# Functional interplay between collagen network and cell behavior within tumor microenvironment in colorectal cancer

4 Cuong Cao Le<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>, Amar Bennasroune<sup>1, 2</sup>, Benoit Langlois<sup>1, 2</sup>, Stéphanie Salesse<sup>1, 2</sup>, Camille

- 5 Boulagnon-Rombi<sup>1, 2, 4</sup>, Hamid Morjani<sup>1, 3</sup>, Stéphane Dedieu<sup>1, 2\*</sup> & Aline Appert-Collin<sup>1, 2\*</sup>
- 6 1. Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France.
- 7 2. CNRS UMR 7369, Matrice Extracellulaire et Dynamique Cellulaire, MEDyC, Reims,
- 8 France.

3

14

- 9 3. Unité BioSpecT, EA7506, Reims, France.
- 4. Laboratoire de Biopathologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire et Faculté de Médecine,
- 11 Reims, France.
- \* Co-senior authors
- 13 Email corresponding author: <u>aline.bennasroune@univ-reims.fr</u>

15 Colorectal cancer is the second most common cancer diagnosed in men and the third most

- 16 commonly occurring in women worldwide. Interactions between cells and the surrounding
- extracellular matrix (ECM) are involved in tumors development and progression of in many
- 18 types of cancer. The organization of the ECM molecules provides not only physical scaffoldings
- and dynamic network into which cells are embedded but also allows the control of many cellular
- 20 behaviors including proliferation, migration, differentiation and survival leading to
- 21 homeostasis, and morphogenesis regulation. Modifications of ECM composition and
- 22 mechanical properties during carcinogenesis are critical for tumor initiation and progression.
- 23 The core matrisome is constituted of five classes of macromolecules which are collagens,
- laminins, fibronectin, proteoglycans, and hyaluronans. In most tissues, fibrillar collagen is the
- 25 major component of ECM. Cells embedded into fibrillar collagen interact with it through their
- surface receptors, such as integrins and discoidin domain receptors (DDRs). On the one hand,
- cells incorporate signals from ECM that modify their functionalities and behaviors. On the other
- 27 cens incorporate signals from ECW that mounty their functionalities and behaviors. On the other
- 28 hand, all cells within tumor environment (cancer cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts,
- 29 endothelial cells, immune cells) synthesize and secrete matrix macromolecules under the
- 30 control of multiple extracellular signals. This cell-ECM dialog participates in a dynamic way
- 31 in ECM formation and its biophysical and biochemical properties. Here we will review the
- 32 functional interplay between cells and collagen network within the tumor microenvironment
- 33 during colorectal cancer progression.
- 34 Keywords: Colorectal cancer, collagen, cancer-associated fibroblast, tumor cell, endothelial
- 35 cell, in vitro model

36

#### Collagen and colorectal cancer: state of play.

37

38 In recent decades, several works have underlined the importance of the microenvironment in colon cancer progression [1]. In the tumor microenvironment (TME), extracellular matrix 39 (ECM) plays a key role in this process. Among ECM adhesives components, type I collagen is 40 one of important factors regulating cancer-related events at different tumorigenesis stages [2]. 41 After effacement of the basement membrane, paracrine signals from the nascent tumor lead to 42 profound reorganizations of submucosal ECM that include deposition of fibrillar collagens 43 44 together with growth factors and ECM modifying enzymes which stimulate active vascular remodeling. Some recent studies based on global transcriptomic or proteomic approaches shed 45 new light on the specific markers that are dysregulated during early steps of colon 46 carcinogenesis, but also in locally advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) [3-5]. 47 Interestingly, proteomic analysis of detergent insoluble fractions of paired primary colon 48 tumors and liver metastasis compared with adjacent non tumorous tissues, illustrated the 49 pathological samples specific enrichment in core matrisome and several collagen modifying 50 enzymes such as MMPs, ADAMs and LOXL1 [5]. Desmoplasia and collagens deposition 51 constitute a hallmark of CRC and various collagens including type I, VI, VII, VIII, X, XI and 52 XVIII were found accumulated in CRC samples [6-12]. A recent study showed an increase of 53 type I collagen in tumor tissues compared to normal tissue [13]. Moreover, type I collagen 54 mRNA were also reported as increased in blood of CRC patients compared to healthy 55 individuals [13, 14]. Consistently, second harmonic generation imaging of fibrillar collagens 56 contents have shown clinical efficacy stratify high grade tumors and relevance to predict CRC 57 58 patient outcome [7, 15].

The most studied type I collagen receptors are integrins  $\alpha 1\beta 1$ ,  $\alpha 2\beta 1$ ,  $\alpha 10\beta 1$  and  $\alpha 11\beta 1$  [16]. 59 These receptors can be activated by several ligands such as type I collagen after recognition of 60 its GFOGER sequence [17]. α1β1 dimer was considered as the most expressed receptor in colon 61 carcinoma [18]. \(\beta\)1-integrin expression in tumors was correlated with reduced overall survival 62 and reduced disease-free survival in a large cohort of CRC patients [19]. Notably, β1 integrin 63 is detected in CRC patients serum and its level of expression appears to correlate with 64 aggressiveness and presence of micrometastasis [20]. B1 integrin overexpression is also 65 associated with CRC progression and colorectal liver metastasis [20, 21]. In vitro, \( \beta 1 \) integrin 66 expression is down-regulated in response to 3D type I collagen [22, 23]. However, although β1 67 integrin seems to contribute to metastasis development, β1 integrin targeted therapy is not 68 successful in CRC management. In fact, simultaneous inhibition of \( \beta 1 \) integrin and EGFR in 69 CRC does not improve radiotherapy efficiency [24]. 70

Collagen also signals to cells through the receptor tyrosine kinases discoidin domain receptors 71 DDR1 and DDR2, both of them have also been reported to interact with type I collagen [25] 72 and to play a role in tumor progression [23]. These receptors, which harbor a tyrosine kinase 73 activity, recognize GVMGFO sequence of type I collagen [26] and exhibit a relatively late and 74 75 prolonged activation [27]. DDR1 is expressed in colon carcinoma and promote metastasis in 76 invasive colon carcinoma [28-30]. Concerning DDR2, a high expression was associated with higher frequencies of T4, lymph node metastasis, peritoneal spread, and worse prognosis, 77 suggesting that DDR2 expression might be an effective therapeutic target [31]. 78

This growing data set supports a key role of collagens and their partners during tumorigenesis processes and as potential biomarkers of CRC. The following parts aim to highlight current

evidence regarding the functional interplay between cells within the TME and collagen network

during CRC progression. The main data are presented in Figure 1.

# The relationship between collagen and cancer cells

 Analysis of ECM signatures in patients colon tumors has revealed that type I collagen is highly expressed [5]. Accordingly, high density of type I collagen constitutes a poor prognosis factor in colon carcinoma and type I collagen-rich environment is able to induce mesenchymal gene expression and invasion [32]. Beside the density, collagen topology (fiber alignment) and elasticity (stiffness) appear to be also associated to colon tumorigenesis. Brauchle and coworkers have demonstrated that the alignment of collagen fibers is increased in colon carcinoma tissues when compared to normal tissues, and associated with increased stiffness [33]. Biophysical investigations have also shown different molecular fingerprints for collagen fibers in colon carcinoma tissues when compared to normal tissues [33]. Another study has shown that density and collagen fiber alignment were higher in tumor invasion front than in primary tumor and normal tissue [34]. Of note, hypoxia, that is associated to collagen density and organization, has an impact on colon cancer carcinoma migration and invasion through promotion of epithelial to mesenchymal transition [35].

Concerning the role of type I collagen receptors in tumor progression, Roche's group has elegantly recently shown that DDR1 plays a crucial role in the invasion function of metastatic colon carcinoma [28, 29]. They have particularly demonstrated that pharmacological inhibition of DDR1-BCR signaling axis decreased invasion and metastatic processes in colon carcinoma, suggesting that DDR1 targeting could be an efficient co-treatment strategy in colon carcinoma [28, 29]. More recently, NSD2 circular RNA has been shown to promote DDR1 expression and colorectal cancer metastasis by targeting miR-199b-5p [36]. For integrins, Wu and co-workers have lately reported that type I collagen is able to support colon carcinoma cell stemness, invasion and metastasis through activation of α2β1 integrin heterodimer and PI3K/AKT/Snail signaling pathway [37].

Regarding the role of type I collagen in the cancer cell sensitivity to targeted therapies, a recent study has demonstrated that 3D type I collagen may protect colon carcinoma against the anti-EGFR cetuximab therapy by increasing tyrosine phosphorylation of MET and RON [32]. The effect of 3D type I collagen on the sensitivity to vemurafenib of colon carcinoma, carrying the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation has also been investigated. At the opposite of the general concept describing type I collagen as a shield of colon carcinoma cells against therapies, authors have demonstrated that cells seeded in 3D type I collagen were 10-fold more sensitive to the vemurafenib targeted drug. On the contrary, 3D matrix was able to protect tumor cells against the cytotoxic effect of the fluorouracil chemotherapeutic agent [38]. However, another carried out on resistance to chemotherapy and the ATP binding cassette transporter P-glycoprotein which is encoded by ABCB1 gene, has shown that 3D ECM is able to increase sensitivity of primary colon carcinoma cells to chemotherapy by affecting the cell polarity and consequently the polarization of P-glycoprotein expression at the cell surface [39]. Interestingly, the expression of ABCB1gene appears to be regulated in colon carcinoma. In fact, the overexpression of the caudal-related homeobox transcription factor (Cdx2) has been reported to upregulate the expression of ABCB1/gene and consequently P-glycoprotein in highly resistant colon carcinoma to chemotherapy[40]. 

However, Cdx2 has been also described to play a role as a tumor suppressor [41]. In fact, Cdx2 expression has been shown to be lower in colon carcinoma with the highest grades [42]. In agreement with the role of ECM in colon carcinoma progression, type I collagen has been shown to promote tumorigenesis by downregulating Cdx2 expression [43]. Brummer's group has demonstrated few years ago a positive correlation between BRAF mutation and low level of Cdx2 expression in colon carcinoma. Type I collagen at high density has been also reported to suppress HNF4α when inducing mesenchymal gene expression in vitro and in patient-derived colon tumors [32] Consistently, invalidation or inhibition of HNF4α promotes colon carcinogenesis, whereas its enforced expression is able to inhibit cell growth in colon carcinoma [44, 45].

#### 134

135

136137

138

139

140141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152 153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167168

124

125126

127

128

129

130

131

132133

## The relationship between collagen and cancer-associated fibroblasts

The most abundant cell type in TME are cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), an activated type of fibroblasts that play a major role in tumorigenesis and metastatic processes [46]. CAFs demonstrate a functional heterogeneity in colorectal cancer (CRC) that may arise from different cellular origins and can affect the clinical course of colon cancer patients [47]. In CRC, an abundance of CAFs in the TME has been associated with poor outcomes and transcriptomic studies linked CAF signature with poor-prognosis and highly aggressive CRC molecular subtypes. CAFs are not only associated with advanced CRC but also found in early stages [48]. Several studies identified CAFs as potential prognosis and recurrence markers in patients with colon cancer [49-52]. Histologic evaluations of CRC patient samples and organotypic 3D coculture models demonstrated that CAFs are the primary drivers of collagen synthesis and remodeling in the highly desmoplastic environment found in CRC [53, 54]. Interestingly, a significant heterogeneity was observed within CAF population related to collagen remodeling [55]. Transcriptome and Proteome profiling identified CRC CAFs as the main source for connective tissue components of the ECM, such as collagens, thus altering the molecular composition of the matrix by increasing the deposition of new matrix components [56, 57]. Another way for CAFs to remodel ECM is to degrade it by using MMPs and formation of degradative protrusions. Genes induced in CRC CAFs, compared to normal colonic fibroblasts, include several tumor-promoting MMPs and TGF-B1 increased Collagen I and various proteases expression by CAFs [56-58]. In CAFs, formation of invadopodia that remodel collagen fibers, is dependent on twist1 and palladin (isoform 4). Twist1-expressing fibroblasts acquired CAFs properties such as collagen contraction, and alignment and Palladin and collagen  $\alpha 1(VI)$  were identified as two major mediators of these Twist1 effects. Interestingly, Twist1, palladin and collagen α1(VI) are overexpressed in purified colon CAFs as compared with their normal counterparts and associate with poor prognosis in CRC [59]. In addition to MMPs, CAFs also express other proteases such as the fibroblast activation protein (FAP), a collagenase and gelatinase [60]. Stromal FAP expression in human colon cancer samples is a marker of early stage in cancer development and correlated with poor patient outcome [61]. FAPα activity has a strong impact on fibroblasts secretome composition, including matrix processing enzymes, and influence morphology and collagen contraction capacity of immortalized CRC CAFs. Recent studies established a direct link between CAFs and the modifications of ECM organization and stiffness described in colon cancer. LOXL2, a collagen cross-linker was reported as highly expressed in CAFs and is associated with poor CRC survival [62]. Hic-5, a non-enzymatic adaptor protein, was described as a novel factor responsible for

the development of CRC, by promoting in CAFs the production of collagen I and LOX that lead to stiffness of cancer tissues [63]. More recently, in a collagen gel co-culture system, with fibroblasts and CRC cells, Delaine-Smith's group demonstrated that fibroblast-derived TG2 (transglutaminase-2), a protein cross-linking enzyme, induced gel stiffening by formation of thicker collagen fibers and proposed a regulatory link between TG2 and LOX. In addition, stiffness is further increased by fibroblast/CRC crosstalk and a potential role for extracellular vesicles in mediating this tumor-driven fibroblast response is suggested by authors [64]. Another study reported that fibroblasts activated by late-stage CRC cell-derived exosomes, became specialized in type I collagen and physical remodeling of ECM through cytoskeletal re-organization, membrane protrusion formation and secretion of matrix-remodeling proteins [65].

### The relationship between collagen and endothelial cells

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177 178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Angiogenesis exerts crucial functions during major steps of CRC progression [1, 3, 66]. Stimulation of CRC cells by oncogenic drivers such as EGF or stabilization of hypoxia inducible factors (HIFs) were involved in the secretion of angiogenic diffusible factors and ECM structural compounds in the TME [67]. Moreover, collagen supports nascent vascular structures during intussusceptive angiogenesis in CRC [68]. A nine genes signature including collagen I, X and XI was specifically enriched in angiogenic and hypoxic CRC genesets [4]. Another study identified a matrisomal signature of 110 genes induced during the angiogenic switch of the standard RIP1-Tag2 murine model of tumor angiogenesis [3]. The expression of this set of genes, which includes collagens I, VI, VIII, X and various ECM regulators was positively correlated with that of endothelial cell markers and increased with CRC progression. This signature was also specifically induced in hepatic metastasis suggesting a functional contribution to both early events and metastatic cascade. It is now well-established that tumor and stromal cells synergize to activate pro-angiogenic signals in the TME [3, 61, 66, 69, 70]. CAFs and tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) are both involved in TGF-B signaling activation during the angiogenic switch [3]. Stromal activation of this pathway promotes both tumor initiation and early metastatic events [66] and was specifically associated to consensus molecular subtypes CMS4 of CRC that express various angiogenesis markers and present the worst overall survival [71]. Several reports illustrated the contributions of tumor resident or infiltrated stromal cells to ECM modifying events that accommodate endothelial cells fitness and provide angiogenic cues [61, 69, 72]. Although a clear scenario is sometimes difficult to draw on the angiogenic consequences of collagen deposition, emerging angio-active parameters include types of collagens (network, fibrils-anchoring or fibrillar collagens that convey different angiogenic signals), topology and stiffness. Post-translational modifications such as proteolytic degradation or cross-linking can modulate the biophysical properties of collagen rich scaffolds [11, 70, 72, 73]. FAP-α expression and activity was linked to the secretion of pro-angiogenic factors such as angiopoietin-1 and VEGF-C by colon patient-derived CAFs [61]. Gain and loss of function experiments illustrated that FAP-α-dependent CAF secretome can stimulate 3D endothelial spheroids sprouting. In vivo, targeting of FAP-α into an immune competent murine model of colon cancer decreased blood vessel density and induced fibrillar collagen accumulation [69]. The activity of SNAI1 and PDGFBB contributes to CAF ability to assemble aligned collagen fibers that promote endothelial cell proliferation and morphogenesis in a 3D model of CAF-derived matrices [72]. SNAI1 expression by fibroblasts was also associated with the abundance of CD34 positive endothelial cells in an in vivo model of CRC. A pro-tumoral action of TAM could be explained by their ability to assemble collagenous ECM enriched with type 1, 6 and 14 collagens [74]. These fibers were deposited, cross-linked and linearized at areas of tumor invasiveness demonstrating the crucial importance of TAM in organizing collagenous ECM niches. Co-culture of TAM with CRC cells can potentiate the production of tumorderived MMP2 and MMP9 [75]. Recruitment of collagenolytic enzymes-expressing immune cells in the CRC TME might influence the bioavailability of ECM-immobilized angiogenic factors such as VEGF, as reported in other tumor context [76, 77]. Collagen-enriched niches emerge as biomarkers of desmoplastic and angiogenic CRC microenvironment [78, 79]. High expression of collagens I and IV, with tumor endothelial marker-1 (TEM-1, endosialin), especially when distributed around tumor vessels, allows stratification of CRC patients according to their poor prognosis [78]. Collagen-enriched niches might also account for the adaptive response of the TME to anti-angiogenic therapies [79, 80]. Collagen IV empty sleeves resulting from tumor vessel pruning triggered by VEGFR2 can promote a rapid vascular regrowth after treatment withdrawal [80]. Although, VEGFR2 blocking in a CRC model normalized tumor vessels, decreasing diameter while ameliorating collagen IV perivascular coverage [79]. Endostatin, a collagen XVIII-derived fragment, is an inflammatory marker detected around blood vessels and in the plasma of advanced CRC patients [12]. This molecule, efficient to inhibit both lymphangiogenesis and hemangiogenesis [81], is considered as a valuable tool to control metastatic CRC growth since several studies reported its moderate toxicity without observing the increased metastatic dissemination encountered in response to the anti-VEGF antibody bevacizumab [30].

## In vitro tumor microenvironment models using collagen

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236237

238

239

240

241

242243

244

245

246

247

248

249250

251

252

253

254

255256

257

258

259

Two-dimensional (2D) collagen-coated systems routine use has largely shown their limitations to summarize the complexity of tumor initiation and progression processes. It is absolutely necessary to include some major extracellular components to mimic properties of the TME such as the spatial configuration [82] and the addition of supporting materials with mechanical properties close to the ECM encountered during disease progression [83]. The use of in vitro 3D models should fill the gap between traditional 2D cell culture and animal models, by mimicking the cancer micro- and macro-environment potentially able to integrate multiple cell types in a controlled environment and should allow to better characterize CRC drivers and develop new therapeutic strategies in constantly upgraded models of growing complexity. One possible approach is to develop spheroids of cancer cells seeded on low-attachment tissue culture plates. Whereas this type of culture allows cancer cells to communicate with one another and to release low levels of intrinsic collagen [84], substantial aspects of TME are still missing. More complex models using biological scaffolds such as collagen are therefore added to create an ECM to obtain biomimicry and study cancer progression by recreating the tumor microenvironment. Patient-derived xenograft models are an important tool for preclinical and clinical research, especially when orthotopically transplanted. However, in this model, the principal limit is that TME cannot be properly reconstituted owing to important stromal cells such as cancer-associated fibroblasts and endothelial cells which are not derived from the tumor samples and can be late recruited [85]. New 3D models of cancer using a collagen matrix can promote the crosstalk between cancer and stromal cell. Co-cultures of different colorectal cancer cell lines with fibroblasts and endothelial cells in 3D spheroids have been elaborated to test drug dose-response and compared with results in 2D and homotypic 3D cultures. The results suggest that 3D co-cultures are more relevant, providing a higher level of translational information that should help to define patient-specific treatment options [86].

Pape and colleagues developed a CRC model using high-density type I monomeric collagen, 260 termed as tumoroids [87]. This model consists of a central cancer mass containing either the 261 highly invasive HCT116 or less invasive HT29 cells embedded in collagen type I hydrogels to 262 mimic the TME in situ [88]. The stromal compartment in this model is easily manipulated and 263 ECM components and stromal cell types can be added accordingly. Furthermore, on-chip 264 biomimetic microenvironments using microfluidic technologies are being developed to better 265 reproduce the complexity of in vivo restrictions. In this model, human colonic microvascular 266 endothelial cells cultivated in a 3D vessel-mimetic device are attached to the wall of the lateral 267 channels of the microfluidic chip whereas HCT-116 cells are embedded in collagen IV-enriched 268

Considering the feature of tumor heterogeneity, the main limitation of these models is the presence of a single CRC cell type exhibiting a unique genetical pattern. The development of more realistic preclinical models is absolutely required and is a major challenge for the coming years, especially for improving drug screening. The use of patients-derived 3D tumor models may provide a solution to overcome the oversimplified 2D cell cultures and the limitations of in vivo models [90]. These new designs are not intended to completely supplant but rather complete and expand the currently available techniques.

Figure 1. Relationship between type I collagen network and cells in tumor microenvironment. On the one hand, cancer cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts and endothelial cells influence collagen structure and composition. On the other hand, type I collagen participates to tumor progression. DDR1: discoïdin domain receptor I, FAP: fibroblast activation protein, LOX: lysyl oxidase, MMP: metalloproteinase, PDGFBB: Platelet-derived growth factor BB, TG2: Transglutaminase 2, TGF-β: Transforming growth factor-β,

#### **Author Contributions**

Matrigel in the central chamber [89].

- All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.
- 288 Conflict of Interest

269

277

284

285

295

296

- The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.
- 291 Abbreviations
- 292 CAF: cancer-associated fibroblast, CRC: colorectal cancer, DDR: discoïdin domain receptor,
- 293 ECM: extracellular matrix, FAP: fibroblast activation protein, SHG: second harmonic
- 294 generation, TME: tumor microenvironment

#### References

- 1. Crotti, S., et al., Extracellular Matrix and Colorectal Cancer: How Surrounding Microenvironment Affects Cancer Cell Behavior? J Cell Physiol, 2017. 232(5): p. 967-975.
- 2. Xu, R., et al., *Roles of the Phosphorylation of Transcriptional Factors in Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition.* Journal of Oncology, 2019. **2019**: p. 11.
- 3. Langlois, B., et al., *AngioMatrix, a signature of the tumor angiogenic switch-specific matrisome, correlates with poor prognosis for glioma and colorectal cancer patients.* Oncotarget, 2014. **5**(21): p. 10529-45.
- 4. Yuzhalin, A.E., et al., *A core matrisome gene signature predicts cancer outcome*. Br J Cancer, 2018. **118**(3): p. 435-440.
- 5. Naba, A., et al., Extracellular matrix signatures of human primary metastatic colon cancers and their metastases to liver. BMC Cancer, 2014. **14**: p. 518.
- 6. Skovbjerg, H., et al., *Collagen mRNA levels changes during colorectal cancer carcinogenesis*. BMC Cancer, 2009. **9**: p. 136.
- 7. Burke, K., et al., *Using second harmonic generation to predict patient outcome in solid tumors.* BMC Cancer, 2015. **15**: p. 929.
- 8. Qiao, J., et al., Stroma derived COL6A3 is a potential prognosis marker of colorectal carcinoma revealed by quantitative proteomics. Oncotarget, 2015. **6**(30): p. 29929-46.
- 9. Shang, J., et al., Co-expression Network Analysis Identified COL8A1 Is Associated with the Progression and Prognosis in Human Colon Adenocarcinoma. Dig Dis Sci, 2018. **63**(5): p. 1219-1228.
- 10. Sole, X., et al., *Discovery and validation of new potential biomarkers for early detection of colon cancer.* PLoS One, 2014. **9**(9): p. e106748.
- 11. Yuzhalin, A.E., et al., Colorectal cancer liver metastatic growth depends on PAD4-driven citrullination of the extracellular matrix. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 4783.
- 12. Kantola, T., et al., Serum endostatin levels are elevated in colorectal cancer and correlate with invasion and systemic inflammatory markers. Br J Cancer, 2014. **111**(8): p. 1605-13.
- 13. Zou, X., et al., *Up-regulation of type I collagen during tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer revealed by quantitative proteomic analysis.* J Proteomics, 2013. **94**: p. 473-85.
- 14. Rodia, M.T., et al., Systematic large-scale meta-analysis identifies a panel of two mRNAs as blood biomarkers for colorectal cancer detection. Oncotarget, 2016. **7**(21): p. 30295-306.
- 15. Birk, J.W., et al., Second harmonic generation imaging distinguishes both high-grade dysplasia and cancer from normal colonic mucosa. Dig Dis Sci. **59**(7): p. 1529-34.
- 16. Barczyk, M., S. Carracedo, and D. Gullberg, *Integrins*. Cell and Tissue Research, 2009. **339**(1): p. 269.
- 17. Knight, C.G., et al., The collagen-binding A-domains of integrins alpha(1)beta(1) and alpha(2)beta(1) recognize the same specific amino acid sequence, GFOGER, in native (triple-helical) collagens. J Biol Chem, 2000. **275**(1): p. 35-40.
- 18. Boudjadi, S., J.C. Carrier, and J.-F. Beaulieu, *Integrin*  $\alpha 1$  *subunit is up-regulated in colorectal cancer*. Biomarker Research, 2013. **1**(1): p. 16.
- 19. Liu, Q.Z., et al., Expression of ITGB1 predicts prognosis in colorectal cancer: a large prospective study based on tissue microarray. Int J Clin Exp Pathol, 2015. **8**(10): p. 12802-10.
- 20. Oh, B.Y., et al., *Role of beta1-Integrin in Colorectal Cancer: Case-Control Study.* Ann Coloproctol, 2014. **30**(2): p. 61-70.
- 21. Sun, C., et al., Association of CD98, integrin beta1, integrin beta3 and Fak with the progression and liver metastases of colorectal cancer. Pathol Res Pract, 2014. **210**(10): p. 668-74.
- 22. Assent, D., et al., A membrane-type-1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP)-discoidin domain receptor 1 axis regulates collagen-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells. PLoS One. **10**(3): p. e0116006.
- 23. Saby, C., et al., *Type I collagen aging impairs discoidin domain receptor 2-mediated tumor cell growth suppression.* Oncotarget. **7**(18): p. 24908-27.

- 24. Poschau, M., et al., *EGFR* and beta1-integrin targeting differentially affect colorectal carcinoma cell radiosensitivity and invasion. Radiother Oncol, 2015. **116**(3): p. 510-6.
- 25. Rammal, H., et al., *Discoidin Domain Receptors: Potential Actors and Targets in Cancer.* Front Pharmacol, 2016. **7**: p. 55.
- 26. Konitsiotis, A.D., et al., *Characterization of high affinity binding motifs for the discoidin domain receptor DDR2 in collagen.* J Biol Chem, 2008. **283**(11): p. 6861-8.
- 27. Vogel, W., et al., *The discoidin domain receptor tyrosine kinases are activated by collagen.* Mol Cell, 1997. **1**(1): p. 13-23.
- 28. Jeitany, M., et al., *Inhibition of DDR1-BCR signalling by nilotinib as a new therapeutic strategy for metastatic colorectal cancer.* 2018. **10**(4).
- 29. Sirvent, A. and M. Lafitte, *DDR1 inhibition as a new therapeutic strategy for colorectal cancer*. 2018. **5**(4): p. e1465882.
- 30. Chen, C.T., et al., *Dual targeting of tumor angiogenesis and chemotherapy by endostatin-cytosine deaminase-uracil phosphoribosyltransferase.* Mol Cancer Ther, 2011. **10**(8): p. 1327-36.
- 31. Sasaki, S., et al., *DDR2 Expression Is Associated with a High Frequency of Peritoneal Dissemination and Poor Prognosis in Colorectal Cancer.* Anticancer Res, 2017. **37**(5): p. 2587-2591.
- 32. Vellinga, T.T., et al., *Collagen-rich stroma in aggressive colon tumors induces mesenchymal gene expression and tumor cell invasion.* Oncogene, 2016. **35**(40): p. 5263-5271.
- 33. Brauchle, E., et al., *Biomechanical and biomolecular characterization of extracellular matrix structures in human colon carcinomas.* Matrix Biol, 2018. **68-69**: p. 180-193.
- 34. Blockhuys, S., et al., Second harmonic generation for collagen I characterization in rectal cancer patients with and without preoperative radiotherapy. J Biomed Opt, 2017. **22**(10): p. 1-6.
- 35. Hongo, K., et al., *Hypoxia enhances colon cancer migration and invasion through promotion of epithelial-mesenchymal transition.* J Surg Res, 2013. **182**(1): p. 75-84.
- 36. Chen, L.Y., et al., NSD2 circular RNA promotes metastasis of colorectal cancer by targeting miR-199b-5p-mediated DDR1 and JAG1 signalling. 2019. **248**(1): p. 103-115.
- Wu, X., et al., Collagen facilitates the colorectal cancer stemness and metastasis through an integrin/PI3K/AKT/Snail signaling pathway. Biomed Pharmacother, 2019. **114**: p. 108708.
- 38. Kikuchi, K. and D. Hoshino, Sensitization of HT29 colorectal cancer cells to vemurafenib in three-dimensional collagen cultures. Cell Biol Int, 2019.
- 39. Ashley, N., D. Ouaret, and W.F. Bodmer, *Cellular polarity modulates drug resistance in primary colorectal cancers via orientation of the multidrug resistance protein ABCB1*. J Pathol, 2019. **247**(3): p. 293-304.
- 40. Takakura, Y., et al., *CDX2 regulates multidrug resistance 1 gene expression in malignant intestinal epithelium.* Cancer Res, 2010. **70**(17): p. 6767-78.
- 41. Bonhomme, C., et al., *The Cdx2 homeobox gene has a tumour suppressor function in the distal colon in addition to a homeotic role during gut development*. Gut, 2003. **52**(10): p. 1465-1471.
- 42. Asgari-Karchekani, S., et al., CDX2 Protein Expression in Colorectal Cancer and Its Correlation with Clinical and Pathological Characteristics, Prognosis, and Survival Rate of Patients. J Gastrointest Cancer, 2019.
- 43. Brabletz, T., et al., Down-regulation of the homeodomain factor Cdx2 in colorectal cancer by collagen type I: an active role for the tumor environment in malignant tumor progression. Cancer Res, 2004. **64**(19): p. 6973-7.
- 44. Tanaka, T., et al., Dysregulated expression of P1 and P2 promoter-driven hepatocyte nuclear factor-4alpha in the pathogenesis of human cancer. J Pathol, 2006. **208**(5): p. 662-72.
- 45. Chellappa, K., G.R. Robertson, and F.M. Sladek,  $HNF4\alpha$ : a new biomarker in colon cancer? Biomarkers in medicine, 2012. **6**(3): p. 297-300.
- 46. Kalluri, R., *The biology and function of fibroblasts in cancer.* Nat Rev Cancer, 2016. **16**(9): p. 582-98.

- 47. Herrera, M., et al., Functional heterogeneity of cancer-associated fibroblasts from human colon tumors shows specific prognostic gene expression signature. Clin Cancer Res, 2013. **19**(21): p. 5914-26.
- 48. Herrera, M., et al., *Cancer-associated fibroblast and M2 macrophage markers together predict outcome in colorectal cancer patients*. Cancer Sci, 2013. **104**(4): p. 437-44.
- 49. Tsujino, T., et al., *Stromal myofibroblasts predict disease recurrence for colorectal cancer.* Clin Cancer Res, 2007. **13**(7): p. 2082-90.
- 50. Berdiel-Acer, M., et al., A monotonic and prognostic genomic signature from fibroblasts for colorectal cancer initiation, progression, and metastasis. Mol Cancer Res, 2014. **12**(9): p. 1254-66.
- 51. Calon, A., et al., *Stromal gene expression defines poor-prognosis subtypes in colorectal cancer.* 2015. **47**(4): p. 320-9.
- 52. Mukaida, N. and S. Sasaki, *Fibroblasts, an inconspicuous but essential player in colon cancer development and progression.* World journal of gastroenterology, 2016. **22**(23): p. 5301-5316.
- 53. Coulson-Thomas, V.J., et al., *Colorectal cancer desmoplastic reaction up-regulates collagen synthesis and restricts cancer cell invasion*. Cell Tissue Res, 2011. **346**(2): p. 223-36.
- 54. Shin, N., et al., Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts and Desmoplastic Reactions Related to Cancer Invasiveness in Patients With Colorectal Cancer. Ann Coloproctol, 2019. **35**(1): p. 36-46.
- 55. Hanley, C.J., et al., A subset of myofibroblastic cancer-associated fibroblasts regulate collagen fiber elongation, which is prognostic in multiple cancers. Oncotarget, 2016. **7**(5): p. 6159-74.
- 56. Torres, S., et al., *Proteome profiling of cancer-associated fibroblasts identifies novel proinflammatory signatures and prognostic markers for colorectal cancer.* Clin Cancer Res, 2013. **19**(21): p. 6006-19.
- 57. Rupp, C., et al., *IGFBP7*, a novel tumor stroma marker, with growth-promoting effects in colon cancer through a paracrine tumor-stroma interaction. Oncogene, 2015. **34**(7): p. 815-25.
- 58. Tommelein, J., et al., *Cancer-associated fibroblasts connect metastasis-promoting communication in colorectal cancer.* Front Oncol, 2015. **5**: p. 63.
- 59. Garcia-Palmero, I., et al., *Twist1-induced activation of human fibroblasts promotes matrix stiffness by upregulating palladin and collagen alpha1(VI).* Oncogene, 2016. **35**(40): p. 5224-5236.
- 60. Brokopp, C.E., et al., Fibroblast activation protein is induced by inflammation and degrades type I collagen in thin-cap fibroatheromata. Eur Heart J, 2011. **32**(21): p. 2713-22.
- 61. Koczorowska, M.M., et al., Fibroblast activation protein-alpha, a stromal cell surface protease, shapes key features of cancer associated fibroblasts through proteome and degradome alterations. Mol Oncol, 2016. **10**(1): p. 40-58.
- 62. Torres, S., et al., LOXL2 Is Highly Expressed in Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts and Associates to Poor Colon Cancer Survival. Clin Cancer Res, 2015. **21**(21): p. 4892-902.
- 63. Omoto, T., et al., *The impact of stromal Hic-5 on the tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer through lysyl oxidase induction and stromal remodeling.* Oncogene, 2018. **37**(9): p. 1205-1219.
- 64. Delaine-Smith, R., et al., *Transglutaminase-2 Mediates the Biomechanical Properties of the Colorectal Cancer Tissue Microenvironment that Contribute to Disease Progression*. Cancers, 2019. **11**(5): p. 701.
- 65. Rai, A., et al., Exosomes Derived from Human Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer Cells Contribute to Functional Heterogeneity of Activated Fibroblasts by Reprogramming Their Proteome. Proteomics, 2019. **19**(8): p. e1800148.
- 66. Calon, A., et al., *Dependency of colorectal cancer on a TGF-beta-driven program in stromal cells for metastasis initiation.* Cancer Cell, 2012. **22**(5): p. 571-84.
- 67. Khong, T.L., et al., *Identification of the angiogenic gene signature induced by EGF and hypoxia in colorectal cancer*. BMC Cancer, 2013. **13**: p. 518.
- 68. Paku, S., et al., *A new mechanism for pillar formation during tumor-induced intussusceptive angiogenesis: inverse sprouting.* Am J Pathol, 2011. **179**(3): p. 1573-85.

- 69. Santos, A.M., et al., *Targeting fibroblast activation protein inhibits tumor stromagenesis and growth in mice.* J Clin Invest, 2009. **119**(12): p. 3613-25.
- 70. Afik, R., et al., *Tumor macrophages are pivotal constructors of tumor collagenous matrix.* J Exp Med, 2016. **213**(11): p. 2315-2331.
- 71. Guinney, J., et al., *The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer.* Nat Med, 2015. **21**(11): p. 1350-6.
- 72. Herrera, A., et al., *Endothelial cell activation on 3D-matrices derived from PDGF-BB-stimulated fibroblasts is mediated by Snail1*. Oncogenesis, 2018. **7**(9): p. 76.
- 73. Olof Olsson, P., et al., *Inhibition of integrin alphaVbeta6 changes fibril thickness of stromal collagen in experimental carcinomas.* Cell Commun Signal, 2018. **16**(1): p. 36.
- 74. Afik, R., et al., *Tumor macrophages are pivotal constructors of tumor collagenous matrix.* J Exp Med. **213**(11): p. 2315-2331.
- 75. Kang, J.C., et al., *Intratumoral macrophage counts correlate with tumor progression in colorectal cancer*. J Surg Oncol, 2010. **102**(3): p. 242-8.
- 76. Cavdar, Z., et al., Role of gelatinases (matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9), vascular endothelial growth factor and endostatin on clinicopathological behaviour of rectal cancer. Colorectal Dis, 2011. **13**(2): p. 154-60.
- 77. Nozawa, H., C. Chiu, and D. Hanahan, *Infiltrating neutrophils mediate the initial angiogenic switch in a mouse model of multistage carcinogenesis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2006. **103**(33): p. 12493-8.
- 78. O'Shannessy, D.J., et al., *Influence of tumor microenvironment on prognosis in colorectal cancer: Tissue architecture-dependent signature of endosialin (TEM-1) and associated proteins.*Oncotarget, 2014. **5**(12): p. 3983-95.
- 79. Tong, R.T., et al., Vascular normalization by vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 blockade induces a pressure gradient across the vasculature and improves drug penetration in tumors. Cancer Res, 2004. **64**(11): p. 3731-6.
- 80. Mancuso, M.R., et al., *Rapid vascular regrowth in tumors after reversal of VEGF inhibition*. J Clin Invest, 2006. **116**(10): p. 2610-21.
- 81. Jia, Y., et al., Recombinant human endostatin endostar inhibits tumor growth and metastasis in a mouse xenograft model of colon cancer. Pathol Oncol Res, 2012. **18**(2): p. 315-23.
- 82. Stock, K., et al., Capturing tumor complexity in vitro: Comparative analysis of 2D and 3D tumor models for drug discovery. Sci Rep, 2016. **6**: p. 28951.
- 83. Mierke, C.T., *The fundamental role of mechanical properties in the progression of cancer disease and inflammation*. Rep Prog Phys, 2014. **77**(7): p. 076602.
- 84. De Angelis, M.L., et al., *Colorectal cancer spheroid biobanks: multi-level approaches to drug sensitivity studies.* 2018. **34**(6): p. 459-469.
- 85. Yada, E., et al., *Use of patient-derived xenograft mouse models in cancer research and treatment.* Future science OA, 2017. **4**(3): p. FSO271-FSO271.
- 86. Zoetemelk, M., et al., Short-term 3D culture systems of various complexity for treatment optimization of colorectal carcinoma. Sci Rep, 2019. **9**(1): p. 7103.
- 87. Pape, J., et al., *Cancer invasion regulates vascular complexity in a three-dimensional biomimetic model.* Eur J Cancer, 2019. **119**: p. 179-193.
- 88. Magdeldin, T., et al., *Engineering a vascularised 3D in vitro model of cancer progression.* Sci Rep, 2017. **7**: p. 44045.
- 89. Carvalho, M.R. and D. Barata, *Colorectal tumor-on-a-chip system: A 3D tool for precision onco-nanomedicine.* 2019. **5**(5): p. eaaw1317.
- 90. Piccoli, M., et al., *Decellularized colorectal cancer matrix as bioactive microenvironment for in vitro 3D cancer research.* J Cell Physiol, 2018. **233**(8): p. 5937-5948.

