



COMPLEXITY OF DECIDING WHETHER A TROPICAL LINEAR PREVARIETY IS A TROPICAL VARIETY

Dima Grigoriev, Nicolai Vorobjov

► To cite this version:

Dima Grigoriev, Nicolai Vorobjov. COMPLEXITY OF DECIDING WHETHER A TROPICAL LINEAR PREVARIETY IS A TROPICAL VARIETY. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 2019, 10.1007/s00200-019-00407-w . hal-03047290

HAL Id: hal-03047290

<https://hal.science/hal-03047290>

Submitted on 8 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

COMPLEXITY OF DECIDING WHETHER A TROPICAL LINEAR PREVARIETY IS A TROPICAL VARIETY

DIMA GRIGORIEV AND NICOLAI VOROBJOV

ABSTRACT. We give an algorithm, with a singly exponential complexity, deciding whether a tropical linear *prevariety* is a tropical linear *variety*. The algorithm relies on a criterion to be a tropical linear variety in terms of a duality between the tropical orthogonalization A^\perp and the double tropical orthogonalization $A^{\perp\perp}$ of a subset A of the vector space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$. We also give an example of a countable family of tropical hyperplanes such that their intersection is not a tropical prevariety.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we use the operation A^\perp of tropical orthogonalization, applied to a subset A of a vector space $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$, and its iteration, $A^{\perp\perp}$, to formulate a criterion and an algorithm, deciding whether a tropical linear *prevariety* is a tropical linear *variety*.

General concepts of tropical algebra can be found in [20, 25, 29]. Specific questions of tropical linear algebra were considered in [6, 7, 30, 31].

In Section 1 we list basic definitions, including the concept of a *tropical linear hull* of a subset in $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$. We recall a theorem, proved in [3, 9], stating that any tropical linear prevariety is the tropical linear hull of a finite set of vectors. We list some properties of double orthogonalization, in particular, we prove that $A^{\perp\perp}$ is the minimal tropical linear prevariety containing the finite set A . Further in Section 1, we recall a theorem, implicitly proved in [30] (see also [9] and [27]), stating that for two mutually complementary and orthogonal linear subspaces P and Q of the vector space $(\mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})))^n$ over Puiseux series, there exists a finite set $A \subset (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ such that tropicalizations of P and Q coincide with A^\perp and $A^{\perp\perp}$ respectively. This theorem is essential for the main result in Section 3, and for reader's convenience we present its new short proof.

Sections 2 and 3 contain descriptions of some algorithms and estimates of their complexities. Each of these algorithms can, in principle, be modelled by a Turing machine, and complexity is understood in terms of its steps, i.e., as bit complexity.

In Section 2 we describe an algorithm, with singly exponential complexity in the number of variables, which for a given (classical) algebraic set $V \subset (\mathbb{C}((t^{1/\infty})))^n$ and a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ decides whether or not \mathbf{x} belongs to the tropicalization of V , and, if it does, produces a lifting of \mathbf{x} in V . This algorithm serves as a key subroutine for algorithms in Section 3, but also is of independent interest. An algorithm for the same problem follows from [18] and apparently has doubly exponential complexity bound in the number of variables.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 14T05

Key words and phrases. Tropical linear prevariety, Tropical variety, Complexity.

Section 3 contains the main result of the paper: a criterion and deciding algorithms for a tropical linear prevariety to be a tropical linear variety. We propose two deciding algorithms. One has the complexity exponential in bit-sizes of rational generators of the tropical linear hull representing tropical linear prevariety and singly exponential in the number of variables. Another algorithm is polynomial in bit-size of generators. Its complexity also depends on the complexity of computing of a tropical basis (see [2, 17, 22, 23]) of a system of (classical) multivariate polynomial equations. Apparently, the latter complexity is doubly exponential in the number of variables, in which case the complexity of our second algorithm is also doubly exponential in the number of variables.

We also describe an algorithm which for a given tropical linear variety A^\perp produces a linear subspace P whose tropicalization coincides with A^\perp . This algorithm has a singly exponential complexity in the number of variables.

In Section 4 we give an example of a countable family of tropical hyperplanes in $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^6$ such that their intersection is not a tropical prevariety. This strengthens examples in [8] (example of T. Theobald) and [16] about countable intersections of non-linear tropical hypersurfaces.

The extended abstract of this paper appeared in [15].

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Tropical linear prevarieties and tropical linear hulls. We use the notation \mathbb{R}_∞ for $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We assume that for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the rules $a < \infty$, $a + \infty = \infty$, $\infty + \infty = \infty$, and, for positive a , $a \cdot \infty = \infty$ hold. The element ∞ is a “tropical zero”, being the neutral element with respect to taking minimum.

Definition 1.1 ([7], [25]). For a given $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, a *tropical hyperplane* in \mathbb{R}_∞^n is the set of all points $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ at which the set $\{x_1 + a_1, \dots, x_n + a_n\}$ has at least two minimal elements. A *tropical linear prevariety* in \mathbb{R}_∞^n is the intersection of a finite number of tropical hyperplanes.

Remark 1.2. The point (∞, \dots, ∞) belongs to every tropical linear prevariety. A tropical hyperplane according to Definition 1.1 corresponds to the notion of a codimension one linear subspace in classical linear algebra. It can be identified with a special case, when $a_{n+1} = \infty$, of a more general notion of a tropical hyperplane, defined as a set of all points $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ at which a set $\{x_1 + a_1, \dots, x_n + a_n, a_{n+1}\}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}_\infty$, $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, has at least two minimal elements.

Definition 1.3 ([7], [25]). Vectors $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ are called *tropically orthogonal* if among numbers $v_i + a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ there are at least two minimal. Note that (∞, \dots, ∞) is tropically orthogonal to every vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$. For a set of vectors $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ denote by A^\perp the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}_∞^n tropically orthogonal to each \mathbf{a}_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

It is clear that $\{\mathbf{a}\}^\perp$ is a tropical hyperplane for a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, while A^\perp is a tropical linear prevariety when $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ is finite. Conversely, every tropical linear prevariety in \mathbb{R}_∞^n coincides with A^\perp for a suitable finite set of vectors $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$.

Definition 1.4 ([7], [25]). For a finite set of vectors $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ define its *tropical linear hull* $\text{Trophull}(A)$ as the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}_∞^n of the kind

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{t_i \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{a}_i\},$$

where t_1, \dots, t_k are arbitrary elements in \mathbb{R}_∞ , $\min_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ denotes the component-wise minimum of a set of vectors, and $\mathbf{1}_n = (1, \dots, 1)$ is the unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n . For an arbitrary subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ define $\text{Trophull}(X)$ as the union of sets $\text{Trophull}(A)$ over all finite subsets $A \subset X$.

Note that $\text{Trophull}(A)$ is the direct tropical analogy of the concept of *linear hull* of a finite set of vectors in classical linear algebra. It always contains the point $(\infty, \dots, \infty) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, because all t_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ can be chosen to be ∞ .

Definition 1.5. For every partition $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cup \{i_{p+1}, \dots, i_n\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ a *chart* in \mathbb{R}_∞^n is an open convex polyhedron

$$C_{i_1, \dots, i_p} := \{x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_p} = \infty\} \cap \{x_{i_{p+1}} < \infty\} \cap \dots \cap \{x_{i_n} < \infty\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n.$$

Clearly, \mathbb{R}_∞^n is the union of all 2^n pair-wise disjoint charts.

One can extend the standard concepts of a convex polyhedron and a finite polyhedral complex to the case of the subsets of the space \mathbb{R}_∞^n (see [9]). Restriction of a convex polyhedron $P \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ to a chart C_{i_1, \dots, i_p} coincides with a usual convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^{n-p} translated by a vector in $\{0, \infty\}^{n-p}$ with ∞ in positions i_1, \dots, i_p . Hence, P is a finite union of translated usual convex polyhedra, and we define the dimension $\dim(P)$ as the maximum of the dimensions of restrictions of P to all charts. The dimension of a finite polyhedral complex is defined as the maximum of dimensions of its convex polyhedra.

The following theorem directly follows from [9, Theorem 1] (part (2) of the theorem, except the complexity bound, was proved earlier in [3, Proposition 2]).

Let $A = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ be a set of vectors.

Theorem 1.6. (1) *The set $\text{Trophull}(A)$ is a union of all convex polyhedra of a polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}_∞^n .*

(2) *For the tropical linear prevariety $A^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ there exists a finite set of vectors $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ such that $A^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\})$. Moreover, there is an algorithm which for a given set $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k\}$ of vectors in \mathbb{Q}_∞^n , with bit-sizes of coordinates not exceeding L , computes the set $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_\infty^n$. The complexity of this algorithm is polynomial in L and n^k . Bit-sizes of coordinates of the computed vectors $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N$ do not exceed $L + \log k$, while $N = O(n^k)$.*

Corollary 1.7. *Every tropical linear prevariety $A^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ is the union of all convex polyhedra of a polyhedral complex in \mathbb{R}_∞^n .*

Theorem 1.6, (2) states that any tropical linear prevariety $A^\perp = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ coincides with the tropical linear hull of a finite subset of its vectors. This is not necessarily true for the restriction $A^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ (see Example 1.11 below).

1.2. Dual tropical linear prevarieties. We extend the operation X^\perp , introduced in Definition 1.3, so that it can be applied to arbitrary (not necessarily finite) subsets $X \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$. Namely, denote by X^\perp the set of all vectors in \mathbb{R}_∞^n orthogonal to each $\mathbf{a} \in X$. We will use notations $X^{\perp\perp} := (X^\perp)^\perp$ and $X^{\perp\perp\perp} := (X^{\perp\perp})^\perp$.

Remark 1.8. Observe that by the definition, for a finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, the set $A^{\perp\perp}$ is an intersection of an infinite number of tropical hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}_∞^n . As we will show in Section 4 below, not every intersection of even countable number of tropical hyperplanes is a union of cells of a finite polyhedral complex, let alone

tropical linear prevariety. However, in the special case of a finite A , the set $A^{\perp\perp}$ is a tropical linear prevariety (Proposition 1.10).

Lemma 1.9. *For any subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ we have:*

- (1) $\text{Trophull}(X) \subset X^{\perp\perp}$;
- (2) $X^\perp = X^{\perp\perp\perp}$.

Proof. (1) Directly follows from definitions.

(2) Inclusions $X \subset X^{\perp\perp}$ and $X^\perp \subset X^{\perp\perp\perp}$ are trivial. The first of these inclusions implies that every $\mathbf{x} \in X^{\perp\perp\perp}$ is orthogonal to every $\mathbf{y} \in X$. Hence $\mathbf{x} \in X^\perp$. \square

Proposition 1.10. *Let A be a finite set of vectors in \mathbb{R}_∞^n . Then $A^{\perp\perp}$ is the minimal (with respect to the subset relation) tropical linear prevariety containing A .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.6, $A^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\})$ for some $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, hence $A^{\perp\perp} = (\text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}))^\perp$. According to [6, 7],

$$(\text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}))^\perp = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}^\perp,$$

which implies that $A^{\perp\perp}$ is a tropical linear prevariety.

Let C be any tropical linear prevariety containing A . Then $C = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_M\}^\perp$ for some vectors $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_M \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$. Since $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_M \in A^\perp$, we get the inclusion $A^{\perp\perp} \subset \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_M\}^\perp = C$. Because $A \subset A^{\perp\perp}$ (cf. proof of Lemma 1.9 (2)), we conclude that $A^{\perp\perp}$ is the minimal tropical linear prevariety containing A . \square

Lemma 12 in [14] (also Theorem 4.2 in [6]) implies that $\dim(A^\perp) + \dim(A^{\perp\perp}) \geq n$ for finite $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$. The following example shows that the equality $\dim(A^\perp) = \dim(A^{\perp\perp}) = n - 1$ is possible for some $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, which does not happen in classical linear algebra.

Example 1.11 (cf. [14]). Let $A_0 = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$, where

$$\mathbf{a}_i = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_i, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \text{ and } \mathbf{a}_{n-1} = (1, \dots, 1, 0, 0).$$

It is easy to see that every vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_0^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ should have minimal elements x_{n-1}, x_n , and, conversely, every vector \mathbf{x} with minimal elements x_{n-1}, x_n is in A_0^\perp . Therefore,

$$A_0^\perp = \{t\mathbf{1}_n + (c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}, 0, 0) \mid \text{for all } 0 \leq c_i \in \mathbb{R}_\infty \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}_\infty\}.$$

From the example, we see that every vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A_0^{\perp\perp} \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ should have minimal elements y_{n-1}, y_n , and, conversely, every vector \mathbf{y} with minimal elements y_{n-1}, y_n is in $A_0^{\perp\perp}$. It follows that $A_0^{\perp\perp} = A_0^\perp$. Note that $\dim(A_0^\perp) = \dim(A_0^{\perp\perp}) = n - 1$.

For another property of this example, observe that

$$A_0^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n-1}\}),$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}_i = (\underbrace{\infty, \dots, \infty}_i, 0, \infty, \dots, \infty, 0, 0) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \text{ and } \mathbf{b}_{n-1} = (\infty, \dots, \infty, 0, 0).$$

On the other hand, take $n = 3$ in the example. For any finite set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N\} \subset A_0^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$A_0^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^3 \neq \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N\}) \cap \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Indeed, assume, for contradiction, that there is a set of vectors $V = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_N\}$ such that $A_0^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Trophull}(V) \cap \mathbb{R}^3$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\mathbf{v}_j = (c_j, 0, 0)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N$, where $c_j \geq 0$. Denoting $M := \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \{c_j\}$, we consider the vector $(M+1, 0, 0) \in A_0^\perp \cap \mathbb{R}^3$ and prove that it is not in $\text{Trophull}(V)$. Indeed, if

$$(1.1) \quad (M+1, 0, 0) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq N} (t_j \mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{v}_j)$$

for some t_1, \dots, t_N , then, considering either second or third coordinate, we conclude that every $t_j \geq 0$ and at least one of them, $t_{j_0} = 0$. It follows that the first coordinate of the vector $\min_{1 \leq j \leq N} (t_j \mathbf{1}_3 + \mathbf{v}_j)$ in (1.1) is at most c_{j_0} , which is less than $M+1$.

1.3. Tropicalization of linear subspaces. Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and \mathbb{F} denote the field $K((t^{1/\infty}))$ of Puiseux series over K . For an element $y \in \mathbb{F}$ different from 0, let $\text{val}(y) \in \mathbb{Q}$ denote the *valuation* of the element y in \mathbb{F} , i.e., the power in the lowest term of the Puiseux series y . Separately define $\text{val}(0) = \infty$.

Definition 1.12 (cf. [25]). Let $f := a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n$, where $0 \neq a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The *formal tropicalization* of the hyperplane $\{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ is the tropical hyperplane, $\text{Tropf}(\{f = 0\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, defined by the set $\{y_1 + \text{val}(a_1), \dots, y_n + \text{val}(a_n)\}$ (see Definition 1.1).

By Kapranov's Theorem [25, Theorem 3.1.3], $\text{Tropf}(\{f = 0\})$ coincides with the (Euclidean) closure in \mathbb{R}^n of the countable set

$$\{(\text{val}(x_1), \dots, \text{val}(x_n)) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{f = 0\} \cap (\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\})^n\}.$$

The following definition is “dual” to Definition 1.5.

Definition 1.13. For every partition $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \cup \{i_{r+1}, \dots, i_n\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ a *chart* in \mathbb{F}^n is a set

$$D_{i_1, \dots, i_r} := \{x_{i_1} = \dots = x_{i_r} = 0\} \cap \{x_{i_{r+1}} \neq 0\} \cap \dots \cap \{x_{i_n} \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{F}^n.$$

For any $X \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ we obviously have

$$X = \bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}} (X \cap D_{i_1, \dots, i_r}),$$

where the union is taken over all subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 1.14 (cf. [25]). The *tropicalization* $\text{Trop}(X \cap D_{i_1, \dots, i_r})$ of $X \cap D_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$ is the set of all points $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ such that $y_{i_1} = \dots = y_{i_r} = \infty$ and $(y_{i_{r+1}}, \dots, y_{i_n})$ belongs to the Euclidean closure in \mathbb{R}^{n-r} of the set

$$\{(\text{val}(x_{i_{r+1}}), \dots, \text{val}(x_{i_n})) \mid (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X \cap D_{i_1, \dots, i_r}\}.$$

The *tropicalization* $\text{Trop}(X)$ of X is defined as $\bigcup_{\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}} \text{Trop}(X \cap D_{i_1, \dots, i_r})$.

Remark 1.15. Definition 1.14 immediately implies that for any two sets $X, Y \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ there is the inclusion $\text{Trop}(X \cap Y) \subset \text{Trop}(X) \cap \text{Trop}(Y)$. The inverse inclusion \supset is not generally true even for linear subspaces.

Let $P \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be a linear subspace, with $\dim(P) = d$, and $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_d \in P$ be a basis of P . Recall that *Plücker coordinates* of P in the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(d, \mathbb{F}^n)$ are all $(d \times d)$ -minors p_{j_1, \dots, j_d} of the matrix with rows $\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_d$, corresponding to the columns $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_d \leq n$. Any $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in P$ satisfies the relation

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d+1} (-1)^i p_{j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{d+1}} z_{j_i} = 0,$$

for every subset of columns $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{d+1} \leq n$. Note that the relations in (1.2) are independent of the choice of a basis in P .

Denote the set of points \mathbf{z} satisfying (1.2) by $P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$.

The following statement is a strengthening for \mathbb{R}_∞ of [30, Proposition 4.2] (also [25, Theorem 4.3.17]), and can be proved analogously.

Lemma 1.16.

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Trop}(P) = \bigcap_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}).$$

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be a linear subspace orthogonal to P with $\dim(Q) = n - d$. According to [2, 30], the tropicalizations $\text{Trop}(P)$ and $\text{Trop}(Q)$ are tropically orthogonal, with $\dim(\text{Trop}(P)) = d$ and $\dim(\text{Trop}(Q)) = n - d$.

The following theorem implicitly appears in [30]. Formulated in the language of valuated matroids, an analogous statement can be found in [27]. To make our exposition closed, for reader's convenience, we give another proof, using the technique of Plücker relations.

Theorem 1.17. *There is a finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ such that $\text{Trop}(P) = A^\perp$ and $\text{Trop}(Q) = A^{\perp\perp}$.*

Proof. Consider the relations (1.2) for the subspace P . For every subset $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{d+1} \leq n$ introduce a vector $\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that its j_i -coordinate is $(-1)^i p_{j_1, \dots, j_{i-1}, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d+1$, and all the rest of coordinates are equal to 0. Then every vector in $P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ is orthogonal to the vector $\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$, hence every vector in $\bigcap_{\{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}\}} P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ is orthogonal to vectors $\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ for all subsets $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{d+1} \leq n$. By $\text{val}(\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}})$ denote the vector in \mathbb{R}_∞^n obtained from $\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ by taking $\text{val}(\cdot)$ of all non-zero coordinates and replacing all zero coordinates by ∞ . As A take the set of all vectors $\text{val}(\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}) \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ for all $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{d+1} \leq n$. By Definition 1.12,

$$A^\perp = \bigcap_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_d \leq n} \bigcup_I \text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \cap D_I),$$

where the union is taken over all $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ and D_I are the corresponding charts in \mathbb{F}^n . By Kapranov's Theorem, $\text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \cap D_I) = \text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \cap D_I)$, while, by Definition 1.14, $\bigcup_I \text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}} \cap D_I) = \text{Trop}(P_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}})$. Then, by Lemma 1.16, $A^\perp = \text{Trop}(P)$.

Since the linear subspaces P and Q are orthogonal, for every $\mathbf{y} \in Q$ we have $P \subset \{\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{z} = 0\}$. Tropicalizing both sides of this inclusion, we conclude that $\text{Trop}(Q)$ and $\text{Trop}(P)$ are tropically orthogonal. Hence, $\text{Trop}(Q) \subset (\text{Trop}(P))^\perp = A^{\perp\perp}$. Vectors $\mathbf{p}_{j_1, \dots, j_{d+1}}$ lie in Q , since they are orthogonal to P . It follows that $A \subset \text{Trop}(Q)$. By Proposition 1.10, $A^{\perp\perp} \subset \text{Trop}(Q)$, since $\text{Trop}(Q)$ is a tropical linear prevariety. We conclude that $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trop}(Q)$. \square

Corollary 1.18. *Let $P, Q \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be orthogonal complements of one another and $\text{Trop}(P) = A^\perp$. Then $\text{Trop}(Q) = A^{\perp\perp}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.17, there is a finite subset $B \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^n$ such that $\text{Trop}(P) = B^\perp$ and $\text{Trop}(Q) = B^{\perp\perp}$. Since $\text{Trop}(P) = A^\perp$, we get $A^\perp = B^\perp$, hence $\text{Trop}(Q) = A^{\perp\perp}$. \square

Remark 1.19. Corollary 1.18 implies, in particular, that in Example 1.11 the tropical linear prevariety A_0^\perp is not a tropical linear variety.

2. TESTING MEMBERSHIP IN A TROPICAL VARIETY AND COMPUTING A LIFTING

For computational purposes, from now on we will assume that the field $K = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, thus $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}((t^{1/\infty}))$ is the field of formal Puiseux series in a variable t with complex algebraic coefficients.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{x}$. Then \mathbf{v} is called a *lifting* of \mathbf{x} .

In this section we describe an algorithm for testing membership of a given point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ in a tropical variety. If \mathbf{x} does belong to the variety, the algorithm computes its lifting. The complexity of the algorithm is singly exponential in n .

For elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$ that are algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ let η be the primitive element of the algebraic extension $\mathbb{Q}(t)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of the field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, hence $\mathbb{Q}(t)(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \mathbb{Q}(t)[\eta]$ (see [24, Theorem 4.6]). The primitive element η is defined (up to a conjugacy class) by its minimal (irreducible) polynomial $\psi \in \mathbb{Z}[t][Z]$, i.e., $\psi(\eta) = 0$. Then $\alpha_i = \zeta_i(\eta)$ for a polynomial $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{Q}(t)[Z]$, for every $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Consider (classical) polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, where the set of all coefficients $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$ of f_1, \dots, f_k is represented, as above, via a primitive element. Let $V(f_1, \dots, f_k) := \{f_1 = \dots = f_k = 0\} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$. We describe an algorithm, with singly exponential complexity, which for given f_1, \dots, f_k and a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ decides whether or not \mathbf{x} belongs to $\text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k))$ the algorithm produces a lifting of \mathbf{x} (see Definition 2.1). According to [13], this computational problem is NP-hard.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, performing if necessary the coordinate transformation $X_i \rightarrow X_i t^{-x_i}$ in polynomials f_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$. We keep the same notation, f_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, for polynomials appearing after the change of coordinates.

The rest of the algorithm consists of three parts. Firstly, it reduces testing whether $\mathbf{0}$ belongs to $\text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k))$ to testing whether $\mathbf{0}$ lies in the tropicalization of one of 0-dimensional algebraic sets. Next, the algorithm does the latter testing. Finally, in the case of positive result, it constructs the lifting of $\mathbf{0}$.

2.1. Reduction to 0-dimensional algebraic sets. Applying algorithms from [4, 11], represent $V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ as the finite union of its irreducible components over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, $V(f_1, \dots, f_k) = \bigcup_\nu V_\nu$. Each irreducible component is represented in two forms: by a system of equations with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and by a generic point (a point such that the field generated by its coordinates has the transcendence degree, over the field generated by the coefficients of the equations, equal to the dimension of the component). More precisely, a generic point of an irreducible component V_ν , with $\dim(V_\nu) = s$, is represented as follows. Among coordinates X_1, \dots, X_n a transcendence basis is chosen, let it be X_1, \dots, X_s . Then coordinates X_{s+1}, \dots, X_n are

algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_s)(t)$, and algorithms from [4, 11] describe the primitive element μ of the extension $\mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_n)(t)$ as $\mu = \lambda_{s+1}X_{s+1} + \dots + \lambda_n X_n$ for positive integers $\lambda_{s+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$, and by its minimal polynomial $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_s)(t)[Z]$. Each coordinate X_i , $s+1 \leq i \leq n$ is then represented by a polynomial expression in μ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_s)(t)$.

Let Y_0, \dots, Y_n be new variables. Fix a component V_ν with $\dim(V_\nu) > 0$, and consider the algebraic set \tilde{V}_ν defined by the same equations as V_ν over the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)((t^{1/\infty}))$. Let

$$\tilde{W}_\nu := \tilde{V}_\nu \cap \left\{ Y_0 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i X_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Then [18, Proposition 4.6] (see also [28, Theorem 2.7.8], [19, Theorem 3.5]) implies that if $\mathbf{0} \in \text{Trop}(V_\nu)$, then $\mathbf{0} \in \text{Trop}(\tilde{W}_\nu)$. The converse statement is obvious.

Let $\dim(V_\nu) = s$. Introducing new sets of variables, $Y_{p,q}$, $0 \leq q \leq n$, where $1 \leq p \leq s$, and adding equations $Y_{p,0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_{p,i} X_i = 0$ to the system of equations defining \tilde{V}_ν , we will obtain a system of equations defining a zero-dimensional algebraic set \tilde{U}_ν over the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{1 \leq p \leq s, 0 \leq q \leq n})((t^{1/\infty}))$. Find all points in \tilde{U}_ν using algorithms from [4, 11]. The algorithm will conclude that $\mathbf{0} \in \text{Trop}(V_\nu)$ if and only if for some point $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{U}_\nu$ its tropicalization is $\mathbf{0}$. We now describe how the algorithm checks the latter condition.

2.2. Testing existence of the lifting of a point in 0-dimensional algebraic set. Algorithms from [4, 11] represent each point in $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \tilde{U}_\nu$ as follows. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ the coordinate v_i is represented as $v_i = \xi_i(\theta)$, where θ is a root of an irreducible polynomial $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})(t)[Z]$, with $\deg_Z(\varphi) = r$, while the expression $\xi_i(\theta)$ is of the form

$$\xi_i(\theta) = \frac{1}{b_i} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < r} c_{i,\ell} \theta^\ell,$$

where $b_i, c_{i,\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}[\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q}](t)$. We can represent $\xi_i(\theta)$ in a form $\xi_i(\theta) = a_i + R(t)$, where $R(t) = o(1)$ is the series of terms containing t in positive degree, while a_i is the sum of terms of degrees in t at most 0.

More precisely, let α be the smallest integral (possibly negative) degree in t of the rational in t coefficients in the expression ξ_i . Let β be the degree of the first term in the Puiseux series $\theta = \theta(t)$. For a positive integer $\gamma \geq \beta$, let Θ be the sum of all consecutive terms in the Puiseux series θ starting with the term of degree β and ending with the term of the smallest degree not less than γ .

If $\beta > 0$, then choose γ to be the smallest integer such that $\alpha + \gamma \geq 0$. Then $\xi_i(\Theta)$ contains all terms in $\xi_i(\theta)$ having non-positive degrees in t .

If $\beta \leq 0$, then Θ^{r-1} contains the term with the degree not less than $\alpha + (r-2)\beta + \gamma$, hence choosing γ to be the smallest integer such that $\alpha + (r-2)\beta + \gamma \geq 0$, we guarantee that $\xi_i(\Theta)$ contains all terms in $\xi_i(\theta)$ having non-positive degrees in t .

Using the algorithm from [5], find sum Θ of all first consecutive terms in the Puiseux expansion of θ in t up to the term of the smallest degree not less than γ . Substituting Θ into ξ_i , we compute the sum a_i of terms in $\xi_i(\theta)$ of degrees in t at most 0. Let for each i , among the terms in a_i , the lowest degree in t be 0, i.e., a_i is

independent of t . Then we conclude that $\mathbf{0}$ belongs to $\text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If among the terms in a_i the lowest degree in t is different from 0 for some i , then $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) \neq \mathbf{0}$. If the latter condition holds for every point $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{U}_\nu$, then $\mathbf{0} \notin \text{Trop}(V_\nu)$.

2.3. Constructing the lifting of a point. To find a lifting of $\mathbf{0}$ (in case of a_i independent of t for all i), observe that for each i the element a_i belongs to a finite extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})$, and is defined by a polynomial expression via the primitive element of this extension, while the primitive element is defined by its minimal polynomial (cf. representation of algebraic elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ at the beginning of this section). All coefficients in the polynomial expressions and the minimal polynomial belong to $\mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})$. Choose a point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^{s(n+1)}$ such that

- (1) after the substitution \mathbf{y} in variables $\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q}$ neither of the denominators of these coefficients vanish;
- (2) after the substitution \mathbf{y} in variables $\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q}$ neither of the numerators at leading terms of minimal polynomials vanish.

Coordinates of \mathbf{y} can be taken to be non-negative integers not exceeding the degrees of the polynomials mentioned in (1), (2). Thus, one can estimate the complexity of choosing point \mathbf{y} by the bound on the degrees of these polynomials which is provided below.

The condition (2) implies that after the substitution of \mathbf{y} in $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{s(n+1)})$ each minimal polynomial may become reducible but its degree does not change.

Recall that each coordinate v_i in $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \tilde{U}_\nu$ is represented by polynomials $\varphi, \xi_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})(t)[Z]$. Substituting in coefficients of these polynomials the vector of variables $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{s(n+1)})$ by \mathbf{y} , we obtain a representation of a point in $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}(t)})^n$ which is a lifting of $\mathbf{0}$. This ends the description of the algorithm.

2.4. Complexity of the algorithm. Let $d = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \deg_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(f_j)$ and δ be the maximum among the degrees in t of polynomials ψ, ζ_i , which define coefficients α_i of polynomials f_j . Let M be the maximum among the common denominator of rational numbers x_1, \dots, x_n and the absolute values of their numerators. By the Bezout Theorem, the degree of the algebraic set $V := V(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ does not exceed $D := d^n$. After the change of coordinates $X_i \rightarrow X_i t^{-x_i}$ in polynomials f_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, the bound δ will be multiplied a positive number not exceeding M^2 .

Algorithms in [4, 11], applied to intersections of the irreducible components of V (considered over the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})(t^{1/\infty})}$) with s hyperplanes

$$\left\{ Y_{p,0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_{p,i} X_i = 0 \right\},$$

produce a union of 0-dimensional irreducible (over $\mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})(t)$) algebraic sets (conjugacy classes) each defined by some polynomials

$$g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathbb{Q}(\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q})(t)[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

where $r \leq D^n$, of degrees at most D^{n^2} with respect to $O(n^2)$ variables $\{Y_{p,q}\}_{p,q}$, and with coefficients represented by univariate polynomials φ, ξ_i of degrees at most D^2 with respect to Z and at most $\delta M^2 D^{n^2}$ with respect to t . Complexity of the algorithm from [5], applied to polynomials φ, ξ_i , is polynomial in $\delta M D^{n^2}$ and bit-sizes of their rational coefficients.

As a result, the complexity of the algorithm is polynomial in bit-sizes of rational coefficients of polynomials ψ, ζ_i , which define coefficients α_i of the input polynomials f_j , in the number k of these polynomials, in δM , and in $D^{n^4} = d^{n^5}$.

We now summarise the results of this section.

Theorem 2.2. *There is an algorithm which for given polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ decides whether or not \mathbf{x} belongs to $\text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k)) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Trop}(V(f_1, \dots, f_k))$ the algorithm produces a lifting of \mathbf{x} .*

To describe the complexity, let $d = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \deg_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(f_j)$, let δ be the maximum among the degrees in t of polynomials ψ, ζ_i , which define coefficients α_i of polynomials f_j . Let M be the maximum among the common denominator of rational numbers x_1, \dots, x_n and absolute values of their numerators. Then the complexity of the algorithm is polynomial in bit-sizes of rational coefficients of ψ, ζ_i , in the number k of polynomials f_j , in δM , and in d^{n^5} .

Remark 2.3. The part of algorithm in the proof of Theorem 2.2, which checks whether $\mathbf{0}$ is a tropicalization of a point in \tilde{U}_ν , actually computes the tropicalization of the (0-dimensional) \tilde{U}_ν . Since the dimension of a (classical) algebraic set in \mathbb{F}^n coincides with the dimension of its tropicalization, the same procedure can be used to compute the tropicalization of any 0-dimensional algebraic set.

Remark 2.4. In case of tropical linear varieties ($d = 1$), a deciding algorithm, with complexity similar to the one in Theorem 2.2, is given in [13].

3. CRITERION AND DECIDING ALGORITHM FOR BEING A TROPICAL LINEAR VARIETY

Let $A \subset (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ be a set of k vectors with bit-sizes of coordinates not exceeding L . Since A^\perp and $A^{\perp\perp}$ are tropical linear prevarieties (see Proposition 1.10), Theorem 1.6 implies that

$$A^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\})$$

for some vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q \in (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$. Moreover, the algorithm, stated in Theorem 1.6, computes vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$, with complexity polynomial in L, n^k , and vectors $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q$ with complexity polynomial in L, n^{n^k} .

Theorem 3.1. *Let A^\perp and $A^{\perp\perp}$ be the tropical linear hulls of the points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$ and $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q$ respectively as above. The following three statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exist mutually complementary and orthogonal linear subspaces P, Q in \mathbb{F}^n such that $A^\perp = \text{Trop}(P)$, and $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trop}(Q)$ (in particular, $A^\perp, A^{\perp\perp}$ are tropical linear varieties).*
- (2) *There exist liftings*

$$\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q \in \mathbb{F}^n \quad \text{of vectors} \quad \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q \in (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$$

respectively, such that $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q$.

- (3) *A^\perp is a tropical linear variety.*

For the proof of this theorem we recall the following definition.

Definition 3.2 ([6]). A square $(r \times r)$ -matrix with elements $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_\infty$, $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, is called *tropically singular* if the minimum among

$$\{m_{1\sigma_1} + \cdots + m_{r\sigma_r} \mid \text{for all permutations } (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \text{ of } (1, \dots, r)\}$$

is attained on at least two elements, and *tropically non-singular* otherwise. The *tropical rank*, $\text{trk}(M)$, of a matrix M is the largest integer r such that this matrix has a non-singular $(r \times r)$ -submatrix.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Implication (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose there exist subspaces $P, Q \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ as in (1). Then arbitrary liftings $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p \in P$ and $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q \in Q$, of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$ and $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q$ respectively, satisfy (2).

We now prove implication (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let P (respectively, Q) be the linear hull of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ (respectively, of $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q$). Since $(\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, each vector $\mathbf{v} \in P$ is orthogonal to each vector \mathbf{w}_j , in particular, subspaces P and Q are orthogonal. Hence, $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) \perp \mathbf{y}_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq q$. Then $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) \perp \mathbf{y}$ for each $\mathbf{y} \in \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\}) = A^{\perp\perp}$, thus $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) \in A^{\perp\perp\perp}$. By Lemma 1.9, (2), this means $\text{Trop}(\mathbf{v}) \in A^\perp$, thus $\text{Trop}(P) \subset A^\perp$. Similarly, we can prove that $\text{Trop}(Q) \subset A^{\perp\perp}$.

We prove the inclusion $A^\perp \subset \text{Trop}(P)$ by restricting it to charts in \mathbb{R}_∞^n (see Definition 1.5). Choose a chart C_I in \mathbb{R}_∞^n , where $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, in \mathbb{R}^n , and the corresponding chart D_I in \mathbb{F}^n (see Definition 1.13).

Take $\mathbf{x} \in A^\perp \cap C_I$.

Consider first the case when $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is representable, via generators of A^\perp , as $\mathbf{x} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \{r_i \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{x}_i\}$ with $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, where the minimum is taken component-wise. Recall that P is the linear hull of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{F}^n$, let $\mathbf{v}_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in})$. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$ let $\alpha_{1j}, \dots, \alpha_{pj}$ be coefficients of lowest degrees in Puiseux series $v_{1j}t^{r_1}, \dots, v_{pj}t^{r_p}$ respectively. Choose $s_1, \dots, s_p \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $s_1\alpha_{1j} + \cdots + s_p\alpha_{pj} \neq 0$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then

$$\text{Trop}(s_1 v_{1j} t^{r_1} + \cdots + s_p v_{pj} t^{r_p}) = \min\{x_{1j} + r_1, \dots, x_{pj} + r_p\} = x_j,$$

i.e., $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Trop}(P \cap D_I)$.

In case when in the representation $\mathbf{x} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \{r_i \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{x}_i\}$ elements r_i belong to \mathbb{R} , consider a sequence of points $\left\{ \min_{1 \leq i \leq p} \{r_i^{(\ell)} \mathbf{1}_n + \mathbf{x}_i\} \right\}_{1 \leq \ell < \infty}$ in $A^\perp \cap C_I$ converging to \mathbf{x} , such that each $r^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Trop}(P \cap D_I)$, because $\text{Trop}(P \cap D_I)$ is closed.

Taking the union over all subsets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, in the inclusions $A^\perp \cap C_I \subset \text{Trop}(P \cap D_I)$ we get $A^\perp \subset \text{Trop}(P)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $A^{\perp\perp} \subset \text{Trop}(Q)$.

We conclude that $A^\perp = \text{Trop}(P)$ and $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trop}(Q)$.

We now prove that subspaces P and Q are mutually complementary. In [14] it is shown that $\dim(A^\perp) + \dim(A^{\perp\perp}) \geq n$. Hence, by [6, Theorem 4.2],

$$\text{trk}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}) + \text{trk}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\}) = \dim(A^\perp) + \dim(A^{\perp\perp}) \geq n.$$

On the other hand, [6] implies that

$$\text{rk}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p\}) \geq \text{trk}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p\}) \geq \text{trk}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\}).$$

It follows that $\text{rk}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p\}) + \text{rk}(\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_p\}) \geq n$, hence P and Q are mutually complementary, given that they are orthogonal.

Finally, implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial. To prove (3) \Rightarrow (1) notice that since there is a subspace $P \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\text{Trop}(P) = A^\perp$, by Corollary 1.18, $\text{Trop}(Q) = A^{\perp\perp}$ for the subspace Q complement orthogonal to P . \square

Corollary 3.3. *There is an algorithm which for given tropical linear prevarieties*

$$A^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}) \text{ and } A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\}),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q \in (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$, decides whether A^\perp is a tropical linear variety. The complexity of the algorithm is exponential in bit-sizes of rational coordinates of vectors $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, and in n, p, q .

Proof. The input of the algorithm under construction is the set

$$\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\} \subset (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n.$$

Consider, over $\mathbb{F}^* \cong \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, the system of equations

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} V_{i\nu} W_{j\nu} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$ such that $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty$ and $y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$ for all $1 \leq \nu \leq n$, where $(V_{i1}, \dots, V_{in}), (W_{j1}, \dots, W_{jn})$ are vectors of variables.

Consider vectors $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$ in the input, from which coordinates $x_{i\nu}$ and $y_{j\nu}$ with either $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ or $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ are removed. Applying Theorem 2.2, the algorithm checks whether there exist liftings of these vectors, satisfying the system of equations (3.1). If no, then A^\perp is not a tropical linear variety, by Theorem 3.1. Otherwise, let P be the linear hull of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ such that in every \mathbf{v}_i each coordinate corresponding to $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty$ is the lifting $v_{i\nu}$, while each coordinate corresponding to $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ is 0. Similarly, let Q be the linear hull of vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q$ such that in every \mathbf{w}_j each coordinate corresponding to $y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$ is the lifting $w_{j\nu}$, while each coordinate corresponding to $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ is 0. Then, by Theorem 3.1, P and Q are mutually complementary and orthogonal linear subspaces of \mathbb{F}^n , while $A^\perp = \text{Trop}(P)$ and $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trop}(Q)$. In particular, A^\perp and $A^{\perp\perp}$ are tropical linear varieties.

Theorem 2.2 implies that the complexity of the algorithm is exponential in bit-sizes of rational coordinates of vectors \mathbf{x}_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, \mathbf{y}_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, and in n, p, q . \square

Remark 3.4. There is an alternative algorithm for the problem described in Corollary 3.3. Using [2, 17] or [23], it constructs a tropical basis of the system of equations (3.1), which is a finite set of polynomials H_ℓ , with integer coefficients and $(p+q)n$ variables $V_{i\nu}, W_{j\nu}$ such that $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty$ and $y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$. (See a detailed definition and properties of a tropical basis in [25, Section 2.6].) The algorithm checks whether, for all H_ℓ , vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q$, from which coordinates $x_{i\nu}$ and $y_{j\nu}$ with either $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ or $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ are removed, satisfy tropicalizations $\text{Trop}(H_\ell)$. If yes, then, by the definition of tropical basis, there exist liftings $v_{i\nu}, w_{j\nu} \in \mathbb{F}^*$ of all $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty, y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$ respectively, which satisfy the system (3.1). Then the algorithm continues as the algorithm from Corollary 3.3. If vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q$ from which coordinates $x_{i\nu}$ and $y_{j\nu}$ with either $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ or $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ are removed, do not satisfy $\text{Trop}(H_\ell)$ for all H_ℓ , then A^\perp is not a tropical linear variety, by Theorem 3.1.

The complexity of this algorithm is polynomial in the bit-size of rational coordinates of vectors \mathbf{x}_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, \mathbf{y}_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$. The complexity also depends on the complexity of computing of a tropical basis for (3.1). Apparently, the latter

complexity is doubly exponential in n, p, q , though we are unaware of a proof of this bound in literature. Note that there is a doubly exponential upper bound on the degree of a tropical basis [22] (cf. also [26, 10]).

Corollary 3.5. *There is an algorithm which for tropical linear prevarieties*

$$A^\perp = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p\}) \text{ and } A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trophull}(\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q\}),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_q \in (\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\})^n$, produces bases of linear subspaces P and Q , such that $A^\perp = \text{Trop}(P)$ and $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{Trop}(Q)$, in case these subspaces exist. The complexity of the algorithm is exponential in bit-sizes of rational coordinates of vectors \mathbf{x}_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, \mathbf{y}_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, and in n, p, q .

Proof. Apply the algorithm from Theorem 2.2 to vectors $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, from which coordinates $x_{i\nu}$ and $y_{j\nu}$ with either $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ or $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ are removed, and to the system (3.1). The algorithm from Theorem 2.2 will either produce liftings $v_{i\nu}, w_{j\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^*$ of all $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty, y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$ respectively, which satisfy (3.1), or will indicate that liftings do not exist, i.e., vectors $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j$ with removed coordinates do not belong to the tropicalization of (3.1). If liftings exist, then P is the linear hull of vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ such that in every \mathbf{v}_i each coordinate corresponding to $x_{i\nu} \neq \infty$ is the lifting $v_{i\nu}$ of $x_{i\nu}$, while each coordinate corresponding to $x_{i\nu} = \infty$ is 0. Similarly, Q is the linear hull of vectors $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q$ such that in every \mathbf{w}_j each coordinate corresponding to $y_{j\nu} \neq \infty$ is the lifting $w_{j\nu}$ of $y_{j\nu}$, while each coordinate corresponding to $y_{j\nu} = \infty$ is 0. Herewith, all coordinates of vectors $\mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{w}_j$ are Puiseux series in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}((t^{1/\infty}))$ represented as algebraic elements over the field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, as described in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Choosing maximal linearly independent vectors among $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_p$ (respectively, among $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_q$), we get a basis of P (respectively, of Q).

Theorem 2.2 implies that the complexity of this algorithm is exponential in the bit-size of rational coordinates of vectors \mathbf{x}_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, \mathbf{y}_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, and exponential in n, p, q . \square

4. INFINITE INTERSECTIONS OF TROPICAL LINEAR PREVARIETIES

By the definition, the intersection of a finite number of tropical hyperplanes is a tropical linear prevariety. In this section we give an example of a *countable* family of tropical hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}_∞^6 such that their intersection is not a finite union of convex polyhedra, in particular, not a tropical prevariety. This strengthens examples in [8] (example of T. Theobald) and [16], in which intersections of a countable families of tropical (non-linear) prevarieties were shown not to be finite unions of convex polyhedra.

Choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of pair-wise distinct real numbers ε_i such that $0 < \varepsilon_i < 1/4$, and consider the tropical hyperplane $L_i \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^6$ defined by the set

$$\mathcal{L}_i(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2) := \{-i + x_1, -i + x_2, -i/2 - \varepsilon_i + y_1, -i/2 + y_2, z_1, z_2\}$$

(see Definition 1.1).

Let

$$M := \bigcap_{1 \leq i < \infty} L_i \subset \mathbb{R}_\infty^6.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The set $M \cap \mathbb{R}^6$ is not a finite union of convex polyhedra. In particular, M is not a tropical prevariety.*

Proof. Choose an integer $2 \leq j < \infty$ and consider the point

$$\mathbf{p}_j := (0, 0, -j/2 - 1/4 + \varepsilon_j, -j/2 - 1/4, -j, -j) \in \mathbb{R}^6.$$

A direct calculation shows that $\mathbf{p}_j \in M$ because minima in the set $\mathcal{L}_i(\mathbf{p}_j)$ are attained at

- coordinates x_1, x_2 if $i > j$,
- coordinates y_1, y_2 if $i = j$,
- coordinates z_1, z_2 if $i < j$.

Moreover, the same calculation shows that any point $\mathbf{p}_j + (\delta_1, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_3)$, for all sufficiently small positive $|\delta_1|, |\delta_2|, |\delta_3|$, also belongs to M . Hence, a neighbourhood of \mathbf{p}_j in M contains a 3-cube, denote it by C_j .

Conversely, a neighbourhood of \mathbf{p}_j in M is contained in C_j . Indeed, consider a point $\mathbf{q}_j \in M$ which is sufficiently close to \mathbf{p}_j in M . It can be represented as

$$\mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{p}_j + (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6) =$$

$$= (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -j/2 - 1/4 + \varepsilon_j + \alpha_3, -j/2 - 1/4 + \alpha_4, -j + \alpha_5, -j + \alpha_6)$$

for some small positive $|\alpha_\ell|$, $1 \leq \ell \leq 6$. Since $\mathbf{q}_j \in L_{j-1} \cap L_j \cap L_{j+1}$, we conclude that each of the following three sets has at least two minimal elements:

- (1) $\{1 + \alpha_1, 1 + \alpha_2, 1/4 + \alpha_3, 1/4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$,
- (2) $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -1/4 + \alpha_3, -1/4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$,
- (3) $\{-1 + \alpha_1, -1 + \alpha_2, -3/4 + \alpha_3, -3/4 + \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6\}$.

Since all $|\alpha_\ell|$ are small, minimal (hence equal) elements in (1) are α_5, α_6 , in (2) they are $-1/4 + \alpha_3, -1/4 + \alpha_4$, and in (3) they are $-1 + \alpha_1, -1 + \alpha_2$. It follows that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4$, and $\alpha_5 = \alpha_6$, hence $\mathbf{q}_j \in C_j$.

We have proved that the 3-cube C_j is a neighbourhood of \mathbf{p}_j in M . By a direct calculation, any two points of the kind

$$\mathbf{p}_i + (a_1, a_1, a_2, a_2, a_3, a_3) \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_j + (b_1, b_1, b_2, b_2, b_3, b_3),$$

where $i \neq j$ and all $a_\ell, b_\ell \neq \infty$, $1 \leq \ell \leq 3$, are distinct due to $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon_j$. This means that affine hulls of cubes C_i and C_j are disjoint in \mathbb{R}^6 for any two $i \neq j$, $2 \leq i, j < \infty$, and therefore, $M \cap \mathbb{R}^6$ is not a finite union of convex polyhedra. Since every tropical prevariety is a finite polyhedral complex (see, e.g., [25]), M is not a tropical prevariety. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Joswig, N. Kalinin, H. Markwig, and T. Theobald for useful discussions, and anonymous referees for constructive remarks and suggestions. Part of this research was carried out during our joint visit in September 2017 to the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics at Bonn University, under the program Applied and Computational Algebraic Topology, to which we are very grateful. D. Grigoriev was partly supported by the RSF grant 16-11-10075.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Akian, S. Gaubert, A. Guterman, Tropical polyhedra are equivalent to mean payoff games, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 22, 1 (2012), 43 pp.
- [2] T. Bogart, A. N. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, R.R. Thomas, Computing tropical varieties, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 42, 1–2 (2007) 54–73.
- [3] P. Butkovic, G. Hegedüs, An elimination method for finding all solutions of the system of linear equations over an extremal algebra, *Ekonom.-Mat. Obzor* 20 (1984) 203–214.

- [4] A. Chistov, An algorithm of polynomial complexity for factoring polynomials, and determination of the components of a variety in a subexponential time, *J.Soviet Math.*, 34 (1986) 1838–1882.
- [5] A. Chistov, Polynomial complexity of Newton-Puiseux algorithm, *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, 233 (1986) 247–255.
- [6] M. Develin, F. Santos, B. Sturmfels, On the rank of a tropical matrix, In: *Combinatorial and Computational Geometry*, MSRI Publications, v. 52 (2005).
- [7] M. Develin, B. Sturmfels, Tropical convexity, *Doc. Math.*, 9 (2004) 1–27.
- [8] A. Dress, W. Wenzel, Algebraic, tropical, and fuzzy geometry, *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* 52, 2 (2011) 431–461.
- [9] S. Gaubert, R.D. Katz, Minimal half-spaces and external representation of tropical polyhedra, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 33, 3 (2011) 325–348.
- [10] P. Görlach, Y. Ren, J. Sommars, Detecting tropical defects of polynomial equations, *arXiv:1809.03350*, 2018.
- [11] D. Grigoriev, Polynomial factoring over a finite field and solving systems of algebraic equations, *J. Soviet Math.*, 34 (1986) 1762–1803.
- [12] D. Grigoriev, Complexity of solving tropical linear systems, *Computational Complexity*, 22 (2013) 71–88.
- [13] D. Grigoriev, Polynomial complexity recognizing a tropical linear variety, *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, v. 9301 (2015) 152–157.
- [14] D. Grigoriev, V. Podolskii, Complexity of tropical and min-plus linear prevarieties, *Computational Complexity*, 24, 1 (2015) 31–64.
- [15] D. Grigoriev, N. Vorobjov, Orthogonal tropical linear prevarieties, in: *CASC 2018*, *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, v. 11077 (2018) 187–196.
- [16] D. Grigoriev, N. Vorobjov, Upper bounds on Betti numbers of tropical prevarieties, *Arnold Math. J.*, 4, 1 (2018) 127–136.
- [17] K. Hept, T. Theobald, Tropical bases by regular projections, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137, 7 (2009) 2233–2241.
- [18] A. Jensen, H. Markwig, T. Markwig, An algorithm for lifting points in a tropical variety, *Collect. Math.*, 59, 2 (2008) 129–165.
- [19] A. Jensen, J. Yu, Stable intersections of tropical varieties, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 43, 1 (2016) 101–128.
- [20] M. Joswig, *Essentials of tropical combinatorics*, Springer, 2014.
- [21] M. Joswig, The Cayley trick for tropical hypersurfaces with a view toward Ricardian economics, in: *Homological and Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra*, Springer INdAM Series, 20, Springer, 2017.
- [22] M. Joswig, B. Schröter, The degree of a tropical basis, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 146, 3 (2018) 961–970.
- [23] Ya. Kazarnovskii, A. G. Khovanskii, Tropical noetherity and Gröbner bases, *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 26, 5 (2015) 797–811.
- [24] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Springer, 2002.
- [25] D. Maclagan, B. Sturmfels, *Introduction to Tropical Geometry*, American Math. Society, 2015.
- [26] T. Markwig, Y. Ren, Computing Tropical Varieties Over Fields with Valuation, *Found. Comput. Math.*, (2019).
- [27] K. Murota, A. Tamura, On circuit valuation of matroids, *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 26 (2001) 192–225.
- [28] B. Osserman, S. Payne, Lifting tropical intersections, *Documenta Mathematica*, 18 (2013) 121–175.
- [29] J. Richter-Gebert, B. Sturmfels, T. Theobald, First steps in tropical geometry, In: G. Litvinov, V. Maslov (Eds.), *Idempotent Mathematics and Mathematical Physics* (Proceedings Vienna 2003), *Contemporary Mathematics*, 377, American Math. Society (2005) 289–317.
- [30] D. Speyer, Tropical linear spaces, *arXiv:0410455*, 2008.
- [31] J. Yu, D.S. Yuster, Representing tropical linear spaces by circuits, *The 19th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics*, 2007, *arXiv:0611579*, 2006.

CNRS, MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, 59655, FRANCE

Email address: `dmitry.grigoryev@math.univ-lille1.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, ENGLAND, UK

Email address: `nnv@cs.bath.ac.uk`