



HAL
open science

Joint distribution of multiple boundary local times and related first-passage time problems with multiple targets

Denis S Grebenkov

► **To cite this version:**

Denis S Grebenkov. Joint distribution of multiple boundary local times and related first-passage time problems with multiple targets. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2020, 2020 (10), pp.103205. 10.1088/1742-5468/abb6e4 . hal-03047903

HAL Id: hal-03047903

<https://hal.science/hal-03047903>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Joint distribution of multiple boundary local times and related first-passage time problems with multiple targets

Denis S. Grebenkov^{1,2,*}

¹*Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée (UMR 7643),
CNRS – Ecole Polytechnique, IP Paris, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

²*Institute for Physics and Astronomy, University of Potsdam, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany*
(Dated: September 1, 2020)

We investigate the statistics of encounters of a diffusing particle with different subsets of the boundary of a confining domain. The encounters with each subset are characterized by the boundary local time on that subset. We extend a recently proposed approach to express the joint probability density of the particle position and of its multiple boundary local times via a multi-dimensional Laplace transform of the conventional propagator satisfying the diffusion equation with mixed Robin boundary conditions. In the particular cases of an interval, a circular annulus and a spherical shell, this representation can be explicitly inverted to access the statistics of two boundary local times. We provide the exact solutions and their probabilistic interpretation for the case of an interval and sketch their derivation for two other cases. We also obtain the distributions of various associated first-passage times and discuss their applications.

PACS numbers: 02.50.-r, 05.40.-a, 02.70.Rr, 05.10.Gg

Keywords: Diffusion-influenced reactions, Boundary local time, Statistics of encounters, Surface reaction, Robin boundary condition, Heterogeneous catalysis

I. INTRODUCTION

Diffusion-controlled reactions and related stochastic processes in an Euclidean domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are typically described by the propagator (also known as the heat kernel or the Green's function), $G_q(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$, that is the probability density of the event that a particle started from $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega$ at time 0 has arrived in a vicinity of a point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ at time t without being killed (or reacted) on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of the domain [1–3]. For ordinary diffusion, this propagator satisfies the diffusion equation (for any starting point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega$),

$$\partial_t G_q(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) = D\Delta G_q(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega), \quad (1)$$

subject to the initial condition $G_q(\mathbf{x}, t = 0|\mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ and the Robin boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$:

$$\partial_n G_q(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) + q G_q(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega), \quad (2)$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient, Δ is the Laplace operator (acting on \mathbf{x}), $\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)$ is the Dirac distribution, and ∂_n is the normal derivative on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, oriented outward the domain Ω . The parameter q characterizes the reactivity of the boundary and ranges from $q = 0$ (an inert reflecting boundary with Neumann condition) to $+\infty$ (a perfectly reactive boundary with Dirichlet condition). The intermediate case of $0 < q < +\infty$ corresponds to partial reactivity of the boundary which can represent overpassing a reaction activation barrier [4–10], the coarse-graining effect of microscopic spatial heterogeneities of reactive patches [11–22], stochastic activity of the target (open/closed channels, switching between conformational states of a macromolecule) [23–26], and other microscopic mechanisms [27–34] (see an overview in [35]). The propagator determines most commonly employed characteristics of diffusion-reaction processes such as the survival probability, the reaction time distribution, and the reaction rate, that found numerous applications in physics, chemistry and biology [36–45]. Importantly, the propagator and all related quantities depend on q *implicitly* (as a parameter of the boundary condition) that makes the study of this dependence and its eventual optimization challenging.

To overcome this limitation, we proposed an alternative description of partial reactivity in terms of the boundary local time that quantifies the encounters of a diffusing particle with the boundary of a confining domain [46]. The boundary local time ℓ_t naturally appears in the stochastic differential equation for reflected Brownian motion \mathbf{X}_t

*Electronic address: denis.grebenkov@polytechnique.edu

[47–49] and can be expressed in terms of the residence time of \mathbf{X}_t in a thin boundary layer $\partial\Omega_a$

$$\ell_t = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{D}{a} \underbrace{\int_0^t dt' \Theta(a - |\partial\Omega - \mathbf{X}_{t'}|)}_{\text{residence time in } \partial\Omega_a}, \quad (3)$$

where $\Theta(z)$ is the Heaviside step function, which represents the indicator function of a thin layer of width a near $\partial\Omega$: $\partial\Omega_a = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega : |\mathbf{x} - \partial\Omega| < a\}$. Note that the prefactor D/a renders ℓ_t to be in units of length. We also stress that the boundary local time should not be confused with the point local time, which was thoroughly studied in the past (see [50–52] and references therein). For reflected Brownian motion on the half-line, the distribution of the boundary local time has been studied long ago [47, 50]. In a recent paper, we proposed a general spectral approach to obtain the distribution of the boundary local time for Euclidean domains with smooth boundary by using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator [53]. This approach was further extended in [46] to get the joint probability density $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ of the position \mathbf{X}_t of the particle diffusing in a domain Ω with *reflecting* boundary, and of its boundary local time ℓ_t at time t , given that it has started from a point \mathbf{x}_0 at time 0. This so-called full propagator was shown to be related to the conventional propagator $G_q(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ via the Laplace transform:

$$G_q(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \int_0^\infty d\ell e^{-q\ell} P(\mathbf{x}, \ell, t | \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (4)$$

Here, the surface reactivity parameter q appears explicitly in the prefactor $e^{-q\ell}$ which comes from the assumption of constant boundary reactivity. Other reaction mechanisms with encounter-dependent reactivity were introduced and studied in [46] (see also Sec. V below). We emphasize that the propagators $G_q(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ (with $q > 0$) and $G_0(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ (or its extension $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$) correspond to the distinct cases of reactive and reflecting (inert) boundaries, respectively. As the particle may react and thus disappear in the former case, the associated diffusive processes are usually distinguished in the literature. However, as argued in [46] (see also [32, 33, 35] and references therein), the diffusive process in the presence of a reactive boundary is just reflected Brownian motion in a domain with reflecting boundary, which is stopped at an appropriate random time. This property is reflected by Eq. (4), in which the full propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ characterizes reflected Brownian motion and the prefactor $e^{-q\ell}$ incorporates the stopping condition (see Sec. II below for details and extensions).

In many chemical and biological applications, the reactive boundary is not homogeneous, while a reactive patch or a target is not unique. For instance, many traps can compete for capturing the diffusing particle, and one is interested in knowing the capture time for a given trap in the presence of its competitors [54]. Even a single trap can be surrounded by inert obstacles or by a reflecting boundary. When considering an escape problem, the escape region is usually a subset of the reflecting boundary. In all these situations, setting the homogeneous Robin boundary condition (2) on the whole boundary is not appropriate, as one has to distinguish surface mechanisms on different regions of the boundary. For this purpose, a spectral approach with a space-dependent reactivity was developed [55].

In this paper, we propose a complementary approach and bring some probabilistic insights onto this problem when the reactivity is piecewise constant. In this case, one can consider different reactivity regions by partitioning the boundary $\partial\Omega$ into m non-overlapping subsets Γ_i :

$$\partial\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \bar{\Gamma}_i, \quad \Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j = \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

In order to characterize the encounters with different parts Γ_i of the boundary, we introduce the associated boundary local times ℓ_t^i :

$$\ell_t^i = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{D}{a} \int_0^t dt' \Theta(a - |\Gamma_i - \mathbf{X}_{t'}|) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m). \quad (6)$$

If the joint distribution of the boundary local times ℓ_t^i was known, one could investigate various encounter properties such as “How many times the particle has arrived on a given partially reactive trap before being absorbed by its competitors?”, “What is the first moment when the particle has visited each trap a given number of times?”, etc. In other words, the joint distribution of the boundary local times will provide conceptually new insights onto diffusion-controlled reactions, far beyond the conventional first-passage times. To our knowledge, such joint distributions were not studied earlier.

In this paper, we aim at obtaining the joint distribution by extending the probabilistic arguments from [46]. In Sec. II, we generalize Eq. (4) to a multi-dimensional Laplace transform and discuss some of its properties. However, the numerical inversion of the multi-dimensional Laplace transform is challenging. For this reason, we restrict our attention to three basic domains (an interval, a circular annulus and a spherical shell) for which the inversion can be performed explicitly (Sec. III). In fact, we derive an exact formula for the joint probability density for the case of an interval, and discuss its straightforward extension for two other domains. We illustrate the properties of the two boundary local times and their correlations. Section IV is devoted to various first-passage time problems. We first recall the basic first-passage times to perfectly and partially reactive boundary and then derive the probability density of the first time when two boundary local times exceed prescribed thresholds. In other words, we fully characterize the first moment when both subsets of the boundary have been visited a prescribed number of times. In Sec. V, we discuss some further extensions and consequences of the obtained results for diffusion-controlled reactions.

II. GENERAL SOLUTION

The joint distribution can be derived by extending the probabilistic arguments from [46]. For this purpose, let us introduce the propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ satisfying the diffusion equation (1) with mixed Robin boundary conditions:

$$\partial_n G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) + q_i G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_i), \quad (7)$$

with nonnegative parameters q_1, \dots, q_m characterizing each reactive part Γ_i of the boundary. In other words, we extend the constant reactivity parameter q from Eq. (2) by a piecewise constant function taking the values q_1, \dots, q_m on different subsets Γ_i of the boundary. As discussed in [33, 35, 56, 57], the Robin boundary condition describes partial reactivity of the boundary: the diffusing particle hitting the boundary can either react, or be reflected. To define properly the reaction probability Π at each encounter, one can introduce a thin layer of width a near the reactive part Γ_i , for which $\Pi_i = aq_i/(1 + aq_i)$ (and if the particle is not reacted, it is reflected at distance a from the boundary). For a finite q_i and small a , one has $\Pi_i \approx aq_i$. In the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, the probability of the reaction event goes to 0 but the number of returns to the boundary goes to infinity, yielding a nontrivial limit. If all attempts to react are independent, the probability of not reacting on the boundary up to time t is

$$\mathcal{P}_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \Pi_i)^{\mathcal{N}_{t,a}^i} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{t,a}^i$ is the number of encounters with a thin layer near Γ_i up to time t , and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ denotes the expectation with respect to the probability measure associated with reflected Brownian motion in Ω , started from \mathbf{x}_0 . In the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, this number is related to the boundary local time: $\mathcal{N}_{t,a}^i \approx \ell_t^i/a$ [47–49] so that

$$\mathcal{P}_t \approx \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m \Pi_i \mathcal{N}_{t,a}^i \right) \right\} \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \ell_t^i \right) \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Adding the constraint for the arrival position of the particle to be in a vicinity of \mathbf{x} , one gets the probabilistic meaning of the conventional propagator, i.e., the probability density of finding the survived particle in a vicinity of \mathbf{x} :

$$G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^m q_i \ell_t^i \right) \delta(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{x}) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

If $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ is the joint probability density of the position \mathbf{X}_t and of all boundary local times ℓ_t^i , the above expectation reads

$$G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 e^{-q_1 \ell_1} \dots \int_0^\infty d\ell_m e^{-q_m \ell_m} P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t | \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (11)$$

This is the extension of Eq. (4) derived in [46]. Formally, the joint probability density of the boundary local times $\ell_t^1, \dots, \ell_t^m$ and of the position \mathbf{X}_t can be obtained from the propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ by performing the m -fold Laplace transform inversion.

The (marginal) joint probability density of the boundary local times $\ell_t^1, \dots, \ell_t^m$ is simply

$$P(\circ, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t | \mathbf{x}_0) \quad (12)$$

(we use the notation \circ for marginalized variables). Integrating Eq. (11) over $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, one gets

$$S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 e^{-q_1 \ell_1} \dots \int_0^\infty d\ell_m e^{-q_m \ell_m} P(\circ, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t|\mathbf{x}_0), \quad (13)$$

where

$$S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) = \int_\Omega d\mathbf{x} G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0) \quad (14)$$

is the survival probability up to time t in the presence of reactive traps, which obeys the backward diffusion equation:

$$\partial_t S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) = D \Delta S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) \quad (\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Omega), \quad (15a)$$

$$\partial_n S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) + q_i S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{x}_0 \in \Gamma_i), \quad (15b)$$

subject to the initial (terminal) condition $S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t=0|\mathbf{x}_0) = 1$. Note also that the Laplace transform (13) allows one to determine joint positive-order integer moments of the boundary local times:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \{ [\ell_t^1]^{k_1} \dots [\ell_t^m]^{k_m} \} = (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_m} \lim_{q_1, \dots, q_m \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^{k_1 + \dots + k_m}}{\partial q_1^{k_1} \dots \partial q_m^{k_m}} S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0) \quad (16)$$

for any integer $k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0$ (in turn, using Eq. (11), one gets the moments under the additional constraint of being in \mathbf{x} at time t).

As reflected Brownian motion is a Markovian process, the conventional propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ gives access to the joint probability density of k positions $\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}^k$ at successive times $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ as

$$G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}^1, t_1|\mathbf{x}_0) G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}^2, t_2 - t_1|\mathbf{x}^1) \dots G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}^k, t_k - t_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}^{k-1}). \quad (17)$$

The same property holds for the full propagator, which determines the successive positions \mathbf{x}^j and values of all boundary local times ℓ_i^j ($j = 1, \dots, k$, $i = 1, \dots, m$) at times t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k :

$$P(\mathbf{x}^1, \ell_1^1, \dots, \ell_m^1, t_1|\mathbf{x}_0) P(\mathbf{x}^2, \ell_1^2 - \ell_1^1, \dots, \ell_m^2 - \ell_m^1, t_2 - t_1|\mathbf{x}^1) \dots P(\mathbf{x}^k, \ell_1^k - \ell_1^{k-1}, \dots, \ell_m^k - \ell_m^{k-1}, t_k - t_{k-1}|\mathbf{x}^{k-1}). \quad (18)$$

Even though Eqs. (11, 13) give access to the joint probability densities $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ and $P(\circ, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$, these expressions are in general rather formal because the propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ and the survival probability $S_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ are rarely known analytically, whereas the numerical inversion of the (multi-dimensional) Laplace transform can be unstable [58]. For this reason, obtaining these joint probability densities in a more constructive way (such as, e.g., the spectral approach in [46]) remains an open problem.

Lacking yet a general constructive approach, we further focus on joint probability densities for three basic domains: an interval, a circular annulus between two concentric circles, and a spherical shell between two concentric spheres. The boundary of these domains naturally splits into two disjoint parts Γ_1 and Γ_2 , so that we are limited to $m = 2$. In two and three dimensions, the rotational symmetry of these domains reduces the computation to a one-dimensional setting for which the joint probability densities can be derived analytically. This derivation relies on the explicit form of the propagator in the Laplace domain (with respect to time t , denoted by tilde throughout the paper):

$$\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p|\mathbf{x}_0) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-pt} G_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0), \quad (19)$$

which obeys the modified Helmholtz equation

$$(p - D\Delta) \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p|\mathbf{x}_0) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega), \quad (20)$$

with Robin boundary conditions

$$\left(\partial_n \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p|\mathbf{x}_0) + q_i \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p|\mathbf{x}_0) \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_i} = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (21)$$

Note that the Laplace-transformed propagator also allows one to describe diffusion-influenced reactions for mortal particles [59–61]. In the next section, we present the detailed derivation for an interval, while its extension to an annulus and a spherical shell will be sketched in Sec. III G.

III. EXACT EXPLICIT SOLUTION

For an interval $(0, b)$, the boundary consists of two endpoints, $\Gamma_1 = \{0\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \{b\}$, and the Laplace-transformed propagator satisfying Eqs. (20, 21), is known explicitly [62] (see [63] for details):

$$D\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0) = \frac{1}{\alpha V(\alpha)} \times \begin{cases} v^b(x_0)v^0(x), & 0 \leq x \leq x_0, \\ v^0(x_0)v^b(x), & x_0 \leq x \leq b, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} v^0(x) &= q_1 \sinh(\alpha x) + \alpha \cosh(\alpha x), \\ v^b(x) &= q_2 \sinh(\alpha(b-x)) + \alpha \cosh(\alpha(b-x)), \\ V &= (\alpha^2 + q_1 q_2) \sinh(\alpha b) + \alpha(q_1 + q_2) \cosh(\alpha b), \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha = \sqrt{p/D}$. We aim at evaluating explicitly the inverse double Laplace transform with respect to q_1 and q_2 (denoted as \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}) to get the full propagator:

$$\tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) = \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}\{\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0)\}, \quad (23)$$

i.e., the Laplace transform (with respect to time t) of the joint probability density of the position x and two boundary local times ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 at endpoints Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively. Even though an extra Laplace inversion will be needed to get $P(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ in time domain, this is much simpler than the original double Laplace transform. Moreover, it is common to operate with diffusion characteristics in the Laplace domain, in particular, when studying first-passage times (see below).

A. Moments of the boundary local times

Before deriving the full propagator, we start by looking at the positive moments of two boundary local times:

$$M_{k_1, k_2}(t) = \mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{[\ell_t^1]^{k_1} [\ell_t^2]^{k_2}\}. \quad (24)$$

According to Eq. (16), the Laplace transform of these moments can be obtained by integrating the propagator $\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0)$ over x and differentiating with respect to q_1 and q_2 :

$$\tilde{M}_{k_1, k_2}(p) = (-1)^{k_1+k_2} \lim_{q_1, q_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial q_1^{k_1} \partial q_2^{k_2}} \tilde{S}_{q_1, q_2}(p|x_0), \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0) &= \int_0^b dx \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0) = \frac{1}{D\alpha^2 V(\alpha)} \\ &\times \left\{ q_1 q_2 (\sinh(\alpha b) - \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0)) - \sinh(\alpha x_0)) + \alpha^2 \sinh(\alpha b) \right. \\ &\left. + \alpha q_1 (\cosh(\alpha b) - \cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))) + \alpha q_2 (\cosh(\alpha b) - \cosh(\alpha x_0)) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

For instance, one gets the Laplace transform of the mean values,

$$\tilde{M}_{1,0}(p) = \frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha^3 \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad \tilde{M}_{0,1}(p) = \frac{\cosh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^3 \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (27)$$

second moments,

$$\tilde{M}_{2,0}(p) = \frac{2 \cosh(\alpha b) \cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha^4 \sinh^2(\alpha b)}, \quad \tilde{M}_{0,2}(p) = \frac{2 \cosh(\alpha b) \cosh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^4 \sinh^2(\alpha b)}, \quad (28)$$

and the cross-moment

$$\tilde{M}_{1,1}(p) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b - x_0))}{D\alpha^4 \sinh(\alpha b)(\cosh(\alpha b) - 1)}. \quad (29)$$

Even so the inverse Laplace transform of these moments can be computed exactly by the residue theorem, we just provide the asymptotic behavior of the mean values:

- at short times,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1\} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{4(Dt)^{3/2}e^{-x_0^2/(4Dt)}}{\sqrt{\pi}x_0^2} & (x_0 > 0), \\ \frac{2\sqrt{Dt}}{\sqrt{\pi}} & (x_0 = 0), \end{cases} \quad (30a)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^2\} \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{4(Dt)^{3/2}e^{-(b-x_0)^2/(4Dt)}}{\sqrt{\pi}(b-x_0)^2} & (x_0 < b), \\ \frac{2\sqrt{Dt}}{\sqrt{\pi}} & (x_0 = b); \end{cases} \quad (30b)$$

- at long times

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1\} \simeq \frac{Dt}{b} + \frac{2b^2 - 6bx_0 + 3x_0^2}{6b}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^2\} \simeq \frac{Dt}{b} + \frac{3x_0^2 - b^2}{6b}. \quad (31)$$

Performing the same analysis for the second moment, we get the long-time behavior of the variance, which does not depend on x_0 and b in the leading order:

$$\text{var}\{\ell_t^1\} \simeq \text{var}\{\ell_t^2\} \simeq 2Dt + O(1) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (32)$$

Finally, we get

$$M_{1,1} \simeq -Dt/3 + O(1) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty), \quad (33)$$

so that the correlation between two boundary local times approaches $-1/6$ at long times. As expected, the correlation is negative: when ℓ_t^1 is larger than its mean, the particle spent more time on the endpoint Γ_1 , and thus ℓ_t^2 is expected to be smaller than its mean.

B. Derivation of the full propagator

Let us focus on the case $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ and write explicitly

$$D\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(x, p|x_0) = Q(q_1, q_2) \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x_0)) \sinh(\alpha x)}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$Q(q_1, q_2) = \frac{[q_2 + \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha(b - x_0))][q_1 + \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha x)]}{q_1 q_2 + \alpha(q_1 + q_2) \text{ctanh}(\alpha b) + \alpha^2}. \quad (35)$$

To invert this double Laplace transform, we use the following properties [65]:

$$\mathcal{L}_2\{e^{-k_1 \ell_1 - k_2 \ell_2} f(\ell_1, \ell_2)\} = \mathcal{L}_2\{f\}(q_1 + k_1, q_2 + k_2), \quad (36a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2\{I_0(a\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})\} = \frac{1}{q_1 q_2 - a^2/4}, \quad (36b)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2\{\partial_{\ell_1} f(\ell_1, \ell_2)\} = q_1 \mathcal{L}_2\{f\} - \mathcal{L}\{f(0, \ell_2)\}, \quad (36c)$$

where \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_2 denote the single and double Laplace transforms of some function f , and $I_\nu(z)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind.

Using the first property, one can make the change $q_1 \rightarrow \bar{q}_1 = q_1 + C$ and $q_2 \rightarrow \bar{q}_2 = q_2 + C$ with

$$C = \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha b), \quad (37)$$

so that

$$Q(q_1, q_2) = \bar{Q}(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2) = \frac{(\bar{q}_2 + A)(\bar{q}_1 + B)}{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 - E^2/4}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$E = 2\sqrt{C^2 - \alpha^2} = 2\alpha\sqrt{\text{ctanh}^2(\alpha b) - 1} = \frac{2\alpha}{\sinh(\alpha b)} > 0, \quad (39a)$$

$$A = \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha(b - x_0)) - C, \quad (39b)$$

$$B = \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha x) - C. \quad (39c)$$

We represent the above function as

$$\bar{Q}(\bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2) = 1 + A \frac{\bar{q}_1}{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 - E^2/4} + B \frac{\bar{q}_2}{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 - E^2/4} + (E^2/4 + AB) \frac{1}{\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 - E^2/4}. \quad (40)$$

The inverse double Laplace transform of the first term yields $\delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2)$, whereas Eq. (36c) allows one to compute it for the last term. Using the properties (36b, 36c), we can also write

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \left\{ \partial_{\ell_1} I_0(a\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2}) \right\} = \mathcal{L}_2 \left\{ \frac{a\sqrt{\ell_2} I_1(a\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{2\sqrt{\ell_1}} \right\} = \frac{q_1}{q_1 q_2 - a^2/4} - \frac{1}{q_2}, \quad (41a)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \left\{ \partial_{\ell_2} I_0(a\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2}) \right\} = \mathcal{L}_2 \left\{ \frac{a\sqrt{\ell_1} I_1(a\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{2\sqrt{\ell_2}} \right\} = \frac{q_2}{q_1 q_2 - a^2/4} - \frac{1}{q_1}. \quad (41b)$$

These relations allow us to invert the second and third terms in Eq. (40). Combining these results, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}\{\bar{Q}\} &= \delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2) + A \left(\frac{E\sqrt{\ell_2} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{2\sqrt{\ell_1}} + \delta(\ell_1) \right) \\ &+ B \left(\frac{E\sqrt{\ell_1} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{2\sqrt{\ell_2}} + \delta(\ell_2) \right) + (E^2/4 + AB) I_0(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2}), \end{aligned}$$

from which the full propagator reads (for $0 \leq x \leq x_0 \leq b$):

$$D\tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x_0)) \sinh(\alpha x)}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} e^{-C(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \mathcal{L}_2^{-1}\{\bar{Q}\}. \quad (42)$$

After simplifications, this relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) &= \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x_0)) \sinh(\alpha x)}{D\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2)}_{=\tilde{G}_{\infty, \infty}(x, p|x_0)} \\ &+ \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha x)}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x)} \delta(\ell_1) \underbrace{\frac{e^{-C\ell_2}}{D} \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x_0)} + \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x))}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(0, p|x)} \delta(\ell_2) \underbrace{\frac{e^{-C\ell_1}}{D} \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(0, p|x_0)} \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{\sinh(\alpha x) \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sinh^2(\alpha b)} \frac{\sqrt{\ell_2} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{\sqrt{\ell_1}} + \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b - x)) \sinh(\alpha(b - x_0))}{\sinh^2(\alpha b)} \frac{\sqrt{\ell_1} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{\sqrt{\ell_2}} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) \sinh(\alpha(b - x)) + \sinh(\alpha x) \sinh(\alpha(b - x_0))}{\sinh^2(\alpha b)} I_0(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2}) \right\} \frac{E}{2D} e^{-C(\ell_1 + \ell_2)}. \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

In the opposite case $0 \leq x_0 \leq x \leq b$, one exchanges x_0 and x . This is one of the main explicit results of the paper.

C. Probabilistic interpretation

Let us discuss the structure of the derived full propagator in Eq. (43). The first term represents the contributions of “direct” trajectories from x_0 to x that do not hit either of the endpoints (Fig. 1). As a consequence, the boundary




FIG. 1: Four simulated trajectories on the interval $(0, b)$, each started from x_0 at time 0 and arrived to x at time t : a direct trajectory that does not hit either of the endpoints (blue dotted line); a trajectory that does not hit the upper endpoint $\Gamma_2 = \{b\}$ (green dashed line); a trajectory that does not hit the bottom endpoint $\Gamma_1 = \{0\}$ (gray dashed line); a trajectory that hits both endpoints (red solid line).

local times ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 remain zero, as represented by Dirac distributions $\delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2)$. The factor in front of these distributions is the propagator $\tilde{G}_{\infty, \infty}(x, p|x_0)$ for an interval $(0, b)$ with absorbing endpoints (i.e., with Dirichlet boundary conditions that correspond to $q_1 = q_2 = \infty$). This propagator represents the fraction of direct trajectories from x_0 to x .

In the same vein, the second term represents the contribution of trajectories that do not hit the left endpoint Γ_1 but may repeatedly hit the right endpoint Γ_2 . Introducing the Laplace-transformed probability flux densities,

$$\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(0, p|x_0) = (-D\partial_n \tilde{G}_{\infty, \infty}(x, p|x_0)) \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (44a)$$

$$\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x_0) = (-D\partial_n \tilde{G}_{\infty, \infty}(x, p|x_0)) \Big|_{x=b} = \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (44b)$$

the factor in front of $\delta(\ell_1)$ reads as

$$\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x_0) \frac{e^{-C\ell_2}}{D} \tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x).$$

This factor has a clear probabilistic interpretation: the first arrival from x_0 to $\Gamma_2 = \{b\}$, multiple reflections on that boundary that increases its boundary local time ℓ_2 but *conditioned to avoid hitting* Γ_1 , and the last direct move from Γ_2 to x . As the computations are performed in Laplace domain (with respect to time t), the product of these three factors corresponds to the convolution in time domain, as expected. The contribution of such multiple returns to Γ_2 is given by $e^{-C\ell_2}/D$, where $C = \alpha \tanh(\alpha b)$ can be interpreted as the eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on the interval $(0, b)$ with the condition of avoiding Γ_1 (see A).

Similarly, the third term with $\delta(\ell_2)$ accounts for the trajectories that do not hit the right endpoint Γ_2 but may repeatedly hit the left one Γ_1 . The remaining terms in Eq. (43) give the contribution of all other trajectories that hit both endpoints.

D. Marginal probability quantities

By integrating the full propagator in Eq. (43) over x , we compute the marginal joint probability density of two boundary local times (in Laplace domain with respect to t):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) &= \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha b) - \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0)) - \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^2 \sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0)} \delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2) \\
&+ \frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - 1}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(b, p|x_0)} \frac{e^{-C\ell_2}}{D} \delta(\ell_1) + \frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - 1}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, \infty}(0, p|x_0)} \frac{e^{-C\ell_1}}{D} \delta(\ell_2) \\
&+ \frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - 1}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \left(\frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{\sqrt{\ell_2} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{\sqrt{\ell_1}} + \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{\sqrt{\ell_1} I_1(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2})}{\sqrt{\ell_2}} \right. \\
&\left. + \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} I_0(E\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_2}) \right) \frac{E}{2D} e^{-C(\ell_1 + \ell_2)}. \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Its probabilistic interpretation is similar to that of the full propagator.

In turn, the integral of $\tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0)$ over ℓ_2 yields the marginal joint probability density of x and ℓ_1 (for $0 \leq x \leq x_0 \leq b$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \circ, p|x_0) &= \delta(\ell_1) \underbrace{\frac{\sinh(\alpha x) \cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha \cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{G}_{\infty, 0}(x, p|x_0)} \\
&+ \frac{e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_1}}{D} \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, 0}(0, p|x_0)} \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x))}{\cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, 0}(0, p|x)} \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

(x and x_0 should be exchanged when $x > x_0$). Expectedly, the term in front of $\delta(\ell_1)$ is the propagator $\tilde{G}_{\infty, 0}(x, p|x_0)$ for an interval $(0, b)$ with Dirichlet condition at $x = 0$ and Neumann condition at $x = b$. In fact, as one is not interested anymore in the boundary local time ℓ_2 here, one can put the Neumann boundary condition at $x = b$. In the factor $\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)$, one can recognize the eigenvalue of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on that interval that corresponds to the eigenfunction $v = 1$ (see A). Finally, the factor $\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))/\cosh(\alpha b)$ is simply the Laplace-transformed probability flux density $\tilde{j}_{\infty, 0}(0, p|x_0)$.

Integrating Eq. (46) over x , one gets the marginal probability density of the boundary local time ℓ_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}(\circ, \ell_1, \circ, p|x_0) &= \delta(\ell_1) \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - \cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha^2 \cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{S}_{\infty, 0}(p|x_0)} \\
&+ \frac{e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_1}}{D} \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{\infty, 0}(0, p|x_0)} \frac{\sinh(\alpha b)}{\alpha \cosh(\alpha b)}. \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the marginal probability density of the boundary local time ℓ_2 is

$$\tilde{P}(\circ, \circ, \ell_2, p|x_0) = \delta(\ell_2) \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - \cosh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^2 \cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{S}_{0, \infty}(p|x_0)} + \frac{e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_2}}{D} \underbrace{\frac{\cosh(\alpha x_0)}{\cosh(\alpha b)}}_{=\tilde{j}_{0, \infty}(b, p|x_0)} \frac{\sinh(\alpha b)}{\alpha \cosh(\alpha b)}. \tag{48}$$

Note also that the joint probability density of the position X_t and of the total boundary local time, $\ell_t = \ell_t^1 + \ell_t^2$, can be obtained in the Laplace domain as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty d\ell e^{-q\ell} \tilde{P}_{\text{tot}}(x, \ell, p|x_0) &= \int_0^\infty d\ell e^{-q\ell} \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 \int_0^\infty d\ell_2 \delta(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - \ell) \tilde{P}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) \\
&= \tilde{G}_{q, q}(x, p|x_0). \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

One can either perform the single Laplace transform inversion of $\tilde{G}_{q,q}(x, p|x_0)$ with respect to q , or use the general spectral expansion derived in [46] based on the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, see Eq. (A6).

Finally, in the limit $b \rightarrow \infty$, the full propagator converges to

$$\tilde{P}_{b=\infty}(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) = \frac{\delta(\ell_2)}{D} \left(e^{-\alpha x_0} \frac{\sinh(\alpha x)}{\alpha} \delta(\ell_1) + e^{-\alpha(x+x_0)} e^{-\alpha \ell_1} \right) \quad (0 \leq x \leq x_0) \quad (50)$$

(in the opposite case $x_0 < x$, one exchanges x_0 and x). Expectedly, the boundary local time ℓ_2 always remains 0 (see the factor $\delta(\ell_2)$) as the right endpoint Γ_2 has moved to infinity and became unreachable. Integrating over redundant variable ℓ_2 , one retrieves thus the full propagator on the half-line. Note that the inverse Laplace transform of this expression can be performed explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{b=\infty}(x, \ell_1, \circ, t|x_0) &= \delta(\ell_1) \underbrace{\left(\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{4Dt}\right)}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} - \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x+x_0)^2}{4Dt}\right)}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \right)}_{=G_\infty(x, t|x_0)} \\ &+ (x + x_0 + \ell_1) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x+x_0+\ell_1)^2}{4Dt}\right)}{\sqrt{4\pi D^3 t^3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In turn, the integral of Eq. (50) over x yields the marginal probability density of ℓ_1 :

$$\tilde{P}_{b=\infty}(\circ, \ell_1, \circ, p|x_0) = \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha x_0}}{D\alpha^2} \delta(\ell_1) + \frac{e^{-\alpha x_0}}{D\alpha} e^{-\alpha \ell_1} \quad (x_0 \geq 0), \quad (52)$$

which can also be inverted:

$$P_{b=\infty}(\circ, \ell_1, \circ, t|x_0) = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x_0}{\sqrt{4Dt}}\right) \delta(\ell_1) + \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(x_0+\ell_1)^2}{4Dt}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \quad (x_0 \geq 0), \quad (53)$$

in agreement with Ref. [63].

E. Joint cumulative probability function

The statistics of two boundary local times ℓ_t^1 and ℓ_t^2 is fully determined by the marginal joint probability density $P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$. For some applications (see below), it is more convenient to deal with the joint cumulative probability function:

$$F(\ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0) = \int_0^{\ell_1} d\ell'_1 \int_0^{\ell_2} d\ell'_2 P(\circ, \ell'_1, \ell'_2, t|x_0). \quad (54)$$

Using Eq. (45), we obtain after simplifications

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) &= \tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0) + \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha^2 \sinh(\alpha b)} Q_2(C\ell_1, C\ell_2; \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)) \\ &+ \frac{(\cosh(\alpha b) - 1) \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^2 \cosh(\alpha b) \sinh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b) \ell_1} Q_1(C\ell_2; \sqrt{C\ell_1} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)) \\ &+ \frac{(\cosh(\alpha b) - 1) \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{D\alpha^2 \cosh(\alpha b) \sinh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b) \ell_2} Q_1(C\ell_1; \sqrt{C\ell_2} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where $\operatorname{sech}(z) = 1/\cosh(z)$,

$$\tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha b) - \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0)) - \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{D\alpha^2 \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (56)$$

and we introduced two auxiliary functions:

$$Q_1(z; a) = e^{-a^2} \int_0^z dx e^{-x} I_0(2a\sqrt{x}), \quad (57a)$$

$$Q_2(z_1, z_2; a) = (1 - a^2) \int_0^{z_1} dx_1 \int_0^{z_2} dx_2 e^{-x_1 - x_2} I_0(2a\sqrt{x_1 x_2}). \quad (57b)$$

Strictly speaking, the first term in Eq. (55) should include the Heaviside functions $\Theta(\ell_1)\Theta(\ell_2)$, which after differentiation with respect to ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 yields $\delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2)$ in the first term in Eq. (43). Similarly, some other terms should include $\Theta(\ell_1)$ and $\Theta(\ell_2)$ but we omit them for brevity by considering $\ell_1 > 0$ and $\ell_2 > 0$. Setting $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 0$, one retrieves the Laplace-transformed survival probability $\tilde{S}_{\infty,\infty}(p|x_0)$, as expected.

The definition of the functions Q_1 and Q_2 ensures that $Q_1(\infty; a) = 1$ and $Q_2(\infty, \infty; a) = 1$ so that $\tilde{F}(\infty, \infty, p|x_0) = 1/p$ and thus $F(\infty, \infty, t|x_0) = 1$ as expected. Moreover, since $Q_1(z; \infty) = 0$ and $Q_2(\infty, z; a) = 1 - e^{-z(1-a^2)}$, one easily finds the Laplace transforms of the marginal cumulative probability functions:

$$\tilde{F}(\ell_1, \infty, p|x_0) = \frac{1}{D\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\cosh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_1} \right), \quad (58a)$$

$$\tilde{F}(\infty, \ell_2, p|x_0) = \frac{1}{D\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{\cosh(\alpha x_0)}{\cosh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_2} \right) \quad (58b)$$

(see B for some other properties of the functions Q_1 and Q_2).

F. Results in time domain

The above expressions determine the full propagator and marginal densities in Laplace domain with respect to time t . As it is quite common for diffusion-based quantities, representations in Laplace domain are more explicit and compact. A standard way to perform the Laplace inversion and thus to pass back to time domain consists in searching for the poles of the full propagator in the complex plane $p \in \mathbb{C}$. For instance, this computation is straightforward for the first term in Eq. (43) and yields the standard spectral expansion of the propagator $G_{\infty,\infty}(x, t|x_0)$ on the interval with absorbing endpoints. However, the analysis is more subtle for other terms. For example, the second term in front of $\delta(\ell_1)$ includes the function

$$\tilde{f}(p) = e^{-C\ell_2} = \exp\left(-\ell_2\sqrt{p/D} \operatorname{ctanh}(\sqrt{p/D}b)\right).$$

While the poles of the factor in front of this exponential function are $p_n = -\pi^2 n^2 D/b^2$, the function $\tilde{f}(p)$ rapidly vanishes as $p \rightarrow p_n$ that prevents applying the residue theorem. In C, we derive a semi-analytical formula for inverting such Laplace transforms. This formula is particularly valuable in the short-time limit. However, its practical implementation becomes numerically difficult at long times. For this reason, we applied the Talbot algorithm for numerical Laplace transform inversion. Further analysis of the long-time asymptotic behavior remains an interesting open problem.

Figure 2 shows the joint probability density $P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ of two boundary local times ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 at the endpoints of the unit interval $(0, 1)$. Here we present only the continuous part (i.e., the three last terms that do not contain either $\delta(\ell_1)$, nor $\delta(\ell_2)$; in fact, the three other terms containing either of these δ 's are simpler and can be presented separately). When the starting point x_0 is at the middle of the interval (top row), both endpoints are equally accessible, and $P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ is symmetric with respect to exchange of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . As time t increases, the maximum of the joint probability density moves along the diagonal $\ell_1 = \ell_2$. In fact, in the long-time limit ($\sqrt{Dt} \gg b$), the diffusing particle has enough time to frequently encounter both endpoints, and the mean boundary local times grow linearly with t , see Eq. (31). As the variance also grows linearly with time according to Eq. (32), the maximum of the joint probability density spreads. If the particle starts on (or near) the left endpoint (bottom row), the joint probability density is shifted to larger values of ℓ_1 . However, as time increases, the maximum progressively returns to the diagonal, as expected.

G. Extension to circular annulus and spherical shell

The computation for a circular annulus, $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a < |\mathbf{x}| < b\}$, and for a spherical shell, $\Omega = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a < |\mathbf{x}| < b\}$, are very similar but technically more involved. In fact, the rotational symmetry of these domains allows one to separate variables and to expand the solution over Fourier harmonics (in 2D) or over spherical harmonics (in 3D). In turn, the radial propagator associated to each harmonic has an exact explicit form, which is similar to Eq. (22), see [63] and D for details. As the dependence on q_1 and q_2 is exactly the same, one can apply the above technique to inverse the double Laplace transform. One gets then the radial part of the full propagator, $\tilde{P}_n(r, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|r_0)$ (corresponding to the n -th harmonic). The structure of this radial part is similar to that of Eq. (43), even though the radius-dependent prefactors are different. For the sake of brevity, we do not provide explicit formulas here (see also [64]).




FIG. 2: The continuous part of the joint probability density $P(o, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ of two boundary local times ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 at the endpoints of the unit interval $(0, 1)$, with $x_0 = 0.5$ (top row) and $x_0 = 0$ (bottom row), and three times: $t = 1$ (left column), $t = 2$ (middle column), and $t = 5$ (right column), with $D = 1$.

IV. VARIETY OF FIRST-PASSAGE TIMES

The derived joint probability densities allow one to investigate various first-passage times (FPTs). The distribution of a first-passage time τ is in general determined by the survival probability, $\mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau > t\}$, from which the probability density follows as $H(t|x_0) = -\partial_t \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau > t\}$. We will consider the latter quantity in the Laplace domain.

A. Conventional first-passage times

The distribution of the FPT to a perfectly or partially reactive target has been intensively studied in various settings [2, 37, 38, 44, 54, 66–79]. The most common first-passage time is the moment of the first arrival of the process to the boundary (or the target): $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \mathbf{X}_t \in \partial\Omega\}$. As the boundary local time remains zero until the first encounter, this first-passage time can also be formulated as $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t > 0\}$, i.e., the moment of the first crossing of the threshold 0 by the total boundary local time ℓ_t . In the case of the interval, the FPT to either of the boundaries Γ_1 and Γ_2 reads then

$$\tau = \tau_{\infty, \infty} = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 + \ell_t^2 > 0\} = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 > 0 \text{ or } \ell_t^2 > 0\}. \quad (59)$$

This FPT is determined by the Laplace-transformed survival probability $\tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0)$ standing in front of $\delta(\ell_1)\delta(\ell_2)$ in Eq. (45):

$$\mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\infty, \infty} > t\} = \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1 = 0 \text{ and } \ell_t^2 = 0\} = S_{\infty, \infty}(t|x_0). \quad (60)$$

Similarly, one can consider the FPT to one endpoint, say, to Γ_2 : $\tau_{0, \infty} = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^2 > 0\}$. The condition $\ell_t^2 = 0$ is expressed by $\delta(\ell_2)$, which is present in the first and the third terms in Eq. (45). Integrating these terms over the marginal variable ℓ_1 from 0 to ∞ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_{0, \infty} > t\} &= \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^2 = 0\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 \left(\tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0) \delta(\ell_1) + \frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - 1}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{e^{-C\ell_1}}{D} \right) = \tilde{S}_{\infty, \infty}(p|x_0) \\ &+ \frac{(\cosh(\alpha b) - 1)}{D\alpha^2 \cosh(\alpha b)} \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} = \frac{1}{D\alpha^2} \left(1 - \frac{\cosh(\alpha x_0)}{\cosh(\alpha b)} \right) = \tilde{S}_{0, \infty}(p|x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Indeed, as we are not interested in the boundary local time ℓ_t^1 here, this is equivalent to setting Neumann boundary condition on Γ_1 , as discussed above.

B. First reaction times

When both endpoints are partially absorbing with equal reactivities (i.e., $q_1 = q_2 = q$), the reaction time can be defined as $\tau_{q,q} = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 + \ell_t^2 > \hat{\ell}\}$, i.e., the first moment when the total boundary local time exceeds a random independently distributed threshold $\hat{\ell}$ with the exponential distribution with the mean q : $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\ell} > \ell\} = e^{-q\ell}$ [33, 35, 46, 56]. Qualitatively, the exponentially distributed threshold $\hat{\ell}$ for surface reactions plays the same role as an exponentially distributed lifetime of a particle for bulk reactions (see [46] for details). The distribution of this random reaction time is

$$\mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_{q,q} > t\} = S_{q,q}(t|x_0), \quad (62)$$

which is determined by the explicitly known $\tilde{S}_{q,q}(t|x_0)$ from Eq. (26). This is a common setting for partial reactivity.

The above setting can be naturally generalized to deal with distinct surface reactivity parameters q_1 and q_2 . In this case, one has to consider two boundary local times separately, as encounters with Γ_1 and Γ_2 result in the reaction event in different ways. Here, we define

$$\tau_{q_1,q_2} = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 > \hat{\ell}_1 \text{ or } \ell_t^2 > \hat{\ell}_2\}, \quad (63)$$

as the first moment when *either* of the boundary local times ℓ_t^1 and ℓ_t^2 exceeds its random threshold, $\hat{\ell}_1$ and $\hat{\ell}_2$, which are determined as independent exponential random variables with means q_1 and q_2 , respectively. As boundary local times are nondecreasing processes, the event $\{\tau_{q_1,q_2} > t\}$ means that none of boundary local times exceeded its threshold:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_{q_1,q_2} > t\} &= \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1 < \hat{\ell}_1 \text{ and } \ell_t^2 < \hat{\ell}_2\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 \int_0^\infty d\ell_2 P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0) \mathbb{P}\{\ell_1 < \hat{\ell}_1 \text{ and } \ell_2 < \hat{\ell}_2\} \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 \int_0^\infty d\ell_2 P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0) e^{-q_1\ell_1} e^{-q_2\ell_2} = S_{q_1,q_2}(t|x_0), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where we applied Eq. (13) and used that $\hat{\ell}_1$ and $\hat{\ell}_2$ are independent exponential variables. In other words, this FPT time is determined by the survival probability $S_{q_1,q_2}(t|x_0)$ with Robin boundary conditions (15b), as expected. While this extension is natural, we are not aware of earlier probabilistic definitions of the FPT τ_{q_1,q_2} with the help of two boundary local times, as in Eq. (63).

C. First-crossing times of two thresholds

The explicit form of the joint probability density $\tilde{P}(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0)$ allows one to go far beyond the aforementioned first-passage times. In particular, we will generalize the probability density of the first-crossing time for the total boundary local time ℓ_t derived in [46] (see E for its properties).

First crossing by either of two boundary local times

The first natural extension consists in replacing exponential thresholds $\hat{\ell}_1$ and $\hat{\ell}_2$ in Eq. (63) by fixed thresholds ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . In other words, we are interested in the first moment when either of two boundary local times exceeds its threshold:

$$\tau_\cup = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 > \ell_1 \text{ or } \ell_t^2 > \ell_2\}. \quad (65)$$

For instance, this FPT can describe the moment of the reaction, which is initiated when the particle either has visited at least ℓ_1/a times the vicinity of width a of the left target, or has visited at least ℓ_2/a times the a -vicinity of the




FIG. 3: The mean first-crossing times $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}\}$ (left) and $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cap}\}$ (right) as functions of thresholds ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , with $b = 1$, $D = 1$, and $x_0 = 0.5$.

right target. Qualitatively, this FPT describes a sort of minimal condition to produce the reaction event by either of the targets. If $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell$, then τ_{\cup} is the first moment when $\max\{\ell_t^1, \ell_t^2\}$ exceeds ℓ .

The first-crossing time τ_{\cup} is determined by

$$S_{\cup}(t|x_0) = \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup} > t\} = \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1 < \ell_1 \text{ and } \ell_t^2 < \ell_2\} = F(\ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0), \quad (66)$$

where $F(\ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ is the joint cumulative probability function defined in Eq. (54). As the probability density of the first-crossing time, $H_{\cup}(t|x_0)$, is obtained by taking the time derivative of the survival probability (with negative sign), we get in the Laplace domain:

$$\tilde{H}_{\cup}(p|x_0) = 1 - p \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0), \quad (67)$$

with $\tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0)$ given by Eq. (55). As usual, this function determines all positive integer moments of τ_{\cup} :

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}^m\} = (-1)^m \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \tilde{H}_{\cup}(p|x_0). \quad (68)$$

In particular, the mean first-crossing time is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}\} &= \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, 0|x_0) = \frac{x_0(b-x_0)}{2D} + \frac{b^2}{D} \hat{Q}_2(\ell_1/b, \ell_2/b) \\ &+ \frac{bx_0}{2D} Q_1(\ell_2/b; \sqrt{\ell_1/b}) + \frac{b(b-x_0)}{2D} Q_1(\ell_1/b; \sqrt{\ell_2/b}), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where

$$\hat{Q}_2(z_1, z_2) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \frac{Q_2(z_1, z_2; a)}{1-a^2} = \int_0^{z_1} dx_1 \int_0^{z_2} dx_2 e^{-x_1-x_2} I_0(2\sqrt{x_1 x_2}). \quad (70)$$

Figure 3(left) illustrates the behavior of the mean first-crossing time $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}\}$ as a function of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 .

As discussed in Sec. III F, the analytical inversion of the Laplace transform like that in Eq. (67) is a challenging task. However, the short-time asymptotic behavior of the probability density can be easily obtained. Using the asymptotic relations from B, we get in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ for any $0 < x_0 < b$:

$$\tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) \simeq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(x_0+\ell_1)} - e^{-\alpha(b-x_0+\ell_2)} \right). \quad (71)$$

The short-time behavior of the probability density $H_{\cup}(t|x_0)$ follows then

$$H_{\cup}(t|x_0) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} \left((x_0 + \ell_1) e^{-(x_0+\ell_1)^2/(4Dt)} + (b - x_0 + \ell_2) e^{-(b-x_0+\ell_2)^2/(4Dt)} \right). \quad (72)$$




FIG. 4: The probability density $H_U(t|x_0)$ of the first-crossing time τ_U , with $b = 1$, $D = 1$, $x_0 = 0.5$, $\ell_2 = 1$, and three values of ℓ_1 as indicated in the plot. Solid lines show the numerical inversion of $\tilde{H}_U(p|x_0)$ via the Talbot algorithm, whereas dashed lines indicate the short-time asymptotic relation (72).

Qualitatively, the first term represents the contribution of trajectories that rapidly reached the left endpoint (by crossing the distance x_0) and remained in its vicinity to increase the boundary local time ℓ_t^1 up to ℓ_1 . Similarly, the second term accounts for the trajectories that reached the right endpoint and stayed nearby.

Figure 4 presents three probability densities $H_U(t|x_0)$ for $\ell_2 = 1$ and three values of ℓ_1 : 0.1, 1, and 10. One first notes that the short-time relation (72) is in excellent agreement with the numerical inversion of $\tilde{H}_U(p|x_0)$ via the Talbot algorithm. As τ_U characterizes the first moment when either of two boundary local times crosses its threshold, the density $H_U(t|x_0)$ is shifted toward shorter times for $\ell_1 = 0.1$. In fact, it is on average much faster for the boundary local time ℓ_t^1 to cross the threshold $\ell_1 = 0.1$ than for ℓ_t^2 to cross $\ell_2 = 1$. The opposite situation occurs for $\ell_1 = 10$, which takes longer to cross than $\ell_2 = 1$. This explains that the probability density $H_U(t|x_0)$ does not considerably change when ℓ_1 is increased from 1 to 10.

While the short-time behavior is available, getting the long-time asymptotic behavior of $H_U(t|x_0)$ remains an open problem (see the related discussion in E for a similar problem in the case of the total boundary local time).

First crossing by both boundary local times

Alternatively, one can look at the first moment when both ℓ_t^1 and ℓ_t^2 exceed their thresholds:

$$\tau_\cap = \inf\{t > 0 : \ell_t^1 > \ell_1 \text{ and } \ell_t^2 > \ell_2\}. \quad (73)$$

In particular, if $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = \ell$, τ_\cap is the first moment when $\min\{\ell_t^1, \ell_t^2\}$ exceeds ℓ .

The first-crossing time τ_\cap is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_\cap(t|x_0) &= \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\tau_\cap > t\} = \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1 < \ell_1 \text{ or } \ell_t^2 < \ell_2\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}_{x_0}\{\ell_t^1 > \ell_1 \text{ and } \ell_t^2 > \ell_2\} = 1 - \int_{\ell_1}^{\infty} d\ell'_1 \int_{\ell_2}^{\infty} d\ell'_2 P(o, \ell'_1, \ell'_2, t|x_0) \\ &= F(\ell_1, \infty, t|x_0) + F(\infty, \ell_2, t|x_0) - F(\ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where the first two terms correspond to marginal cumulative probability functions given by Eqs. (58). In the Laplace domain, we get then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\cap(p|x_0) &= 1 - p \left(\tilde{F}(\ell_1, \infty, p|x_0) + \tilde{F}(\infty, \ell_2, p|x_0) - \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, p|x_0) \right) \\ &= \frac{\cosh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\cosh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_1} + \frac{\cosh(\alpha x_0)}{\cosh(\alpha b)} e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)\ell_2} - \tilde{H}_U(p|x_0), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$




FIG. 5: The probability density $H_{\cap}(t|x_0)$ of the first-crossing time τ_{\cap} , with $b = 1$, $D = 1$, $x_0 = 0.5$, $\ell_2 = 1$, and three values of ℓ_1 as indicated in the plot. Solid lines show the numerical inversion of $\tilde{H}_{\cap}(p|x_0)$ via the Talbot algorithm, whereas dashed lines indicate the short-time asymptotic relation (77). Some points are missing at short times due to instabilities of the numerical inversion of the Laplace transform.

where $\tilde{H}_{\cup}(p|x_0)$ is given by Eq. (67), and we used Eqs. (58).

As previously, the density $\tilde{H}_{\cap}(p|x_0)$ determines all the positive integer moments of τ_{\cap} , in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cap}\} &= \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \infty, 0|x_0) + \tilde{F}(\infty, \ell_2, 0|x_0) - \tilde{F}(\ell_1, \ell_2, 0|x_0) \\ &= \frac{2b(\ell_1 + \ell_2) + 2b^2 - x_0^2 - (b - x_0)^2}{2D} - \mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cup}\}$ is given by Eq. (69). Figure 3(right) illustrates the behavior of the mean first-crossing time $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}\{\tau_{\cap}\}$ as a function of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 .

The short-time asymptotic behavior is determined from the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, the leading terms that determined the behavior of $H_{\cup}(t|x_0)$, vanish, and one needs to keep terms up to the order of $e^{-\alpha b}$. Skipping technical details, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\cap}(t|x_0) &\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi D t^3}} \left((x_0 + \ell_1 + \ell_2 + b) e^{-(x_0 + \ell_1 + \ell_2 + b)^2 / (4Dt)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2b - x_0 + \ell_1 + \ell_2) e^{-(2b - x_0 + \ell_1 + \ell_2)^2 / (4Dt)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Qualitatively, the first term represents the contribution of trajectories that rapidly reached the left endpoint (by crossing the distance x_0) and remained in its vicinity to increase the boundary local time ℓ_t^1 up to ℓ_1 , then crossed the interval (by traveling distance b) to reach the right endpoint and remained nearby to increase ℓ_t^2 up to ℓ_2 . Similarly, the second term accounts for the trajectories that first reached the right endpoint and then moved to the left endpoint.

Figure 5 illustrates the behavior of the probability density $H_{\cap}(t|x_0)$. As previously for $H_{\cup}(t|x_0)$, the short-time asymptotic relation (77) is accurate for small and moderate ℓ , while its range of applicability is limited for large ℓ . Expectedly, all curves are shifted to longer times as compared to Fig. 4 because the condition determining τ_{\cap} is more strict than that determining τ_{\cup} .

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we extended the approach relying on the concept of the boundary local time as a proxy for the number of encounters with the boundary that was recently developed to describe diffusion-mediated surface phenomena [46]. Our extension allows one to partition the boundary into regions with distinct reactivities and to characterize encounters with each region. For this purpose, we introduced the full propagator $P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ as the joint probability density of the position of the particle and of its multiple boundary local times on each boundary region. This propagator was then related via Eq. (11) to the conventional propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ satisfying Robin boundary

conditions with parameters q_1, \dots, q_m on boundary regions Γ_i . The explicit implementation of the surface reactivities via the factors $e^{-q_1 \ell_1} \dots e^{-q_m \ell_m}$ in the expression (11) opens a way to investigate various surface reaction mechanisms such as, e.g., catalysts' fooling or membrane degradation [80, 81]. In fact, the parameters q_i enter into the conventional propagator $G_{q_1, \dots, q_m}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ via the Robin boundary condition (7) that corresponds to the Poissonian type of surface reaction: at each encounter with Γ_i , the probability of the reaction event is the same. The factor $e^{-q_i \ell_i}$ is thus the probability of no surface reaction on Γ_i , i.e., the probability $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\ell}_i > \ell_i\}$ that the boundary local time ℓ_i^s does not exceed its random threshold $\hat{\ell}_i$ obeying the exponential distribution with the mean $1/q_i$. However, one can go beyond this conventional choice and consider a variety of surface reaction mechanisms characterized by any desired distribution of the threshold $\hat{\ell}_i$: $\mathbb{P}\{\hat{\ell}_i > \ell_i\} = \Psi_i(\ell_i)$ (see [46] for details). The generalized propagator describing the likelihood of finding the particle in \mathbf{x} survived against such surface reactions will then be

$$G_{\text{gen}}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \int_0^\infty d\ell_1 \Psi_1(\ell_1) \dots \int_0^\infty d\ell_m \Psi_m(\ell_m) P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_m, t | \mathbf{x}_0). \quad (78)$$

In this way, we extend the approach developed in [46] in order to implement various surface reaction mechanisms individually for each region Γ_i of the boundary. Several models of random thresholds and their consequences on the distribution of the reaction time were discussed in [46]. An interesting perspective consists in studying these models in the current setting with multiple boundary local times (and thus multiple thresholds $\hat{\ell}_i$). The exact formula (43) for the full propagator on the interval and its extensions to a circular annulus and a spherical shell will be particularly helpful.

Another interesting extension consists in studying the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ of finer and finer partitions of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. As a sequence of piecewise constant functions can approximate a given function q_s characterizing the reactivity of the boundary, one can access the general case of a space-dependent reactivity, in which the propagator $G_{q_s}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ satisfies the Robin boundary condition:

$$(\partial_n G_{q_s}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0))_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{s}} + q_s G_{q_s}(\mathbf{s}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = 0 \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \partial\Omega). \quad (79)$$

Indeed, Eq. (11) can formally be written as a sort of Feynman's path integral (here, we do not provide any rigorous statements but just sketch the main ideas):

$$G_{q_s}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \int \mathcal{D}\ell_s \exp\left(-\int_{\partial\Omega} ds q_s \ell_s\right) P(\mathbf{x}, \ell_s, t | \mathbf{x}_0) \quad (80a)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \exp\left(-\int_{\partial\Omega} ds q_s \ell_t^s\right) \delta(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{x}) \right\}, \quad (80b)$$

where ℓ_t^s is the boundary local time in an infinitesimal vicinity of the boundary point \mathbf{s} . As ℓ_t^s increases only when the particle hits a vicinity of the point \mathbf{s} , the integral over \mathbf{s} can be re-arranged as

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ds q_s \ell_t^s = \int_0^t q_{\mathbf{X}_{t'}} dl_{t'}, \quad (81)$$

where $dl_{t'}$ denotes increments of the total boundary local time ℓ_t on the whole boundary $\partial\Omega$. Using this relation, one gets a probabilistic representation

$$G_{q_s}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_0} \left\{ \exp\left(-\int_0^t q_{\mathbf{X}_{t'}} dl_{t'}\right) \delta(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{x}) \right\}, \quad (82)$$

which is more conventional for the mathematical literature on stochastic processes [82, 83]. On the other hand, a spectral expansion of the propagator $G_{q_s}(\mathbf{x}, t | \mathbf{x}_0)$ in terms of the eigenfunctions of the operator $\mathcal{M}_p + q_s$ was derived in [55]. Further mathematical analysis of this intricate relation presents an interesting perspective for future research.

We also discussed a variety of the first-passage times associated to this problem. After identifying the conventional cases of perfectly and partially reactive targets, we introduced a new class of first-passage times characterizing the moment of the first crossing of prescribed thresholds by two boundary local times. We derived the exact formulas for the Laplace-transformed probability densities of such first-crossing times τ_{\cup} and τ_{\cap} . We also analyzed their short-time asymptotic behavior and obtained the mean values of these random variables. In turn, getting the long-time asymptotic behavior, which is usually much simpler for first-passage times, remains an open problem (see also

discussion in E). Further progress in this direction may potentially be achieved with the help of the Donsker-Varadhan large deviation theory [84, 85]. The obtained probability densities of the first-passage times could then be used for implementing new surface reaction mechanisms via stopping conditions. Note that we focused on first-passage times related to the joint probability density $P(\circ, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ of two boundary local times. Another perspective consists in extending the obtained results by using the full propagator $P(x, \ell_1, \ell_2, t|x_0)$ and thus conditioning on the arrival point.

While most explicit results were presented for the interval, an extension to a circular annulus and a spherical shell is straightforward. All three domains are often used as models in various physical, chemical and biological applications. For instance, diffusion in an interval can model diffusion-influenced reactions in layered structures (such as a slab); diffusion in a circular annulus can be relevant for cylinder-shaped confinements (e.g., the interior space of a bacterium which contains nucleotides in the middle and is surrounded by an outer membrane); similarly, diffusion in a spherical shell can model diffusive processes inside the cytosol surrounded by the cellular and nuclear membranes. Apart from these basic models and related applications, the analytical results of the paper shed a light on the elaborate statistics of two boundary local times. In particular, the intrinsic correlations between these two processes illustrate the difficulties in getting more explicit results for general domains. In this perspective, the present work makes the first steps on the way toward the full description of boundary encounters and related surface reactions.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to G. Oshanin for fruitful discussions of the inverse Laplace transforms. A partial financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation through a Bessel Research Award is acknowledged.

Appendix A: Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for an interval

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator and its spectral properties were employed to describe diffusion-mediated surface phenomena in [46] (see also [55]). For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator \mathcal{M}_p associates to each (appropriate) function f on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ another function g on that boundary such that $\mathcal{M}_p f = g = (\partial_n w)|_{\partial\Omega}$, where $w(\mathbf{x})$ is the solution of the modified Helmholtz equation $(p - D\Delta)w(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ in Ω with Dirichlet boundary condition $w|_{\partial\Omega} = f$. In other words, the operator \mathcal{M}_p maps Dirichlet boundary condition $w|_{\partial\Omega} = f$ to Neumann boundary condition $(\partial_n w)|_{\partial\Omega} = g = \mathcal{M}_p f$ for the same solution $w(\mathbf{x})$ (see [46, 55] for further discussion and references).

A general solution of the modified Helmholtz equation on an interval $(0, b)$ can be written as

$$w(x) = c_1 \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} + c_2 \frac{\sinh(\alpha x)}{\sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

with unknown coefficients c_1 and c_2 . As any “function” on the boundary of the interval can be represented by a two-dimensional vector $(f_1, f_2)^\dagger$ (with coefficients f_1 and f_2), the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator acts here as a 2×2 matrix

$$\mathcal{M}_p f = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \tanh(\alpha b) & -\alpha/\sinh(\alpha b) \\ -\alpha/\sinh(\alpha b) & \alpha \tanh(\alpha b) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

One can recognize the parameters C and $E/2$ from Eqs. (37, 39a) as the diagonal and non-diagonal elements of this matrix. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix are:

$$\mu_1 = C - E/2 = \alpha \tanh(\alpha b/2), \quad v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1)^\dagger, \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$\mu_2 = C + E/2 = \alpha \coth(\alpha b/2), \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1)^\dagger. \quad (\text{A3b})$$

Using Eqs. (44), one finds

$$V_1^{(p)}(x_0) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0)) + \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sqrt{2} \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$V_2^{(p)}(x_0) = \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0)) - \sinh(\alpha x_0)}{\sqrt{2} \sinh(\alpha b)}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $V_n^{(p)}(x_0)$ were defined in [46] as projections of $\tilde{j}_{\infty,\infty}(s, p|x_0)$ onto the eigenfunctions of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

Using these expressions, we can compute the full propagator $\tilde{P}_{\text{tot}}(x, \ell, p|x_0)$ in the case of equal reactivities ($q_1 = q_2$), which characterizes the total boundary local time $\ell_t = \ell_t^1 + \ell_t^2$ [46]:

$$\begin{aligned} D\tilde{P}_{\text{tot}}(x, \ell, p|x_0) &= D\tilde{G}_{\infty,\infty}(x, p|x_0)\delta(\ell) + \sum_n V_n^{(p)}(x_0)V_n^{(p)}(x)e^{-\mu_n^{(p)}\ell} \\ &= D\tilde{G}_{\infty,\infty}(x, p|x_0)\delta(\ell) \\ &+ e^{-C\ell} \left(\frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))\sinh(\alpha(b-x)) + \sinh(\alpha x_0)\sinh(\alpha x)}{\sinh^2(\alpha b)} \cosh(E\ell/2) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))\sinh(\alpha x) + \sinh(\alpha x_0)\sinh(\alpha(b-x))}{\sinh^2(\alpha b)} \sinh(E\ell/2) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $\tilde{G}_{\infty,\infty}(x, p|x_0)$ is given by Eq. (22). The marginal probability density of ℓ_t in the Laplace domain reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{\text{tot}}(\circ, \ell, p|x_0) &= \tilde{S}_{\infty,\infty}(p|x_0)\delta(\ell) \\ &+ \frac{\cosh(\alpha b) - 1}{\alpha \sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} \frac{e^{-(C-E/2)\ell}}{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

The cumulative probability function of ℓ_t is

$$\tilde{F}_{\text{tot}}(\ell, p|x_0) = \frac{1}{D\alpha^2} \left(\Theta(\ell) + \frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b-x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} (1 - \Theta(\ell) - e^{-(C-E/2)\ell}) \right), \quad (\text{A8})$$

where the derivative of the Heaviside function $\Theta(\ell)$ yields $\delta(\ell)$ in the above probability density.

In addition to the above Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, one can consider other versions of this operator, which can give complementary insights on this problem. The first one consists in restricting the operator to one endpoint, e.g., on $\Gamma_2 = \{b\}$. In other words, the modified operator acts on functions defined only on Γ_2 (here, as the boundary Γ_2 consists of one point, this “functional” space is one-dimensional), while the solution is fixed to 0 at the other endpoint. This is equivalent to fixing $c_1 \equiv 0$ in Eq. (A1), and the action of the modified Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator reads

$$\mathcal{M}_p^D f = \left(\partial_n \frac{\sinh(\alpha x)}{\sinh(\alpha b)} f \right) \Big|_{x=b} = \alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha b) f, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $\alpha \text{ctanh}(\alpha b)$ can be interpreted as the eigenvalue of this operator (corresponding to the eigenfunction $v = 1$). As the space of “functions” is one-dimensional (i.e., the “function” f is just a scalar), this is the only eigenvalue of the operator.

The second modification consists in imposing Neumann boundary condition on one endpoint, e.g., on $\Gamma_1 = \{0\}$. A general solution of the modified Helmholtz equation with Neumann condition at $x = 0$ and Dirichlet condition at $x = b$ reads

$$w(x) = c \frac{\cosh(\alpha x)}{\cosh(\alpha b)}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

and the action of the modified Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on a “function” f on Γ_2 is

$$\mathcal{M}_p^N f = \left(\partial_n \frac{\cosh(\alpha x)}{\cosh(\alpha b)} f \right) \Big|_{x=b} = \alpha \tanh(\alpha b) f. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Here, $\alpha \tanh(\alpha b)$ is the eigenvalue of this operator corresponding to the eigenfunction $v = 1$.

Appendix B: Some properties of functions Q_1 and Q_2

The functions $Q_1(z; a)$ and $Q_2(z_1, z_2; a)$ can be computed numerically from their definition in Eqs. (57). In this Appendix, we provide some additional representations and asymptotic properties.

Using the representation:

$$I_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta \exp(x \cos \theta), \quad (\text{B1})$$

one can write

$$Q_1(z; a) = e^{-a^2} \int_0^z dx e^{-x} I_0(2a\sqrt{x}) = e^{-a^2} \int_0^z dx e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2a)^{2n}}{(2n)!} c_n x^n, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi d\theta [\cos(\theta)]^{2n} = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n n!}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

We get then

$$Q_1(z; a) = e^{-a^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2a)^{2n}}{(2n)!} c_n \left(n! e^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z^k}{k!} \right) = e^{-z-a^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{2n}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z^k}{k!}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Note also that the finite sum over k in Eq. (B4) can be written in terms of the upper incomplete Gamma function so that

$$Q_1(z; a) = e^{-a^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(n+1, z)}{n!}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Similarly, the double integral reads

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(z_1, z_2; a) &= (1-a^2) \int_0^{z_1} dx_1 \int_0^{z_2} dx_2 e^{-x_1-x_2} I_0(2a\sqrt{x_1 x_2}) \\ &= (1-a^2) e^{-z_1-z_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^{2n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z_1^k}{k!} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z_2^k}{k!} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B6})$$

For large z , it is convenient to write

$$Q_1(z; a) = 1 - e^{-a^2} \int_z^\infty dz e^{-x} I_0(2a\sqrt{x}). \quad (\text{B7})$$

If in addition $a \ll 1/z$, then one can expand $I_0(z)$ in a Taylor series to get

$$Q_1(z; a) \simeq 1 - e^{-a^2-z} (1 + (1+z)a^2 + \dots) \simeq 1 - e^{-z} (1 + za^2 + O(a^4)). \quad (\text{B8})$$

In the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, one gets then

$$Q_1(C\ell_2; \sqrt{C\ell_1} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)) \simeq 1 - e^{-\alpha\ell_2} + O(e^{-2\alpha b}), \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$Q_1(C\ell_1; \sqrt{C\ell_2} \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)) \simeq 1 - e^{-\alpha\ell_1} + O(e^{-2\alpha b}). \quad (\text{B10})$$

Noting that

$$Q_2(z_1, \infty; a) = 1 - e^{-(1-a^2)z_1}, \quad Q_2(\infty, z_2; a) = 1 - e^{-(1-a^2)z_2}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

one gets for very small a :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(z_1, z_2; a) &= 1 - e^{-(1-a^2)z_1} - e^{-(1-a^2)z_2} + (1-a^2) \int_{z_1}^\infty dx_1 \int_{z_2}^\infty dx_2 e^{-x_1-x_2} I_0(2a\sqrt{x_1 x_2}) \\ &\simeq 1 - e^{-(1-a^2)z_1} - e^{-(1-a^2)z_2} + (1-a^2) e^{-z_1-z_2} (1 + a^2(1+z_1)(1+z_2) + O(a^4)) \\ &= (1 - e^{-z_1})(1 - e^{-z_2}) - (z_1 e^{-z_1} + z_2 e^{-z_2} - (z_1 z_2 + z_1 + z_2) e^{-z_1-z_2}) a^2 + O(a^4). \end{aligned}$$

In the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$Q_2(C\ell_1, C\ell_2; \operatorname{sech}(\alpha b)) \simeq 1 - e^{-\alpha\ell_1} - e^{-\alpha\ell_2} + e^{-\alpha(\ell_1+\ell_2)} + O(e^{-2\alpha b}). \quad (\text{B12})$$

Appendix C: Two Laplace transform inversion formulas

In this Appendix, we aim at computing two classes of the inverse Laplace transform:

$$U(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x f(e^{-a\sqrt{p}}))\}, \quad (\text{C1a})$$

$$V(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x a\sqrt{p} f(e^{-a\sqrt{p}}))\}, \quad (\text{C1b})$$

where $a > 0$, $x > 0$, and $f(z)$ is an analytic function.

The first step consists in replacing $a\sqrt{p}$ by p with the help of the following identity

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) h(\tau)\right\}(p) = \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-a\tau\sqrt{p}} h(\tau) = \mathcal{L}\{h\}(a\sqrt{p}) = \tilde{h}(a\sqrt{p}), \quad (\text{C2})$$

where $h(t)$ is a given function, and

$$F(t, \tau) = \frac{a\tau}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} e^{-a^2\tau^2/(4t)}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

Inverting this identity, we get another identity for a given function $\tilde{h}(p)$:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\tilde{h}(a\sqrt{p})\} = \int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\tilde{h}\}(\tau). \quad (\text{C4})$$

Using this representation, we have

$$U(t) = \int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) \mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x f(e^{-p}))\}(\tau). \quad (\text{C5})$$

In the second step, we expand the exponential function and use the Taylor series

$$[f(z)]^n = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_{n,k} z^k \quad (\text{C6})$$

to write

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &= \int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{n,k} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f_{n,k} e^{-kp}\right\}(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty F(t, k) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} [f(z)]^n\right)_{z=0}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the inverse Laplace transform of e^{-kp} is $\delta(\tau - k)$. Finally, the series over n yields the exponential function, so that we conclude

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x f(e^{-a\sqrt{p}}))\}(t) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{e^{-a^2 k^2/(4t)}}{(k-1)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \exp(-x f(z))\right). \quad (\text{C7})$$

This partly explicit expression allows one to easily compute the short-time behavior by keeping only the first term with $k = 1$.

In the same way, we can compute the inverse Laplace transform $V(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{n,k} \frac{(-x)^n p^n}{n!} f_{n,k}\right\}(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{n,k} \frac{(-x)^n}{n!} f_{n,k} \int_0^\infty d\tau F(t, \tau) \delta^{(n)}(\tau - k) = \sum_{n,k} \frac{x^n}{n!} f_{n,k} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tau^n} F(t, \tau)\right)_{\tau=k} \\ &= \sum_{n,k} \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} [f(z)]^n\right)_{z=0} \left(\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tau^n} F(t, \tau)\right)_{\tau=k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta^{(n)}(z)$ is the n -th derivative of the Dirac distribution. Next, we expand the function $F(t, \tau)$ into a Taylor series and evaluate its derivatives with respect to τ :

$$V(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} [f(z)]^n \right)_{z=0} B \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A)^j}{j!} k^{2j+1-n} \frac{(2j+1)!}{(2j+1-n)!},$$

where $B = a/\sqrt{4\pi t^3}$ and $A = a^2/(4t)$ (note that some terms in this sum are strictly zero, e.g., when $2j+1 \leq n$). Exchanging the order of summations over n and j , one realizes that the sum over n is the binomial expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= B \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A)^j}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [xf(z)]^n k^{2j+1-n} \frac{(2j+1)!}{n!(2j+1-n)!} \\ &= B \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A)^j}{j!} (k + xf(z))^{2j+1} \\ &= B \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} (k + xf(z)) \exp(-A(k + xf(z))^2). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x a \sqrt{p} f(e^{-a\sqrt{p}}))\}(t) \\ &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \left((k + xf(z)) e^{-a^2(k+xf(z))^2/(4t)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

Keeping only the term with $k = 0$, one gets the short-time asymptotic behavior:

$$V(t) \simeq \frac{axf(0)}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} e^{-a^2 x^2 [f(0)]^2 / (4t)}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

In the trivial case $f(z) = 1$, Eq. (C8) immediately yields the classical expression

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{\exp(-x a \sqrt{p})\}(t) = \frac{ax}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}} e^{-a^2 x^2 / (4t)}. \quad (\text{C10})$$

Appendix D: The conventional propagator in two and three dimensions

The Laplace-transformed conventional propagator has an explicit form in two and three dimensions due to the separation of variables. Following [63], the radial part of the propagator in both cases reads as

$$\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(r, p | r_0) = \frac{-1}{\alpha V W(\alpha r_0) \omega(r_0)} \times \begin{cases} v^b(r_0) v^a(r) & (a \leq r \leq r_0 \leq b), \\ v^b(r) v^a(r_0) & (a \leq r_0 \leq r \leq b), \end{cases} \quad (\text{D1})$$

where $W(z) = \mathcal{K}(z)\mathcal{I}'(z) - \mathcal{I}(z)\mathcal{K}'(z)$, $\omega(r_0)$ is the weighting factor,

$$v^a(r) = (\alpha \mathcal{K}'(\alpha a) - q_1 \mathcal{K}(\alpha a)) \mathcal{I}(\alpha r) - (\alpha \mathcal{I}'(\alpha a) - q_1 \mathcal{I}(\alpha a)) \mathcal{K}(\alpha r), \quad (\text{D2a})$$

$$v^b(r) = (\alpha \mathcal{K}'(\alpha b) + q_2 \mathcal{K}(\alpha b)) \mathcal{I}(\alpha r) - (\alpha \mathcal{I}'(\alpha b) + q_2 \mathcal{I}(\alpha b)) \mathcal{K}(\alpha r), \quad (\text{D2b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} V &= (\alpha \mathcal{K}'(\alpha a) - q_1 \mathcal{K}(\alpha a)) (\alpha \mathcal{I}'(\alpha b) + q_2 \mathcal{I}(\alpha b)) \\ &\quad - (\alpha \mathcal{I}'(\alpha a) - q_1 \mathcal{I}(\alpha a)) (\alpha \mathcal{K}'(\alpha b) + q_2 \mathcal{K}(\alpha b)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2c})$$

and \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{K} are appropriate functions.

In two dimensions, one has

$$\mathcal{I}(z) = I_n(z), \quad \mathcal{K}(z) = K_n(z), \quad W(z) = 1/z, \quad \omega(r_0) = r_0, \quad (\text{D3})$$

where $I_n(z)$ and $K_n(z)$ are modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively. The Laplace-transformed propagator is then

$$\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p | \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi D} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(\phi - \phi_0)} \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}^{(n)}(r, p | r_0), \quad (\text{D4})$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (r, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{x}_0 = (r_0, \phi_0)$ in polar coordinates, and the superscript (n) refers to the n -th Fourier harmonic.

In three dimensions, one has

$$\mathcal{I}(z) = i_n(z), \quad \mathcal{K}(z) = k_n(z), \quad W(z) = 1/z^2, \quad \omega(r_0) = r_0^2, \quad (\text{D5})$$

where $i_n(z)$ and $k_n(z)$ are modified spherical Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively. The Laplace-transformed propagator then reads

$$\tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}(\mathbf{x}, p | \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{4\pi D} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) P_n \left(\frac{(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}_0)}{|\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{x}_0|} \right) \tilde{G}_{q_1, q_2}^{(n)}(r, p | r_0), \quad (\text{D6})$$

where $P_n(z)$ are Legendre polynomials, $r = |\mathbf{x}|$, and $r_0 = |\mathbf{x}_0|$.

In both cases, the dependence of the propagator on q_1 and q_2 is identical to that in the one-dimensional case. As a consequence, the inversion of the double Laplace transform with respect to q_1 and q_2 of each radial propagator can be performed explicitly, and then the obtained contributions can be summed up according to Eqs. (D4, D6).

Appendix E: First-crossing time for the total boundary local time

In this Appendix, we study the distribution of the first-crossing time τ of a given threshold ℓ by the total boundary local time $\ell_t = \ell_t^1 + \ell_t^2$ on the interval $(0, b)$. As discussed in Sec. IV, the Laplace-transformed probability density $\tilde{H}(p | x_0)$ of τ is determined by the Laplace-transformed cumulative probability function $\tilde{F}_{\text{tot}}(\ell, p | x_0)$ given by Eq. (A8):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x_0} \{e^{-p\tau}\} &= \tilde{H}(p | x_0) = 1 - p \tilde{F}_{\text{tot}}(\ell, p | x_0) \\ &= \left(\frac{\sinh(\alpha x_0) + \sinh(\alpha(b - x_0))}{\sinh(\alpha b)} \right) e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b/2) \ell}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E1})$$

where we assumed $\ell > 0$ to get a simpler expression (given that $\ell = 0$ corresponds to the well-studied case of the first-passage time to either of endpoints). The series expansion of this expression for $p \rightarrow 0$ allows one to compute the moments of τ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0} \{\tau^m\} = (-1)^m \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \tilde{H}(p | x_0). \quad (\text{E2})$$

In particular, we find the mean and the variance as

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0} \{\tau\} = \frac{x_0(b - x_0) + \ell b}{2D}, \quad \sigma_\tau^2 = \frac{x_0(b - x_0)(2x_0^2 - 2bx_0 + b^2) + \ell b^3}{12D^2}. \quad (\text{E3})$$

In both expressions, the first term (without ℓ) represents the contribution from the first-passage time to either of endpoints, whereas the second term accounts for multiple reflections. Indeed, the first-crossing time τ can be split into two independent contributions: the first-passage time to the endpoints, and the first-crossing time starting from the endpoint. Setting $x_0 = 0$ to cancel the conventional contribution from the FPT, we see that both the mean and the variance grow linearly with ℓ . As a consequence, the relative standard deviation, $\sigma_\tau / \mathbb{E}_{x_0} \{\tau\} = \sqrt{b/(3\ell)}$, decreases as ℓ grows.

As briefly mentioned in Sec. III F, the standard tools for the Laplace transform inversion (such as the residue theorem) fail here because the exponential function in Eq. (E1) exhibits essential singularities. For the sake of clarity, we set $x_0 = 0$ and consider

$$\tilde{H}(p | 0) = e^{-\alpha \tanh(\alpha b/2) \ell}. \quad (\text{E4})$$

Once its inverse, $H(t | 0)$, is known, $H(t | x_0)$ can be obtained as a convolution of $H(t | 0)$ with the inverse of the prefactor in parentheses in Eq. (E1), which is well known (and can be easily obtained via the residue theorem).

In C, we derive a semi-analytical formula (C8) for inverting functions such as $\tilde{H}(p | 0)$. Setting $x = \ell/b$ and $a = b/\sqrt{D}$ into this formula, we get

$$H(t | 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D t^3}} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \left((bk + \ell f(z)) e^{-(bk + \ell f(z))^2 / (4Dt)} \right), \quad (\text{E5})$$

where $f(z) = (1-z)/(1+z)$. The short-time behavior of this density is obtained by keeping only the term with $k = 0$:

$$H(t|0) \simeq \frac{\ell e^{-\ell^2/(4Dt)}}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt^3}} \quad (t \rightarrow 0). \quad (\text{E6})$$

In contrast, getting the long-time behavior is much more difficult. Without solving this open problem, we provide a rough approximation, which highlights the difficulties of the long-time limit.

Approximate computation in the long-time limit

As discussed in [33, 53], the boundary local time in a bounded domain is close to the Gaussian distribution in the long-time limit:

$$P_{\text{tot}}(o, \ell, t|x_0) \simeq \frac{\exp(-\frac{(\ell-ct)^2}{2\beta t})}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta t}}, \quad (\text{E7})$$

where $c = D|\partial\Omega|/|\Omega| = 2D/b$ for an interval, and

$$\beta = -\left(\frac{D|\partial\Omega|}{|\Omega|}\right)^3 \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^2\mu_p^{(0)}}{dp^2} = \frac{2D}{3}, \quad (\text{E8})$$

where we used $\mu_p^{(0)} = \alpha \tanh(\alpha b/2)$ for an interval, see A. Note that this approximation does not depend on the starting point x_0 , which is irrelevant in the long-time regime and will be omitted below. As a consequence, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau > t\} = \mathbb{P}\{\ell_t < \ell\} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{ct - \ell}{\sqrt{2\beta t}}\right), \quad (\text{E9})$$

from which

$$H(t) \simeq \frac{2D/b + \ell/t}{\sqrt{16\pi Dt/3}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t - b\ell/(2D))^2}{b^2 t/(3D)}\right) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \quad (\text{E10})$$

Figure 6 shows the probability density $H(t|0)$ and its short-time and long-time approximations. First of all, one can note that the numerical inversion by the Talbot algorithm yields very accurate results, with only minor deviations at short times. As the threshold ℓ increases, the distribution of the first-crossing time is progressively shifted to longer times and becomes relatively narrower because the relative standard deviation decreases. For $\ell = 0.1$ and $\ell = 1$, the short-time asymptotic formula (E6) is accurate. In turn, for $\ell = 10$, even though this formula is accurate at short times, the probability density is so small due to the factor $e^{-\ell^2/(4Dt)}$ that its range of validity is of limited interest. In contrast, the long-time approximation (E10) fails for small and moderate ℓ but is getting more accurate for $\ell = 10$.

-
- [1] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry and the natural sciences* (Springer: Berlin, 1985).
 - [2] S. Redner, *A Guide to First Passage Processes* (Cambridge: Cambridge University press, 2001).
 - [3] Z. Schuss, *Brownian Dynamics at Boundaries and Interfaces in Physics, Chemistry and Biology* (Springer, New York, 2013).
 - [4] F. C. Collins and G. E. Kimball, "Diffusion-controlled reaction rates", *J. Colloid Sci.* **4**, 425-437 (1949).
 - [5] H. Sano and M. Tachiya, "Partially diffusion-controlled recombination", *J. Chem. Phys.* **71**, 1276-1282 (1979).
 - [6] H. Sano and M. Tachiya, "Theory of diffusion-controlled reactions on spherical surfaces and its application to reactions on micellar surfaces", *J. Chem. Phys.* **75**, 2870-2878 (1981).
 - [7] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, "Reaction-rate theory: fifty years after Kramers", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62**, 251-341 (1990).
 - [8] H.-X. Zhou and R. Zwanzig, "A rate process with an entropy barrier", *J. Chem. Phys.* **94**, 6147-6152 (1991).
 - [9] D. Reguera, G. Schmid, P. S. Burada, J. M. Rubi, P. Reimann, and P. Hänggi, "Entropic Transport: Kinetics, Scaling, and Control Mechanisms", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 130603 (2006).
 - [10] D. S. Grebenkov and G. Oshanin, "Diffusive escape through a narrow opening: new insights into a classic problem," *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 2723-2739 (2017).




FIG. 6: The probability density $H(t|0)$ of the first-crossing time τ of a threshold ℓ by the total boundary local time ℓ_t on the interval $(0, b)$, with $b = 1$, $D = 1$, and $\ell = 0.1$ (a), $\ell = 1$ (b), and $\ell = 10$ (c). Solid line presents the exact solution (E5) truncated after $k = 20$, filled circles show the numerical inversion by the Talbot algorithm, dashed line indicates the short-time asymptotic relation (E6), and dash-dotted line plots the long-time approximation (E10).

- [11] H. C. Berg and E. M. Purcell, “Physics of chemoreception”, *Biophys. J.* **20**, 193-239 (1977).
- [12] R. Zwanzig, “Diffusion-controlled ligand binding to spheres partially covered by receptors: an effective medium treatment”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **87**, 5856 (1990).
- [13] R. Zwanzig and A. Szabo, “Time dependent rate of diffusion-influenced ligand binding to receptors on cell surfaces”, *Biophys. J.* **60**, 671-678 (1991).
- [14] A. Berezhkovskii, Y. Makhnovskii, M. Monine, V. Zitserman, and S. Shvartsman, “Boundary homogenization for trapping

- by patchy surfaces”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 11390 (2004).
- [15] A. M. Berezhkovskii, M. I. Monine, C. B. Muratov, and S. Y. Shvartsman, “Homogenization of boundary conditions for surfaces with regular arrays of traps”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 036103 (2006).
- [16] C. Muratov and S. Shvartsman, “Boundary homogenization for periodic arrays of absorbers”, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **7**, 44-61 (2008).
- [17] A. Skvortsov and A. Walker, “Trapping of diffusive particles by rough absorbing surfaces: Boundary smoothing approach”, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 023202 (2014).
- [18] A. T. Skvortsov, A. M. Berezhkovskii, and L. Dagdug, “Boundary homogenization for a circle with periodic absorbing arcs. Exact expression for the effective trapping rate”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 226101 (2015).
- [19] L. Dagdug, M. Vázquez, A. Berezhkovskii, and V. Zitserman, “Boundary homogenization for a sphere with an absorbing cap of arbitrary size”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **145**, 214101 (2016).
- [20] A. E. Lindsay, A. J. Bernoff, and M. J. Ward, “First Passage Statistics for the Capture of a Brownian Particle by a Structured Spherical Target with Multiple Surface Traps”, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **15**, 74-109 (2017).
- [21] A. Bernoff, A. Lindsay, and D. Schmidt, “Boundary Homogenization and Capture Time Distributions of Semipermeable Membranes with Periodic Patterns of Reactive Sites”, *Multiscale Model. Simul.* **16**, 1411-1447 (2018).
- [22] A. T. Skvortsov, A. M. Berezhkovskii, and L. Dagdug, “Steady-state flux of diffusing particles to a rough boundary formed by absorbing spikes periodically protruding from a reflecting base”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **150**, 194109 (2019).
- [23] O. Bénichou, M. Moreau, and G. Oshanin, “Kinetics of stochastically gated diffusion-limited reactions and geometry of random walk trajectories”, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 3388-3406 (2000).
- [24] J. Reingruber and D. Holcman, “Gated Narrow Escape Time for Molecular Signaling”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 148102 (2009).
- [25] S. D. Lawley and J. P. Keener, “A New Derivation of Robin Boundary Conditions through Homogenization of a Stochastically Switching Boundary”, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Sys.* **14**, 1845-1867 (2015).
- [26] P. C. Bressloff, “Stochastic switching in biology: from genotype to phenotype”, *J. Phys. A* **50**, 133001 (2017).
- [27] K. R. Brownstein and C. E. Tarr, “Importance of Classical Diffusion in NMR Studies of Water in Biological Cells”, *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 2446-2453 (1979).
- [28] B. Sapoval, “General Formulation of Laplacian Transfer Across Irregular Surfaces”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 3314-3317 (1994).
- [29] M. Filoche and B. Sapoval, “Can One Hear the Shape of an Electrode? II. Theoretical Study of the Laplacian Transfer”, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **9**, 755-763 (1999).
- [30] B. Sapoval, M. Filoche, and E. Weibel, “Smaller is better – but not too small: A physical scale for the design of the mammalian pulmonary acinus”, *Proc. Nat. Ac. Sci. USA* **99**, 10411-10416 (2002).
- [31] D. S. Grebenkov, M. Filoche, B. Sapoval, and M. Felici, “Diffusion-Reaction in Branched Structures: Theory and Application to the Lung Acinus”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 050602 (2005).
- [32] D. S. Grebenkov, M. Filoche, and B. Sapoval, “Mathematical Basis for a General Theory of Laplacian Transport towards Irregular Interfaces”, *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 021103 (2006).
- [33] D. S. Grebenkov, “Residence times and other functionals of reflected Brownian motion”, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 041139 (2007).
- [34] D. S. Grebenkov, “Searching for partially reactive sites: Analytical results for spherical targets”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 034104 (2010).
- [35] D. S. Grebenkov, “Imperfect Diffusion-Controlled Reactions”, in *Chemical Kinetics: Beyond the Textbook*, Eds. K. Lindenberg, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin (World Scientific, 2019).
- [36] S. Rice, *Diffusion-Limited Reactions* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985).
- [37] R. Metzler, G. Oshanin, and S. Redner (Eds.) *First-Passage Phenomena and Their Applications* (Singapore: World Scientific, 2014).
- [38] K. Lindenberg, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin (Eds.) *Chemical Kinetics: Beyond the Textbook* (New Jersey: World Scientific, 2019).
- [39] D. A. Lauffenburger and J. Linderman, *Receptors: Models for Binding, Trafficking, and Signaling* (Oxford University Press, 1993).
- [40] J.-P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, “Anomalous diffusion in disordered media: Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications” *Phys. Rep.* **195**, 127-293 (1990).
- [41] D. S. Grebenkov, “NMR Survey of Reflected Brownian Motion”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 1077-1137 (2007).
- [42] O. Bénichou, C. Loverdo, M. Moreau, and R. Voituriez, “Intermittent search strategies”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 81-130 (2011).
- [43] P. C. Bressloff and J. M. Newby, “Stochastic models of intracellular transport”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 135-196 (2013).
- [44] A. J. Bray, S. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, “Persistence and First-Passage Properties in Non-equilibrium Systems”, *Adv. Phys.* **62**, 225-361 (2013).
- [45] O. Bénichou and R. Voituriez, “From first-passage times of random walks in confinement to geometry-controlled kinetics”, *Phys. Rep.* **539**, 225-284 (2014).
- [46] D. S. Grebenkov, “Paradigm shift in diffusion-mediated surface phenomena” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 078102 (2020).
- [47] P. Lévy, *Processus Stochastiques et Mouvement Brownien* (Paris, Gauthier-Villard, 1965).
- [48] K. Ito and H. P. McKean, *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965).
- [49] M. Freidlin, *Functional Integration and Partial Differential Equations* (Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1985).
- [50] A. N. Borodin and P. Salminen, *Handbook of Brownian Motion: Facts and Formulae* (Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1996).

- [51] L. Takacs, “On the local time of the Brownian motion”, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5**, 741 (1995).
- [52] J. Randon-Furling and S. Redner, “Residence time near an absorbing set”, *J. Stat. Mech.* 103205 (2018).
- [53] D. S. Grebenkov, “Probability distribution of the boundary local time of reflected Brownian motion in Euclidean domains”, *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 062110 (2019).
- [54] D. S. Grebenkov, “Diffusion toward non-overlapping partially reactive spherical traps: fresh insights onto classic problems,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 244108 (2020).
- [55] D. S. Grebenkov, “Spectral theory of imperfect diffusion-controlled reactions on heterogeneous catalytic surfaces”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 104108 (2019).
- [56] D. S. Grebenkov, *Partially Reflected Brownian Motion: A Stochastic Approach to Transport Phenomena*, in “Focus on Probability Theory”, Ed. L. R. Velle, pp. 135-169 (Nova Science Publishers, 2006).
- [57] D. S. Grebenkov, “Scaling Properties of the Spread Harmonic Measures”, *Fractals* **14**, 231-243 (2006).
- [58] C. L. Epstein and J. Schotland, “The Bad Truth about Laplace’s Transform”, *SIAM Rev.* **50**, 504-520 (2008).
- [59] S. B. Yuste, E. Abad, and K. Lindenberg, “Exploration and trapping of mortal random walkers”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 220603 (2013).
- [60] B. Meerson and S. Redner, “Mortality, redundancy, and diversity in stochastic search”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 198101 (2015).
- [61] D. S. Grebenkov and J.-F. Rupprecht, “The escape problem for mortal walkers”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **146**, 084106 (2017).
- [62] R. K. M. Thambayagam, *The Diffusion Handbook: Applied Solutions for Engineers* (New York: McGraw-Hill Education, 2011).
- [63] D. S. Grebenkov, “A physicist’s guide to explicit summation formulas involving zeros of Bessel functions and related spectral sums” (submitted; available online arXiv:1904.11190v2)
- [64] D. S. Grebenkov, “Surface Hopping Propagator: An Alternative Approach to Diffusion-Influenced Reactions” (accepted to *Phys. Rev. E*)
- [65] L. Debnath, “The Double Laplace Transforms and Their Properties with Applications to Functional, Integral and Partial Differential Equations”, *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.* **2**, 223-241 (2016).
- [66] O. Bénichou and R. Voituriez, “Narrow-Escape Time Problem: Time Needed for a Particle to Exit a Confining Domain through a Small Window”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 168105 (2008).
- [67] O. Bénichou, C. Chevalier, J. Klafter, B. Meyer, and R. Voituriez, “Geometry-controlled kinetics”, *Nature Chem.* **2**, 472-477 (2010).
- [68] J.-F. Rupprecht, O. Bénichou, S. G. Grebenkov, and R. Voituriez, “Exit time distribution in spherically symmetric two-dimensional domains”, *J. Stat. Phys.* **158**, 192-230 (2015).
- [69] A. Godec and R. Metzler, “Universal Proximity Effect in Target Search Kinetics in the Few-Encounter Limit”, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041037 (2016).
- [70] A. Godec and R. Metzler, “First passage time distribution in heterogeneity controlled kinetics: going beyond the mean first passage time”, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 20349 (2016).
- [71] D. S. Grebenkov, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin, “Towards a full quantitative description of single-molecule reaction kinetics in biological cells,” *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **20**, 16393-16401 (2018).
- [72] T. Agranov and B. Meerson, “Narrow Escape of Interacting Diffusing Particles”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 120601 (2018).
- [73] Y. Lanoiselée, N. Moutal, and D. S. Grebenkov, “Diffusion-limited reactions in dynamic heterogeneous media”, *Nature Commun.* **9**, 4398 (2018).
- [74] O. Artime, N. Khalil, R. Toral, and M. San Miguel, “First-passage distributions for the one-dimensional Fokker-Planck equation”, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 042143 (2018).
- [75] D. S. Grebenkov and L. Tupikina, “Heterogeneous continuous-time random walks”, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 012148 (2018).
- [76] N. Levernier, M. Dolgushev, O. Bénichou, R. Voituriez, and T. Guérin, “Survival probability of stochastic processes beyond persistence exponents”, *Nature Comm.* **10**, 2990 (2019).
- [77] D. S. Grebenkov, R. Metzler, and G. Oshanin, “Full distribution of first exit times in the narrow escape problem,” *New J. Phys.* **21**, 122001 (2019).
- [78] D. S. Grebenkov, “A unifying approach to first-passage time distributions in diffusing diffusivity and switching diffusion models”, *J. Phys. A: Theor. Math.* **52**, 174001 (2019).
- [79] S. D. Lawley, “Distribution of extreme first passage times of diffusion”, *J. Math. Biol.* **80**, 2301-2325 (2020).
- [80] C. H. Bartholomew, “Mechanisms of catalyst deactivation”, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **212**, 17-60 (2001).
- [81] M. Filoche, D. S. Grebenkov, J. S. Andrade Jr., and B. Sapoval, “Passivation of Irregular Surfaces Accessed by Diffusion”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 7636-7640 (2008).
- [82] V. G. Papanicolaou, “The probabilistic solution of the third boundary value problem for second order elliptic equations”, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **87**, 27-77 (1990).
- [83] R. F. Bass, K. Burdzy, and Z.-Q. Chen, “On the Robin problem in Fractal Domains”, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **96**, 273-311 (2008).
- [84] M. D. Donsker and S. R. S. Varadhan, “Asymptotic evaluation of certain markov process expectations for large time, II”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**, 279-301 (1975).
- [85] F. Angeletti and H. Touchette, “Diffusions conditioned on occupation measures”, *J. Math. Phys.* **57**, 023303 (2016).