were housed in a controlled environment and werefasted overnight for 12 hours, nevertheless they were allowed to have free access to water.
- 181 The animals were dosed via oral gavage with 5 mg/kg of fenofibrate. The compound was182 orally administrated as suspensions of LIPANTHYL micronized.

Blood samples were collected at 0 h (pre-dose) 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 24 h post-dose from the tail vein using a sparse sampling schedule consisting of two blood samples for each mouse and 6 mice per time point. The samples were transferred to heparinized tube and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10min. The resulting plasma was separated and kept frozen at -80°C prior analysis.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 189 3.1 Method development

The separation of fenofibric acid and carbamazepine (internal standard) from the 190 biological endogenous components in mouse plasma was found to be efficient and remarkably 191 within satisfactory range. Various combinations of mobile phase, isocratic and gradient 192 systems were investigated to achieve the optimum separation condition. We found that the 193 best wavelength for detection was 287nm. The used mobile phase was Methanol and 194 ammonium acetate buffer (5 mM, (pH 3.3). And optimal elution was attained by applying the 195 gradients steps (summarized in table 1) of solvent A (Methanol) and solvent B (ammonium 196 acetate buffer (5 mM, (pH 3.3)) at a flow rate of 1.5ml/min. The retention times of I.S and 197 198 fenofibric acid were 3 min and 9 min respectively.

Clear supernatants, which were immediately injected into HPLC, were obtained after 199 200 centrifugation of plasma samples that had been simply treated with Methanol and then cooled to 0 °C by immersion in an ice bath for 30 minutes. The sample processing is consequently 201 202 convenient, inexpensive, and rapid. Furthermore, no evaporation step or a reconstitution phase was needed. Otherwise during the method development, protein precipitation step was also 203 204 evaluated using diethyl ether but recovery, extraction rate and selectivity were found to be unsatisfactory specially compared to the method using methanol which allowed a high 205 206 recovery and selectivity.



207

Fig. 1. HPLC chromatogram of (a) blank mouse plasma. (b) plasma sample spiked with standards of
carbamazepine (Rt-3 min) and fenofibric acid at a concentration of 0.64µg/ml (Rt-9 min). (c)
plasma sample from a mouse, post dosing at 3h.

#### 211 *3.2. Selectivity study*

Six different sources of blank plasma were screened in order to investigate any eventual interference from endogenous substances. Fig. 1 shows chromatograms obtained from the analysis of the extracted drug-free plasma, plasma sample spiked with fenofibric acid and the internal standard, and a plasma sample obtained from a mouse post dosing at 3h. The retention time for carbamazepine (internal standard) and fenofibric were, respectively, 3min and 9 min. With no endogenous compounds in the plasma eluted at the retention time of fenofibric acid and internal standard.

#### 219 3.3. Linearity and lower limit of quantification

The peak areas ratio (Y) of fenofibric acid versus the internal standard (I.S) were proportional to the concentration of fenofibric acid (x) in plasma within the tested range  $(0.16 - 32 \mu g/ml)$ . Blank mouse plasma spiked with the corresponding standard solutions giving concentrations of 0.16, 0.32, 1.6, 3.2, 16 and  $32\mu g/ml$  were analyzed. Excellent linear correlation was

obtained for all analytes over the entirestudied concentration range. The standard equation 224 was y = 0.4316x - 0.0227 and the correlation coefficient was  $r^2=0.9999$ . The analyte response at 225 the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was found to be 5 times the response of the blank response 226 (S/N ratio greater than 5) and was equal to 0.16µg/ml for fenofibric acid. The accuracy and 227 precision at the LLOQ were acceptable, with 8.5% RE and 2.06% RSD (n=5). 228

3.4. Precision and accuracy

229

231

The intra- and inter- day precision and accuracy results are summarized in Table 2. The RSD 230

and RE were less than 11% for the intra- and inter-run precision and accuracy assays. These

described results inferred that the developed method was precise and accurate. 232

Table 2. Intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy for the determination of fenofibric acid in 233 mouse plasma. 234

Spiked	Intra-day precision and accuracy (n=5)			Inter-day precision and accuracy (n=15)		
(µg/ml)			RE			RE
	measured (mean $\pm$ SD) $\mu g/ml$	RSD (%)	(%)	measured (mean $\pm$ SD) $\mu$ g/ml	RSD (%)	(%)
0.64	$0.57\pm0.03$	4.82	10.00	$0.58\pm0.04$	6.23	8.23
16	$15.02\pm1.02$	6.82	5.70	$16.13 \pm 1.31$	8.09	1.22
25.6	$24.6\pm2.69$	10.92	3.51	$25.75\pm1.73$	6.71	- 0.99

#### 3.5. Recovery: 235

The extraction recovery was calculated for the three quality control concentration levels of 236 237 fenofibric acid with three replicates for each level. The recovery of fenofibric acid at concentrations of 0.64, 16 and 25.6  $\mu$ g/ml were 93.39%  $\pm$  1.06, 91.98%  $\pm$  6.83, 92.49%  $\pm$  8.25, 238 respectively. The recovery of carbamazepine at the working concentration was  $98,10 \pm 3,348\%$ . 239

#### 3.6 Stability: 240

The results of stability study, summarized in **Table 3**, showed that no significant degradation 241

occurred to fenofibric acid during storage and extraction processes in mouse plasma samples. 242

Table 3. Stability data of fenofibric acid in mouse plasma under different storage conditions

244 (n=4)		-		
	Added	Found	Relative	DCD
storage conditions	concentration	concentration	Error	(0())
	µg/ml	µg/ml	(%)	(%)
	0.64	0.710	-11.313	7.905
Short-term stability (24 h, room temperature, $n = 4$ )	16	15.645	1.833	1.464
	25.6	27.561	-8.084	6.027
	0.64	0.652	-2.296	4.780
Post-preparative stability (24 h, room temperature, $n = 4$ )	16	16.177	-1.503	5.757
	25.6	26.223	-2.833	2.466
	0.64	0.689	-8.054	5.672
Long-term stability (30 d, $-20 \circ C$ , n = 4)	16	15.608	2.070	1.782
	25.6	26.079	-2.271	2.313
Freeze and thaw stability (three cycles, -20 °C/room	0.64	0.693	-8.769	6.803
temperature, n = 4)	16	16.088	-0.942	7.392
	25.6	26.884	-5.426	4.421

#### 245 3.7. Application to pharmacokinetic study:

After validation, the proposed method was applied for the determination of the fenofibric acid concentration in mouse plasma after oral administration of 5mg/kg fenofibrate to 24 male BALB/cJRj mice. Fig 2. Illustrates the mean plasma concentration/time curve of fenofibric acid. The plasma level of fenofibric acid reached a maximum concentration level (Cmax) equal to  $0.831 \pm 0.119 \mu$ g/ml, in (Tmax) equal to 2h and the AUC<sub>0\_24h</sub> was 4.240 ±  $0.571\mu$ g.h/ml. The obtained pharmacokinetic profiles were in close agreement with the PK profiles of fenofibric acid which were reported recently in literature [14-16].

253

243



Fig 2. Mean plasma concentration-time profiles of fenofibric acid in plasma following a
single oral dose of 5mg/kg fenofibrate to 24 male BALB/cJRj mice.

## 257 4. CONCLUSION

254

A HPLC method for the determination of fenofibric acid in mouse plasma has been developed 258 and completely validated for the first time. The preparation procedure of plasma samples was 259 260 suitable without any need to a reconstitution process or an extraction-evaporation phase. The method was reproducible and the extraction recoveries were above 91.9% . Linear calibration 261 262 curve was obtained over the concentration range from  $0,16\mu$ g/mL to  $32\mu$ g/mL (r<sup>2</sup>=0,9999) with LLOQ of 0,16µg/mL The RSD in both intra-run and inter-run precision study was less than 263 264 11%. Besides, this study has shown that it was possible to overcome the problem of lower volumes of plasma withdrawn by the developed sample preparation process and the drug 265 266 quantification method. Hence, the feasibility of mouse model to be used in pharmacokinetics study of fenofibrate was confirmed as well as the extraction protocol with reference to the 267 268 results of analytical validation and successful application of the pharmacokinetic study. Finally, this method may allow scientists to perform rapid and robust oral bioavailability studies of 269

- 270 fenofibrate in mice when it is used as drug model in some new drug delivery systems and
- 271 innovative formulations strategies.
- 272

### 273 **REFERENCES**

[1] G. Wang, J. Guo, F. Meng, X. Song, B. Zhong, Y. Zhao, Development of a sensitive liquid
chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry method for the determination of fenofibric acid in
rat plasma, Biomedical Chromatography, 26 (2012) 497-501.

- 277
- [2] B. Bahloul, M.A. Lassoued, S. Sfar, A novel approach for the development and optimization of self emulsifying drug delivery system using HLB and response surface methodology: application to fenofibrate encapsulation, International journal of pharmaceutics, 466 (2014) 341-348.
- [3] C.M. Patel, M. Chakraborty, Z. Murthy, Preparation of fenofibrate nanoparticles by
  combined stirred media milling and ultrasonication method, Ultrasonics sonochemistry, 21
  (2014) 1100-1107.
- 286

295

299

302

[4] H. Zhang, Y. Meng, X. Wang, W. Dai, X. Wang, Q. Zhang, Pharmaceutical and
pharmacokinetic characteristics of different types of fenofibrate nanocrystals prepared by
different bottom-up approaches, Drug delivery, 21 (2014) 588-594.

- [5] Y. Xu, Y. Wang, X.M. Li, Q. Huang, W. Chen, R. Liu, B. Chen, P. Wei, Study on the
  release of fenofibrate nanosuspension in vitro and its correlation with in situ intestinal and in
  vivo absorption kinetics in rats, Drug development and industrial pharmacy, 40 (2014) 972979.
- [6] L. Xiumin, G. Man, L. Minzi, J. Yinghua, Q. Dongqin, The in vitro and in vivo Evaluation
  of Fenofibrate with a Self-Microemulsifying Formulation, Current drug delivery, 12 (2015)
  308-313.
- 300 [7] X. Li, Oral bioavailability: basic principles, advanced concepts, and applications, John
  301 Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [8] R. Mannhold, H. Kubinyi, G. Folkers, H. van de Waterbeemd, B. Testa, Drug
  bioavailability: estimation of solubility, permeability, absorption and bioavailability, John
  Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 306
- 307 [9] P. Gao, W. Morozowich, Development of supersaturatable self-emulsifying drug delivery
  308 system formulations for improving the oral absorption of poorly soluble drugs, Expert opinion
  309 on drug delivery, 3 (2006) 97-110.
- 310

- [10] A. Hem, A.J. Smith, P. Solberg, Saphenous vein puncture for blood sampling of the mouse, rat, hamster, gerbil, guineapig, ferret and mink, Laboratory animals, 32 (1998) 364-368.
  [11] P. Hubert, J. Nguyen-Huu, B. Boulanger, E. Chapuzet, P. Chiap, N. Cohen, P.
- Compagnon, W. Dewé, M. Feinberg, M. Lallier, Validation des procédures analytiques
   quantitatives Harmonisation des démarches, STP Pharma Pratiques, 13 (2003) 101-138.
- 317
- [12] Food, D. Administration, FDA guidance for industry: bioanalytical method validation.
  Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug
  Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, 1 (2001) 124-129.
- 321
- [13] O. Nicolas, C. Farenc, F. Bressolle, Stratégie de validation de méthodes de dosage en
  bioanalyse en vue d'études pharmacocinétiques et toxicologiques, in: Annales de Toxicologie
  Analytique, EDP Sciences, 2004, pp. 118-127.
- [14] B. Bahloul, M.A. Lassoued, J. Seguin, R. Lai-Kuen, H. Dhotel, S. Sfar, N. Mignet, Selfemulsifying drug delivery system developed by the HLB-RSM approach: Characterization by
  transmission electron microscopy and pharmacokinetic study, International journal of
  pharmaceutics, 487 (2015) 56-63.
- 330
- [15] Y. Chen, Y. Lu, J. Chen, J. Lai, J. Sun, F. Hu, W. Wu, Enhanced bioavailability of the
  poorly water-soluble drug fenofibrate by using liposomes containing a bile salt, International
  journal of pharmaceutics, 376 (2009) 153-160.
- 334

[16] T.T. Do, M. Van Speybroeck, R. Mols, P. Annaert, J. Martens, J. Van Humbeeck, J.
Vermant, P. Augustijns, G. Van den Mooter, The conflict between in vitro release studies in
human biorelevant media and the in vivo exposure in rats of the lipophilic compound *fenofibrate*, International journal of pharmaceutics, 414 (2011) 118-124.