



HAL
open science

Remarks on dispersion-improved shallow water equations with uneven bottom

Didier Clamond

► **To cite this version:**

Didier Clamond. Remarks on dispersion-improved shallow water equations with uneven bottom. Journal Physics A, 2021, 54 (4). hal-03053701

HAL Id: hal-03053701

<https://hal.science/hal-03053701>

Submitted on 11 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

REMARKS ON DISPERSION-IMPROVED SHALLOW WATER EQUATIONS WITH UNEVEN BOTTOM

DIDIER CLAMOND

*Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS UMR 7351, Laboratoire J. A. Dieudonné,
Parc Valrose, F-06108 Nice cedex 2, France.*

ABSTRACT. It is shown that asymptotically consistent modifications of (Boussinesq-like) shallow water approximations, in order to improve their dispersive properties, can fail for uneven bottoms (i.e., the dispersion is actually not improved). It is also shown that these modifications can lead to ill-posed equations when the water depth is not constant. These drawbacks are illustrated with the (fully nonlinear, weakly dispersive) Serre equations. We also derive asymptotically consistent, well-posed, modified Serre equations with improved dispersive properties for constant slopes of the bottom.

AMS Classification: 35Q35; 35Q86; 35S99; 76B03; 76B07; 76B15.

Key words: Shallow water; varying bottom; improved dispersion; well-posedness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Shallow water models are weakly dispersive approximations of the Euler equations with a free surface. For many applications, it is necessary to improve their dispersive and shoaling properties, for instance modifying the equations in an asymptotically consistent way. This possibility is investigated here for the Serre [16] equations with uneven bottom, this special case being of general interest and it is sufficient to illustrate the problem for any other shallow water approximation (e.g., Boussinesq-like equations [8, 9]).

We then consider two-dimensional surface gravity waves in irrotational motion propagating at the surface of perfect incompressible fluid. The free surface at $y = \eta(x, t)$ and the bottom at $y = -d(x, t)$ are both impermeable, with x the horizontal variable, y the vertical upper one and t the time; the still water level is at $y = 0$. Assuming long waves in shallow water (i.e., ∂_x and ∂_t are “small” operators) without restriction on their amplitudes (i.e., fully nonlinear), Serre [16] derived a set of approximate equations for constant depth. In presence of a varying bottom, these equations can be written [15]

$$h_t + [h\bar{u}]_x = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{u}_t + \bar{u}\bar{u}_x + g\eta_x = \frac{1}{2}(\check{\gamma} + \check{\gamma})d_x - \frac{1}{3}h^{-1}[h^2\check{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}h^2\check{\gamma}]_x, \quad (2)$$

where $h = \eta + d$ is the total water depth, \bar{u} is the depth-averaged horizontal velocity, $g > 0$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\check{\gamma}$ and $\check{\gamma}$ being the (approximate) vertical accelerations at, respectively, the bottom and the free surface, i.e.,

$$\check{\gamma} = -d_{tt} - 2\bar{u}d_{xt} - \bar{u}^2d_{xx} - (\bar{u}_t + \bar{u}\bar{u}_x)d_x, \quad \check{\gamma} = \check{\gamma} + h\{\bar{u}_x^2 - \bar{u}_{xt} - \bar{u}\bar{u}_{xx}\}. \quad (3)$$

E-mail address: didier.clamond@univ-cotedazur.fr.

The equation (1) for the mass conservation is exact, while the momentum equation (2) is an approximation: its left-hand side involves first-order terms (in shallowness parameter, i.e., in derivatives) and its right-hand side involves third-order terms. This approximation yields a fully nonlinear but only weakly dispersive model of water waves [19]. It is then desirable to improve these models for a better description of dispersive effects without increasing the mathematical complexity, that is without introducing higher-order derivatives because they are computationally demanding and prone to trigger numerical instabilities.

Thus, in order to improve the dispersive properties of shallow water models — i.e., to extend their validity to deeper water — some asymptotically consistent modifications of the momentum equation have been proposed. These modifications involve free parameters that can be chosen to tune the (weakly dispersive) linear dispersion relation such that it better matches the (fully dispersive) exact relation [1, 5, 10, 11, 18]. For the Serre equations, such modified equations can be obtained replacing the momentum equation (2) by [2, 10]

$$(1 - \alpha d^2 \partial_x^2) (\bar{u}_t + \bar{u} \bar{u}_x + g \eta_x) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\gamma} + \check{\gamma}) d_x - \frac{1}{3} h^{-1} \partial_x (h^2 \tilde{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \check{\gamma}), \quad (4)$$

where α is a free parameter at our disposal. One can easily check that $\alpha = 0$ yields (2) and that equation (4) with $\alpha \neq 0$ is asymptotically consistent with (2). The parameter α is generally chosen considering a travelling wave of permanent form in constant depth. In such a case, the linear dispersion relation (relating the angular frequency ω and the wavenumber k) of the linearised Serre equations is a (2, 2)-Padé approximation (in the wavenumber) of the exact relation, while when $\alpha \neq 0$ one gets the (4, 2)-Padé approximation

$$d g^{-1} \omega^2 = [(kd)^2 + \alpha (kd)^4] [1 + (\frac{1}{3} + \alpha) (kd)^2]^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

For all α , the linear dispersion relation (5) matches the exact one $\omega^2 = gk \tanh(kd)$ at least up to the fourth-order in its Maclaurin expansion in terms of the wavenumber, but for $\alpha = 1/15$ the matching is up to the sixth-order. Thus, $\alpha = 1/15$ is the best choice to improve the dispersive properties (according to the criterion considered here).

However, this improvement occurs only for horizontal bottoms. Indeed, in presence of a bottom slope ($d_x \neq 0$), the dispersive properties of the modified equations are of fourth-order only for all α . This means that the modification (4) cannot improve the model in presence of a varying seabed, as one can check (see below). (In practice, the dispersive properties are nevertheless somewhat improved for very mild slopes ($|d_x| \ll 1$), but it is of practical interest to remove this restriction.) Worse, we show below that (4) generally yields ill-posed models for varying bottoms.

In this note, we propose an alternative modification of the Serre (and others) momentum equation such that the dispersive effect are improved for finite constant slopes. To this aim, we first reduce, in section 2, the exact (i.e., fully dispersive) linear equations to a single pseudo-differential equation for the free surface only. In section 3, we consistently modify the Serre equations with an unknown operator that is determined by identification with the shallow water approximation of the exact linear equation. These modified Serre equations for varying depth thus provide an improvement, at least for mild curvature of the seabed (i.e., when the gradient of the bottom slope is very small). In section 4, we show that these modified Serre equations are linearly well-posed for constant slopes, while the modified equations using (4) with $\alpha = 1/15$ are ill-posed for varying bottoms.

2. LINEAR WAVES ON SLOPING BEACH

The (fully dispersive) linearised equations for an irrotational motion [17] are

$$\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy} = 0 \quad \text{for } -d \leq y \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\phi_y + d_t + d_x \phi_x = 0 \quad \text{at } y = -d, \quad (7)$$

$$\phi_t + g\eta = 0 \quad \text{at } y = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\phi_y - \eta_t = 0 \quad \text{at } y = 0, \quad (9)$$

where ϕ is a velocity potential. Here, we consider constant slopes, i.e., $d(x, t) = d_0 + sx$ where $d_0 \geq 0$ is the depth at $x = 0$ and $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tan(\theta_0) \geq 0$ is the constant slope of the seabed ($-\theta_0$ the seabed angle of inclination). Using the conformal mapping $z = x + iy \mapsto Z = X + iY$ where

$$Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d_0}{\theta_0} \log\left(\frac{z}{d_0} + \frac{1}{s}\right), \quad (10)$$

the wedge domain $\{sx \geq -d_0; -d_0 - sx \leq y \leq 0\}$ is mapped onto the strip $-d_0 \leq Y \leq 0$ with $x = -d_0/s \mapsto X = -\infty$ and $x = +\infty \mapsto X = +\infty$. In the mapped variables, after elimination of $\eta(x, t) = -g^{-1}\phi_t(x, 0, t)$, the equations (6)–(9) become

$$\Phi_{XX} + \Phi_{YY} = 0 \quad \text{for } -d_0 \leq Y \leq 0, \quad (11)$$

$$\Phi_Y = 0 \quad \text{at } Y = -d_0, \quad (12)$$

$$\Phi_Y + g^{-1}\theta_0 \exp(\theta_0 X/d_0) \Phi_{tt} = 0 \quad \text{at } Y = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $\Phi(X, Y, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi(x(X, Y), y(X, Y), t)$ is the velocity potential in the mapped plane.

The general solution of the Laplace equation (11) satisfying the lower boundary condition (12) is (see [3, 4] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(X, Y, t) &= \text{Re}\left\{\check{\Phi}(Z + id_0, t)\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\check{\Phi}(Z + id_0, t) + \frac{1}{2}\check{\Phi}(Z^* - id_0, t) \\ &= \cos((Y + d_0)\partial_X)\check{\Phi}(X, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} (Y + d_0)^{2n} \frac{\partial^{2n}\check{\Phi}(X, t)}{\partial X^{2n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where a star denotes the complex conjugate and $\check{\Phi}(X, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{\Phi}(X, -d_0, t)$ is the velocity potential at the bottom. Substituting (14) into the upper boundary condition (13), one gets the complex difference-differential equation

$$\check{\Phi}_X(X + id_0, t) - \check{\Phi}_X(X - id_0, t) + \frac{\theta_0}{ig} \exp\left(\frac{\theta_0 X}{d_0}\right) \left[\check{\Phi}_{tt}(X + id_0, t) + \check{\Phi}_{tt}(X - id_0, t)\right] = 0. \quad (15)$$

Exploiting the relation $\check{\Phi}(X \pm id_0, t) = \exp(\pm i d_0 \partial_X) \check{\Phi}(X, t)$ (Taylor expansion around $d_0 = 0$) and using the velocity potential at the free surface $\check{\Phi}(X, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \check{\Phi}(X, 0, t) = \cos(d_0 \partial_X) \check{\Phi}(X, t)$, the difference-differential (15) equation is rewritten as the real pseudo-differential equation

$$\tan(d_0 \partial_X) \check{\Phi}_X(X, t) - g^{-1}\theta_0 \exp(\theta_0 X/d_0) \check{\Phi}_{tt}(X, t) = 0. \quad (16)$$

Note that $H(X, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta(x(X, 0), t) = -g^{-1}\check{\Phi}_t(X, t)$ also satisfies the equation (16) because the latter is linear and its coefficients are independent of t .

With the change of independent variable $\xi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_0 \exp(\theta_0 X/d_0) = x + d_0/s$ (hence $d\xi/dX = \theta_0 \xi/d_0$) and since $\check{\Phi}(X, t) = \phi(\xi - d_0/s, 0, t)$ is the velocity potential at the free surface, the

equation (16) becomes

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \tan\left(\theta_0 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right) - \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right\} \phi(\xi - d_0/s, 0, t) = 0, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\tan\left(\theta_0 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \theta_0 \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\theta_0^3}{3} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{2\theta_0^5}{15} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \dots \quad (18)$$

Finally, the equation (17) differentiated with respect of t is rewritten — since $\partial_\xi = \partial_x$, $d = s\xi = d_0 + sx$, $s = \tan(\theta_0) = d_x$ and $\phi_t(x, 0, t) = -g\eta(x, t)$ — in terms of the original variables

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tan\left(\frac{\arctan(d_x)}{d_x} d \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \right\} \eta(x, t) = 0. \quad (19)$$

It should be emphasised that the equation (19) is exact for (fully dispersive) linear waves provided that $d_{xx} = d_t = 0$, i.e., for flat static bottoms of arbitrary inclination, in particular for a constant depth. For more general seabeds, (19) provides a reasonable (linear) approximation if the bottom varies very slowly in time and if its curvature is small, such assumptions being made to derive shallow water approximations.

The relation (19) suggests to introduce an “apparent” or “effective” water depth D as

$$D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d_x^{-1} \arctan(d_x) d \leq d. \quad (20)$$

This shows that a bottom slope creates a slowdown of the wave compared to a horizontal bottom of the same depth, an effect conjectured in [6] from a non-dispersive shallow water model. In shallow water ∂_x is “small” and, since $d_{xx} = 0$, the operator $\tan(D \partial_x)$ can be expanded up to the fifth-order as

$$\begin{aligned} \tan(D \partial_x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D \partial_x + \frac{1}{3} D \partial_x D \partial_x D \partial_x + \frac{2}{15} D \partial_x D \partial_x D \partial_x D \partial_x D \partial_x + \dots \\ &\approx d \partial_x + \frac{1}{3} \partial_x d^3 \partial_x^2 + \frac{2}{15} d^5 \partial_x^5 + \frac{4}{3} d_x d^4 \partial_x^4 + 3 d_x^2 d^3 \partial_x^3 + d_x^3 d^2 \partial_x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This expansion should be compared with the (approximate) shallow water equations in order to derive suitable improvements. We note in passing that some approximate and empirical relations used in engineering for water waves propagating over mild slopes may be somewhat improved replacing d by D . Similar modifications have already been proposed [7, 12, 13] and computations therein suggest that the effective depth can improve significantly the performance of a model.

It should be noted that the equation (19) can be solved analytically for various wave fields over constant slope [7, 14]. Then, in order to improve a shallow water model, similar solutions must be derived for this approximation. Such special analytic solutions, often complicated or even impossible to write in closed-form, are actually not needed for improving the linear dispersion. Indeed, it is sufficient, simpler and more general to compare the equations directly via their shallow water expansions, i.e., expansions such as (21) obtained assuming that ∂_x and ∂_t are “small” operators, as illustrated below.

3. MODIFIED SERRE’S EQUATIONS

In order to address the drawback of (4), a better modification is sought replacing $-\alpha d^2 \partial_x^2$ by another second-order differential operator \mathcal{D} to be defined such that the linearised equations match the (fully dispersive) exact ones for constant slopes up to the highest possible

order in the shallowness expansion. Of course, this alternative modification of the momentum equation is also asymptotically consistent with the original equation, as one can easily check.

We thus consider infinitesimal waves with a fluid motion close to rest — i.e., η and \bar{u} are small — with $d = d(x)$ and $d_{xx} = 0$. The linearised modified Serre-like equations are then

$$\eta_t + [d\bar{u}]_x = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$(1 + \mathcal{D})(\bar{u}_t + g\eta_x) - \frac{1}{3}d^2\bar{u}_{xxt} - d_x d\bar{u}_{xt} = 0, \quad (23)$$

and eliminating \bar{u} between these two relations, one gets after some algebra

$$\left\{ g^{-1}\partial_t^2 - \partial_x d \left[d + d\mathcal{D} - \frac{1}{3}\partial_x d^3 \partial_x \right]^{-1} (d + d\mathcal{D}) \partial_x \right\} \eta = 0. \quad (24)$$

The sixth-order shallow water expansion (i.e., assuming that ∂_x is “small”) of this linear equation

$$\left\{ g^{-1}\partial_t^2 - \partial_x d \partial_x - \frac{1}{3}\partial_x^2 d^3 \partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{3}\partial_x d \mathcal{D} d^{-1} \partial_x d^3 \partial_x^2 - \frac{1}{9}\partial_x^2 d^3 \partial_x d^{-1} \partial_x d^3 \partial_x^2 \right\} \eta \approx 0, \quad (25)$$

is to be compared with the exact (i.e., fully dispersive) linear relations (19)–(21) for constant slopes. Thus, the expansion (25) matches the exact one up to the sixth-order only if

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{5}d_x^2 - \frac{1}{15}d^{-1}\partial_x d^3 \partial_x. \quad (26)$$

The classical improvement is recovered on constant depth, as it should be. This choice is an improvement for constant slopes but, in practice, it should also improve the model when the bottom curvature is small (i.e., if $|dd_{xx}| \ll 1$) and, at least, when the bottom varies very slowly in time.

The operator \mathcal{D} derived here in the expression (26) is, obviously, also suitable for any shallow water approximations having the same linear approximation as the Serre equations.

4. LINEAR WELL-POSEDNESS

With (26), the differential operator $d + d\mathcal{D}$ is a self-adjoint and positive-definite, and the linear equation (24) yields

$$\left\{ g^{-1}\partial_t^2 - \partial_x d \left[d + \frac{1}{5}d d_x^2 - \frac{2}{5}\partial_x d^3 \partial_x \right]^{-1} (d + d\mathcal{D}) \partial_x \right\} \eta = 0. \quad (27)$$

The differential operator inside the square bracket of (27) is also self-adjoint and positive-definite (since $d > 0$), so it is invertible and the equation (27) is therefore well defined.

Conversely, with the operator $\mathcal{D}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\frac{1}{15}d^2\partial_x^2$ commonly used to improve the dispersion, $d + d\mathcal{D}_0$ is not self-adjoint and not positive-definite if $d_x \neq 0$, and the equation (24) becomes

$$\left\{ g^{-1}\partial_t^2 - \partial_x d \left[d + \frac{1}{5}d^2 d_x \partial_x - \frac{2}{5}\partial_x d^3 \partial_x \right]^{-1} (d + d\mathcal{D}_0) \partial_x \right\} \eta = 0. \quad (28)$$

The differential operator inside the square bracket of (28) is not positive-definite (nor negative-definite) if $d_x \neq 0$, so it is not invertible and the equation (28) is ill-posed, in general. Thus, depending to the problem at hand, unphysical phenomena and numerical instabilities may appear as a consequence of “improving” the shallow water equations using \mathcal{D}_0 .

This simple analysis shows that the modification (4) is generally leading to ill-posed models for uneven bottoms, that is a worse drawback than not being a dispersion improvement.

5. DISCUSSION

We have shown that attempts to improve shallow water models, by tweaking the equations in an asymptotically consistent way, does not always lead to sound improved models. Worse, one can get ill-posed equations. These points were illustrated with the Serre equations where their classical modification (4) improves the dispersion and it is well-posed only in constant depth; for varying bottoms, (4) does not improve the dispersion and it is generally linearly ill-posed.

Considering fully-dispersive linear waves on constant slopes, we proposed another modification of the Serre equations such that their dispersive properties are improved for constant slopes. We also showed that this modification is linearly well-posed.

Here, we focused on Serre's equations but similar modifications hold for any variant of shallow water (Boussinesq-like) approximations. The way the equations are modified to consistently improve the dispersion is of course not unique. Whatever approach is considered, suitable modifications can be derived along the lines illustrated here for the Serre equations.

The effectiveness, for practical applications, of this approach could be investigated via numerical simulations. These are not the purpose of the present short note where we focussed on demonstrating theoretically the drawbacks of (4) and how they can be addressed. Further theoretical investigations could be performed. For instance, one can look for: (i) dispersion improvements for arbitrary (i.e., not only constant) slopes; (ii) a three-dimensional extension (i.e., two horizontal spacial dimensions); (iii) variational derivations of modified equations. These will be the purpose of future investigations.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. S. Antunes do Carmo. Boussinesq and Serre type models with improved linear dispersion characteristics: Applications. *J. Hydraulic Res.*, 51(6):719–727, 2013.
- [2] R. Cienfuegos, E. Barthélémy, and P. Bonneton. A fourth-order compact finite volume scheme for fully nonlinear and weakly dispersive Boussinesq-like equations. Part I: Model development and analysis. *Int. J. Num. Meth. Fluids*, 51(11):1217–1253, 2006.
- [3] D. Clamond. Steady finite-amplitude waves on a horizontal seabed of arbitrary depth. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 398:45–60, 1999.
- [4] D. Clamond. Cnoidal-type surface waves in deep water. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 489:101–120, 2003.
- [5] D. Clamond, D. Dutykh, and D. Mitsotakis. Conservative modified Serre–Green–Naghdi equations with improved dispersion characteristics. *Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 45:245–257, 2017.
- [6] D. Dutykh and D. Clamond. Shallow water equations for large bathymetry variations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 44:332001, 2011.
- [7] U. T. Ehrenmark. An alternative dispersion equation for water waves over an inclined bed. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 543:249–266, 2005.
- [8] J. T. Kirby. Boussinesq models and their application to coastal processes across a wide range of scales. *J. Waterway, Port, Coast. & Ocean Engin.*, 142(6):03116005, 2016.
- [9] P. A. Madsen and H. A. Schäffer. A review of Boussinesq-type equations for surface gravity waves. In *Adv. Coast. Ocean Engng.*, volume 5, pages 1–94. World Scientific, 1999.
- [10] P. A. Madsen, R. Murray, and O. R. Sørensen. A new form of the Boussinesq equations with improved linear dispersion characteristics. *Coastal Engin.*, 15(4):371–388, 1991.

- [11] O. Nwogu. Alternative form of Boussinesq equations for nearshore wave propagation. *J. Waterway, Port, Coast. & Ocean Engin.*, 119(6):618–638, 1993.
- [12] D. Porter. The mild-slope equations: a unified theory. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 887:A29, 2020.
- [13] R. Porter. An extended linear shallow-water equation. *J. Fluid. Mech.*, 876:413–427, 2019.
- [14] M. Roseau. Short waves parallel to the shore over a sloping beach. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math*, 11(4):433–493, 1958.
- [15] F. J. Seabra-Santos. *Contribution à l'étude des ondes de gravité bidimensionnelles en eau peu profonde*. PhD thesis, Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, France, 1985.
- [16] F. Serre. Contribution to the study of permanent and non-permanent flows in channels. *La Houille Blanche*, 3:374–388, 1953.
- [17] J. J. Stoker. *Water Waves*. Interscience, 1957.
- [18] J. M. Witting. A unified model for the evolution of nonlinear water waves. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 56(2):203–236, 1984.
- [19] T. Y. Wu. A unified theory for modeling water waves. *Adv. Appl. Mech.*, 37:1–88, 2001.