



HAL
open science

Selective Deposition of Cobalt and Copper Oxides on BiVO₄ Facets for Enhancement of CO₂ Photocatalytic Reduction to Hydrocarbons

Xiang Yu, Vitaly Ordonsky, Andrei Khodakov

► **To cite this version:**

Xiang Yu, Vitaly Ordonsky, Andrei Khodakov. Selective Deposition of Cobalt and Copper Oxides on BiVO₄ Facets for Enhancement of CO₂ Photocatalytic Reduction to Hydrocarbons. *ChemCatChem*, 2019, 12 (3), pp.740-749. 10.1002/cctc.201901115 . hal-03052421

HAL Id: hal-03052421

<https://hal.science/hal-03052421v1>

Submitted on 11 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Heterogeneous & Homogeneous & Bio- & Nano-

CHEM **CAT** CHEM

CATALYSIS

Accepted Article

Title: Selective deposition of cobalt and copper oxides on BiVO₄ facets for enhancement of CO₂ photocatalytic reduction to hydrocarbons

Authors: Xiang Yu, Vitaly Ordonsky, and Andrei Khodakov

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *ChemCatChem* 10.1002/cctc.201901115

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201901115>

WILEY-VCH

www.chemcatchem.org



Selective deposition of cobalt and copper oxides on BiVO₄ facets for enhancement of CO₂ photocatalytic reduction to hydrocarbons

Dr. Xiang Yu^a, Dr. Vitaly V. Ordomsky^{a, b*} and Dr. Andrei Y. Khodakov^{a*}

^aUniv. Lille, CNRS, Centrale Lille, ENSCL, Univ. Artois, UMR 8181 - UCCS - Unité de Catalyse et Chimie du Solide, F-59000 Lille, France

^bEco-Efficient Products and Processes Laboratory (E2P2L), UMI 3464, CNRS-Solvay, 201108 Shanghai, People's Republic of China

Corresponding authors: vitaly.ordomsky@univ-lille.fr, andrei.khodakov@univ-lille.fr

Abstract

The nanostructure of a semiconductor is a crucial parameter for efficient photocatalytic performance. Hereby, we have synthesized monoclinic bismuth vanadate (BiVO₄) crystals with controlled ratio of {010} and {110} facets. The selective deposition of CuO_x and CoO_x over {010} and {110} facets has been performed using photo-reduction and photo-oxidation methods, respectively. It resulted in a highly efficient charge separation of photogenerated electrons and holes and enhancement of the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by H₂O into hydrocarbons, including CH₄, C₂H₆ and C₃H₈. The controlled co-catalyst deposition over different semiconductor facets provides a strategy for the synthesis of hydrocarbons from CO₂ and H₂O by efficient charge separation.

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide is widely recognized as a major greenhouse gas that causes global

environmental problems^[1]. Worldwide consumption of limited fossil fuels not only accelerates their depletion but also results in production of excessive atmospheric CO₂. In this regard, transformation of CO₂ to the fuels is a promising method for simultaneous solution of energy and environmental problems^[2]. In 1979, Japanese researcher Fujishima and Honda reported^[3] for the first time the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into organic compounds using semiconductor catalysts such as SiC, GaP, and TiO₂. Since then, numerous works have focused on the development of new semiconductor materials for reduction of CO₂^[4-7]. Various semiconductors were proven to be effective in photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, for example: TiO₂^[8, 9], Ga₂O₃^[10, 11], Zn₂GeO₄^[12, 13], WO₃^[14], Bi₂WO₆^[15, 16] and so on. The main products of photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by water are usually methane, higher hydrocarbons, methanol, ethanol, formaldehyde, formic acid or CO^[7].

Although a lot of progress has been made in this field, the activity of photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ is still insufficient due to poor charge separation and low quantum efficiency. In addition, photocatalytic transformation of CO₂ is limited due to low adsorption over the catalyst and difficulties in the activation of the stable CO₂ molecule.

In the photocatalytic reaction, the difference in the morphology of the catalyst often has a significant impact on the photocatalytic performance^[17]. The difference in catalyst morphology is mainly reflected by the exposure of different crystal facets, or the proportion of exposed crystal facets. In recent years, more and more reports have addressed the effects of exposed crystals on photocatalytic properties. It is generally believed that photocatalysts exhibit better catalytic properties when more active crystal facets are exposed to reacting molecules^[18, 19]. In many classical photocatalytic semiconductors, it has been shown that

controlling the exposure of a suitable crystal facets is an effective strategy to improve photocatalytic performance, for example: TiO_2 [20, 21], BiVO_4 [22-24], Cu_2O [25, 26] and CeO_2 [27]. In photocatalytic reactions, the high recombination rate of photogenerated electrons and holes is a major factor limiting its photocatalytic performance. The recombination rate of photogenerated electrons and holes can be greatly reduced with increase of activity by deposition of co-catalysts over semiconductors. In general, the role of co-catalyst can be relevant to the following processes: (1) better charge separation, (2) higher activity and selectivity of CO_2 reduction by suppression of side reactions and (3) enhanced stability of photocatalyst [28].

Bismuth vanadate (BiVO_4) is a promising visible light-driven semiconductor photocatalyst with low production cost, low toxicity, high stability and narrow band gap (2.4 eV) with good response to visible light excitation. BiVO_4 has demonstrated as efficient catalyst for the reduction of CO_2 into methanol and ethanol [29, 30]. However, the photocatalytic efficiency of BiVO_4 is low because of relatively low visible-light utilization and inefficient charge separation. The formation of Z-scheme photocatalytic systems that mimic natural photosynthesis with photocatalytic systems and electron mediator is a promising strategy to improve charge separation [31]. According to a few reports, the photocatalytic efficiency can be improved by Z-scheme on the BiVO_4 catalyst [32-34].

Herein, the separation of electrons and holes in bismuth vanadate (BiVO_4) is improved by control of the semiconductor morphology. The photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 under irradiation is significantly enhanced by selective photo-deposition of Cu and Co co-catalysts over different facets providing Z-scheme charge flow.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystal with controllable exposed facets

Monoclinic BiVO_4 crystal was synthesized by a hydrothermal method. In brief, the precursors NH_4VO_3 (0.015 mol) and $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.015 mol) were dissolved in an aqueous nitric acid solutions (2 M), and the pH value of the solution was adjusted to 2.0 with ammonia solution (14.84 M) under continuous stirring. An orange precipitate appears over time. After that, NaCl was added into the suspension and stirring was continued for 30 minutes. After about 5 h aging, the suspension was transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with a capacity of 100 mL and treated under hydrothermal conditions at 473 K for 24 h. After the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature, the yellow powdery sample was separated by filtration, washed with deionized water for several times, and then dried at 353 K in air for overnight. Finally, the sample was calcined at 773 K in air for 2 h. The samples were denoted as BiVO_{4-x} M, and x represents the concentration of NaCl in the suspension.

2.2 Synthesis of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystal with predominantly exposed $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets

Synthesis of BiVO_{4-010}

The precursor $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.015 mol) was dissolved into an aqueous nitric acid solutions (4 M). And the precursors NH_4VO_3 (0.015 mol) was dissolved into another aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (2 M). Afterwards, sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (0.002 mol) was added to the above solutions separately. After stirring for 30 minutes, the above two solutions were mixed under continuous stirring. The pH value of mixed solutions was adjusted to 7.0 with sodium hydroxide solution (2 M). After about 1 h stirring, the mixed solution was

transferred into Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and hydrothermally treated at 473 K for 1.5 h. The yellow powdery was recovered by filtration, washed with deionized water for several times, and then dried at 353 K in air for overnight. This sample was denoted as BiVO₄-010.

Synthesis of BiVO₄-110

The BiVO₄-110 sample was synthesized using solid-liquid state reaction by mixing equal amount of Bi₂O₃ (0.005 mol) and V₂O₅ (0.005 mol) in an aqueous nitric acid solution (1 M). The suspension was stirred for four days at room temperature. The yellow powdery was separated by filtration, washed with deionized water for several times and dried at 353 K in air for overnight. This sample was denoted as BiVO₄-110.

2.3 Selective photo-deposition of metal oxides in monoclinic BiVO₄ crystal

Photo-reduction and photo-oxidation procedures have been used for deposition of co-catalysts. 1.0 g BiVO₄ powder and a calculated amount of metal precursors were added in 40 mL deionized water containing a sacrificial agent. After about 20 minutes ultrasonic dispersion, the aqueous suspension under irradiation with a 300 W Xe lamp for 5 h under continuous stirring with the protection of nitrogen. Then the suspension was filtered, washed with deionized water for several times and dried at 353 K in air for overnight.

5 wt% of metal in the form of metal oxides (WO_x, FeO_x, ZnO_x, CuO_x and CoO_x) were deposited over BiVO₄-0.20M by photo-reduction procedure using 20 wt% of methanol as sacrificial agent and (NH₄)₁₀(H₂W₁₂O₄₂)·4H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O as precursors, respectively. The obtained samples were denoted as **WO_x/BiVO₄, FeO_x/BiVO₄, ZnO_x/BiVO₄ and CuO_x/BiVO₄.**

5 wt% of metal in the form of metal oxides MnO_x , PbO_2 and CoO_x have been deposited over $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ by photo-oxidation technique using NaIO_3 (0.1 M) as the electron acceptor and $\text{Mn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Pd}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as precursor, respectively. The obtained samples were denoted as **$\text{MnO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$** , **$\text{PbO}_2/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$** and **$\text{CoO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$** .

For comparison, the reference sample **$\text{CoO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4(\text{imp})$** has been synthesized by impregnation of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Co}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ over BiVO_4 -0.20M with subsequent calcination at 673 K.

2.4 Characterization.

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) experiments were conducted using a Bruker AXS D8 diffractometer with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.1538$ nm). The XRD patterns were collected in the $5\text{--}80^\circ$ (2θ) range. The diffuse reflectance UV-visible spectra of the catalysts were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 650 S UV/VIS spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere covered with BaSO_4 as a reference.

The textural properties of the samples were studied by N_2 physisorption on a Micromeritics Tristar 3020 apparatus.

The photoluminescence spectroscopy measurements were performed on a LabRam HR (Horiba scientific). For excitation, 532 nm radiation from a diode-pumped solid-state 300 μW laser was used. The spectrophotometer has an entrance slit of 100 μm , and is equipped with a 300 lines/ mm^{-1} grating that permits to achieve a spectral resolution of 3.8 cm^{-1} per pixel. The luminescence light was detected with a CCD camera operating at 138 K.

The SEM measurements were carried out using JEOL scanning electron microscope with 30 Kv accelerating voltage.

The XPS spectra were registered using a Kratos Axis spectrometer, equipped with an aluminum monochromator for a 1486.6 eV source working at 120 W. All spectra were recorded under a vacuum of 10^{-8} Torr and recalibrated afterwards with the binding energy of the Al 2p at 74.6 eV.

2.5 Photocatalytic tests

The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in water was carried out in a homemade stainless-steel batch reactor (~250 mL) with a quartz window on the top of the reactor. The light source was 400 W Xe lamp (Newport). The catalyst was placed on a quartz glass holder in the middle of reactor (**Figure S1, SI**). 15 ml of liquid water was added at the bottom of reactor. The reaction has been conducted at 323 K and 0.2 MPa of CO₂. Before start of the photocatalytic reaction, the reactor was kept in the dark for 1 h to ensure an adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the photocatalyst and the reactants. Subsequently, the reactor was irradiated by a 400 W Xe lamp. The spectral range of the irradiation was selected using Hamamatsu optical filter. The photocatalytic reaction time was typically 7 h.

The gas phase reaction products (CO, CH₄, C₂H₆ and C₃H₈) were analyzed by on-line gas chromatography (GC, PerkinElmer Clarus[®] 580). Liquid products such as HCHO and CH₃OH were analyzed by off-line GC analysis. The GC was equipped with a PoraBOND Q and ShinCarbon ST 100/120 columns with detection by flame ionization detector (FID) and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Monoclinic BiVO_4 crystal with designable facets

Uniform truncated tetragonal bipyramidal morphology BiVO_4 with designable exposed facets has been prepared using hydrothermal method with variation of NaCl content [24]. XRD measurements indicate that these samples exhibit a monoclinic structure [23,35] (**Figure 1**). The intensity of the (040) diffraction peak at $30.5^\circ 2\theta$ depends on the concentration of NaCl. Increase of NaCl concentration from 0.04 to 0.5 M leads to increase of intensity with subsequent rapid decrease when the concentration reaches 2 M, indicating that NaCl affects the morphology of BiVO_4 .

The SEM images show that the BiVO_4 crystals were composed of uniformed single crystal with truncated tetragonal bipyramidal shape (**Figure 2b-e**) when the concentration of NaCl is between 0.04 - 0.50 M. However, the BiVO_4 crystals has an irregular morphology (**Figure 2a,f**) when NaCl was not included or if the concentration is too high. Interestingly, the morphology of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystals changed gradually with the concentration of NaCl. Crystal model of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystals with truncated tetragonal bipyramidal shape contains two {010} facets on the top and bottom and eight {110} facets on the edges (**Figure 3**). The fraction of {010} facets has been calculated by the crystal model equation. The percentages of {010} facets can be calculated as follows [21, 24].

$$S_{010} = 2a^2,$$

$$S_{110} = 8 * 0.5 * (a + b) * L_{DE}, L_{DE} = L_{CE} - L_{CD}, L_{CE} = 0.5b / \cos\theta, L_{CD} = 0.5a / \cos\theta,$$

$$S_{110} = 2 * (b^2 - a^2) / \cos\theta,$$

$$F_{010\%} = S_{110} / (S_{110} + S_{010}) = 2a^2 / 2 * (b^2 - a^2) / \cos\theta = \cos\theta / (\cos\theta + b^2 / a^2 - 1)$$

Here θ is the theoretical value (66.07°) for the angle between the $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystal. Note that the fraction of $\{010\}$ facets increases significantly with the increase of NaCl concentration from 26 % to 75 % (**Table 1**).




Figure 1. XRD patterns of the monoclinic BiVO_4 samples with different concentration of NaCl and BiVO_4 with predominately exposed $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets

BiVO_4 -010 and BiVO_4 -110 samples with predominantly $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets have been synthesized. The SEM images confirm that the BiVO_4 crystals have $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets predominantly exposed (**Figure 2**). XRD measurements also confirm synthesis of

BiVO_4 -010 and BiVO_4 -110 samples with predominantly $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets (**Figure 1**).

The UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of the BiVO_4 crystals with different concentration of NaCl is shown in **Figure 4**. The samples exhibit intense absorption in the ultraviolet region (< 400 nm) as well as visible light region (< 500 nm). The band gap energy for different morphology samples estimated using Tauc's plots of UV-Vis spectra is the same, being 2.3 eV (**Table 1**).




Figure 2. SEM images of BiVO_4 samples synthesized with different concentration of NaCl.

NaCl concentration: (a) 0 M, (b) 0.04 M, (c) 0.10 M, (d) 0.20 M, (e) 0.50 M, (f) 2.0 M, (g)

BiVO_4 -010, (h) BiVO_4 -110. Scale bar: 1.0 μm .




Figure 3. Crystal model of monoclinic BiVO_4 crystals with truncated tetragonal bipyramidal shape.

Photoluminescence spectroscopy has been used to evaluate the recombination of holes and electrons of different BiVO_4 catalysts. A luminescence band centered at 620 nm was observed for monoclinic BiVO_4 crystals (**Figure 5**). It is generally accepted that photoluminescence is produced by the recombination of photogenerated carriers on a semiconductor, and therefore the higher intensity of the luminescent band means that the probability of photogenerated carrier recombination is higher ^[36]. For the series of BiVO_4 samples, the intensity of the luminescence band decreases in the order of $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-0.04M} > \text{BiVO}_4\text{-0M} > \text{BiVO}_4\text{-2.0M} > \text{BiVO}_4\text{-0.50M} > \text{BiVO}_4\text{-0.10M} > \text{BiVO}_4\text{-0.20M}$, indicating that $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-0.20M}$ with 64 % of {010} facet has the lowest ability of electron-hole recombination. Note that the irregular BiVO_4 crystals show a higher ability of electron-hole recombination. In other words, truncated tetragonal bipyramidal shape is good for electron-hole separation.





Figure 4. UV-Vis spectra of BiVO₄ samples synthesized with different concentration of NaCl.






Figure 5. (a) Photoluminescence spectra of BiVO₄ samples synthesized with different concentration of NaCl. (b) Photoluminescence spectra of BiVO₄-0.20M crystal with selective photo-deposited the metal oxides.

The UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (**Figure 6**) of CuO_x/CoO_x /BiVO₄-0.20M nanocomposite and reference compounds are displayed in Figure. It is noted that each sample shows different absorption profile in visible light region except for that of strong absorption in the UV-light region. The presence of Co₃O₄ results in an increase in the ability of visible light absorption. Co₃O₄ has absorption in nearly all of the visible light range and induces an extension of the light absorption spectrum of the composite semiconductor even at low cobalt contents. The band gap energy for different nanocomposites was estimated using Tauc's plots of UV-Vis spectra. The direct band gaps of BiVO₄, CuO_x/ BiVO₄ and CuO_x/CoO_x /BiVO₄ samples are estimated to be 2.34, 2.30 and 2.16 eV. They are slightly smaller than the reported values of pure BiVO₄. The decrease in band gap energy indicates that the nanocomposite has a much greater optical absorption region than pure BiVO₄, which can be excited to produce

more electron-hole pairs under the same visible light illumination and then result in higher photocatalytic activity.




Figure 6 a. UV-Vis spectra of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -0.20M sample b. $[F(R) \cdot hv]^{1/2}$ versus hv for $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -0.20M sample

Table 1. Fraction of {010} facets, specific surface areas, bandgap energies of BiVO₄ samples with different NaCl concentration.

Sample	Fraction of {010} facets (%)	Surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	Bandgap energy (eV)
BiVO ₄ -0M	/	1.3	2.3
BiVO ₄ -0.04M	26	2.2	2.3
BiVO ₄ -0.10M	44	2.8	2.3
BiVO ₄ -0.20M	64	2.9	2.3
BiVO ₄ -0.50M	75	2.6	2.3
BiVO ₄ -2.0M	/	1.4	2.3

ZnO_x, WO_x, FeO_x and CuO_x were loaded onto series of BiVO₄ samples through photo-reduction deposition technique (**Figure 7**). SEM images show that CuO_x nanoparticles were selectively deposited onto the {010} facets of BiVO₄ crystal (**Figure 8b**). Several oxide co-catalysts MnO_x, PbO₂ and CoO_x have been deposited by photo-oxidation using NaIO₃ as sacrificial agent on the surface of CuO_x/BiVO₄ catalyst. SEM analysis demonstrates that these oxides are located on the {110} facets of BiVO₄ crystal (**Figure 7, 8c**).




Figure 7. Schematic of the synthesis of CuO_x/CoO_x/BiVO₄ catalyst.




Figure 8. SEM images of $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$ sample with selective photo-deposited metal oxides: (a) $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$, (b) $\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$, (c) $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$.

To provide further insights into the copper and cobalt state in $\text{CoO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ catalyst was characterized by XPS (**Figure S2, SI**). The binding energies of Cu $2p_{3/2}$ for the catalyst was about 932.7 eV, which could be attributed to either Cu^0 or Cu^{I} [37-39]. The positions of the Cu L_{3VV} Auger lines for these samples were centered at 916.7 eV, corresponding to that of Cu^{I} in Cu_2O [40]. **Figure S2, SI** represents the Co 2p XPS spectra of the catalyst. Co $2p_{3/2}$ binding energies at 781.5 eV, absence of the satellite structure and $2p_{3/2}\text{-}2p_{1/2}$ spin-orbit splitting (ΔE) of 15.1 eV indicates on the presence of Co_3O_4 phase [41-43].

3.2 Catalytic performance

Figure 9 demonstrates the effect of photo-reductive deposition of different metal oxides over $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$ sample, which according to our characterization study demonstrates the most efficient charge separation. Methane, ethane, propane, formaldehyde and methanol were formed as the products of the reaction of CO_2 reduction by H_2O . The deposition of W and Fe does not lead to significantly improve of the catalytic performance in comparison with the parent $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$ which is almost inactive. The main products of the reaction were CO and methane. In the presence of ZnO_x activity significantly increases to $2 \mu\text{molg}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ with increase of the contribution of methane in the products in comparison with CO. The highest

activity ($6.8 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$) has been observed over $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$ with formation of broad range of hydrocarbons (methane, ethane and propane) and oxygenates (formaldehyde and methanol).




Figure 9. Effect of metal oxides on the performance of $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$ for photocatalytic reduction CO_2 with H_2O .

Further we have studied effect of CuO_x deposition over BiVO_4 catalyst with systematically changed fraction of $\{010\}$ facets (**Figure 10**). As compared to BiVO_4 with an irregular morphology, which was synthesized without addition of NaCl , $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ catalysts with a uniform truncated tetragonal bipyramidal morphology exhibited higher formation rate of hydrocarbon fuels. Interestingly, the photocatalytic performance depends on the fraction of $\{010\}$ facets. The formation rate of hydrocarbons has bell shaped curve with

maximum at the fraction of exposed $\{010\}$ facets 64 % with formation rate $6.8 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$. $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -010 and $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -110 with predominantly $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets demonstrate lower formation rate of hydrocarbons in comparison with catalysts containing both facets.

Our characterizations clarified that the specific surface areas change slightly with an increase in the fraction of $\{010\}$ facets. These cannot explain the change in the catalytic behaviours with an increase in the fraction of $\{010\}$ facets. Furthermore, the bandgap energy values calculated from the UV-vis spectroscopy for this series of catalysts were the same, being 2.3 eV (**Table 1**). Thus, the observation that the BiVO_4 single crystal with an 64% fraction of $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets shows the highest catalytic performance (**Table 1**) suggests that both facets play crucial roles in the photocatalytic reduction CO_2 .




Figure 10. Effect of the fraction of $\{010\}$ facets on the photocatalytic performance of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ catalyst for reduction CO_2 with H_2O . ^a BiVO_4 with an irregular morphology (NaCl concentration: 0M).

Recent studies have demonstrated that photogenerated electrons and holes in monoclinic BiVO_4 crystals will migrate to the {010} and {110} facets respectively [23]. Li and coworker^[44] evaluated the energy levels of {010} and {110} facets of BiVO_4 crystals by DFT calculation method. They observed a slight difference in energy of the valence and conductive band between the {010} and {110} facets which suggested that the electron transfer from {110} to {010} facets is feasible thermodynamically. Thus, it can be expected that the coexistence of the {010} and {110} facets with the appropriate ratio will favor the separation of photogenerated electrons and holes. This order fits well with obtained photoluminescence measurement, confirming that the {010} and {110} facet coexistence and appropriate ratio facilitates the separation of electron-hole pairs. Furthermore, the order of the efficiency of electron-hole pairs separation is the same as that of the formation rate of hydrocarbon fuels for the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 with H_2O (**Figure 10**). Therefore, we believe that the ability of electron-hole pairs separation is a key factor in determining the catalytic behavior of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ with different fraction of {010} facets.

Table 2 displays the photocatalytic performance data of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ with different CuO_x contents over $\text{BiVO}_4\text{-}0.20\text{M}$. Note that the increase in Cu content from 1 to 5 wt% enhances the formation rate of hydrocarbons with further stabilization at 8 wt%. The surface area of BiVO_4 is quite low and saturation of {010} facets takes place already at relatively low Cu content. Hydrogen production follows the same trend as hydrocarbon production and indicates on not full utilization of hydrogen for CO_2 reduction during photocatalysis. For other catalysts hydrogen production was also related to production of hydrocarbons.

Table 2. Effect of Cu content on the performance of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -0.20M for photocatalytic reduction CO_2 with H_2O

Catalyst	Product formation rate ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)							Hydrocarbon formation rate ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$)
	CO	CH_4	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	HCHO	CH_3OH	H_2	
1% $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$	0.51	0.73	0	0	0	0	0	0.73
3% $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$	0.82	2.5	0.61	0.42	0.35	0.55	0.42	4.4
5% $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$	1.4	3.5	1.1	0.80	0.60	0.82	0.91	6.8
8% $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$	1.3	3.3	1.0	0.63	0.51	0.60	0.96	6.0

The catalytic properties after deposition of co-catalysts by photo-oxidation over $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ have been studied by catalytic tests at the same conditions (**Figure 11**). The catalytic activity was gradually increasing in the row: $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4 < \text{MnO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4 < \text{PbO}_2/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4 < \text{CoO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$. It is interesting to note that deposition of co-catalysts does not lead to significant change in the selectivity to hydrocarbons (**Figure S3, SI**). Methane was still responsible for about 40 % of carbon utilization with selectivity about 20 % to ethane and propane and rest to heavier hydrocarbons. Afterwards the catalytic performance of $\text{CoO}_x/\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ has been optimized by variation of CoO_x content. The catalytic behavior is similar to those of Cu with increase of the catalytic activity till 5 wt% of CoO_x and stable performance at higher loading (**Table S1, SI**). Thus, the highest catalytic activity for CO_2 reduction by water is achieved for the $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ catalyst with selective photo-

deposited CuO_x and CoO_x nanoparticles over $\{010\}$ and $\{110\}$ facets, respectively. For comparison, the $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ (imp) synthesized by impregnation method with non-selective loading of CuO_x and CoO_x demonstrates much lower catalytic activity and significantly higher contribution of methane in the products (**Figure 11, Figure S3, SI**). This result indicates that the photocatalytic performance can be significantly enhanced when the reduction/oxidation co-catalysts were selectively deposited on the corresponding reduction/oxidation reaction facets, which can minimize electron-hole pairs recombination. This assumption has been confirmed by the fact that intensity of photoluminescence band decreased significantly by loading CuO_x and CoO_x onto the BiVO_4 -0.20M crystal (**Figure 5**).




Figure 11. Effect of cocatalyst on the performance of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -0.20M for photocatalytic reduction CO_2 with H_2O .

The stability of optimized catalyst containing 5 wt% Cu and 5 wt% Co over BiVO₄-0.20M has been studied by repeated tests with degassing and CO₂ reintroduction after 7 h of reaction. Similar activities for the formations rate of hydrocarbon fuels were observed after five cycles (Figure 11).




Figure 12. Repeated uses of the 5 wt% CuO_x/5 wt% CoO_x/BiVO₄-0.20M catalyst for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O.

Table 3 shows that the formation rate of the CO₂ reduction over CoO_x/CuO_x/BiVO₄ catalyst under visible light range (382-600 nm) is only slightly lower compared to the results with light range before filtration (240-600 nm). The main advantage of BiVO₄ as semiconductor in comparison with TiO₂ is its ability to work under visible light irradiation

Table 3. Performances of the 5 wt% CuO_x/5 wt%CoO_x /BiVO₄-0.20 M catalyst for

photocatalytic reduction CO₂ with H₂O measured under irradiation at different spectral ranges.

Spectral range of irradiation (nm)	Product formation rate (μmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹) ^a							Hydrocarbon formation rate (μmol g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)
	CO	CH ₄	C ₂ H ₆	C ₃ H ₈	HCHO	CH ₃ OH	H ₂	
dark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
240-600	1.5	3.8	1.1	0.50	1.1	0.81	1.0	7.3
382-600	0.60	2.0	0.52	0.21	0.30	0.41	0.2	3.1

^a Reaction conditions: catalyst, 0.1 g; Gas phase pressure, CO₂ 0.2 Mpa; H₂O 15 mL; irradiation time, 7h; light source, Hamamatsu LC8-06 Hg-Xe stabilized irradiation lamps with a spectral irradiance in the range 240-600; Cut-off filter: visible light, 382 nm < λ < 600 nm.

3.3 Z-scheme mechanism of the Cu₂O/CuO_x/BiVO₄-0.20M catalyst for photocatalytic reduction CO₂ with H₂O

The valence band (VB) of Cu₂O is very close to the conduction band (CB) of BiVO₄. This fact suggests a possibility of construction of the Z-scheme photocatalysis system for reduction of CO₂ similar to the previous reports [34, 45-47]. The significant enhancement of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction can be explained by Z-scheme charge-transfer mechanism (**Figure 13**).

In summary, when both Cu₂O and BiVO₄ were excited by the irradiation, the photogenerated electrons in the CB of BiVO₄ recombine with the photogenerated holes in the VB of Cu₂O owing to their close band position. Finally, the photogenerated electrons in the

CB of Cu_2O are used for the reduction of CO_2 produce hydrocarbon fuels, while the photogenerated holes in the VB of BiVO_4 transfer to Co_3O_4 of oxidation co-catalyst, which used for the oxidation reaction of water (**Figure 13**).

The effect of close position of CB of BiVO_4 and VB of Cu_2O has been verified by deposition of other metal oxides (WO_x , FeO_x and ZnO) by photo-reduction technique with different band positions instead of CuO_x (**Figure 9**). The activity correlates well with band position on the **Figure 13**. Thus, FeO_x and WO_x almost did not affect catalytic activity of pure BiVO_4 due to low CB potential in comparison with the CO_2/CH_4 reduction potential. However, ZnO with higher reduction potential results in significant increase of the catalytic activity.




Figure 13. (a) Comparison of the band structures of various semiconductor with respect to the redox potentials of reduction of CO_2 , (b) Schematic of the Z-scheme of $\text{CuO}_x/\text{CoO}_x/\text{BiVO}_4$ -0.20M catalyst.

4. Conclusion

The present work focuses on the design efficient photocatalyst construction for the preferential photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O. The monoclinic BiVO₄ crystals with truncated tetragonal bipyramidal morphology and controllable exposed {010} and {110} facets ratios have been synthesized. The fraction of {010} facets could be varied in the range from 26 to 75 %. The facet-selective photo-deposition of the metal oxides can significantly enhance the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂. BiVO₄ crystals containing 64% of {010} facets fraction with Cu₂O and Co₃O₄ nanoparticles photo-deposited onto {010} and {110} facets, respectively, were the most efficient for the separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs. This catalyst demonstrated the highest stable catalytic activity toward production of hydrocarbons even under visible light irradiation. The construction of Z-scheme for CO₂ reduction by water using oxidation and reduction co-catalysts played a key role in enhancing of the efficiency of charge separation.

Keywords: photocatalysis; structural sensitivity; reduction of CO₂; bismuth vanadate; Z-scheme

References

- [1] E. Worrell, L. Price, N. Martin, C. Hendriks, L.O. Meida, *Ann. Rev. Environ. Resour.* **2001**, 26, 303-329.
- [2] J. Barber, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, 38, 185-196.
- [3] S. Xie, Q. Zhang, G. Liu, Y. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, 52, 35-59.
- [4] V.P. Indrakanti, J.D. Kubicki, H.H. Schobert, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2009**, 2, 745-758.
- [5] S.C. Roy, O.K. Varghese, M. Paulose, C.A. Grimes, *ACS Nano.* **2010**, 4, 1259-1278.
- [6] A. Corma, H. Garcia, *J. Catal.* **2013**, 308, 168-175.
- [7] S.N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende, J.K. Stolarczyk, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52, 7372-7408.
- [8] L. Liu, H. Zhao, J.M. Andino, Y. Li, *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, 1817-1828.

- [9] W. Jiao, L. Wang, G. Liu, G.Q. Lu, H.-M. Cheng, *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, 1854-1859.
- [10] H.-a. Park, J.H. Choi, K.M. Choi, D.K. Lee, J.K. Kang, *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, 22, 5304-5307.
- [11] H. Tsuneoka, K. Teramura, T. Shishido, T. Tanaka, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, 114, 8892-8898.
- [12] Q. Liu, Y. Zhou, J. Kou, X. Chen, Z. Tian, J. Gao, S. Yan, Z. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 14385-14387.
- [13] N. Zhang, S. Ouyang, P. Li, Y. Zhang, G. Xi, T. Kako, J. Ye, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 2041-2043.
- [14] X. Chen, Y. Zhou, Q. Liu, Z. Li, J. Liu, Z. Zou, *ACS Appl. Mater. Inter.* **2012**, 4, 3372-3377.
- [15] H. Cheng, B. Huang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Qin, X. Zhang, Y. Dai, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 9729-9731.
- [16] N. Zhang, R. Ciriminna, M. Pagliaro, Y.-J. Xu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, 43, 5276-5287.
- [17] X. Chen, S.S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 107, 2891-2959.
- [18] G. Liu, C.Y. Jimmy, G.Q.M. Lu, H.-M. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, 47, 6763-6783.
- [19] Z.-F. Huang, L. Pan, J.-J. Zou, X. Zhang, L. Wang, *Nanoscale* **2014**, 6, 14044-14063.
- [20] X. Han, Q. Kuang, M. Jin, Z. Xie, L. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 3152-3153.
- [21] J. Yu, J. Low, W. Xiao, P. Zhou, M. Jaroniec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, 136, 8839-8842.
- [22] G. Xi, J. Ye, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 1893-1895.
- [23] R. Li, F. Zhang, D. Wang, J. Yang, M. Li, J. Zhu, X. Zhou, H. Han, C. Li, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 1432.
- [24] S. Xie, Z. Shen, H. Zhang, J. Cheng, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, 7, 923-933.
- [25] W.-C. Huang, L.-M. Lyu, Y.-C. Yang, M.H. Huang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 134, 1261-1267.
- [26] L. Wang, J. Ge, A. Wang, M. Deng, X. Wang, S. Bai, R. Li, J. Jiang, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 126, 5207-5211.
- [27] P. Li, Y. Zhou, Z. Zhao, Q. Xu, X. Wang, M. Xiao, Z. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 9547-9550.
- [28] J. Ran, M. Jaroniec, S.Z. Qiao, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, 30, 1704649.
- [29] Y. Liu, B. Huang, Y. Dai, X. Zhang, X. Qin, M. Jiang, M.-H. Whangbo, *Catal. Commun.* **2019**, 11, 210-213.
- [30] W. Dai, H. Xu, J. Yu, X. Hu, X. Luo, X. Tu, L. Yang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, 356, 173-180.
- [31] P. Zhou, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, 26, 4920-4935.
- [32] Z.-H. Wei, Y.-F. Wang, Y.-Y. Li, L. Zhang, H.-C. Yao, Z.-J. Li, *J. CO2 Util.* **2018**, 28, 15-25.
- [33] J.M. Sommers, N.P. Alderman, C.J. Viasus, S. Gambarotta, *Dalton T.* **2017**, 46, 6404-6408.
- [34] C. Kim, K.M. Cho, A. Al-Saggaf, I. Gereige, H.-T. Jung, *ACS Catal.* **2018**, 8, 4170-4177.
- [35] Y. Park, K.J. McDonald, K.-S. Choi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, 42, 2321-2337.
- [36] X. Wang, Z. Feng, J. Shi, G. Jia, S. Shen, J. Zhou, C. Li, *PCCP* **2010**, 12, 7083-7090.
- [37] J. Espinós, J. Morales, A. Barranco, A. Caballero, J. Holgado, A. González-Elipe, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2002**, 106, 6921-6929.

- [38]J.-Y. Park, Y.-S. Jung, J. Cho, W.-K. Choi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2006**, 252, 5877-5891.
- [39]T. Ghodselahi, M. Vesaghi, A. Shafiekhani, A. Baghizadeh, M. Lameii, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, 255, 2730-2734.
- [40]Q. Zhai, S. Xie, W. Fan, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Deng, Y. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, 125, 5888-5891.
- [41]R. Riva, H. Miessner, R. Vitali, G. Del Piero, *Appl. Catal., A* **2000**, 196, 111-123.
- [42]K. Qian, W. Huang, Z. Jiang, H. Sun, *J. Catal.* **2007**, 248, 137-141.
- [43]C. Yuan, L. Yang, L. Hou, J. Li, Y. Sun, X. Zhang, L. Shen, X. Lu, S. Xiong, X.W. Lou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2012**, 22, 2560-2566.
- [44]T. Liu, X. Zhou, M. Dupuis, C. Li, *PCCP* **2015**, 17, 23503-23510.
- [45]C. Zhou, S. Wang, Z. Zhao, Z. Shi, S. Yan, Z. Zou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, 180, 1214.
- [46]Y. Deng, L. Tang, G. Zeng, C. Feng, H. Dong, J. Wang, H. Feng, Y. Liu, Y. Zhou, Y. Pang, *Environ. Sci-Nano* **2017**, 4, 1494-1511.
- [47]M. Zhu, Z. Sun, M. Fujitsuka, T. Majima, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, 57, 2160-2164.