



HAL
open science

ADAMTSL5 is an epigenetically activated gene underlying tumorigenesis and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma

Maria Arechederra, Sehrish Bazai, Ahmed Abdouni, Celia Sequera, Timothy Mead, Sylvie Richelme, Fabrice Daian, Stéphane Audebert, Rosanna Dono, Anthony Lozano, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Maria Arechederra, Sehrish Bazai, Ahmed Abdouni, Celia Sequera, Timothy Mead, et al.. ADAMTSL5 is an epigenetically activated gene underlying tumorigenesis and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of Hepatology*, 2021, 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.11.008 . hal-03049722

HAL Id: hal-03049722

<https://hal.science/hal-03049722v1>

Submitted on 10 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Journal of Hepatology

ADAMTSL5 is an epigenetically activated gene that confers tumorigenic properties and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JHEPAT-D-20-00791R2
Article Type:	Original Article
Section/Category:	Hepatic and Biliary Cancer
Keywords:	ADAMTSL5; liver cancer mouse model; Hepatocellular carcinoma; Epigenetics; oncogene; drug resistance; Receptor Tyrosine Kinase
First Author:	Maria Arechederra
Corresponding Author:	Flavio Maina, PhD Aix Marseille Univ Marseille cedex 09, FRANCE
Order of Authors:	Maria Arechederra Sehrish Khan Bazai Ahmed Abdouni Celia Sequera Timothy Mead Sylvie Richelme Fabrice Daian Stéphane Audebert Rosanna Dono Anthony Lozano Damien Gregoire Urszula Hibner Daniela Allende Suneel Apte Flavio Maina, PhD
Abstract:	<p>Background & Aims: The tumour microenvironment shapes tumour growth through cellular communications that include both direct interactions and secreted factors. The aim of this study was to characterize the impact of the secreted glycoprotein ADAMTSL5, whose role in cancer has not been previously investigated, on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).</p> <p>Methods: ADAMTSL5 methylation status was evaluated through bisulfite sequencing, and publicly available data analysis. ADAMTSL5 RNA and protein expression were assessed in mouse models and HCC patient samples and compared to data from published datasets. Functional studies, including association of ADAMTSL5 depletion with responsiveness to clinically relevant drugs, were performed in cellular and in vivo models. Molecular alterations associated with ADAMTSL5 targeting were determined using proteomics, biochemistry, and RT-qPCR.</p> <p>Results: Methylome analysis revealed hypermethylated gene body CpG islands at the ADAMTSL5 locus in both mouse and human HCC, correlating with higher ADAMTSL5 expression. ADAMTSL5 targeting interfered with tumorigenic properties of HCC cells in vitro and in vivo, whereas ADAMTSL5 overexpression conferred tumorigenicity to pre-tumoral hepatocytes sensitized to transformation by a modest level of MET receptor expression. Mechanistically, ADAMTSL5 abrogation led to reduction of several oncogenic inputs relevant to HCC, including reduced expression and/or phosphorylation levels of receptor tyrosine kinases MET, EGFR, PDGFRβ, IGF1Rβ, or</p>

	<p>FGFR4. This phenotype was associated with significantly increased sensitivity of HCC cells to clinically relevant drugs, namely Sorafenib, Lenvatinib, Regorafenib. Moreover, ADAMTSL5 depletion drastically increased expression of AXL, accompanied by a sensitization to Bemcentinib.</p> <p>Conclusions: Our results point to a role for ADAMTSL5 in maintaining the function of key oncogenic signalling pathways, suggesting that it may act as a master regulator of tumorigenicity and drug resistance in HCC.</p>
Response to Reviewers:	

ADAMTSL5 is an epigenetically activated gene that confers tumorigenic properties and drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma

Maria Arechederra^{1,†}, Sehrish K. Bazai^{1,†}, Ahmed Abdouni^{1,†}, Celia Sequera^{1,†}, Timothy J. Mead², Sylvie Richelme¹, Fabrice Daian¹, Stéphane Audebert³, Rosanna Dono¹, Anthony Lozano⁴, Damien Gregoire⁴, Urszula Hibner⁴, Daniela S. Allende⁵, Suneel S. Apte², and Flavio Maina^{1,*}

¹ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Developmental Biology Institute of Marseille (IBDM), UMR7288, Parc Scientifique de Luminy, Marseille (France).

² Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, OH 44195 (USA).

³ Aix-Marseille Univ, CRCM, Marseille Proteomics, INSERM, CNRS, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Marseille, France.

⁴ Institut de Génétique Moléculaire de Montpellier, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France.

⁵ Pathology Department, Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, 44195 (USA).

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author (flavio.maina@univ-amu.fr)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Contact information of the corresponding author

Flavio Maina, Ph.D.

IBDM (Developmental Biology Institute of Marseille)

163 Avenue de Luminy, case 907 - 13009 Marseille (France)

Phone: +33(0)4 91 26 97 69

flavio.maina@univ-amu.fr

Key words: ADAMTSL5; liver cancer mouse model; hepatocellular carcinoma; epigenetics; oncogene; drug resistance; Receptor Tyrosine Kinase

Electronic word count: abstract, references, tables, and figure legends: 6598

Number of figures and tables: 8 Figures

Conflict of interest. The authors M.A., T.J.M., R.D., S.S.A., and F.M. are inventors of the patent: *“Method and kit for diagnosing and for treatment of a cancer based on the overexpression of the ADAMTSL5 gene”*.

Financial support. This work was funded by FdF (Fondation de France; 2014_00051580 and 2016_00067080), ARC (Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer; PJA20181208172), GEFLUC – Les Entreprises contre le Cancer, and SATT Sud-Est to F.M. This study was partly supported by research funding from Institut National du Cancer, Région Provence-Alpes-Côte d’Azur, and Canceropôle Provence-Alpes-Côte d’Azur to F.M. S.S.A. received support from the Allen Distinguished Investigator Program, through support made by The Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group and the American Heart Association (17 DIA33820024); D.S.A. and S.S.A

1 received support from Velosano. M.A. was supported by a FdF fellowship. S.K.B. was
2 supported by the Higher Education Commission (HEC) of Pakistan. A.A. was supported with
3
4 a grant from SATT Sud-Est. C.S. was supported by a FRM fellowship. A.L. was supported by
5
6 an ARC fellowship. The contribution of the Region Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur and of the
7
8 Aix-Marseille Univ to the IBDM animal facility is acknowledged. Proteomic analyses were
9
10 performed at the mass spectrometry facility of Marseille Proteomics supported by IBISA
11
12 (Infrastructures Biologie Santé et Agronomie), Plateforme Technologique Aix-Marseille,
13
14 Canceropôle PACA, Région Sud Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, Fonds Européen de
15
16 Développement Régional (FEDER) and Plan Cancer. The funders had no role in study design,
17
18 data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **Author contributions**

27
28 M.A.: performed the majority of the experiments with mouse HCC, methylation studies,
29
30 computational work with human HCC databases, RT-qPCR on human cohort #3 samples, data
31
32 analysis, and interpretation; provided input on studies and contributed to write the manuscript.
33
34
35

36
37 S.K.B.: generated lentivirus, contributed to molecular, biochemical, xenograft, and functional
38
39 studies with mouse and human HCC cells.
40
41

42
43 A.A.: prepared samples for secretome studies, contributed to molecular, biochemical, and
44
45 functional validation of outcomes.
46
47

48
49 C.S.: performed analysis on human HCC cells, contributed to molecular, functional, and
50
51 methylation studies, performed bioinformatics analysis of human HCC databases, provided
52
53 input on studies.
54
55

56
57 T.J.M.: performed analyses on Alb-R26Met and human HCC samples, data analysis, and
58
59 interpretation; provided input on studies and on the manuscript.
60
61
62

1 S.R.: generated and characterized immorto-R26Met hepatocytes; performed tumour sphere
2 assays, immunostaining of cells, and Decitabine treatment of *Alb-R26^{Met}* mice; contributed to
3
4 xenograft and orthotopic studies.
5
6

7 F.D.: contributed to computational work with human databases, data analysis, and
8
9 interpretation.
10
11

12 S.A.: performed and analysed secretome studies.
13
14

15 R.D.: contributed to establishing the *Alb-R26^{Met}* mouse model, to the generation of lentivirus,
16
17 to molecular and morphological studies with HCC cells, data analysis and interpretation;
18
19 provided input on studies.
20
21
22

23 D.G.: performed and provided input on orthotopic studies, generated *N-Ras^{G12D}:p53null* mouse
24
25 tumours, prepared human cohort #4 samples, contributed to write the manuscript.
26
27
28

29 A.L.: performed orthotopic studies, RT-qPCR on *N-RasG12D:p53null* mouse tumours and on
30
31 human cohort #4 samples.
32
33
34

35 U.H.: provided input on studies and contributed to write the manuscript.
36
37

38 D.S.A.: contributed to studies with human HCC samples (selection of HCC cases, controls,
39
40 interpretation of staining).
41
42

43 S.S.A.: designed and supervised studies on human HCC samples; provided input on studies;
44
45 contributed to interpret data and to write the manuscript.
46
47
48

49 F.M.: designed the study, contributed to experimental work, analysed and interpreted data,
50
51 ensured financial support, and wrote the manuscript.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

Abstract

Background & Aims: The tumour microenvironment shapes tumour growth through cellular communications that include both direct interactions and secreted factors. The aim of this study was to characterize the impact of the secreted glycoprotein ADAMTSL5, whose role in cancer has not been previously investigated, on hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Methods: *ADAMTSL5* methylation status was evaluated through bisulfite sequencing, and publicly available data analysis. *ADAMTSL5* RNA and protein expression were assessed in mouse models and HCC patient samples and compared to data from published datasets. Functional studies, including association of *ADAMTSL5* depletion with responsiveness to clinically relevant drugs, were performed in cellular and in vivo models. Molecular alterations associated with *ADAMTSL5* targeting were determined using proteomics, biochemistry, and RT-qPCR.

Results: Methylome analysis revealed hypermethylated gene body CpG islands at the *ADAMTSL5* locus in both mouse and human HCC, correlating with higher *ADAMTSL5* expression. *ADAMTSL5* targeting interfered with tumorigenic properties of HCC cells in vitro and in vivo, whereas *ADAMTSL5* overexpression conferred tumorigenicity to pre-tumoral hepatocytes sensitized to transformation by a modest level of MET receptor expression. Mechanistically, *ADAMTSL5* abrogation led to reduction of several oncogenic inputs relevant to HCC, including reduced expression and/or phosphorylation levels of receptor tyrosine kinases MET, EGFR, PDGFR β , IGF1R β , or FGFR4. This phenotype was associated with significantly increased sensitivity of HCC cells to clinically relevant drugs, namely Sorafenib, Lenvatinib, Regorafenib. Moreover, *ADAMTSL5* depletion drastically increased expression of AXL, accompanied by a sensitization to Bemcentinib.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Conclusions: Our results point to a role for ADAMTSL5 in maintaining the function of key oncogenic signalling pathways, suggesting that it may act as a master regulator of tumorigenicity and drug resistance in HCC.

Lay Summary

The environment of cancer cells has profound effects on establishment, progression, and response of a tumour to treatment. Herein, we show that ADAMTSL5, a protein secreted by liver cancer cells and overlooked in cancer so far, is increased in this tumour type, is necessary for tumour formation and supports drug resistance. *Adamtsl5* removal conferred sensitivity of liver cancer cells to drugs used in current treatment. This suggests ADAMTSL5 as a potential marker in liver cancer as well as a possible drug target.

Highlights

- *ADAMTSL5* overexpression in HCC is associated with gene body CGI hypermethylation
- ADAMTSL5 is strongly expressed in a large fraction of human HCC
- Targeting ADAMTSL5 diminishes RTK inputs and interferes with tumorigenicity
- ADAMTSL5 confers tumorigenicity to sensitized, non-transformed liver cells
- Targeting ADAMTSL5 sensitizes HCC cells to drugs currently used in the clinic

Introduction

1
2 Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fourth-most common cause of cancer-related mortality
3
4 worldwide and is growing in incidence.[1-3] It is characterized by a remarkable molecular
5
6 heterogeneity among patients, with distinct (epi)genetic modifications and gene expression
7
8 profile alterations.[4-6] Globally, 78 percent of liver cancer cases are secondary to chronic
9
10 hepatitis B or C infections, most frequently associated with cirrhosis, a chronic disease in which
11
12 fibrosis distorts liver architecture and predisposes to HCC.[6] In addition, HCC can be a sequel
13
14 of alcoholic liver disease or non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), which are predisposing
15
16 conditions whose incidence is also growing. While the knowledge of risk factors will improve
17
18 likelihood of early diagnosis, current diagnostic methods show limited sensitivity and
19
20 specificity, and most patients diagnosed with HCC are already at an advanced stage.[7] The
21
22 perils of late diagnosis are compounded by the limited efficacy of current HCC therapies in
23
24 patients with advanced-stage disease.[4, 8, 9] Sorafenib and Lenvatinib, which are first-line
25
26 drugs, and second-line drugs Regorafenib, Cabozantinib, and Ramucirumab are the only
27
28 approved drugs,[10] and they only marginally improve median survival . Moreover, there exist
29
30 no a priori indicators of expected patient response to these treatments. Thus, there is a clear
31
32 unmet need for identification of new pathways that could be targeted for therapy and for reliable
33
34 biomarkers that might enable detection of early stage HCC. As in most cancers, the tumour
35
36 microenvironment has a key role in HCC establishment, progression, and response to
37
38 therapy.[6] Several microenvironment components affect composition and assembly of
39
40 extracellular matrix (ECM), cancer cell interactions with ECM, components of the immune
41
42 system, and activity of tumour-associated fibroblasts. Among these are secreted and cell-
43
44 surface proteases, and several secreted molecules that associate with and modify the ECM,
45
46 called matricellular proteins.[11]
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 A variety of systems (-omics) approaches have been employed for identifying new disease-
2 causing pathways and biomarkers. For example, -omics outcomes were used to stratify patients
3
4 for their potential response to the available therapeutic options.[8] We recently applied a
5
6 systems strategy to identify new regulators of tumorigenesis using a clinically relevant HCC
7
8 model, the *Alb-R26^{Met}* mouse,[12, 13] where we also used in vivo longitudinal imaging to
9
10 follow tumour evolution.[14] Among highly-ranked genes emerging from methylome analysis,
11
12 we were intrigued by *Adamtsl5*, a gene not previously linked to cancer. It encodes a secreted
13
14 glycoprotein having a bipartite structure, with an N-terminal domain similar to members of the
15
16 ADAMTS (A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease domain containing thrombospondin type 1
17
18 motif) protease family, and a C-terminal netrin domain. Since it lacks the metalloprotease and
19
20 disintegrin-like domains of ADAMTS proteases, it lacks catalytic activity.[15] In this regard, it
21
22 is a unique component of the proteome and could be regarded as a variant netrin, since like the
23
24 members of that family, its netrin domain is located C-terminally. Little is known about
25
26 ADAMTSL5, other than that it associates with fibrillin microfibrils formed in cell culture and
27
28 binds heparin through the netrin domain.[15] Hence, it could participate in matrix assembly,
29
30 tissue mechanics, and regulation of fibrillin-bound growth factors, as well as bind to
31
32 proteoglycans located at the cell surface or in the matrix.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Here, we identify a major disease and mechanistic context for this unique molecule, showing
42
43 that it is an epigenetically regulated oncogene in HCC, strongly associated with a subset of
44
45 human HCC, and more importantly, affecting the efficacy of drugs used to treat HCC. Thus,
46
47 the outcomes of this study have potential therapeutic value in HCC and highlight ADAMTSL5
48
49 as both a potential HCC biomarker and target.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Materials and Methods

Data and materials availability. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (www.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD016634.

***Alb-R26^{Met}* mice.** The generation of the *R26^{stopMet}* mice (international nomenclature *Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm1(Actb-Met)Fmai}*) carrying a conditional mouse-human chimeric *Met* transgene into the *Rosa26* locus, and the genotyping procedures were previously reported.[16-18]

Human samples. After Institutional Review Board approval, a search in a clinical database was performed for human HCC cases from 2002 to 2007 (Cleveland Clinic, USA; human cohort #5). A total of 43 HCC cases were included. Haematoxylin and eosin stained slides from 10% formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded blocks of these cases were reviewed by a liver pathologist (D.S.A.). A representative block from the tumour was identified and unstained slides on positively charged glass slides were generated for additional stains and RNAscope in situ hybridization. For RT-qPCR analyses, 18 HCC samples from Navarra University (Spain; human cohort #3) and 16 from Montpellier University (France; human cohort #4) were used.

Detailed methods can be found in the **Supplementary Information**

Results

***Adamtsl5* is hypermethylated in its gene body CGI and overexpressed in clinically relevant HCC mouse models.**

Mouse *Adamtsl5* has two CpG islands (CGIs), one in the promoter and the other in the gene body region (Fig. 1A). DNA methylation analyses revealed that *Adamtsl5* was consistently hypermethylated in the gene body CGI in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC compared to control livers, without a change in the DNA methylation content of the promoter CGI (Fig. 1B). This alteration was accompanied by an upregulation of *Adamtsl5* mRNA, as shown by RNA-seq analyses (Fig. 1C). Remarkably, treatment of *Alb-R26^{Met}* mice with a DNA-demethylating agent (Decitabine) significantly decreased *Adamtsl5* expression in tumours, accompanied by a consistent reduction in the methylation levels of most CpGs within its gene body (Fig. 1D, S3A, Table S1). *Adamtsl5* expression was upregulated in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours both at early stages of liver tumorigenesis and in advanced HCC compared to controls (wild-type or *Alb-R26^{Met}* healthy livers), correlating with increased expression of *Afp* and *Gpc3* (two well-characterized HCC markers), and *Mki67* (a proliferation marker; Fig. 1E). The low levels of *Adamtsl5* we found in the healthy mouse livers was supported by single cell RNA-seq data from the <https://tabula-muris.ds.czbiohub.org/> website,[19] in which *Adamtsl5* mRNA expression was virtually absent in liver cells, similar to *Afp* and *Gpc3*, whereas *Met* was expressed in a high proportion of hepatocytes (Fig. S1). Low *ADAMTSL5* levels in human liver were also observed (Fig. S2).

We analysed *ADAMTSL5* mRNA and protein levels in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours compared with control livers. In situ hybridization analysis by RNAScope revealed a consistent *Adamtsl5* mRNA upregulation in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC in contrast with adjacent liver tissue, where *Adamtsl5* mRNA was not detected (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, immunohistochemical analysis of proximate sections showed strong *ADAMTSL5* staining in all analysed *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC, whereas

1 ADAMTSL5 was not detected in non-tumoral tissue (Fig. 1F). Upregulation of *Adamtsl5*
2 mRNA levels was also found in another mouse model of liver tumorigenesis, in which tumours
3 are generated by hydrodynamic gene transfer of *N-Ras^{G12D}* and Crispr/Cas9 targeting of *p53*
4 (*N-Ras^{G12D}:p53^{null}*; Fig. 1G). These results indicate that *Adamtsl5* overexpression is not solely
5 a characteristic of the *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC model. However, *Adamtsl5* gene body CGI was not
6 hypermethylated in the *Ras^{G12D}:p53^{null}* model (Fig. S3B), suggesting the existence of alternative
7 mechanisms of *Adamtsl5* upregulation, which are presently unknown. Thus, upregulation of
8 *Adamtsl5* mRNA and subsequent protein production consistently discriminates HCC from
9 adjacent liver tissue by the early tumorigenic state in at least these two mouse HCC models.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **ADAMTSL5 is hypermethylated in the gene body CGI and overexpressed in a large**
24 **proportion of HCC patients.**
25
26
27

28 Whereas mouse *Adamtsl5* has two CGIs (Fig. 1A), human *ADAMTSL5* has four CGIs: one in
29 the promoter and three in the gene body (Fig. 2A). Analyses using HCC expression data from
30 The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (human cohort #1) revealed that *ADAMTSL5*
31 mRNA levels were upregulated in 52% of HCC cases (193/371; Log₂ fold change>1; Fig. 2B).
32 We also examined the methylation status of *ADAMTSL5* in the subgroup of HCC patients for
33 which both methylation and expression data were available (41/371 patients). Strikingly, 86%
34 (18/21) of patients with *ADAMTSL5* overexpression displayed hypermethylation in one of the
35 gene body CGIs (Fig. 2C, Table S2). The incidence of *ADAMTSL5* CGI gene body methylation
36 in HCC patients was further explored in a second cohort of 214 human samples (human cohort
37 #2: GSE56588[20]). 55% (112/204) of these patients shared hypermethylated *ADAMTSL5* gene
38 body CGIs (Fig. S4A, Table S3). Importantly, HCC patients with high *ADAMTSL5* levels were
39 characterised by a shorter overall survival and of disease-free interval (Fig. 2D-E).
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 We found that upregulation of *ADAMTSL5* mRNA levels correlated with alcohol intake rather
58 than with other risk factors such as hepatitis C, hepatitis B, or NAFLD (Fig. 2F, S4B).
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Intriguingly, we found *Adamtsl5* upregulation also in mice following alcohol consumption (Fig.
2 S4C), strengthening a possible correlation between *ADAMTSL5* expression and alcohol intake
3 observed in human patients. Interestingly, by searching correlations between *ADAMTSL5*
4 expression levels and genetic alterations in HCC patients, we observed an inverse correlation
5 between *ADAMTSL5* expression and the number of mutated genes or deletions/amplifications
6 (Fig. 2G-H). Additionally, we found a significant difference in altered genes in the group of
7 patients with *ADAMTSL5* overexpression compared to the other two groups (Fig. 2I-J, S5, Table
8 S4-5). Intriguingly, *Dchs1* is a gene predominantly mutated in *ADAMTSL5* overexpressing
9 patients (Fig. 2I, S5). No correlations were found with a series of clinical parameters that were
10 analysed (Fig. S6-8). We also examined whether high *ADAMTSL5* expression correlated with
11 changes of specific markers generally used to classify HCC patients[5], which were previously
12 used to characterise the *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC model.[12] No significant differences were found
13 among the three HCC subgroups (Fig. S9A, Table S6). Although *Adamtsl5* mRNA was
14 upregulated in the *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC model, which is characterized by slightly enhanced MET
15 levels, we did not find a correlation between *ADAMTSL5* and *MET* levels in the cohort of HCC
16 patients (Fig. S9B). Collectively, these results indicate that high *ADAMTSL5* levels distinguish
17 patients with specific mutations and with a predominant genetic stability, and may thus
18 independently identify a distinct HCC patient subgroup not already classified by other HCC
19 markers.
20
21

22 Next, we experimentally assessed *ADAMTSL5* mRNA levels in human HCC and control
23 specimens using samples from two independent cohorts (human cohort #3 and #4). RT-qPCR
24 analysis revealed upregulation of *ADAMTSL5* mRNA in 50% (9/18) and 44% (7/16) HCCs
25 compared to adjacent non-tumorous livers (Fig. 3A-B, Table S7), similar to the HCC cohort
26 from TCGA. Collectively, these findings show that *ADAMTSL5* is overexpressed in a large
27 proportion of HCC patients from independent human cohorts. Immunohistochemical analysis
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 revealed ADAMTSL5 protein staining at moderate to high levels in sections from 30/43
2 analysed HCC patients, compared to adjacent non-tumour tissue (human cohort #5; Fig. 3C,
3 Table S8). In HCC and adjacent livers, staining for ADAMTSL5 and fibrillin-1 (Fig. S10A-C),
4 a protein previously associated with liver fibrosis, were mutually exclusive.[21] Although non-
5 tumour regions of the liver were consistently ADAMTSL5-negative (Fig. 3C), weak staining
6 was observed in some cirrhotic areas. The diverse staining patterns observed in ADAMTSL5-
7 positive tumours included weak, moderate, or intense staining of tumour cells, intense staining
8 of macrophages bordering necrotic areas and of capillary plexuses in some tumours, and weak
9 staining in vascular smooth muscle cells (Fig. 3D, S10D). RNAscope in situ hybridization
10 revealed *ADAMTSL5* mRNA expression by tumour cells but not by macrophages, contrasting
11 with the immunostaining data (Fig. 3D). These observations suggest that ADAMTSL5 protein
12 is secreted by tumour cells in a significant proportion of analysed HCC tumours, and undergoes
13 subsequent uptake by macrophages.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **ADAMTSL5 is required for tumorigenic properties of HCC cells.**

32
33 We generated *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cell lines from distinct tumours[12] (Fig. 4A), which
34 reproducibly showed high mRNA and protein levels of ADAMTSL5 (Fig. 4B, S11A).
35 Consistent with reported secretion by cells,[15] ADAMTSL5 was detected in the conditioned
36 medium of *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cells (Fig. 4B). The consistent expression in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cells
37 prompted us to explore whether ADAMTSL5 influences HCC cell tumorigenic properties.
38 *Adamtsl5* expression was reduced by shRNA targeting sequences in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cells (Fig.
39 4C, S11B-E), which led to a striking switch in cell morphology from epithelial-like to
40 fibroblast-like, and loss of ZO-1 and E-Cadherin expression (Fig. 4D; S11F-H).
41
42 We assessed whether the fibroblast-like shape acquired by HCC cells following *Adamtsl5*
43 targeting corresponded to an epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) or to the acquisition of
44 a “fibroblast-like” identity, by following the expression levels of specific markers through RT-
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 qPCR. HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells, while losing *Cdh1* (E-cadherin) expression, gained only the
2 expression of one mesenchymal marker, *Snai1*, but not of *Cdh2* (N-Cadherin), *Vim* (Vimentin),
3
4 *Slug*, *Zeb1*, *Zeb2*, and *Twist1* (Fig. 5A, S12A-S13). Concerning the TGF β pathway, beside a
5
6 slight increase in the expression of *Tgfb1* and *Tgif2* (and *Snai1*), we observed similar levels of
7
8 *Smad2* and *Mtss1* (beside *Twist1*, *Vim*, *CD44*; Fig. 5B, S12B-S13) and a similar response to
9
10 TGF β 1 stimulation in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} and control cells (Fig. S12G). Interestingly, HCC^{shAdamtsl5}
11
12 cells acquired expression of fibroblast markers, such as α -*Sma*, *Fsp1* and *Fbn1* (Fibrillin-1; Fig.
13
14 5C, S12C-S13). We further explored the fibroblast-like identity and found that HCC^{shAdamtsl5}
15
16 cells acquired expression of *Col3a1*, *Colla1*, *Pcolce*, which are markers of scar-associated
17
18 mesenchymal cells (SAMes), while losing *Krt19* expression (Fig. 5E, S12E-S13). Furthermore,
19
20 HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells lost expression of *Afp* (HCC marker) as well as of *Prom1*, *Epcam*, and *Cdh1*
21
22 (considered as cancer stem cell markers; Fig. 5D-F, S12D-F-S13). Collectively, these results
23
24 indicate that loss of *Adamtsl5* expression in HCC cells confers molecular/morphological
25
26 fibroblast-like characteristics rather than triggering a bona fide EMT.
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Functionally, we found that *Adamtsl5* downregulation interfered with the ability of *Alb-R26^{Met}*
35
36 HCC cells to form colonies in anchorage-independent assays (Fig. 6A-B, S14A) and tumour
37
38 spheroids upon growth in self-renewal conditions (Fig. 6C), yet without major changes in cell
39
40 viability (Fig. S14B). HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells migrated to a greater degree (Fig. S14C-E), consistent
41
42 with the acquired fibroblast-like morphology (Fig. 4D), although they were not as invasive as
43
44 controls (Fig. S14F-G). Interestingly, the ability of HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells to form colonies and
45
46 tumour spheroids, but not their morphological change, was significantly restored when they
47
48 were exposed to conditioned medium from HCC cells overexpressing human *ADAMTSL5* (Fig.
49
50 6D, S15).

51
52 Next, we assessed *ADAMTSL5* expression and requirement in human HCC cell lines. Analysis
53
54 of RNA-seq data from a panel of human HCC cells[22] revealed consistently high *ADAMTSL5*
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 levels in all cell lines (Fig. 6E). RT-qPCR and western blot studies performed on a subset of
2 these human HCC cells showed consistent ADAMTSL5 expression, although at variable levels
3
4 (Fig. 6F, S16A). We assessed the ADAMTSL5 requirement of human HCC cells by silencing
5
6 it by shRNA in three HCC cell lines, SNU449, MHCC97H, and HLF. *ADAMTSL5*
7
8 downregulation hampered the ability of these cell lines to form colonies in anchorage-
9
10 dependent or -independent growth assays (Fig. 6G-I, S16B-E). Collectively, these findings
11
12 demonstrate that ADAMTSL5 expression is necessary for HCC cells to achieve their full
13
14 tumorigenic properties in vitro.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **ADAMTSL5 is required for tumorigenic properties of HCC cells in vivo and its**
22 **overexpression is sufficient to transform sensitized hepatocytes.**
23

24
25
26 Next, we investigated whether *ADAMTSL5* expression is a requirement for HCC cells
27
28 tumorigenicity in vivo using xenografts. *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC and *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells
29
30 were injected subcutaneously into the flank of nude mice and tumour formation was followed
31
32 over time. Compared to controls, HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells showed a striking reduction in tumour size
33
34 and the percentage of animals that developed tumours (Fig. 7A-C). Additionally, we assessed
35
36 the requirement for ADAMTSL5 in an experimental setting that recapitulated the liver tumour
37
38 environment by performing orthotopic injections of *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC^{shControl} and HCC^{shAdamtsl5}
39
40 cells intra-hepatically in mice. Remarkably, in contrast to controls, HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells did not
41
42 form tumours (Fig. 7D, E), consistent with reduced in vitro tumorigenicity, loss of *Afp* and
43
44 cancer stem cell marker expression (Fig. 5-6).
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Next, we asked whether *ADAMTSL5* overexpression would confer tumorigenicity to cells
52
53 otherwise incapable of forming tumours. For this purpose, we chose a cellular context in which
54
55 enhanced wild-type MET RTK levels, although not sufficient on their own, provide a sensitized
56
57 genetic background for testing cooperativity with another pro-tumorigenic hit (Fig. 7F).[23]
58
59 Whereas *immorto-R26^{Met}* hepatocytes did not form tumours in immuno-compromised mice,
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 human *ADAMTSL5* overexpression conferred in vivo tumorigenicity to these cells (Fig. 7G-I).

2 Collectively, these in vivo studies underline the oncogenic properties of ADAMTSL5 in HCC.

3
4
5
6
7 **ADAMTSL5 expression correlates with high dosage of several oncogenes relevant to**
8
9 **HCC.**

10 We performed mass spectrometry for unbiased comparison of the secretome of HCC^{shControl} and
11 HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells. Excluding ribosome-related proteins frequently detected in such analyses,

12 we found 614 proteins with differential abundance in the two secretomes: 348 upregulated and
13 266 downregulated in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells compared to controls (Fig. 8A-B, Table S9).

14 According to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database, several
15 cancer-related pathways were significantly enriched, such as axon guidance (frequently
16 overrepresented in cancer), ECM-receptor interaction and adhesion components, proteoglycans
17 and glycans (Fig. 8C, Table S9). These pathways appear to be consistent with ADAMTSL5
18 effects on cell morphology and tumorigenicity, and indicated that loss of tumorigenicity
19 following *Adamtsl5* knock-down was accompanied by depletion of several oncogenic signals.

20 We selected some proteins for biochemical assessment in the secretomes and cell lysates of
21 HCC^{shControl} and HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells (Fig. 8D, S17A). We found a striking reduction of the RTK
22 MET extracellular domain in the medium and of full-length MET in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cell lysates
23 (Fig. 7D-E, S17E). Protein levels of EPHA2 and EPHA4, two other RTKs also implicated in
24 HCC,[24, 25] were reduced (although levels of a smaller band detected with an EPHA4
25 antibody in cell lysates were increased; Fig. 8D-E, S17E). Additionally, UNC5B (a netrin-
26 receptor, which is a prognostic marker of HCC; Fig. S17B) and LPHN3 (Latrophilin3, which
27 interacts with UNC5B and regulates cell adhesion[26]), each a trans-membrane protein, were
28 less abundant in the secretome of HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells, but remained unchanged in cell lysates
29 (Fig. 8D-E). Furthermore, we found a reduction of cleaved products of FAT1 (which acts as an
30 oncogene in HCC[27]) in the medium, likely resulting from extracellular shedding [28] (Fig.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

S17C-D). We also detected an upregulation of biglycan (a small leucine-rich repeat proteoglycan) and Sulf-2 (an extracellular modulator of heparan sulfate that modifies binding sites for signalling molecules) in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells (Fig. 8D-E, S17E). Remarkably, in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells we found a striking upregulation of AXL (Fig. 8D-E, S17E), a RTK whose upregulation is frequently associated with drug resistance in several tumour types,[29] including HCC response to Sorafenib.[30] Next, we asked whether the changes in protein levels observed occurred at a transcriptional level. RT-qPCR analyses revealed no transcriptional alteration in *Met*, *EphA2*, *Unc5B*, and *Lphn.*, whereas *Bgn*, *Sulf2*, and *Axl* were upregulated and *EphA4* was downregulated (Fig. 8F). Intrigued by the remarkable reduction of levels of RTKs relevant to HCC, we analysed the expression and phosphorylation levels of other RTKs with essential roles in HCC tumorigenicity and resistance to treatment. Besides a strong downregulation of MET expression and phosphorylation in HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells, we found reduced phosphorylation of EGFR, PDGFR β , and IGF1R β (Fig. 8G, Fig. S17E). Moreover, while the protein levels of EGFR and IGF1R β were unchanged, PDGFR β protein levels were increased (Fig. 8G, Fig. S17E), similarly to its mRNA (Fig. 8H). Consistent with the loss of MET and EGFR phosphorylation, two main upstream regulators of GAB1, we observed downregulation of GAB1 phosphorylation (Fig. 8G, Fig. S17E). Additionally, we found depletion of FGFR4 (Fig. 8G-H), another key RTK in HCC.[31] Collectively, these findings suggest that ADAMTSL5 may act as a master regulator of tumorigenicity by affecting oncogenic signalling.

ADAMTSL5 depletion sensitizes HCC cells to drugs used for HCC treatment in the clinic.

The severe depletion of several RTKs relevant to tumorigenicity and resistance of HCC cells to drugs used for HCC treatment in the clinic prompted us to explore whether ADAMTSL5 downregulation influenced HCC cell sensitivity to these drugs. The *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cellular system was particularly appropriate for addressing this question because of its resistance to

1 Sorafenib, which was previously reported.[12] We compared the sensitivity of HCC^{shAdamtsl5}
2 and control cells to several multi-kinase inhibitors: Crizotinib, Lenvatinib, Regorafenib, and
3 Sorafenib. Because of the striking upregulation of AXL observed in *Adamtsl5*-downregulated
4 cells, we also assessed the effects of Bemcentinib, an inhibitor of AXL (Table S10). HCC^{shControl}
5 cells were either totally resistant (Lenvatinib) or displayed limited sensitivity when drugs were
6 used at high dosage (Fig. 8I). In contrast, HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cell viability was significantly decreased
7 when cells were exposed to Crizotinib, Lenvatinib, Regorafenib, and to a lesser extent, to
8 Sorafenib (Fig. 8I). Remarkably, Bemcentinib treatment drastically interfered with
9 HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cell viability whereas no effect was observed in control cells (Fig. 8I). Overall,
10 our results illustrate that ADAMTSL5 targeting in HCC cells leads to a profound alteration of
11 several oncogenic inputs such as those linked to RTKs, and confers sensitivity to clinically
12 relevant drugs.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Discussion

1
2 Lack of efficient therapies for HCC provides a strong incentive for seeking new disease
3
4 pathways and more reliable biomarkers, notably those that can be used for diagnosis of early
5
6 stages of HCC. Biomarkers for early detection and patient stratification will be even more
7
8 important in the future, when additional first- and second-line therapies for liver cancer may
9
10 become available. Here, we identified *ADAMTSL5* upregulation in a large percentage of HCC
11
12 patients from several independent cohorts: according to RNA-seq data or our RT-qPCR
13
14 analyses, ranging from 44 to 52% of human HCC patients; according to immunohistochemistry
15
16 analysis, 70% of HCC patients. *Adamtsl5* upregulation was reproduced in the *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC
17
18 model and importantly, its increased expression was already evident during early liver
19
20 tumorigenesis. *Adamtsl5* upregulation was similarly detected in *N-Ras^{G12D}:p53^{null}* mouse liver
21
22 tumours, indicating that its overexpression is not restricted to a single genetic trigger during
23
24 mouse tumorigenesis. We have shown, both in mouse and human tumours, that augmented
25
26 *ADAMTSL5* expression correlates with hypermethylation of its gene body CGI, an epigenetic
27
28 mechanism that we recently reported to be involved in the regulation of oncogene
29
30 expression.[13] Thus, our data suggest that hypermethylation of gene body CGI is predictive,
31
32 although not exclusively, of high *ADAMTSL5* expression in HCC. While these data require
33
34 further validation in large patient cohorts, they imply a potential clinical significance of
35
36 *ADAMTSL5* upregulation in a subgroup of patients and might qualify *ADAMTSL5* as a
37
38 potential HCC biomarker. Interestingly, in situ analysis indicated that *ADAMTSL5* was
39
40 produced by HCC cells in the *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC model, as well as in roughly half of human HCC
41
42 cases. In agreement with the described heterogeneity of HCCs and with mRNA expression
43
44 observed in about half of the cases annotated by TCGA, we found that a significant proportion
45
46 of human HCC samples stained negative for *ADAMTSL5*. However, one caveat of analysing
47
48 biopsies, either for RNA content or by staining, is that of sampling variability, i.e. samples may
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

come from ADAMTSL5-negative areas of heterogeneous, often multifocal tumours that may contain expressing cells elsewhere. Therefore, it is noteworthy that as a secreted protein, ADAMTSL5 is a potential candidate biomarker that is accessible through liquid biopsy. Indeed, liquid biopsy can overcome limitations of tissue biopsy, since it is expected to represent the entire molecular picture of a patient's malignancy. Future studies will determine if measuring plasma ADAMTSL5 levels and/or hypermethylation of the gene body *ADAMTSL5* CGI in circulating cell-free DNA could be useful as a HCC biomarker. It will also be important to ascertain if human HCC can be stratified by ADAMTSL5 status to uncover histological, precancerous or risk associations, which may contribute to a better-informed choice of therapeutic options.

We illustrated by a series of in vitro and in vivo assays that increased levels of ADAMTSL5 are associated with tumorigenic properties of HCC cells. Indeed, ADAMTSL5 targeting interfered with HCC cell anchorage-dependent and -independent growth, tumour spheroid formation and with orthotopic and subcutaneous xenograft growth. The oncogenic function of ADAMTSL5 is further supported by our data showing that its overexpression conferred tumorigenicity to sensitized, non-transformed liver cells. Collectively, these results indicate that ADAMTSL5, which is produced by HCC cells, may be a key factor determining their tumorigenicity. Of note, the secreted ADAMTSL5 acts in a non-cell autonomous fashion, as demonstrated by the partial restoration of the phenotype of HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells by the conditioned medium of cells over-expressing ADAMTSL5. Importantly, since the secretome of ADAMTSL5-expressing cells is profoundly altered, it is thus possible that some of its oncogenic effects are indirect.

Interestingly, increased *ADAMTSL5* methylation was recently reported in chemotherapy-resistant acute lymphoblastic leukaemia patients.[32] However, neither the genomic location of such hypermethylation nor the consequences for *ADAMTSL5* expression in acute lymphoblastic

1 leukaemia patients have been investigated. In addition, recent studies implicated ADAMTSL5
2 in pathologies other than cancer.[33]
3

4 In summary, we have shown that *ADAMTSL5*, which is overexpressed in a significant
5 proportion of HCC patients, has an essential role in HCC pathogenesis. Our results suggest that
6 *ADAMTSL5* is a potential master regulator of HCC, acting upstream of several key oncogenic
7 pathways, including RTKs, such as MET, EGFR, GAB1, PDGFR β , IGF1R β , and FGFR4.
8 Intriguingly, *ADAMTSL5* targeting results in decreased activation of several RTK signals,
9 irrespectively on changes of their protein levels. Strikingly, *ADAMTSL5* depletion gives rise
10 to a dramatic increase of AXL. It is tempting to speculate that upregulation of AXL may occur
11 in response to loss of several other RTK inputs. This interpretation is supported by the acquired
12 vulnerability of HCC^{shADAMTSL5} to AXL inhibition, suggesting a novel therapeutic strategy for
13 a defined subset of HCCs. From a general perspective, a number of RTK-targeting drugs are
14 now available and our results suggest that some could be repurposed for treating *ADAMTSL5*-
15 overexpressing HCC, especially when used in combination with agents targeting *ADAMTSL5*,
16 which remain to be developed.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgments

1
2 These results are in part based upon public data generated by TCGA Research Network:
3
4 <http://cancergenome.nih.gov/>. We thank: all members of our labs for helpful discussions and
5
6 comments; A. Porras and F. Helmbacher for extremely valuable feedback on the study; B.
7
8 Habermann and A. Yim for assisting us on evaluation of human TCGA datasets; Á.M.
9
10 Martínez-Valverde for providing us the SV40 Large T Antigen to immortalize the embryonic
11
12 hepatocytes; S. Lev for advises to produce lentivirus particles carrying shRNA and for
13
14 extremely valuable feedback; C. Berasain, M. Elizalde, and I. Uriarte for providing us samples
15
16 from human cohort #3 and from mice following alcohol consumption; people at the IBDM
17
18 mouse facility for excellent help with mouse husbandry; A. Dobric and C. Giaccherini for their
19
20 contributions to studies on molecular and functional characterizations of *Adamts15* shRNA-
21
22 targeted HCC cells; D. Reinhardt for anti-fibrillin-1 antibody.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2 [1] McGlynn KA, Petrick JL, El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma.
3
4 Hepatology 2020.
5
6
- 7 [2] Yang JD, Hainaut P, Gores GJ, Amadou A, Plymoth A, Roberts LR. A global view of
8
9 hepatocellular carcinoma: trends, risk, prevention and management. Nat Rev Gastroenterol
10
11 Hepatol 2019;16:589-604.
12
13
- 14 [3] Villanueva A. Hepatocellular Carcinoma. The New England journal of medicine
15
16 2019;380:1450-1462.
17
18
- 19 [4] Llovet JM, Villanueva A, Lachenmayer A, Finn RS. Advances in targeted therapies for
20
21 hepatocellular carcinoma in the genomic era. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2015;12:408-424.
22
23
- 24 [5] Zucman-Rossi J, Villanueva A, Nault JC, Llovet JM. Genetic Landscape and
25
26 Biomarkers of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2015;149:1226-1239 e1224.
27
28
- 29 [6] Llovet JM, Zucman-Rossi J, Pikarsky E, Sangro B, Schwartz M, Sherman M, et al.
30
31 Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:16018.
32
33
- 34 [7] Sherman M, Bruix J, Porayko M, Tran T, Committee APG. Screening for hepatocellular
35
36 carcinoma: the rationale for the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases
37
38 recommendations. Hepatology 2012;56:793-796.
39
40
- 41 [8] Llovet JM, Hernandez-Gea V. Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Reasons for Phase III Failure
42
43 and Novel Perspectives on Trial Design. Clinical Cancer Research 2014;20:2072-2079.
44
45
- 46 [9] Sprinzl MF, Galle PR. Current progress in immunotherapy of hepatocellular carcinoma.
47
48 J Hepatol 2017;66:482-484.
49
50
- 51 [10] Montironi C, Montal R, Llovet JM. New Drugs Effective in the Systemic Treatment of
52
53 Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken) 2019;14:56-61.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [11] Gerarduzzi C, Hartmann U, Leask A, Drobetsky E. The Matrix Revolution: Matricellular Proteins and Restructuring of the Cancer Microenvironment. *Cancer Res* 2020;80:2705-2717.
- [12] Fan YN, Arechederra M, Richelme S, Daian F, Novello C, Calderaro J, et al. A Phosphokinome-Based Screen Uncovers New Drug Synergies for Cancer Driven by Liver-Specific Gain of Nononcogenic Receptor Tyrosine Kinases. *Hepatology* 2017;66:1644-1661.
- [13] Arechederra M, Daian F, Yim A, Bazai SK, Richelme S, Dono R, et al. Hypermethylation of gene body CpG islands predicts high dosage of functional oncogenes in liver cancer. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:3164.
- [14] Cassol F, Portal L, Richelme S, Dupont M, Boursier Y, Arechederra M, et al. Tracking Dynamics of Spontaneous Tumors in Mice Using Photon-Counting Computed Tomography. *iScience* 2019;21:68-83.
- [15] Bader HL, Wang LW, Ho JC, Tran T, Holden P, Fitzgerald J, et al. A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease domain containing thrombospondin type 1 motif-like 5 (ADAMTSL5) is a novel fibrillin-1-, fibrillin-2-, and heparin-binding member of the ADAMTS superfamily containing a netrin-like module. *Matrix Biol* 2012;31:398-411.
- [16] Tonges L, Ostendorf T, Lamballe F, Genestine M, Dono R, Koch JC, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor protects retinal ganglion cells by increasing neuronal survival and axonal regeneration in vitro and in vivo. *J Neurochem* 2011;117:892-903.
- [17] Genestine M, Caricati E, Fico A, Richelme S, Hassani H, Sunyach C, et al. Enhanced neuronal Met signalling levels in ALS mice delay disease onset. *Cell Death Dis* 2011;2:e130.
- [18] Fan Y, Richelme S, Avazeri E, Audebert S, Helmbacher F, Dono R, et al. Tissue-Specific Gain of RTK Signalling Uncovers Selective Cell Vulnerability during Embryogenesis. *PLoS genetics* 2015;11:e1005533.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [19] Tabula Muris C, Overall c, Logistical c, Organ c, processing, Library p, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates a Tabula Muris. *Nature* 2018;562:367-372.
- [20] Villanueva A, Portela A, Sayols S, Battiston C, Hoshida Y, Mendez-Gonzalez J, et al. DNA methylation-based prognosis and epidrivers in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2015;61:1945-1956.
- [21] Lorena D, Darby IA, Reinhardt DP, Sapin V, Rosenbaum J, Desmouliere A. Fibrillin-1 expression in normal and fibrotic rat liver and in cultured hepatic fibroblastic cells: modulation by mechanical stress and role in cell adhesion. *Lab Invest* 2004;84:203-212.
- [22] Caruso S, Calatayud AL, Pilet J, La Bella T, Rekik S, Imbeaud S, et al. Analysis of Liver Cancer Cell Lines Identifies Agents With Likely Efficacy Against Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Markers of Response. *Gastroenterology* 2019;157:760-776.
- [23] Fan YN, Bazai SK, Daian F, Arechederra M, Richelme S, Temiz NA, et al. Evaluating the landscape of gene cooperativity with receptor tyrosine kinases in liver tumorigenesis using transposon-mediated mutagenesis. *Journal of Hepatology* 2019;70:470-482.
- [24] Yan Y, Luo YC, Wan HY, Wang J, Zhang PP, Liu M, et al. MicroRNA-10a Is Involved in the Metastatic Process by Regulating Eph Tyrosine Kinase Receptor A4-Mediated Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition and Adhesion in Hepatoma Cells. *Hepatology* 2013;57:667-677.
- [25] Jin RA, Lin H, Li GH, Xu JJ, Shi L, Chang C, et al. TR4 nuclear receptor suppresses HCC cell invasion via downregulating the EphA2 expression. *Cell Death & Disease* 2018;9.
- [26] Lu YC, Nazarko OV, Sando R, 3rd, Salzman GS, Li NS, Sudhof TC, et al. Structural Basis of Latrophilin-FLRT-UNC5 Interaction in Cell Adhesion. *Structure* 2015;23:1678-1691.
- [27] Valletta D, Czech B, Spruss T, Ikenberg K, Wild P, Hartmann A, et al. Regulation and function of the atypical cadherin FAT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 2014;35:1407-1415.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [28] Caruso N, Herberth B, Bartoli M, Puppo F, Dumonceaux J, Zimmermann A, et al. Deregulation of the protocadherin gene FAT1 alters muscle shapes: implications for the pathogenesis of facioscapulohumeral dystrophy. *PLoS genetics* 2013;9:e1003550.
- [29] Niu ZS, Niu XJ, Wang WH. Role of the receptor tyrosine kinase Axl in hepatocellular carcinoma and its clinical relevance. *Future Oncol* 2019;15:653-662.
- [30] Pinato DJ, Brown MW, Trousil S, Aboagye EO, Beaumont J, Zhang H, et al. Integrated analysis of multiple receptor tyrosine kinases identifies Axl as a therapeutic target and mediator of resistance to sorafenib in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Brit J Cancer* 2019;120:512-521.
- [31] Raja A, Park I, Haq F, Ahn SM. FGF19-FGFR4 Signaling in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cells* 2019;8.
- [32] Abdullah M, Choo CW, Alias H, Abdul Rahman EJ, Mohd Ibrahim H, Jamal R, et al. ADAMTSL5 and CDH11: putative epigenetic markers for therapeutic resistance in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Hematology* 2017;22:386-391.
- [33] Bonifacio KM, Kunjra via N, Krueger JG, Fuentes-Duculan J. Cutaneous Expression of A Disintegrin-like and Metalloprotease domain containing Thrombospondin Type 1 motif-like 5 (ADAMTSL5) in Psoriasis goes beyond Melanocytes. *J Pigment Disord* 2016;3.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. *Adamtsl5* is hypermethylated in the gene body CGI and overexpressed in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours compared with control livers. (A) Schematic representation of the mouse *Adamtsl5* locus (blue: exons; CGIs: green). (B) Methylation levels of the *Adamtsl5* gene body and promoter CGIs in control livers and *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours. Note significantly higher *Adamtsl5* methylation levels in gene body CGI in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours, with no change in the promoter CGI. (C) *Adamtsl5* mRNA levels in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours versus controls. (D) Methylation levels of CpGs within the *Adamtsl5* gene body CGI (left) and *Adamtsl5* expression levels (right) in tumours dissected from *Alb-R26^{Met}* mice untreated (red) or treated with decitabine (green). (E) mRNA expression levels of *Adamtsl5*, *Afp*, *Gpc3* (two HCC markers), and *Mki67* (proliferative marker). Note *Adamtsl5* upregulation in *Alb-R26^{Met}* early tumours (smaller than 3mm) and HCC. (F) *Adamtsl5* mRNA (left) and protein (right) in *Alb-R26^{Met}* tumours versus adjacent control livers. (G) Increased *Adamtsl5* mRNA levels in *N-Ras^{G12D}:p53^{null}* mouse tumours versus adjacent livers. n.s.:not significant; *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001 (Mann-Whitney: B,C,E,G,H; Kruskal-Wallis: D).

Fig. 2. *ADAMTSL5* expression correlates with hypermethylated gene body CGIs and with a worse prognosis in human HCC. (A) Scheme of the human *ADAMTSL5* locus (blue: exons; CGIs: green). (B) *ADAMTSL5* mRNA levels in HCC patients (cohort #1). (C) *ADAMTSL5* mRNA (middle) and methylation (bottom) levels in HCC patients. Bottom: Hypermethylated CGI (black line) in the *ADAMTSL5* promoter and gene body in the corresponding patients. Note hypermethylated gene body CGIs in most HCC patients with *ADAMTSL5* overexpression (86%, 18/21). (D-E) Kaplan-Meier curves reporting the probability of the overall survival (D) and disease-free interval (E) according to *ADAMTSL5* levels. (F) Diagram reporting the presence (blue line) of major HCC risk factors. HCC patients with higher *ADAMTSL5* mRNA levels showed a significant, although not exclusive, association with alcohol consumption. (G-

1 H) Number of mutated genes (G) or deletions/amplifications (H) according to *ADAMTSL5*
2 levels (cohort #1). (I) Heatmap reporting genes mutated only in one or two groups
3
4 corresponding to *ADAMTSL5* levels, taking into consideration the top 10 ranked positions
5
6 determined by the percentage of patients carrying mutations in the indicated genes. Red and
7
8 green: upper and lower gene ranking (corresponding to high and low percentage of patients),
9
10 respectively. White: absence of mutations in patients. On the right, *TP53* and *CTNNB1* (among
11
12 the most frequently mutated genes) are also reported. (J) Heatmap reporting genes with copy
13
14 number alterations in the HCC patient subgroups corresponding to *ADAMTSL5* levels, taking
15
16 into consideration the top 10 ranked positions determined by the percentage of patients carrying
17
18 genetic alterations of the indicated genes. Detailed information about altered genes in the three
19
20 groups are reported in Figure S5 and Table S4-5. Two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey
21
22 multiple comparison: statistical significance between UP versus DOWN groups, DOWN versus
23
24 NO CHANGE (I); between UP versus DOWN groups, UP versus NO CHANGE, DOWN
25
26 versus NO CHANGE (J). $P < 0.0001$.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 **Fig. 3. *ADAMTSL5* upregulation and *ADAMTSL5* localization in human HCC patient**
37
38 **cohorts.** (A-B) *ADAMTSL5* mRNA upregulation in HCC samples versus adjacent non-
39
40 tumorous liver (cohort #3: 50%; cohort #4: 44%). (C) Immunohistochemical analysis revealed
41
42 strong *ADAMTSL5* levels in HCC regions, but not adjacent non-tumorous liver. *ADAMTSL5*
43
44 staining was localized to HCC cells and to macrophages in necrotic areas. (D) *ADAMTSL5*
45
46 protein and mRNA in proximate HCC sections. Note *ADAMTSL5* RNA expression in HCC
47
48 cells (arrowheads), but not in macrophages (arrows). *ADAMTSL5* protein in macrophages
49
50 indicates its possible uptake by these cells during clearance of necrotic areas.
51
52
53
54
55
56

57
58 **Fig. 4. *ADAMTSL5* is expressed in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC cells and its downregulation leads to**
59
60 **a switch from epithelial- to fibroblast-like characteristics.** (A) Scheme of *Alb-R26^{Met}*
61
62
63
64
65

1 HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cell generation. (B) ADAMTSL5 protein levels in cell lysates and in conditioned
2 medium (CM) of HCC3, HCC13, and HCC14. CM from untransfected HEK cells or HEK cells
3
4 transfected with a human *ADAMTSL5* (hA) was used as the control. (C) Down-regulation of
5
6 ADAMTSL5 protein levels in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC13^{shControl} (cntr) and HCC13^{shAdamtsl5} cells (four
7
8 clones). Two different *Adamtsl5* shRNAs were used (sh3 and sh1). Equal loading is shown
9
10 (Ponceau). (D) Immunofluorescence showing loss of ADAMTSL5, ZO1, and E-Cadherin in
11
12 *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC13^{shAdamtsl5} cells versus controls. HCC13^{shAdamtsl5} cells acquired a fibroblast-
13
14 like phenotype.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Fig. 5. ADAMTSL5 downregulation in HCC cells leads to a switch in the expression of**
22 **several cellular markers.** mRNA levels of the indicated genes in *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC^{shControl} (cntr)
23
24 and HCC^{shAdamtsl5_clone3.7} (sh3.7) cells. Genes correspond to markers of epithelial/mesenchymal
25
26 cells (A), TGF β pathway (B), fibroblasts (C), HCC (D), fibroblast subtypes (E), cancer stem
27
28 cells (F). *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001 (Student t-test). Heatmaps report downregulated
29
30 (green), upregulated (red) and unchanged (black) mRNAs, or no expression (grey). Values are
31
32 reported as Log₂ fold change of expression levels in HCC^{shAdamtsl5_clone3.7} compared to controls
33
34 (complementary data: Figure S12-S13).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Fig. 6. ADAMTSL5 is required for in vitro tumorigenic properties of mouse and human**
44 **HCC cells.** (A-B) Reduced colony formation by *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells in anchorage-
45
46 independent growth assays. Note significant restoration of tumorigenic properties after
47
48 exposing HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells to the HCC secretome with overexpressed hADAMTSL5
49
50 (CM+hA). (C) Numbers of tumour spheroids formed by HCC13^{shAdamtsl5} cells and controls. (D)
51
52 Rescue of tumour spheroid formation upon exposing HCC13^{shAdamtsl5} cells to a HCC secretome
53
54 with hADAMTSL5 overexpression (CM+hA). (E) *ADAMTSL5* mRNA levels in a panel of
55
56 human HCC cells, based on data from [22]. (F) ADAMTSL5 protein levels in conditioned
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 medium and cell lysates (HCC13 cells used as controls). (G-I) *ADAMTSL5* downregulation in
2 SNU449 cells and its effects on cell tumorigenicity. (G) Protein levels in conditioned medium
3 of SNU449 cells carrying a shRNA targeting sequence (sh1 or sh2) versus controls. Equal
4 loading is shown (Ponceau). (H) Numbers of colonies formed by SNU449^{shAdamtsl5} cells in
5 anchorage-dependent growth assays. (I) Photographs and graphs reporting colony numbers and
6 colony size formed by SNU449^{shAdamtsl5} cells in anchorage-independent growth assays.
7 *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001 (Student-t test: A; one-way ANOVA: B,C,D; Mann-
8 Whitney: H,I).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 **Fig. 7. *ADAMTSL5* expression confers tumorigenic properties to cells in vivo.** (A-C)
22 Images of dissected tumours (A) and quantification of mean tumour volume over time (B) and
23 at 8 weeks (C) in mice injected subcutaneously with *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC13 and HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells.
24 In (C), grey dots correspond to tumours dissected earlier than 8 weeks for ethical reasons. (D-
25 E) Images of dissected livers (arrows indicate tumours) and quantification of tumour volume at
26 5 weeks following orthotopic injection with *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC13^{shControl} and HCC^{shAdamtsl5} cells.
27 (F) Scheme reporting the establishment of *immorto-R26^{Met}* sensitized hepatocytes without and
28 with human *ADAMTSL5* (h-*ADAMTSL5*) expression (*immorto-R26^{Met}* hepa^{overADAMTSL5}). (G-
29 I) Images of mice (G), quantification of mean tumour volume over time (H) and at 11 weeks
30 (I) in mice injected subcutaneously with *immorto-R26^{Met}* control hepatocytes (hepa) and
31 *immorto-R26^{Met}* hepatocytes^{overADAMTSL5} (hepa^{overADAMTSL5}). Arrows indicate tumours.
32 *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001 (Mann-Whitney test).

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 **Fig. 8. *ADAMTSL5* downregulation leads to suppression of several oncogenic signals in**
54 **HCC cells.** (A-B) Volcano plot (A) and heatmap (B), reporting proteins found significantly
55 downregulated or upregulated in the secretome of *Alb-R26^{Met}* HCC^{shAdamtsl5_clone3.7} compared
56 with HCC^{shControl} cells. Orange dots in (A) correspond to proteins biochemically validated by
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 western blots. (C) Enrichment KEGG pathway for differentially abundant proteins in the
2 secretome of HCC^{shAdamts15_clone3.7} and HCC^{shControl} cells. (D) Graphs reporting the values from
3 mass spectrometry analysis for the biochemically validated proteins (shown in E). 5
4 independent biological replicates each with 3 experimental replicates were used. Some of the
5 proteins were undetectable because of low levels. (E) Protein levels in the secretome and cell
6 lysates of HCC^{shControl} (cntr) and HCC^{shAdamts15_clone3.7} (sh3.7) cells. For secretome analysis,
7 samples were normalized to protein in cell lysates. (F) mRNA levels of the indicated genes. (G)
8 Protein expression and phosphorylation levels. E and G: quantifications in Table S10D. (H)
9 mRNA levels of the indicated genes. (I) Cell viability in the absence (NT) or in the presence of
10 the indicated drugs. ns.: not significant; *:P<0.05; **:P<0.01; ***:P<0.001 (student t-test:
11 D,F,H; two-way ANOVA: I).

Figure 1 to 8




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7





Figure 8



Highlights

- *ADAMTSL5* overexpression in HCC is associated with gene body CGI hypermethylation
- *ADAMTSL5* is strongly expressed in a large fraction of human HCC
- Targeting *ADAMTSL5* diminishes RTK inputs and interferes with tumorigenicity
- *ADAMTSL5* confers tumorigenicity to sensitized, non-transformed liver cells
- Targeting *ADAMTSL5* sensitizes HCC cells to drugs currently used in the clinic