

Do Equids Live longer than Grazing Bovids?

Morgane Tidière, Patrick Duncan, Jean-François Lemaître Lemaître, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Laurie Bingaman Lackey, Dennis Müller, Marcus Clauss

▶ To cite this version:

Morgane Tidière, Patrick Duncan, Jean-François Lemaître Lemaître, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Laurie Bingaman Lackey, et al.. Do Equids Live longer than Grazing Bovids?. Journal of Mammalian Evolution, 2020, 27 (4), pp.809-816. 10.1007/s10914-019-09483-8 . hal-03060300

HAL Id: hal-03060300 https://hal.science/hal-03060300v1

Submitted on 15 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Do equids live longer than grazing bovids?

- 2 Morgane Tidière^{1*}, Patrick Duncan², Jean-François Lemaître¹, Jean-Michel Gaillard¹, Laurie
- 3 Bingaman Lackey³, Dennis W.H. Müller⁴ and Marcus Clauss⁵
- 4 ¹Université de Lyon, F-69000, Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de
- 5 Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France.
- ⁶ ²Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, Station d'Ecologie de Chizé-La Rochelle, UMR 7173
- 7 CNRS, 79360 Villiers-en-Bois, France.
- 8 ³World Association of Zoos and Aquariums (WAZA), Carrer de Roger de Llúria, 2, 2-2, Bar-
- 9 celona, Spain.
- ⁴Zoological Garden Halle (Saale), Fasanenstr. 5a, 06114 Halle (Saale), Germany.
- ⁵Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich,
- 12 Winterthurerstr. 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.
- ¹³ *Corresponding authors: mtidiere@gmail.com

14 ABSTRACT

A large part of the diversity of longevity and actuarial senescence (i.e. the progressive decline 15 of survival probabilities with age) across vertebrates can be related to body size, phylogeny 16 and the species' position on the slow-fast continuum of life histories. However, differences in 17 mortality patterns between ecologically similar species, such as bovids and equids, remain 18 poorly understood. Equids are commonly understood to outlive bovid species relative to their 19 body mass, despite very similar feeding niches. Comparing survival patterns of 13 bovid and 20 10 equid sub-species, our findings confirm that equids outlive bovid species, with a higher 21 adult survival rate and a delayed onset of senescence for equids, but no difference of rate of 22 23 actuarial senescence. These differences are associated with a slower generation time and 24 longer inter-birth interval, due to a longer gestation period, for equids compared to bovids. Finally, our results suggest that all biological times (i.e. all life history traits expressed in time 25 units) have evolved synchronously in bovids, whereas in equids gestation time and inter-birth 26 interval either were never in synchrony with, or have slowed down relative to other biological 27 times. Our findings suggest the existence of different selection pressures, or different 28 constraints, on specific time-related traits between these two mammalian orders. 29

Keywords: actuarial senescence; biological times; demography; pace of life; slow-fast continuum

32 **Running head:** Equids vs bovids' actuarial senescence

Word count: 5734 words

34 INTRODUCTION

Actuarial senescence, defined as the progressive decrease of survival with increasing age, is a 35 ubiquitous process across the tree of life (Nussey et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2014). Striking dif-36 ferences in actuarial senescence and lifespan have been found in related species, such as the 37 naked mole rat (Heterocephalus glaber) which lives nine times longer than similar-sized ro-38 dents (Buffenstein 2008; Ruby et al. 2018). Such differences across phylogenetically related 39 40 species or across species sharing the same lifestyle remain poorly understood and are currently attracting much interest (Gorbunova et al. 2014; Healy et al. 2014). However, little work 41 42 has been done, so far, to understand variation in senescence between distantly related species that have converged into closely similar niches. 43

Here we compare patterns of actuarial senescence (hereafter 'senescence') between 44 taxa from two orders of mammals, equids (Perissodactyl) and grazing bovids (Artiodactyl), 45 which are large herbivores whose evolution separated 55 million years ago (Janis 1976). 46 Many of the grazing species, among the equids and bovids, have evolved in parallel in similar 47 environments since the Miocene (Janis 1976). These two groups of herbivores display evolu-48 tionary convergence in key morphological characters, such as elongated limbs and hyp-49 sodonty (i.e. the height of the molar teeth), and occupy similar feeding niches despite having 50 different digestive systems (Janis 1976). Moreover, it is commonly assumed that horses live 51 longer than cattle. For instance, in the AnAge database (Tacutu et al. 2012), the maximum 52 lifespan recorded for the seven species of extant equids ranges from 30.1 years for kiang 53 (Equus kiang) to 57 years for domestic horse (E. caballus), while for grazing bovids the max-54 imum longevity recorded is 36.1 years for the lowland anoa (Bubalus depressicornis). 55

Among bovids, grazers live longer and senesce later and more slowly than do browsers, both in the wild (Gaillard et al. 2008) and in captive populations (Lemaître et al. 2013; Tidière et al. 2015). This difference is even more marked in captivity, probably because the

59 food provided in zoos is more suitable for grazers than for browsers (Müller et al. 2010, 2011; Lemaître et al. 2013; Tidière et al. 2015). The extant equids are typically grazers (Schulz and 60 Kaiser 2013), while bovids comprise species ranging over a continuum of dietary niches from 61 grazers to browsers (Hofmann 1989; Gordon and Prins 2008); therefore, we only used data 62 from grazers among the bovids to perform a valid comparison of longevity and senescence 63 patterns across these groups. The use of captive populations allows patterns of longevity and 64 senescence of the two orders to be studied independently of environmental variation, which is 65 likely to be a key driver of mortality patterns (Gaillard et al. 1993). In captivity, animals are 66 protected against starvation and predation, and competition for resources is minimal or absent. 67 Using a dataset of 15 species (comprising 23 species or subspecies; 13 bovids and 10 equids) 68 69 living in captivity, we found strong support for longer longevity in equids than in bovids after taking into account allometric relationships. This difference is associated with higher adult 70 survival and delayed onsets of senescence for equids, but there is no difference between the 71 two orders in the rate of actuarial senescence. 72

A major axis of variation in longevity and senescence across species is included in the 73 slow-fast continuum of the life histories (Stearns 1983). Indeed, variation in life history traits 74 among species is structured along a continuum of biological times that contrasts species with 75 short longevity, early reproduction, high annual fecundity, and early onset and/or fast rates of 76 senescence (at the continuum's fast end), with species with long longevity, delayed reproduc-77 tion, low annual fecundity, and late onset and/or slow rates of senescence (at its slow end, e.g. 78 Gaillard et al. 1989; Ricklefs 1998, 2010; Jones et al. 2008; Bronikowski and Vleck 2010). 79 We, therefore, assessed whether observed differences of longevity between bovids and equids 80 simply correspond to a different ranking over the slow-fast continuum as measured by the 81 generation time (Gaillard et al. 2005). Two plausible, but different, demographic scenarios 82 were tested: (1) all biological times or (2) only certain biological times are slower in equids 83

than in bovids, leading to a difference in the pace of life (as represented by longer generation times in equids). Causes of the differences could be selection pressures for a slower pace of life in equids, or for a faster pace of life in bovids, with potentially different selection pressures and constraints acting on the different components of generation time. Evolutionary processes behind the differences in extant equids and bovids are discussed.

89 METHODS

90 Datasets

The life tables of the zoo populations were compiled from the Species360 database, which 91 contains data since 1817 from more than 1,000 member institutions in over 90 countries 92 worldwide. Information on sex, dates of birth and death is available for each individual. 93 Assuming that the total number of individuals living in zoos for a given species corresponds 94 to a worldwide meta-population, we computed population parameters for species living in 95 captivity. We constructed life tables available for females of equid and grazing bovid species 96 for which the exact dates of birth and death are known. We considered all dead and living 97 females but, to avoid any overestimation of the species' longevity due to some individuals 98 99 never being recorded as dead, we removed individuals still alive in January 2014 with an age more than 125% older than the maximum age at death reported in AnAge, as longevity can be 100 101 longer in zoos today than 20 years ago due to the improvement of captive conditions (e.g. Wich et al. 2009 for orang-utan, Pongo sp.). To ensure that the inclusion of all cohorts did not 102 bias the results, the same analyses were done with cohorts for which all individuals are dead, 103 defined as cohorts for which all females were already dead in January 2014. For some species, 104 105 wild-type and domestic populations are kept in zoos (e.g. Bos javanicus). For these species, only the wild-type taxa were retained in the analyses. We obtained a final dataset of 23 taxa 106 including 13 grazing bovids and 10 equids (Fig. S1). 107

108 Survival and actuarial senescence metrics

For each species, five different, and complementary, metrics were used to assess senescence 109 patterns: maximum age at death, longevity, average adult survival rate, age at the onset of 110 senescence and rate of senescence. The maximum age at death was determined as the 111 maximum age at death observed in the database (Table S1). For the four other metrics, we 112 built the capture history of each female and obtained age-specific survival estimates using the 113 114 CMR (capture-mark-recapture) software E-SURGE (Choquet et al. 2009) (see appendix S1 for more details). From these age-specific survival estimates, we calculated the proportion of 115 individuals alive at each age $(l_x, with l_0=1)$ and longevity was measured as the age at which 116 90% of individuals from the initial cohort were dead (age x at which $l_x=0.1$) (Table S1). This 117 avoids spurious estimates due to different sample sizes or exceptionally long lives of a few 118 individuals (Moorad et al. 2012). 119

To assess actuarial senescence, we fitted different age-dependent models from birth 120 onwards: constant survival, two age-classes, full age-dependence, and several Gompertz 121 models with different onsets of actuarial senescence (see appendix S1 for more details). To 122 select the best survival model, we used a model selection procedure based on the Akaike 123 Information Criterion (AIC, Burnham and Anderson 2002). For each species, we retained the 124 model with the lowest AIC (Table S2). For 10 of the 13 bovids and 9 of the 10 equids, a 125 Gompertz model provided a better fit than either the constant or the two-age class model, and 126 was more parsimonious than the full age-dependent model (Table S2). The age at which 127 survival begins to decline, corresponding to the age at the onset of senescence (Péron et al. 128 2010), varied among taxa (Table S1). In addition, from the selected Gompertz model, we 129 measured both adult survival rate as the mean annual survival estimated between 1 year of age 130 and the onset of senescence (Table S1) and rate of senescence as the exponential rate of 131 mortality increase with age (Table S1). On a logit scale, age variation in survival was, 132

therefore, constrained to be linear from the age at the onset of senescence onwards (Gaillard
et al. 2004). For four species (*Connochaetes taurinus albojubatus, Kobus kob leche, Syncerus caffer* and *Equus quagga boehmi*), the full age-dependent model had a lower AIC than any
Gompertz model. For these four taxa, only the maximal age at death and longevity were
analyzed.

138 Mean values for the traits of adult females in each taxon

Mean body mass (BMa, in kg) for adult females was extracted from the literature (Table S3)
to correct for allometric constraints. When available, body mass measures from wild
populations were preferred.

The pace of life of a given taxon was measured as the generation time using the method described in Gaillard et al. (2005) (see appendix S1 for more details). As life history traits with a currency of time, we considered gestation length (GL, in days), age at first parturition (AFP, in days), and the inter-birth interval (IBI, in days) to test the potential difference of life history strategy between equids and bovids (Table S3). Values for GL, AFP, and IBI were extracted from the literature (Table S3).

148 Analyses

We first tested the differences in survival and actuarial senescence metrics between bovids and equids, accounting for body mass, because large species live longer and senesce later and more slowly than small species (e.g. Jones et al. 2008; Ricklefs 2010; Lemaître et al. 2014; Tidière et al. 2015). Dependent variables included maximum age at death, longevity, adult survival rate, onset of senescence and rate of senescence. The full models included body mass and order (as factor) as independent variables.

In a second step, we tested whether the differences in survival and actuarial senescence metrics between bovids and equids parallel a difference in life history strategy. For

157 each trait corresponding to a biological time included in the study (i.e. generation time,
158 gestation length, inter-birth interval and age at first parturition), the full models included body
159 mass and order (as factor) as independent variables.

In a third step, we ran a normed Principal Component Analysis (PCA, package 'ade4', 160 (Dray and Dufour 2007) for bovids (N=5 taxa) and equids (N=7 taxa) separately, with 161 generation time, longevity, age at first parturition, gestation length and inter-birth interval as 162 biological times. Under scenario 1, all biological times in both equids and bovids should 163 contribute equally to the overall time scale (i.e. equi-correlation sensu Morrison 1967), which 164 corresponds to the slow-fast continuum (Gaillard et al. 2016). In contrast, under scenario 2, 165 equi-correlation should not be observed, meaning that the overall time scale is driven more 166 strongly by some specific biological times and less by others. 167

Analyses were not corrected for phylogenetic relatedness beyond the inclusion of order as a factor because the number of species was rather small and did not allow estimating reliably the phylogenetic inertia. All traits were log-transformed to improve the normality of the distributions, except order (entered as a factor) and adult survival rate that was logittransformed. Analyses were performed using the linear model (lm) in R version 2.14.0 (R Development Core Team 2016) and parameter estimates are given ± SE and/or 95% confidence intervals.

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in the supplementary data. However, the raw longevity dataset used to compute the survival and senescence metrics are available upon request and with permission of Species360.

178 **RESULTS**

179 Differences in survival and actuarial senescence parameters

180 As expected, all survival metrics (i.e. maximum age at death, longevity and adult survival

rate) increased with adult body mass (Tables 1 and S4, Fig. 1). Moreover, for a given body 181 mass, equids had a maximum age at death 6.9 years (95%CI [3.9; 10.0], Table 1, Fig. 1A) 182 longer and a longevity 5.5 years (95%CI [2.4; 8.6], Table 1, Fig. 1B) longer than bovids. 183 Equids also had a higher adult survival (+ 0.018, 95%CI [0.002; 0.035], Table 1, Fig. 1C) than 184 bovids. Order was the only factor included in the selected model to explain variation observed 185 in the onset of actuarial senescence (Table S4): equids began to senesce 5.89 years (95%CI 186 [2.33; 9.44], Table 1) later than bovids, irrespective of body mass (Fig. S2). Finally, observed 187 variation in the rate of senescence was explained only by the age at the onset of senescence 188 189 (Table S4), with the rate of senescence increasing when the onset was late (Table 1, Fig. S3). However, the model also including body mass was competitive ($\Delta AIC = -1.31$, Table S4) that 190 for a given onset of senescence, the rate of senescence tended to decrease with increasing 191 body mass (β =-0.342±0.196). There was no detectable difference between bovids and equids 192 in the rates of senescence in any of the models. 193

Using only extinct cohorts in the dataset results were similar to those obtained with all individuals (Tables S5-S7): the order factor was included in the selected models for all survival metrics, which indicates that equids outlived bovids and had higher adult survival. For senescence metrics, the selected models did not include body mass or the order factor. However, for the onset of senescence, the AIC of the model with order as a factor was only 0.51 AIC units lower than the selected model with equids senescing 4.21±1.57 years later than bovids. Finally, the rate of senescence was only influenced by the onset of senescence.

201 Differences in life history traits

As expected, irrespective of the order, the four biological times were positively correlated to adult body mass (Table 2 and S8, Fig. S4). Bovids had shorter generation times (by 2.31 years, Fig. S4A), shorter gestation lengths (by 89.2 days, Fig. S4B) and shorter inter-birth intervals (by 157.3 days, Fig. S4C) than equids. On the other hand, no detectable difference of
age at first parturition was found between equids and bovids (Fig. S4D, Tables 2 and S8).

207 Evolutionary pathways

In absence of species-specific selection pressure on a particular trait, generation time is 208 expected to be isometrically related to other biological times such as longevity, age at first 209 reproduction, inter-birth interval and gestation length. The generation time thus constitutes an 210 integrative measure of the time scale at which the life cycle of a given species is completed 211 (Gaillard et al. 2005; Staerk et al. 2019). Here, we assessed whether equids displayed longer 212 generation times either, as a part of a generally slower pace of life compared to bovids, in 213 which case all biological times should be isometrically associated, or whether the two groups 214 differed in only one particular biological time such as gestation length, which would lead to 215 longer generation times in equids than bovids. We found that all five of the biological times 216 contributed almost equally to the bovids' pace of life, although the gestation length was 217 slightly less tightly associated with other time-related traits (Table S9, Fig. 2A). Moreover, the 218 generation time was equivalent to the PC1 (Fig. 2A), which means that generation time 219 provides an overall measure of the pace of life in bovids. On the other hand, the picture was 220 markedly different for equids. Age at first parturition, instead of generation time (Fig. 2B), 221 was the closest to PC1 and was independent of inter-birth interval and gestation length (Table 222 S9). Longevity was negatively associated with the overall time scale (Table S9, Fig. 2B). 223 These findings demonstrate that, while bovids closely match the biological time syndrome 224 hypothesis, the variation among biological times was uncoupled in equids. This suggests the 225 226 existence of different selection pressures, or different constraints, on specific time-related traits between the families. 227

228 DISCUSSION

Our findings confirm that, for a given body mass, equids live longer than bovids, whatever the 229 metric used (maximum age at death or longevity). This difference in longevity between 230 bovids and equids is associated with both higher survival and a delay in the onset of actuarial 231 senescence in equids, but there is no difference in the rates of actuarial senescence. This is 232 consistent with several recent studies highlighting that the onset of senescence has to be 233 considered when analyzing senescence, and is more responsive than the rate of senescence 234 (Péron et al. 2010; Hammers et al. 2013; Gamelon et al. 2014; Tidière et al. 2015), although 235 the latter is still preferentially used in comparative analyses (e.g. Jones et al. 2008; Ricklefs 236 2010). Moreover, the analyses of covariation among biological times in bovid and equid taxa 237 indicate that a synchronization of all biological times evolved in bovids, whereas biological 238 239 times were uncoupled in equids. This could be the result of a specific selection for longer gestation lengths in equids, a physiological constraint that prevents the evolution of shorter 240 gestation lengths in equids, a stronger selection for a faster pace of life in bovids, or a 241 combination of any of these factors. 242

Tooth wear is an essential process in mammalian ageing (Skogland 1988; King et al. 243 2005), and there is evidence that tooth height is related to longevity within species (Veiberg et 244 al. 2007). The difference in longevity we reported may be explained by a difference in a key 245 morphological adaptation of grazers, hypsodonty. Comparison of estimates of hypsodonty 246 index for six bovid and seven equid taxa (see Table S3) showed that equids have hypsodonty 247 about 50% higher than bovids (difference = 2.49; t = 4.69; df = 10.678; p-value < 0.001, Fig. 248 S5). Dental anatomy could, therefore, provide a mechanical explanation for the greater 249 longevity of equids relative to similar-sized bovids, through a longer functional duration of 250 the chewing tooth-row. It has been suggested that wear rates should be less in ruminants, 251 because much of the chewing occurs during rumination, on material that has already been 252 washed of grit in the forestomach (Hatt et al. 2019). However, the limited information 253

available has not yet demonstrated different rates of tooth wear between equids and bovids (Damuth and Janis 2014). More data like that measured in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) by Péres-Barberia and Gordon (1998) are needed on tooth wear in free-ranging equids and grazing bovids to conclude firmly whether the greater hypsodonty in equids plays a key role in explaining their greater longevity.

The longer generation time of equids demonstrates that they have a slower pace of life 259 than similar-sized grazing bovids. This difference of pace of life is partly due to a difference 260 of inter-birth interval, itself caused in part by the longer gestation length of equids, and partly 261 to their higher adult survival, which also contributes to their slow pace of life. Two different 262 concepts exist for the occurrence of a slow pace of life. It could be the result of specific 263 264 selection pressures that facilitate its evolution as a specific adaptation to resource-limited niches. Alternatively, species characterized by a slower pace of life might be displaced by 265 species with a faster pace of life except in resource-poor niches (Vermeij 1994). There are 266 differences in susceptibility to resource constraints between bovids and equids: the abundance 267 of wildebeest (i.e. a grazing bovid) is much more affected by food resources than zebras (a 268 similar-sized equid) (Grange and Duncan 2006). Whether longer gestation periods evolved 269 in equids from a common ancestor of the two groups, perhaps a Condylarth (Rose 1996), 270 which had shorter gestation periods, or whether a generally slower pace of life is a 271 characteristic of the equid lineage, cannot be determined from our study, since the 272 common ancestor's life history is of course unknown. 273

Most bovid species have an inter-birth interval of less than one year (mean of 334.00 days, 95%CI [286.54;381.46]), which allows them to produce one litter per year. On the other hand, equids display mean inter-birth intervals of about 1 year and 4 months (491.29 days, 95%CI [440.34;542.23]). The bovid life history strategy thus allows breeding seasonally to match plant phenology, which is clearly an advantage in many grassland systems. Decreasing 279 the gestation length may have been part of the bovid evolution towards seasonal breeding (Zerbe et al. 2012), and the shorter generation times may have allowed bovids to increase 280 their demographic rates and abundances. This may give them an advantage in resource rich 281 environments where predation pressure is higher than in poor environments (Melis et al. 282 2013). Indeed, the faster pace of life allows higher population growth rates, leading to a better 283 demographic response to predation pressure (Grange et al. 2004). A shorter generation time 284 allows faster evolution and this may also have facilitated their species diversity. For instance, 285 the shorter gestation length in bovids compared to giraffids has been invoked to explain the 286 replacement of a diverse giraffid community with a diverse bovid one over evolutionary time 287 (Clauss and Rössner 2014). 288

289 To conclude, equids live longer than grazing bovids of similar size. This is because equids have higher survival, and their actuarial senescence begins at a later age. Equids also 290 have greater hypsodonty, which could be a key morphological adaptation allowing greater 291 longevity in equids, though more data are needed on wear rates in the wild to reach a firm 292 conclusion. Grazing bovids have a faster pace of life, with shorter gestation periods. The 293 shorter gestation periods of bovids may be associated with accelerated rates of intrauterine 294 growth (Baur 1977), although the morphological and physiological mechanisms involved 295 remain to be discovered. This scenario considers equids to be constrained by slower 296 intrauterine growth and a slower pace of life, which makes them mainly competitive in 297 environments with limited resources. Alternatively, a longer gestation length for equids may 298 be a specifically evolved adaptation to increased survival in these environments. The fast pace 299 of life in bovids may be a key to the rapid evolution of the bovids since the Miocene; today 300 they dominate communities of grazing animals, in species diversity and in abundance. 301

302 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to the many people who generously provided data on live weights

304 and on the animals' life histories so that we could carry out the analyses with confidence, in particular Kristin Brabender (Hortobagy National Park, Hungary), Frédéric Joly (Takh, 305 France), Jim Kao (Taipei Zoo), Klaus Mueller-Schilling (Hannover Zoo), Peter Novellie 306 (Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, South Africa), Kate Schoenecker (US Geological 307 Survey, USA), Juan Soy (Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation, Qatar), Beatrice Steck (Basel Zoo, 308 Switzerland), Tim Thier and Martha Fischer (St. Louis Zoo, USA), and Tim Woodfine, Tanya 309 Langenhorst and Danielle Free (Marwell Wildlife, UK) who provided data on liveweights, 310 and/or on the animals' life histories; and Patricia Moehlman and Sarah King (IUCN Equid 311 Specialist Group), Ulrike Rademacher (Stuttgart Zoo, Germany), Belinda Low Mackey 312 (Grevy's Zebra Trust, USA) and Laurent Tatin (Conservatoire des Espaces Naturels, 313 Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, France) who kindly linked us to sources of knowledge. We are 314 315 grateful to one anonymous referee for helpful comments on a previous draft.

316 **REFERENCES**

Baur R (1977) Morphometry of the Placental Exchange Area. Springer

Bronikowski AM, Vleck D (2010) Metabolism, body size and life span: a case study in
evolutionarily divergent populations of the garter snake (*Thamnophis elegans*). Integr
Comp Biol 50:880–887

Buffenstein R (2008) Negligible senescence in the longest living rodent, the naked mole-rat:
insights from a successfully aging species. J Comp Physiol B 178:439–445

Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical
Information-Theoretic Approach. Springer, New York

Choquet R, Rouan L, Pradel R (2009) Program E-SURGE: a software application for fitting
multievent models. Environ Ecol Stat 3:8–39

Clauss M, Rössner GE (2014) Old world ruminant morphophysiology, life history, and fossil
 record: exploring key innovations of a diversification sequence. Ann Zool Fenn

329 51:80–94

- Damuth J, Janis CM (2014) A comparison of observed molar wear rates in extant herbivorous
 mammals. Ann Zool Fenn 51:188–200
- 332 Dray S, Dufour AB (2007) The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
 accologists. J Stat Softw 22:1–20
- Gaillard J-M, Delorme D, Boutin J-M, Van Laere G, Boisaubert B, Pradel R (1993) Roe deer
 survival patterns: a comparative analysis of contrasting populations. J Anim Ecol
 62:778–791
- Gaillard J-M, Duncan P, van Wieren SE, Loison A, Klein F, Maillard D (2008) Managing
 large herbivores in theory and practice: is the game the same for browsing and grazing
 species. In: Gordon IJ, Prins HHT (eds) The Ecology of Browsing and Grazing.
 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 293-307
- Gaillard J-M, Lemaître J-F, Berger V, Bonenfant C, Devillard S, Douhard M, Gamelon M,
 Plard F, Lebreton J-D (2016) Life history axes of variation. In: Kliman RM (ed) The
 Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Biology. Academic Press, pp 312–323
- Gaillard J-M, Pontier D, Allaine D, Lebreton J-D, Trouvilliez J, Clobert J (1989) An analysis
 of demographic tactics in birds and mammals. Oikos 56:59–76
- Gaillard J-M, Yoccoz NG, Lebreton J-D, Bonenfant C, Devillard S, Loison A, Pontier D,
 Allaine D (2005) Generation time: a reliable metric to measure life-history variation
 among mammalian populations. Am Nat 166:119–123
- Gaillard J-M, Viallefont A, Loison A, Festa-Bianchet M (2004) Assessing senescence patterns
 in populations of large mammals. Anim Biodivers Conserv 27:47–58
- Gamelon M, Focardi S, Gaillard J-M, Gimenez O, Bonenfant C, Franzetti B, Choquet R,
 Ronchi F, Baubet E, Lemaître J-F (2014) Do age-specific survival patterns of wild
 boar fit current evolutionary theories of senescence? Evolution 68:3636–3643

- Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Zhang Z, Gladyshev VN, Vijg J (2014) Comparative genetics of longevity and cancer: insights from long-lived rodents. Nat Rev Genet 15:531–540
- Gordon IJ, Prins HHT (2008) The Ecology of Browsing and Grazing. Ecological Studies.
 Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg
- Grange S, Duncan P (2006) Bottom-up and top-down processes in African ungulate communities: resources and predation acting on the relative abundance of zebra and grazing bovids. Ecography 29:899–907
- Grange S, Duncan P, Gaillard J-M, Sinclair ARE, Gogan PJP, Packer C, Hofer H, East M
 (2004) What limits the Serengeti zebra population? Oecologia 140:523–532
- Hammers M, Richardson DS, Burke T, Komdeur J (2013) The impact of reproductive
 investment and early-life environmental conditions on senescence: support for the
 disposable soma hypothesis. J Evol Biol 26:1999–2007
- Hatt J-M, Codron D, Müller DWH, Ackermans NL, Martin LF, Kircher PR, Hummal J,
 Clauss M (2019) The rumen washes off abrasives before heavy-duty chewing in
 ruminants. Mammal Biol 97:104-111
- Healy K, Guillerme T, Finlay S, Kane A, Kelly SBA, McClean D, Kelly DJ, Donohue I,
 Jackson AL, Cooper N (2014) Ecology and mode-of-life explain lifespan variation in
 birds and mammals. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 281:20140298
- Hofmann RR (1989) Evolutionary steps of ecophysiological adaptation and diversification of
 ruminants: a comparative view of their digestive system. Oecologia 78:443–457
- Janis C (1976) The evolutionary strategy of the Equidae and the origins of rumen and cecal
 digestion. Evolution 30:757–774
- Jones OR, Gaillard J-M, Tuljapurkar S, Alho JS, Armitage KB, Becker PH, Bize P, Brommer
- J, Charmantier A, Charpentier M, Clutton-Brock T, Dobson FS, Festa-Bianchet M,
- 378 Gustafsson L, Jensen H, Jones CG, Lillandt B-G, McCleery R, Merilä J, Neuhaus P,
 - 16

- Nicoll MAC, Norris K, Oli MK, Pemberton J, Pietiäinen H, Ringsby TH, Roulin A,
 Saether B-E, Setchell JM, Sheldon BC, Thompson PM, Weimerskirch H, Jean
 Wickings E, Coulson T (2008) Senescence rates are determined by ranking on the fastslow life-history continuum. Ecol Lett 11:664–673
- Jones OR, Scheuerlein A, Salguero-Gómez R, Camarda CG, Schaible R, Casper BB,
 Dahlgren JP, Ehrlén J, García MB, Menges ES, Quintana-Ascencio PF, Caswell H,
 Baudisch A, Vaupel JW (2014) Diversity of ageing across the tree of life. Nature
 505:169–173
- King SJ, Arrigo-Nelson SJ, Pochron ST, Semprebon GM, Godfrey LR, Wright PC, Jernvall J
 (2005) Dental senescence in a long-lived primate links infant survival to rainfall. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci 102:16579–16583
- Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M, Bingaman Lackey L, Clauss M, Müller DWH (2013) Comparing
 free-ranging and captive populations reveals intra-specific variation in aging rates in
 large herbivores. Exp Gerontol 48:162–167
- Lemaître J-F, Müller DWH, Clauss M (2014) A test of the metabolic theory of ecology with two longevity data sets reveals no common cause of scaling in biological times: longevity scaling in mammals. Mammal Rev 44:204–214
- Melis C, Nilsen EB, Panzacchi M, Linnell JDC, Odden J (2013) Roe deer face competing
 risks between predators along a gradient in abundance. Ecosphere 4:art111
- Moorad JA, Promislow DEL, Flesness N, Miller RA (2012) A comparative assessment of
 univariate longevity measures using zoological animal records. Aging Cell 11:940–
 948
- 401 Morrison DF (1967) Multivariate Statistical Methods. McGraw-Hill
- Müller DWH, Bingaman Lackey L, Streich WJ, Fickel J, Hatt J-M, Clauss M (2011) Mating
 system, feeding type and ex situ conservation effort determine life expectancy in

- 404 captive ruminants. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 278:2076–2080
- Müller DWH, Bingaman Lackey L, Streich WJ, Hatt J-M, Clauss M (2010) Relevance of
 management and feeding regimens on life expectancy in captive deer. Am J Vet Res
 71:275–280
- Nussey DH, Froy H, Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M, Austad SN (2013) Senescence in natural
 populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology.
 Ageing Res Rev 12:214–225
- 411 Pérez-Barberia FJ, Gordon IJ (1998) The influence of molar occlusal surface area on the
 412 assimilation efficiency, chewing behaviour and diet selection of red deer. J Zool
 413 245:307-316
- Péron G, Gimenez O, Charmantier A, Gaillard J-M, Crochet P-A (2010) Age at the onset of
 senescence in birds and mammals is predicted by early-life performance. Proc R Soc
 B Biol Sci 277:2849–2856
- R Development Core Team (2016) A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- 419 Ricklefs RE (1998) Evolutionary theories of aging: confirmation of a fundamental prediction,
- 420 with implications for the genetic basis and evolution of life span. Am Nat 152:24–44
- 421 Ricklefs RE (2010) Life-history connections to rates of aging in terrestrial vertebrates. Proc
 422 Natl Acad Sci 107:10314–10319
- 423 Rose KD (1996) On the origin of the order Artiodactyla. Proc Natl Acad Sci 93:1705-1709
- Ruby JG, Smith M, Buffenstein R (2018) Naked mole-rat mortality rates defy Gompertzian
 laws by not increasing with age. eLife 7
- Schulz E, Kaiser TM (2013) Historical distribution, habitat requirements and feeding ecology
 of the genus *Equus* (Perissodactyla). Mammal Rev 43:111–123
- 428 Skogland T (1988) Tooth wear by food limitation and its life history consequences in wild

429 reindeer. Oikos 51:238–242

- Staerk J, Conde DA, Ronget V, Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M, Colchero F (2019) Performance of
 generation time approxiantions for extinction risk assessments. J App Ecol 56:1436–
 1446
- 433 Stearns SC (1983) The influence of size and phylogeny on patterns of covariation among life434 history traits in the mammals. Oikos 41:173–187
- Tacutu R, Craig T, Budovsky A, Wuttke D, Lehmann G, Taranukha D, Costa J, Fraifeld VE,
 de Magalhães JP (2012) Human Ageing Genomic Resources: integrated databases and
 tools for the biology and genetics of ageing. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D1027–D1033

Tidière M, Gaillard J-M, Müller DWH, Bingaman Lackey L, Gimenez O, Clauss M, Lemaître
J-F (2015) Does sexual selection shape sex differences in longevity and senescence
patterns across vertebrates? A review and new insights from captive ruminants.
Evolution 69:3123–3140

- Veiberg V, Mysterud A, Gaillard J-M, Delorme D, Laere GV, Klein F (2007) Bigger teeth for
 longer life? Longevity and molar height in two roe deer populations. Biol Lett 3:268–
 270
- Vermeij GJ (1994) The evolutionary interaction among species: selection, escalation, and
 coevolution. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 25:219–236
- Wich SA, Shumaker RW, Perkins L, de Vries H (2009) Captive and wild orangutan (*Pongo*sp.) survivorship: a comparison and the influence of management. Am J Primatol
 71:680–686
- Zerbe P, Clauss M, Codron D, Bingaman Lackey L, Rensch E, Streich JW, Hatt J-M, Müller
 DWH (2012) Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants: implications for
 biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life history. Biol Rev 87:965–
 990

Table 1. Parameter estimates from the linear models of the relationship between survival (i.e. maximum age at death, longevity, and adult survival rate) or actuarial senescence (i.e. onset and rate of senescence) metrics and the mean female adult body mass among 23 taxa of large herbivores belonging to two orders of mammals.

		β	95% CI	t value	Adjusted-R ²
Maximum age at death	Intercept	2.496	2.033; 2.960	10.56	0.61
N=23 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.225	0.133; 0.318	4.79	
	Adult body mass	0.142	0.059; 0.225	3.34	
Longevity	Intercept	1.787	1.234; 2.341	6.33	0.63
N=23 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.225	0.115; 0.335	4.01	
	Adult body mass	0.228	0.129; 0.328	4.50	
Adult survival rate	Intercept	1.050	-0.224; 2.324	1.62	0.47
N=19 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.385	0.153; 0.617	3.25	
	Adult body mass	0.321	0.097; 0.544	2.81	
Onset of senescence	Intercept	2.056	1.845; 2.267	19.08	0.34
N=19 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.498	0.191; 0.804	3.18	
Rate of senescence	Intercept	-0.926	-2.123; 0.271	-1.52	0.31
N=19 taxa	Onset	0.791	0.276; 1.305	3.01	

Table 2. Parameter estimates from the linear models of the relationship between biological
times and the mean female adult body mass among 23 taxa of large herbivores belonging to
two orders of mammals.

		β	95% CI	t value	Adjusted-R ²
Generation time	Intercept	0.107	-0.668; 0.881	0.27	0.78
N=12 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.179	0.002; 0.356	1.98	
	Adult body mass	0.310	0.156; 0.464	3.95	
Gestation length	Intercept	4.844	4.542; 5.146	31.42	0.89
N=15 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.243	0.179; 0.307	7.44	
	Adult body mass	0.143	0.087; 0.200	4.99	
Inter-birth interval	Intercept	5.050	4.260; 5.840	12.53	0.72
N=12 taxa	Order (Equidae)	0.295	0.115; 0.476	3.21	
	Adult body mass	0.150	-0.006; 0.307	1.88	
Age at first parturition	Intercept	5.582	4.670; 6.494	12.00	0.39
N=14 taxa	Adult body mass	0.260	0.094; 0.426	3.07	

463 Figure legends

Figure 1. Relationship between maximum age at death (A), longevity (B), or adult survival
(C) and taxon-specific body mass of adult females for 13 bovids (open dots) and 10 equids
(full dots). For a similar body mass, equids live longer and have a higher adult survival than
bovids.

Figure 2. Normed Principal Component Analyses performed on 5 biological times for 5 bovid
and 7 equid taxa separately. All biological times are positively correlated among bovids,
whereas for equids there is an uncoupling of biological times.