

# The hidden ageing costs of sperm competition

Jean-François Lemaître Lemaître, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Steven Ramm

# ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-François Lemaître Lemaître, Jean-Michel Gaillard, Steven Ramm. The hidden ageing costs of sperm competition. Ecology Letters, 2020, 23 (11), pp.1573-1588. 10.1111/ele.13593 . hal-03060263

# HAL Id: hal-03060263 https://hal.science/hal-03060263

Submitted on 14 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### The hidden ageing costs of sperm competition Jean-François LEMAÎTRE<sup>1\*</sup>, Jean-Michel GAILLARD<sup>1</sup> & Steven A. RAMM<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Université de Lyon, F-69000, Lyon; Université Lyon 1; CNRS, UMR5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France. <sup>2</sup> Evolutionary Biology, Bielefeld University, Konsequenz 45, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany \* Correspondence: jean-francois.lemaitre@univ-lyon1.fr **Type of article:** *Ideas and Perspectives* Running title: The hidden ageing costs of sperm competition Number of references: 213 Number of words in the abstract: 199 Number of words in the main text: 7790 Number of figures: 1 Number of tables: 2 Number of boxes: 1 Keywords: Actuarial senescence - Ejaculate quality - Life history evolution - Longevity -Phenotypic plasticity - Reproductive senescence - Seminal fluid - Sexual selection -*Spermatogenesis* Data accessibility statement: This article contains no data

31 Authorship: JFL and SAR designed the study. JFL, JMG and SAR discussed all aspects of the 32 research and contributed to writing the paper

32 research and contributed to writing the paper

#### 34 Abstract

35 Ageing and sexual selection are intimately linked. There is by now compelling evidence from 36 studies performed across diverse organisms that males allocating resources to mating 37 competition incur substantial physiological costs, ultimately increasing ageing. However, although insightful, we argue here that to date these studies cover only part of the relationship 38 39 linking sexual selection and ageing. Crucially, allocation to traits important in post-copulatory 40 sexual selection, i.e. sperm competition, has been largely ignored. As we demonstrate, such 41 allocation could potentially explain much diversity in male and female ageing patterns 42 observed both within and among species. We first review how allocation to sperm 43 competition traits such as sperm and seminal fluid production depends on the quality of 44 resources available to males and can be associated with a wide range of deleterious effects 45 affecting both somatic tissues and the germline, and thus modulate ageing in both survival and reproductive terms. We further hypothesize that common biological features such as 46 47 plasticity, prudent sperm allocation and seasonality of ejaculate traits might have evolved as 48 counter-adaptations to limit the ageing costs of sperm competition. Finally, we discuss the 49 implications of these emerging ageing costs of sperm competition for current research on the 50 evolutionary ecology of ageing.

51

52

53

#### 54 INTRODUCTION

55 The ageing process is generally defined as a progressive decline in the age-specific 56 contribution to fitness (Partridge & Barton 1993; Kowald & Kirkwood 2015; Gaillard & 57 Lemaître 2020), which occurs due to a decrease in fertility and / or survival rates with 58 advancing age (also called reproductive and actuarial senescence, respectively). Age-specific 59 decreases in reproductive performance or survival are widespread in the animal kingdom 60 (Nussey et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2014), governed by myriad physiological processes (López-61 Otín et al. 2013; Kennedy et al. 2014) and potentially largely uncoupled from each other 62 (Gaillard & Lemaître 2017).

63 The trade-off between growth and reproductive effort early in life, and ageing in terms 64 of reproduction and survivorship constitutes a major cornerstone of our current understanding 65 of the evolution of ageing (Lemaître et al. 2015; Flatt & Partridge 2018). Based on the declining force of natural selection with increasing age (Medawar 1952), Williams (1957) 66 67 proposed the *antagonistic pleiotropy* theory of ageing, positing that an allele with a positive 68 effect on fitness during early adulthood will be selected even if this same allele is responsible 69 for a decline in reproductive efficiency or survival prospects later in life (Williams 1957; 70 Gaillard & Lemaître 2017; Austad & Hoffman 2018). One physiological mechanism by 71 which the evolutionary trade-off between reproductive effort in early life and ageing can 72 occur is a resource-based allocation trade-off, that constitutes the core of the *disposable soma* 73 theory of ageing (Kirkwood 1977). This theory postulates that individuals have to allocate the 74 limited resources they acquire to growth, reproduction and somatic maintenance (Kirkwood & 75 Rose 1991). This means that substantial allocation to growth during early life leaves fewer 76 resources to devote to reproduction or somatic maintenance mechanisms (e.g. protein 77 clearance, Vilchez et al. 2014), which is then ultimately responsible for an earlier and/or 78 faster rate of ageing (Kirkwood & Rose 1991; Kirkwood 2017). Another specific 79 physiological pathway, the so-called *developmental* theory of ageing (Maklakov & Chapman 80 2019) offers an alternative mechanism underlying the genetic trade-off envisioned by 81 Williams (1957). According to that theory, physiological processes that allow fast growth and 82 intense reproduction early in life have long-lasting effects that generate pathologies at old 83 ages through cellular hyperfunction (Gems & Partridge 2013). Decades of research performed under both laboratory and wild conditions support the existence of a trade-off between 84 85 reproduction and ageing (Flatt & Promislow 2007; Lemaître et al. 2015; Austad & Hoffman 86 2018).

87 A striking feature of the many studies investigating the ageing consequences of a 88 strong allocation to reproduction is their overwhelming focus on females (Lemaître et al. 89 2015). For instance, a first compilation of studies testing for such early- vs. late-life trade-offs 90 in wild populations of vertebrates revealed that 85.3 % of the single-sex studies were focused 91 on females (Lemaître et al. 2015). There are several causes of this bias, including the central 92 role of females in population dynamics, the higher energetic costs of gamete production for 93 females compared to males (Hayward & Gillooly 2011), the obvious physiological costs 94 associated with maternal care (e.g. lactation in harbour seal, Phoca vitulina, Bowen et al. 95 1992), and the additional need for genetic analyses (and associated uncertainty) when it 96 comes to assigning paternity rather than maternity. Even in laboratory settings where 97 paternity can be assigned more easily, most studies still largely focused on females (but see 98 below). Yet, the recent evidence that the intensity of ageing in both survival (e.g. Lemaître et 99 al. 2020) and reproduction (e.g. Brengdahl et al. 2018) can differ widely between males and 100 females across species strongly emphasizes that we need to investigate how both natural and 101 sexual selection interact to shape male ageing patterns. Such studies could reveal new insights 102 about the evolutionary roots of the sex-specific decline in physiological performance and 103 health issues at late ages (Marais et al. 2018).

104 So far, all attempts to reconcile male life history strategies within the early- vs. late-105 life trade-off framework offered by the evolutionary theory of ageing have put forward the 106 predominant role of sexual selection (Vinogradov 1998; Promislow 2003; Bonduriansky et al. 107 2008; Hooper et al. 2018). Basically, these studies rely on the traditional 'sex role' paradigm 108 in evolutionary ecology (Bateman 1948; Trivers 1972), with males competing for gaining 109 access to mating opportunities while females are the choosier sex (Dewsbury 2005; Janicke et 110 al. 2016). Evidence that sexual selection favours conspicuous behaviours and extravagant 111 sexual traits is widespread in nature (Andersson 1994). Moreover, male reproductive effort is 112 known to be associated with some physiological correlates of ageing (e.g. a decrease in anti-113 oxidant defences, Alonso-Alvarez et al. 2004, an impaired immune response, Peters et al. 114 2004, or a stronger rate of telomere attrition, Giraudeau et al. 2016). Although the exact 115 physiological pathways linking sexual selection to the degradation of the 'organismal somatic 116 state' are likely to be complex and probably involve a complex role of androgens (Brooks & 117 Garratt 2017; Metcalf et al. 2020), several empirical studies have evidenced an ageing cost of 118 male allocation to sexual competition (see Table 1). Most of these studies have expressed this 119 survival cost in terms of lifespan reduction, which may not directly translate into an ageing 120 cost in the strict sense (Péron *et al.* 2019). However, a few studies have also considered 121 possible consequences in terms of ageing *per se*. For instance, in the wild, male red deer 122 (*Cervus elaphus*) that allocate strongly to reproductive effort by displaying rutting behaviour 123 during an extended period of the breeding season or by controlling large harems suffer from a 124 stronger rate of reproductive ageing, measured through competitive abilities (Lemaître *et al.* 125 2014).

126 Is sperm competition a neglected cause of ageing? Earlier studies have provided 127 important insights into how sexual selection influences lifespan and impacts age-specific 128 reproduction and survival. However, in this perspective article, we argue that studies seeking 129 to decipher the ageing costs of male allocation to sexual competition need to fully embrace its 130 complexity. Specifically, male allocation to sexual competition can be divided into two 131 consecutive episodes: competition over mating (i.e. pre-copulatory competition) and 132 competition over fertilization (i.e. post-copulatory competition) (Parker 1998; Andersson & 133 Simmons 2006; Simmons et al. 2017). So far, the physiological and ageing consequences of 134 male allocation to post-copulatory competition have been extensively studied in females (see 135 Box 1 for a synthesis), but remain almost totally overlooked in males, which prevents us from 136 drawing any firm conclusion on how male reproductive allocation influences fitness-related 137 traits in late life.

138 We thus aim to highlight the untapped potential of sperm competition studies for 139 better understanding the ageing process. As we show, there are multiple ways in which 140 allocation to sperm competition could alter physiological pathways ultimately linked to 141 ageing in reproduction and survivorship (Figure 1). Although other components of the male 142 reproductive phenotype linked to sperm competition success might be considered in the future 143 (e.g. genital morphology; behavioural adaptations), we deliberately focus here on ejaculate-144 related adaptations to post-copulatory competition (both sperm and non-sperm components) 145 as these seem likely to be most strongly associated with long-term ageing costs. After 146 considering the various potential costs, conceptually as well as through a critical appraisal of 147 the limited empirical evidence published so far, we further argue that plasticity in many of 148 these traits can best be understood in the light of the evolutionary theory of ageing. Finally, 149 we provide a roadmap for future studies aimed at deciphering the hidden ageing costs of 150 sperm competition.

151

### 152 AGEING COSTS OF SPERM COMPETITION

#### 153 (a) Sperm quantity and quality

154 Female multiple mating is widespread in nature (Birkhead & Møller 1998). As a 155 consequence, sperm competition (i.e. when sperm from two or more males compete to 156 fertilize a set of ova, Parker 1970) is found in the vast majority of species (Birkhead & Møller 1998). Across species, males can improve their fertilization success through several (non-157 158 mutually exclusive) pathways. The most studied pathway is undoubtedly through producing 159 more sperm, as sperm competition game models generally predict that a higher number of 160 sperm within ejaculates provide a fertilization advantage over competitors (reviewed in 161 Pizzari & Parker 2009; Parker 2016). In line with these predictions, it has been repeatedly 162 observed that the production of larger ejaculates is indeed often associated with a higher 163 fertilization success (e.g. Gage & Morrow 2003; Boschetto et al. 2011). Unsurprisingly, 164 sexual selection has thus promoted the evolution of larger testes (Parker 2016) as well as a 165 higher proportion of sperm-producing tissue within it (e.g. Lüpold et al. 2009) and a faster 166 rate of spermatogenesis (Ramm & Stockley 2010), under intense levels of sperm competition 167 (e.g. in promiscuous species). Indeed, the selective pressure of sperm competition likely 168 shapes multiple aspects of spermatogenesis affecting sperm production rate, from the number 169 and activity of spermatogonial stem cells, the degree and architecture of their expansion and 170 their post-meiotic differentiation into highly specialised sperm cells (Ramm et al. 2014; 171 Ramm & Schärer 2014).

172 An increase in the number of sperm allocated per ejaculate is only one of the multiple 173 pathways boosting fertilization success. Several features of the sperm cell itself have evolved 174 in response to intense levels of sperm competition (Pizzari & Parker 2009; Fitzpatrick & 175 Lüpold 2014). Among these characteristics, the positive influence of sperm motility (i.e. 176 proportion of motile sperm within an ejaculate) and of average within-ejaculate sperm 177 velocity on male fertilizing ability has been repeatedly documented (Snook 2005; Pizzari & 178 Parker 2009). These effects are explained by the fact that faster sperm will often reach 179 female's gametes quicker than slower sperm (see for example Gage et al. 2004; Gasparini et 180 al. 2010 for evidence in external and internal fertilizers, respectively). Comparative analyses 181 performed across various taxa have revealed that sperm swimming velocity increases with 182 sperm size (e.g. Fitzpatrick et al. 2009 in fishes; Tourmente et al. 2011 in mammals), 183 highlighting that various structural aspects of sperm - especially flagellum or midpiece 184 length/volume - modulate sperm velocity (Cardullo & Baltz 1991; Pizzari & Parker 2009). In 185 primates, males from species where females mate with multiple partners display midpieces 186 with a larger volume (Anderson & Dixson 2002). As larger midpieces are associated with a

187 greater mitochondrial loading supporting sperm motion (Gu et al. 2019), this increased 188 midpiece volume appears to be adaptive when females mate with several males within a given 189 breeding attempt.

190 191

In the next sections, we consider the various pathways through which allocation to these sperm traits imposes costs on males that likely result in accelerated ageing in 192 reproduction or survivorship.

193

194 Energetic costs of sperm production. One tenet of our current understanding of the evolution 195 of ageing relies on the energetically-demanding aspect of reproductive allocation (Kirkwood 196 2017), which is typically the case when it comes to producing an ejaculate and to growing and maintaining an efficient reproductive machinery (Kenagy & Trombulak 1986). Although 197 198 earlier studies assumed that sperm were particularly cheap to produce (see Dewsbury 2005), 199 the influence of resource availability on sperm production has been demonstrated in a wide 200 spectrum of organisms. For instance, in fallow deer (Dama dama), a species in which females 201 mate with several males during a given reproductive attempt (Briefer et al. 2013), yearling 202 males with a diet supplemented with protein and amino acids have larger testes, exhibit 203 Sertoli cells – which play a key nutritional function during spermatogenesis – with higher 204 workload capacities, higher concentrations of sperm within the epididymis and sperm with a 205 longer midpiece than do non-supplemented yearling males (Ros-Santaella et al. 2019). This 206 positive effect of an enriched diet on sperm quantity and/or quality has also been repeatedly 207 found in agronomy research (e.g. Zhao et al. 2017) and in invertebrates. In cockroaches 208 (Nauphoeta cinerea), for example, the number of sperm found in the spermatophore delivered 209 to females (but not sperm viability) increases with the intake of both proteins and 210 carbohydrates (Bunning et al. 2015). The same relationships are observed in the Argentine ant 211 (Linepithema humile), where a protein restriction in the diet during the larval stage (i.e. when 212 the whole stock of sperm is produced) impairs sperm production but does not decrease sperm 213 viability (Dávila & Aron 2017). In this social insect, a stronger allocation of the decreased 214 quantity of resources to sperm quality (i.e. sperm viability) might be adaptive as sperm can be 215 stored for several years before fertilization in the female spermathecae. Overall, the 216 production of high-quality ejaculates largely depends on male condition and the quantity of 217 resources that individuals can allocate to the post-copulatory traits (Perry & Rowe 2010), 218 although the condition-dependent nature of ejaculate traits likely vary across species (see 219 Macartney et al. 2019 for a meta-analysis).

220 Limitations on resource availability that generally occur in the wild lead to an increase 221 in the physiological costs of spermatogenesis. This is well illustrated in adders (Vipera berus), 222 where the magnitude of testis growth prior to the reproductive season is positively correlated 223 with body mass loss (Olsson et al. 1997). In this species, the allocation to spermatogenesis 224 (i.e. post-copulatory traits) accounts for a higher proportion of mass loss than the allocation to 225 mate searching (i.e. pre-copulatory traits) (Olsson et al. 1997), which strongly suggests that 226 the long-term ageing costs of mass loss generated by repeated reproductive seasons 227 throughout life might not be trivial. It thus appears that males substantially allocating to sperm competition might - following the life history of ageing framework (Lemaître et al. 228 229 2015; Kirkwood 2017) – suffer from strong physiological costs (e.g. immune costs, as discussed in the next section) that will ultimately lead to a shorter lifespan and/or more 230 231 pronounced ageing in fitness components.

232

233 Immune costs of sperm production. Pathogen-infected males generally have less efficient 234 post-copulatory traits than uninfected males, whether pathogens are directly located in the 235 ejaculate component (e.g. Cunningham & Beagley 2008) or not (e.g. Polak 1998). The 236 negative association between the allocation to ejaculate-related traits and the performance of 237 the immune system (or vice-versa) likely accounts for this difference (see Table 2 for a 238 synthesis). For instance, in Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus) males, the density of 239 granulocytes (i.e. white blood cells belonging to the non-specific immune system) is 240 negatively correlated with the concentration of sperm within semen (Liljedal et al. 1999). 241 Overall, the trade-off between ejaculate quality and immune performance is pervasive, even if 242 a thorough investigation of the immune repertoire is required because the allocation to 243 ejaculate-related traits might be negatively related to some immune cells while being 244 positively associated with others (see Simmons & Roberts 2005 for a case study in crickets, 245 Teleogryllus oceanicus). This decreased activity of the immune system following an increased 246 sperm production is adaptive because it minimizes the risk of autoimmunity, since antigens at 247 the surface of sperm cells lead them to be recognized as foreign bodies by the immune cells 248 (see Skau & Folstad 2005). In line with this functional link, experimental evolution work in 249 yellow dung flies (Scathophaga stercoraria) revealed that males from lines selected under an 250 elevated sperm competition regime show lower phenoloxydase activity than males from 251 monandrous lines (Hosken 2001), which makes these males more likely to suffer from an 252 infection (McKean & Nunney 2001). In addition, the negative association between sperm 253 production and immunity might be directly reinforced by a resource-based allocation tradeoff. This is well-illustrated in crickets (*T. oceanicus*), where the trade-off between antibacterial activity and sperm viability is only observed in individuals fed with a nutrientrestricted diet (Simmons 2012).

257 Similar to what has already been reported for the allocation to growth and/or 258 reproduction in males, or the male allocation to the growth and maintenance of pre-copulatory 259 traits in males (Folstad & Karter 1992; Rödel et al. 2016), a strong allocation to post-260 copulatory traits can be highly detrimental for male's immune performance (Table 2). As 261 immunity constitutes a key physiological function mediating trade-offs among life-history 262 traits (Sheldon & Verhulst 1996), an elevated allocation to post-copulatory competition 263 should have long-term consequences in terms of reduced lifespan and increased ageing rates 264 through the impairment of immune performance throughout life.

265

266 Reproductive senescence costs of sperm production. Similar to what is observed for the 267 allocation of resources to pre-copulatory traits in early life (Table 1), the long-term fitness 268 costs of a strong allocation to sperm production could also be expressed in terms of 269 reproductive ageing. Thus, in *Drosophila melanogaster*, an increased reproductive effort early 270 in life has a negative impact on subsequent fertility, which is mediated by a pronounced age-271 specific decline in sperm numbers (Prowse & Partridge 1997). Similarly, in the domestic fowl 272 (Gallus gallus domesticus), males that produce the highest quality sperm (judged through 273 sperm velocity) during early life show the steepest decline in sperm quality in late life 274 (Cornwallis et al. 2014). This suggests that males allocating a lot to the production of high-275 quality ejaculates during early life might suffer from a stronger decline in reproductive 276 success at late ages. Evidence that males naturally suffer from a decline in reproductive 277 success with increasing age is widespread across the tree of life (Johnson & Gemmell 2012; 278 Lemaître & Gaillard 2017), and the (epi)genetic and physiological mechanisms underlying 279 this decline are diverse (Evans et al. 2019; Fricke & Koppik 2019; Monaghan & Metcalfe 280 2019). Here we discuss four related aspects that seem especially relevant when sperm 281 competition occurs.

First, the accumulation of mutations on the male germline throughout life (e.g. Velando *et al.* 2011; Kong *et al.* 2012) might play a key role in causing reproductive ageing (Monaghan & Metcalfe 2019). In that context, is selection for a higher allocation to sperm competition responsible for an increased mutation rate? And do elevated mating rates amplify this mutation load, as males need to replenish their sperm storage quickly to avoid sperm limitation (Dewsbury 1982; Nakatsuru & Kramer 1982)? There is good evidence that high

288 mating rates impair ejaculate quality in the short-run (e.g. Wedell & Ritchie 2004) but 289 whether the repeated and/or sustained activation of the spermatogenesis machinery influences fitness-related traits in late life is much less clear (Vega-Trejo et al. 2019), as are the 290 291 underlying mechanisms (Fricke & Koppik 2019). The degree to which mutations impact stem, 292 transit or sperm cells themselves during spermatogenesis will be key to the long-term 293 consequences of sperm allocation, and this in turn will partly depend on the hierarchical 294 organisation of cell division within the testis, i.e. on its tissue architecture, which varies 295 widely among species (see Schärer et al. 2008; Ramm & Schärer 2014). But as testicular stem 296 cell activity increases to support greater sperm production under sperm competition, the 297 burden of mutation and its manifestation in terms of reproductive ageing is predicted to 298 increase (Blumenstiel 2007). To date, little work has been done to measure inter- and intra-299 specific variation in relevant parameters in response to sperm competition levels, but we 300 know that in the flatworm Macrostomum lignano, an increased stem cell activity and 301 corresponding faster speed of spermatogenesis support the enhanced sperm production that is 302 selected when sperm competition occurs (Schärer et al. 2004; Giannakara et al. 2016). 303 Moreover, recent evidence in seed beetles, Callosobruchus maculatus, suggests that the 304 higher level of sperm production under sperm competition directly compromises the ability of 305 the testis to maintain germline integrity, resulting in increased mutation loads being passed to 306 offspring (Baur & Berger 2020).

307 Second, a plausible route linking sperm production to reproductive ageing is that 308 increased gonadal activity might have direct detrimental consequences on male reproductive 309 traits through an increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Reinhardt 2007; 310 Monaghan & Metcalfe 2019) - a factor known to influence ageing patterns (Finkel & 311 Holbrook 2000). Thus, in rats, Rattus norvegicus, an increased sexual activity directly leads to 312 an elevated level of oxidative stress within the testis (Salomon et al. 2013). While repeatedly 313 increased level of oxidative stress might cause impaired testicular function and sperm 314 fertilization efficiency over time (Aitken & Baker 2006), and thereby increase reproductive 315 ageing, empirical studies investigating this topic are currently lacking.

A third consideration regarding long-term consequences of increased sperm production concerns the fact that sperm competition has likely selected for the overexpression of genes promoting cell proliferation, notably in the spermatogonia (Kleene 2005; Lewis *et al.* 2008). While the expression of such genes might convey an important competitive advantage in terms of ejaculate size and number, they are likely also to increase the risk of developing testicular cancer (see Kleene 2005; Lewis *et al.* 2008 for reviews), which could badly impair reproductive success in late life (Huddart *et al.* 2005). So far, these relationships have been almost solely investigated in *Drosophila spp*. (Hime *et al.* 2007), even though several studies are now documenting cancers across very diverse species (see Madsen *et al.* 2017; Albuquerque *et al.* 2018). However, whether selection for enhanced sperm production under sperm competition is associated with an increased risk of getting cancer (and most specifically testicular cancer) at both intra- or inter-specific level is yet to be determined (Lewis *et al.* 2008).

329 Finally, the maintenance of accurate and efficient physiological and cellular processes 330 throughout life is fundamental to our current understanding of ageing (Kirkwood 2017; 331 Maklakov & Chapman 2019). In most animals, males have to allocate a substantial quantity 332 of resources to mechanisms involved in the maintenance of the genome and proteome of the 333 pool of germline stem cells (Maklakov & Immler 2016), and we expect that these costs should 334 scale with sperm production capacity. These costs are probably far from negligible, since in 335 laboratory mice the activity of enzymes repairing DNA is much greater in germ cells than in 336 somatic cells (Intano et al. 2001). Moreover, among somatic cells, the enzymatic activity is 337 higher in Sertoli cells than in those from other tissues (e.g. liver, brain), highlighting again the 338 substantial maintenance costs associated with the male reproductive machinery (Intano et al. 339 2001). With increasing age, males suffer from an age-specific decline in germline 340 maintenance (Maklakov & Immler 2016), which might be responsible for an increased 341 mutation rate on sperm in old males. However, whether the quantity of resources devoted to 342 sperm competition limits the allocation to germline maintenance throughout life and 343 ultimately triggers accelerated reproductive ageing remains to be investigated (but see Baur & 344 Berger (2020) for a notable case study and Chen et al. (2020) for experimental evidence that 345 preventing allocation to the germline results in an enhanced ability for somatic repair).

346 Overall, although there are several well understood aspects of male reproductive ageing, the extent to which a strong resource allocation to traits shaping sperm competition in early 347 348 life amplifies ageing and thus exacerbates the widely observed decrease of the male gonadal 349 size and function with increasing age (Lemaître & Gaillard 2017; Fricke & Koppik 2019; 350 Santiago et al. 2019) remains an open question. Answering it is of crucial importance, since 351 such effects might not only reinforce male reproductive ageing (e.g. through a decrease in 352 sperm quantity) but could also lead to deleterious consequences more generally for male 353 condition and health (Bribiescas 2006) - for example, through a decline in testosterone 354 production over the life course (see Yeap 2009) – which could ultimately impact lifespan and 355 survival ageing patterns (Bribiescas 2006, see next section). These interactions between

356 ageing in reproduction and survivorship might be even more complex as organisms have to 357 partition a limited resource pool between germline and somatic maintenance (Figure 1; 358 Maklakov & Immler 2016) and selection to maintain the integrity of the germline might be 359 particularly strong (Kirkwood 2005; Maklakov & Immler 2016). Hence, maintaining germline 360 integrity under sperm competition likely gets harder (as so many more sperm need to be 361 produced), and this may lead somatic maintenance (see Chen et al. 2020 for recent evidence 362 in vertebrates) and ultimately lifespan to be traded against sperm competition to get 363 immediate benefits in terms of reproductive output.

364

365 Lifespan and survival ageing costs of sperm production. Despite the accumulation of 366 evidence that a strong allocation to sperm production can entail acute physiological costs, its 367 long-term consequences in terms of lifespan or survival ageing have been largely overlooked. Yet, some studies performed on insects have revealed that high mating rates can shorten male 368 369 lifespan (Partridge & Farquhar 1981; Martin & Hosken 2004; Paukku & Kotiaho 2005; Dao 370 et al. 2010; Metzler et al. 2016; Jehan et al. 2020), which might be due to the down-371 regulation of the expression of some specific genes (e.g. metabolic genes) straight after sexual 372 activity (Branco et al. 2017). However, although sperm competition and mating rate are often 373 related (Parker & Ball 2005), mating rate depends also on the number of receptive females in 374 the population rather than purely the number of competing males, and so a high mating rate 375 alone does not constitute the most accurate proxy of a strong resource allocation to sperm 376 competition (Vahed & Parker 2012). The relative influence of sperm production and mating 377 rate per se on lifespan was disentangled in a pioneering experiment carried out on 378 Caenorhabditis elegans (Van Voorhies 1992). Males (and hermaphrodites) carrying a mutant 379 spe-26 gene showed a reduced rate of sperm production but a 65% increased lifespan 380 compared to wild-type worms, but this gain was not amplified when mutant males were 381 prevented from mating, suggesting that the long-term fitness costs strictly associated with 382 sperm production are far from negligible (Van Voorhies 1992). Despite these promising 383 results, the survival costs of sperm production have remained largely understudied. Yet, in 384 large milkweed bug (Oncopeltus fasciatus), males fed with an ancestral seed diet show a 385 higher sperm production at the expense of a reduced lifespan (Duxbury et al. 2018). 386 Moreover, in domestic fowl (Gallus gallus domesticus), males that produce the highest 387 quantity of sperm through life show a reduced lifespan (Cornwallis et al. 2014) while in the 388 guppy (Poecilia reticulata), the opposite pattern occurs (Gasparini et al. 2019).

389 We thus emphasize that studies at the interplay of sexual selection and ageing should 390 now seek to accurately quantify these long-term survival costs (Figure 1), which would 391 strongly contribute to understanding the diversity of life history strategies observed across the 392 tree of life. Importantly, such studies should investigate whether the survival costs of an 393 increased allocation to sperm competition is mediated by an acceleration of ageing rather than 394 an immediate rise of the risk of death (Partridge & Andrews 1985). The phylogenetically-395 controlled comparison of male actuarial senescence patterns across species displaying 396 contrasted mating systems or - more accurately - a high variation in the rate of multiple 397 paternity (e.g. Soulsbury 2010 in mammals) would provide complementary insights on the 398 role played by sperm competition in shaping lifespan and actuarial senescence patterns in 399 males. In addition, analyses performed at the population level will enable tests of whether 400 these long-term survival costs are a consequence of an earlier age at the onset of ageing, an 401 increased rate of ageing, or both (Péron et al. 2019).

402

403

#### (b) Seminal fluid

Male fertilization success is also modulated by the non-sperm components of the ejaculate (Perry *et al.* 2013), with comparative (e.g. Ramm *et al.* 2005) and experimental (e.g. Simmons *et al.* 2020) data pointing towards the importance of increased allocation to seminal fluid under heightened levels of sperm competition. Interestingly, the female ageing cost of repeated exposure to male seminal fluid content has been studied extensively (see box 1). By contrast, whether the selection acting on the production of complex seminal fluid is associated with long-term ageing costs in males has largely been overlooked.

411 Multiple lines of evidence suggest that seminal fluid production is energetically costly 412 (see also Table 2). Metabolic labelling studies in mice indicate that seminal fluid-producing 413 tissues exhibit high rates of protein turnover (Claydon et al. 2012). In some taxa, seminal 414 fluid allocation can reach extreme proportions. This is well illustrated in several species of 415 bushcrickets where a single water- and protein-rich spermatophore transferred to a female can 416 represent as much as 40% of male body mass (Vahed 2006), but also in butterflies where the 417 production of the sphragis (i.e. an external plug secreted by the male accessory glands and 418 fixed to the female abdomen to impair further the access to the female genitalia) by males can 419 constitute up to 20% of the male body mass (Carvalho et al. 2017). In line with these 420 observations, a recent meta-analysis highlighted that the production of seminal fluid show a 421 higher degree of condition-dependence than sperm production per se (Macartney et al. 2019). 422 Moreover, studies of accessory gland size in Drosophila, bedbugs and snails all suggest that

423 seminal fluid production may limit male mating rate (De Boer *et al.* 1997; Bangham *et al.* 424 2002; Reinhardt *et al.* 2011), implying that replenishment of seminal fluid protein reserves 425 after mating is a non-trivial cost to males. Finally, while the male competitive advantage of 426 producing large amounts of seminal fluid as well as the deleterious consequences for females 427 (see Box 1) have been well described in the literature (e.g. Wigby *et al.* 2009), the potential 428 toxic effects and associated ageing consequences to their repeated storage in male's gonad are 429 yet to be investigated.

430 Because males usually produce both sperm and seminal fluid simultaneously, 431 however, it is difficult to tease apart the relative costs of these two ejaculate components. This 432 difficulty is circumvented in red-sided garter snakes, *Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*, in which 433 spermatogenesis and seminal fluid (copulatory plug) production occurs at different times of 434 the year. Whilst sperm are produced in the late summer and then stored over-winter, seminal 435 fluid production does not occur until the onset of the mating (and fasting) season in spring. 436 Friesen and colleagues (2015) took advantage of these biological features to establish that the 437 elevated metabolic rate associated with plug production are considerable, comparable even to 438 those incurred by pregnant females. They further showed that these costs are especially 439 pronounced in small males and, importantly, were able to quantify the energetic costs of 440 producing a single copulatory plug (up to 18% of the daily energy expenditure). Their 441 estimate reinforces earlier evidence of substantial mating costs based on the heightened blood 442 lactate levels observed in mating vs. only courting males (Shine et al. 2004). These high 443 energetic costs mean males can only deposit 1-2 plugs per day (Friesen et al. 2013) and again 444 clearly challenge the traditional idea that seminal fluid production is a trivial expense to 445 males. Whether the substantial costs of seminal fluid production translate into increased 446 ageing is currently unclear, due simply to a paucity of relevant data. However, Koppik and 447 colleagues (2018) recently discovered that, at old ages, sexually active male D. melanogaster 448 display shorter mating, resulting in a lower number of eggs laid by females than following 449 mating with virgin males. However, this pattern might be more likely due to a decrease in 450 sperm number rather than in the quantity of seminal fluid proteins delivered to females, when 451 sexually active males are reaching old ages (see Sepil et al. 2020).

452

# 453 MITIGATION OF THE AGEING COSTS OF SPERM COMPETITION IN THE

454 WILD

The evidence compiled above suggests that a strong allocation to traits associated with an advantage when sperm competition occurs may be associated with some underestimated 457 fitness costs, such as a shortened lifespan or increased ageing. One might thus expect that 458 counter-adaptations have evolved to buffer the cascade of physiological costs and their fitness 459 consequences. We discuss below some of these possible adaptations and evaluate how much 460 they can mitigate fitness costs.

- 461
- 462

### (a) Phenotypic plasticity in ejaculate production

463 Within species, sperm competition levels can fluctuate considerably across reproductive 464 seasons and among populations. One way to minimize the various physiological costs 465 potentially associated with elevated ejaculate expenditure would be to adjust ejaculate quality 466 and quantity to the level of sperm competition. Such fine-scale adjustments require the 467 evolution of phenotypic plasticity in the male reproductive machinery. Experimental 468 manipulations of the social environment faced during the developmental period reveal that 469 such plasticity might be common. For instance, in house mice (Mus musculus) males that 470 were repeatedly in contact with the odour of multiple unrelated (i.e. rival) males had an 471 elevated sperm production rate compared to males that were in contact to the odour of only a 472 single competitor (Ramm & Stockley 2009). A similar experimental design revealed that in 473 bank voles (Myodes glareolus), males who developed under environmental conditions 474 mimicking high levels of sperm competition developed larger seminal vesicles (but similar 475 testis mass) than males who developed under environmental conditions mimicking low levels 476 of sperm competition (Lemaitre et al. 2011). Overall, this suggests that the allocation to post-477 copulatory traits is modulated in response to (social) environmental conditions, a 478 characteristic that is widely shared across animal species for various sperm and non-sperm 479 ejaculate traits (e.g. Immler et al. 2010 in birds; Stockley & Seal 2001; Simmons & 480 Lovegrove 2017; Sloan et al. 2018 in insects; Nakadera et al. 2019 in snails; Janicke et al. 481 2013; Giannakara et al. 2016; Ramm et al. 2019 in flatworms; Tan et al. 2004 in leeches and 482 Crean & Marshall 2008 in a broadcast spawning ascidian). Such fine-tuning to match the 483 prevailing demand – or in other words, minimizing the expenditure where possible – may thus 484 be one of the means through which males can limit the substantial physiological costs 485 associated with the high metabolic demand of spermatogenesis and seminal fluid production. 486 In line with this prediction, an experiment performed in D. melanogaster has revealed that 487 males reared with rivals produced more offspring during early life (in non-competitive trials) 488 but paid a long-term survival cost with a median lifespan reduced by 19% (Bretman et al. 489 2013), which suggests that curtailing ejaculate production in absence of competitors might 490 limit long-term ageing costs.

491

# 492

#### (b) Context-dependent sperm allocation

493 In addition to fine-tuning how many resources to expend on ejaculate production, a further 494 level of male allocation likely occurs with respect to how many sperm and/or how much 495 seminal fluid is transferred in each individual ejaculate. Thus, whilst traditionally assumed to 496 be cheap, it has now been recognised for some time that males should prudently allocate 497 ejaculate components according to relevant cues of sperm competition at the time of mating 498 (see Wedell et al. 2002; Cameron et al. 2007; Parker & Pizzari 2010; Perry et al. 2013 for 499 reviews). For example, it typically pays to allocate more sperm per ejaculate under conditions 500 of high sperm competition risk (e.g. delBarco-Trillo & Ferkin 2004), to allocate fewer per 501 ejaculate at high sperm competition intensity (e.g. Pilastro et al. 2002), to adjust the proteins 502 compounds of the seminal fluid according to the degree of sperm competition (Hopkins et al. 503 2019) and to modulate ejaculate size depending on own social status (e.g. Bartlett et al. 2017) 504 or to the male mating order and female reproductive status (Thomas & Simmons 2007). 505 Whilst these responses can be understood in terms of maximising fitness returns given a 506 certain gamete number (or seminal fluid amount) available to allocate, they ultimately stem 507 from the fact that the ejaculate supply is limited – one reason for which being that producing 508 both sperm and non-sperm ejaculate components likely imposes substantial costs, many of 509 which may ultimately increase the intensity of ageing.

- 510
- 511

#### (c) Sperm production seasonality

512 Besides using cues from their social environment to fine-tune sperm production and 513 allocation, males of many taxa also show clear seasonal variation in traits such as testis size 514 and sperm in storage, with peak production or availability often timed to coincide with a 515 specific breeding season (reviewed in Ramm & Schärer 2014). In male fallow deer, for 516 example, there is a three-fold increase in testis volume from its minimum to its peak just 517 before the annual rut (Gosch & Fischer 1989; for a broader survey across mammals, see 518 Setchell & Breed 2006). Even more marked variation is reported in other taxa with highly 519 seasonal reproductive seasons such as the annual variation observed in male (and female) 520 gonado-somatic index in many broadcast spawners (see Parker et al. 2018 and references 521 therein) and some fishes (e.g. Turner 1919). As discussed by Ramm and Schärer (2014), such 522 seasonal variation can be accompanied by specific features of spermatogenesis, such as the 523 long branch lengths (many rounds of mitotic division) observed for example in cichlids, meaning thousands of spermatids are produced per primary spermatogonium, supporting the 524

delivery of a large number of sperm at a specific time of the year (Fishelson 2003). These and other features emphasize the expensive nature of spermatogenesis. Moreover, as we have seen, the fact that sperm production sometimes occurs asynchronously with the breeding season – when energetic demand from other traits such as mate searching, pre-copulatory competition and courtship also peaks - is probably also indicative of its high costs (Olsson *et al.* 1997). However, we cannot rule out that in some species, such uncoupling might be a constraint associated with prolonged periods of torpor (Gustafson 1979).

532 Overall, we expect that the 'savings' to be made from seasonal fluctuation in ejaculate 533 production will be highly species-specific and depend on its particular reproductive ecology, 534 but that matching supply and demand in this manner is a widespread mechanism to minimise 535 the energetic and other costs outlined above, all of which would otherwise ultimately 536 feedback on accelerated survival and/or reproductive ageing.

537

# 538 ROADMAP FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

539 Overall, studies that seek to quantify the long-term ageing cost of a strong allocation to sperm 540 competition and to decipher the underlying physiological pathways are thus badly required. 541 Such studies would undeniably enhance our knowledge in two main areas of evolutionary 542 ecology, namely sexual selection and ageing. We propose below a few potential avenues for 543 future research.

544

# 545 546

# (a) Do production plasticity, resource allocation and seasonality limit male ageing?

547 Whilst at some level it is clear that any reduction in ejaculate demand must ultimately be less costly than the alternative, few studies have to date sought to use either intra- or interspecific 548 549 variation in, for example, the incidence of sperm competition or length of the breeding season 550 to better understand the nature and magnitude of these costs, or to quantify their impact on 551 ageing of fitness components. Comparative work in this area is likely to be highly 552 informative, and one of the most promising of all would be experimental approaches that seek 553 to modify one or more of these axes and then measure the ageing consequences. Potentially 554 useful approaches here might include experimental evolution to test for the correlated 555 evolution of ageing effects in lines selected for higher or lower allocation toward sperm 556 competition traits or under different intensity of sexual conflict. Recent experiments 557 performed in D. melanogaster have revealed that males from monogamous lines (i.e. without 558 sperm competition) reduce their allocation to various post-copulatory traits. For instance, they

show a lower expression of genes coding for seminal fluid proteins compared to males from polygamous lines (Hollis *et al.* 2019). Investigating whether these males also display a delayed and/or reduced reproductive and/or survival senescence patterns compared to males evolving under competitive conditions would be very informative to assess whether natural and sexual selection have fine-tuned the allocation to post-copulatory traits to limit its detrimental ageing consequences.

565 Where experimentally feasible, the direct manipulation of relevant physiological 566 pathways involved in ejaculate production using gene knockdown or gene-editing techniques 567 would also be insightful. By thereby manipulating the capacity for plasticity or allocation, one 568 could more precisely identify the mechanisms involved in both short- and long-term fitness 569 consequences. Precisely such an approach was recently adopted to test for a trade-off between 570 germline and somatic maintenance in the zebrafish Danio rerio (Chen et al. 2020). Un-571 manipulated and germline-free knockdown individuals were exposed to sublethal low-dose 572 ionizing radiation, revealing that somatic recovery occurred much faster in the germline-free 573 individuals that were prevented from allocating to reproduction (Chen et al. 2020). These 574 results thus support the idea that germline maintenance is costly. As we emphasized above, 575 these costs likely scale with sperm competition level. Finally, experimental manipulations of 576 the quantity of resources available in the environment would also provide relevant insights. 577 As emphasized above, the allocation to ejaculate production increases with resource 578 availability (Zhao et al. 2017; Ros-Santaella et al. 2019). Therefore, for a given level of 579 sperm competition perceived in the environment, we can predict that males should their 580 increase their allocation to post-copulatory competition, at no or very limited costs in terms of 581 ageing.

- 582
- 583 584

# (b) Ageing consequences of allocation to both pre- and post-copulatory traits in a trade-off world

585 As pointed out in the Introduction, male allocation to sexual competition is a complex process 586 encompassing both a pre- and post-copulatory phase (Parker 1998). While we have 587 demonstrated in this perspective article that allocation to post-copulatory competition is likely 588 to be associated with long-term ageing costs, substantial evidence that the competition for 589 gaining mating opportunities also shortens lifespan and accelerates ageing in terms of both 590 reproduction and survival has accumulated (e.g. Bonduriansky et al. 2008; Table 1). The 591 physiological pathways involved in mediating the relationships between the allocation to pre-592 or post-copulatory competition on the one hand and those linking them to ageing on the other

593 are also likely to strongly overlap. For instance, in three-spined sticklebacks (Gasterosteus 594 aculeatus), males with an increased carotenoid-based red coloration on their cheeks and 595 throats show the strongest levels of oxidative DNA damage in both sperm and somatic tissues 596 (Kim & Velando 2020), which highlights that a resource-based allocation trade-off between 597 sexual selection and somatic maintenance mediates the long-term ageing costs of both pre-598 and post-copulatory sexual competition. Interestingly, as allocation to both primary (e.g. 599 sperm-producing tissue within the testes) and secondary (e.g. ornaments and armaments) 600 sexually-selected traits relies on the quantity of resources available in the environment, males 601 cannot maximize both aspects of allocation to sexual competition simultaneously (Parker 602 1998; Parker et al. 2013). Evidence for trade-offs between pre- and post-copulatory traits are 603 widespread in nature, at both inter- and intra-specific levels (see Simmons et al. 2017 for a 604 review). For instance, across pinnipeds, the relative testes mass and the degree of sexual size 605 dimorphism are negatively correlated (Fitzpatrick et al. 2012). Overall, the resource-606 allocation strategy towards pre- and post-copulatory competition is likely fine-tuned by the 607 fitness payoff of favoring one set of sexual traits at the expense of the other, which is likely to 608 result from complex interactions between species- or population-specific traits (e.g. mating 609 system, mating tactic) (Simmons et al. 2017) and environmental characteristics determining 610 the nature and degree of sexual competition (Vahed & Parker 2012). In practice, it is 611 particularly difficult to predict what aspects of sexual competition will predominantly drive 612 the physiological costs of sexual selection (e.g. Olsson et al. 1997 in the case of adders), 613 especially since the relative allocation to each sexual trait might change over the male's life 614 course (Lemaître & Gaillard 2017). Nevertheless, any thorough investigation of the ageing 615 costs of sexual selection should consider the cumulative costs associated with both aspects of 616 a male's allocation to sexual competition, which is lacking to date.

- 617
- 618

# (c) Contribution to the sex differences in lifespan and ageing across species

619 In most species, males and females differ in lifespan and ageing patterns (Austad 2011; 620 Austad & Fischer 2016; Marais et al. 2018). While some factors (e.g. sex chromosomes) 621 provide relevant explanations for the average direction in sex differences in lifespan across 622 species (Xirocostas et al. 2020), the evolutionary roots of the large magnitude of sex 623 differences in lifespan and ageing patterns observed across species remain poorly understood 624 (see Lemaître *et al.* 2020 in mammals). So far, most comparative analyses that seek to explain 625 this diversity have focused on the role of sexual selection (e.g. Clutton-Brock & Isvaran 626 2007), with the expectation that sex differences in lifespan and ageing rates should increase in

627 species where males devote a substantial amount of resources to pre-copulatory competition 628 (generally measured through the degree of sexual size dimorphism, see Tidière et al. 2015). 629 However, these results remain largely equivocal and current evidence that the allocation to 630 pre-copulatory competition influences the evolution of sex differences in lifespan and ageing 631 in wild populations is limited at best (Lemaître et al. 2020). Here, we argue that comparative 632 analyses should carefully consider the long-term costs associated with post-copulatory 633 competition, which might also contribute to shaping the magnitude of sex differences in 634 lifespan and ageing patterns. Species displaying high levels of sperm competition should 635 allocate a much higher quantity of resources towards germline maintenance, at the expense of 636 somatic maintenance (Maklakov & Immler 2016). So far, these long-term costs have only 637 been considered in an analysis focused on large herbivores and in this study, species-specific 638 allocation to post-copulatory competition - measured by the relative testes mass - did not 639 influence the degree of sexual size dimorphism in lifespan (Lemaître & Gaillard 2013a). 640 However, the mean allocation to testis size is weak and shows little variation among large 641 herbivores compared to other taxa (Gomendio et al. 2011), and similar analyses need to be 642 performed using a broader taxonomic range. Moreover, such studies should fully embrace the 643 multi-dimensional nature of allocation to post-copulatory competition by considering not just 644 relative testes mass but also several other costly sperm features (e.g. size, velocity) and the 645 level of allocation to the seminal fluid component of the ejaculate. In addition, such inter-646 specific studies should control for the basal metabolic rate, as species displaying a high mass-647 specific metabolic rate can more easily allocate to high quality ejaculates (e.g. through the 648 production of particularly long sperm, see Gomendio et al. 2011). Lastly, such comparative 649 analyses should include the socio-ecological context. As shown above, the environmental 650 conditions that affect the amount of available food resources, the habitat heterogeneity that 651 influences spatial distribution of both sexes and the level of sexual segregation, and the 652 population size and structure that define the operational sex ratio and female group size 653 distribution should all determine the male investment corresponding to a given allocation to 654 traits that shape the intensity of post-copulatory competition, and thereby fine-tune this 655 allocation (Figure 1).

656 Species-specific differences in the level of sperm competition could also shape diverse 657 patterns of reproductive ageing in males (and thus various patterns of sex differences in 658 reproductive ageing). Interestingly, a comparative analysis performed across 18 species of 659 rodents maintained in lab conditions revealed a positive relationship between levels of sperm 660 competition and DNA fragmentation among sperm (delBarco-Trillo *et al.* 2016), which

661 suggests that inter-specific differences in the level of sperm competition can explain between-662 species differences in sperm integrity. Whether these differences are exacerbated with 663 increasing age and occur in the wild is unknown but this clearly deserves attention. Recently, 664 delBarco-Trillo and colleagues (2018) compared different post-copulatory traits (e.g. relative 665 testes mass, various sperm features) between a small sample of young and old males for three 666 species of rodents, all belonging to the genus *Mus* and displaying contrasting levels of sperm 667 competition. While this transversal study did not reveal any clear difference in the age-668 specific changes in post-copulatory traits among these three species, it clearly set out a 669 promising framework for future longitudinal studies comparing the age-specific decline in 670 post-copulatory traits over the life course of individuals and across a wider range of species. The study of male reproductive ageing is currently attracting significant attention in 671 672 evolutionary ecology (Lemaître & Gaillard 2017; Fricke & Koppik 2019; Monaghan & 673 Metcalfe 2019) and such approaches would be particularly relevant to understand the 674 evolutionary roots of male reproductive ageing.

- 675
- 676

## 677 ACKOWLEDGMENTS

678 J. -F. L. and J. -M. G. were supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche 679 (ANR-15-CE32-0002-01). This work was performed within the framework of the LABEX 680 ECOFECT (ANR-11-LABX-0048) of Université de Lyon, within the program 681 "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-IDEX-0007) operated by the French National 682 Research Agency (ANR). We are extremely thankful to Mathieu Douhard, Alexei Maklakov 683 and Geoff Parker for insightful comments on earlier drafts of this work. We also thank three 684 anonymous referees for insightful and constructive comments that markedly improved our 685 manuscript.

- 686
- 687

## 688 **REFERENCES**

- 689
- Aitken, R.J. & Baker, M.A. (2006). Oxidative stress, sperm survival and fertility control.
   *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 250, 66–69.
- Albuquerque, T.A., Drummond do Val, L., Doherty, A. & de Magalhães, J.P. (2018). From
  humans to hydra: patterns of cancer across the tree of life. *Biological Reviews*, 93,
  1715–1734.
- Alonso-Alvarez, C., Bertrand, S., Devevey, G., Prost, J., Faivre, B. & Sorci, G. (2004).
   Increased susceptibility to oxidative stress as a proximate cost of reproduction. *Ecology*

- 697 *Letters*, 7, 363–368.
- Anderson, M.J. & Dixson, A.F. (2002). Sperm competition: motility and the midpiece in primates. *Nature*, 416, 496.
- Andersson, M. & Simmons, L.W. (2006). Sexual selection and mate choice. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 21, 296–302.
- 702 Andersson, M.B. (1994). Sexual selection. Princeton University Press.
- 703 Arnqvist, G. & Rowe, L. (2005). Sexual conflict. Princeton University Press.
- Austad, S.N. (2011). Sex differences in longevity and aging. In: *The Handbook of the Biology of Aging*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 479–496.
- Austad, S.N. & Fischer, K.E. (2016). Sex differences in lifespan. *Cell metabolism*, 23, 1022–
   1033.
- Austad, S.N. & Hoffman, J.M. (2018). Is Antagonistic Pleiotropy Ubiquitous in Aging
   Biology? *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health*, 1, 287-294.
- Avila, F.W., Ram, K.R., Qazi, M.C.B. & Wolfner, M.F. (2010). Sex peptide is required for
  the efficient release of stored sperm in mated Drosophila females. *Genetics*, 186, 595–
  600.
- Bangham, J., Chapman, T. & Partridge, L. (2002). Effects of body size, accessory gland and
   testis size on pre-and postcopulatory success in Drosophila melanogaster. *Animal Behaviour*, 64, 915–921.
- Bartlett, M.J., Steeves, T.E., Gemmell, N.J. & Rosengrave, P.C. (2017). Sperm competition
   risk drives rapid ejaculate adjustments mediated by seminal fluid. *Elife*, 6, e28811.
- 718 Bateman, A.J. (1948). Intra-sexual selection in Drosophila. *Heredity*, 2, 349–368.
- Baur, J. & Berger, D. (2020). Experimental evidence for effects of sexual selection on
   condition-dependent mutation rates. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1–8.
- 721 Birkhead, T.R. & Møller, A.P. (1998). Sperm competition and sexual selection. Elsevier.
- Blumenstiel, J.P. (2007). Sperm competition can drive a male-biased mutation rate. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 249, 624–632.
- Bonduriansky, R., Maklakov, A., Zajitschek, F. & Brooks, R. (2008). Sexual selection, sexual
   conflict and the evolution of ageing and life span. *Functional ecology*, 22, 443–453.
- Boschetto, C., Gasparini, C. & Pilastro, A. (2011). Sperm number and velocity affect sperm
   competition success in the guppy (<u>Poecilia reticulata</u>). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65, 813–821.
- Bowen, W.D., Oftedal, O.T. & Boness, D.J. (1992). Mass and energy transfer during lactation
  in a small phocid, the harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*). *Physiological Zoology*, 65, 844–866.
- Branco, A.T., Schilling, L., Silkaitis, K., Dowling, D.K. & Lemos, B. (2017). Reproductive
  activity triggers accelerated male mortality and decreases lifespan: genetic and gene
  expression determinants in Drosophila. *Heredity*, 118, 221–228.
- Brengdahl, M., Kimber, C.M., Maguire-Baxter, J., Malacrinò, A. & Friberg, U. (2018).
  Genetic quality affects the rate of male and female reproductive aging differently in
  Drosophila melanogaster. *The American Naturalist*, 192, 761–772.
- Bretman, A. & Fricke, C. (2019). Exposure to males, but not receipt of sex peptide,
  accelerates functional ageing in female fruit flies. *Functional Ecology*, 33, 1459–1468.
- Bretman, A., Westmancoat, J.D., Gage, M.J. & Chapman, T. (2013). Costs and benefits of
  lifetime exposure to mating rivals in male Drosophila melanogaster. *Evolution*, 67,
  2413–2422.
- Bribiescas, R.G. (2006). On the evolution, life history, and proximate mechanisms of human
  male reproductive senescence. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 15, 132–141.
- Briefer, E.F., Farrell, M.E., Hayden, T.J. & McElligott, A.G. (2013). Fallow deer polyandry is
  related to fertilization insurance. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology*, 67, 657–665.

- Brooks, R.C. & Garratt, M.G. (2017). Life history evolution, reproduction, and the origins of
  sex-dependent aging and longevity. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1389,
  92–107.
- Bunning, H., Rapkin, J., Belcher, L., Archer, C.R., Jensen, K. & Hunt, J. (2015). Protein and carbohydrate intake influence sperm number and fertility in male cockroaches, but not sperm viability. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 282, 20142144.
- Cameron, E., Day, T. & Rowe, L. (2007). Sperm competition and the evolution of ejaculate
   composition. *The American Naturalist*, 169, E158–E172.
- Cardullo, R.A. & Baltz, J.M. (1991). Metabolic regulation in mammalian sperm:
   mitochondrial volume determines sperm length and flagellar beat frequency. *Cell motility and the cytoskeleton*, 19, 180–188.
- Carvalho, A.P.S., Orr, A.G. & Kawahara, A.Y. (2017). A review of the occurrence and diversity of the sphragis in butterflies (Lepidoptera, Papilionoidea). *ZooKeys*, 41.
- Chapman, T., Bangham, J., Vinti, G., Seifried, B., Lung, O., Wolfner, M.F., *et al.* (2003). The
  sex peptide of Drosophila melanogaster: female post-mating responses analyzed by
  using RNA interference. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100, 9923–
  9928.
- Chapman, T., Liddle, L.F., Kalb, J.M., Wolfner, M.F. & Partridge, L. (1995). Cost of mating
   in Drosophila melanogaster females is mediated by male accessory gland products.
   *Nature*, 373, 241–244.
- Chen, H., Jolly, C., Bublys, K., Marcu, D. & Immler, S. (2020). Trade-off between somatic
  and germline repair in a vertebrate supports the expensive germ line hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.*
- Claydon, A.J., Ramm, S.A., Pennington, A., Hurst, J.L., Stockley, P. & Beynon, R. (2012).
   Heterogenous turnover of sperm and seminal vesicle proteins in the mouse revealed by
   dynamic metabolic labeling. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, 11, 1-13.
- Clutton-Brock, T. & Langley, P. (1997). Persistent courtship reduces male and female
   longevity in captive tsetse flies Glossina morsitans morsitans Westwood (Diptera:
   Glossinidae). *Behavioral Ecology*, 8, 392–395.
- Clutton-Brock, T.H. & Isvaran, K. (2007). Sex differences in ageing in natural populations of
   vertebrates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 274,
   3097–3104.
- Cordts, R. & Partridge, L. (1996). Courtship reduces longevity of maleDrosophila
   melanogaster. *Animal Behaviour*, 52, 269–278.
- Cornwallis, C.K., Dean, R. & Pizzari, T. (2014). Sex-specific patterns of aging in sexual
   ornaments and gametes. *The American Naturalist*, 184, E66–E78.
- Crean, A.J. & Marshall, D.J. (2008). Gamete plasticity in a broadcast spawning marine
   invertebrate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 13508–13513.
- 786 Crudgington, H.S. & Siva-Jothy, M.T. (2000). Genital damage, kicking and early death.
   787 *Nature*, 407, 855–856.
- Cunningham, K.A. & Beagley, K.W. (2008). Male genital tract chlamydial infection:
  implications for pathology and infertility. *Biology of reproduction*, 79, 180–189.
- Dao, A., Kassogue, Y., Adamou, A., Diallo, M., Yaro, A.S., Traore, S.F., *et al.* (2010).
   Reproduction-longevity trade-off in Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of medical entomology*, 47, 769–777.
- Dávila, F. & Aron, S. (2017). Protein restriction affects sperm number but not sperm viability
   in male ants. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 100, 71–76.
- De Boer, P., Jansen, R.F., Koene, J.M. & Ter Maat, A. (1997). Nervous control of male
   sexual drive in the hermaphroditic snail Lymnaea stagnalis. *Journal of Experimental*

- *Biology*, 200, 941–951.
- Dewsbury, D.A. (1982). Ejaculate cost and male choice. *The American Naturalist*, 119, 601–610.
- Bousbury, D.A. (2005). The Darwin-Bateman paradigm in historical context. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 45, 831–837.
- Buxbury, A.E., Weathersby, B., Sanchez, Z. & Moore, P.J. (2018). A study of the transit amplification divisions during spermatogenesis in Oncopetus fasciatus to assess plasticity in sperm numbers or sperm viability under different diets. *Ecology and evolution*, 8, 10460–10469.
- 806 Eberhard, W.G. (1985). Sexual selection and animal genitalia. Harvard University Press
   807 Cambridge, MA.
- Edward, D.A. & Chapman, T. (2011). Mechanisms underlying costs of reproduction.
- Evans, J., Wilson, A., Pilastro, A. & Garcia-Gonzalez, F. (2019). Ejaculate-mediated paternal
   effects: evidence, mechanisms and evolutionary implications. *Reproduction*, 157,R109 R126.
- Finkel, T. & Holbrook, N.J. (2000). Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing.
   *Nature*, 408, 239.
- Fishelson, L. (2003). Comparison of testes structure, spermatogenesis, and
  spermatocytogenesis in young, aging, and hybrid cichlid fish (Cichlidae, Teleostei). *Journal of Morphology*, 256, 285–300.
- Fitzpatrick, J.L., Almbro, M., Gonzalez-Voyer, A., Kolm, N. & Simmons, L.W. (2012). Male
  contest competition and the coevolution of weaponry and testes in pinnipeds. *Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 66, 3595–3604.
- Fitzpatrick, J.L. & Lüpold, S. (2014). Sexual selection and the evolution of sperm quality.
   *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 20, 1180–1189.
- Fitzpatrick, J.L., Montgomerie, R., Desjardins, J.K., Stiver, K.A., Kolm, N. & Balshine, S.
  (2009). Female promiscuity promotes the evolution of faster sperm in cichlid fishes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 1128–1132.
- Flatt, T. & Partridge, L. (2018). Horizons in the evolution of aging. *BMC Biol.*, 16, 93.
- Flatt, T. & Promislow, D.E. (2007). Still pondering an age-old question. *Science*, 318, 1255–1256.
- Folstad, I. & Karter, A.J. (1992). Parasites, bright males, and the immunocompetence
  handicap. *The American Naturalist*, 139, 603–622.
- Franceschi, C., Bonafè, M., Valensin, S., Olivieri, F., De Luca, M., Ottaviani, E., *et al.*(2000). Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 908, 244.
- Fricke, C. & Koppik, M. (2019). Male reproductive ageing-a tale of the whole ejaculate. *Reproduction*, 158, R219-R229.
- Friesen, C.R., Powers, D.R., Copenhaver, P.E. & Mason, R.T. (2015). Size dependence in non-sperm ejaculate production is reflected in daily energy expenditure and resting metabolic rate. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218, 1410–1418.
- Friesen, C.R., Shine, R., Krohmer, R.W. & Mason, R.T. (2013). Not just a chastity belt: the
  functional significance of mating plugs in garter snakes, revisited. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 109, 893–907.
- Gage, M.J., Macfarlane, C.P., Yeates, S., Ward, R.G., Searle, J.B. & Parker, G.A. (2004).
  Spermatozoal traits and sperm competition in Atlantic salmon: relative sperm velocity is
  the primary determinant of fertilization success. *Current Biology*, 14, 44–47.
- Gage, M.J. & Morrow, E.H. (2003). Experimental evidence for the evolution of numerous,
  tiny sperm via sperm competition. *Current Biology*, 13, 754–757.
- 846 Gaillard, J.-M. & Lemaître, J.-F. (2017). The Williams' legacy: A critical reappraisal of his

- nine predictions about the evolution of senescence. *Evolution*, 71, 2768–2785.
- Gaillard, J.-M. & Lemaître, J.-F. (2020). An integrative view of senescence in nature.
   *Functional Ecology*, 34, 4–16.
- Gasparini, C., Devigili, A. & Pilastro, A. (2019). Sexual selection and ageing: interplay
  between pre-and post-copulatory traits senescence in the guppy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286, 20182873.
- Gasparini, C., Simmons, L.W., Beveridge, M. & Evans, J.P. (2010). Sperm swimming
   velocity predicts competitive fertilization success in the green swordtail *Xiphophorus helleri*. *PloS one*, 5.
- Gems, D. & Partridge, L. (2013). Genetics of longevity in model organisms: debates and
   paradigm shifts. *Annual Review of Physiology*, 75, 621–644.
- Giannakara, A., Schärer, L. & Ramm, S.A. (2016). Sperm competition-induced plasticity in
   the speed of spermatogenesis. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 16, 60.
- Giraudeau, M., Friesen, C.R., Sudyka, J., Rollings, N., Whittington, C.M., Wilson, M.R., et *al.* (2016). Ageing and the cost of maintaining coloration in the Australian painted
  dragon. *Biology Letters*, 12, 20160077.
- Gomendio, M., Tourmente, M. & Roldan, E.R. (2011). Why mammalian lineages respond
   differently to sexual selection: metabolic rate constrains the evolution of sperm size.
   *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 278, 3135-3141.
- Gosch, B. & Fischer, K. (1989). Seasonal changes of testis volume and sperm quality in adult
  fallow deer (*Dama dama*) and their relationship to the antler cycle. *Reproduction*, 85,
  7–17.
- Gu, N.-H., Zhao, W.-L., Wang, G.-S. & Sun, F. (2019). Comparative analysis of mammalian
   sperm ultrastructure reveals relationships between sperm morphology, mitochondrial
   functions and motility. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*, 17, 66.
- Gustafson, A.W. (1979). Male reproductive patterns in hibernating bats. *Reproduction*, 56, 317–331.
- Hayward, A. & Gillooly, J.F. (2011). The cost of sex: quantifying energetic investment in
  gamete production by males and females. *PLoS One*, 6, e16557.
- Hime, G.R., Loveland, K.L. & Abud, H.E. (2007). Drosophila spermatogenesis: insights into
   testicular cancer. *International journal of andrology*, 30, 265–274.
- Hollis, B., Koppik, M., Wensing, K.U., Ruhmann, H., Genzoni, E., Erkosar, B., *et al.* (2019).
  Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating responses in Drosophila
  melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116, 8437–8444.
- Hooper, A.K., Lehtonen, J., Schwanz, L.E. & Bonduriansky, R. (2018). Sexual competition
  and the evolution of condition-dependent ageing. *Evolution Letters*, 2, 37–48.
- Hopkins, B.R., Sepil, I., Thézénas, M.-L., Craig, J.F., Miller, T., Charles, P.D., *et al.* (2019).
  Divergent allocation of sperm and the seminal proteome along a competition gradient in
  Drosophila melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116,
  17925–17933.
- Hosken, D.J. (2001). Sex and death: microevolutionary trade-offs between reproductive and
  immune investment in dung flies. *Current Biology*, 11, R379–R380.
- Huddart, R.A., Norman, A., Moynihan, C., Horwich, A., Parker, C., Nicholls, E., *et al.*(2005). Fertility, gonadal and sexual function in survivors of testicular cancer. *British Journal of Cancer*, 93, 200–207.
- Hunt, J., Brooks, R., Jennions, M.D., Smith, M.J., Bentsen, C.L. & Bussiere, L.F. (2004).
  High-quality male field crickets invest heavily in sexual display but die young. *Nature*, 432, 1024.
- Immler, S., Pryke, S.R., Birkhead, T.R. & Griffith, S.C. (2010). Pronounced within-individual
   plasticity in sperm morphometry across social environments. *Evolution: International*

- *Journal of Organic Evolution*, 64, 1634–1643.
- Intano, G.W., McMahan, C.A., Walter, R.B., McCarrey, J.R. & Walter, C.A. (2001). Mixed
   spermatogenic germ cell nuclear extracts exhibit high base excision repair activity.
   *Nucleic acids research*, 29, 1366–1372.
- Janicke, T., Häderer, I.K., Lajeunesse, M.J. & Anthes, N. (2016). Darwinian sex roles
   confirmed across the animal kingdom. *Science advances*, 2, e1500983.
- Janicke, T., Marie-Orleach, L., De Mulder, K., Berezikov, E., Ladurner, P., Vizoso, D.B., *et al.* (2013). Sex allocation adjustment to mating group size in a simultaneous hermaphrodite. *Evolution*, 67, 3233–3242.
- Jehan, C., Chogne, M., Rigaud, T. & Moret, Y. (2020). Sex-specific patterns of senescence in artificial insect populations varying in sex-ratio to manipulate reproductive effort. *BMC evolutionary biology*, 20, 18.
- Jennions, M.D. & Petrie, M. (2000). Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic
  benefits. *Biological Reviews*, 75, 21–64.
- Johnson, S.L. & Gemmell, N.J. (2012). Are old males still good males and can females tell
  the difference? Do hidden advantages of mating with old males off-set costs related to
  fertility, or are we missing something else? *Bioessays*, 34, 609–619.
- Jones, O.R., Scheuerlein, A., Salguero-Gómez, R., Camarda, C.G., Schaible, R., Casper, B.B.,
   *et al.* (2014). Diversity of ageing across the tree of life. *Nature*, 505, 169.
- Kenagy, G.J. & Trombulak, S.C. (1986). Size and function of mammalian testes in relation to
   body size. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 67, 1–22.
- Kennedy, B.K., Berger, S.L., Brunet, A., Campisi, J., Cuervo, A.M., Epel, E.S., *et al.* (2014).
  Geroscience: linking aging to chronic disease. *Cell*, 159, 709–713.
- Kerr, A.M., Gershman, S.N. & Sakaluk, S.K. (2010). Experimentally induced spermatophore
   production and immune responses reveal a trade-off in crickets. *Behavioral Ecology*,
   21, 647–654.
- Kim, S.-Y. & Velando, A. (2020). Attractive male sticklebacks carry more oxidative DNA damage in the soma and germline. *Journal of evolutionary biology*, 33, 121–126.
- 925 Kirkwood, T.B. (1977). Evolution of ageing. *Nature*, 270, 301.
- 926 Kirkwood, T.B. (2005). Understanding the odd science of aging. *Cell*, 120, 437–447.
- Wirkwood, T.B. (2017). The Disposable Soma Theory. In: *The evolution of senescence in the tree of life*. p. 23.
- Kirkwood, T.B. & Rose, M.R. (1991). Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrificed for
  reproduction. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 332, 15–24.
- Kleene, K.C. (2005). Sexual selection, genetic conflict, selfish genes, and the atypical patterns
  of gene expression in spermatogenic cells. *Developmental biology*, 277, 16–26.
- Kong, A., Frigge, M.L., Masson, G., Besenbacher, S., Sulem, P., Magnusson, G., *et al.*(2012). Rate of de novo mutations and the importance of father's age to disease risk. *Nature*, 488, 471.
- Wowald, A. & Kirkwood, T.B. (2015). Evolutionary significance of ageing in the wild.
   *Experimental gerontology*, 71, 89–94.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Berger, V., Bonenfant, C., Douhard, M., Gamelon, M., Plard, F., *et al.* (2015).
  Early-late life trade-offs and the evolution of ageing in the wild. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 282, 20150209.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Cheynel, L., Douhard, F., Bourgoin, G., Débias, F., Ferté, H., *et al.* (2018).
  The influence of early-life allocation to antlers on male performance during adulthood:
  Evidence from contrasted populations of a large herbivore. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 87, 921–932.
- Lemaître, J.-F. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2013a). Male survival patterns do not depend on male
  allocation to sexual competition in large herbivores. *Behavioral Ecology*, 24, 421–428.

- Lemaître, J.-F. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2013b). Polyandry Has No Detectable Mortality Cost in
  Female Mammals. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e66670.
- Lemaître, J.-F. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2017). Reproductive senescence: new perspectives in the
   wild: Reproductive senescence in the wild. *Biological Reviews*, 92, 2182–2199.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M., Pemberton, J.M., Clutton-Brock, T.H. & Nussey, D.H.
  (2014). Early life expenditure in sexual competition is associated with increased
  reproductive senescence in male red deer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20140792.
- Lemaitre, J.-F., Ramm, S.A., Hurst, J.L. & Stockley, P. (2011). Social cues of sperm competition influence accessory reproductive gland size in a promiscuous mammal.
   *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 278, 1171–1176.
- Lemaître, J.-F., Ronget, V., Tidière, M., Allainé, D., Berger, V., Cohas, A., *et al.* (2020). Sex
  differences in adult lifespan and aging rates of mortality across wild mammals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117, 8546–8553.
- Lewis, Z., Price, T.A.R. & Wedell, N. (2008). Sperm competition, immunity, selfish genes
  and cancer. *Cellular and molecular life sciences*, 65, 3241.
- Liljedal, S., Folstad, I. & Skarstein, F. (1999). Secondary sex traits, parasites, immunity and
  ejaculate quality in the Arctic charr. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 266, 1893–1898.
- López-Otín, C., Blasco, M.A., Partridge, L., Serrano, M. & Kroemer, G. (2013). The
  Hallmarks of Aging. *Cell*, 153, 1194–1217.
- Lüpold, S., Linz, G.M., Rivers, J.W., Westneat, D.F. & Birkhead, T.R. (2009). Sperm competition selects beyond relative testes size in birds. *Evolution*, 63, 391–402.
- Macartney, E.L., Crean, A.J., Nakagawa, S. & Bonduriansky, R. (2019). Effects of nutrient
  limitation on sperm and seminal fluid: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, 94, 1722–1739.
- Madsen, T., Arnal, A., Vittecoq, M., Bernex, F., Abadie, J., Labrut, S., *et al.* (2017). Cancer
  prevalence and etiology in wild and captive animals. In: *Ecology and evolution of cancer*. Elsevier, pp. 11–46.
- Maklakov, A.A. & Chapman, T. (2019). Evolution of ageing as a tangle of trade-offs: energy
   versus function. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286, 20191604.
- Maklakov, A.A. & Immler, S. (2016). The expensive germline and the evolution of ageing.
   *Current Biology*, 26, R577–R586.
- Marais, G., Gaillard, J.-M., Vieira, C., Plotton, I., Sanlaville, D., Gueyffier, F., *et al.* (2018).
   Sex-specific differences in aging and longevity: can sex chromosomes play a role?
   *Biology of Sex Differences*, 9, 33.
- Martin, O.Y. & Hosken, D.J. (2004). Copulation reduces male but not female longevity in
  Saltella sphondylli (Diptera: Sepsidae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 17, 357–362.
- McKean, K.A. & Nunney, L. (2001). Increased sexual activity reduces male immune function
   in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98,
   7904–7909.
- McNamara, K.B., Van Lieshout, E., Jones, T.M. & Simmons, L.W. (2013). Age-dependent trade-offs between immunity and male, but not female, reproduction. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 82, 235–244.
- 991 Medawar, P.B. (1952). An unsolved problem of biology. College.
- Metcalf, C.J.E., Roth, O. & Graham, A.L. (2020). Why leveraging sex differences in immune
   tradeoffs may illuminate the evolution of senescence. *Functional Ecology*, 34, 129-140.
- Metzler, S., Heinze, J. & Schrempf, A. (2016). Mating and longevity in ant males. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 8903–8906.
- 996 Monaghan, P. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2019). The deteriorating soma and the indispensable

- 997 germline: gamete senescence and offspring fitness. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*, 286,
  998 20192187.
- Nakadera, Y., Giannakara, A. & Ramm, S.A. (2019). Plastic expression of seminal fluid
  protein genes in a simultaneously hermaphroditic snail. *Behavioral Ecology*, 30, 904–
  913.
- 1002 Nakatsuru, K. & Kramer, D.L. (1982). Is sperm cheap? Limited male fertility and female
  1003 choice in the lemon tetra (Pisces, Characidae). *Science*, 216, 753–755.
- Nunn, C.L., Gittleman, J.L. & Antonovics, J. (2000). Promiscuity and the primate immune
   system. *Science*, 290, 1168–1170.
- Nussey, D.H., Froy, H., Lemaitre, J.-F., Gaillard, J.-M. & Austad, S.N. (2013). Senescence in natural populations of animals: widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. *Ageing research reviews*, 12, 214–225.
- Olsson, M., Madsen, T. & Shine, R. (1997). Is sperm really so cheap? Costs of reproduction
   in male adders, Vipera berus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 264, 455–459.
- 1012 Orr, T.J. & Brennan, P.L. (2016). All features great and small—the potential roles of the
   1013 baculum and penile spines in mammals. *Integrative and comparative biology*, 56, 635–
   1014 643.
- Papadopoulos, N.T., Liedo, P., Müller, H.-G., Wang, J.-L., Molleman, F. & Carey, J.R.
  (2010). Cost of reproduction in male medflies: the primacy of sexual courting in extreme longevity reduction. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 56, 283–287.
- Parker, G.A. (1970). Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects.
   *Biological Reviews*, 45, 525–567.
- Parker, G.A. (1998). Sperm competition and the evolution of ejaculates: towards a theory
  base. *Sperm competition and sexual selection*, 3–54.
- 1022 Parker, G.A. (2016). The evolution of expenditure on testes. *Journal of Zoology*, 298, 3–19.
- Parker, G.A. & Ball, M.A. (2005). Sperm competition, mating rate and the evolution of testis
  and ejaculate sizes: a population model. *Biology Letters*, 1, 235–238.
- Parker, G.A., Lessells, C.M. & Simmons, L.W. (2013). Sperm competition games: a general
   model for precopulatory male-male competition. *Evolution*, 67, 95–109.
- Parker, G.A. & Pizzari, T. (2010). Sperm competition and ejaculate economics. *Biological Reviews*, 85, 897–934.
- Parker, G.A., Ramm, S.A., Lehtonen, J. & Henshaw, J.M. (2018). The evolution of gonad
  expenditure and gonadosomatic index (GSI) in male and female broadcast-spawning
  invertebrates. *Biological Reviews*, 93, 693–753.
- Partridge, L. & Andrews, R. (1985). The effect of reproductive activity on the longevity of
   male Drosophila melanogaster is not caused by an acceleration of ageing. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 31, 393–395.
- Partridge, L. & Barton, N.H. (1993). Optimally, mutation and the evolution of ageing. *Nature*, 362, 305.
- Partridge, L. & Farquhar, M. (1981). Sexual activity reduces lifespan of male fruitflies.
   *Nature*, 294, 580–582.
- Paukku, S. & Kotiaho, J.S. (2005). Cost of reproduction in Callosobruchus maculatus: effects
   of mating on male longevity and the effect of male mating status on female longevity.
   *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 51, 1220–1226.
- Péron, G., Lemaître, J.-F., Ronget, V., Tidière, M. & Gaillard, J.-M. (2019). Variation in
  actuarial senescence does not reflect life span variation across mammals. *PLoS biology*,
  17, e3000432.
- Perry, J.C. & Rowe, L. (2010). Condition-dependent ejaculate size and composition in a
   ladybird beetle. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 277, 3639–

1047 3647.

- Perry, J.C., Sirot, L. & Wigby, S. (2013). The seminal symphony: how to compose an
  ejaculate. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28, 414–422.
- Peters, A., Delhey, K., Denk, A.G. & Kempenaers, B. (2004). Trade-offs between immune
   investment and sexual signaling in male mallards. *The American Naturalist*, 164, 51–59.
- Pilastro, A., Scaggiante, M. & Rasotto, M.B. (2002). Individual adjustment of sperm
   expenditure accords with sperm competition theory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99, 9913–9915.
- Pizzari, T. & Parker, G.A. (2009). Sperm competition and sperm phenotype. In: *Sperm biology*. Elsevier, pp. 207–245.
- Poiani, A. (2006). Complexity of seminal fluid: a review. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 60, 289–310.
- Polak, M. (1998). Effects of ectoparasitism on host condition in the *Drosophila-Macrocheles* system. *Ecology*, 79, 1807.
- Preston, B.T., Jalme, M.S., Hingrat, Y., Lacroix, F. & Sorci, G. (2011). Sexually extravagant
   males age more rapidly. *Ecology Letters*, 14, 1017–1024.
- Promislow, D. (2003). Mate choice, sexual conflict, and evolution of senescence. *Behavior genetics*, 33, 191–201.
- Prowse, N. & Partridge, L. (1997). The effects of reproduction on longevity and fertility in
  male Drosophila melanogaster. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 43, 501–512.
- Radhakrishnan, P. & Fedorka, K.M. (2012). Immune activation decreases sperm viability in
  both sexes and influences female sperm storage. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 3577–3583.
- 1070 Ramm, S.A., Lengerer, B., Arbore, R., Pjeta, R., Wunderer, J., Giannakara, A., *et al.* (2019).
  1071 Sex allocation plasticity on a transcriptome scale: Socially sensitive gene expression in 1072 a simultaneous hermaphrodite. *Molecular ecology*, 28, 2321–2341.
- 1073 Ramm, S.A., Parker, G.A. & Stockley, P. (2005). Sperm competition and the evolution of
  1074 male reproductive anatomy in rodents. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B:*1075 *Biological Sciences*, 272, 949–955.
- 1076 Ramm, S.A. & Schärer, L. (2014). The evolutionary ecology of testicular function: size isn't
   1077 everything. *Biological Reviews*, 89, 874–888.
- Ramm, S.A., Schärer, L., Ehmcke, J. & Wistuba, J. (2014). Sperm competition and the
   evolution of spermatogenesis. *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 20, 1169–1179.
- Ramm, S.A. & Stockley, P. (2009). Adaptive plasticity of mammalian sperm production in
   response to social experience. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
   276, 745–751.
- Ramm, S.A. & Stockley, P. (2010). Sperm competition and sperm length influence the rate of
   mammalian spermatogenesis. *Biology Letters*, 6, 219–221.
- 1085 Reinhardt, K. (2007). Evolutionary consequences of sperm cell aging. *The Quarterly review* 1086 of biology, 82, 375–393.
- 1087 Reinhardt, K., Naylor, R. & Siva-Jothy, M.T. (2011). Male mating rate is constrained by
   1088 seminal fluid availability in bedbugs, Cimex lectularius. *PloS one*, 6.
- Richard, M., Massot, M., Clobert, J. & Meylan, S. (2012). Litter quality and inflammatory
   response are dependent on mating strategy in a reptile. *Oecologia*, 170, 39–46.
- 1091 Rödel, H.G., Zapka, M., Stefanski, V. & Holst, D. von. (2016). Reproductive effort alters
   1092 immune parameters measured post-partum in European rabbits under semi-natural
   1093 conditions. *Functional Ecology*, 30, 1800–1809.
- Rodríguez-Muñoz, R., Boonekamp, J.J., Liu, X.P., Skicko, I., Fisher, D.N., Hopwood, P., *et al.* (2019). Testing the effect of early-life reproductive effort on age-related decline in a wild insect. *Evolution*, 73, 317–328.

- Rolff, J. & Siva-Jothy, M.T. (2002). Copulation corrupts immunity: a mechanism for a cost of
   mating in insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99, 9916–9918.
- 1099 Ros-Santaella, J.L., Kotrba, R. & Pintus, E. (2019). High-energy diet enhances spermatogenic
  1100 function and increases sperm midpiece length in fallow deer (Dama dama) yearlings.
  1101 *Royal Society open science*, 6, 181972.
- Salomon, T.B. & Benfato, M.S. (2018). Sexual activity affects the redox profile along the
   aging process in male rats. *Biogerontology*, 19, 13–21.
- Salomon, T.B., Hackenhaar, F.S., Almeida, A.C., Schüller, A.K., Alabarse, P.V.G.,
  Ehrenbrink, G., *et al.* (2013). Oxidative stress in testis of animals during aging with and
  without reproductive activity. *Experimental gerontology*, 48, 940–946.
- Santiago, J., Silva, J.V., Alves, M.G., Oliveira, P.F. & Fardilha, M. (2019). Testicular aging:
  an overview of ultrastructural, cellular, and molecular alterations. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 74, 860–871.
- Schärer, L., Da Lage, J.-L. & Joly, D. (2008). Evolution of testicular architecture in the
  Drosophilidae: a role for sperm length. *BMC evolutionary biology*, 8, 143.
- Schärer, L., Ladurner, P. & Rieger, R.M. (2004). Bigger testes do work more: experimental
  evidence that testis size reflects testicular cell proliferation activity in the marine
  invertebrate, the free-living flatworm Macrostomum sp. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 56, 420–425.
- Sella, G. & Lorenzi, M.C. (2003). Increased sperm allocation delays body growth in a protandrous simultaneous hermaphrodite. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 78, 149–154.
- Sepil, I., Hopkins, B.R., Dean, R., Bath, E., Friedman, S., Swanson, B., *et al.* (2020). Male
  reproductive aging arises via multifaceted mating-dependent sperm and seminal
  proteome declines, but is postponable in Drosophila. *PNAS*.
- 1122 Setchell, B. & Breed, W. (2006). Anatomy, vasculature, and innervation of the male 1123 reproductive tract. Elsevier.
- Sheldon, B.C. (1993). Sexually transmitted disease in birds: occurrence and evolutionary
   significance. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 339, 491–497.
- Sheldon, B.C. & Verhulst, S. (1996). Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and
   trade-offs in evolutionary ecology. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 11, 317–321.
- Shine, R., Phillips, B., Langkilde, T., Lutterschmidt, D.I., Waye, H. & Mason, R.T. (2004).
  Mechanisms and consequences of sexual conflict in garter snakes (Thamnophis sirtalis, Colubridae). *Behavioral Ecology*, 15, 654–660.
- Simmons, L.W. (2012). Resource allocation trade-off between sperm quality and immunity in
   the field cricket, Teleogryllus oceanicus. *Behavioral Ecology*, 23, 168–173.
- Simmons, L.W. & Lovegrove, M. (2017). Socially cued seminal fluid gene expression
   mediates responses in ejaculate quality to sperm competition risk. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284, 20171486.
- Simmons, L.W., Lüpold, S. & Fitzpatrick, J.L. (2017). Evolutionary trade-off between
  secondary sexual traits and ejaculates. *Trends in ecology & evolution*.
- Simmons, L.W. & Roberts, B. (2005). Bacterial immunity traded for sperm viability in male
   crickets. *Science*, 309, 2031–2031.
- Simmons, L.W., Sloan, N.S. & Firman, R.C. (2020). Sexual Selection Shapes Seminal
  Vesicle Secretion Gene Expression in House Mice. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*,
  37, 1114–1117.
- 1144 Skau, P.A. & Folstad, I. (2005). Does immunity regulate ejaculate quality and fertility in 1145 humans? *Behavioral Ecology*, 16, 410–416.
- 1146 Sloan, N.S., Lovegrove, M. & Simmons, L.W. (2018). Social manipulation of sperm

- 1147 competition intensity reduces seminal fluid gene expression. *Biology letters*, 14, 1148 20170659.
- Snook, R.R. (2005). Sperm in competition: not playing by the numbers. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 20, 46–53.
- Soulsbury, C.D. (2010). Genetic patterns of paternity and testes size in mammals. *PLoS One*,
  5, e9581.
- South, S.H., Steiner, D. & Arnqvist, G. (2009). Male mating costs in a polygynous mosquito
  with ornaments expressed in both sexes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276, 3671–3678.
- Stockley, P. (1997). Sexual conflict resulting from adaptations to sperm competition. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 12, 154–159.
- Stockley, P. & Seal, N.J. (2001). Plasticity in reproductive effort of male dung flies
  (Scatophaga stercoraria) as a response to larval density. *Functional Ecology*, 96–102.
- Stürup, M., Baer, B. & Boomsma, J.J. (2014). Short independent lives and selection for maximal sperm survival make investment in immune defences unprofitable for leafcutting ant males. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology*, 68, 947–955.
- Tan, G.N., Govedich, F.R. & Burd, M. (2004). Social group size, potential sperm competition
  and reproductive investment in a hermaphroditic leech, Helobdella papillornata
  (Euhirudinea: Glossiphoniidae). *Journal of evolutionary biology*, 17, 574–580.
- 1166Thomas, M.L. & Simmons, L.W. (2007). Male crickets adjust the viability of their sperm in1167response to female mating status. *The American Naturalist*, 170, 190–195.
- 1168Thomsen, R., Soltis, J., Matsubara, M., Matsubayashi, K., Onuma, M. & Takenaka, O.1169(2006). How costly are ejaculates for Japanese macaques? *Primates*, 47, 272–274.
- Thrall, P.H., Antonovics, J. & Bever, J.D. (1997). Sexual transmission of disease and host
  mating systems: within-season reproductive success. *The American Naturalist*, 149, 485–506.
- Tidière, M., Gaillard, J.-M., Müller, D.W., Lackey, L.B., Gimenez, O., Clauss, M., *et al.*(2015). Does sexual selection shape sex differences in longevity and senescence
  patterns across vertebrates? A review and new insights from captive ruminants. *Evolution*, 69, 3123–3140.
- Tourmente, M., Gomendio, M. & Roldan, E.R. (2011). Sperm competition and the evolution
  of sperm design in mammals. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 11, 12.
- delBarco-Trillo, J. & Ferkin, M.H. (2004). Male mammals respond to a risk of sperm
   competition conveyed by odours of conspecific males. *Nature*, 431, 446.
- delBarco-Trillo, J., García-Álvarez, O., Soler, A.J., Tourmente, M., Garde, J.J. & Roldan,
   E.R.S. (2016). A cost for high levels of sperm competition in rodents: increased sperm
   DNA fragmentation. *Proc Biol Sci*, 283.
- 1184Trivers, R.L. (1972). Parental investment and sexual selection.[W:] B. Campbell (red.)1185Sexual selection and the descent of man, 1871-1971. Chicago: Aldine.
- 1186 Turner, C.L. (1919). *The seasonal cycle in the spermary of the perch.*
- Vahed, K. (2006). Larger ejaculate volumes are associated with a lower degree of polyandry
  across bushcricket taxa. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273,
  2387–2394.
- Vahed, K. & Parker, D.J. (2012). The evolution of large testes: sperm competition or male
  mating rate? *Ethology*, 118, 107–117.
- 1192 Van Voorhies, W.A. (1992). Production of sperm reduces nematode lifespan. *Nature*, 360, 456–458.
- Vega-Trejo, R., Fox, R.J., Iglesias-Carrasco, M., Head, M.L. & Jennions, M.D. (2019). The
   effects of male age, sperm age and mating history on ejaculate senescence. *Functional Ecology*.

- Velando, A., Noguera, J.C., Drummond, H. & Torres, R. (2011). Senescent males carry
   premutagenic lesions in sperm. *Journal of evolutionary biology*, 24, 693–697.
- Vilchez, D., Saez, I. & Dillin, A. (2014). The role of protein clearance mechanisms in organismal ageing and age-related diseases. *Nature communications*, 5, 5659.
- Vinogradov, A.E. (1998). Male reproductive strategy and decreased longevity. *Acta biotheoretica*, 46, 157–160.
- Voigt, C.C., Michener, R. & Kunz, T.H. (2005). The energetics of trading nuptial gifts for
   copulations in katydids. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 78, 417–423.
- Wedell, N. (2010). Variation in male courtship costs in butterflies. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology*, 64, 1385–1391.
- Wedell, N., Gage, M.J.G. & Parker, G.A. (2002). Sperm competition, male prudence and
   sperm-limited females. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17, 313–320.
- Wedell, N. & Ritchie, M.G. (2004). Male age, mating status and nuptial gift quality in a
  bushcricket. *Animal Behaviour*, 67, 1059–1065.
- Wigby, S. & Chapman, T. (2005). Sex peptide causes mating costs in female Drosophila
  melanogaster. *Current Biology*, 15, 316–321.
- Wigby, S., Sirot, L.K., Linklater, J.R., Buehner, N., Calboli, F.C., Bretman, A., *et al.* (2009).
  Seminal fluid protein allocation and male reproductive success. *Current Biology*, 19, 751–757.
- Williams, G.C. (1957). Pleiotropy, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence.
   *Evolution*, 11, 398–411.
- 1218 Xirocostas, Z.A., Everingham, S.E. & Moles, A.T. (2020). The sex with the reduced sex
  1219 chromosome dies earlier: a comparison across the tree of life. *Biology Letters*, 16,
  1220 20190867.
- Yasukawa, K. (1987). Breeding and nonbreeding season mortality of territorial male red winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*). *The Auk*, 104, 56–62.
- Yeap, B.B. (2009). Testosterone and ill-health in aging men. Nature clinical practice
   *Endocrinology & metabolism*, 5, 113–121.
- I225 Zhao, J., Jin, Y., Du, M., Liu, W., Ren, Y., Zhang, C., *et al.* (2017). The effect of dietary grape pomace supplementation on epididymal sperm quality and testicular antioxidant ability in ram lambs. *Theriogenology*, 97, 50–56.
- 1228

1229

1231

# 1230 **BOX 1: Sperm competition influences ageing in females**

1232 In contrast to males, the consequences of sperm competition for female lifespan and ageing 1233 have been well studied. By aiming to answer the question "why do females mate with multiple males in most species?", behavioural ecologists have provided compelling evidence that 1234 1235 polyandry (i.e. the female propensity to mate with several males and thus to incite sperm 1236 competition among males) is associated with both benefits and costs (Jennions & Petrie 1237 2000). In males, sperm competition has led to the evolution of many behavioural, anatomical 1238 and physiological adaptations that increase the probability to fertilize a female's eggs 1239 (Birkhead & Møller 1998). However, several of these adaptations can have detrimental effects 1240 on female reproductive success and survival (reviewed in Stockley 1997; Arnqvist & Rowe 1241 2005), notably in late life. Among these adaptations, the role played by male seminal fluid 1242 proteins constitutes an iconic example.

1243 Seminal fluid proteins can have various detrimental effects for females (Poiani 2006) 1244 and those are particularly well studied in the fruit fly. D. melanogaster. In this species, the 1245 repeated exposure to seminal fluid proteins transferred during copulation increases female 1246 death rate (Chapman et al. 1995). More specifically, the decrease in female survival prospects appears to be predominantly caused by the sex-peptide (Wigby & Chapman 2005) - a protein 1247 1248 produced by the male accessory glands - that enhances male reproductive success in a 1249 competitive context by reducing the degree of female receptivity and increasing sperm storage by females (Chapman et al. 2003; Avila et al. 2010). The female-specific deleterious effects 1250 1251 of male sex peptide on lifespan and late-life reproductive success are mediated by several 1252 physiological pathways, notably through a rise in the release of juvenile hormones (Edward & 1253 Chapman 2011). Strikingly, it has been recently shown that - independently of the transfer of sex peptide - both mating and the amount of exposure to males not only decrease female 1254 1255 survival but also increase the degree of physiological ageing (measured by the age-specific 1256 decline in climbing activity and starvation resistance) (Bretman & Fricke 2019). These results 1257 strongly emphasize the complexity of the interactions between mating rates, physiological 1258 processes and both reproductive and survival ageing in females.

1259 While the production of seminal fluid proteins in response to sperm competition and its consequence for female's ageing are well studied - at least in flies - studying other 1260 1261 instances of sexual conflict over mating and fertilization might shed interesting light on the evolution of demographic ageing in females. This is well illustrated by the very peculiar 1262 genitalia that have evolved to improve sperm transfer abilities, and more generally, 1263 1264 fertilization efficiency in many species (Eberhard 1985) and that are already known to influence female survival in the short run (e.g. Crudgington & Siva-Jothy 2000). Across 1265 mammals, the level of polyandry (measured by the species-specific relative testes mass) does 1266 1267 not seem to increase the female rate of ageing in survivorship (Lemaître & Gaillard 2013b). 1268 However, keratinized spines found at the surface of the penis of several mammalian species 1269 (e.g. some primates, rodents and felids) can be responsible for lesions of the female 1270 reproductive tract (Orr & Brennan 2016), which could ultimately compromise the late-life reproductive success of the most polyandrous females. 1271

Finally, high levels of polyandry are associated with a higher risk of contracting sexually transmitted diseases (Thrall *et al.* 1997) that can impair reproductive success and survival in the long-run (Sheldon 1993). To counteract these adverse effects, polyandrous females have evolved immune systems that might mitigate these fitness costs through an increased resistance to infections (e.g. Nunn *et al.* 2000 in primates). This is well illustrated in lizards (*Zootoca vivipara*) where polyandrous females show a greater ability to mount an inflammatory immune response than monandrous females (Richard *et al.* 2012). While a greater allocation of resources to immune defences in polyandrous females is likely to convey fitness benefits at a short-time scale, they might also lead to an overall increased rate of ageing through an over-activation of the immune system (e.g. inflammaging) (Franceschi *et al.* 2000). Yet, how much this and many other consequences of male allocation to sperm competition contribute to the observed differences in female ageing at both inter- and intraspecific levels is yet to be determined.

1285

Table 1: Evidence of long-term lifespan and ageing costs associated to an increased allocation to pre-copulatory competition. Such relationships have been assessed across different types of populations (L: laboratory, W: wild populations, SC: semi-captive populations).

1289

Taxon	Common name		Population	Measure of allocation to pre- copulatory competition <sup>1</sup>	Late-life trait impacted	Reference
Insect	Mediterranean fruit fly	Ceratitis capitata	L	Courtship effort	Lifespan	Papadopoulos et al. 2010
Insect	Fruit fly	Drosophila melanogaster	L	Courtship effort	Lifespan	Cordts & Partridge 1996
Insect	Tsetse fly	Glossina morsitans morsitans	L	Courtship effort	Lifespan	Clutton-Brock & Langley 1997
Insect	Field cricket	Gryllus campestris	W	Searching activity	Rate of reproductive senescence <sup>2</sup>	Rodríguez-Muñoz et al. 2019
Insect	Green-veined white	Pieris napi	L	Courtship effort	Lifespan	Wedell 2010
Insect	Mosquito	Sabethes cyaneus	L	Courtship effort	Lifespan	South <i>et al.</i> 2009
Insect	Black field cricket	Teleogryllus commodus	L	Calling effort	Lifespan	Hunt et al. 2004
Bird	Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius phoeniceus	W	Wing length	Lifespan	Yasukawa 1987
Bird	Houbara bustard	Chlamydotis undulata	SC	Sexual display effort	Rate of reproductive senescence <sup>3</sup>	Preston et al. 2011
Mammal	Red deer	Cervus elaphus	W	Harem size and rutting duration	Rate of reproductive senescence <sup>4</sup>	Lemaître et al. 2014

1290

1291 <sup>1</sup> Articles linking horn length (or horn growth) to lifespan in bovids were deliberately omitted because these studies have been subjected to some controversies, due to the

1292 possible confounding effects of trophy hunting (see Lemaître *et al.* 2018 for a compilation of these studies).

1293 <sup>1</sup> Reproductive senescence measured by the age-specific decline in calling activity.

<sup>3</sup> Reproductive senescence measured by the age-specific decline in ejaculate quality.

<sup>4</sup> Reproductive senescence measured by the age-specific decline in harem size.

1296

1297

1298

1299 Table 2: Compiled evidence of physiological costs associated to an increased allocation to sperm competition. This table reports the

relationships that have been established between the allocation to an ejaculate-related trait (e.g. sperm viability) and the impaired
 physiological function (e.g. body growth, immune activity). Such relationships have been assessed across different types of populations
 (L: laboratory, WC/L: wild-caught population brought to the laboratory, W: wild populations).

1303

Taxon	Species		Population	Ejaculate-related trait	Physiological trait	Reference
Polychaeta		Ophryotrocha diadema	L	Sperm allocation and replenishment	Body growth	Sella & Lorenzi 2003
Insect	Fruitfly	Drosophila melanogaster	L	Sperm viability	Immune activity	Radhakrishnan & Fedorka 2012
Insect	Cotton bollworm	Helicoverpa armigera	L	Sperm allocation	Immune activity	McNamara <i>et al.</i> 2013 <sup>1</sup>
Insect	Field cricket	Teleogryllus oceanicus	L	Sperm viability	Lysozyme activity	Simmons & Roberts 2005
Insect	Tropical house cricket	Gryllodes sigillatus	WC/L	Spermatophore size and production rate	Immune activity	Kerr <i>et al.</i> 2010
Insect	Gemeine Plumpschrecke	Isophya kraussi	WC/L	Spermatophore production	Energy expenditure	Voigt <i>et al.</i> 2005
Insect	Leaf-cutting ant	Atta colombica	WC/L	Sperm viability	Immune activity	Stürup et al. 2014
Insect	Leaf-cutting ant	Acromyrmex echinatior	WC/L	Sperm viability	Immune activity	Stürup et al. 2014
Insect	Mealworm	Tenebrio molitor	L	Sexual activity	Immune activity	Rolff & Siva-Jothy 2002 <sup>2</sup>
Mammal	Japanese macaque	Macaca fuscata	W & L	Ejaculate production	Basal metabolic rate	Thomsen et al. 2006
Mammal	Wistar rats	Rattus norvegicus	L	Sexual activity	Age-specific redox profile	Salomon & Benfato 2018
Mammal	Wistar rats	Rattus norvegicus	L	Sexual activity	Oxidative damage in the testes	Salomon <i>et al.</i> 2013 <sup>3</sup>
Fish	Arctic charr	Salvelinus alpinus	WC/L	Spermatocrit	Granulocyte density	Liljedal et al. 1999
Fish	Arctic charr	Salvelinus alpinus	WC/L	Spermatocrit	Spleen mass	Liljedal et al. 1999
Fish	Arctic charr	Salvelinus alpinus	WC/L	Sperm motility	Granulocyte density	Liljedal et al. 1999
Reptile	Red-sided garter snake	Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis	WC/L	Production of copulatory plugs	Energy expenditure / Resting metabolic rate	Friesen et al. 2015
Reptile	Red-sided garter snake	Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis	WC/L	Number of copulations	Body mass loss	Friesen et al. 2015
Reptile	Adder	Vipera berus	W	Testes growth	Body mass loss	Olsson et al. 1997

1304 <sup>1</sup> The sperm allocation is used as a proxy of sperm production.

- 1306 <sup>2</sup> The activity of the phenoloxidase is reduced but there was no effect on the hemocyte load.
   <sup>3</sup> Damages found on proteins (carbonylation levels) but sexual activity of males shows an increase in anti-oxidant defences that could probably buffer oxidative costs.

#### 1307 CAPTION FOR FIGURES

1308

1309

1310 Figure 1: Diagram of the functional relationships linking the allocation of resources to 1311 sperm competition traits with increased ageing in both reproduction and survivorship 1312 (i.e. denoted by the shift from the black to the red trajectories on the ageing curves). 1313 While some environmental conditions (e.g. pollution) can directly increase the mutation 1314 load on both germline and somatic tissues, they also largely modulate the socio-1315 environmental context (e.g. adult sex ratio) that determines the level of sperm 1316 competition within a population. These ageing consequences are likely to be mediated by 1317 multiple physiological pathways leading to a progressive deterioration of the germline (e.g. through an accumulation of mutations on sperm DNA) and the soma (e.g. a 1318 1319 decrease in the efficiency of the somatic gonad and an impairment of some key 1320 physiological functions such as immunity).

1321





1323 1324 1325