

Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

Vincent Despré, Michaël Rao, Stéphan Thomassé

► To cite this version:

Vincent Despré, Michaël Rao, Stéphan Thomassé. Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations. Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry (CCCG 2020), Aug 2020, Saskatchewan/Online, Canada. 10.4230/LIPIcs . hal-03059811

HAL Id: hal-03059811 https://hal.science/hal-03059811v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

³ Vincent Despré

- 4 LORIA, INRIA Nancy
- 5 vincent.despre@inria.fr

6 Michaël Rao

- 7 LIP, CNRS ENS de Lyon
- 8 michael.rao@ens-lyon.fr

⁹ Stéphan Thomassé

- 10 LIP, ENS de Lyon
- 11 stephan.thomasse@ens-lyon.fr

12 — Abstract

Let T be a triangulation of an orientable surface Σ of genus q. A cycle C of T is splitting if it cuts 13 Σ into two noncontractible parts Σ_1 and Σ_2 , with respective genus $0 < g_1 \leq g_2$. The splitting 14 cycle C is called balanced if $g_1 \ge g_2 - 1$. The complexity of computing a balanced splitting cycle 15 in a given triangulation is open, but seems difficult even for complete triangulations. Our main 16 result in this paper is to show that one can rule out in polynomial time the existence of a balanced 17 splitting cycle when the triangulation is ε -far to have one. Implementing this algorithm, we show 18 that large Ringel and Youngs triangulations (for instance on 22.363 vertices) have no balanced 19 splitting cycle, the only limitation being the size of the input rather than the computation time. 20

²¹ 2012 ACM Subject Classification Theory of computation \rightarrow Randomness, geometry and dis-²² crete structures

- 23 Keywords and phrases Computational topology, embedded graphs, randomized algorithm
- ²⁴ Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs...

²⁵ **Funding** The first author was partially supported by the grant ANR-17-CE40-0033 of the French

²⁶ National Research Agency ANR (project SoS)

27 **1** Introduction

A splitting cycle on a surface Σ of genus at least 2 is a simple cycle (without self-crossing) 28 that allows to cut Σ into two parts non-homeomorphic to disks. In a continuous setting, 29 such a curve always exists and is easy to find. In the discrete setting, Σ is defined by a 30 combinatorial map M which is a graph embedded on Σ such that each face of the graph 31 is an open disk. In this case, a splitting cycle is a simple cycle (a cycle with no repeated 32 vertex) that separates Σ into two parts non-homeomorphic to disks. It is no more true that 33 every surface of genus at least 2 has a splitting cycle and it is NP-complete to decide if a 34 given M admits a splitting cycle [3, 2]. However, splitting cycles can be found when M has 35 some additional properties. For instance, simple triangulations (i.e. without loops, cycle of 36 length 2) are believed to have splitting cycles: 37

³⁸ ► Conjecture 1 (Barnette (1982) [14, p. 166]). Every simple triangulation of a surface of ³⁹ genus at least 2 has a splitting cycle.



© Vincent Despré, Michaël Rao and Stéphan Thomassé; licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

XX:2 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

This conjecture is known to be true only in the case of the double torus [9]. It is formulated for triangulations but has been also investigated for combinatorial maps with minimum face-width (the minimum number of faces crossed by a non-contractible curve). It is easy to build a combinatorial map of face-width 2 without splitting cycles. Zha and Zhao [19] conjectured that a face-width of 3 is sufficient to obtain a splitting cycle and proved that 6 is actually enough. Triangulations are a particular case of this second conjecture since any simple triangulation has face-width at least 3.

Recall that a triangulation is called *irreducible* if none of its edges can be contracted 47 without violating the condition of simplicity. It is easy to see that if T has a splitting cycle 48 and is obtained by contracting an edge from some T' then T' also has a splitting cycle. 49 Thus, it is sufficient to consider irreducible triangulations. Observe also that irreducible 50 triangulations have face-width exactly 3. The number of irreducible triangulations of a 51 given genus being finite [1, 15, 10], it is theoretically possible to check the conjecture for 52 fixed genus. Sulanke gave an algorithm to compute the set of irreducible triangulations of 53 a fixed genus [17] and used it to prove the conjecture for genus 2 with a computer assisted 54 approach [18]. Unfortunately, the number of irreducible triangulations with respect to the 55 genus grows too fast to hope for a brute force proof, even for genus 3. 56

In this paper we consider only orientable surfaces Σ of genus g. Therefore, a splitting cycle C cuts Σ into two parts of respective genus g_1, g_2 , where $g_1 \leq g_2$. We call g_1 the type of C, and C is called *balanced* if $g_1 \geq g_2 - 1$ (if such a cycle exists for T, we also say that Tis *balanced*).

It was independently conjectured by Zha and Zhao [19] and Mohar and Thomassen [14, 61 p. 167] that a triangulation (or a combinatorial map of face-width at least 3) have all the 62 possible types of splitting cycles. However, Despré and Lazarus [4] disproved this by showing 63 that some triangulations of complete graphs do not have all the possible types of splitting 64 cycles. More precisely they could certify that some triangulation of K_{19} or K_{43} are not 65 balanced. However, the algorithm they use could no rule out the existence of balanced large 66 complete triangulations which still could be "smoother" than small ones and allow all types 67 of splitting cycles. The key-result of this paper is first to show that existence of balanced 68 cycle in a complete triangulation T of K_n can be property-tested, and then to provide an 69 efficient implementation of this algorithm to test large Ringel-Youngs triangulations. 70

⁷¹ Observe that every splitting cycle C of a complete triangulation T of K_n partitions the ⁷² edges into three classes (R, L, C), where C are the edges of the cycle, R the edges to the right ⁷³ of C, and L the one to the left. Moreover, in the cyclic order σ_v induced by T around the ⁷⁴ edges incident to each vertex v, the order of the types of edges is (R, C, L, C). In particular, ⁷⁵ we never have the cyclic pattern R, L, R, L. This allows a relaxation of the notion of splitting ⁷⁶ cycle. Precisely, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, an ε -cycle of T is a partition of the edges into three classes ⁷⁷ (R, L, U) such that:

78 No vertex v have the cyclic pattern R, L, R, L in σ_v .

⁷⁹ All but εn of the vertices v of T are typical, i.e. every cyclic interval of σ_v of length εn ⁸⁰ contains an edge R or an edge L.

We say that an ε -cycle (R', L', U) approximates a splitting cycle (R, L, C) if $R' \subseteq R$ and $L' \subseteq L$ (here $U \subseteq C$ and stands for unknown). Our main result is the following:

Theorem 2. There is a randomized algorithm running in time $f(\varepsilon)poly(|T|)$ which takes as input a complete triangulation T and returns w.h.p. a set X of ε -cycles such that every splitting cycle of T is approximated by some element of X. Moreover, the size of X only depends on ε .

XX:3

⁸⁷ Note that if T has a balanced cycle C, then the previous algorithm will find w.h.p. a ⁸⁸ balanced ε -cycle (in a sense to be defined later). Let us say that T is ε -far to be balanced ⁸⁹ if it does not have a balanced ε -cycle. We have the following corollary:

Theorem 3. There is a randomized algorithm running in time $f(\varepsilon)poly(|T|)$ which takes as input a complete triangulation T which is either balanced or ε -far to be balanced and returns w.h.p. either a balanced ε -cycle, or a certificate that no balanced cycle exists.

The previous algorithms are based on sampling a good set of vertices and can indeed be derandomized. However, even in the randomized version, the size of the family X is too large to allow any practical use. Luckily, when restricted to finding a set X approximating every balanced splitting cycle (hence cutting branches leading to unbalanced cycles), it turns out that a mix of random sampling and greedy choices can be implemented in a more efficient way. We could use this implementation in order to rule out the existence of balanced cycles in large Ringel and Youngs triangulations.

The fact that all splitting cycles can be ε -approximated by a bounded set Σ is non-100 intuitive if we think of the continuous setting. Indeed, the number of homotopy classes 101 corresponding to balanced splitting cycles is infinite on the surface of genus g. By fixing a 102 natural constant curvature metric on the underlying surface, it is known that the number of 103 homotopy classes corresponding to splitting cycles that can be realized with length at most 104 L is asymptotically L^{6g-6} [13]. In the discrete setting, we cannot reach an infinite number 105 of homotopy classes since we only have a finite number of simple cycles. However, it would 106 have been natural to expect a K(g) (and thus n) dependency for the size of X. 107

The problem of constructing triangulations of complete graphs is a very classical one, 108 raised by Heawood in 1890 [8]. The original aim was to find an optimal proper coloring 109 of a graph embedded on a surface of genus g > 0. Apart from the case of the sphere (or 110 the plane) and the Klein bottle, the Euler formula already gives the exact upper bound of 111 $\gamma(g) = \lfloor \frac{7+\sqrt{1+48g}}{2} \rfloor$ colors. Hence, to prove the tightness of the bound, it was necessary to 112 produce a graph of genus g with chromatic number $\gamma(g)$. This has been achieved by Ringel 113 and Youngs [16, 7] using complete graphs. The embeddings they provided are minimal in 114 the sense that each complete graph cannot be embedded on a smaller genus surface and 115 some of them are triangulations. Actually, there are many different triangulations of a 116 given complete graph [12, 11, 6, 5]. For the experiments in this paper we will focus on the 117 triangulations given by Ringel and Youngs for $n \equiv 7[12]$. 118

The major difficulty here is that the size of the sample which gives the certificate is too large to allow computation based on a one-step guess. We instead adopt a randomized greedy strategy in order to iteratively construct the sample. The algorithm is described in details in Section 5. This algorithm is extremely efficient and allow to address huge triangulations. Actually, it may be used as soon as the size of the triangulation can be stored on the computer. It has been implemented independently by Vincent Despré and Michaël Rao and they were able to reach very huge complete triangulations.

▶ Theorem 4. The complete triangulation with 22.363 vertices (and 250.040.703 edges)
given by Ringel and Youngs has no balanced splitting cycle.

The implementations details along with the different results are developed in Section 6. Our algorithm is a new tool to deal with splitting cycles and may be useful in a larger spectrum. Indeed, when it fails to prove that the input triangulation has no balanced splitting cycles, it gives hints to find possible ones since it outputs balanced ϵ -cycles which can be the seed of some new investigation. This is probably the most appealing open question

XX:4 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

¹³³ left by the paper: Given a balanced ε -cycle, how to decide if it can be extended or not into ¹³⁴ a balanced (or near balanced) cycle. If one could design an efficient algorithm in order to ¹³⁵ find balanced splitting cycles, it would lead to efficient *divide and conquer* algorithms on ¹³⁶ complete triangulations.

¹³⁷ We first describe the background and notations in Section 2 and give some technical ¹³⁸ results about the structure of splitting cycles in Section 4.

¹³⁹ **2** Notations and Background

140 Combinatorial surfaces

As usual in computational topology, we model a surface by a cellular embedding of a *simple* 141 graph G (without loops or multiple edges) in a compact topological surface Σ . Such a 142 cellular embedding can be encoded by a *combinatorial surface* composed of the graph G143 itself together with a rotation system [14] that records for every vertex of the graph the 144 clockwise order of the incident edges. The *facial walks* are obtained from the rotation 145 system by the face traversal procedure as described in [14, p.93]. We denote by n, e and f the 146 numbers of vertices, edges and faces of the combinatorial surface. The genus g of Σ is linked 147 to the embedding via a very strong topological property that we call Euler characteristic: 148 $\chi(\Sigma) = n - e + f = 2 - 2g$. A triangulation is a particular kind of combinatorial map whose 149 all faces are triangles. The combinatorial maps that we consider in this paper consists of 150 triangulations of complete graphs where a complete graph is a graph containing all the 151 possible pairs of vertices as edges. Such a triangulation does not trivially exists. It requires 152 that $n \equiv 0, 3, 4$ or 7[12] and even in this case the constructions are not straightforward. We 153 consider a triangulation T_n for theoretical construction but the experiments are only done 154 for the triangulations given by Ringel and Youngs [16] for n = 12s + 7. To summary, we have 155 that the rotation scheme around the vertex v_i is a cyclic permutations σ_i of $\{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus i$, 156 such that: for every triangle ijk, if k is the successor of j in σ_i , then i is the successor of k 157 in σ_i . 158

159 Data-structure

To be able to correctly analyze the complexity of our algorithm, it is necessary to describe a 160 bit the data-structure we use: the half-edge data-structure. It consists in coding T_n by a set 161 of half-edges each having an handle to the opposite half-edge (represented by an involution 162 α_0) and to the next half-edge in the local σ_i (we can think of it as a global permutation σ 163 whose cycles are the σ_i). At this point, we can notice that the size of the map is actually 164 2e < size of an half-edge >= O(e). An edge is an orbit of the action of α_0 on the set of 165 half-edges and can be stored as one element in the orbit. Similarly, the orbits of σ are the 166 vertices, it is again sufficient to store one half-edge for each vertex. We need to store on 167 each vertex a "reverse" dictionary Rev_i that associate to every vertex v_j for $j \neq i$ its position 168 around v_i (each vertex is associated to a unique half-edge around v_i). The Revs dictionaries 169 are not a genaral feature in the half-edge data-structure but is required by our algorithm. 170 Finally, the faces can be construct by alternatively applying α_0 and σ and storing a half-edge 171 for each corresponding orbit. Here, computing the faces is mainly useful to check that T_n 172 is a correct triangulation. The construction of the map is considered as a precomputation 173 and is clearly done using O(e) operations. 174

175 Combinatorial curves

Consider a combinatorial surface with its graph G. A cycle C is a closed walk in G without 176 repeated vertex. C may have different topological types. To understand this we need to 177 define a (free) homotopy. Two closed continuous curves on $\Sigma \alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \Sigma$ are homotopic, 178 if there exists a continuous map $h: [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(0,t) = \alpha(t)$ and $h(1,t) = \beta(t)$ for 179 all $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Intuitively it means that two curves are homotopic if one can be continuously 180 deformed into the other. We say that C is *contractible* if it is homotopic to a point and 181 separating if its removal leaves two connected components on Σ . C may have three different 182 homotopy types which are: contractible and separating, non-contractible and non-separating 183 and non-contractible and separating (see Figure 1). If C is of this last type then we called 184 it a *splitting cycle*. We can refine the notion of homotopy types for splitting cycle. Indeed, 185 the genera g_1 and $g_2 \ge g_1$ of the two connected components defined by a splitting cycle are 186 additive in the sense that $g_1 + g_2 = g$ (this is a direct consequence of the Euler characteristic 187 which becomes $\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g - b$ if Σ has b boundaries). We define the type of a splitting 188 cycle as the genus q_1 . For instance, a splitting cycle of type 1 cuts Σ into a torus with one 189 boundary and a surface of genus g-1 with one boundary. We say that C is balanced if it 190 has type $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$. 191



Figure 1 *C*1 is contractible, *C*2 is a splitting cycle and *C*3 is non-separating.

¹⁹² Approximation of cycles

As said before, a splitting cycle C of T_n induces an edge coloring of the edges of K_n into colors (L, R, C) such that C is the cycle and no R and L edges are cyclically adjacent in σ_v for all v. We will now see the splitting cycle C as the partition (L, R, C). In particular, when C is balanced, this translates a sparse object (the splitting cycle C) into a dense object (the edge coloring (L, R, C)) since both R and L have quadratic size. This allows approximation of L and R by sampling. We now say that (L', R', U) approximates (L, R, C) if $R' \subseteq R$ and $L' \subseteq L$. The partition (L', R', U) is an ε -cycle if:

For every vertex v_i , the cyclic order σ_i does not contain four cyclically ordered edges R', L', R', L'.

All but εn of the vertices v of T are *typical*, i.e. every cyclic interval of σ_i of length at least εn contains an edge of R' or an edge of L'.

²⁰⁴ **3** Efficiently approximating cycles

Our goal is to prove Theorem 2, which shows that one can efficiently find a set X of ε -cycles approximating all splitting cycles.

XX:6 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

Theorem 5. For every orientable triangulation T_n of K_n and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a set X of size $f(\varepsilon)$ consisting of ε -cycles such that every splitting cycle of T_n is approximated by some element of X.

Proof. Pick some large constant $c > 4/\varepsilon^2$. We implicitly assume here that n is much larger 210 than ε and c, otherwise X simply exists by enumeration. Pick uniformly at random a sample 211 S of vertices of T_n of size c. For each $v_i \in S$, divide the cyclic order σ_i into c cyclic intervals 212 I_1, \ldots, I_c of approximately the same length (i.e. size $\lfloor (n-1)/c \rfloor$ or $\lfloor (n-1)/c \rfloor$). We now 213 construct our ε -cycles (R, L, U). We first decide for each $v_i \in S$ an R, L, U (right, left, 214 unknown) coloring of the intervals I_i in such a way that two (possibly identical) intervals 215 are U and these two U intervals separates the R intervals and the L intervals. Note that 216 when the U intervals are identical or adjacent, the remaining intervals are all colored R or 217 all colored L. The total number of such choices for a given $v_i \in S$ is $c^2 + c$. And we then 218 have $(c^2 + c)^c$ possible ways of coloring the edges adjacent to S according to this local rule. 219 Among these coloring, some of then are inconsistent in the sense that they give both colors 220 R and L at the two endpoints of some edge between two elements of S. We reject these 221 colorings. It can also happen that an edge receives both colors U and R (or U and L) in 222 which case the edge keeps the color different from U. We then color U all edges which were 223 not incident to vertices of S. We reject all colorings which contain the forbidden pattern 224 (R, L, R, L) in some σ_i . The set of surviving (R, L, U) colorings is denoted by X_S , and this 225 is our candidate for X. Note that the size of X_S only depends on c and hence on ε , and 226 that the total number of U edges incident to points of S is at most c.2n/c. 227

The key-observation is that every splitting cycle C of T_n is approximated by some element of X_S . Indeed, for each vertex $v_i \in S$ one can define the two U intervals of σ_i as these containing an edge of C, and the R and L intervals are the one which are entirely R or Laccording to cycle C. So to reach our conclusion, we just have to show that every element of X_S is an ε -cycle.

We claim that this happens if we are lucky enough with our sampling S. Let us say that a vertex v_i is good if S is well distributed in σ_i . More precisely if for every cyclic interval of σ_i of size at least εn , the number of elements of S is at least $\varepsilon c/2$. Observe that the probability that a vertex is good tends to 1, when ε is fixed and c goes to infinity. By Markov, we can fix c large enough such that with high probability, our sampling S will be such that all vertices save an arbitrarily small proportion are good. We now claim that in this case, all (R, L, U) partitions of X_S are ε -cycles.

Assume for contradiction that this is not the case. Then there are more than εn non typical vertices v_i for which σ_i contains an interval Ij_i of size at least εn with no $R \cup L$ edge. Since we can neglect these vertices v_i which are either in S or non good vertices, each of these intervals Ij_i contains $\varepsilon c/2$ vertices of S, and none of them have created an $R \cup L$ edge with v_i . So the total number of U edges incident to vertices of S is at least $\varepsilon n.\varepsilon c/2$, which is contradicting the fact that there are at most c.2n/c of them since $c > 4/\varepsilon^2$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2, the algorithm simply returning X_S for some 246 large enough sample S. The main drawback of this approach is the size of the sampling, 247 which makes it very difficult to implement for some practical use. Since our goal is to look 248 for balanced splitting cycles, we will only focus on ε -cycles which can be approximations of 249 balanced cycles. Let us denote by tr(n) the minimum size of R (or equivalently of L) in a 250 balanced cycle (R, L, C) of an orientable triangulation of K_n . Note that $tr(n) = n^2/4 - O(n)$, 251 but a more precise value will be given later when we will discuss the implementation. Thus 252 if some ε -cycle (R', L', U) approximates (R, L, C), it must have potentially at least tr(n)253

many R' or L' edges. Let us properly define this. The *right-potential* $r(v_i)$ of some vertex v_i is defined as:

- When v_i is incident to some edges of R' and L', $r(v_i)$ is the size of the longest cyclic interval of σ_i with a point in R' and no point in L', minus 2.
- When v_i is only incident to edges of R', we have $r(v_i) = n 1$.
- When v_i is only incident to edges of L', $r(v_i)$ is the size of the longest cyclic interval of σ_i with no point in L', minus 2.

The same definition applies for left potential $l(v_i)$. The right-potential r(R', L', U) is the sum of the right potential of all the vertices (same for left-potential l(R', L', U)). Note that $r(R', L', U) \ge 2|R|$ and $l(R', L', U) \ge 2|L|$ when (R', L', U) approximates (R, L, C)(the factor 2 in the inequality stands for the fact that we are doubly counting edges in the potential). Let us then say that an ε -cycle (R', L', U) is unbalanced if r(R', L', U) < 2tr(n)or l(R', L', U) < 2tr(n) (otherwise it is balanced). A triangulation T_n is ε -far to be balanced if it has no balanced ε -cycle.

Proof of Theorem 3. Now let us prove that we can efficiently separate triangulations which 268 are either balanced or ε -far to be balanced. For this, we compute a set X_S of ε -cycles which 269 approximates all splitting cycles of T_n . Note that if T_n admits a balanced cycle (R, L, C), 270 then it is approximated by some ε -cycle (R', L', U) in X_S which hence must be balanced 271 and thus a certificate of separation. Now if T_n does not admit a balanced cycle (R, L, C), 272 we compute a set X_S coming w.h.p. from a lucky sample S. The key point is that we can 273 indeed check if S is a good sample or not, just by checking if it is well-distributed in nearly 274 all σ_i . Hence the set X_S probably approximate all splitting cycles of T_n , and if we satisfy 275 the separation hypothesis of Theorem 3, none of the ε -cycles are balanced. Therefore X_S is 276 a certificate of the fact that T_n has no balanced splitting cycle. • 277

The nice feature of this property-testing algorithm is that if we try to check if a given T_n has a balanced cycle, we may be lucky and get a NO-certificate. This is basically what happens so far for all Ringel and Youngs triangulations on which the algorithm terminates. However, in the present form, the size of X_S is way too large to be implemented, and we will use a mix of random sampling and greedy choices for S. Also the fact that we divide σ_i into c intervals is convenient for the proof but not for the algorithm, which will only cut into 3 parts.

Another exciting direction of research is when we get a set X_S of ε -cycles, some of which being balanced. There is possibly a way to investigate if a given balanced ε -cycle can be completed into a balanced (or near balanced) cycle. For instance, if some σ_i contains the pattern (R, U, R, L), then the U edge can be turned into an R edge (possibly creating forbidden patterns leading to reduction of X_S). These closure operations (together with a (L, U, L, R) rule) can greatly densify our candidate ε -cycle making it easier to complete or not into a splitting cycle.

²⁹² **4** Properties of Splitting Cycles of Complete Triangulations

We begin by fixing some specific notations. We need to split the neighborhood of the vertices into parts. Mainly, if v_i is a vertex we denote by $(ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_i$ a partition of the vertices (or equivalently of the half-edges) around v_i such that the edges of each ev_i is consecutive with respect to σ_i . We call a *local configuration* a couple $(i, c)_v$ where *i* corresponds to the part ev_i and *c* is a color and a *configuration* a list of local configurations.

XX:8 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

Lemma 6. Let v be a vertex of T_n , (ev_0, ev_1, ev_2) be any partition of the edges in the 298 neighborhood of v and (L, R, C) be a splitting cycle of T_n . At least one of the ev_i is entirely 200 colored L or R. 300

Proof. C may reach at most two of ev_0 , ev_1 and ev_2 . It implies that one of the ev_i has to 301 be colored entirely L or R for any splitting cycle. 302

We thus obtain 6 different configurations for v. The following lemma is a direct consequence 303 of the previous one. 304

▶ Lemma 7. Let (v_0, \dots, v_{k-1}) be a list of vertices of T_n and $(ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_j$ be a fixed 305 partition of the edges around v_i , for all $0 \leq j < k$. Then, there is a configuration 306 $((i_0, c_0)_{v_0}, \cdots, (i_{k-1}, c_{k-1})_{v_{k-1}})$ realized by each splitting cycle (L, R, C). 307

Let us now consider the particular properties of balanced splitting cycles of complete 308 triangulations. 309

▶ Lemma 8. Let C = (L, R, C) be a balanced splitting cycle of T_n . Then,

$$|C| \ge \left\lceil \frac{5 + \sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25}}{2} \right\rceil$$
$$tr(n) = \min(|L|, |R|) \ge \left\lceil \frac{n^2 - 7n + 8 + 4\sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25}}{4} \right\rceil$$

Proof. Since we consider complete graphs, it is not possible that there exists two vertices 310 colored entirely R for one and L for the other one. Hence, after cutting along C, there is 311 a map with one boundary and no interior vertex of genus at least $\left|\frac{g}{2}\right|$. Let k = |C| and 312 T' be the map without interior vertices obtained after cutting along C. T' has genus at 313 least $\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor$ and so $\chi(T') \leq 2 - 2 \lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq 2 - (g-1) - 1 = 2 - g$. M' has k vertices, 314 $e \leq \frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ edges and f faces. The double counting of the number of edges gives 3f = 2e - k315 $\begin{array}{l} e \leq \frac{1}{2} & \text{edges and } f \text{ faces. The double counting of the number of edges gives } 3f = 2e - k \\ \text{because all the edges are on exactly 2 faces except the } k \text{ on the boundary. So } \chi(T') = \\ k - e + 2\frac{e}{3} - \frac{k}{3} = \frac{2k - e}{3} \geq \frac{4k - k(k - 1)}{6} = \frac{5k - k^2}{6}. \\ \text{By putting together the two inequalities we} \\ \text{obtain: } 2 - g \geq \frac{5k - k^2}{6} \text{ leading to } k^2 - 5k + 6 - 6g \geq 0. \\ \Delta = 25 - 4(6 - 6g) = 1 + 24g \text{ and} \\ \text{so } k = |C| \geq \frac{5 + \sqrt{1 + 24g}}{2} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{1 + 2(n - 3)(n - 4)}}{2} = \frac{5 + \sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25}}{2}. \\ \text{Let us look back at the Euler formula for } T'. \\ \text{We have, } \chi(T') = \frac{2k - e}{3} \leq 2 - g. \\ \text{It implies that } e \geq 2k + 3g - 6 \geq 5 + \sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25} + \frac{3(n - 3)(n - 4)}{12} - 6 = \frac{(n - 3)(n - 4) + 4\sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25} - 4}{4} = n^2 - 7n + 8 + 4\sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25} \end{array}$ 316 317 318 319

320 321 $\frac{n^2 - 7n + 8 + 4\sqrt{2n^2 - 14n + 25}}{4}$ 322

It is interesting to notice that $\frac{e}{\min(|L|,|R|)} = \frac{1}{2} - O(\frac{1}{n})$ for balanced splitting cycles in 323 complete triangulations and thus $tr(n) = \frac{n^2}{4} - O(n)$. 324

5 Algorithm 325

Sketch 326

We first describe the sketch of the algorithm. We suppose that a balanced splitting (L, R, C)327 exists and we want to obtain a contradiction. We choose at random a set of k vertices 328 (v_0, \cdots, v_{k-1}) of T_n and $(ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_i$ a balanced partition of the edges around v_i , for all 329 $0 \leq j < k$. By Lemma 7 we have a configuration $((i_0, c_0)_{v_0}, \cdots, (i_{k-1}, c_{k-1})_{v_{k-1}})$ realized by 330

C. Up to a natural symmetry we can assume that $c_0 = L$. Thus, we have $3 \cdot 6^{k-1}$ possible configurations. We need to show that every configuration is not admissible. We look at the other vertices of the graph, we consider the colors induced by a given configuration and we have two tools to show that the configuration is not correct. First, if we can find an alternated sequence of edges labeled (L, R, L, R) around a vertex, then this vertex violates the conditions of (L, R, C). We can also look the biggest number of edges colored R that each vertex can admits and use tr(n) given by Lemma 8 to reject the configuration.

We want to explore the tree of all the possible configurations. We design this tree such 338 that the layer i corresponds to the choice of the local configuration for the vertex v_i . A 339 first approach is to take k big enough to reach a contradiction for all leaves of the research 340 tree. This is not reasonable because of the growth of the size of the tree so we decide to 341 check all the nodes in the tree where an internal node corresponds to a partial configuration. 342 If this partial configuration already gives a contre-example then all the subtrees from the 343 corresponding node can be discarded. In addition, we don't need to use the same v_k on all 344 the nodes of a given layer. It means that we construct a tree of configurations starting from 345 the root which is the empty configuration and we avoid getting deeper in the tree as soon 346 as the can prove that the corresponding configurations is not correct. 347

348 Algorithm

359

363

364

- ³⁴⁹ **INPUT:** A complete triangulation.
- Let C be an empty vector of configurations. We initialize RandV with a random vertex v_i and a random partition of the neighborhood of v_i into three consecutive parts $(ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_i$. We put the configuration $(v_i, (ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_i, 0, L)$ in C.
- We add a list L_j on each vector v_j that stores the position of the vertices already colored.
- At this stage, it means that for all $v_j \in ev_0$ we call $Rev_j(i)$ to know the position of v_i around v_j and we put $(Rev_j(i), L)$ in L_j . Notice that the L_i s must be sorted during the algorithm.
- $_{357}$ \blacksquare While *C* is non-empty we do:
- 1. We test if C is valid. This implies two tests:
 - We look at all the L_i s to see if there is no cyclic subsequence of the form (L, R, L, R).
- ³⁶⁰ = We sum the biggest interval that can be colored L (resp. R) in all the L_i s and we ³⁶¹ compare the result to the one of Lemma 8.
- 362 **2.** If one of the test fails we update C in the following way:
 - If the last element of C is of the form $(\cdots, 2, R)$ then we discard it and we update C again.
- ³⁶⁵ = Else we consider the next configuration using the order: (0, L), (1, L), (2, L), (0, R), (1, R)and (2, R).
- We update the L_i s to make it coherent with the new configuration and the go back to step 1.
- 369 **3.** We compute a new random vertex v_i not already used by C with a partition of its 370 neighborhood and we add $(v_i, (ev_0, ev_1, ev_2)_i, 0, L)$ at the end of C. We then update 371 the L_i s and go back to 1.

372 Analysis of the algorithm

Theorem 9. If the algorithm terminates then the input triangulation does not have a balanced splitting cycle. ³⁷⁴

XX:10 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

Proof. If the algorithm terminates then C has described a research tree \mathcal{T} rooted at the empty configuration. All the leaves of \mathcal{T} corresponds to configurations that are incoherent (with the existence of a splitting cycle) in step 1. Now, if all the sons of a given node are incoherent, it implies that the configuration of the node is incoherent. So, by induction, all configurations in \mathcal{T} are incoherent and this includes its root. If the empty set is incoherent with the existence of a balanced splitting cycle it implies that no such cycle may exist.

Theorem 10. The algorithm describe above requires $O(t \cdot d \cdot n) = O(t \cdot d \cdot \sqrt{e})$ operations where t is the size of the research tree \mathcal{T} and d its depth.

Proof. Each node of \mathcal{T} corresponds to one turn in the While. Step 1 requires to read all the lists L_i . There are n such lists and their size is bounded by the size of C which is less than the depth of \mathcal{T} . It implies that this step requires $O(d \cdot n)$ operations. Step 2 and 3 may require an insertion or a deletion in one third of the L_i which is clearly done in $O(d \cdot n)$ operations. Since we consider t configurations, we obtain a total of $O(t \cdot d \cdot n)$ operations.

388 Optimizations

When we reach some depth in \mathcal{T} it becomes interesting to choose smartly the next local 389 configuration. Indeed, if the new vertex v_i already has two half-edges colored L pointing to 390 vertices v_0 and v_1 , then it should be interesting to consider a local configuration $(ev_0, ev_1)_i$ 391 such that ev_0 and ev_1 are delimited by v_0 and v_1 . Indeed, one of this two sets have to be 392 entirely colored L in a (L, R, C) splitting cycle. We obtain only two local configurations to 393 check (0, L) and (1, L) instead of 6. To be sure that the ev_0 and ev_1 both contain enough 394 edges, we only use this setting when we find two half-edges of the same color separated by 395 at least a fixed distance p.e around v_i . After some testing, we decided to set p to 0.35, this 396 parameter may be changed but should stay in an interval [0.3, 0.45] to be useful. In addition, 397 some minor optimizations can be made, we can check the (L, R, L, R) conditions while we 398 update the L_i s for instance. 399

The algorithm is highly parallelizable since different subtrees can use uncorrelated ver-400 tices. The parallelization works as follows: we first set a value d_0 as the initial depth in the 401 tree of research and we choose d_0 fixed random vertices, then we set a list of tasks corre-402 sponding to the $3 \cdot 6^{d-1}$ leaves of the initial tree. Now a master thread send a configuration 403 corresponding to a leaf to every other threads as soon as they achieved their previous task. 404 To prove that a configuration is impossible, a thread may need to construct its own subtree, 405 thus we decide to give to each thread a different copy of the data-structure. To reach bigger 406 triangulations, it may be useful to use a unique copy on each node but this will require to 407 put it read-only and so extract the L_i form the data-structure which may represent a loss 408 of performance. 400

410 6 Implementation details and experimental results

⁴¹¹ The implementation has been realized in C++ using OPENMPI for parallelization and ⁴¹² can be downloaded at http://vdespre.free.fr/Splitting.tar.gz. The test had been ⁴¹³ launched on the cluster Grid'5000¹. Let m be the number of threads for given experiment. ⁴¹⁴ The choice of d_0 can be optimized for each case so we precise what we used in each case.

¹ Experiments presented in this paper were carried out using the Grid'5000 testbed, supported by a scientific interest group hosted by Inria and including CNRS, RENATER and several Universities as well as other organizations (see https://www.grid5000.fr).

⁴¹⁵ We first give results to show the efficiency of the algorithm. Notice that the limit is set ⁴¹⁶ by the RAM on each node and so the number of threads is set to not break the memory ⁴¹⁷ limit. The time column shows the average on 10 trys.

418

s	n	e	time $(s.)$	CPU time	m	nodes	d_0	t
833	10 003	$50\ 025\ 003$	425	21h15m	180	45	6	$2 \ 000 \ 000$
1863	22 363	$250\ 040\ 703$	2990	37h22m	45	45	5	$1\ 700\ 000$

It is interesting to notice that the time of the tests highly depends on the exact value of *n*. It means that the size of the research tree is not smooth with respect to *n*. It is pretty surprising and we have no hint of the reason by now. The following experiments have been done using 720 threads on 45 nodes.

s	n	time (s.)	σ (s.)	d_0	t
100	1207	18	1	7	1 800 000
101	1219	62	15	7	2 100 000
102	1231	945	224	9	41 000 000
103	1243	970	178	9	42 000 000
104	1255	17	1	7	1 800 000
105	1267	fails in 7200		10	
106	1279	35	8	7	1 900 000
107	1291	42	4	7	1 900 000
108	1303	220	45	7	8 200 000
109	1315	17	1	7	1 800 000
110	1327	18	1	7	1 800 000

423

424 **7** Conclusion

The structure of the splitting cycles in triangulations of complete graphs remains quite 425 mysterious. Even for the case of Ringel and Youngs embeddings restricted to n = 12s + 7, 426 we do not understand what exactly happens. Our new experimental results give some 427 informations on the absence of balanced splittings. In this specific case, we can imagine to 428 make tests on bigger triangulations by storing the embedding using O(n) memory. This can 429 be done using the extreme symmetry of the embeddings but is not likely to be generalized. 430 We can also want to explore other triangulations of complete graphs. A very simple 431 question remains open on this subject: 432

433 ► Question 11. Is there an unbounded sequence of triangulations of complete graphs admit 434 ting balanced splitting cycles?

The question is of intrinsic interest and it is difficult to have an intuition about it. The 435 constructions of triangulations of complete graphs are pretty intricate and it is not clear 436 if one can be modified to ensure the existence of a balanced splitting. In addition, we 437 always look for an easy proof that some triangulation does not have a splitting cycle. We 438 think that Theorem 5 is the kind of idea that can lead to such a proof. However, it is 439 not clear how much the properties of a specific embedding must be used. In case that 440 there exists huge triangulations of complete graphs with balanced splittings, embeddings 441 become critical. If not, we can imagine to prove the non-existence of balanced splitting in 442 complete triangulations without considering a specific embedding which is very convenient, 443 in particular for probabilistic arguments. 444

XX:12 Testing Balanced Splitting Cycles in Complete Triangulations

445		References
446	1	David W. Barnette and Allan L. Edelson. All orientable 2-manifolds have finitely many
447		minimal triangulations. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 62(1):90–98, 1988.
448	2	Sergio Cabello, Éric Colin de Verdière, and Francis Lazarus. Finding cycles with topological
449		properties in embedded graphs. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 25(4):1600–1614, 2011.
450	3	Erin W Chambers, Éric Colin De Verdière, Jeff Erickson, Francis Lazarus, and Kim Whit-
451		tlesey. Splitting (complicated) surfaces is hard. In Proceedings of the twenty-second annual
452		symposium on Computational geometry, pages 421–429. ACM, 2006.
453	4	Vincent Despré and Francis Lazarus. Some triangulated surfaces without balanced splitting.
454		Graphs and Combinatorics, 32(6):2339–2353, 2016.
455	5	Mark N. Ellingham and Chris Stephens. Triangular embeddings of complete graphs (neigh-
456		borly maps) with 12 and 13 vertices. Journal of Combinatorial Designs, 13(5):336-344,
457		2005.
458	6	Mike J Grannell and Martin Knor. A lower bound for the number of orientable trian-
459		gular embeddings of some complete graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B,
460		100(2):216-225, 2010.
461	7	Jonathan L. Gross and Thomas W. Tucker. Topological graph theory. Dover, reprint 2001
462		from Wiley edition, 1987.
463	8	P.J. Heawood. Map-color theorem. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 24, 1890.
464	9	Dana L. Jennings. Separating Cycles in Triangulations of the Double Torus. PhD thesis,
465		Vanderbilt University, 2003.
466	10	Gwenaël Joret and David R. Wood. Irreducible triangulations are small. Journal of Com-
467		binatorial Theory, Series B, $100(5):446-455, 2010.$
468	11	Vladimir P Korzhik and Heinz-Jurgen Voss. On the number of nonisomorphic orientable
469		regular embeddings of complete graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B,
470		81(1):58-76, 2001.
471	12	Serge Lawrencenko, Seiya Negami, and Arthur T. White. Three nonisomorphic triangu-
472		lations of an orientable surface with the same complete graph. Discrete Mathematics,
473		$135(1):367-369,\ 1994.$
474	13	Maryam Mirzakhani. Growth of the number of simple closed geodesies on hyperbolic
475		surfaces. Annals of Mathematics, pages 97–125, 2008.
476	14	Bojan Mohar and Carsten Thomassen. Graphs on Surfaces. Studies in the Mathematical
477		Sciences. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001.
478	15	Atsuhiro Nakamoto and Katsuhiro Ota. Note on irreducible triangulations of surfaces.
479		Journal of Graph Theory, 20(2):227–233, 1995.
480	16	Gerhard Ringel. Map color theorem, volume 209. Springer, 1974.
481	17	Thom Sulanke. Generating irreducible triangulations of surfaces. arXiv:math/0606687,
482		2006.
483	18	Thom Sulanke. Irreducible triangulations of low genus surfaces. arXiv:math/0606690, 2006.
484	19	Xiaoya Zha and Yue Zhao. On non-null separating circuits in embedded graphs. Contem-
485		porary Mathematics, 147:349–349, 1993.