

# Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-Marāghi

## Rainer Brunner

### ▶ To cite this version:

Rainer Brunner. Muḥammad Muṣṭafā al-Marāghi. Handbook of Qur³ānic Hermeneutics. Vol. 4: Qur³ānic Hermeneutics in the 19th and 20th Century, In press. hal-03074141v1

# HAL Id: hal-03074141 https://hal.science/hal-03074141v1

Submitted on 11 Dec 2023 (v1), last revised 19 Dec 2023 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

This is an uncorrected pre-publication version; for the published version see Georges Tamer (ed.): *Handbook of Qur'ānic Hermeneutics. Vol. 4: Qur'ānic Hermeneutics in the 19th and 20th Century*, Berlin / Boston (de Gruyter) 2024, 173-90.

DO NOT QUOTE THIS PRE-PUBLICATION VERSION WITHOUT THE AUTHOR'S PRIOR CONSENT

# Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī (1881–1945)

#### Rainer Brunner

#### 1. Introduction

Born in 1881, Muhammad Mustafa l-Maraghi belonged to that generation of Egyptians who lived through a period of intense political and intellectual change both in the country and beyond. Egypt, which had been placed under British suzerainty in 1882, gained its formal independence (albeit under the continued strong influence of the former colonial power) in 1922. Two years later, the newly established Turkish Republic abolished the Caliphate and thereby plunged the entire Muslim world into a deep identity crisis, which was further aggravated by the advance of the Wahhabis, who in the mid-1920s conquered the Arabian Peninsula and in 1932 established the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. These changes and upheavals brought about manifold transitions on the intellectual level as well. The most noticeable one was certainly the further erosion of the traditional spiritual and legal authority of the 'ulama' over the believers and the dramatically decreasing stance of the timehonoured centres of learning and their curricula. This resulted in the emergence of a new stratum of religious intellectuals and salafiyya associations, the most noteworthy among them being the Society of the Muslim Brothers (Jam'iyyat al-Ikhwan al-Muslimin) that was founded by the young school teacher Ḥasan al-Banna (d. 1949) in Egypt in 1928. But it also affected, at least temporarily, other fields of religious activities, such as the mutual relations between Sunni and Shiite dignitaries, or the role accorded to the Qur'an under these political circumstances. Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, in his capacity of scholar-cumpolitician, was an important protagonist in the majority of these developments.

¹ Given al-Maraghī's importance to the course of Muslim reformism, it is astonishing that only one scholarly monograph has so far been devoted to him: Francine Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste à l'université al-Azhar: Œuvre et pensée de Mustafâ al-Marâghi (1881-1945)* (Cairo/Paris: Karthala, 2005); apart from this, there are two short (and rather hagiographic) books in Arabic: Muḥamad ʿImāra, *al-Iṣlaḥ ad-dinī fī l-qarn al-ʿishrin: Al-Imām al-Maraghī namūdhajan* (Cairo: Maṭābiʿ al-Ahrām at-Tijāriyya, 2007); Anwar al-Jundī, *al-Imām al-Maraghī* (Cairo: Dār al-Maʿārif bi-Miṣr, 1952). The book *ash-Shaykh al-Maraghī bi-aqlām al-kuttab*, edited by his son Abū l-Wafaʾ (Cairo: al-Maṭbaʿa al-Munīriyya, 1957), consists mainly of obituaries, personal memoirs and a selection of shorter texts and articles penned by al-Maraghī.

# 2. Al-Maraghī, Muslim reformism, and Politics

Al-Maraghī was a brilliant Sunni Muslim jurist who increasingly took to the political stage during the later phases of his career. He received his primary education with his father, who was a local scholar in his home town Maragha in Upper Egypt, before moving to al-Azhar in Cairo at the age of 11, where he soon became a favourite student of Muḥammad 'Abduh (d. 1905), the Grand Mufti (since 1899) and figurehead both of Muslim reformist thought in general and the institutional reform of al-Azhar in particular.<sup>2</sup> After graduating in 1904, at the unprecedented young age of 23, he took up his first post (on 'Abduh's recommendation) as a judge in Dongola and Khartoum (Sudan). Following a short interlude in Cairo at the *awqaī* ministry and al-Azhar, he returned to Sudan in 1908 and held the position of Supreme judge (*qaātī l-quḍaī*) until 1919. Upon his return to Egypt, he served as inspector and president of various religious courts, before becoming a public figure and an influential and controversial Azhar rector (*Shaykh al-Azhar*) from 1928 onward, as we shall see shortly. He died in August 1945.<sup>3</sup>

The strong emphasis in modern scholarship about Islamic reformism on the trinity Afghānī – 'Abduh – Rashīd Riḍa as the main protagonists of the Egyptian reformist movement between roughly 1880 and 1940 at times obscures the role of other figures. With regard to al-Maraghī, this has not always been the case: Charles C. Adams, his contemporary and the first Western historian of what he called "the 'Manār' party", stated as early as 1933 that al-Maraghī "is commonly recognized by the press of to-day as 'the oldest of the pupils of Muḥammad 'Abduh'." Two decades later, Anwar al-Jundī (d. 2002), a prolific writer with strong Salafi leanings, portrayed him as "one of the pupils of the *Salafīyya* school whose seeds were sown by the Imam Muḥammad 'Abduh" and linked his reformist thought explicitly to Taqī d-Dīn b. Taymiyya (d. 728/ 1328) and Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazalī (d. 505/ 1111), followed by Jamāl ad-Dīn al-Afghanī (d. 1314/1897) and 'Abduh.<sup>5</sup> His judgement seems

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For an overview of 'Abduh's activities in this regard, see Indira Falk Gesink, *Islamic Reform and Conservatism* (London, New York: I.B. Tauris, 2010), 165–96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> On al-Maraghī's biography, see 'Imāra, *al-Iṣlaḥ ad-dīnī*, 3–18; Abū l-Wafa' al-Maraghī, ed., *ash-Shaykh al-Maraghī bi-aqlam al-kuttab*, 5–11; Muḥammad Muṣṭafa al-Maraghī, Ḥadīth Ramaḍan. Tafsīr jāmi 'li-khams suwar min al-Qur'ān al-karīm, wa-hiya: al-furqan, wa-Luqman, wa-l-ḥujurat, wa-l-ḥadīd, wa-l-'aṣr (n.p. [Cairo]: Dar al-Jumhuriyya 2018, 3–10 (preface by Ibrahīm Ṣalaḥ Hudhud).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Charles C. Adams, *Islam and Modernism in Egypt. A Study of the Modern Reform Movement Inaugurated by Muhammad ʿAbduh* (London: Oxford University Press, 1933), 205, 208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Al-Jundī, *al-Imām al-Maraghī*, 42, 44; he remarkably left out Rashīd Ridā from this genealogy; cf. also *DhTM* (Cairo: Maktabat Wahba, 2000), 2: 433. On al-Jundī (1917–2002) see Werner Ende, "al-Jundī, An-

justified, since al-Maraghī was held in high esteem by his contemporaries: in the quarrels about al-Azhar reform from 1928 onward, it was Muḥammad Rashīd Riḍa in particular who hailed him as the standard-bearer of Islamic reform. In one breath with proud references to the Wahhabi advance on the Arabian Peninsula as well as to the writings of Ibn Taymiyya, 'Abduh's *Risalat at-tawhīd* and his own (and 'Abduh's) *Tafsīr al-Manar*, he counted it among the "glad tidings of reform" (*basha ir al-iṣlaḥ*) that the leadership of the '*ulamā*' had devolved upon al-Maraghī, who was after all a disciple of 'Abduh. After Rashīd Riḍa's death on 22 August 1935, it fell to al-Maraghī to give a funeral oration in which he described the deceased as "a Sunni Salafī man who abhorred *taqlīd* and called for *ijtihad*," and whose worst enemies had been those Muslims who forsook the wisdom of the sunna and the guidance of the Qur'ān.

Another notable activist of Islamic reformism with whom al-Maraghī associated was Ḥasan al-Banna, the founder of the Muslim Brotherhood. Like in the case of the independent religious intellectual Rashīd Riḍa, the newly established neo-Salafi organization that quickly evolved into a mass movement represented a serious challenge to the university's institutional authority. On the one hand, it tried to profit from the network of Azhar preachers throughout the country for its own purposes. On the other hand, relations between the Brotherhood and al-Azhar remained strained from the very beginning, and al-Banna rarely missed an opportunity to denounce what he saw as the traditional scholars' complete failure to act as vigorous religious guides and to defend Islam against the various external threats. This, however, did not hinder the two leading figures from entertaining a personal friendship. When al-Banna managed to obtain the license to resume publication of *al-Manar* after

war," in  $EI^3$ , ed. Kate Fleet et al., accessed May 29, 2023, http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912\_ei3\_COM\_32879.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Muḥammad Rashīd Ridā, "Fātiḥat al-mujallad ath-thalāthīn," *al-Manār* 30, no. 1 (June 1929): 1–16, quotation on 14–15; Rainer Brunner, "*Latiniyya lā-dīniyya* – Muḥammad Rašīd Ridā über Arabisch und Türkisch im Zeitalter des Nationalismus," in *Osmanische Welten. Quellen und Fallstudien. Festschrift Michael Ursinus*, ed. Christoph Herzog, Raoul Motika and Johannes Zimmermann (Bamberg: University of Bamberg Press, 2016): 96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Muḥammad Muṣtafa l-Maraghī, "Khuṭbat al-ustādh al-akbar, Shaykh al-Jāmi al-Azhar," *al-Manar* 35, no. 3 (March 1936): 186–88, quotation on 188. Incidentally, al-Marāghī was to die to the day ten years later, on 22 August 1945.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Richard P. Mitchell, *The Society of the Muslim Brothers* (New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1969, new edition 1993), 211–14; Brynjar Lia, *The Society of the Muslim Brothers in Egypt. The Rise of an Islamic Mass Movement 1829-1942* (Reading: Ithaca, 1998), 224–27; Gudrun Krämer, *Der Architekt des Islamismus. Hasan al-Banna und die Muslimbrüder* (Munich: Beck, 2022), 192-93; on the concept of neo-*Salafiyya* see Reinhard Schulze, *Islamischer Internationalismus im 20. Jahrhundert. Untersuchungen zur* 

Rashīd Riḍa's death, al-Maraghī composed the preface to the first issue in July 1939. Beyond debating the question of what modern Islam should look like in society, these contacts had the added value for the Brotherhood that it found easier access to the royal palace, for al-Maraghī in the meantime had become the highest ranking liaison man between religion and politics. <sup>10</sup>

When al-Maraghī assumed the rectorship of al-Azhar for the first time, discussions about the need to adapt al-Azhar's curricula, textbooks and teaching methods to the modern era had already been under way for several decades. 11 The first reform laws were enacted in 1872 and 1911, and they were characterised by controversies between reformist scholars (such as, for instance, Muḥammad 'Abduh) and their obstructionist counterparts from among the traditionalist scholars. To these intellectual quarrels was added the political problem whose prerogative it was to nominate the rector, when the Egyptian parliament, due to its growing influence after the independence, successfully challenged the King's hitherto undisputed right to decide this issue on his own. After Abu l-Fadl al-Jīzāwi's demise in 1927, the parliament's candidate, al-Maraghī, was appointed as the new rector in May 1928. He set to work immediately by submitting a memorandum – characteristically published in Rashīd Rida's journal al- $Mana\bar{r}^{12}$  – calling for a far-reaching re-organisation of al-Azhar. In it, he did not make do with the widespread complaint about al-Azhar's dwindling authority and the growing aberration of its scholars, but presented concrete propositions how to counter this decay: by putting more emphasis on individual reasoning (ijtihad) instead of emulating tradition (taglīd), by renewing the curricula and introducing new subjects (such as comparative religious studies) and modern textbooks, and by restructuring the admini-

Geschichte der islamischen Weltliga (Leiden: Brill, 1990), 87–93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, "Taṣdīr," *al-Manar* 35, no. 5 (July 1939): 1–2; it was, however, a short-lived affair, as only five more issues appeared before the journal was finally closed down for good in September 1940.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> On the relations between the Muslim Brothers and the political establishment, see Lia, *Society*, 214–23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> On the background of al-Azhar in the 13<sup>th</sup>/19<sup>th</sup> century, see Gesink, *Islamic Reform and Conservatism*, passim; on the following see in more detail Rainer Brunner, "Education, Politics, and the Struggle for Intellectual Leadership – al-Azhar between 1927 and 1945," in *Guardians of Faith in Modern Times: 'Ulama' in the Middle East*, ed. Meir Hatina (Leiden: Brill, 2009): 109–40, esp. 116–24 and 131–37; Wolf-Dieter Lemke, *Maḥmūd Šaltūt* (1893-1963) und die Reform der Azhar. Untersuchungen zu Erneuerungsbestrebungen im ägyptisch-islamischen Erziehungssystem (Frankfurt/Main etc.: Peter Lang, 1980), 47–126; Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 64–106.

Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, "Iṣlāḥ al-Azhar ash-sharīf," al-Manar 29, no. 5 (September 1928): 325–35; see Lemke, Maḥmud Šaltūt, 65–75; Costet-Tardieu, Un réformiste, 69–73; Francine Costet-Tardieu, "Un projet de réforme pour l'Université d'al-Azhar en 1928: le Mémorandum du shaykh al-Marâghî," Revue des

stration in three new faculties (Arabic language, Islamic law, moral guidance and mission). Although the memorandum was enthusiastically greeted by the reformist camp, all attempts at its practical realisation remained unsuccessful. Al-Maraghī stepped down in October 1929, and Muḥammad al-Aḥmadī z-Zawahirī (d. 1944) who already in the previous year had been the King's candidate took his place.

Born in 1878, az-Zawahirī belonged to the same generation as al-Maraghī, and he, too, was a graduate (1902) of al-Azhar. Yet he embodied the conservative counterpart to the reformists and attached great importance to traditional customs and gestures. His understanding of the necessity of reform was considerably more limited than al-Maraghī's: The comprehensive reform law that was passed in 1930 did adopt some externals from al-Maraghī's memorandum, such as the establishment of the three faculties, but no mention was made of *ijtihad*, and no new methods or textbooks were introduced. Nevertheless, az-Zawahirī did not manage to appease the heated atmosphere within al-Azhar and the ongoing struggle with the political institutions. In the following years he was moreover increasingly confronted with external pressure from the *salafī* reformist camp, in particular from Rashīd Ridā. Following growing protests among the students, az-Zawahirī finally resigned in April 1935, and thus paved the way for al-Maraghī's second tenure which was to last until his death in 1945.

Contrary to many hopes, however,<sup>14</sup> this decade did not turn out to be a reformist reset of al-Azhar. When the reform of 1936 fell short of preparing the ground for a thorough reorganisation of the educational system and the introduction of new subjects, many reform-minded scholars of a younger generation became disillusioned and turned away from al-Maraghī.<sup>15</sup> It emerged that the institutional and conceptual reform of al-Azhar was no longer al-Maraghī's top priority: he had given himself to politics, and consequently, at least part of his theological statements needs to be regarded in this light as well. A characteristic case in point is the issue of relations between Sunnis and Shiites. Since the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup>

Mondes Musulmans et de la Méditerranée 95–98 (2002): 169–87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In 1934, Rashīd Ridā published his last book, *al-Manār wa-l-Azhar*, which contained not only his autobiography, but also a fierce critique of what he perceived as the obscurantism of many Azhar scholars; cf. Brunner, "Education," 125–31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Only a few weeks before he passed away, Rashīd Ridā welcomed al-Marāghī's comeback as "a major revolution"):al-Azhar, al-Azhar, al-inqilāb al-akbar," *al-Manār* 34, no. 10 (May 1935): 764–73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The most noteworthy example in this regard was Maḥmud Shaltūt (1893–1963) who was to play a decisive role in future Azhar reforms. On the 1936 reform and its consequences, see in detail Lemke, *Maḥmud Šaltūt*, 101–5, 123–49; Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 112–16. On Shaltūt, see also the chapter on him in the present volume and the references given there.

century, serious efforts to overcome the long-standing mutual animosity were taken, and it was only logical that al-Azhar – which had after all been founded by the Shiite Fatimids in the 4<sup>th</sup>/10<sup>th</sup> century – at some point would become involved in these discussions. Al-Maraghī's reform memorandum in particular spread his reputation among Shiite reformist circles which by then followed closely the developments in Egypt. <sup>16</sup>

The hitherto most promising step was made by the Iraqi scholar 'Abd al-Karīm az-Zanjānī (d. 1968) who arrived in Cairo in October 1936. Several large receptions were organised in his honour, both by some neo-Salafi associations and by al-Azhar, and by celebrating the beginning of Ramadan (1355h/November 1936) together, al-Maraghī and his guest indicated that they were willing to engage in serious efforts of a more ecumenical nature. 17 In fact, their initiative seemed to bear fruits on a theological level, too, when they dealt with the most controversial issue: the question of legitimate leadership in Islam. For generations, the strife about the Sunni caliphate and the Shiite imamate had been the essential fuel of dissent, now it should be the point of departure to reach an understanding. In their talks, they agreed that the indispensable foundations of Islam as a belief system be limited to three points: the belief in the unity of God (tawhid), in Muhammad's message (risala), and in the hereafter  $(ma \, \bar{a}d)$ . The question of the imamate/caliphate, on the other hand, was declared to be a purely politically motivated problem that had nothing to do with religion proper, and thus rendered innocuous. Exempted from the realm of religious belief, it was assigned to a newly invented category of its own, namely the "principle of the legal school" (asl madhhabi) that was valid merely for the followers of the respective legal school. It was a matter of *ijtihad*, and seeking refuge in *ijtihad* was a core principle both of Shiite law and of Sunni modernism anyway. In order then to substantiate their demand for legal unification, the two scholars suggested the establishment of an Islamic scientific legislative committee (majma 'tashrī 'ī 'ilmī islāmī) whose task it would have been to review the legal opinions of the five schools in question in the light of Qur'ān and the sunna so as to extract a common essence (khulasa). Concrete modalities about follow-up conferences, participants, or compe-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Cf. [Anonymous], "Sāʿa maʿa al-ustādh al-akbar, ash-Shaykh al-Marāghī," *al-ʿIrfān* 18, no. 1–2 (Aug. Sep. 1929): 145-51 (where he was also compared to Muḥammad ʿAbduh); cf. also Rainer Brunner, *Islamic Ecumenism in the Twentieth Century. The Azhar and Shiism between Rapprochement and Restraint* (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 45–50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> On az-Zanjānī's (1887–1968) visit to Cairo and his talks with al-Marāghī, cf. in detail Brunner, *Islamic Ecumenism*, 103–13.

tences were deliberately left open for the time being – and petered out later on. When, in February 1938, al-Maraghī again approached az-Zanjanī and reminded him of the common project, the Iraqi scholar voiced so many reservations as to the where and how of the envisaged conference that it was more or less equivalent to its outright rejection.

Az-Zanjanī had apparently understood al-Maraghī's ulterior motives which touched upon one of the most sensitive political issues of the day, namely the question of the caliphate. Heated debates about this issue had been going on already well before its formal abolition by the Turkish parliament March 1924, and al-Maraghī had participated in them early on. In 1915, while serving as a judge in Sudan, he wrote a letter to the British Governor General, Sir Reginald Wingate, in which he put forward two extraordinary contentions: for one thing, contrary to the traditional theory of the caliphate, it was not necessary that the caliph belonged to the Prophet's tribe of the Quraysh; and secondly, "the question of the caliphate is a purely worldly one," although it "has certain connections and relations with religion." <sup>18</sup> This was a fairly obvious attempt to capitalize on the impending bankrupt assets of the Ottoman empire (and to counter the simultaneous efforts of the Meccan Sharif Husayn to have himself acknowledged as caliph) in order to secure the caliphate for Egypt. While this venture found no echo, the time seemed ripe for another go when the sixteen-year-old prince Faruq had been crowned King of Egypt by his mentor al-Maraghī in April 1936. In the following years, the Shaykh al-Azhar did his very best to make the King appear as a dignified pretender to the caliphate, not even shrinking from describing him "with respect to theology [to be] Salafi in doctrine and methodology." As we shall see below, the monarch's pious aura was also an important element of al-Maraghī's Ramadan lectures about the Qur'an. Al-Maraghī's renewed initiative, in spring 1938, to win az-Zanjanī over, has therefore to be seen in this context. After the Iraqi scholar's withdrawal, the project was pursued for a while, and occasionally the King was even addressed as amīr al-mu minīn. But in the end, it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Elie Kedourie, "Egypt and the Caliphate, 1915-52," in *The Chatham House Version and Other Middle Eastern Studies*, ed. Elie Kedourie (New York: Praeger, 1970; repr. Chicago: Ivan R. Dee, 2004): 179 (full translation of the letter ibid., 208–12). Al-Maraghī also later on enjoyed good relations with the British, and his two appointments as Shaykh al-Azhar were discreetly, but noticeably backed by the British colonial authorities; cf. Brunner, "Education," 117f. and 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Quoted by Henri Lauzière, *The Making of Salafism. Islamic Reform in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2016), 122; it is open to discussion whether this was an act of "obsequious flattery" as Lauzière calls it, or whether one may consider it as a brazen attempt to manipulate the inexperienced young king for his political agenda; cf. also Israel Gershoni and James Jankowski, *Redefining the Egyptian Nation*, 1930-1945 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995), 158–63.

came to naught, as also within Egypt, opposition against a relaunched caliphate became too strong; even al-Maraghī's allies from the Muslim Brotherhood backed out and began to spread rumours about Farūq's not-so-pious lifestyle. Al-Maraghī's most ambitious political plans thus flatly failed, and it is characteristic that from this moment on, his previous theological approaches – such as the doctrinal rapprochement with Shiism – no longer played any role for him.

## 3. Al-Maraghī and the Qur'ān

In comparison to the average output of Muslim scholars in the fields of jurisprudence and theology, al-Maraghī left a remarkably small œuvre, both in terms of number and of size. Most of his publications are short epistles on the occasion of particular exigencies (such as his reform memorandum in 1928), or resulting from his tasks as supreme judge and *Shaykh al-Azhar*.<sup>21</sup> There is no monograph among them, as even his thesis (*risala*) which he wrote in order to be admitted to al-Azhar's circle of grand scholars (*hay'at kibār al-'ulamā'*) apparently remained unpublished.<sup>22</sup> This, by consequence, also applies to the field of Qur'ānic studies, with which al-Maraghī dealt in a rather eclectic way, in the form of a short treatise on the translation of the Qur'ān and a series of Ramadan lectures centred around specific verses of the scripture.

When al-Maraghī published his *Study on the Translation of the Qur'an and its Rules* in 1932, feelings about this issue had been running high for several years.<sup>23</sup> In pre-modern Islamic scholarship, the two main aspects related to this question had temporarily played a significant role: is it permissible, let alone possible, to translate the scripture into foreign

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Lia, *Society*, 218f. This lifestyle further increased considerably after the War. When he was ousted by the revolution in 1952, he went into exile in Europe where his life ended under gargantuan circumstances in 1965; see P.J. Vatikiotis, "Fārūķ," in  $EI^2$  (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 12: 299–302.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Cf. Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 16f.; for lists of al-Maraghī's publications, see ibid., 283; Muḥammad 'Izzat aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, "al-Ustadh al-Imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī," *Majallat al-Azhar* 66, no. 5 (November 1993): 722.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 17 and 23, note 4 (citing the title of the *risāla* as *Kitāb al-Awliyā' wa-l-mahjūrīn*). Muḥammad Kurd 'Alī's statement (*al-Mu'āṣirūn* [Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1993], 386), that "like his teacher Muḥammad 'Abduh, he did not leave large works where he put down the gist of his knowledge and the cream of his affirmation" (*lubāb 'ilmihi wa-zubdat taḥqīqihi*) seems, however, a little farfetched with regard to 'Abduh's published legacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Baḥth fī tarjamat al-Qur'ān al-karīm wa-aḥkāmihā; it was reprinted in the al-Azhar journal after his return as rector: *Majallat al-Azhar* 7 (1936): 77–122; see also Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 238–46; Meir M. Bar-Asher, "Avis musulmans sur la question de la traduction du Coran," in *Controverses sur les écritures can-*

languages, and is it licit to recite in the ritual prayer Our'ānic passages in a language other than Arabic? After all, the Qur'an itself refers expressly to the close relation between revelation and the "clear Arabic language" (lisan 'arabī mubīn, Q 16:103 and 26:195) in which it happened, and the dogma of the "inimitability"  $(i'j\bar{a}z)$  of the Qur'ān is largely built on its Arabicity. Nevertheless, many classical Muslim exegetes, such as Abū Muhammad b. Qutayba (d. 276/889) or Abū Isḥāq ash-Shātibī (d. 790/1388), on the whole handled the matter with comparative ease. They distinguished between a literal translation (lafzi, deemed to be impossible) and semantic one (ma 'nawī), and often used interlinear versions that also reproduced the Arabic original text – although most of them insisted on the superior character of the Arabic language, and although there were literalist scholars like Abū Muḥammad ʿAlī b. Hazm (d. 456/1064) who stressed that everyone who read the Qur'ān in a foreign language was not reading God's word.<sup>24</sup> That the debate, after a quiet interlude of several centuries, resurfaced around the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century was due to reasons that went beyond Qur'ānic scholarship proper. In the course of the modernist dispute against European colonialism, the protagonists of the nascent Arab nationalism referred also to the Arabic character of Islam. Once again, it was the indefatigable Muhammad Rashīd Rida who resumed this idea in his journal al-Manar. Since in his eyes, Islamic reform was indissolubly tied to the Arabic language, there was no question of allowing for a translation of the Qur'ān: it would undermine its miraculous character and finally result in the Turks having a Turkish Qur'an, the Persians having a Persian one, and so forth. 25 This tense atmosphere was further aggravated in the 1920s when various Turkish and English translations started to appear. The former ones were the logical continuation of the new republic's attempt to sever the old religious ties with the Arab world and to bring Islam under state control, the latter ones were accomplished by non-Arab Muslims or European converts to Islam, mostly for missionary reasons and intended to counter the activities of Christian missionaries in the Muslim countries.<sup>26</sup>

oniques de l'islam, ed. Daniel de Smet and Mohammad Ali Amir-Moezzi (Paris: Cerf, 2014): 308-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The classical debate is summarized by Bar-Asher, "Avis musulmans," 298–305.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Brunner, "Latiniyya lā-dīniyya," 100f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> M. Brett Wilson, *Translating the Qur'an in an Age of Nationalism. Print Culture and Modern Islam in Turkey* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014), 190–213; the fact that one of the English translations was effected by the Aḥmadiyya movement which had originated shortly before in India was an additional challenge for someone like Rashīd Riḍa who considered them to be outright heretics. With regard to the Christianity, al-Maraghī was also active, as he is credited with the foundation of an association named *Jam'iyyat ad-difa' an al-Islam*, directed specifically against Christian missionaries: see Umar Ryad, *Islamic Reformism and Christianity. A Critical Reading of the Works of Muḥammad Rashīd Riḍa and His Associates* (1898-1935) (Leiden: Brill, 2009), 172; Muḥammad Rashīd Riḍa, *al-Manar wa-l-Azhar* (Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Manar, 1934), 262.

Remarkably enough, al-Maraghī did not side with the Salafi focus on the Arabic character of the scripture. His treatise was, on the contrary, an attempt to argue for a comprehensive permission to translate the Qur'an and to even use translated passages for prayer. What is more, he did not refer to the contemporaneous quarrels and polemics, but was intent on relying on classical scholarship and on exerting his own *ijtihad* instead. By doing so, he avoided the impression of merely giving a compliant answer to external pressure, and made it appear as a landmark decision well-founded on genuinely theological reasoning within Islam. Basically, he claims from the outset, there is no difference between a translator of the Qur'ān and an exegete – the latter explains the scripture in Arabic, the former in another language – and since interpreting the Qur'an in a foreign language is allowed by universal consensus (ijma<sup>-</sup>), so must be its translation.<sup>27</sup> He is well aware of the most common objections of the opponents of any translation, and he goes on to refute them one by one. As to the most important qualm, the reference to  $i'j\bar{a}z$ , he states that the Qur' $\bar{a}$ n's inimitability is not tied to its style (nazm), but to its meaning (85): anyone without a sufficient knowledge of Arabic neither understands this *nazm*, nor experiences the deep emotion that emanates from it for the Arabic-speaking listener – but a translation may very well enable him to grasp the elegance and the rapture of the meaning (talawat al-ma'anī wa-ladhdhatuha; 90). It is therefore perfectly permissible to deduce judicial stipulations from these translated meanings, because this is nothing but a matter of a kind of *ijtihad* which is absolutely compatible with exercising taqlid with regard to the translator's work (88). In the delicate issue of using translated passages for prayer, al-Maraghī is ready to concede that there are contradictory reports even about Abu Ḥanifa's (d. 150/767) changing attitude in this regard, but he nevertheless resolves to follow the Ḥanafī jurist Fakhr ad-Dīn Qādīkhān (d. 592/1196) according to whom anyone not able to read Arabic must use a translation for prayer (98).<sup>28</sup> After all, the fellow Hanafī Shams al-A'imma as-Sarakhsī (fl. 5<sup>th</sup>/11<sup>th</sup> century)<sup>29</sup> had explained Abu Hanīfa's permission by the analogy that those who physically are not able to prostrate in prayer may indicate it by gestures (91). Al-Maraghī is a little more reserved with regard to the question

--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Baḥth*, 79f. (quoted here following the pagination in the *Majallat al-Azhar*); further references are given directly in the text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> It is perhaps this statement that led some authors to render the title of al-Maraghī's treatise as *Baḥth fī wujub tarjamat al-Qur'ān al-karīm*; cf. aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, "al-Ustādh al-Imām," 722; al-Maraghī, *Ḥadūth Ramaḍān*, 9 (preface by Ibrahīm Ṣalaḥ al-Hudhud). On Qaḍīkhān see Th. W. Juynboll, "Ḥāḍī <u>Kh</u>ān," in *EI*<sup>2</sup> (Leiden: Brill, 1978), 4: 377.

whether it is allowed to write down and recite the translated Qur'ān (sc. in its entirety), as a number of scholars equated this with either madness or heresy. But here, too, he follows Abu Hanifa via a posterior jurist, 'Ubayd Allāh al-Mahbubī (d. 747/1346)<sup>30</sup> who decided that someone who is unsuspicious of anything in this regard may recite some words in Persian, and that it is only forbidden for those who know Arabic to acquire the habit of reciting from a translation, as this would in fact expose them to the suspicion of being mad or heretic. While they would thus be in danger of neglecting the Qur'an, someone who does not understand Arabic would neglect it precisely by not searching for what is within his capacity, i.e. using a translated Qur'ān (101f.); all in all, it seems to be a fairly obvious decision for him: those who know Arabic must use an Arabic Qur'an, those who don't must use a translation. At any rate, al-Maraghī stresses, one has to distinguish between a literal translation and a semantic one. As to the Hanafiyya, they concede that the latter is equivalent to exegesis and therefore permissible for all verses, but they restrict use in prayer to those verses which can be translated literally (103, 107f.). But he makes a point in concluding that the Hanafiyya was not alone in having a positive attitude towards the translation of the Our an, by adding supportive testimonies of scholars of other schools of thought. The Mu'tazili exegete Abū l-Qasim az-Zamakhsharī (d. 538/1144),<sup>31</sup> for instance, is quoted with the statement that the Prophet was sent to all peoples, but that it was sufficient that the message was sent only in Arabic, because the translators would see to it that it was spread and explained among the other peoples as well (110).

Al-Maraghī had apparently no illusions about the highly controversial character of the issue, and his perceptible sigh that the further one went back in time, the more tolerant and open-minded the jurists were, while the closer one drew near the present, the more the pendulum swung to the contrary (108f.), may well be read as a hidden criticism of some contemporary 'ulamā'. In fact, intransigent opposition to the translation of the Qur'ān did not immediately die down: the former Ottoman şeykh ül-islām, Muṣṭafa Ṣabrī (d. 1954), who lived in exile in Cairo, published a long and polemic answer to al-Maraghī's article, not only with regard to

<sup>29</sup> On him see Norman Calder, "al-Sarakhsī," in EI<sup>2</sup> (Leiden: Brill, 1997), 9:35f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> On him see Philipp Bruckmayr, "Knowledge of Good and Evil: 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Mas'ūd Ṣadr al-Sharī'a al-Thānī al-Maḥbūbī al-Bukhārī (d. 747/1346), *al-Tawdīḥ fī ḥall ghawāmiḍ al-Tanqīḥ fī uṣūl al-fiqh*," in *Māturīdī Theology. A Bilingual Reader*, ed. Lejla Demiri, Philip Dorroll and Dale J. Correa (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2022): 203-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> On him see W. Madelung, "al-Zamakhsharī," in El<sup>2</sup> (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 12: 840f.

the latter's reasoning from classical sources, but also, and in great detail, by referring to the contemporanous debate about a Turkish translation sponsored by Atatürk's new secular republic. Small wonder that in the former religious dignitary's eyes, such an undertaking was a serious threat (fitna) that more or less amounted to paving the way to atheism. <sup>32</sup> Although Sabrī's book appeared in the Salafiyya publishing house run by Muhibb ad-Dīn al-Khatīb (d. 1969), it surprisingly did not spark more like-minded comments and polemics. For in the meantime, even Muḥammad Rashīd Rida, who in the past had rarely missed an opportunity to denounce the new Turkish government's alleged unbelief, had considerably toned down his critical stance towards the translation of the Qur'an. In view of the circulating translations by European orientalists and the continued activities by Christian missionaries, he even went so far as to state that a "sound semantic translation" (tarjama ma nawiyya sahiha) was the collective duty of the Muslim community. It could not be a literary translation, nor would it be used for prayer, but as an "exegetical synopsis" (khulaṣa tafsiriyya) it would serve the purpose of defending Islam and performing mission (da'wa) among non-Muslims.<sup>33</sup> It is not altogether improbable that this change of heart was brought about by the epistle of his comrade-in-arms, al-Maraghī. Within al-Azhar, al-Maraghī managed to find some supporters among the younger reform-minded scholars,<sup>34</sup> and he even launched an initiative to organize official translations of the Qur'ān by al-Azhar itself, which, however, did not go beyond some preliminary meetings of committees.<sup>35</sup> One reason for this failure may have been the lack of adequate linguistic competence among al-Azhar scholars, al-Maraghī himself included, to actually evaluate any translation.<sup>36</sup>

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Muṣṭafa Ṣabrī, *Mas ʾalat tarjamat al-Qur ʾān* (Cairo: al-Maṭbaʿa as-Salafiyya wa-Maktabatuha: 1932–33), 5, 72; see also Wilson, *Translating the Qur ʾan*, 213–17. The term *fitna* (lit.: trial, temptation) denotes also the first civil war in Islam after the killing of the caliph 'Uthmān that is usually regarded to be the starting point of the split of the community into Shiites and Sunnis.

point of the split of the community into Shiites and Sunnis.

33 Muḥammad Rashīd Riḍa, "Tarjamat al-Qur'ān wa-kawn al-'arabiyya lughat al-islām," *al-Manār* 32, no. 3 (March 1932): 184-89, quotation on 188; see also Brunner, "*Latiniyya lā-dīniyya*," 104f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Cf., e.g., the articles by Muḥammad al-Khiḍr Ḥusayn "Naql maʿānī l-Qurʾān ilā l-lughāt al-ajnabiyya," *Nūr al-Islam* 2 (1930): 122–34; Maḥmūd Abū Daqīqa "Fī tarjamat al-Qurʾān al-karīm," *Nūr al-Islam* 2 (1930):29–35; Maḥmūd Shaltūt, "Tarjamat al-Qurʾān wa-nuṣūṣ al-ʿulamāʾ fīhā," *Nūr al-Islam* 7 (1936): 123–34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 242–46; Lemke, *Maḥmud Šaltūt*, 111–15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> While Kurd 'Alī, *al-Mu* 'āṣirūn, 376, emphasises that al-Marāghī had learned English during his residence in Sudan so that he even corrected mistakes in translations of the Qur'ān, the English convert Marmaduke Pickthall testifies to the contrary. According to him, al-Marāghī himself – whom he consulted in the context of his own translation of the Qur'ān – "regretted that he himself knew no English, and so could not appreciate the work." He goes on to relate that after his translation was published in 1930, he received a letter from al-Azhar informing him that the '*ulamā*' (under the rectorship of aẓ-Ṭawahirī) would condemn his work: "The latest rumour was that Al-Azhar had decided that the work must be translated word for word back into Arabic and submitted to their judgment in that distorted form, as none of the professors could read English."

Al-Maraghī did not write a comprehensive Qurʾān commentary. Sometimes, a partial *tafsīr* on the suras 67–77 (the so-called *juzʾ tabāraka*) is mentioned among his unpublished works, which he supposedly composed as a completion of 'Abduh's (printed, but not well-known) commentary on the suras 78–114 (*juzʾ ʿamma*).<sup>37</sup> Nevertheless, Muḥammad Ḥusayn adh-Dhahabī (d. 1977) ranks al-Maraghī's dealing with the Qurʾān in the same breath as 'Abduh and Rashīd Riḍā.<sup>38</sup> He does so on the basis of a series of lectures that al-Maraghī gave regularly – supposedly at the initial suggestion of King Farūq – during the fasting month of Ramadan between 1356 (Nov./Dec. 1937) and 1363 (Aug./Sep. 1944), and that were consecutively published in small booklets under the programmatic title *ad-Durūs ad-dīniyya*.<sup>39</sup> All in all, al-Maraghī selected twenty-one complete suras or groups of verses most of which he discussed in lectures at al-Azhar and in three cases in sermons he gave at the neo-Salafi association *Jamʿiyyat ash-Shubbān al-Muslimīn*.<sup>40</sup>

The fact that these lectures were designed to be sermons in the fasting month predetermined the choice of verses.<sup>41</sup> It also determined al-Maraghī's basic approach in his exegesis, as his

Лa

Marmaduke Pickthall, "Arabs and non-Arabs, and the Question of Translating the Qur'an," *Islamic Culture* 5 (1931): 425, 432. Knowledge of foreign languages was not a trait of other protagonists of the debate either: Muḥammad Rashīd Ridā freely acknowledged that he could not assess the existing Turkish translations, as he did not understand Turkish: "Tarjamat al-Qur'ān wa-kawn al-'arabiyya lughat al-islām, wa-rābiṭat al-ukhuwwa wa-s-salām," *al-Manār* 32, no. 7 (July 1932): 535–44, quotation on 543. On the apparent lack of knowledge of Persian at al-Azhar in the late 1930s, see Brunner, *Ecumenism*, 119f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Aṭ-Ṭaḥṭāwī, "al-Ustadh al-Imam," 722; 'Abduh's commentary is reprinted in Muḥammad 'Abduh, *al-A'māl al-kāmila*, ed. Muḥammad 'Imāra (Beirut/Cairo: Dār ash-Shuruq, 1993), 5: 299–550; see also Johanna Pink, "'Abduh, Muḥammad," in *EQ*, ed. Jane Dammen McAuliffe. Brill, 2001–2006, accessed March 11, 2020, <a href="https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-the-quran/abduh-muhammad-EQCOM\_050483#d107189331e392">https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-the-quran/abduh-muhammad-EQCOM\_050483#d107189331e392</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> DhTM, 2: 433–47 (on 'Abduh and Rashīd Rida, 405–32); cf. also Muḥammad Farīd Wajdī's preface to Muḥammad Muṣtafa l-Maraghī, ad-Durus ad-diniyya li-sanat 1361 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī (Cairo: Maṭba at al-Azhar, 1943), 3. By contrast, Jacques Jomier in his authoritative analysis Le commentaire coranique du Manar (Paris: Maisonneuve, 1954) does not even mention al-Maraghī once.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 246–55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Al-Maraghī allegedly died while working on the Ramadan lecture for 1364h (Aug. 1945): al-Maraghī, *Ḥadūth Ramada*n, 9. This book that appeared for the first time in 1952 and was reprinted several times since then, is a collection of those four suras (31, 49, 57, 103) which al-Maraghī commented in their entirety, plus the selected verses from sura 25. In his Ramadan lectures, he dealt with the following Qurʾānic passages: 1356: Q 2:177; Q 3:133–38; Q 42:13–14; Q 6:151–53 – 1357: Q 2:183–86; Q 8:24–29 – 1358: sura 49 – 1359: sura 57 – 1360: sura 31 – 1361: Q 6:160–65; Q 7: 199–203; Q 41:30–36 – 1362: Q 7:1–9; Q 11:112–17 and 118–23 – 1363: Q 4:58–59; Q 13:17–24; Q 28:83–88; in his sermons at the *Jamʿiyyat ash-Shubbān al-Muslimīn*: 1359: Q 25:63–77 – 1360: Q 25:1–10 – 1361: sura 103. See also Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 279f.; *DhTM*, 2: 434.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Most of the passages deal with general piety and fundamental religious duties (e.g., Q 2:177; 6:151–53), remind the believer to fear of God and His retribution (Q 7:1–9; 8:24–29), and call for overall ethical behaviour (Q 7:199–203; 41:30–36).

lectures were not primarily addressed to his fellow theologians, but to a very general audience of people who did not normally consult Qur'anic commentaries. 42 Thus, he does not regularly refer to the classical tafsir tradition and to previous exegetes, but rather follows a method often applied in modernist commentaries to explain Qur'anic verses by making cross-references to other verses and thus explaining the Qur'an by itself (tafsir al-Qur'an bi-l-Qur'ān). 43 Nor does he make use of biblical or other non-Islamic traditions (*Isra iliyyāt*). 44 In accordance with the themes of the respective verses, most of al-Maraghi's lectures were of an edifying, yet also admonishing tone; sometimes, the Qur'anic wording itself only serves as a convenient starting point for general preaching of a more pedagogical character. For instance, when dealing with Q 42:13-14 which stresses the continuity of Islam from pre-Islamic prophets and warns of division and polytheism, al-Maraghī only briefly stays with the wording before embarking on a rather general lecture on divine guidance, the need for Muslim unity and the delusion and limitation of Muslims by rationality and philosophy. 45 And it is certainly not by chance that he reverted several times over the years to the central ethical commandment in Islam, namely the provision "to command right and forbid wrong" (al-amr bi-l-ma rūf wa-n-nahy 'an al-munkar). For al-Maraghī, this is "the distinguishing mark of the virtuous community, without which it would lose the attributes of the good and be harmed by evil, as it is a duty of everyone for everyone."46 On the other hand, God's punishment of the wrongdoers looms large, and al-Maraghī reminds his audience that God did not only send the scripture (kitab) with the rules and the balance (mīzān) to judge people accordingly, but also the iron (hadīd) to punish those who are refractory.47

12

<sup>47</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Ḥadīth Ramaḍan*, 200f. (on Q 57:25).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> J.J.G. Jansen, *The Interpretation of the Koran in Modern Egypt* (Leiden: Brill, 1980), 78, goes so far as to state that the lectures "read more like sermons than like exegesis."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Costet-Tardieu, *Un réformiste*, 253.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> *DhTM*, 2: 437.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, ad-Durus ad-diniyya allatī alqāhā ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍila al-ustadh al-imām ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, Shaykh al-Jāmi ʿal-Azhar fī shahr Ramaḍān 1356h (Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1938), 26–40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Al-Maraghī, Ḥadīth Ramaḍan, 80 (on Q 31:17); further references are Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, ad-Durus ad-dīniyya allatī alqāhā ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, Shaykh al-Jami al-Azhar fī shahr Ramaḍan 1357h (Cairo: Maṭba at al-Azhar, 1939); 26; al-Maraghī, Durus 1361, 15–16; Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, ad-Durus ad-dīniyya li-sanat 1363 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī (Cairo: Maṭba at al-Azhar, 1946), 9; cf. the authoritative study of this topic, Michael Cook, Commanding Right and Forbidding Wrong in Islamic Thought (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000).

A group of verses which is often used by exegetes to paint the portrait of an ideal Muslim society is Q 2:183-87, which contain the central regulations for fasting in Ramadan, and it is only logical that al-Maraghī treated them as well. On the one hand, he emphasizes the function of fasting as physical and mental training, destined to separate the brave from the coward, and to contribute to a society of strong and fearless men of pure blood who push religion and build and defend their fatherland and their tribe – in short, he propagates an image of man that is entirely compatible with various worldly, hero-oriented ideologies. On the other hand, however, he shows himself remarkably indulgent by recalling the various occasions for being exempted from fasting, either because of travelling or of sickness. For the Hanafīs, he explicitly points out, it is sufficient that someone is healthy, but is afraid of being sick, because everyone is his own mufti in this regard. Also, when mentioning Muslims living close to the polar regions where there may be no change of night and day during Ramadan, he is pragmatic: without mentioning him by name, he follows Muḥammad Abduh's advice by saying that they have to calculate (taqdir), either by following the timetable of Mecca or by keeping to the closest "moderate" region nearby.

In general, al-Maraghī rejected the idea to explain the Qur'ān in the light of modern natural sciences (tafsīr 'ilmī). Already in his study on the translation of the Qur'ān, he had criticized those scholars who were keen on finding all new discoveries confirmed by the Qur'ān, and stressed that the scripture was not an arithmetic, astronomical, or scientific book, but one of divine guidance and for organizing human relations.<sup>50</sup> Yet, he did not always himself stick to this insight, such as in his exegesis of Q 31:10, a kind of abridged story of creation. Here, he describes God as the one who holds the laws of gravitation and keeps them in motion "for the predestined time." He then goes on to add contemplations about the origin of the planets and the heliocentric nature of our universe, including – by referring to Q 53:11 (God as "the Lord of Sirius") – about the brightness and heat of Sirius, the sun, and other stars, and their respective distance from the earth.<sup>51</sup> On the other hand, however, al-Maraghī shows no doubts defining natural disasters such as floods, earthquakes or volcanic eruptions

<sup>50</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Baḥth*, 87.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus* 1357, 6–7, 10–12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Ibid., 19; for 'Abduh's interpretation, cf. Rashīd Ridā, *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-ḥakīm al-mashhūr bi-Tafsīr al-Manār* (Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya, 1999), 2: 132. Interestingly enough, al-Maraghī makes do with the verses 183–86 and abstains from discussing verse 187 which contains the more detailed prescriptions concerning eating, drinking, and sexual intercourse during Ramadan.

as earthly anticipations of God's punishment, or accepting the existence of *jinns*; it may be no coincidence that those last two remarks occurred in sermons he gave during the Second World War.<sup>52</sup>

As far as finally politics is concerned, al-Maraghī was surprisingly circumspect, considering the practical role he played in Egyptian politics during the 1930s. Although he did, in his very first sermon, in November 1937, lament the weakness of the Muslim societies, which he attributed to their growing distance from God's guidance and to the world struggling with invented ideologies such as materialism, he did not regularly pursue this modernist credo.<sup>53</sup> He made some general political appeals rather in passing and in conclusion, when he warned against discord (fitna) and called for the protection of religion and the fatherland (dīn and watan came usually in pairs).<sup>54</sup> Only in one instance did al-Maraghī deal with a passage that has always been used for legitimizing purposes in political issues: Q 4:58-59 which call for justice and demand obedience towards God, His messenger, and "those of you who are in authority" (ulū l-amr, Pickthall's translation). And again, one may surmise that the timing (August / September 1944) was deliberate. Al-Maraghī's intention – apart from reminding of the fear of God and of the ethical principle al-amr bi-l-ma ruf – is twofold: for one, he sings the praise of the religious scholar who discloses the secrets of Islam to the humans who nowadays have lost track of things; he is nothing short of the guarantor of making the entire mankind happy (kafīl bi-is 'ād al-bashar ajma'). 55 And secondly, he deduces the principles of Islamic law from Q 4:59: the order to obey God and the Prophet denotes for him the first two infallible legal sources, i.e. the Qur'an and the sunna. As to the  $u\bar{l}\bar{u}$  l-amr, they are the third source – and they have thereby become infallible, too, as otherwise God would not have ordered to obey them, he quotes Fakhr ad-Dīn ar-Rāzī (d. 605/1209). <sup>56</sup> In the question who exactly may be understood by the  $u\bar{l}\bar{u}$  *l-amr*, he again cites ar-Razī: they are the ahl al-hall wa-l-'aqd, that is the leaders (zu'amā') whose opinions the community follows, the scholars, jurists, the military commanders and the heads of the

*-* 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Ḥadīth Ramaḍān*, 67f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, Ad-Durus ad-dīniyya li-sanat 1362 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī (Cairo: Maṭba at al-Azhar, 1945), 7 (on Q 7:4) and 20 (on Q 11:119).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus* 1356, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus* 1357, 31 (on Q 8:24–29), *Durus* 1363, 22 (on Q 13:17–24).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus* 1363, 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Ibid., 10–11; on ar-Rāzī see G.C. Anawati, "Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī," in *El*<sup>2</sup> (Leiden: Brill, 1965), 2: 751-55.

tribes, in brief: all those who set a good example. <sup>57</sup> Their consensus thus forms the *ijma* as the third pillar of Muslim law, their disagreement leads to referring the matter to God which then constitutes the fourth pillar,  $qiya\bar{s}$ . While in the duties (' $ib\bar{a}d\bar{a}t$ ) it is no problem that all ordinary believers follow their own mujtahids, the habit to follow any imam instead of the Our an that commands to obey the ulū l-amr led to chaos (fawda) and non-Islamic encroachment in the laws that regulate human interactions. According to al-Maraghī, it is therefore obligatory that the laws have the quality of the holy (sifat al-qudsiyya) which can be acquired only by following the infallible Qur'ān and obeying the infallible  $u\bar{l}\bar{u}$  l-amr in cases where there is no explicit Qur'ānic text available. 58 Al-Maraghī thus not only propagated an utterly traditional view of Muslim society where the religious scholars, as already 'Abd ar-Raḥman al-Jabartī (d. 1825/26) had emphasised, occupied the upper echelon, just beneath the prophets.<sup>59</sup> What is more, these statements that he made towards the end of his life may also be read as a far-reaching break with the principle of individual reasoning beyond the limits of the traditional schools of law which had for many decades been the hallmark of his public activities. For someone who both in his lifetime and posthumously was regarded as one of the most important disciples of Muhammad 'Abduh, this was a remarkable about-face.60

# 4. Bibliography

#### 4.1 Sources

Maḥmūd Abū Daqīqa "Fī tarjamat al-Qur'ān al-karīm," Nūr al-Islam 2 (1930):29–35.

[Anonymous], "Sā'a ma'a al-ustādh al-akbar, ash-Shaykh al-Marāghī," *al-'Irfān* 18, no. 1–2 (Aug.-Sep. 1929): 145-51.

Muḥammad al-Khiḍr Ḥusayn "Naql maʿānī l-Qurʾān ilā l-lughāt al-ajnabiyya," *Nūr al-Islam* 2 (1930): 122–34.

Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. "Iṣlāḥ al-Azhar ash-sharīf." *al-Manār* 29:5 (September 1928): 325–35.

<sup>57</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus 1363*, 11; on the *ahl al-ḥall wa-l-ʿaqd*, see Muhammad Qasim Zaman, "Ahl al-ḥall wa-l-ʿaqd," in *El*³, ed. Kate Fleet et al., accessed May 29, 2023, <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912\_ei3\_COM\_0027">http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912\_ei3\_COM\_0027</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Al-Maraghī, *Durus* 1363, 12–13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Brunner, "Education," 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> I am grateful to Thomas Bauer (Münster) who provided me with copies of the *Durus* of the years 1357 and 1361; my thanks go also to Werner Ende, Ulrich Rebstock and Monika Winet for their comments and sug-

- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. *Baḥth fī tarjamat al-Qurʾān al-karīm wa-aḥkāmihā*. Cairo:Maṭbaʿat ar-Raghāʾib, 1932; reprinted in *Majallat al-Azhar* 7 (1936): 77–122.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. "Khuṭbat al-ustādh al-akbar, Shaykh al-Jāmi' al-Azhar." *al-Manar* 35:3 (March 1936): 186–88.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ad-Durus ad-diniyya allatī alqāhā ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍila al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, Shaykh al-Jamiʿ al-Azhar fī shahr Ramaḍan 1356h. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1938.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. "Taṣdīr." al-Manar 35:5 (July 1939): 1–2.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ad-Durus ad-diniyya allatī alqāhā ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍila al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī, Shaykh al-Jamiʿ al-Azhar fī shahr Ramaḍan 1357h. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1939.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. *Tafsīr sūrat al-ḥadīd wa-āyāt min al-furqān*. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1941.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ad-Durus ad-diniyya li-sanat 1361 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1943.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ad-Durus ad-dīniyya li-sanat 1362 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1945.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ad-Durus ad-diniyya li-sanat 1363 bi-qalam ḥaḍrat ṣaḥib al-faḍīla al-ustadh al-imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī. Cairo: Maṭbaʿat al-Azhar, 1946.
- Al-Maraghī, Muḥammad Muṣṭafa. Ḥadīth Ramaḍan. Tafsīr jāmi ʿli-khams suwar min al-Qur ʾān al-karīm, wa-hiya: al-furqan, wa-Luqman, wa-l-ḥujurat, wa-l-ḥadīd, wa-l-ʿaṣr. n.p. [Cairo]: Dar al-Jumhūriyya 2018. (first edition: Miṣr: Dar al-Hilal, 1952).
- Rashīd Rida, Muḥammad. "Fātiḥat al-mujallad ath-thalāthīn." *al-Manar* 30, no. 1 (June 1929): 1–16.
- Rashīd Riḍa, Muḥammad. "Tarjamat al-Qur'ān wa-kawn al-'arabiyya lughat al-islām." *al-Manār* 32, no. 3 (March 1932): 184–89.
- Rashīd Rida, Muḥammad. "Tarjamat al-Qur'ān wa-kawn al-'arabiyya lughat al-islām, wa-rābiṭat al-ukhuwwa wa-s-salām." *al-Manār* 32, no. 7 (July 1932): 535–44.
- Rashīd Rida, Muhammad. Al-Manār wa-l-Azhar. Cairo: Matba'at al-Manār, 1934.
- Rashīd Riḍa, Muḥammad. "al-Azhar, al-inqilāb al-akbar." *al-Manār* 34, no. 10 (May 1935): 764–73.

gestions, both on this article and the one on Maḥmūd Shaltūt.

- Rashīd Riḍa, Muḥammad. *Tafsīr al-Qurʾān al-ḥakīm al-mashhūr bi-Tafsīr al-manār*. Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya, 1999.
- Ṣabrī, Muṣṭafa. *Mas'alat tarjamat al-Qur'ān*. Cairo: al-Maṭba'a as-Salafiyya wa-Maktabatuha: 1932–33.
- Shaltūt, Maḥmūd. "Tarjamat al-Qur'ān wa-nuṣūṣ al-'ulamā' fīhā," *Nūr al-Islam* 7 (1936): 123–34.

### **4.2 Secondary Literature**

- 'Abduh, Muḥammad. *Al-A 'māl al-kāmila*. Ed. Muḥammad 'Imāra, 5 vols., Beirut/Cairo: Dār ash-Shuruq, 1993.
- Adams, Charles C. *Islam and Modernism in Egypt. A Study of the Modern Reform Movement Inaugurated by Muhammad 'Abduh*. London: Oxford University Press, 1933.
- Anawati, Georges C. "Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī." In *EI*<sup>2</sup>, 12 vols. Leiden, Brill, vol. 2, edited by Bernard Lewis et al. 1965: 751-55.
- Bar-Asher, Meir M. "Avis musulmans sur la question de la traduction du Coran." In *Controverses sur les écritures canoniques de l'islam*, edited by Daniel de Smet and Mohammad Ali Amir-Moezzi. Paris: Cerf, 2014: 297–327.
- Bruckmayr, Philipp. "Knowledge of Good and Evil: 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Mas'ūd Ṣadr al-Sharī'a al-Thānī al-Maḥbūbī al-Bukhārī (d. 747/1346), *al-Tawḍīḥ fī ḥall ghawāmiḍ al-Tanqīḥ fī uṣūl al-fiqh*," in *Māturīdī Theology. A Bilingual Reader*, edited by Lejla Demiri, Philip Dorroll and Dale J. Correa. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2022: 203-13.
- Brunner, Rainer. Islamic Ecumenism in the Twentieth Century. The Azhar and Shiism between Rapprochement and Restraint. Leiden: Brill, 2004.
- Brunner, Rainer. "Education, Politics, and the Struggle for Intellectual Leadership al-Azhar between 1927 and 1945." In *Guardians of Faith in Modern Times: 'Ulama' in the Middle East*, edited by Meir Hatina. Leiden: Brill, 2009: 109–40.
- Brunner, Rainer. "latinīya lā-dīnīya Muḥammad Rašīd Riḍa über Arabisch und Türkisch im Zeitalter des Nationalismus." In *Osmanische Welten. Quellen und Fallstudien. Festschrift Michael Ursinus*, edited by Christoph Herzog, Raoul Motika and Johannes Zimmermann. Bamberg: University of Bamberg Press, 2016: 73–114.
- Calder, Norman. "al-Sarakhsi." In  $EI^2$ , 12 vols. Leiden: Brill, vol. 9, edited by C.E. Bosworth et al. 1997: 35f.
- Cook, Michael. *Commanding Right and Forbidding Wrong in Islamic Thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

- Costet-Tardieu, Francine. "Un projet de réforme pour l'Université d'al-Azhar en 1928: le Mémorandum du shaykh al-Marâghî." *Revue des Mondes Musulmans et de la Méditerranée* 95–98 (2002): 169–87.
- Costet-Tardieu, Francine. *Un réformiste à l'université al-Azhar: Œuvre et pensée de Mustafâ al-Marâghi (1881-1945)*. Cairo/Paris: Karthala, 2005.
- Adh-Dhahabi, Muhammad Ḥusayn. DhTM, 3 vols. Cairo: Maktabat Wahba, 2000.
- Ende, Werner. "al-Jundī, Anwar." In  $EI^3$ , edited by Kate Fleet et al. Article published online 2018. Accessed on May 29, 2023. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912">http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912</a> ei3 COM 32879.
- Gershoni, Israel and James Jankowski. *Redefining the Egyptian Nation*, 1930-1945. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- Gesink, Indira Falk. Islamic Reform and Conservatism. Al-Azhar and the Evolution of Modern Sunni Islam. London, New York: I.B. Tauris, 2010.
- ʿImāra, Muḥammad. *Al-Iṣlaḥ ad-dīnī fī l-qarn al-ʿishrīn. Al-Imām al-Marāghī namūdhajan*. Cairo: Maṭābiʿ al-Ahrām at-Tijāriyya, 2007.
- Jansen, J.J.G. The Interpretation of the Koran in Modern Egypt. Leiden: Brill, 1980.
- Jomier, Jacques. Le commentaire coranique du Manar. Paris: Maisonneuve, 1954.
- Al-Jundī, Anwar. Al-Imam al-Maraghī. Cairo: Dar al-Ma'ārif bi-Miṣr, 1952.
- Juynboll, Th. W. "Ķāḍī <u>Kh</u>ān." In *EI*<sup>2</sup>, 12 vols. Leiden: Brill, vol. 4, edited by C.E. Bosworth et al. 1978: 377.
- Kedourie, Elie. "Egypt and the Caliphate, 1915-52." In *The Chatham House Version and Other Middle Eastern Studies*, edited by Elie Kedourie. New York: Praeger, 1970; repr. Chicago: Ivan R. Dee, 2004: 177–212.
- Krämer, Gudrun. Der Architekt des Islamismus. Hasan al-Banna und die Muslimbrüder. Munich: Beck, 2022.
- Kurd 'Alī, Muḥammad. Al-Mu 'āṣirun. Beirut: Dar Ṣadir, 1993.
- Lauzière, Henri. *The Making of Salafism. Islamic Reform in the Twentieth Century*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2016.
- Lemke, Wolf-Dieter. Maḥmud Šaltūt (1893-1963) und die Reform der Azhar. Untersuchungen zu Erneuerungsbestrebungen im ägyptisch-islamischen Erziehungssystem. Frankfurt/Main etc.: Peter Lang, 1980.
- Lia, Brynjar. The Society of the Muslim Brothers in Egypt. The Rise of an Islamic Mass Movement 1829-1942. Reading: Ithaca, 1998.
- Madelung, Wilferd. "al-Zamakhsharī," In *EI*<sup>2</sup>, 12 vols. Leiden: Brill, vol. 12, edited by Peri J. Bearman et al. 2004: 840f.
- Al-Maraghī, Abuī l-Wafai, ed. Ash-Shaykh al-Maraghī bi-aqlam al-kuttab. Cairo: al-Maṭbaʿa al-

- Munīriyya, 1957.
- Mitchell, Richard P. *The Society of the Muslim Brothers*. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1969, new edition 1993.
- Pickthall, Marmaduke. "Arabs and Non-Arabs, and the Question of Translating the Qur'an." *Islamic Culture* 5 (1931): 422–33.
- Pink, Johanna. "Abduh, Muḥammad." In *EQ*, edited by Jane Dammen McAuliffe. Brill, 2001–2006. Article published online 2015. Accessed May 29, 2023. <a href="https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-the-quran/abduh-muhammad-EQCOM\_050483#d107189331e392">https://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-the-quran/abduh-muhammad-EQCOM\_050483#d107189331e392</a>.
- Ryad, Umar. Islamic Reformism and Christianity. A Critical Reading of the Works of Muḥammad Rashīd Riḍa and His Associates (1898-1935). Leiden: Brill, 2009.
- Schulze, Reinhard. Islamischer Internationalismus im 20. Jahrhundert. Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der islamischen Weltliga. Leiden: Brill, 1990.
- Aṭ-Ṭahṭawī, Muḥammad ʿIzzat. "Al-Ustadh al-Imam ash-Shaykh Muḥammad Muṣṭafa l-Maraghī." Majallat al-Azhar 66, no. 5 (November 1993): 715–22.
- Vatikiotis, P.J. "Fārūķ." In  $EI^2$ , 12 vols. Leiden: Brill, vol. 12, edited by Peri J. Bearman et al. 2004: 299-302.
- Wilson, M. Brett. Translating the Qur'an in an Age of Nationalism. Print Culture and Modern Islam in Turkey. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Zaman, Muhammad Qasim. "Ahl al-ḥall wa-l-'aqd." In *EI*<sup>3</sup>, edited by Kate Fleet et al. Brill, 2007—. Article published online 2007. Accessed May 29, 2023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912 ei3 COM 0027.