



**HAL**  
open science

## **The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector interacts with the soybean GmHub6 protein to promote host plant parasitism by *Meloidogyne incognita***

Reneida Aparecida Godinho Mendes, Marcos Fernando Basso, Bruno Paes De Melo, Rayane Nunes Lima, Janaina Fernandes De Araújo, Maira Grossi De-Sa, Vanessa da Silva Mattos, Roberto Coiti Togawa, Érika Valéria Saliba Albuquerque, Maria Eugênia Lisei De-Sa, et al.

### ► **To cite this version:**

Reneida Aparecida Godinho Mendes, Marcos Fernando Basso, Bruno Paes De Melo, Rayane Nunes Lima, Janaina Fernandes De Araújo, et al.. The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector interacts with the soybean GmHub6 protein to promote host plant parasitism by *Meloidogyne incognita*. Research Square, 2020, 10.21203/rs.3.rs-29152/v1 . hal-03052406

**HAL Id: hal-03052406**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03052406>**

Submitted on 10 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector interacts with the soybean GmHub6 protein to promote host plant parasitism by *Meloidogyne incognita*

**Reneida Aparecida Godinho Mendes**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Marcos Fernando Basso** (✉ [marcosbiotec@gmail.com](mailto:marcosbiotec@gmail.com))

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8192-8959>

**Bruno Paes de Melo**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Rayane Nunes Lima**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Janaina Fernandes de Araújo**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Maira Grossi de-Sa**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Vanessa da Silva Mattos**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Roberto Coiti Togawa**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Érika Valéria Saliba Albuquerque**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Maria Eugênia Lisei de-Sa**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Maria Cristina Mattar da Silva**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Leonardo Lima Pepino Macedo**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

**Rodrigo da Rocha Fragoso**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Cerrados

**Diana Fernandez**

CIRAD

**Florence Vignols**

Biochimie et Physiologie Moleculaire des Plantes

**Maria Fatima Grossi-de-Sa**

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuaria Recursos Geneticos e Biotecnologia

---

**Research article**

**Keywords:** Root-knot nematodes, effector secretion, protein-protein interaction, nematode control

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-29152/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

**Background:** *Meloidogyne incognita* is the most frequently reported species from the root-knot nematode (RKN) complex responsible for causing damage in several different crops worldwide. The interaction between *M. incognita* and host plants involves the secretions of molecular factors from the nematode, which mainly suppress the defense response and promote plant parasitism. On the other hand, several plant elements are associated with the immune defense system that opposes nematode infection.

**Results:** In this study, the interaction of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector with the soybean GmHub6 (Glyma.17G099100; TCP14) protein was identified and characterized *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Data showed that the *GmHub6* gene is upregulated by *M. incognita* infection in a nematode-resistant soybean cultivar (PI595099) compared to a susceptible cultivar (BRS133). Accordingly, the *Arabidopsis thaliana* *AtHub6* mutant line (AT3G47620, orthologous gene of *GmHub6* displayed normal vegetative development of the plant but was more susceptible to *M. incognita*. Thus, since the soybean and *A. thaliana* Hub6 proteins are TEOSINTE BRANCHED/CYCLOIDEA/PCF (TCP) transcription factors involved in plant development and morphogenesis modulation, flowering time regulation, and the activation of the plant immune system, our data suggest that the interaction of Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and Hub6 proteins is associated with an increase in plant susceptibility to nematode infection during parasitism. It is suggested that this interaction may prevent the nuclear localization or disturb the activity of GmHub6 as a typical transcription factor modulating the cell cycle of the plant, avoid the activation of the host's defense response, and successfully promote parasitism.

**Conclusion:** Our findings indicate the potential of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector for the development of biotechnological tools based on the approaches of RNA interference and *GmHub6* gene overexpression for RKN control.

## Background

*Meloidogyne incognita* is a biotrophic pathogen and obligate sedentary endoparasite belonging to the root-knot nematode (RKN) complex, which consists of the unique genus *Meloidogyne* spp. [1, 2]. The RKN life cycle consists of six stages: egg, J1 (first-stage juvenile), J2 (second-stage juvenile), J3 (third-stage juvenile), J4 (fourth-stage juvenile), and adult (female and male). J3, J4, and female individuals are typically sedentary endophytes, while the egg, J1, and preparasitic J2 stages are exophytes in most *Meloidogyne* species [2-4]. *M. incognita* is one of the major agricultural pathogens responsible for causing significant annual economic losses worldwide [5]. It disturbs plant roots by altering the cell cycle, increasing the size of parasitized cells, and causing cell hyperproliferation and the development of giant cells [6-10]. These disorders disrupt water and nutrient uptake in roots and may reduce plant growth and yield [11-13].

The interaction between *M. incognita* and host plants involves an extensive molecular immunity network involved in defense and counter-defense [14, 15]. In addition to basal defense mechanisms, after the

recognition of nematode elicitors, host plants increase the production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species and other toxic compounds derived from secondary metabolism [16-19]. In contrast, *M. incognita* increases the production and release of antioxidant and detoxifying compounds [20-23] and, particularly, effector proteins to overcome host defense [24-26]. Thus, several nematode effector proteins modulate different biological processes and defense responses of the host plant [24, 26-30]. For example, *Mi*-MSP18 [28] and *Mi*-Msp40 [31] effectors function in cell death suppression and can increase plant susceptibility and modulate host immunity. Likewise, the *Mi*-Mi8D05 effector interacts with the plant tonoplast intrinsic protein 2 (TIP2) aquaporin, suggesting that it regulates solute and water transport within giant cells [32]. Other examples include *Mi*-MiPFN3, which disrupts the plant's actin cytoskeleton [33], while *Mi*-MiMIFs interfere with annexin-mediated plant immune responses [30] to promote plant infection.

In this context, secretome analyses of *M. incognita* J2 preparasites allowed the identification of numerous candidate effector proteins [20, 34-36], but their roles in host parasitism are still not well understood. By applying comparative genomic approaches to EST datasets, Jaouannet et al. [37] identified at least three genes that were specifically expressed in the esophageal glands of parasitic *M. incognita* juveniles. Among these genes, the *Mi*-EFF1/*Minc*17998 effector is secreted during parasitism within giant cells and is targeted to the nuclei. Therefore, the *Mi*-EFF1/*Minc*17998 effector has been suggested to be involved in the manipulation of the nuclear functions of the host cells [37]. Nevertheless, the precise role of this effector during plant parasitism has not yet been demonstrated. Previous studies on protein-protein interactions between *Arabidopsis thaliana* and different phytopathogens (bacteria, oomycetes, and fungi) showed that several pathogen effector proteins preferentially interact with a limited set of highly connected (hub) proteins of the host plant [38-40]. AtHub6 (AT3G47620), the most targeted hub protein, was shown to interact with four effectors of the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae*, 25 effectors of the oomycete *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* [38], and 23 effectors from the fungus *Golovinomyces orontii* [39]. Interestingly, AtHub6 is a TEOSINTE BRANCHED/CYCLOIDEA/PCF (TCP) 14 (AtTCP14) transcription factor that transcriptionally activates or interacts with numerous other plant proteins associated with cell cycle control, plant development [41-46], and regulation of the immune system [38, 42, 47-49]. Curiously, Yang et al. [48] showed that the *P. syringae* HopBB1 effector interacts with the AtTCP14 protein and targets it to the SCF<sup>COI1</sup> degradation complex, thus promoting bacterial virulence. Similarly, the *A. thaliana* triple T-DNA insertion mutant for the *AtTCP8*, *AtTCP14*, and *AtTCP15* genes (*tcp8*, *tcp14*, and *tcp15*) proved to be more susceptible to *P. syringae* pv. *maculicola* than wild-type (WT) plants [47]. Additionally, Spears et al. [43] demonstrated that the *A. thaliana* *tcp8 tcp14 tcp15* triple mutant exhibited impairment of pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI), which is one layer of the plant innate immune system. Despite its involvement in plant developmental and defense responses, there is no information about the interaction of AtHub6 with nematode effectors and the role of these protein-protein interactions in plant susceptibility to nematode infection.

Soybean (*Glycine max*) is one of the most important agricultural commodities worldwide and is indispensable for human and animal nutrition [50, 51]. However, soybean crop expansion and yields have

been limited by nematode incidence [52]. The main commercial soybean cultivars are highly susceptible to nematode infections, and under inefficient nematode management, significant yield and economic losses are caused annually by RKNs, including *M. incognita* [53]. Thus, a better understanding of the molecular interactions between soybean and nematodes may allow the development of new biotechnological tools (NBTs) for RKN control [54, 55]. Herein, we identified and validated the interaction between the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and the soybean GmHub6 protein (orthologue of AtHub6) using *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches. Curiously, our data obtained using an *A. thaliana* T-DNA mutant of the *AtHub6* gene suggested that the disruption of AtHub6 protein function can be associated with an increase in plant susceptibility to nematode infection. Therefore, our data strongly indicate that this interaction can modulate the development of parasitized cells, prevent the activation of the immune system and, consequently, support the parasitism of the host plant.

## Results

### ***In silico* analysis of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector sequence**

Pairwise comparisons of nucleotide and amino acid sequences showed that the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector shares low sequence identity with other effectors that are currently better characterized, ranging from 50 to 75% (Fig. 1A) and 15 to 35% (Fig. 1B), respectively. In addition, it has been observed that the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector exhibits relatively conserved orthologous genes in the other species of the *Meloidogyne* genus (Figure S1). A paralogous gene for the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector identified (Minc3s01563g24741) in the *M. incognita* genome (BioProject PRJEB8714, [56]) showed considerable homology with its corresponding Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 gene (Figure S1). Phylogenetic analysis based on nucleotide sequences showed that the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector clustered with the MiPFN3 and Mj-NULG1a effectors (Fig. 1C), while amino acid sequence analysis showed that the effector grouped most closely to the Minc00469 and MiISE5 effectors (Fig. 1D). These data obtained from sequence comparisons and the analysis of phylogenetic relationships suggest that the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector does not exhibit a well-defined origin or conserved relationships with other nematode effectors. Transcriptome data mining revealed the expression profiles of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and the *Minc3s01563g24741* gene paralog in different nematode life stages. The two genes showed similar expression levels, with higher expression in the J3, J4, and female stages, while expression was lower in the egg and preparasitic J2 stages (Fig. 1E). RT-qPCR assays revealing the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector expression profile confirmed that expression was higher in the J2/J3, J3/J4, and female stages, but significant expression was also observed in the egg and J2 stages (Fig. 1F). These data showed that *Mi-EFF1/Minc17998* gene expression is closely associated with the infection stages in the host plant.

### **The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector interacts with the soybean GmHub6 protein**

In this study, eight of the *A. thaliana* hub proteins previously identified by Mukhtar et al. [38] were selected, and their orthologous soybean genes were identified: GmHub4 (COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5), GmHub6 (TCP family transcription factor), GmHub10 (kinesin light chain), GmHub12

(APC8/anaphase -promoting complex subunit), GmHub17 (TCP family transcription factor), GmHub42 (transcription factor UNE12-related), GmHub47 (jasmonate ZIM domain-containing protein), and GmHub61 (uncharacterized conserved protein containing an emsy amine-terminus domain) (Table 1). Soybean CDS sequences were cloned into entry and destination vectors to assess the interaction with the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector by *in vitro* and *in vivo* protein-protein interaction assays. Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assays were performed with the soybean proteins and Mi-EFF1/Minc17998, and specific protein-protein interactions were observed only with the GmHub6 protein (Fig. 2A). The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector showed specific interaction with the GmHub6 protein in both Y2H (Fig. 2B and C) and *in vivo* by bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) (Fig. 2D). In addition, both Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and the GmHub6 protein showed an autoactivation ability (Fig. 2B). The Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and GmHub6 interaction was considered a relatively weak interaction based on the results of the addition of the 3AT competitive inhibitor to selective medium (Fig. 2C).

### ***In silico* characterization of the soybean GmHub proteins**

All eight GmHub proteins studied here showed transcript accumulation in almost all plant tissues tested (Figure S2A to S2B). In addition, their protein-protein interaction networks were distinct, except for GmHub10 and GmHub12, which simultaneously interacted with Glyma.07G190600 (anaphase-promoting complex 4) (Figure S2C). GmHub6 and its homologous gene (Glyma.05G027400) showed higher amino acid identity with AtHub6 (approx. 55%) and SITCP14 (approx. 70%), while lower sequence identity (approx. 25%) was observed with other soybean GmHub proteins except for GmHub17 (Fig. 3A). In addition, phylogenetic analysis using amino acid sequences showed that GmHub6 and its homologous gene were grouped close to the TCP transcription factors AtHub6, GmHub17, and SITCP14 (Fig. 3B). The biological functions of the GmHub6 protein are involved in plant development and the regulation of the defense response, and the protein contains a typical TCP domain (pfam03634) and nuclear localization signal (Tables 1 and 2; Additional file 1). The protein-protein interaction network retrieved from the STRING database highlighted that GmHub6 is the core protein that interacts with numerous other proteins (Figure S3A) similar to the AtHub6 network (Figure S3B). These proteins from the *GmHub6* network include several other TCP proteins (Table 2), but considering the orthology with AtHub6, this network of interactions maybe even larger, including dozens of proteins with highly distinct functions [38]. Curiously, *GmHub6* transcripts accumulated in almost all soybean tissues and all different conditions examined, with very low accumulation being observed in the nodules under symbiotic conditions, roots under ammonia treatment, youngest roots, and seeds, in contrast to the relatively high abundance observed in leaves (Figure S3C). In addition, the *GmHub6* gene showed a positive correlation at the expression level with the *Glyma.01G014900*, *Glyma.16G004300*, and *Glyma.18G296100* genes from its network in the same soybean tissues or conditions (Figure S3D).

### ***GmHub6* expression profile in soybean roots during *M. incognita* infection**

RT-qPCR assays showed that the *GmHub6* gene was upregulated in the axillary roots during nematode infection (at 3 dpi) only in the nematode-resistant soybean cultivar PI595099 (Fig. 3C). However, the

*GmHub6* expression level was similar in the noninoculated roots of both the resistant and susceptible soybean cultivars. In contrast, a higher expression level of the *GmHub6* gene was observed at 25 dpi in the resistant cultivar in both mock-treated and inoculated roots. With respect to the *GmHub6* expression level in the four developmental stages (stage I, II, III, and IV) of the soybean plants, significant differences were observed from stage I to stage IV in both soybean cultivars. Thus, in both mock-inoculated and infected plants, the *GmHub6* gene expression level was finely modulated throughout plant development, which was more pronounced in the resistant soybean cultivar, mainly as a consequence of nematode infection.

### ***M. incognita* susceptibility assessment of the *A. thaliana* *AtHub6* mutant**

*A. thaliana AtHub6* mutant plants showed normal development, similar to that of the WT plants (data not shown). To assess whether the interaction of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector with the soybean *GmHub6* protein may be associated with an increase in plant susceptibility, the *AtHub6* mutant was inoculated with 250 *M. incognita* J2 individuals, and the evolution of parasitism was evaluated over time. The nematode penetration efficiency, post penetration development, and formation and morphology of the galls in *AtHub6* mutant plants were similar to those in the WT and *AtEds1* control plants (data not shown). However, at 40 dpi, the *AtHub6* plants showed a greater number of eggs and J2 individuals per gram of roots, a similar number of galls per gram of roots, and a higher nematode reproduction factor (NRF) compared with the WT and *AtEds1* mutant plants (Fig. 3D to 3G). These data indicate that plants in which the *AtHub6* gene was mutated were more susceptible to the nematode.

## **Discussion**

Plants exhibit numerous mechanisms associated with defense against pathogens that are regulated in the presence or absence of pathogens to prioritize the development of the plant or the defense response [57-59]. The growth defense trade-off is essential to ensure plant survival and reproduction [60]. The development and defense pathways are closely related so that any disturbance in the cell cycle can trigger the plant immune system [61, 62]. Initially, the root damage caused by RKN infection releases plant-derived compounds that act as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and subsequently activate a PTI-like basal defense response [63]. Another step in PTI against RKNs may involve the recognition of PAMPs or nematode-associated molecular patterns (NAMPs), including ascarosides, cuticle, or chitin fragments [64].

In addition to inactivating host defenses, RKNs also need to modulate the cell cycle of the host plant for the successful establishment of a feeding site [8, 65, 66]. The RKNs are sedentary endoparasitic pathogens that spend most of their life cycle inside the roots and giant cells from J2 entry to oviposition by adult females. This infective phase usually lasts approximately 20 to 35 days for *M. incognita*, and effector proteins are essential to nematode infection [67, 68]. Since the first *M. incognita* genome sequence was reported [2, 56], several effector proteins have been identified, and some have been



characterized, but their role after their secretion in the host plant cell is still poorly understood [15, 20, 34, 69].

In this study, we have contributed to the knowledge of the functional characteristics of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and proposed a role of this effector in the parasitism of the host plant. Jaouannet et al. [37] and Quentin et al. [70] demonstrated that this effector is produced in the esophageal glands of parasitic juveniles, secreted within the feeding site and targeted to the nucleus, suggesting its involvement in the modulation of host cell metabolism. Herein, we showed that this effector presents low sequence identity and distant phylogenetic relationships with other well-known effectors, suggesting a specific mode of action after delivery in the host plant. In addition, our data showed that the *Mi-EFF1/Minc17998* gene is strongly upregulated during parasitism in the J2/J3, J3/J4, and female stages but is also expressed in eggs and preparasitic J2 individuals, suggesting the role of its product as a putative avirulence protein and its involvement in the formation of giant cells. A specific protein-protein interaction between Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and the soybean GmHub6 protein was demonstrated, and the functional disruption of the GmHub6 protein has been speculated to occur in the context of plant parasitism. Considering that the GmHub6 protein could play an essential role similar to that of AtHub6/TCP14 in the regulation of the cell cycle, plant growth and development [41-46] and the regulation of the plant's defense responses [38, 42, 47-49], this speculation is quite plausible. Accordingly, several molecular interactions between nematode effectors and host plant proteins have already been characterized and associated with cell cycle modulation [8, 65] and host defense suppression [25, 30, 69, 71-73]. In our study, the data on the EFF1/Minc17998 effector and GmHub6 protein interaction, together with the increased susceptibility of the *AtHub6* mutant plants to *M. incognita* infection, suggest that this effector may be associated with cell cycle modulation and/or the suppression of plant defense responses. Similarly, Kim et al. [49], Li et al. [47] and Spears et al. [43] demonstrated that the *A. thaliana attcp8*, *attcp14*, and *attcp15* triple mutant exhibited impaired immune responses, while Yang et al. [48] showed that the AtTCP14 protein was targeted for degradation after interaction with the *P. syringae* HopBB1 effector.

Stam et al. [74] showed that the *Phytophthora capsici* CRN12\_997 effector interacts with the tomato SITCP14 (putative ortholog of the *GmHub6* and *AtHub6* genes) protein, reducing the SITCP14 association with nuclear chromatin and altering its subnuclear localization. In addition, SITCP14 overexpression enhances plant immunity to *P. capsici*, while the coexpression of the CRN12\_997 effector abolishes this phenotype [74]. Accordingly, our data showed that the *GmHub6* gene was upregulated in response to *M. incognita* infection but only in the resistant soybean cultivar, suggesting that its accumulation may be mainly associated with resistance improvement in the plants. Thus, we believe that the EFF1/Minc17998 effector acts by interacting with the GmHub6 protein to primarily alter the cell cycle, which in turn activates the immune system. Subsequently, the functional disturbance of the GmHub6 protein in plant cells targeted by the nematode strongly impairs the host defense responses and allows *M. incognita* to complete its life cycle.

Given this hypothesis, the use of RNAi technology to target the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector may be an interesting strategy for improving resistance to *M. incognita* in transgenic plants. This hypothesis is supported by the low genetic variability (approx. 0.02% of nucleotides) observed in protein-coding regions among different *M. incognita* races or isolates [75]. In addition, only slight variations in gene copy number and expression levels have been observed among different *M. incognita* isolates and races [76]. In contrast, the expression modulation of the *GmHub6* gene (or its orthologous genes in other crops of interest) via its overexpression or targeted transcriptional modulation using the CRISPR/dCas system [77] can be evaluated (or combined with an RNAi strategy) to improve plant resistance to RKNs.

## Conclusion

Several features of the *M. incognita* Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and soybean GmHub proteins (especially the GmHub6 protein) have been highlighted, and we suggest their great importance for successful plant parasitism or plant resistance, respectively. The interaction between the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and the soybean GmHub6 protein is suggested to be a mechanism associated with a reduction in plant resistance to nematode infection via the disruption of GmHub6 activity. The high conservation of this effector in other *Meloidogyne* species suggests that NBTs based on RNAi could be developed to target and downregulate this effector gene in different RKN species or races. Therefore, our findings showed that the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and the soybean GmHub6 protein are powerful targets for the development of NBTs for nematode control in crops.

## Methods

### ***In silico* analysis of the *M. incognita* Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and soybean GmHub proteins**

All sequences of *M. incognita* effector genes were retrieved from BioProject ID PRJEB8714 (sample ERS1696677) [56] from the online WormBase Parasite Database version WBPS13 [78]. Pairwise identity matrices for nucleotide and amino acid sequences were generated using Sequence Demarcation Tool Version 1.2 software [79]. Phylogenetic analyses of the *M. incognita* effector sequences were performed using the Phylogeny.fr web service [80]. For these analyses, sequences were aligned with MUSCLE software [81], and the alignment was curated by the Gblocks model. Then, phylogenetic analyses were performed using the maximum likelihood method with PhyML software using approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) SH-like branch support and the GTR and WAG substitution models for nucleotide and amino acid sequences, respectively. Phylogenetic trees were generated and visualized with TreeDyn software, which was implemented at the same web service. Comparative genomic trees were generated from BioProject PRJEB8714 [56] by the WormBase ParaSite Database using the Ensembl Compara tools. The *in silico* expression levels of Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and its paralogous gene Minc3s01563g24741 in different *M. incognita* life stages were determined using transcriptome datasets (BioProject number: PRJNA390559; [82]) retrieved from the BioSample database (NCBI). For this analysis, 15 transcriptome libraries from the *M. incognita* egg, J2, J3, J4, and female stages generated by Choi et al. [82] using the Truseq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina) and mRNAs that were paired-end sequenced (2x101 bp) using

Illumina HiSeq 2000 technology were downloaded and trimmed, and the transcripts were mapped using the genome reference retrieved from the WormBase Parasite Database (BioProject ID PRJEB8714) [83]. The gene expression profiles in different nematode life stages were normalized to transcripts per million (TPM) values.

On the other hand, the sequences and characteristics of soybean genes were retrieved from *G. max* *Wm82.a2.v1* (BioProject: PRJNA19861) [84] via the Phytozome v.12 database [85]. Conserved domains in the gene sequences were identified using the CDD Database from NCBI [86], annotation was confirmed by the HMMER prediction server [87], and nuclear signal localization (NLS) motifs were predicted using the NLStradamus online tool [88]. The pairwise identity matrices were generated, and phylogenetic analyses were performed as described above. The interactome network of soybean and *A. thaliana* hub proteins with their interacting proteins was retrieved from the STRING database v.11 platform [89]. The organ- and tissue-specific expression of the eight *GmHub* genes, including the top 10 soybean proteins with which GmHub6 interacted, is presented in the heat map plot generated by the PhytoMine tool (<https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/phytomine/begin.do>) using all gene expression data in the database related to tissue- and organ-specific expression.

### **Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 expression profile determined using RT-qPCR assays**

The *Mi-EFF1/Minc17998* gene expression levels in different nematode life stages (egg, J2, J2/J3, J3/J4, and female) during plant infection were determined using tomato roots inoculated with 500 *M. incognita* J2 race 3 individuals. Total RNA was isolated using the Concert™ Plant RNA Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with PVP-40. The RNA concentration was estimated using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA), and RNA integrity was evaluated via 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA samples were treated with RNase-free RQ1 DNase I (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, 2 to 4 µg of DNase-treated RNA was employed as a template for cDNA synthesis using Oligo-(dT)<sub>20</sub> primers and SuperScript III RT (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA was quantified by spectrophotometry and diluted 1/10 with nuclease-free water. RT-qPCR assays were performed in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using 400 ng of cDNA, each gene-specific primer at 0.2 µM (Table S1) and GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). The qPCR conditions included an initial step at 95°C for 10 min, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min, followed by a final melting curve analysis. The relative expression of the *Mi-EFF1/Minc17998* gene was normalized using *Mi18S* (GenBank accession U81578) [90] as an endogenous reference gene. Three biological replicates composed of one plant each were performed, and the cDNA samples were used in technical triplicate reactions. Primer efficiency and target-specific amplification were confirmed on the basis of a single distinct peak in the melting curve analysis. The relative expression level (fold change) was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta C_t}$  or method [91].

### ***In vitro* and *in vivo* transactivation assays for the evaluation of protein-protein interactions**

Protein-protein interaction tests were performed to evaluate the interaction of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector with eight soybean hub proteins: GmHub4 (COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5), GmHub6 (TCP family transcription factor), GmHub10 (kinesin light chain), GmHub12 (APC8/anaphase-promoting complex subunit), GmHub17 (TCP family transcription factor), GmHub42 (transcription factor UNE12-related), GmHub47 (jasmonate ZIM domain-containing protein), and GmHub61 (uncharacterized conserved protein containing an emsy amine-terminus domain) (Table 1). The cDNA sequences of the soybean hub proteins were amplified from total RNA isolated from the roots of the soybean cv. Williams 82. Amplicons of the expected size were cloned into the pGEMT easy vector (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) and sequenced by Macrogen (Geumcheon-gu, Seoul, South Korea); after sequence analysis, desirable amplicons were subcloned based on the restriction sites present in the primers into the entry vector of the Gateway cloning system (pENTR11; Invitrogen). Sequence identities were confirmed by comparison with gene sequences retrieved from *G. max Wm82.a2.v1* (BioProject: PRJNA19861) [84] via the Phytozome v.12 database [85]. The transfer of the cDNA clones from the entry vector to the pGADT7-AD, pGBKT7-BD, and BiFC destination vectors was performed using the enzyme Gateway™ LR Clonase™ II Enzyme mix (Invitrogen). The full-length cDNA sequence of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector was synthesized by Epoch Life Science (Sugar Land, TX, USA), cloned into the pENTR11 vector, propagated in *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , and subsequently transferred to the pGADT7-AD and pGBKT7-BD destination vectors using the LR clonase system. Y2H experiments were performed using the Matchmaker™ GAL4 Two-Hybrid System 3 (Clontech) based on the GAL4 binding (BD) and transactivation (AD) domains present in these destination vectors. Both Y2H vectors were sequentially cotransformed into competent cells of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* YRG2 strain (Mat $\alpha$ , ura3-52, his3-200, ade2-101, lys2-801, trp1-901, leu2-3, 112, gal4-542, gal80-538) using the lithium acetate/polyethylene glycol (PEG) method. Single colonies of cotransformed yeast were grown overnight in selective yeast nitrogen base (YNB) medium in a shaking incubator at 180 rpm at 30°C. Yeast cells were diluted in fresh YNB medium to an optical dilution (OD<sub>600</sub>) of approximately 1 to 0.01. Then, 100  $\mu$ l of the suspension was plated on synthetic dropout medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, and histidine and containing the 3-amino-1,2,4-triazole (3-AT) *His3* gene-product competitive inhibitor at 5 to 10 mM, followed by incubation at 28°C for three to five days. The empty pGADT7-AD and pGBKT7-BD vectors were used as negative controls for protein-protein interactions, while pGADT7-AD::NIG and pGBKT7-BD::AtWWP1 were used as positive controls. The *A. thaliana* AtWWP1 (AT2G41020) and NIG (AT4G13350) protein interactions were previously validated by Calil et al. [92].

BiFC assays were carried out using different combinations of the *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 strain carrying pSITE BiFC cEFYP (GU734652) and nEYFP (GU734651) binary vectors containing the 35S:GmHub6-cYFP and 35S:Mi-EFF1/Minc17998-nYFP fusion proteins. An *A. tumefaciens* coculture was coinfiltrated into the abaxial surface of *N. tabacum* leaves at an OD<sub>600</sub> nm of 0.7 at a final ratio of 1:1. Yellow fluorescence was analyzed in epidermal cells three days after infiltration using a Zeiss inverted LSM510 META laser scanning microscope equipped with an argon laser and a helium laser as excitation sources. Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) was excited at 514 nm using an argon laser, and YFP emission was detected using a 560-615-nm filter.

## ***GmHub6* expression profile in soybean roots during *M. incognita* infection**

*M. incognita* J2 race 1 was obtained from tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. Santa Clara) that were inoculated and maintained for eight to ten weeks under greenhouse conditions. Infected roots were washed and macerated using a blender after treatment with 0.5% sodium hypochlorite. Eggs were harvested, rinsed with tap water, and subsequently separated from root debris using 100- to 550- $\mu$ m sieves [93]. Then, the eggs were hatched under aerobic conditions at 28°C, and J2 individuals were harvested every two days, decanted and quantified under a microscope using a counting chamber. Soybean plants were inoculated with 1,000 newly hatched *M. incognita* J2 individuals suspended in distilled water. The conventional soybean cultivars PI595099 (resistant) and BRS133 (susceptible), which are considered to exhibit contrasting RKN resistance levels [94], were inoculated with 1,000 *M. incognita* J2 individuals, and axillary root samples were harvested at 3, 8, 15, and 25 dpi from mock- and nematode-inoculated plants. Total RNA was purified using the Concert™ Plant RNA Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with PVP-40, and cDNA was synthesized from DNA-free, highly pure RNA as described above. The expression profile of the *GmHub6* gene during nematode infection was measured by RT-qPCR assays using specific primers and normalized with *GmCYP18* (Glyma.12G024700) as an endogenous reference gene (Table S1). The thermocycling reactions and conditions used were the same as those described above. Four biological replicates were performed for each treatment, and each biological replicate was composed of four plants. All cDNA samples were used in technical triplicates, and primer efficiency and target-specific amplification were confirmed by a single, distinct peak in the melting curve analysis. The relative expression level (fold-change) was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta C_t}$  method [91].

## ***M. incognita* resistance assessment of the *AtHub6* mutant**

*A. thaliana* seeds from the *AtHub6* gene mutant line *hub6* (T-DNA insertion; *attcp14-5*, GK-611C04/CS458588, of AT3G47620, an orthologous gene of soybean *GmHub6*; Additional file 1) and the null mutant line for the *enhanced disease susceptibility 1* (*eds1*; AT3G48090; SALK\_034340) gene were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC; Columbus OH, 43210, USA). The *A. thaliana* seeds were surfaced sterilized and sown in Murashige and Skoog (MS)-containing agar plates. The plates were stratified in the dark at 4°C for 72 h. Plants were grown in a growth chamber at 22°C under a 12 h light/12 h dark photoperiod. For growth under *in vivo* conditions, plants from the WT, *AtEds1*, and *AtHub6* lines were transferred to 1:1 substrate: sand (autoclaved commercial substrate and sand at a 1:1 ratio) and grown as described above. Then, two- or three-week-old plants were inoculated with 250 *M. incognita* J2 individuals as described above. The inoculated roots were harvested at 5, 10, 15, and 25 days postinoculation (dpi) and stained with acid fuchsin as described by Bybd et al. [95], and the penetration efficiency in the roots, the post penetration development of the nematodes, and the formation of galls were evaluated. In addition, the number of eggs per gram of roots, the number of J2 individuals per gram of roots, the number of galls per gram of roots, and NRF were determined from an additional plant set at 40 dpi. The NRF was determined as described above, and the *AtEds1* mutant line was used as

a susceptibility control. Each *A. thaliana* line included 15 to 20 plants, which were divided into three biological replicates.

## Abbreviations

RKN: root-knot nematode; Mi: *Meloidogyne incognita*; PAMP: pathogen-associated molecular pattern; PTI: PAMP-triggered immunity; Gm: *Glycine max*; EST: expressed sequence tag; At: *Arabidopsis thaliana*; NBT: new biotechnological tool; Mj: *Meloidogyne javanica*; Y2H: yeast two-hybrid; BiFC: bimolecular fluorescence complementation; WT: wild-type; AtEds1: *A. thaliana Enhanced disease susceptibility 1* gene.

## Declarations

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to EMBRAPA, UCB, CAPES, CNPq, INCT PlantStress Biotech, and FAP-DF for financial and scientific support. RAGM is grateful to CAPES by the doctoral research fellowship, while MFB is grateful to CNPq by the postdoctoral research fellowship (PDJ 150936/2018-4). We also thank Dr. Bum-Soo Hahn for providing access to the transcriptome datasets of different *M. incognita* life stages and to Bruna Medeiros Pereira for kindly providing the initial inoculum of *M. incognita*.

### Authors' contributions

MFGS was the leading researcher for all the work and provided intellectual input and financial support. MFGS, RRF, and RAGM selected the soybean proteins and nematode effector and planned the experiments. JFA, RRF, and MGS performed soybean hub gene amplification, cloning, sequencing, and gene sequence analysis. RAGM, aided by RNL and BPM, performed the protein-protein interaction assays. MFB, RAGM, and VSM produced the *M. incognita* inoculum, performed plant inoculation, and evaluated all bioassays. MFB performed the *in silico* analysis and evaluated gene expression levels in nematodes and soybeans. RCT performed the data mining of 15 transcriptome libraries and the differential expression profiles of the nematode effectors. MFGS, MCMS, EVSA, MELS, DF, LLPM, and FV provided intellectual input. MFB wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## References

1. Trudgill DL, Blok VC: Apomictic, polyphagous root-knot nematodes: exceptionally successful and damaging biotrophic root pathogens. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2001, 39:53-77.
2. Abad P, Gouzy J, Aury J-M, Castagnone-Sereno P, Danchin EGJ, Deleury E, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Anthouard V, Artiguenave F, Blok VC et al: Genome sequence of the metazoan plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Nature Biotechnology* 2008, 26:909.
3. Castagnone-Sereno P, Danchin EGJ, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Abad P: Diversity and evolution of Root-knot nematodes, genus *Meloidogyne*: New insights from the genomic Era. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2013, 51(1):203-220.
4. Triantaphyllou A, Hirschmann H: Post infection development of *Meloidogyne incognita* Chitwood 1949 (Nematoda-Heteroderidae). In: *Annales de l'Institut Phytopathologique Benaki*: 1960. 1-11.
5. Bernard GC, Egnin M, Bonsi C: The Impact of plant-parasitic nematodes on agriculture and methods of control. In: *Nematology - Concepts, Diagnosis and Control*. 2017.
6. de Almeida Engler J, Vieira P, Rodiuc N, Grossi de Sa MF, Engler G: Chapter four - The plant cell cycle machinery: usurped and modulated by plant-parasitic nematodes. In: *Advances in Botanical Research*. Edited by Escobar C, Fenoll C, vol. 73: Academic Press; 2015: 91-118.
7. Shukla N, Yadav R, Kaur P, Rasmussen S, Goel S, Agarwal M, Jagannath A, Gupta R, Kumar A: Transcriptome analysis of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*)-infected tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) roots reveals complex gene expression profiles and metabolic networks of both host and nematode during susceptible and resistance responses. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2018, 19(3):615-633.
8. Antonino de Souza Junior JD, Pierre O, Coelho RR, Grossi-de-Sa MF, Engler G, de Almeida Engler J: Application of nuclear volume measurements to comprehend the cell cycle in root-knot nematode-induced giant cells. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2017, 8:961.
9. de Almeida Engler J, Van Poucke K, Karimi M, De Groot R, Gheysen G, Engler G, Gheysen G: Dynamic cytoskeleton rearrangements in giant cells and syncytia of nematode-infected roots. *Plant Journal* 2004, 38(1):12-26.
10. de Almeida Engler J, Kyndt T, Vieira P, Van Cappelle E, Boudolf V, Sanchez V, Escobar C, De Veylder L, Engler G, Abad P et al: CCS52 and DEL1 genes are key components of the endocycle in nematode-induced feeding sites. *Plant Journal* 2012, 72(2):185-198.
11. Carneiro RG, MAZZAFERA P, FERRAZ LCCB, MURAOKA T, TRIVELIN PCO: Uptake and translocation of nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium in soybean infected with *Meloidogyne incognita* and *M. javanica*. *Fitopatologia Brasileira* 2002, 27:141-150.
12. Melakeberhan H, Webster JM, Brooke RC, D'Auria JM, Cackette M: Effect of *Meloidogyne incognita* on plant nutrient concentration and its influence on the physiology of beans. *Journal of Nematology*

- 1987, 19(3):324-330.
13. Lu P, Davis RF, Kemerait RC, van Iersel MW, Scherm H: Physiological effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* infection on cotton genotypes with differing levels of resistance in the greenhouse. *Journal of Nematology* 2014, 46(4):352-359.
  14. Gillet FX, Bournaud C, Antonino de Souza Junior JD, Grossi-de-Sa MF: Plant-parasitic nematodes: towards understanding molecular players in stress responses. *Annals of Botany* 2017, 119(5):775-789.
  15. Lin B, Zhuo K, Wu P, Cui R, Zhang L-H, Liao J: A novel effector protein, Mj-NULG1a, targeted to giant cell nuclei plays a role in *Meloidogyne javanica* parasitism. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2013, 26(1):55-66.
  16. Melillo MT, Leonetti P, Leone A, Veronico P, Bleve-Zacheo T: ROS and NO production in compatible and incompatible tomato-*Meloidogyne incognita* interactions. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 2011, 130(4):489-502.
  17. Manosalva P, Manohar M, von Reuss SH, Chen S, Koch A, Kaplan F, Choe A, Micikas RJ, Wang X, Kogel K-H et al: Conserved nematode signalling molecules elicit plant defenses and pathogen resistance. *Nature Communications* 2015, 6:7795.
  18. Holscher D, Dhakshinamoorthy S, Alexandrov T, Becker M, Bretschneider T, Buerkert A, Crecelius AC, De Waele D, Elsen A, Heckel DG et al: Phenalenone-type phytoalexins mediate resistance of banana plants (*Musa* spp.) to the burrowing nematode *Radopholus similis*. *PNAS* 2014, 111(1):105-110.
  19. Kong L-A, Wu D-Q, Huang W-K, Peng H, Wang G-F, Cui J-K, Liu S-M, Li Z-G, Yang J, Peng D-L: Large-scale identification of wheat genes resistant to cereal cyst nematode *Heterodera avenae* using comparative transcriptomic analysis. *BMC Genomics* 2015, 16(1):801.
  20. Bellafiore S, Shen Z, Rosso MN, Abad P, Shih P, Briggs SP: Direct identification of the *Meloidogyne incognita* secretome reveals proteins with host cell reprogramming potential. *PLoS Pathogens* 2008, 4(10):e1000192.
  21. Shinya R, Morisaka H, Kikuchi T, Takeuchi Y, Ueda M, Futai K: Secretome Analysis of the pine wood nematode *Bursaphelenchus xylophilus* reveals the tangled roots of parasitism and its potential for molecular mimicry. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8(6):e67377.
  22. Dubreuil G, Deleury E, Magliano M, Jaouannet M, Abad P, Rosso MN: Peroxiredoxins from the plant parasitic root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, are required for successful development within the host. *International Journal of Parasitology* 2011, 41(3-4):385-396.
  23. Basso MF, Lourenço-Tessutti IT, Mendes RAG, Pinto CEM, Bournaud C, Gillet F-X, Togawa RC, de Macedo LLP, de Almeida Engler J, Grossi-de-Sa MF: *MiDaf16-like* and *MiSkn1-like* gene families are reliable targets to develop biotechnological tools for the control and management of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Scientific Reports* 2020, 10(1):6991.
  24. Xie J, Li S, Mo C, Wang G, Xiao X, Xiao Y: A novel *Meloidogyne incognita* effector Misp12 suppresses plant defense response at latter stages of nematode parasitism. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2016, 7:964-964.



25. Lin B, Zhuo K, Chen S, Hu L, Sun L, Wang X, Zhang L-H, Liao J: A novel nematode effector suppresses plant immunity by activating host reactive oxygen species-scavenging system. *New Phytologist* 2016, 209(3):1159-1173.
26. Bournaud C, Gillet F-X, Murad AM, Bresso E, Albuquerque EVS, Grossi-de-Sa MF: *Meloidogyne incognita* PASSE-MURAILLE (MiPM) gene encodes a cell-penetrating protein that interacts with the CSN5 subunit of the COP9 signalosome. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2018, 9(904).
27. Nguyen CN, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Quentin M, Zhao J, Magliano M, Marteu N, Da Rocha M, Nottet N, Abad P, Favery B: A root-knot nematode small glycine and cysteine-rich secreted effector, MiSGCR1, is involved in plant parasitism. *New Phytologist* 2018, 217(2):687-699.
28. Grossi-de-Sa M, Petitot A-S, Xavier DA, Sá MEL, Mezzalana I, Beneventi MA, Martins NF, Baimey HK, Albuquerque EVS, Grossi-de-Sa MF et al: Rice susceptibility to root-knot nematodes is enhanced by the *Meloidogyne incognita* MSP18 effector gene. *Planta* 2019, 250(4):1215-1227.
29. Shi Q, Mao Z, Zhang X, Ling J, Lin R, Zhang X, Liu R, Wang Y, Yang Y, Cheng X et al: The novel secreted *Meloidogyne incognita* effector MiISE6 targets the host nucleus and facilitates parasitism in *Arabidopsis*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2018, 9:252-252.
30. Zhao J, Li L, Liu Q, Liu P, Li S, Yang D, Chen Y, Pagnotta S, Favery B, Abad P et al: A MIF-like effector suppresses plant immunity and facilitates nematode parasitism by interacting with plant annexins. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 2019, 70(20):5943-5958.
31. Niu J, Liu P, Liu Q, Chen C, Guo Q, Yin J, Yang G, Jian H: Msp40 effector of root-knot nematode manipulates plant immunity to facilitate parasitism. *Scientific Reports* 2016, 6(1):19443.
32. Xue B, Hamamouch N, Li C, Huang G, Hussey RS, Baum TJ, Davis EL: The 8D05 parasitism gene of *Meloidogyne incognita* is required for successful infection of host roots. *Phytopathology* 2013, 103(2):175-181.
33. Leelarasamee N, Zhang L, Gleason C: The root-knot nematode effector MiPFN3 disrupts plant actin filaments and promotes parasitism. *PLoS Pathogens* 2018, 14(3):e1006947.
34. Rutter WB, Hewezi T, Abubucker S, Maier TR, Huang G, Mitreva M, Hussey RS, Baum TJ: Mining novel effector proteins from the esophageal gland cells of *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2014, 27(9):965-974.
35. Ali MA, Azeem F, Li H, Bohlmann H: Smart parasitic nematodes use multifaceted strategies to parasitize plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2017, 8(1699).
36. Huang G, Gao B, Maier T, Allen R, Davis EL, Baum TJ, Hussey RS: A profile of putative parasitism genes expressed in the esophageal gland cells of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2003, 16(5):376-381.
37. Jaouannet M, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Deleury E, Magliano M, Engler G, Vieira P, Danchin EG, Da Rocha M, Coquillard P, Abad P et al: A root-knot nematode-secreted protein is injected into giant cells and targeted to the nuclei. *New Phytologist* 2012, 194(4):924-931.
38. Mukhtar MS, Carvunis AR, Dreze M, Eppele P, Steinbrenner J, Moore J, Tasan M, Galli M, Hao T, Nishimura MT et al: Independently evolved virulence effectors converge onto hubs in a plant immune

- system network. *Science* 2011, 333(6042):596-601.
39. Wessling R, Epple P, Altmann S, He Y, Yang L, Henz SR, McDonald N, Wiley K, Bader KC, Glasser C et al: Convergent targeting of a common host protein-network by pathogen effectors from three kingdoms of life. *Cell host & microbe* 2014, 16(3):364-375.
  40. Consortium AIM: Evidence for network evolution in an *Arabidopsis* interactome map. *Science* 2011, 333(6042):601-607.
  41. Tatematsu K, Nakabayashi K, Kamiya Y, Nambara E: Transcription factor AtTCP14 regulates embryonic growth potential during seed germination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* 2008, 53(1):42-52.
  42. Li S: The *Arabidopsis thaliana* TCP transcription factors: A broadening horizon beyond development. *Plant signaling & behavior* 2015, 10(7):e1044192-e1044192.
  43. Spears BJ, Howton TC, Gao F, Garner CM, Mukhtar MS, Gassmann W: Direct regulation of the EFR-dependent immune response by *Arabidopsis* TCP transcription factors. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2019, 32(5):540-549.
  44. Kieffer M, Master V, Waites R, Davies B: TCP14 and TCP15 affect internode length and leaf shape in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* 2011, 68(1):147-158.
  45. Resentini F, Felipo-Benavent A, Colombo L, Blázquez Miguel A, Alabadí D, Masiero S: TCP14 and TCP15 mediate the promotion of seed germination by gibberellins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Plant* 2015, 8(3):482-485.
  46. Peng Y, Chen L, Lu Y, Wu Y, Dumenil J, Zhu Z, Bevan MW, Li Y: The ubiquitin receptors DA1, DAR1, and DAR2 redundantly regulate endoreduplication by modulating the stability of TCP14/15 in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 2015, 27(3):649-662.
  47. Li M, Chen H, Chen J, Chang M, Palmer IA, Gassmann W, Liu F, Fu ZQ: TCP transcription factors interact with NPR1 and contribute redundantly to systemic acquired resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2018, 9(1153).
  48. Yang L, Teixeira PJPL, Biswas S, Finkel OM, He Y, Salas-Gonzalez I, English ME, Epple P, Mieczkowski P, Dangl JL: *Pseudomonas syringae* type III effector HopBB1 promotes host transcriptional repressor degradation to regulate phytohormone responses and virulence. *Cell Host & Microbe* 2017, 21(2):156-168.
  49. Kim SH, Son GH, Bhattacharjee S, Kim HJ, Nam JC, Nguyen PDT, Hong JC, Gassmann W: The *Arabidopsis* immune adaptor SRFR1 interacts with TCP transcription factors that redundantly contribute to effector-triggered immunity. *The Plant Journal* 2014, 78(6):978-989.
  50. Hartman GL, West ED, Herman TK: Crops that feed the World 2. Soybean-worldwide production, use, and constraints caused by pathogens and pests. *Food Security* 2011, 3(1):5-17.
  51. USDA: World agricultural production. In: United State Departament of Agriculture - Cirucular series WAP 2-2020. <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/production.pdf>; May, 2020.
  52. Hamawaki OT, Hamawaki RL, Nogueira APO, Glasenapp JS, Hamawaki CDL, Silva COd: Evaluation of soybean breeding lineages to new sources of root-knot nematode resistance. *Ciência e*

- Agrotecnologia 2019, 43.
53. Mazzetti VCG, Visintin GL, Valério IP, Camera JN, Deuner CC, Soares PLM: Reaction of soybean cultivars to *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Revista Ceres* 2019, 66:220-225.
  54. Basso MF, Ferreira PCG, Kobayashi AK, Harmon FG, Nepomuceno AL, Molinari HBC, Grossi-de-Sa MF: MicroRNAs and new biotechnological tools for its modulation and improving stress tolerance in plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 2019.
  55. Basso M, Arraes FBM, Grossi-de-Sa M, Moreira VJV, Alves-Ferreira M, MF G-d-S: Insights into genetic and molecular elements for transgenic crop development. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2020, Ahead of print.
  56. Blanc-Mathieu R, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Aury J-M, Da Rocha M, Gouzy J, Sallet E, Martin-Jimenez C, Bailly-Bechet M, Castagnone-Sereno P, Flot J-F et al: Hybridization and polyploidy enable genomic plasticity without sex in the most devastating plant-parasitic nematodes. In: *PLoS Genetics* vol. 13; 2017: e1006777.
  57. Bao Z, Yang H, Hua J: Perturbation of cell cycle regulation triggers plant immune response via activation of disease resistance genes. *PNAS* 2013, 110(6):2407-2412.
  58. Fan M, Bai MY, Kim JG, Wang T, Oh E, Chen L, Park CH, Son SH, Kim SK, Mudgett MB et al: The bHLH transcription factor HBI1 mediates the trade-off between growth and pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* 2014, 26(2):828-841.
  59. Eloy NB, de Freitas Lima M, Ferreira PCG, Inzé D: The role of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome in plant growth. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 2015, 34(5):487-505.
  60. Neuser J, Metzen CC, Dreyer BH, Feulner C, van Dongen JT, Schmidt RR, Schippers JHM: HBI1 mediates the trade-off between growth and immunity through its impact on apoplastic ROS homeostasis. *Cell Reports* 2019, 28(7):1670-1678.e1673.
  61. Bao Z, Hua J: Linking the cell cycle with innate immunity in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Plant* 2015, 8(7):980-982.
  62. Eichmann R, Schäfer P: Growth versus immunity - a redirection of the cell cycle? *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2015, 26:106-112.
  63. Hou S, Liu Z, Shen H, Wu D: Damage-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2019, 10(646):1-16.
  64. Holbein J, Grundler FMW, Siddique S: Plant basal resistance to nematodes: an update. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 2016, 67(7):2049-2061.
  65. de Almeida Engler J, Gheysen G: Nematode-induced endoreduplication in plant host cells: why and how? *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2013, 26(1):17-24.
  66. Coelho RR, Vieira P, Antonino de Souza Júnior JD, Martin-Jimenez C, De Veylder L, Cazareth J, Engler G, Grossi-de-Sa MF, de Almeida Engler J: Exploiting cell cycle inhibitor genes of the KRP family to control root-knot nematode induced feeding sites in plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 2017, 40(7):1174-1188.

67. Cook DE, Mesarich CH, Thomma BPHJ: Understanding plant immunity as a surveillance system to detect invasion. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2015, 53(1):541-563.
68. Silva MS, Arraes FBM, Campos MA, Grossi-de-Sa M, Fernandez D, Cândido ES, Cardoso MH, Franco OL, Grossi-de-Sa MF: Review: Potential biotechnological assets related to plant immunity modulation applicable in engineering disease-resistant crops. *Plant Science* 2018, 270:72-84.
69. Mejias J, Truong NM, Abad P, Favery B, Quentin M: Plant proteins and processes targeted by parasitic nematode effectors. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2019, 10(970).
70. Quentin M, Abad P, Favery B: Plant parasitic nematode effectors target host defense and nuclear functions to establish feeding cells. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2013, 4(53).
71. Goverse A, Smant G: The activation and suppression of plant innate immunity by parasitic nematodes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2014, 52(1):243-265.
72. Hamamouch N, Li C, Hewezi T, Baum TJ, Mitchum MG, Hussey RS, Vodkin LO, Davis EL: The interaction of the novel 30C02 cyst nematode effector protein with a plant beta-1,3-endoglucanase may suppress host defence to promote parasitism. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 2012, 63(10):3683-3695.
73. Davies LJ, Zhang L, Elling AA: The *Arabidopsis thaliana* papain-like cysteine protease RD21 interacts with a root-knot nematode effector protein. *Nematology* 2015, 17(6):655-666.
74. Stam R, Motion GB, Boevink PC, Huitema E: A conserved oomycete CRN effector targets and modulates tomato TCP14-2 to enhance virulence. *BioRxiv* 2013:001248.
75. Koutsovoulos GD, Marques E, Arguel M-J, Duret L, Machado ACZ, Carneiro RMDG, Kozłowski DK, Bailly-Bechet M, Castagnone-Sereno P, Albuquerque EVS et al: Population genomics supports clonal reproduction and multiple independent gains and losses of parasitic abilities in the most devastating nematode pest. *Evolutionary Applications* 2020, n/a(n/a):1-16.
76. Castagnone-Sereno P, Mulet K, Danchin EGJ, Koutsovoulos GD, Karaulic M, Da Rocha M, Bailly-Bechet M, Prax L, Perfus-Barbeoch L, Abad P: Gene copy number variations as signatures of adaptive evolution in the parthenogenetic, plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Molecular ecology* 2019, 28(10):2559-2572.
77. Roca Paixão JF, Gillet F-X, Ribeiro TP, Bournaud C, Lourenço-Tessutti IT, Noriega DD, Melo BPD, de Almeida-Engler J, Grossi-de-Sa MF: Improved drought stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis* by CRISPR/dCas9 fusion with a Histone Acetyltransferase. *Scientific Reports* 2019, 9(1):8080.
78. Lee RY N, Howe KL, Harris TW, Arnaboldi V, Cain S, Chan J, Chen WJ, Davis P, Gao S, Grove C et al: WormBase 2017: molting into a new stage. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2017, 46(D1):D869-D874.
79. Muhire BM, Varsani A, Martin DP: SDT: a virus classification tool based on pairwise sequence alignment and identity calculation. *PloS ONE* 2014, 9(9):e108277-e108277.
80. Dereeper A, Guignon V, Blanc G, Audic S, Buffet S, Chevenet F, Dufayard JF, Guindon S, Lefort V, Lescot M et al: Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2008, 36(Web Server issue):W465-469.

81. Edgar RC: MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2004, 32(5):1792-1797.
82. Choi I, Subramanian P, Shim D, Oh B-J, Hahn B-S: RNA-seq of plant-parasitic nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* at various stages of its development. *Frontiers in Genetics* 2017, 8:190-190.
83. Szitenberg A, Salazar-Jaramillo L, Blok VC, Laetsch DR, Joseph S, Williamson VM, Blaxter ML, Lunt DH: Comparative genomics of apomictic root-knot nematodes: hybridization, ploidy, and dynamic genome change. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 2017, 9(10):2844-2861.
84. Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J et al: Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. *Nature* 2010, 463(7278):178-183.
85. Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, Mitros T, Dirks W, Hellsten U, Putnam N et al: Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2012, 40(Database issue):D1178-D1186.
86. Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY, Geer RC, He J, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI et al: CDD: NCBI's conserved domain database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2015, 43(Database issue):D222-226.
87. Wheeler TJ, Eddy SR: NHMMER: DNA homology search with profile HMMs. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 2013, 29(19):2487-2489.
88. Nguyen Ba AN, Pogoutse A, Provarit N, Moses AM: NLStradamus: a simple Hidden Markov Model for nuclear localization signal prediction. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009, 10:202.
89. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, Simonovic M, Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Bork P et al: STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2019, 47(D1):D607-D613.
90. Dubreuil G, Magliano M, Dubrana MP, Lozano J, Lecomte P, Favery B, Abad P, Rosso MN: *Tobacco rattle virus* mediates gene silencing in a plant parasitic root-knot nematode. *Journal Experimental Botany* 2009, 60(14):4041-4050.
91. Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ: Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Nature Protocols* 2008, 3:1101.
92. Calil IP, Quadros IPS, Araújo TC, Duarte CEM, Gouveia-Mageste BC, Silva JCF, Brustolini OJB, Teixeira RM, Oliveira CN, Milagres RWMM et al: A WW domain-containing protein forms immune nuclear bodies against begomoviruses. *Molecular Plant* 2018, 11(12):1449-1465.
93. Hussey RS, Barker KR: A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. *Plant Disease Reports* 1973, 57:1025-1028.
94. Beneventi MA, da Silva OB, Jr., de Sá MEL, Firmino AAP, de Amorim RMS, Albuquerque EVS, da Silva MCM, da Silva JP, Campos MdA, Lopes MJC et al: Transcription profile of soybean-root-knot nematode interaction reveals a key role of phytohormones in the resistance reaction. *BMC Genomics* 2013, 14:322-322.

95. Bybd DW, Kirkpatrick T, Barker KR: An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissues for detection of nematodes. *Journal of Nematology* 1983, 15(1):142-143.

## Tables

**Table 1.** Features of the eight soybean GmHub proteins retrieved from the *G. max* Wm82.a2.v1 (BioProject: PRJNA19861) genome dataset [84] from the Phytozome v.12 database [85].

Soybean GmHubs	Gene ID	Gene function annotations	TAIR	Gene/CDS length	Chr	Chromosome location (START/END)	Protein aa/kDa	CDD domain search	HMMER prediction	NLS motif
GmHub4	Glyma.06G076000	COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5, CSN5	AT1G22920	4154/1230	06	5880948/5885101	409/45.9	cd08069	PF01398.21 PF18323.1	no
GmHub6	Glyma.17G099100	TCP family transcription factor	AT3G47620	3003/1242	17	7811940/7814942	413/44.8	pfam03634	PF03634.3	yes
GmHub10	Glyma.19G008200	Kinesin light chain	AT3G27960	3623/2103	19	810694/814316	700/77.07	pfam13424	PF13424.6 PF13176.6	yes
GmHub12	Glyma.11G026400	APC8 /Anaphase promoting complex subunit	AT3G48150	3708/1734	11	1877873/1881580	577/67.1	pfam04049 cl37187	PF04049.3 PF13181.6 PF13414.6 PF13176.6	no
GmHub17	Glyma.02G105900	TCP family transcription factor	AT1G69690	2169/1275	02	10090282/10092450	424/44.1	pfam03634	PF03634.3	yes
GmHub42	Glyma.19G160900	Transcription factor UNE1	AT4G02590	4204/879	19	42160254/42164457	292/31.2	cd18919	PF00010.26	yes

		2- Relat ed								
GmH ub47	Glyma.09G 1742 00	Jasmonate ZIM domain- containing protein	AT3G 1786 0	6521/ 1161	09	3988 3774/ 3989 0294	386/4 1.5	pfam 0620 0	PF06 200.1 4	no
								pfam 0942 5	PF09 425.1 0	
GmH ub61	Glyma.02G 1788 00	Uncharacterized conserved protein containing an amino terminal domain	AT5G 0678 0	7710/ 1275	02	3028 2810/ 3029 0519	424/4 7.4	pfam 0373 5	PF03 735.1 4	no
								smart 0074 3		

Chr: chromosome; aa: amino acid.

**Table 2.** Features of the soybean *GmHub6* (Glyma.17G099100) gene and its interactor genes retrieved from the *G. max* Wm82.a2.v1 (BioProject: PRJNA19861) genome dataset [84] from the Phytozome v.12 database [85].




Gene ID	Gene function annotations	Gene length	Chromosome	Chromosome location (START)	Chromosome location (END)	CDD domain search	HMMER prediction	NLS motif
Glyma.17G099100	TCP family transcription factor	3003	Chr17	7811940	7814942	pfam03634	PF03634	yes
Glyma.01G014900	Inactive shikimate kinase like 2, alpha-crystallin domain (ACD)	4301	Chr01	1479986	1484286	cl00175 and cl31839	PF01202	no
Glyma.04G094000	Chaperone DNAJ-domain containing protein	1396	Chr04	8359193	8360588	pfam00226	PF00226	yes
Glyma.07G143100	Chaperone protein DNAJ-like protein	4672	Chr07	17046932	17051603	cl31697	no	no
Glyma.10G240200	Transcription factor TCP9	1075	Chr10	46871673	46872747	cl23822	PF03634	yes
Glyma.12G168300	TCP family transcription factor	2777	Chr12	32320419	32323195	pfam03634	PF03634	yes
Glyma.14G021600	Helicase-related // subfamily not named	2041	Chr14	1528273	1530313	COG0571 and cd00048	PF00035 and PF00636	no
Glyma.16G004	TCP family	1908	Chr16	237659	239566	pfam03634	PF03634	no


300	transcription factor							
Glyma.18G296100	Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 35 kDa protein	4256	Chr18	57314663	57318918	cd12237 and cl36939	PF00076	yes
Glyma.20G154400	Transcription factor TCP9	1833	Chr20	39340912	39342744	cl23822	PF03634	yes
Glyma.20G189400	Signal-recognition-particle GTPase	369	Chr20	42791357	42791725	cl28914	no	no

## Figures


**A) Nucleotide sequences**




**B) Amino acid sequences**




**C) Nucleotide sequences**




**D) Amino acid sequences**



**E)**




**F)**





**Figure 1**

Sequence analysis and expression profile of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector. Pairwise sequence identity matrices of (A) nucleotide and (B) amino acid sequences generated using Sequence Demarcation Tool version 1.2 software [79]. Evolutionary analysis of (C) nucleotide and (D) amino acid sequences generated by the Phylogeny.fr web service [80]. Gene sequences were retrieved from the online WormBase Parasite Database version WBPS13 [78]. (E) Expression profile of the nematode Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and its paralogous gene Minc3s01563g24741 in different life stages (egg, J2, J3, J4, and female) of *M. incognita* determined using transcriptome datasets (BioProject number: PRJNA390559; [82]) retrieved from the BioSample database (NCBI). Error bars represent confidence intervals corresponding to three libraries per life stage of the nematode. (F) Expression profile measured by real-time RT-qPCR of the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector in different life stages of *M. incognita* race 3 during tomato parasitism. The fold-change values were calculated with the  $2^{-\Delta CT}$  formula using the Mi18S gene as the endogenous reference gene (Table S1). Error bars represent the confidence intervals corresponding to three biological replicates.




**Figure 2**

Protein-protein interaction assays between the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and eight soybean GmHub proteins. (A) Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) results for the Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 effector and the soybean GmHub4 (Glyma.06G076000), GmHub6 (Glyma.17G099100), GmHub10 (Glyma.19G008200), GmHub12 (Glyma.11G026400), GmHub17 (Glyma.02G105900), GmHub42 (Glyma.19G160900), GmHub47 (Glyma.09G174200), and GmHub61 (Glyma.02G178800) proteins (Table 1). Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and the soybean GmHub proteins were expressed in yeast with a GAL4 activation domain (AD) and binding domain (BD) fusions. The interactions between these proteins were examined by monitoring histidine prototrophy. Yeast cells were transformed with a combination of DNA constructs, and proteins were expressed in yeast and assayed for interaction on selective synthetic medium (SD) in the presence of 5 to 10 mM 3-amino-1,2,4-triazol (3-AT) and cell dilutions at an optical density (OD) of 1.0, 0.1 or 0.01. (B) Autoactivation assays with Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and GmHub6 proteins. (C) Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and GmHub6 protein interactions in Y2H screening. The protein-protein interactions were evaluated using pGBK empty vector-BD + GmHub6-AD and AtWWP1 (AT2G41020)-BD + NIG (AT4G13350)-AD [92] as

negative and positive controls, respectively. (D) In vivo interaction between Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 and GmHub6 assessed by bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays. Fluorescence (YFP) images were acquired after the coexpression of the binary vectors pSITE BiFC cEFYP (GU734652) and nEYFP (GU734651) with the 35S:GmHub6-cYFP + 35S:Mi-EFF1/Minc17998 -nYFP fusion proteins in *N. tabacum* leaves. Negative controls were based on the empty vectors used in BiFC assays. Images are representative samples from three independent biological repeats. Scale bars are 20  $\mu\text{m}$ .





**Figure 3**

In silico analysis and *GmHub6* (Glyma.17G099100) gene expression profile in soybean roots during *M. incognita* infection. (A) Pairwise sequence identity matrix from amino acid sequences generated using Sequence Demarcation Tool version 1.2 software [79]. In addition, *GmHub4* (Glyma.06G076000),

GmHub6 (Glyma.17G099100), GmHub12 (Glyma.11G026400), GmHub17 (Glyma.02G105900), GmHub42 (Glyma.19G160900), GmHub47 (Glyma.09G174200), GmHub61 (Glyma.02G178800) (Table 1), one putative homologous protein of GmHub6 (Table 2), and *Solanum lycopersicum* SITCP14 (NP\_001234586) were included in this sequence analysis. (B) Evolutionary analysis of amino acid sequences generated by the Phylogeny.fr web service [80]. Red and green boxes are highlight the GmHub6 and AtHub6 proteins, respectively, which were studied in this work. Soybean gene sequences were retrieved from *G. max* Wm82.a2.v1 (BioProject: PRJNA19861) [84] via the Phytozome v.12 database [85], while the *S. lycopersicum* SITCP14 amino acid sequence (NP\_001234586) was retrieved from the GenBank Database. (C) Expression profile of the GmHub6 gene in the axillary roots of the conventional soybean cultivars BRS133 (susceptible) and PI595099 (resistant), which are considered to present contrasting root-knot nematode resistance/susceptibility [94]. The expression profile was measured in the mock-inoculated and *M. incognita* race 1-inoculated plants using RT-qPCR assays at 3, 8, 15, and 25 days postinoculation (dpi). The time points of 3, 8, 15, and 25 dpi correspond to development stages I (opening of the second trifoliolate), II (opening of the fourth trifoliolate), III (opening of the sixth trifoliolate in cultivar BRS133 and beginning of flowering in cultivar PI595099), and IV (beginning of flowering in cultivar BRS133 and the grain boot stage in cultivar PI595099) in the plants maintained under greenhouse conditions. The fold-change values were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta CT}$  formula with the GmCYP18 gene as an endogenous reference gene (Table S1). Error bars represent confidence intervals corresponding to four biological replicates (each biological replicate was composed of four plants). Different letters in the graph bars indicate significant differences based on Tukey's test at the 5% level of significance. Susceptibility of the *A. thaliana* AtHub6 (AT3G47620; attcp14-5; GK-611C04/CS458588) mutant (T-DNA insertion) line to *M. incognita* race 3 compared to the *A. thaliana* Col-0 ecotype (wild-type; WT) and the null mutant line for the enhanced disease susceptibility 1 (AT3G48090; Eds1; SALK\_034340) gene. (D) Number of eggs per gram of roots, (E) number of *M. incognita* J2 per gram of roots, (F) number of galls per gram of roots, and (G) nematode reproduction factor (NRF) in *A. thaliana* WT (AtWT1 and AtWT2), *A. thaliana* AtEds1 mutant (AtEds1KO), and *A. thaliana* AtHub6 mutant (AtHub6KO). Error bars represent confidence intervals corresponding to three technical replicates (D, E, and G) or to each plant evaluated (F), while each treatment was composed of 15 to 20 plants. Different letters on the graph bars indicate significant differences based on Tukey's test at the 5% level of significance.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigureS1.tif](#)
- [FigureS2.tif](#)
- [FigureS3.tif](#)
- [Additionalfile1.docx](#)