

# A review of high value-added molecules production by microalgae in light of the classification

Wendie Levasseur, Patrick Perre, Victor Pozzobon

# ► To cite this version:

Wendie Levasseur, Patrick Perre, Victor Pozzobon. A review of high value-added molecules production by microalgae in light of the classification. Biotechnology Advances, 2020, 41, pp.107545. 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107545. hal-03046788

# HAL Id: hal-03046788 https://hal.science/hal-03046788

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biotechnology Advances

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biotechadv

# Research review paper

# A review of high value-added molecules production by microalgae in light of the classification



LGPM, CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay, Centre Européen de Biotechnologie et de Bioéconomie (CEBB), SFR Condorcet FR CNRS 3417, 3 rue des Rouges Terres 51110 Pomacle, France

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
<i>Keywords:</i> Microalgae Applications Classification Bioactive compounds	This work reviews applications of high added value molecules produced from microalgae. Older forms of valorization - health food and quality feed, polyunsaturated fatty acids, pigments, carbohydrates - are currently penetrating their markets. They are driven by desirable properties: texturer and dye for food industry, anti-oxidant for cosmetics and the appetite of the general public for biosourced compounds. Most recent develop-ments, such as peptides, vitamins, polyphenols, phytosterols and phytohormones, are struggling to meet their market and reach economical competitiveness. Still they are pushed forward by the very powerful driver that is pharmaceutical industry. In addition this work also proposes to link microalgae phyla and related potential applications. This is done through highlighting of which bioactive compounds can be found in which phyla. While some seem to be restricted to aquaculture, Cyanobacteria, Chlorophyta and Rhodophyta show great promises.		

# 1. Introduction

Algae are a group of organisms that live almost every habitat. While, most part of them are preferentially found in aquatic environments, they can also colonise other spaces including deserts, volcanic waters, highly acidic and frozen soils (Lim and Schenk, 2017). They form the basic component of food chains in the world's ecosystems. In addition, they are the main producers of oxygen and contribute to about 40% of global photosynthesis (Andersen, 2015; Hamed, 2016; Sharma and Rai, 2011).

Algae bring together macroalgae, commonly referred to as seaweed which are macroscopic and multicellular organisms, and microalgae, which are microscopic organisms commonly found in marine and freshwater. The later are the focus of this review. Microalgae have been used by man since the dawn of time. It started more than thousand years ago serving as food for indigenous populations. Still, the production of microalgal biomass really began to develop in the middle of the last century, starting first with Germany when post-war societies were apprehensive at a strong demographic growth and its implication to food security (Milledge, 2011; Spolaore et al., 2006). However, with the need for sustainable development, microalgae have really sparkled academics and engineers attention over the last half-century for numerous reasons. First of all, as photosynthetic organisms, they can derive their energy from light and carbon from inorganic sources. Furthermore, compared to higher plants, microalgae show higher annual photon-to-biomass conversion efficiencies (about 3% vs. < 1% for higher plants), and no intrinsic sensibility to seasonality (Blankenship et al., 2011; Perin et al., 2019). This feature combined with the great diversity of strains allow valuable molecules such as proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, pigments to be produced with high yields. Second, they can be grown on wastewater or non-agricultural land without pesticides hence not compromising the production of food or others products from crops. Furthermore, they are able to recycle atmospheric carbon dioxide and thus minimising associated environmental impacts. As a result, and driven by current energy, environmental and food challenges (Christaki et al., 2011; Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011), microalgal biotechnology steadily rose into power. Nowadays it can be split into four major research fields that are wastewater treatment, CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration, biofuel production and high-added value molecules production.

By having a look at scientific literature, it is safe to say that the first three fields have already been well explored and reviewed over the former decades. Fig. 1 presents the publication trends of those scientific communities. As one can see, very recently, the focus of microalgae applications has changed and is now mainly on the production of high value-added molecules rather than environmental applications. This recent shift in interest is probably related to the high cost of microalgae production even on a large scale. Among the main limitations, the low biomass concentration (about 3 g/L for autotrophic cultures) of

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wendie.levasseur@centralesupelec.fr (W. Levasseur).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2020.107545

Received 9 January 2020; Received in revised form 6 March 2020; Accepted 27 March 2020 Available online 06 April 2020

0734-9750/ © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).







**Fig. 1.** Number of publications by category of microalgae applications over time. Results obtained on November 82,019, on the Web of Science search engine over a period until 2018 with the following keywords: TS = (microalgae AND (molecules OR bioactive OR compound)) for high-value molecules, TS = (microalgae AND ((CO2 OR Carbon) AND (sequestration OR mitigation))) for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration, TS = (microalgae AND (wastewater OR "waste water" OR remediation OR polluted)) for wastewater treatment and TS = (microalgae AND (fuel OR biofuel OR oil OR biooil)) for biofuels.

microalgae cultures has a significant impact on the downstream proccesing. In particular, additional costs are required at the harvesting stage to successfully concentrate the cultures. These difficulties have led scientists to find new, higher added-value, strategies for valorisation of biomass. From this graph, we can see that the sequestration of  $CO_2$ has a rather linear trend with a low slope suggesting a limited interest (linear fit since 2007,  $R^2 = 0.977$ ). As for biofuels, the peak of research intensity seems to be passed (bell curve). Indeed, extensive research has already been led, and the conclusion is that commercial viability will be made possible only if high added value molecules are extracted from biomass beforehand (Rizwan et al., 2018). Finally wastewater treatment and high value-added molecules<sup>1</sup> clearly depict an exponential trend (exponential fit since 2007,  $R^2 = 0.976$  and  $R^2 = 0.991$  respectively). As a consequence, this review focuses on high-added value molecules production from microalgae and associated applications.

High added value molecules are a very board category. They range from lipids, proteins and carbohydrates with food and nutraceutics applications to pigments and sterols with cosmetics and pharmaceutical aims. The broad range of applications comes from the fact that microalgae are one of the oldest life forms on Earth. They have evolved and adapted over billions of years, yielding a tremendous diversity and complexity. As classification can be of help when assessing the biotechnological potential of a strain, a reminder of a state of the art classification is the first section of this article. Then, for the sake of completeness and the reader interest, environmental applications are briefly mentioned and relevant contributions are pointed out. This is followed by the core of this paper, a review of high-added value molecules production and associated applications. Finally, a link is drawn between phylum and applications with the goal to ease strain selection for a specific application.

#### 2. Classification

*Microalgae* term ties together microscopic algae and oxygenic photosynthetic bacteria. Therefore the first disctinction is between prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Stark and O'Gara, 2012). The key distinction between both types of cells is the presence of membrane-bound structures in eukaryotic cells which are lacking in prokaryotes. The latter were allegedly acquired by eukaryotes from evolution through endosymbiosis (Pulz and Gross, 2004; Rockwell et al., 2014). As a result, eukaryotes are larger, more complex, and can be either unicellular or multicellular while prokaryotes are simple and small single-celled organisms.

Traditionally, microalgae have been classified according to their photosynthetic pigments. Yet the current systems of classification take account of others criteria, among them cytological and morphological characters, cell wall constituents and chemical nature of storage products. Based on these features, some methods are commonly employed to identify and classify algal species including morphological observations under a microscope, molecular-based classification using specific short gene sequences (Groendahl et al., 2017) or more recently semiautomated or fully automated classification using a flow cytometer combined with computational methods (Correa et al., 2017; Drews et al., 2013; Sosik and Olson, 2007). Regardless of the approaches used to identify algal species, the classification system has changed many times over the years. Currently, there is no consensus among the taxonomists around the world to use one classification over another (Heimann and Huerlimann, 2015; Malcata et al., 2018). However, one of the lastest classification models includes two main domains, Prokaryota and Eukaryota gathering seven kingdom: Archaebacteria, Eubacteria, Protozoa, Chromista, Fungi, Plantae and Animalia (Ruggiero et al., 2015).

Keeping in mind that the taxonomic organization may change as information accumulates, the current microalgal classification considers eight major phyla belonging to four of the seven kingdoms depicted in Fig. 2. While a large majority of microalgae are nested in the Eukaroyta domain and distributed in seven main phyla, there is only one representative phylum of microalgae in the Prokaryota domain grouped under the name Cyanobacteria. Despite the low representation in this domain, Cyanobacteria are among the most abundant phylum alongside Chlorophyta and Heterokontophyta phyla (García et al., 2017; Singh and Saxena, 2015; Venkatesan and Manivasagan, 2015)

## 3. Applications

#### 3.1. Summary of environmental applications

Owing to the increasing deterioration of our environment and the need for energy, research have been focused on recycling and resource recovery. Within this context, microalgae have been studied as an appropriate response to the current environmental issues due to potential benefits they offer (Quinn and Davis, 2015; Shilton and Guieysse, 2010; Venkatesan and Manivasagan, 2015; Ward et al., 2014), namely:

- Their ability to integrate carbon from combustion gases as a source of carbon (Ho et al., 2011; Milledge, 2011; Razzak et al., 2013; Shilton and Guieysse, 2010; Sierra et al., 2017),
- Their potential of growing on wastewater and incorporating polluants into their metabolism as nutrients (Abinandan and Shanthakumar, 2015; Goncalves et al., 2017; Muñoz and Guieysse, 2006; Sierra et al., 2017),
- The potential of their macronutrients composition (high carbohydrates and proteins) for bioplastics production (Hempel et al., 2011; Rahman and Miller, 2017; Zeller et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2000).
- Their use as biofertilizer owing to their rich micronutrients profile

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be noted that for this last stream, broad keywords have been applied. However, unlike other applications, high value-added molecules from microalgae are very diverse and can have different applications. As a result, the volume of publications may have been underestimated with the use of very broad-spectrum keywords. With keywords more focused on the type of molecules such as "carbohydrate", "protein" or else "phytosterol", the trend remains the same but the volume of publication is larger than that presented in the graph.



Fig. 2. Major microalgae phyla distribution as per seven-kingdom classification scheme inspired from (Ruggiero et al., 2015) with classes used for various biotechnological applications.

(nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus (Borowitzka, 1995; Hamed, 2016; Priyadarshani and Rath, 2012; Pulz and Gross, 2004; Siqueira et al., 2018)),

Microalgae have also sparked a major wave of research on the possibility of turning them into biofuels summarized in the Fig. 3. Nevertheless, despite the high potential of microalgae, the development of an efficient microalgae-based biofuel production chain remains a major challenge for commercial deployment, both technically and economically (Chiaramonti et al., 2017; Khanra et al., 2018; Milledge, 2011; Valverde et al., 2016; Wijffels, 2008).

# 3.2. Health food and quality feed

Thanks to their composition as well as their simplicity of cultivation, microalgae garnered interest over time as a solution to feed undernourished populations in the context of malnutrition in developing countries (Milledge, 2011). Furthermore, in recent years, consumer concerns regarding health and safety issues related to the consumption of processed foods have increased (Vaz et al., 2016). In this respect, microalgae would appear to be potential actors in the trend of using natural additives and are expected to play an important role in the food industry, in particular as nutraceuticals (Bishop and Zubeck, 2012; García et al., 2017; Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011; Spolaore et al., 2006). As a result, many compagnies have started to market functional foods containing microalgal biomass. This latter can either be directly marketed under different forms such as tablets, capsules and liquids or integrated into products and act as a natural nutritional supplement or as a natural rheology improver product (Hamed, 2016; Pulz and Gross, 2004).

Currently, the market is dominated by four genera of microalgae (Brennan and Owende, 2010; Hamed, 2016; Spolaore et al., 2006; Vaz et al., 2016) which are *Arthrospira* with more than 12,000 tons of biomass produced every year, followed by *Chlorella* with about 5000 tons per year. *Dunaliella salina*, mainly marketed for its  $\beta$ -carotene, has an annual production of 3000 tons and finally *Aphanizomenon* is produced at a level of 1500 tons per year. Health food production systems also cultivate to a lesser extent the green alga *Scenedesmus* (Milledge, 2011; Pulz and Gross, 2004). It should be underlined that these species benefit from the GRAS status, *i.e.* Generally Regarded As Safe given by FDA for food purposes and are thus considered as safe and valuable for human consumption (de la Noue and de Pauw, 1988; García et al., 2017; Hamed, 2016; Spolaore et al., 2006).

In addition to its use in human food, microalgae have shown a growing interest in animal nutrition as feed additive. Microalgal biomass can be incorporated into the diet of a wide variety of animals ranging from fish to pets and livestock (Hamed, 2016; Priyadarshani and Rath, 2012; Pulz and Gross, 2004; Spolaore et al., 2006; Yaakob et al., 2014). They are mainly used to improve the physiology of animals but also the organoleptic properties of the final consumer product (Hamed, 2016). Currently, about 30% of current global microalgae production is sold to the feed industries (Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011; Raja et al., 2008; Spolaore et al., 2006).

The perspectives of the use of microalgae as food and feed lies in the



Fig. 3. Main bioactive compounds expressed in microalgae in relation to their classification and possible applications as high value added products. Numbers of species obtained from (Guiry, 2012; Guiry and Guiry, 2020). Blue circle: pharmaceutics; pink circle: cosmetics; yellow circle: nutraceutics and green circle: food. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

# Table 1

Biochemical composition of different microalgae with controlled growth conditions. Results expressed as % of dry matter.

Strains	Original study parameters	Biochemical composition (% dry matter)		References	
		Proteins	Carbohydrates	Lipids	
Arthrospira maxima Arthrospira maxima	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: natural sunlight</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 180 umolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	56–62 63–70	18–23 10–20	13 6-7	(Torzillo et al., 1986) Oliveira et al., 1999)
Arthrospira platensis	Temperature: from 20 to 40 °C     Lighting conditions: 180 µmolphotons/m <sup>2</sup> /s	59-72	11-20	6–7	Oliveira et al., 1999)
Arthrosping platoncic K 2	- Temperature: from 20 to 40 °C Lighting conditions: 20 um/hhotons $(m^2/c)$	20 52	12.05	6 15	Madkour at al. 2012)
Artinospiru piatensis K-2	<ul> <li>Eighting conditions, so philophysical // / s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Niterational // s</li> </ul>	36-33	13-25	0-15	Maukour et al., 2012)
Arthrospira platensis LEB-52	<ul> <li>Nitrogen sources: ammonium nitrate or urea</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 31.35 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Temperature: 30 and 35 °C</li> </ul>	57–70	-	7–10	(Colyer et al., 2005)
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	<ul> <li>Nitrogen source: sodium nitrate</li> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination</li> </ul>	65	23	_	(Mahdy et al., 2014)
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC- 400 cw15 mt+	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination of 80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Nitrogen source: ammonium chloride</li> </ul>	45	25	9	(Park et al., 2015)
Chlorella vulgaris	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 150 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 16:8 h (1/D cycles)</li> </ul>	12–45	8–35	3–6	(Chia et al., 2013)
Chlorella vulgaris	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination of 60 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Nitrogen source: potassium nitrate</li> </ul>	7	63	29	(Lv et al., 2010)
Chlorella vulgaris	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: daylight illumination</li> </ul>	51	18	7	(Morris et al., 2008)
Chlorella vulgaris ESP-6	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Tomporature: 28 °C</li> </ul>	48	18	13	(Ho et al., 2013)
Chlorella vulgaris FSP-E	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	60	12	12	(Ho et al., 2013)
Dunaliella salina	Nothing specific	26	40	18	(Muhaemin and
Dunaliella tertiolecta	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 70–80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	20	12	15	Kaswadji, 2010) (Brown, 1991)
Isochrysis galbana	<ul> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> </ul>	16_22	3-6	4_5	(Gnouma et al. 2017)
Isochrysis galbana	The strain was received freeze-dried and was analysed without further	42	10	25	(Bonfanti et al., 2017) (Bonfanti et al., 2018)
Isochrysis galbana	Lighting conditions: 1000 lx     Transactions 20 %	29–42	4–10	20-24	(Whyte, 1987)
Isochrysis galbana	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 70–80 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	29	13	23	(Brown, 1991)
Isochrysis galbana TK1	<ul> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Temperatures: 15 °C and 30 °C</li> </ul>	27–32	25–33	18–25	(Zhu et al., 1997)
Isochrysis sp.	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 200 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	30	16	23	(Renaud et al., 1999)
Nannochloropsis oculata	<ul> <li>Salinity 25‰</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 350 µmol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	26	29	26	(Paes et al., 2016)
Nannochloropsis ogulata	<ul> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Salinity: 33‰</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 80 umelabotons /m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>			21 22	(Sabzi et al. 2018)
	<ul> <li>Eighting conditions, so philophilotins/m/ys</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Transformation: 26:26 [Fig.C]21 a 15 h (2) and fig.</li> </ul>	-	-	21-33	(Sabzi et al., 2018)
Nannochloropsis oculata	<ul> <li>Temperature: 20 °C</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 70-80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Temperature: 20 °C</li> </ul>	35	7,8	18	(Brown, 1991)
Nannochloropsis sp.	- Lighting conditions: different light spectra - Photon flux density $< 100 \text{ µmolphotons}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	20–25	18–30	44–60	(Vadiveloo et al., 2015)
Pavlova salina	Lighting conditions: 70–80 µmolphotons/m <sup>2</sup> /s      Photoperiod: 12:12 h (/) cycles)	26	7	12	(Brown, 1991)
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	<ul> <li>PIRtoperiod. 12.12 n (L/D Cycles)</li> <li>PBR and circular pond comparison</li> <li>Lighting and diving deallight</li> </ul>	30–59	9–20	24–36	(Silva Benavides et al.,
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: daylight</li> <li>Lighting conditions: 18–72 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	38–51	18–28	9–30	(KaiXian and Borrowiteleo, 1002)
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	- Lighting conditions: 70–80 µmolphotons/m <sup>2</sup> /s	30	8	14	(Brown, 1993)
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	<ul> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>[Nitrogen]: 0.88 mM</li> </ul>	32	19	29	(KaiXian and
Porphyridium cruentum	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 50 and 200 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Salinity: 33‰</li> <li>Niteoren converses companium and niteoto</li> </ul>	5–10	25–37	8–18	(Sánchez-Saavedra et al., 2018)
Porphyridium cruentum 161	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	_	30	10	(Hong et al., 2017)
Porphyridium cruentum 161	<ul> <li>Mode: continuous mode (dilution rate 10 h)</li> <li>Lighting conditions: daylight</li> </ul>	34	32	7	(Rebolloso Fuentes et al., 2000)
Scenedesmus obliquus CNW-N	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 420 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Tormoreture: 28 °C</li> </ul>	15–41	38–52	12–22	(Ho et al., 2012)
Tetraselmis sp.	<ul> <li>- Lighting conditions: 80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>- Solinity: 25%</li> </ul>	13–14	8–9	26–30	(Renaud et al., 1999)
Tetraselmis suecica	– Jamity. 20700 – Lighting conditions: 1000 lx	33–34	8–10	6–7	(Whyte, 1987)
Tetraselmis suecica	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 70–80 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> </ul>	31	12	10	(Brown, 1991)

#### Table 2

General composition of different microalgae under stressful conditions: light stress (above 200 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s); nitrogen stress (exhausted nitrate source); combined light and nitrogen stresses; and chemical stress.

Strains	Original study parameters	Type of stress	Biochemical composition (% dry matter)		References	
			Proteins	Carbohydrates	Lipids	
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC- 400 cw15 mt+	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination of 80 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Nitrogen starvation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	36	17	41	Park et al., 2015)
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii UTEX 90	<ul> <li>Mode: fed-batch culture for 96 h</li> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination of 450 umolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> </ul>	Light	9	60	-	(Choi et al., 2010)
Chlorella vulgaris	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 150 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 16:8 h (L/D cycle)</li> <li>Nitrogen limitation</li> <li>Cadmium stress</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	10–25	10–59	5–16	(Chia et al., 2015)
Chlorella vulgaris ESP-6	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Temperature: 28 °C</li> <li>Nitrogen starvation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	23	49	15	(Ho et al., 2012)
Chlorella vulgaris FSP-E	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Temperature: 28 °C</li> <li>Nitrogen starvation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	21	54	19	(Ho et al., 2012)
Isochrysis galbana	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination of 150 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Temperature: 27 °C</li> <li>Nitrogen starvation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	12–30	-	24–47	(Kaplan et al., 1986)
Nannochloropsis oculata	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 350 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Salinity: 33%</li> </ul>	Light and nitrogen	18	29	34	(Paes et al., 2016)
Nannochloropsis sp.	<ul> <li>Mitrogen stativation</li> <li>Mode: semicontinuous regime - Lighting conditions: from 0 to 480 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> </ul>	Light	10-43	20–29	33–61	(Fábregas et al., 2004)
Pavlova sp.	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 180–220 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Salinity: 34–35‰</li> </ul>	Light	34–54	30–44	16–29	(Ponis et al., 2006)
Phaeodactylum tricornutum	<ul> <li>Nitrogen limited and nitrogen free media</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	16–25	15–17	32–41	(KaiXian and Borowitzka, 1993)
Porphyridium cruentum 161	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 60 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Nitrogen limited</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	-	43	10	(Hong et al., 2017)
Porphyridium cruentum VISCHER 1935/107	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: 8000 lx</li> </ul>	Light	27–38	40–57	9–12	(Vasileva and Ivanova, 2019)
Scenedesmus obliquus	<ul> <li>Lighting conditions: continuous illumination from 10 to 1000 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Pulsed light at 1500 μmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s and 10 Hz</li> </ul>	Light	30–40	17–40	35–50	(Gris et al., 2014)
Scenedesmus obliquus HM103382	<ul> <li>NaCl stress</li> <li>Continuous light of 40 µmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s</li> <li>Temperature: 27 °C</li> </ul>	Chemical	-	-	18–34	(Salama et al., 2013)
Scenedesmus sp. CCNM 1077	<ul> <li>Photoperiod: 12:12 h (L/D cycles)</li> <li>Nitrogen limitation and starvation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	17–50	16–45	16–29	(Pancha et al., 2014)
Tetraselmis sp.	<ul> <li>Continuous illumination at 100–120 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s at both sides of PBR</li> <li>Different sources of nitrogen</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	22-48	17–42	16–28	(Kim et al., 2016)
Tetraselmis sp.	<ul> <li>Continuous illumination at 100–120 μmolphotons/m<sup>2</sup>/s at both sides of PBR</li> <li>Nitrogen limitation</li> </ul>	Nitrogen	17–22	42–55	13–16	(Kim et al., 2016)

diversity of biomass composition. This can be achieved either by strain selection (Table 1) or growth condition manipulation (Table 2). Indeed, they are able to modulate their biochemical composition in response to a change in their environment. As a consequence, researchers have developed strategies based on metabolic imbalances which divert the electron energy towards the selected target (Gifuni et al., 2019). These are usually referred to as *stressing procedures* and feature nutrient depletion, high light irradiance, extreme pH, temperature, high salinity or metal concentration.

Beyond its macronutrient composition, microalgae are able to express and accumulate secondary metabolites under stressful conditions. This paves the way to targetted bioactive compounds production, and eventually penetrate cosmetics and pharmaceutical markets.

#### 3.3. High-value molecules

High-value molecules term can be broken into several sub-categories

as it covers a very wide range of bioactive compounds. In this review, they are divided into: polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), pigments, carbohydrates, peptides, vitamins, polyphenols, phytosterols and hormones. For the sake of readability, the applications of those family of molecules extracted from microalgae are synthetically presented in Table 3 with respect to four fields of applications: food, nutraceutics, pharmaceutics and cosmetics. More specific details about their productions and key activities are emphasized in the text hereinafter.

## 3.3.1. Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs)

There are two main groups of lipids in microalgae: the lipids produced by photosynthesis and stored in the cell known as storage lipids (mainly triglycerides) and lipids being an integral part of the cell structure known as structural lipids (phospholipids and sterols) (Hamed, 2016).

Fatty acids belong to the storage lipids. They represent one of the primary metabolites. Within them, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs)

# Table 3

Main molecules of commercial interest extracted from microalgae in relation to their field of application.

Molecules	Applications	References
PUFAs	Food: -	(Adarme-Vega et al., 2012; Christaki et al., 2011;
DHA FDA	<i>Nutraceutics</i> : Enrich formula in omega-3 <i>Pharmaceutics</i> : Positif on blood system (pressure, coagulation), act on the	Doughman et al., 2007; Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011: Odiadiare et al. 2017: US EDA 2015: Vaakob
	proper function and development of nervous and visual system, reduce	et al., 2014)
	occurrence of chronic diseases (diabete, artritis, cardiovascular disease and	
	obesity), reduce the level of chlolestrol triglycerids, prevent arthritis,	
	Alzheimer's disease, psoriasis and certain type of cancer, anti-inflammatory	
	properties	
Chlorophylls	Food: Green dyeing agents	(Borowitzka, 1995; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob
1 5	Nutraceutics: Antioxidant properties	et al., 2014)
Chlorophyll a and b	Pharmaceutics: Antioxidant properties, vitamin precursors, immune activator,	
	anti-inflammatory properties, cancer prevention (colorectal), cytotoxic	
	increase hemoglobin, promote rapid cell growth	
	<i>Cosmetics</i> : Dveing agents, additive agents, antioxidant properties, mask	
	odours	
Carotenoids	Food: Dyeing agents whose color depends on the molecule (red, orange,	(; Berthon et al., 2017; Bhalamur et al., 2018;
	yellow)	Borowitzka, 1995; García et al., 2017; Gouveia et al., 2010: Harred 2016: Maurella et al. 2017;
B-caroten Astaxanthin Lutein	<i>Pharmaceutics</i> : Antioxidant properties vitamin precursors immune activator	Odiadiare et al 2017: Siqueira et al 2018: Sousa
Lycopene, Violaxanthin	anti-inflammatory properties, antihypertensive properties, neuroprotective	et al., 2008; Yaakob et al., 2014)
	properties, protective activities against cancer, atherosclerosis, ulcers and	
	carviovascular diseases, prevent macular degeneration, reduce the	
	prevalence of metabolic syndrome, adiposity and serum triglyceride	
	parasitic infections	
	Cosmetics: Antioxidant properties, photoprotective properties, dyeing agents	
Phycobiliproteins	Food: Dyeing agents whose color depends on the molecule (red, blue, light	(Bhalamur et al., 2018; Borowitzka, 1995; Gouveia
	blue, magenta)	et al., 2010; Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011;
Phycogrythrin Phycocyanin	NULTACEULICS: - Pharmaceutics: Fluorescent properties, markers of certain immunological	Odjadjare et al., 2017; Siqueira et al., 2018; Yaakob
Allophycocyanin,	methods, antioxidant properties, anti-inflammatory properties,	ct al., 2017)
Phycoerythrocyanin	neuroprotective properties, hepatoprotective properties	
	Cosmetics: Dyeing agents	
Exopolysaccharides	<i>Food</i> : Water retention capacity, texturing agents, thickening agents, gelling	(Ahmed et al., 2014; de la Noue and de Pauw, 1988; Martine et al., 2014; Mourelle et al., 2017;
	Nutraceutics: -	Venugopal. 2016): Berthon et al., 2017)
	Pharmaceutics: Antioxidant properties, antiviral properties (HIV, Herpes	,,
	simplex type 1), antitumor properties, anticancer properties, anticoagulant	
	properties, antilipidemic properties, immune activator, anti-inflammatory	
	disorders. HIV), thickening and gel-forming properties for drug design	
	Cosmetics: Rheology modifiers, conditioners, anti-inflammatory properties,	
	anti-redness properties, antimicrobial properties, antiviral properties,	
	antioxidant properties, moisturizing agents, healing agents, emulsifiers,	
	activities against enzymatic protectives	
Proteins	<i>Food</i> : Emulsifiying agents, foaming agents, thickening agents, gelling agents	(Becker, 2007; Berthon et al., 2017; Christaki et al.,
	Nutraceutics: Hypolipidemic, hypoglycemic, anorectic, anorexigenic	2011; Kim and Kang, 2011; Martins et al., 2014;
	Pharmaceutics: Anti-inflammatory properties, antihypertensive properties,	Mourelle et al., 2017; Sadeghi et al., 2018; Sheih
	anticancer properties, antibacterial properties, antioxidant properties,	et al., 2009; Siqueira et al., 2018; Stolz, 2005; Vo
	<i>Cosmetics</i> : Stimulate collagen synthesis, reduce vascular imperfections,	2018; Bernaerts et al., 2019; Gong et al., 2011;
	photoprotective properties, antioxidant properties	Dixon and Wilken, 2018)
Vitamins	Food: -	(Galasso et al., 2019)
	Nutraceutics: Precursor properties of some important enzyme cofactors	
	<i>Cosmetics:</i> -	
Polyphenols	Food: -	(Galasso et al., 2019; Gómez-Guzmán et al., 2018; Li
	Nutraceutics: -	et al., 2007; Scaglioni et al., 2019)
	Pharmaceutics: Antioxidant properties, anti-inflammatory properties, anti-	
	cancer properties, anti-amergic properties, anti-diabetes properties, antimicrobial properties, antifungal properties, antimicrobial properties	
	properties, improve cardiovascular-associated disorders	
	Cosmetics: -	
Phytosterols	Food: -	(Ahmed et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2008; Kritchevsky
	Nutraceutics: -	and Chen, 2005; Woyengo et al., 2009)
	inflammatory properties, anti-atherogenicity properties, anti-cancer	
	properties, anti-oxidative properties, protection properties against nervous	
	system disorders	
	Cosmetics: -	

are of note. They are biomolecules made of a long unsaturated hydrocarbon chain containing more than one double bonds with attractive properties for both food and pharmaceutical industries. From a medical point of view, PUFAs have been proven to have health benefits. Several studies highlighted the essential nature for the development of the human nervous system and visual abilities supported by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Food and Drug Administration as well as the Food and Agriculture Organization (Nations, 2010; Singh, 2005; US EPA, 2015). In addition, these fatty acids would also help to reduce the occurrence of various chronic diseases such as diabetes, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, and obesity (Hamed, 2016). Futhermore, a medical review based on both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies in animals and humans on the  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids supplementation underlined their anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative and anticachectic effects (Jho et al., 2004).

More specifically,  $\omega$ -3 and  $\omega$ -6 are essential for human being as they are not able to produce themselves these lipids which are necessary for their basal metabolism (Christaki et al., 2011; Doughman et al., 2007; Gouveia et al., 2010; Odjadjare et al., 2017). Therefore, they have to obtain them from an exogenous source. It has emerged that one of the promising sources of omega is microalgae, the primary producers of  $\omega$ -3 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). Accordingly, numerous studies focused on the production of PUFAs from microalgae.

In a very general way, microalgal fatty acids profile is relatively preserved within a phylum (Sahu et al., 2013) while their content varies within species according to environmental factors. Though, the  $\omega$ -3 (EPA and DHA) content in microalgae is naturally relatively low. As an example, 12 strains of microalgae belonging to two distinct phyla were screened in a study (Sahu et al., 2013). Of all microalgae, only Chlorella had quantifiable levels of DHA and EPA (3.24 and 8.9% of total FAME respectively). Thus, EPA and DHA productivity improvement strategies within the cells have been implemented. The first and most well-known approach is to modify environmental parameters to affect internal metabolism. As a result, several studies have been focused on the impact of different environmental factor on the lipids productivity (Ramesh Kumar et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). One of the most employed strategy consists in partially or completely depriving microalgae of nitrogen to achieve higher lipid productivity. One of the most striking example is the growth of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii CC-400 cw15 mt+. Under normal conditions, the strain is able to express 9% dry matter of lipids while under nitrogen starvation it yields 41% dry matter (Park et al., 2015). Another way to stimulate lipid production is to act directly on the metabolism of the microalgae. It has been shown that under heterotrophic conditions, microalgae obtain higher lipid yields (Ramesh Kumar et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2018). As an example, after 3 days of cultivation, a Thraustochytrid strain 12B is able to express 57.8% lipids in biomass with 43.1% of DHA in it (Raghukumar, 2008). Besides, increasing experimental evidence seems to highlight that an appropriate level of intracellular Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) can improve lipid accumulation although the metabolic mechanism is not well known yet (Sun et al., 2018). This is made possible by, among other things, the controlled addition of oxygen during cultivation.

However, these conditions, although favourable for lipid accumulation, are not so for microalgae growth leading to reduced growth rates. One way to overcome this problem is to use a two-phase approach. This strategy consists in first the cultivation of microalgae under sufficient nutrients conditions to stimulate higher growth rate and by extension higher biomass. These cells are then exposed to more severe conditions such as nutrient starvation for accumulation of the lipids. As an example, a study following this methodology was conducted on *Nannochloropsis oculata* cells (Paes et al., 2016). Under both control conditions, *i.e.* repleted nitrogen, and nitrogen limitation conditions, the final cell yields were similar. However, the cell volume increased under nitrogen limitation from 14.1 to 18.7  $\mu$ m<sup>3</sup> suggesting a lipid accumulation inside the cells. This was confirmed by analyses. The lipid content increased from 26 to 34% of dry matter. Another way to improve lipid yields without substantial loss of biomass is to combine this approach with strain breeding or genetic engineering approach (Ramesh Kumar et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

Currently, the main source of EPA and DHA in food are fatty fish such as salmon. Yet PUFAs derived from this source have low oxidative stability, can contain toxins as well as releasing unpleasant smell and taste, thus limiting their application (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014). Consequently, microalgae are increasingly emerging as an alternative to the use of fish oil (Ward and Singh, 2005). However, this alternative is limited to the production of DHA (Odiadiare et al., 2017). Indeed, until recently, the production of EPA rich algal oil has been restricted to laboratory scale (Milledge, 2011). As a result, DHA is currently the only product on the market (Yaakob et al., 2014). The most common microalgae used for its production are from the genera Crypthecodinium, Schizochytrium and Ulkenia found in the kingdom Chromista (Hamed, 2016; Winwood, 2013). These microalgae are capable of accumulating up to more than 40% of the total fatty acids content in DHA (Sahin et al., 2018). Other genera such as Thraustochytrium and Labyrinthula have also been identified as producers of DHA (Raghukumar, 2008). More generally, the PUFAsproducing microalgae species are Phaeodactylum tricornutum, Monodus subterraneus, Porphyridium cruentum, Chaetoceros calcitrans, Nannochloropsis sp., Crypthecodinium cohnii, Isochrysis galbana, and Pavlova salina (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Mourelle et al., 2017).

#### 3.3.2. Pigments

In addition to PUFAs, microalgae include photosynthetic pigments in their composition. The latter are classified into three groups: chlorophylls, carotenoids and phycobiliproteins responsible for green, yellow/orange and red/blue colours, respectively (Hamed, 2016; Siqueira et al., 2018). For several years, these compounds have been shown to have beneficial health properties, such as antioxidant properties, vitamin precursors, immune activators and anti-inflammatory agents (Borowitzka, 1995; Hamed, 2016). Therefore, they are mainly used for food, pharmaceutical or cosmetic applications as natural colours, food supplements or as a source of bioactive molecules (García et al., 2017).

• *Chlorophylls*: Chlorophylls are green pigments, essential for photosynthesis, present in almost all photoautotrophic organisms. Due to their high green pigmentation and growing consumer demand for more natural products, chlorophylls are gaining in importance as a dye agent in the food industries as well as in pharmaceuticals and in cosmetics (Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014). Naturally present in photosynthetic organisms as chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b*, these molecules can also be found in the form of sodium and cupric derivatives, for example. The latter are mainly used as food additives or in beverages (Yaakob et al., 2014).

Although chlorophylls are mainly extracted from inexpensive sources such as grass or alfalfa, microalgae contain a significant amount of them. The latter are therefore considered as an alternative source to chlorophyll extraction (Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014), which would be potential for covalorization in the scope of biofuel production. Indeed, the chlorophyll content of a cell varies according to environmental conditions as well as the strain from about 0.5 to 4% of dry weight (Harun et al., 2010; Spolaore et al., 2006). A review highlighted the chlorophyll-inducing conditions in microalgae among which are low light, red light spectrum, a sufficiently high temperature, and a replete nitrogen and phosphorus content (da Silva Ferreira and Sant'Anna, 2016). To illustrate this, many studies have been carried out. As an example, a study showed that under high light intensity (400  $\mu$ mol photon/m<sup>2</sup>/s) the chlorophyll *a* content of two microalgae strains underwent a greatly decline compared with low light intensity (40 µmol photon/m<sup>2</sup>/s) (He et al., 2015). Chlorella sp. decreased its

chlorophyll a content from 14 mg/L to 2 mg/L and Monoraphidium sp. from 11 mg/L to 3 mg/L. Another study assessed the effect of nitrogen depletion and low light intensity on the chlorophyll content of Scenedesmus dimorphus (Ferreira et al., 2016). The authors found a higher chlorophyll content when the cells were cultivated under low light intensity at 16.9  $\mu$ mol photon/m<sup>2</sup>/s (128% higher than the control group grown at 123 µmol photon/m<sup>2</sup>/s). Conversely, nitrogen starvation induced an abrupt decrease in chlorophyll content of about 77.53% compared to the initial time. In addition, the proportion of chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b within the cells was investigated. Microalgae exposed to low light intensities were found to have the same pigment distribution as the control group (81% of chlorophyll a and 19% of chlorophyll b average percentage relative to the total chlorophyll content). However, nitrogen deprivation induced the production of chlorophyll b at the expense of chlorophyll a (a decrease in 8.77% in chlorophyll a content and an increase by 42.21% in chlorophyll b content). In addition to nutrient status and light intensity, the impact of the visible light wavelengths (color spectrum) on the photosynthetic pigment production was also investigated. In this respect, a study emphasizes a difference in pigmentation according to light exposure for the two strains studied (Mohsenpour et al., 2012). The green microalgae Chlorella vulgaris was found to have an increased pigmentation and the highest chlorophyll content (1.29% and 0.38% dry weight for chlorophyll a and b, respectively) under red light of 650-700 nm compared to the daylight control (0.86% and 0.28% dry weight for chlorophyll a and b, respectively). Green light yielded the best chlorophyll a production in the cyanobacteria *Gloeothece membranacea* with a chlorophyll *a* content of 1.23% dry weight compared with the control at 1.09% dry weight. Light photoperiod is another parameter that can be used to manipulate the cell chlorophyll content (Gonçalves et al., 2019). As an example, in Chlorella vulgaris, total chlorophyll content exposed to 60-s periods (215  $\mu$ mol quanta/m<sup>2</sup>/s average light intensity) underwent a 50% increase compared to the continuous light reference (Levasseur et al., 2018).

Once the chlorophylls were extracted, the remaining cell fragments can be used to produce biofuels, for example. Among the most exploited strains, the most well known microalgae is from the genus *Chlorella*, whose chlorophyll content represents about 7% of its biomass, five times more than that of *Arthrospira* (Khanra et al., 2018).

• Carotenoids: Carotenoids are another class of pigments that are abundantly found in microalgae. These richly coloured molecules ranging from yellow to red are mainly known for their antioxidant property as well as dyeing power (Berthon et al., 2017; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014). Thanks to their properties, carotenoids are frequently encountered in food and feed industries as well as cosmetics and pharmaceutical area (Siqueira et al., 2018). With more than 600 representatives, carotenoids form the most diverse and widespread class of pigments (Gong and Bassi, 2016; Odjadjare et al., 2017). Most of them share a common chemical structure composed of a 18-carbon conjugated double bond chain with two hexacarbon rings at each end (Odjadjare et al., 2017). Within this large class, carotenoids are divided into two groups: carotenes and xanthophylls. The former are oxygen-free hydrocarbons such as  $\alpha$ -carotene and  $\beta$ -carotene, while the latter are oxygenated derivatives of carotenes (lutein, violaxanthin, zeaxanthin, fucoxanthin, astaxanthin, among others) (Lee, 2018). The main sources of carotenoids are microalgae belonging to the Chlorophyceae class. These microalgae are capable of producing a wide range of pigments: carotenes ( $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene) as well as xanthophylls (astaxanthin, violaxanthin, anteraxanthin, zeaxanthin, neoxanthin and lutein, among others). Other pigments, such as fucoxanthin, diatoxanthin and diadinoxanthin are produced by other microalgae phyla (Berthon et al., 2017). On average, carotenoids represent 0.1 to 0.2% of the dry matter (Spolaore et al., 2006). However, under unfavourable conditions, these pigments can be expressed up to 12% in the phylum Chlorophyta (Lee, 2018). Currently, the two pigments having the highest demand in global carotenoid market are  $\beta$ -carotene and astaxanthin from the genera *Dunaliella* and *Haematococcus*, respectively (Berthon et al., 2017; Milledge, 2011; Rammuni et al., 2019).

β-carotene is the first ever high-value product to be commercially produced from microalgae (Rammuni et al., 2019). It is commonly produced by *Scenedesmus almeriensis*, *Dunaliella bardawil* and *Dunaliella tertiolecta* (Berthon et al., 2017; Bhalamur et al., 2018; Borowitzka, 1995; Hamed, 2016; Khanra et al., 2018; Mourelle et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014). However, the richest source for commercial production of natural β-carotene is the microalgae *Dunaliella salina* which is capable of expressing up to 98.5% β-carotene in relation to its total carotenoids and about 13% of its dry biomass (Molino et al., 2018a Rammuni et al., 2019). Although the latter is in competition with its synthetic equivalent, natural β-carotene. This isomer plays a major role in quenching oxygen free radicals and preventing oxidative damage to the cell (Rammuni et al., 2019).

Astaxanthin, a red xanthophyll pigment, is the second most industrially exploited carotenoid. It is naturally produced by the microalgae Chlorella zofingiensis, Chlorococcum sp. and Scenedesmus sp. as well as the yeast Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014). However, the microalga Haematococcus pluvalis (Hamed, 2016; Siqueira et al., 2018) is able to accumulate up to 81% of astaxanthin of its total carotenoids and about 7% of dry weight (Molino, Iovine, et al., 2018). Therefore, this microorganism is seen as the most favourable specie for industrial scale production of natural astaxanthin (Rammuni et al., 2019; Raposo et al., 2015). Due to its high red dyeing power, this carotenoid pigment is mainly used in aquaculture feed as a dye agent for fish and shellfish flesh (Hamed, 2016; Siqueira et al., 2018; Yaakob et al., 2014). However, the use of astaxanthin is not limited to its pigmentation. It is particularly known to have antioxidant properties ten times greater than other carotenoids, making it the most potent natural antioxidant (Berthon et al., 2017; Borowitzka, 1995; Hamed, 2016; Mourelle et al., 2017). In this respect, astaxanthin is linked to biological activities with positive effects on human health. However, despite its attractive biological properties, the cost of production of natural astaxanthin is higher than that of synthetic astaxanthin (2500 to 7000\$/kg and 1000\$/kg, respectively) (Molino, Rimauro, et al., 2018), which is a barrier to the industrial exploitation of this microalgae (Bhalamur et al., 2018).

Lutein is another yellow carotenoid found in microalgae. It is mainly involved in the formulation of drugs and cosmetics. Particularly, they are mainly known to have protective activity against photoinduced damage to the lens and retina of eyes (Roberts and Dennison, 2015). Currently, most of the lutein production comes from marigold flowers (lutein content in marigold granules: 20 g/kg) (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2015; Yaakob et al., 2014). However, lutein production from microalgae is gaining more and more ground due to higher productivity (Bhalamur et al., 2018). Indeed, although they have a lower lutein content than flowers (on average 5 g/kg), the annual production of microalgae is estimated to be 70-150 tons/ha, 11.5-25 times higher than that of marigold flowers (6 tons) (Lin et al., 2015). Lutein-producing strains include Muriellopsis sp., Chlorella protothecoides, Chlorella zofingiensis, Chlorococcum citriforme, Neospongiococcus gelatinosum and Scenedesmus almeriensis as the largest producers with the ability to produce up to 0.5% lutein on a dry basis (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Molino, Rimauro, et al., 2018; Yaakob et al., 2014).

Other carotenoid pigments with industrial applications include lycopene, violaxanthin and zeaxanthin. Lycopene is a natural red antioxidant found in the cosmetics industry as a sunscreen and in anti-aging care formulations (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Borowitzka, 1995). It is also used for pharmacological purposes because of its anti-carcinogenic and antiatherogenic properties (Bhalamur et al., 2018). Violaxanthin, an orange carotenoid pigment, is particularly known for its anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties. It is naturally produced by the strains *Chlorella ellipsoidea* and *Dunaliella tertiolecta* (Berthon et al., 2017; Mourelle et al., 2017). Zeaxanthin is a yellow carotenoid. It is mainly used in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries. For its production, the strains *Scenedesmus almeriensis* and *Nannochloropsis oculata* are used (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Hamed, 2016). Finally, other carotenoids such as canthaxanthin,  $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin or fucoxanthin are used for pharmaceutical or cosmetic purposes because of their tanning, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer properties, respectively (Borowitzka, 1995).

• Phycobiliproteins: Phycobiliproteins are the last class of pigments found in photosynthetic organisms. They are hydrophilic proteinpigments complexes present only in cyanobacteria, and microalgae of the Rhodophyta phylum as well as in some Cryptophytes and Glaucophytes (Arad and Yaron, 1992; Gouveia et al., 2010; Lee, 2018). Like chlorophylls b/c/d/f and carotenoids, phycobiliproteins are accessory photosynthetic pigments used to extend the range of light absorption needed for photosynthesis (from 450 to 650 nm) (Lee, 2018; Manirafasha et al., 2016; Masojidek et al., 2013). They are classified into four major subgroups according to their absorption spectra (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Odjadjare et al., 2017): the red phycoerythrin (PE,  $\lambda_{max}$  = 540–570 nm), the magenta phycoerythrocyanin (PEC,  $\lambda_{max} = 560-600$  nm), the blue phycocyanin (PC,  $\lambda_{max} = 610-620$  nm), and the light blue allophycocyanin (APC,  $\lambda_{max}$ = 650-6500 nm). Their composition varies with the species and environmental conditions. Under certain conditions, they can represent up to 13% of the dry biomass of some microalgae (Arad and Yaron, 1992). As a matter of fact, researchers have investigated the effects of different culture conditions (light source and growth media) on the expression of phycobiliproteins of Pseudanabaena mucicola freshwater cyanobacteria (Khatoon et al., 2018). The highest total phycobiliprotein content (237 mg/g) was reached when the cyanobacteria was cultured on wastewater under white light. When zooming in on the different pigments individually, it appeared that phycocyanin was produced in larger quantities in blue light on BBM medium (0.419 mg/L), while allophycocyanin had better performance under white light on wastewater (0.523 mg/L). The phycoerythrin content was mainly influenced by changes in pH rather than light. As a result, its content in the study was found to be very low (> 0.05 mg/L). However, another study aiming at maximizing its content in Porphyridium marinum found the greatest amount to be 40 mg/g dry weight under 70 µmol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $NaNO_3 = 3.4 \text{ g/L}$  and metal solution = 1.5 mL/L (Gargouch et al., 2018). Hence, strategies need to be investigated to optimize phycocyanin production. In this regard, a study aimed at identifying the optimal growth conditions to obtain the highest phycocyanin content from Spirulina platensis (Ho et al., 2018). To do so, they set the following parameters: light intensity = 400  $\mu$ mol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s,  $CO_2$  aeration = 2.5% and flow rate = 0.2 vvm. Then, they varied different parameters such as the source of the illumination, the photoperiod, the nature of the culture medium. They finally found the highest phycocyanin content and productivity (14.9% and 101.1 mg/L/d, respectively) under white LED light source, 30:30 min light/dark cycles, recycled medium (50% replacement), and nitrate addition (45 mM).

Due to their powerful and highly sensitive fluorescent properties, phycobiliproteins are used as markers for certain immunological methods, in flow cytometry, microscopy and DNA tests (Hamed, 2016; Milledge, 2011; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Siqueira et al., 2018; Yaakob et al., 2014). On an industrial scale, these pigments are produced from the species *Porphyridium sp.*, *Arthrospira* sp. and *Aphanizomenon flosaquae* (Bhalamur et al., 2018; Borowitzka, 1995; Hamed, 2016;

#### Mourelle et al., 2017; Odjadjare et al., 2017; Yaakob et al., 2014).

#### 3.3.3. Carbohydrates

Carbohydrates are synthesized intracellularly and represent the major part of the compounds derived from photosynthesis. Their content can reach up to 50% of dry weight of certain microalgae (Yen et al., 2013). Among the genera and species most commonly used for the production of polysaccharides extracted from microalgae are *Tetraselmis sp., Isochrysis sp., Porphyridium cruentum, Porphyridium purpureum, Chlorella* sp. and *Rhodella reticulata* (Mourelle et al., 2017).

Once synthesized, these carbohydrates can serve as a variety of biological functions. Depending on their physiological role, polysaccharides are usually grouped into three classes: energy reserve polysaccharides, structural polysaccharides that participate in cell wall formation and polysaccharides involved in cell communication (Bernaerts et al., 2019; Pignolet et al., 2013). From a biotechnological point of view, only the first two categories of polysaccharides have industrial potential and are therefore developed in the following paragraphs. Furthermore, energy reserve polysaccharides have been studied in great depth mainly in the context of biofuel production. While structural polysaccharides show great promises for pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food applications thanks to the diversity of molecules, the studies dealing with them are very recent and remain few.

• Energy reserve polysaccharides: Carbohydrates, as storage compounds, provide energy for the metabolic processes of organisms and allow, if necessary, temporary survival in the dark (Markou et al., 2012). The synthesis of these reserve polysaccharides is a species-dependent process. Generally, polymers used as a storage product differ from those used as components of cell walls. In most microalgae species, the energy reserves are in the form of starch. The latter is a complex carbohydrate composed of amylose and amylopectin, two glucose polymers. Its degree of polymerization as well as its location within the cell differ according to the species of microalgae. In red microalgae, the Rhodophytes, starch is called floridian, i.e. it is stored as a vesicle in the cytoplasm outside the chloroplast. In green microalgae, the Chlorophyceae, starch is stored intraplastidially. In addition to starch and floridean starch, other types of storage polysaccharides including chrysolaminarin, paramylon and glycogen can be found (Bernaerts et al., 2018; Bernaerts et al., 2019). Although most microalgae store their reserves as starch, cyanobacteria tend to accumulate glycogen, sucrose or glucosylglycerol (González-Fernández and Ballesteros, 2012; Markou et al., 2012).

The constitution of energy reserves is not equivalent in all microalgae. For example, the strain Porphyridium cruentum is able to accumulate carbohydrates up to 57% of its dry matter content while Chlamydomonas sp. does not exceed 17% (González-Fernández and Ballesteros, 2012; Markou et al., 2012). As pointed out earlier, carbohydrates content can be manipulated through growth conditions. As a consequence, highly fluctuating carbohydrate levels have been reported in literature. For instance, Chlorella vulgaris may be able to accumulate carbohydrates between 9 and 41% of its dry matter content depending on growth conditions (Dragone et al., 2011; González-Fernández and Ballesteros, 2012). The same is true for Scenedesmus obliquus, which could accumulate between 10 and 47% of carbohydrates in its dry matter content (González-Fernández and Ballesteros, 2012). However, in literature, an antagonistic mechanism to the accumulation of carbohydrates has also been reported. Several studies have documented a conversion of carbohydrates to lipids when cells were exposed to different stress conditions. In this respect, a study showed that a salinity of 1 g NaCl/L induced a 58.7% conversion of carbohydrates to lipids in a microalgal consortium (Venkata Mohan and Devi, 2014). Temperature is another abiotic factor that can induce metabolic changes. Studies have shown that a temperature increase to 30 °C and 40 °C caused the

conversion of 57.8% and 42% of carbohydrates to lipids, respectively (Han et al., 2016; Venkata Subhash et al., 2014).

The main application of reserve polysaccharides is biofuels (Yen et al., 2013). Indeed, their ability to be easily digested without requiring pre-treatment makes them a good choice for biomass conversion technologies, in particular for anaerobic digestion. However, in order to maximize biofuel production from microalgae, it is necessary to combine the high innate carbohydrate content of a species with its ability to produce biomass in a significant way (Markou et al., 2012).

• *Structural polysaccharides*: Structural polysaccharides are gaining increasing importance in literature. These cell wall related polysaccharides were firstly exploited for their rheological properties as thickening or gelling agents. Yet they have recently received much attention because of the detection of different biological activities well reviewed (Raposo et al., 2013) in addition to being relatively easy to extract (Bernaerts et al., 2019; Pignolet et al., 2013). Consequently, these macromolecules can find applications in the food (de la Noue and de Pauw, 1988; García et al., 2017; Siqueira et al., 2018; Venugopal, 2016), cosmetics (Martins et al., 2014; Mourelle et al., 2017; Yen et al., 2013) and pharmaceuticals (Ahmed et al., 2014; Berthon et al., 2017; García et al., 2017; Mourelle et al., 2017; Siqueira et al., 2018; Venugopal, 2016; Yen et al., 2013) industries. Some polysaccharides are also thought to have biosurfactant activities used in bioremediation of water and soil (Siqueira et al., 2018).

Except for a few species, the microalgal cell is surrounded by a cell wall whose composition differs whithin a phylum, class or even species (Bernaerts et al., 2019). It is generally rigid, homogeneous and multilayered. In eukaryotic microalgae, the cell wall consists of microfibrils embedded in a mucilage composed in part of polysaccharides, called fibrillar polysaccharides, such as cellulose and hemicellulose. A mixture of others polysaccharides such as xylose, mannose or sulfated polysaccharides are also found in different proportions. All these polysaccharides are related to the rigidity of the cell wall as well as the resistance to mechanical disruption techniques (Bernaerts et al., 2019). Unlike eukaryotic microalgae, cyanobacteria have a peptidoglycanbased cell wall made up of *N*-acetylglucosamine and *N*-acetylmuramic acid residues connected by  $\beta$ -1,4 linkages that are easily breakable (Bernaerts et al., 2019; D'Hondt et al., 2017).

Furthermore, several eukaryotic and prokaryotic microalgae can produce and excrete extracellular polymeric substances either noncovalently bonded to the surface of cells or in their surrounding environment. Most of the time, these polymers are referred to as exopolysaccharides although the terminology remains unclear (Chen et al., 2013; Siqueira et al., 2018; Yen et al., 2013). Contrarily to both intracellular and cell wall polysaccharides, these exopolysaccharides have a very complex structure. As a result, there is still limited information available on the structural characterization of carbohydrates from microalgae (Delattre et al., 2016). This phenomenon is all the more amplified by the fact that the interest in exopolysaccharides is rather recent (Bernaerts et al., 2019; Delattre et al., 2016; Pignolet et al., 2013; Templeton et al., 2012). However, several potential functions have been identified. Exopolysaccharides are known to promote the formation of cell adhesion or aggregates playing a role in the formation of biofilms (Bernaerts et al., 2019; Pignolet et al., 2013). In addition, their expression would be an adaptive response of microalgae to both mechanical and environmental stresses providing a protection to the cell. It is therefore not surprising that microalgae have been recognized as a good source for exopolysaccharides production ranging from about 0.5 g/L to up to 20 g/L (Delattre et al., 2016). However, to date, only few studies have been done on the subject. It also appears that, most of the time, the name used in literature does not allow to distinguish between exopolysaccharides and cell wall related polysaccharides making comparison difficult (Delattre et al., 2016).

Carbohydrates from microalgae are generally made up of a mixture

of neutral sugars, amino sugars and uronic acids in their structure. Their nature is species-dependent and therefore vary from one species to another (Geresh and Arad, 1991; González-Fernández and Ballesteros, 2012; Templeton et al., 2012; Venugopal, 2016; Xiao and Zheng, 2016). However, the 12 most common monosaccharides constituting the carbohydrates in microalgae are glucose, rhamnose, xylose, mannose, galactose, fucose, arabinose, ribose, glucosamine, galactosamine, glucuronic acid and galacturonic acid (Bernaerts et al., 2019; Siqueira et al., 2018; Templeton et al., 2012). Their detection is not always easy as their synthesis depends on the culture conditions, as well as the growth phases. One of the most commonly used strategies for the accumulation of exopolysaccharides is nutrient limitation. A study investigated the influence of different nitrogen sources on exopolysaccharide synthesis by Botryococcus braunii UC 58 (Lupi et al., 1994). The results highlight the strain ability to produce exopolysaccharides regardless of the nitrogen source but at different concentrations (2.4 g/L with nitrate, 1.8 g/L with urea and 2.1 g/L with ammonium after 14 days of culture). However, the nitrogen limitation had no effect on the composition of Tetraselmis suecica cell wall (Kermanshahi-pour et al., 2014). Regardless of the initial nitrate concentration (62 or 90 ppm), the cell walls were found to have a similar monosaccharide composition consisting of 54% 3-deoxy-D-manno-oct-2-ulosonic acid (Kdo), 17% 3-deoxy-lyxo-2-heptulosaric acid (Dha), 21% galacturonic acid and 6% galactose. However, nitrate depletion to a concentration of 3 ppm induced an accumulation of intracellular starch up to 45% of dry biomass.

Apart from culture media, physico-chemical culture paramaters such as light, temperature, aeration can affect the exopolysaccahrides composition and production. In this context, a study demonstrated that the production of exopolysaccharides from Arthrospira platensis was a light-dependent process and was also influenced by the temperature (Trabelsi et al., 2009). The authors found an optimum for exopolysaccahrides production at light intensity higher than 180 µmol photons/m<sup>2</sup>/s and temperature at 35 °C. In addition to light intensity, wavelengths and therefore light spectrum also influence the production and monosaccharide composition of exopolysaccharides without changing their structure. A study conducted on Nostoc flagelliforme revealed that blue light was more suitable than white light for exopolysaccharides production (Han et al., 2014). The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was also investigated on exopolysaccharides production (Cheng et al., 2015). The same aeration (2% of CO<sub>2</sub>) was applied to four different strains of Chlorella. Within the same species, different polysaccharides profiles were found. Chlorella vulgaris and Chlorella variabilis showed a different content of total carbohydrates in their cell wall (48% and 33% of total carbohydrates in cell wall, respectively) but their neutral cell wall monosaccharides profiles were quite similar (about 14% of arabinose, 36% of rhamnose, 43% of xylose + galactose + mannose and 7% of glucose). Conversely, Chlorella sorokiniana and Chlorella minutissima had the same total cell wall carbohydrates content (about 30%) but presented different cell wall composition: 0% arabinose, 38% rhamnose, 58% xylose + galactose + mannose and 4% glucose; and 0% arabinose, 3% rhamnose, 74% xylose + galactose + mannose and 23% glucose, respectively.

To date, there is poor knowledge of the structure of exopolysaccharides. In order to maximize their biotechnological exploitation, it is necessary to have access to their structural information to establish relationships between structure and biological activity. For this, further studies are necessary and expected in the coming years.

#### 3.3.4. Proteins, peptides and amino acids

Depending on the species and environmental factors, the protein content varies from 6 to 70% of their dry weight, although the majority of them have protein levels around 50% (Becker, 2007). Microalgae also have a rich and varied composition of amino acids, among which the most abundantly found are aspartate (Asp) and glutamate (Glu) (Conde et al., 2013). These molecules have different biological functions that can be used in the nutritional, cosmetics and pharmaceuticals fields.

Proteins from microalgae are mainly used as nutraceuticals or included in the formulation of functional foods (Khanra et al., 2018; Siqueira et al., 2018). Due to the high protein content of microalgae, mainly in Arthrospira, Chlorella and Dunaliella salina, they are considered to be an unconventional source of protein for human consumption, particularly for developing countries (Becker, 2007; Christaki et al., 2011; Kim and Kang, 2011). It would be estimated that by 2054, about 50% of the replacement protein market would be covered by proteins derived from algae or insects (Khanra et al., 2018). Furthermore, microalgae contain well-balanced essential amino acids profiles, however, they may have lower digestibility than standard protein sources (Becker, 2007; Bernaerts et al., 2019). In this respect, in vitro microalgae digestibility tests have been performed on different microalgae species. The results showed a large variability in protein digestibility values, from 50 to 82%, depending on the species analysed (Niccolai et al., 2019; Wild et al., 2018). In the high range, N. sphaeroides, A. platensis and C. vulgaris were found with crude protein digestibility values of 82%, 81% and 76%, respectively. These microalgae have a higher digestibility than some standard protein sources such as beans, oats and wheat (78%, 72% and 77%, respectively) (Niccolai et al., 2019). Conversely, other microalgae such as N. oceanica and C. sorokiniana exhibited relatively low protein digestibility values (50 and 55%, respectively). The reduced digestibility of some microalgae is explained by the composition of the cell wall. The thick, rigid and cellulosic cell wall in some microalgae is considered to be a barrier to digestibility (Kose et al., 2017). Conversely, cyanobacteria have a thinner cell wall that is more easily hydrolysable. Nevertheless, the digestibility of microalgae can be improved by exposing the cells to different processes (Kose et al., 2017). In this sense, a study has shown digestibility values of sixteen microalgae increased when the cells were subjected to a cell disruption process (Wild et al., 2018). In untreated cells, the highest average digestibility was found in Chlorella sp. (79%) and the lowest in Nannochloropsis sp. (54%). The process of cell disruption increased the average digestibility of all types of microalgae (78-84% on average), but with different gains. The largest increase was obtained in Nannochloropsis sp. (49% increase), while for the other genera, with already good digestibility, the increase was less significant: Arthrospira sp. (5%), Chlorella sp. (6%) and Phaeodactylum sp. (8%). In addition to nutraceuticals, proteins of microalgal origin would present promising technological functionalities for the agri-food industry. For example, Chlorella vulgaris and Tetraselmis sp. would have emulsifying and foaming properties (Bernaerts et al., 2019). Thickening or gelling properties have also been reported for Arthrospira platensis and Tetraselmis suecica proteins (Bernaerts et al., 2019).

Microalgal proteins, mainly from Arthrospira and Chlorella genera, have also penetrated the cosmetics field, and more particularly the skin market (Apone et al., 2019; Stolz, 2005). In general, protein extracts are found in the formulation of beauty products because of their attractive biological activities. These activites have already been well reviewed (Apone et al., 2019). At present, some beauty products containing protein extracts from microalgae can be found in the market. For example, Dermochlorella DG \* is a product developed from Chlorella vulgaris extracts containing oligopeptides. This microalgae-based ingredient, by stimulating collagen production, acts as a restructuring agent that gives firmness to the skin, reduces the morphology of stretch marks and reduces vascular imperfections (Martins et al., 2014; Stolz, 2005; Yaakob et al., 2014). Arthrospira proteins are also used in cosmetics to repair the signs of early skin aging (Stolz, 2005). Micosporinlike amino acids have also attracted a lot of interest as potential cosmetic agents (Berthon et al., 2017; Mourelle et al., 2017). The latter specifically have photoprotective and antioxidant actions to fight against photoinduced skin aging (Mourelle et al., 2017; Siqueira et al., 2018).

From a pharmacological point of view, peptides from microalgae

have gained more attention as alternative bioactive compounds due to their safety status. They are mainly known to have anti-inflammatory (Vo et al., 2013), antihypertensive, anticancer (Sadeghi et al., 2018), antibacterial and antioxidant (Sheih et al., 2009) properties that can be applied in human health promotion (Galasso et al., 2019). These peptides are generally obtained following enzymatic hydrolysis of various proteins (Ejike et al., 2017; Kim and Kang, 2011). Proteins usually contain 3-20 amino acid residues, and their activity depends on their amino acid composition and sequence (Kim and Kang, 2011). One of the main producers of peptides is Chlorella sp. (Ejike et al., 2017). As such, many studies have been conducted on this genus. One of them was performed in vitro on hydrolyzed proteins from Chlorella ellipsiodea (Ko, Kim, and Jeon, 2012). This study identified a specific pentapeptide (Leu-Asn-Gly-Asp-Val-Trp) showing to have peroxyl radical, 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and hydroxyl radicals scavenging antioxidant activities at the half maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC<sub>50</sub>) values of 0.02, 0.92 and 1.42 mM, respectively. Once purified, the peptide was cultured with monkey kidney cells. The latter has improved both cellular viability against hydrochloride-induced cytotoxicity on normal cells (56.3%, 72.3%, and 79.4% at the concentrations of 25, 50, and 100 µM, respectively) and reduced the proportion of necrotic apoptotic cells hydrochloride-induced by 32.95%. The same author conducted another study on Chlorella ellipsiodea hydrolysates in order to identify anti-hypertensive properties (Ko, Kang, et al., 2012). In this respect, another peptide (Val-Glu-Gly-Tyr) was reported to have both in vitro angiotensensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory activity (IC<sub>50</sub> value =  $128.4 \mu$ M) and *in vivo* systolic blood pressure lowering effects when orally administrered in rats. A similar study has been conducted on both Chlorella vulgaris and Spirulina platensis peptides showing different peptides with different inhibition activity (Suetsuna and Chen, 2001). In Chlorella vulgaris, 4 peptides of the 5 peptides studied inhibited the ACE acitivy with lower IC<sub>50</sub> than in the previous study: Ala-Phe-Leu (63.8 µM), Phe-Ala-Leu (26.3 µM), Ala-Glu-Leu (57.1 µM), and Val-Val-Pro-Pro-Ala (79.5 µM). Five peptides have been identified from Spirulina platensis: Ile-Ala-Glu (34.7 µM), Phe-Ala-Leu, Ala-Glu-Leu, Ile-Ala-Pro-Gly (11.4 µM), and Val-Ala-Phe (35.8 µM). In vitro cytotoxic effects of Dunaliella salina peptides have also been reported in literature (Darvish et al., 2018). The antimicrobial activity was performed against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Helicobacter pylori. The highest inhibitory effect was obtained from peptids with > 10 kDa molecular weights for E. coli and peptids with 3-10 kDa molecular weights after 16 h of culture (16.8% and 17.2% inhibitory effect, respectively). The bioactive peptides with highest bacterial inhibition effect were observed on H. pylori culture with a minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.175 mg compared to E. coli and S. aureus (0.58 and 0.81 mg, respectively). Antiproliferative properties of Dunaliella salina peptides was analysed on human colon cancer cell lines (SW480). Among the three peptide fractions and concentrations studied, the most effective concentration appeared to be the peptides < 3 kDa in 0.1  $\mu$ g/ mL concentration after 72 h with about 30% viability. Although a large number of studies have been conducted using in vitro and cell-based assays for identifying properties, there are an increasing number of in vivo studies, mainly in rats. To our knowledge, the latest one to date was on the oral toxicity of microalgal protein hydrolysates on Wistar rats. This study has revealed the safety of these hydrolysates and thus supports their use in functional foods or nutraceuticals (Barkia et al., 2019).

These proteins, once synthesized, require extraction and purification steps. These must be monitored and tested to ensure that no changes in protein integrity occur as a result of these treatments (Dixon and Wilken, 2018).

#### 3.3.5. Vitamins

Microalgae are an essential primary food source in the rearing of all stages of marine bivalve molluscs, larvae of several marine fish species and shrimps, and zooplankton providing them with nutritional intakes. In addition to their balanced macronutrient composition, microalgae provide them with vitamins essential to their development as they are not able to synthesize them. These bioactive compounds are important metabolites because of their precursor properties of some important enzyme cofactors. Besides, they play an essential antioxidant role in the scavenger of ROS. Thus, the majority of studies on vitamin production by microalgae have been conducted on strains used in aquaculture.

In the context of aquaculture, marine microalgae are able of synthesizing and accumulating a wide range of vitamins including pro-vitamin A, some vitamins of the B group (B1, B2, B3, B5, B6, B8, B9 and B12), vitamin C, and vitamin E, among others (Galasso et al., 2019). The vitamin content from microalgae is both species-dependent (Brown et al., 1999: Coutteau and Sorgeloos, 1997: De Roeck-Holtzhauer et al., 1991; Fabregas and Herrero, 1990) and correlated with the growth phase and growth conditions (Brown et al., 1999). For instance, a study demonstrated that riboflavin (vitamin B2) content of six microalgae species used in mariculture all increased during the onset of stationary growth phase (Brown and Farmer, 1994). Later, the same author studied the effect of photoperiod and harvest stages on Nannochloropsis sp. CS-246 vitamins content (Brown et al., 1999). Under different light conditions, the content of most of the vitamins changed. Both vitamin B2 and B6 were found in larger quantities under constant light (62 and 9.5 µg/g, respectively compared with 25 and 3.6 obtained under light/ dark cycles of 12:12 h) while pro-vitamin A content was found to be lower (0.29 compared with 0.50 mg/g). Harvest stage also affected the vitamin content. Some vitamins such as vitamin C and vitamin E are expressed in greater quantities when the culture is harvested during the exponential phase. In constrast, the pro-vitamin A and vitamin B2 contents decreased as the culture grown and was harvested during the stationary phase. Another study also investigated the relationship between light availability and vitamin yield in Dunaliella tertiolecta (Barbosa et al., 2005). The vitamin C content of the cells increased from 1.72 to 3.48 mg/g with increasing average light intensity (from 84 to 430  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s). Unlike vitamin C, the authors found a negative correlation between the amount of vitamin E produced per mole of photons absorbed and the average light intensity inside the reactor. Two years earlier, a study had shown the same trend (Carballo-Cárdenas et al., 2003). However, the authors highlighted that vitamin E production was not only a function of light, but also of the strain and growth phase. Under the same conditions, Tetraselmis suecica expressed the highest vitamin E content during the exponential phase (1.08 mg/ gDW). However, this content gradually declined over the rest of the growth to reach a final value of 0.4 mg/gDW. In contrast, in Dunaliella tertiolecta, the vitamin E content increased with increasing cell density to reach up to 0.5 mg/gDW at the end of the culture. Finally, the availability of nutrients in the culture medium is also likely to affect vitamin synthesis in microalgae. As an example, a study investigated the effects of the nitrogen source (sodium nitrate and ammonium chloride) and their concentration (882 and 441 µmol/L) on the accumulation of vitamin E in Nannochloropsis oculata (Durmaz, 2007). The authors identified the highest vitamin E content (2325.8 µg/g dry weight) at 441 µmol/L sodium nitrate in the late stationary phase, i.e. 34 days of culture suggesting that decreasing nitrogen concentrations led to an increase in vitamin E accumulation. All these results support that vitamin expression is a species-dependent process and is adjusted according to the environmental conditions to which they are exposed (light, nutrients) as well as the harvesting stage.

In addition to aquaculture, studies have also been carried out on the contribution of microalgae sourced vitamins to human nutrition. In this respect, commercially available microalgae powders, intended for consumption as source of vitamins B2, B3, B9 and B12, have been examined (Edelmann et al., 2019). The vitamin B2 content of *Spirulina* powders showed a low variability between the tested products, with a maximum content of 40.9  $\mu$ g/g. Vitamin B3 is expressed more strongly in *Chlorella* (0.24 mg/g) than *Spirulina* (0.16 mg/g) powders, although the difference observed was not statistically significant. Nevertheless

these powders represent only a small portion of the recommended daily allowances of riboflavin (B2) and niacin (B3) for adults, which are 1.3 and 16 mg, respectively. In contrast, Chlorella and N. gaditana powders have been shown to be a good source of vitamin B9. Their maximum content (25.9  $\mu$ g/g and 20.8  $\mu$ g/g, respectively) is six times higher than that of *Spirulina* (4.7  $\mu$ g/g). These quantities represent about a quarter of the recommended daily intake (400  $\mu$ g) for a consumption of 5 g of microalgal powder. Moreover, another recent study has identified microalgae, in particular the cyanobacterium Anabaena cylindrica, as a non-toxic source of vitamin K1 (Tarento et al., 2018). This vitamin, which plays a role in the prevention of chronic diseases, is mainly produced chemically. Cyanobacterial production of vitamin K1 offers an interesting biotechnological alternative since it produces only the active isomer of the molecule, as opposed to 10 to 20% of inactive isomer by chemical means. Additionally, its very high concentration of around 200  $\mu$ g/g dry weight (six times higher than the 37  $\mu$ g/g found in parsley, a rich vitamin K1 food source) provides three times the daily needs of an adult for a 1 g intake of powder. Futhermore, others studies point out that the microalgal vitamin content is superior to certain vegetable commodities. Notably, some microalgae would have higher vitamin pro-vitamin A, vitamins E, B1 and B9 contents than conventional foods such as orange, carrot, wheat flour, corn flour, rye flour or soy flour (Fabregas and Herrero, 1990). Besides, fruits and vegetables do not represent a good source of vitamin B12 because the latter is neither synthesized nor required by the plants (Koyande et al., 2019). Consequently, a vegetarian or vegan diet can lead to a deficiency of this vitamin. To make up for this deficiency, microalgae are considered as an essential source of vitamin B12 for people following these diets (Koyande et al., 2019). For example, Chlorella-based powder can contain up to 2.4  $\mu$ g/g of vitamin B12, thus covering five times the recommended daily intake of 2.4 µg for an intake of 5 g of powder (Edelmann et al., 2019). In addition, one gram of Anabaena cylindrica powder provides 64% of the adult vitamin B12 intake (Tarento et al., 2018). Still, the bioavailability of these vitamins is species-dependent (Galasso et al., 2019).

Thus, depending on the desired product, different strategies are to be implemented. Moreover, a special attention must be paid to postharvesting treatments as drying processes. These latter could have a considerable effect on the vitamin content, especially on the heat unstable vitamins such as B1, B2, B3 and C.

#### 3.3.6. Polyphenols

Polyphenols are a wide group of secondary metabolites comprising phenolic acids, flavonoids, isoflavonoids, stilbenes, lignans and phenolic polymers (Galasso et al., 2019). Their basic structure consists of one or more hydroxyl groups bound to an aromatic ring, making them polar compounds. These molecules display a wide range of biological activities including antioxidant activites as well as anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-allergic, anti-diabetes, anti-aging and antimicrobial properties (Galasso et al., 2019; Li et al., 2007). A recent review focusing on both preclinical and clinical studies of polyphenols from seaweeds suggests that these latter would improve cardiovascular-associated disorders, but the authors point out that further data are needed to make this clear (Gómez-Guzmán et al., 2018). Recently, a study was carried out on polyphenols extracted from two different microalgae species: Nannochloropsis sp. and Spirulina sp. (Scaglioni et al., 2019). These extracts were used to study antifungal and antimycotoxigenic properties on trichothecenes mycotoxins from in vitro cultures of Fusarium graminearum. This study revealed that after 168 h of culture, 40 µg/mL of phenolic extracts from Nannochloropsis sp. completely inhibited nivalenol and deoxynivalenol and dramatically hindered acetylates production by 98%. Polyphenol extracts from Spirulina sp. totally inhibited nivalenol and significantly decreased deoxynivalenol production by 62% and reduced acetylates contents by 78%.

Extensive information on polyphenols exist on macroalgae (Montero

et al., 2018), however, production of polyphenols from microalgae was also studied. Like all other active biological compounds, both the composition and content of polyphenol from microalgae are speciesdependent. A screening of 32 different species was performed to determine the polyphenol contents (Goiris et al., 2012). From this study, it has been shown that polyphenols range between 54 mg Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE)/100 g DW (Haematococcus pluvialis) to 375 mg GAE/ 100 g DW (Phaeodactylum tricornutum). Tetraselmis sp. and Neochloris oleoabundans are also great producers with 374 and 373 mg GAE/100 g DW, respectively. Other studies on microalgal polyphenols have found results within this range. For instance, a study found that Arthrospira platensis exhibits 334 mg GAE/100 g DW while Chlorella vulgaris expresses 217 mg GAE/100 g DW (Matos et al., 2019). Moreover, when compared to other sources of polyphenols, it has been observed that microalgae have total polyphenolic content similar to or higher than several vegetables and fruits (Manach et al., 2004). However, the greatest polyphenolic producers remain red berries.

Despite this step forward, further studies are needed to explore the production mechanism of polyphenols in more detail to determine the advantageous conditions to their expression.

#### 3.3.7. Phytosterols

Sterols are components of the cellular membrane acting on its stability, permeability and fluidity by controlling movement of fatty acid chains within it (Ahmed et al., 2015; Galasso et al., 2019; Volkman, 2003). They are nitrogen-free complex polycyclic alcohols that originate from isoprenoid biosynthesis. Among the 200 reported phytosterols,  $\beta$ -sitosterol is the most abundant in higher plants, but in a number of microalgae it appeared that 24-ethylcholesterol was dominant (Ahmed et al., 2015; Galasso et al., 2019; Volkman, 2003). In addition, the sterols present in microalgae display both a great diversity of structures and occurrence variety. These compounds are species-dependent and their composition can also be influenced by environmental factors such as light intensity, temperature, salinity and growth stage (Le Goff et al., 2019; Volkman, 2003).

Phytosterols have already been the subject of numerous reviews because of their beneficial effects on human health (Ahmed et al., 2015; Kritchevsky and Chen, 2005). Particularly, they are well-known for their ability to reduce low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol and promote cardiovascular health with an intake of 2–3 g/days minimum. Besides, they present anti-inflammatory, anti-atherogenicity, anticancer and anti-oxidative activities (Woyengo et al., 2009). An *in vivo* study conducted on mice also highlighted some protection properties of  $\beta$ -sitosterol against nervous system disorders (Kim et al., 2008). In addition to their properties, the biodisponibility of phytosterols has been studied by exploring their absorption on different animal species. It has been reported that rabbits do not absorb phytosterols while rats and humans absorb a small fraction (4% and 1.5% to 5%, respectively) (Kritchevsky and Chen, 2005).

In microalgae, a screnning of 10 species commonly used in aquaculture and the nutraceuticals industry was conducted to determine the best candidates for phytosterols production (Ahmed et al., 2015). From this study, it emerged that *Pavlova lutheri*, *Tetraselmis sp. M8* and *Nannochloropsis sp. BR2* were the greatest producers of total phytosterols (from 0.4 to 2.6% dry weight). In addition, when stressed, *Pavlova lutheri* was able of expressing sterols up to 5% DW (day 14 at 35 salinity) (Ahmed et al., 2015).

Currently, the main industrial sources of phytosterols are tallow and vegetable oils. For instance, corn and rapeseed are capable to synthesize 850 mg/100 g and 820 mg/100 g of phytosterols, respectively (Ahmed et al., 2015). Microalgae are also good producers of phytosterols, especially *Pavlova lutheri* with 5186 mg/100 g of phytosterols. However, further efforts are needed to induce overexpression of phytosterols from microalgae through metabolic or genetic engineering, as well as on recovery and purification methods.

#### 3.3.8. Hormones

Phytohormones are a class of molecules produced in very low concentrations serving as chemical messengers to coordinate cellular processes in higher plants (Lu and Xu, 2015). Most of the microalgal lineages are able to produce phytohormones. Among the classical phytohormones, there are auxin, abscisic acid, cytokinin, ethylene, gibberellins, polyamines, jasmonides, salicylates, signal peptides, and brassinosteroids (Bajguz, 2019; Lu and Xu, 2015; Mourelle et al., 2017). Although their functional roles are not well known to date, they have recently been studied (Lu and Xu, 2015; Mourelle et al., 2017). Depending on strains, phytohormones can act on development, growth, light response, stress tolerance, secondary metabolite synthesis and senescence of microalgae and thus regulating homoeostasis to cope with variable environmental factors (Bajguz, 2019; Lu and Xu, 2015). As a result, understanding phytohormone pathways can be considered as a strategy for the selection of microalgae species for specific industrial purposes in particular for enhanced biofuel production (Lu and Xu, 2015). Indeed, the manipulation of phytohormone metabolism could increase biomass or secondary metabolites productivity by allowing better tolerance to adverse environmental conditions. In addition, microalgae-based hormones could play a role in counteracting the signs of skin aging in the field of cosmetics (Mourelle et al., 2017).

## 4. Current and projected market share

In 2017, the global market for microalgae-based products was estimated at 32.60 billion USD and is projected to reach approximately 53.43 billion USD by 2026 (Rahman, 2020). Currently, more than 75% of the production of microalgae-derived products is dedicated to food, feed or nutraceutical applications (Rahman, 2020), thus high valueadded products can be considered as niches. Among those, the first established were dyeing agents, with 300 million USD in 2009, and nutraceuticals, with 30 million USD in 2009 (Rahman, 2020). Since then, other potential markets have emerged. As such, it is estimated that in 2020, dyeing agents will still be the leading microalgae product on the market (800 million USD), closely followed by pharmaceuticals/ chemicals (500 million USD), nutraceuticals (300 million USD) and finally cosmetics (30 million USD) (Rahman, 2020). Currently, the market for microalgae-based products is dominated by the United States, Asia and Oceania. However, in the coming years, Europe is likely to become one of the leaders in the field of microalgae-based bioproducts (Rahman, 2020).

Two species, *Arthrospira* (trade name *Spirulina*) and *Chlorella*, are at the head of the world market with a production of 12,000 tons per year and 5000 tons per year, respectively (MU et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). These production figures are expected to increase further thanks to the growing consumer demand for a healthier diet. As an example, it is estimated that by 2050 more than 18% of protein sources will be derived from microalgae (Caporgno and Mathys, 2018). As a result, the increase of the market volume of *Spirulina* powder is estimated to reach 380 million USD by 2027, compared to 220.5 million USD in 2017 (MU et al., 2019).

If we take a closer look at the market shares of current microalgae products (Table 4), one can see that only certain high value-added molecules from microalgae have really made inroads into the world market. Among these molecules, one can find PUFAs, in particular omega-3 with a current market share of 2.5 billion USD in 2014, and carotenoids with 1.5 billion USD in 2017. Currently, the largest share of the PUFAs market is held by the United States. However, this market is expected to grow at an average annual growth rate of 13.5% worldwide (Rahman, 2020). The market for carotenoids is also growing steadily owing to the health benefits of these molecules. Of the more than 600 existing carotenoids, only two have achieved commercial:  $\beta$ -carotene and astaxanthin.  $\beta$ -carotene market was estimated to range between 224 and 285 million USD in 2019 (Rahman, 2020; MU et al., 2019). The global market for astaxanthin was estimated at 257 million USD in

2009 (Khattar et al., 2009), 555.4 in 2016 (Markets, n.d) and is projected to reach 1.1 billon USD in 2020 (Shah et al., 2016). Still, only 1% of this values corresponds to microalgae sourced astaxanthin. However, with the emergence of natural and organic products, the market value for natural astaxanthin can be expected to increase. In this perspective, it has been estimated that the market share of astaxanthin derived from microalgae would be 770 million USD by 2024. Provided proper market share increase, astaxanthin could be the flagship molecule driving microalgal biorefinery. Indeed, while natural astaxanthin prices ranges from 2500 to 7150 USD/kg (Kim et al., 2015; Koller et al., 2014), nutraceutical grade astaxanthin originating from Haematococcus pluvialis can reach 6000 USD/kg Dawidziuk et al. (2017), with production costs fluctuating between 300 and 3600 USD/kg (Li et al., 2011). Still, this enthusiasm has to be moderated as synthetic astaxanthin prices is around 1000 USD/kg (Li et al., 2011), positioning it as an economically sound alternative when biosourced counterpart is not required.

## 5. Discussion

From the proposed review, one can see that microalgae have a great potential for high added-value molecules production. Still, the levels of maturity of the aforementioned categories variate, while pigments and PUFAs have penetrated their markets, other molecules are still under active research. In particular, exopolysaccharides, polyphenols, phytosterols and hormones are classes of molecules whose research wave is relatively recent. Further research efforts to better understand the structures and/or mechanisms of production are needed to hopefully make them emerging technologies in the coming years.

The combination of both technical and economical elements allows to take step back and analyse the current structure of the microalgal industry and draw projection from it. PUFAs production has been driven by the general public demand for DHA. This demand emanates from the recommendation of the WHO for both infant (minimum 0.3 gDHA + EPA/day) and adult health (0.250 gDHA + EPA/day) (J. F. E. C. on Fats and Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition, n.d). Still PUFAs market supply is ensured by fish oil, themselves originating from the microalgae fishes consume. This highlights the potency of general public organisations in market development. From a wider perspective, nutraceutics will probably be the main driver of microalgal culture for high added-value molecules. This would shift the community position on the production of certain molecules. For example, while currently produced for their fish flesh dyeing properties, with this new driver in mind,  $\beta$ -carotene and astaxanthin would be produced for their health benefits. If microalgae-based chlorophyll were to achieve high market

volume, it would also be driven by nutraceutics market and not dyeing agents ones. Phycobiliproteins are an exception to this observation.

In the nutraceutics perspective, it is likely that microalgae would not be fragmented before being sold but marketed as a whole product, avoiding expensive fractioning costs. It would also allow retailers to promote health benefits of other biochemical compounds hosted by microalgae such as vitamins and proteins. Still proteins have a wider scope than sole nutrition. Microalgae-based proteins could be also driven by their technical properties appealing to the cosmetics and/or pharmaceutical sectors. Those two sectors will surely be the drivers of few microalgae applications with pin-pointed molecules. These applications could emerge from intrinsic value of the microalgal products, *e.g.* anti HIV, or from regulatory demands, *e.g.* plastic microbeads ban pushes the cosmetic sectors towards other texturing agents, microalgae polysaccharides could be among them. This last point highlights the tremendous power held by the regulator and how its coming positions will ease or not the rise of microalgal biorefinery into power.

With either nutraceutics or cosmetics/pharmaceutics focus, microalgae cultures will be product driven. Still subsequent capital will be needed for both culture protocol and product development, making the early choice of the relevant strain all the more relevant. In the light of microalgae diversity, this choice may not be an easy task. With this aim, Fig. 4 ties together classification, phyla specific bioactive compounds and their properties to biotechnological applications.

As one can see, Chlorophyta phylum is the one with the most diapplications. It is followed by Cyanobacteria verse and Heterokontophyta phyla. Other phyla, usually cultivated for aquaculture (Cryptista, Haptophyta) seem to have potential for higher value application, such as PUFA. At the other end of the list, Euglenozoa phylum has not been identified as a high added-value application and seems to be restricted to wastewater treatment. In addition, to identify potential valorisation for a given strain, Fig. 3 highlights that some compounds can only be produced by a very restricted set of phyla. It is specifically the case for chlorophylls and carotenoids which are produced at relevant levels by Chlorophyta, or for technical proteins produced by Chlorophyta and Cyanobacteria. Still, no matter which molecule would be extracted, it could be valorised in different configurations thanks to several positive effects (except for vitamins whose have only one). Regarding applications, despite their diversity, the potential sectors of applications for microalgae extracted bioactive compounds mostly belong to cosmetics and pharmaceutical industries. Finally, food industry may consider microalgae for technical purposes restricted to dyeing and rheological agents.

Despite the benefits of microalgae, there are many bottlenecks

#### Table 4

Products	Current demand	Projected demand	Main producing strains
Omega-3 PUFAs EPA	2.5 billion USD in 2014 (Matos, 2017) 300 million USD in 2010 (FAO, n.d)	5 billion USD by 2020 (Wang et al., 2020) 4 billion USD for EPA/DHA by 2022 (MU et al., 2019)	Isochrysis galbana, Nannochloropsis oculata, Phaeodactylum tricornutum
DHA	1.5 billion USD in 2010 (FAO, n.d)		Crypthecodinium cohnii, Schizochytrium limacinum, Ulkenia sp.
Carotenoids	1.5 billion in 2017 (Butler and Golan, 2020; Nwoba et al., 2020; Ruiz et al., 2016)	2.0 billion beetween 2019–2022 (Butler and Golan, 2020) (Nwoba et al., 2020)	
Astaxanthin	both synthetic and natural forms: 555.4 million USD in 2016 (Markets)	1.1 billion USD by 2020 (Shah et al., 2016) to 2.57 billion by 2025 (MU et al., 2019). Microalgae-based form: 770 million USD by 2024 (MU et al., 2019)	Haematococcus pluvialis
$\beta$ -carotene	224–285 million in 2019 (Research, 2018; MU et al., 2019)	N.A.	Dunaliella salina
Phycobiliproteins	Greater than 60 million USD in 2010 (Wang et al., 2020)	N.A.	
Phycoerythrin	10–50 million USD in 2019 (Nwoba et al., 2020)	N.A.	Porphyridium sp. and Rhodella sp.
Phycocyanin	112.3 million USD in 2018 (MU et al., 2019)	232.9 million USD by 2025 (MU et al., 2019)	Arthrospira sp., Porphyridium aerugineum

throughout the process of their exploitation (Gifuni et al., 2019). During the culture stage, strain selection, which this article aims at helping, strain engineering and nutrient supply strategies need to be optimized for the hyperaccumulation of a single product. In addition, the growth of microalgae in culture is strongly limited by the degree of light penetration, resulting in dilute cultures with low biomass concentrations (about 3 g/L for autotrophic cultures compared to 30–100 g/L for heterotrophic fermentations). The diluted nature of the culture has major repercussions on processes downstream of the value chain, which represent 40% of the total costs of the entire process, and in particular on the harvesting stage (Fasaei et al., 2018). Finally, the extraction of metabolites of interest is also limiting due to the capacity of microalgae to effectively resist cell disruption processes due to the nature of the biochemical composition of their cell wall (Sialve et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2014). At present, these bottlenecks have not been resolved. The most optimitic projections envision a whole biomass cost of 0.62 €/kg dry weight (accounting for 0.20 €/kg dry weight cost reduction associated to wastewater treatment valorisation) (Acién Fernández et al., 2019). While possible, the growth of microalgae on wastewater with the aim of producing high added-value molecules seems unlikely. Indeed, even though it would, marginally, reduce the productions costs, the use of wastewater would induce undesirable/ unpredictable variations in the growth substrate. Luckily, the diversity of applications widen the valorisation horizon as it is an edge that may alleviate the cost burden associated with biomass low cost (biofuel, feed) valorisation. Depending on the market value associated to the targeted bioactive compounds, two strategies can be envisioned. For

high price molecule such as astaxanthin, its sole hyperaccumulation may bring economic viability to the production process. In addition, after extraction of this flagship molecule, spend biomass can be turn to biofuel. An alternative strategy would be the production of two or more medium added value compounds without paying the additional production costs associated to hyperaccumulation promoting growth conditions (Gifuni et al., 2019).

Finally, one can note that, in recent years, genetic and metabolic engineering has emerged as a potential tool for promoting economical viablity of microalgal biotechnology. Indeed, it allows to modify existing strains to improve their performances hence reducing associated production costs. Several examples can be given in this regard. First, in order to make the production of biofuels from microalgae profitable. strains can be modified to overexpress lipid production (Brennan and Owende, 2010; Hamed, 2016). Another relevant example is the production of recombinant proteins. A recombinant protein is a protein produced by a cell whose genetic material that has been modified by genetic recombination. Recombinant proteins obtained from microalgae include antibodies, vaccines and enzymes (Dixon and Wilken, 2018; Gong et al., 2011). Microalgae have been considered and studied as a platform for the production of these proteins. Being eukaryotic cells, their main advantage is to contain the cellular machinery allowing them to synthesize complex human proteins in a cost effective manner (Specht et al., 2010). Thanks to the complete sequencing of the genome of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, the latter has great potential for the production of recombinant proteins (Specht et al., 2010); Gong et al., 2011; Dixon and Wilken, 2018). Other strains such as Chlorella



Fig. 4. Main bioactive compounds expressed in microalgae in relation to their classification and possible applications as high value added products. Numbers of species obtained from Guiry (2012); Guiry and Guiry (2020). Blue circle: pharmaceutics; pink circle: cosmetics; yellow circle: nutraceutics and green circle: food. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Biotechnology Advances 41 (2020) 107545

*ellipsoidea, Dunaliella tertiolecta* or *Dunaliella salina* have also been studied (Dixon and Wilken, 2018). Some of the notable successes, although many, are the expressions of an anti-glycoprotein D antibody of herpes simplex virus, a monoclonal antibody IgG1 directed against anthrax protective antigen or the human protein TRAIL known to induce apoptosis in tumor cells infected with a virus (Dixon and Wilken, 2018; Gong et al., 2011; Specht et al., 2010). However, routine genetic manipulation has been limited to a few species until recently (Valverde et al., 2016). To date, few - about thirty - species have undergone transformations (Hamed, 2016; Pulz and Gross, 2004; Wijffels et al., 2013). As for other genetically modified organisms, modified microalgae would have to face two challenges regulations and consumer acceptance.

#### 6. Conclusion and perspectives

This work reviewed applications of high added value molecules produced from microalgae. From this, it can be stated that, older forms of valorization - health food and quality feed, polyunsaturated fatty acids, pigments, carbohydrates - are currently penetrating their markets. For some they can even be found on supermarkets shelves. They are driven by two factors. For general public goods, the consumer appetite for healthy food and biosourced molecules in cosmetics. For industrial applications, desirable technical properties: *e.g.* texturer and dye for food industry, antioxidant for cosmetics. Most recent developments, such as peptides, vitamins, polyphenols, phytosterols and phytohormones, are struggling to meet their market and reach economical competitiveness. Still they are pushed forward by the very powerful driver that is pharmaceutical industry and the hope to gain new drugs and therapy. Regarding those, only time, research and development will tell us if they fulfill their expectations.

This work not only reviewed the aforementioned applications, it also tried to link microalgae classification and related potential applications. This is done through highlighting of which bioactive compounds can be found in which phyla. While some seem to be restricted to aquaculture, Cyanobacteria, Chlorophyta and Rhodophyta show great promises. This diversity of potential can be explained by two factors: the low number of strains in some phyla (25 and 220 species currently described for Glaucophyta and Cryptista, respectively), meaning low intrinsic potential, and the past direction of global research effort which may have biased our perception towards some phyla.

Finally, in addition to unravelling microalgae capabilities, academics and engineers still have to focus on alleviating large scale production bottlenecks, namely, high density cultures, harvest and molecules extraction. This could be done by strain genetic engineering, rising concerns about regulation and consumer acceptance, or conventional process optimization.

#### Declaration of author contributions

WL and VP initiated and planned the project. WL drafted the manuscript. PP and VP performed critical revision of the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript and take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, from inception to finished article.

#### Statement of Informed Consent, Human/Animal Rights

No conflicts, informed consent, human or animal rights applicable.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

Authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Département de la Marne, Région Grand Est and Grand Reims for their financial support.

#### References

- Abinandan, S., Shanthakumar, S., 2015. Challenges and opportunities in application of microalgae (Chlorophyta) for wastewater treatment: a review. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 52, 123–132.
- Acién Fernández, F.G., Fernández Sevilla, J.M., Molina Grima, E., 2019. Chapter 21 costs analysis of microalgae production. In: Pandey, A., Chang, J.-S., Soccol, C.R., Lee, D.-J., Chisti, Y. (Eds.), Biofuels from Algae, Second edition. Elsevier, pp. 551–566. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64192-2.00021-4. URL. Biomass, Biofuels, Biochemicals. Jan. 978-0-444-64192-2. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/B9780444641922000214.
- Adarme-Vega, T.C., Lim, D.K.Y., Timmins, M., Vernen, F., Li, Y., Schenk, P.M., 2012. Microalgal biofactories: a promising approach towards sustainable omega-3 fatty acid production. Microb. Cell Factories 11, 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-11-96. July ISSN 1475-2859. URL. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC3465194/.
- Ahmed, A.B.A., Adel, M., Karimi, P., Peidayesh, M., 2014. Chapter ten pharmaceutical, Cosmeceutical, and traditional applications of marine carbohydrates. In: Kim, S.-K. (Ed.), Advances in Food and Nutrition Research. Volume 73 of Marine Carbohydrates: Fundamentals and Applications, Part B. Academic Press, pp. 197–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800268-1.00010-X. Jan. http://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B978012800268100010X.
- Ahmed, F., Zhou, W., Schenk, P.M., 2015. Pavlova lutheri is a high-level producer of phytosterols. Algal Res. 10, 210–217.
- Andersen, R.A., 2015. Diversity of eukaryotic algae. Biodivers. Conserv. 10 (4), 267–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00693765.
- Apone, F., Barbulova, A., Colucci, M.G., 2019. Plant and microalgae derived peptides are advantageously employed as bioactive compounds in cosmetics. Front. Plant Sci. 10https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00756. ISSN 1664-462X.
- Arad, S.M., Yaron, A., 1992. Natural pigments from red microalgae for use in foods and cosmetics. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 3, 92–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/0924-2244(92)90145-M. Jan ISSN 0924-2244.
- Bajguz, A., 2019. Brassinosteroids in microalgae: application for growth improvement and protection against abiotic stresses. In: Hayat, S., Yusuf, M., Bhardwaj, R., Bajguz, A. (Eds.), Brassinosteroids: Plant Growth and Development. Springer Singapore, Singapore, pp. 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6058-9\_2. ISBN 9789811360589.
- Barbosa, M.J., Zijffers, J.W., Nisworo, A., Vaes, W., van Schoonhoven, J., Wijffels, R.H., 2005. Optimization of biomass, vitamins, and carotenoid yield on light energy in a flat-panel reactor using the A-stat technique. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 890 (2), 233–242. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20346. Jan. ISSN 0006-3592.
- Barkia, I., Ketata Bouaziz, H., Sellami Boudawara, T., Aleya, L., Gargouri, A.F., Saari, N., 2019. Acute oral toxicity study on Wistar rats fed microalgal protein hydrolysates from *Bellerochea malleus*. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-4007-6. Jan. ISSN 1614-7499.
- Becker, E.W., 2007. Micro-algae as a source of protein. Biotechnol. Adv. 250 (2), 207–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2006.11.002. Mar. ISSN 1614-7499. ISSN 0734-9750.
- Bernaerts, T.M.M., Gheysen, L., Foubert, I., Hendrickx, M.E., Van Loey, A.M., 2019. The potential of microalgae and their biopolymers as structuring ingredients in food: a review. Biotechnol. Adv. 107419https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2019. 107419. URL. July ISSN 0734-9750. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/ pii/S0734975019301193.
- Bernaerts, T.M.M., Gheysen, L., Kyomugasho, C., Jamsazzadeh Kermani, Z., Vandionant, S., Foubert, I., Hendrickx, M.E., Van Loey, A.M., 2018. Comparison of microalgal biomasses as functional food ingredients: Focus on the composition of cell wall related polysaccharides. Algal Res. 32, 150–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2018. 03.017. June ISSN 2211-9264.
- Berthon, J.-Y., Nachat-Kappes, R., Bey, M., Cadoret, J.-P., Renimel, I., Filaire, E., 2017. Marine algae as attractive source to skin care. Free Radic. Res. 510 (6), 555–567. https://doi.org/10.1080/10715762.2017.1355550. June ISSN 1029-2470.
- Bhalamur, G.L., Valerie, O., Mark, L., 2018. Valuable bioproducts obtained from microalgal biomass and their commercial applications: A review. Environ. Eng. Res. 230 (3), 229–241. Sept. ISSN 1226-1025. URL. http://www.dbpia.co.kr/Article/ NODE07417103.
- Bishop, W.M., Zubeck, H.M., 2012. Evaluation of microalgae for use as nutraceuticals and nutritional supplements. J. Nutr. Food Sci. 20 (5), 1–6.
- Blankenship, R.E., Tiede, D.M., Barber, J., Brudvig, G.W., Fleming, G., Ghirardi, M., Gunner, M.R., Junge, W., Kramer, D.M., Melis, A., Moore, T.A., Moser, C.C., Nocera, D.G., Nozik, A.J., Ort, D.R., Parson, W.W., Prince, R.C., Sayre, R.T., 2011. Comparing photosynthetic and photovoltaic efficiencies and recognizing the potential for improvement. Science (New York, N.Y.) 3320 (6031), 805–809. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1200165. May ISSN 1095-9203.
- Bonfanti, C., Cardoso, C., Afonso, C., Matos, J., Garcia, T., Tanni, S., Bandarra, N.M., 2018. Potential of microalga Isochrysis galbana: Bioactivity and bioaccessibility. Algal Res. 29, 242–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2017.11.035. Jan. ISSN 2211-9264.
- Borowitzka, M.A., 1995. Microalgae as sources of pharmaceuticals and other biologically active compounds. J. Appl. Phycol. 70 (1), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/

BF00003544. Feb. ISSN 1573-5176.

- Brennan, L., Owende, P., 2010. Biofuels from microalgae—A review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 140 (2), 557–577. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.009. Feb. ISSN 1364-0321.
- Brown, M., Mular, M., Miller, I., Farmer, C., Trenerry, C., 1999. The vitamin content of microalgae used in aquaculture. J. Appl. Phycol. 110 (3), 247–255. https://doi.org/ 10.1023/A:1008075903578. June ISSN 1573-5176.
- Brown, M.R., 1991. The amino-acid and sugar composition of 16 species of microalgae used in mariculture. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 1450 (1), 79–99. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0022-0981(91)90007-J. Mar. ISSN 0022-0981.
- Brown, M.R., Farmer, C.L., 1994. Riboflavin content of six species of microalgae used in mariculture. J. Appl. Phycol. 60 (1), 61–65. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02185905. Feb. ISSN 1573-5176.
- Butler, T., Golan, Y., 2020. Astaxanthin production from microalgae. In: Alam, M.A., Xu, J.-L., Wang, Z. (Eds.), Microalgae Biotechnology for Food, Health and High Value Products. Springer, Singapore, pp. 175–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0169-2\_6. ISBN 9789811501692.
- Caporgno, M.P., Mathys, A., 2018. Trends in microalgae incorporation into innovative food products with potential health benefits. Front. Nutr. 5https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnut.2018.00058. ISSN 2296-861X.
- E. C. Carballo-Cárdenas, P. M. Tuan, M. Janssen, and R. H. Wijffels. Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) production by the marine microalgae Dunaliella tertiolecta and Tetraselmis suecica in batch cultivation. Biomol. Eng., 200(4) :139–147, 2003. July ISSN 1389-0344. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-0344(03)00040-6.
- Chen, C.-Y., Zhao, X.-Q., Yen, H.-W., Ho, S.-H., Cheng, C.-L., Lee, D.-J., Bai, F.-W., Chang, J.-S., 2013. Microalgae-based carbohydrates for biofuel production. Biochem. Eng. J. 78, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2013.03.006. Sept. ISSN 1369-703X.
- Cheng, Y.-S., Labavitch, J.M., VanderGheynst, J.S., 2015. Elevated CO2 concentration impacts cell wall polysaccharide composition of green microalgae of the genus Chlorella. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 600 (1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12320. ISSN 1472-765X.
- Chia, M.A., Lombardi, A.T., da Graça Gama Melão, M., Parrish, C.C., 2015. Combined nitrogen limitation and cadmium stress stimulate total carbohydrates, lipids, protein and amino acid accumulation in Chlorella vulgaris (Trebouxiophyceae). Aquat. Toxicol. 160, 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.01.002. Mar. ISSN 0166-445X.
- Chia, M.A., Lombardi, A.T., Melao, M.D.G.G., 2013. Growth and biochemical composition of Chlorella vulgaris in different growth media. An. Acad. Bras. Cienc. 850 (4), 1427–1438.
- Chiaramonti, D., Prussi, M., Buffi, M., Rizzo, A.M., Pari, L., 2017. Review and experimental study on pyrolysis and hydrothermal liquefaction of microalgae for biofuel production. Appl. Energy 185, 963–972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015. 12.001. Jan. ISSN 0306-2619.
- Choi, S.P., Nguyen, M.T., Sim, S.J., 2010. Enzymatic pretreatment of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii biomass for ethanol production. Bioresour. Technol. 1010 (14), 5330–5336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.026. July ISSN 0960-8524.
- Christaki, E., Florou-Paneri, P., Bonos, E., 2011. Microalgae: a novel ingredient in nutrition. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 620 (8), 794–799. https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486. 2011.582460. Dec. ISSN 0963-7486.
- Colyer, C.L., Kinkade, C.S., Viskari, P.J., Landers, J.P., 2005. Analysis of cyanobacterial pigments and proteins by electrophoretic and chromatographic methods. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 3820 (3), 559–569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-004-3020-4. June ISSN 1618-2642.
- Conde, E., Balboa, M., Parada, M., Falqué, E., 2013. 4 Algal proteins, peptides and amino acids. In: Domínguez, H. (Ed.), Functional Ingredients from Algae for Foods and Nutraceuticals. Woodhead Publishing, pp. 135–180. https://doi.org/10.1533/ 9780857098689.1.135. Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition. Jan. 978-0-85709-512-1.
- Correa, I., Drews, P., Botelho, S., Souza, M.S.D., Tavano, V.M., 2017. Deep learning for microalgae classification. In: 2017 16th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMLA.2017.0-183. 20–25, Dec.
- Coutteau, P., Sorgeloos, P., 1997. Manipulation of dietary lipids, fatty acids and vitamins in zooplankton cultures. Freshw. Biol. 380 (3), 501–512. https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 1365-2427.1997.00239.x. Dec. ISSN 1365-2427. https://onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997.00239.x.
- Darvish, M., Jalili, H., Ranaei-Siadat, S.-O., Sedighi, M., 2018. Potential cytotoxic effects of peptide fractions from Dunaliella salina protein hydrolyzed by gastric proteases. J. Aqu. Food Product Technol. 207 (2), 165–175.
- Dawidziuk, A., Popiel, D., Luboinska, M., Grzebyk, M., Wisniewski, M., Koczyk, G., 2017. Assessing contamination of microalgal astaxanthin producer Haematococcus cultures with high-resolution melting curve analysis. J. Appl. Genet. 580 (2), 277–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13353-016-0378-x. May. ISSN 2190-3883.
- De Roeck-Holtzhauer, Y., Quere, I., Claire, C., 1991. Vitamin analysis of five planktonic microalgae and one macroalga. J. Appl. Phycol. 30 (3), 259–264. https://doi.org/10. 1007/BF00003584. Sept. ISSN 1573-5176.
- C. Delattre, G. Pierre, C. Laroche, and P. Michaud. Production, extraction and characterization of microalgal and cyanobacterial exopolysaccharides Biotechnol. Adv., 340 (7):0 1159–1179, 2016. Nov. ISSN 0734-9750. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biotechadv.2016.08.001.
- D'Hondt, E., Martín-Juárez, J., Bolado, S., Kasperoviciene, J., Koreiviene, J., Sulcius, S., Elst, K., Bastiaens, L., 2017. 6 - Cell disruption technologies. In: Gonzalez-Fernandez, C., Muñoz, R. (Eds.), Microalgae-Based Biofuels and Bioproducts. Woodhead Publishing, pp. 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101023-5.00006-6. Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy. Jan. ISBN 978-0-08-101023-5.

Dixon, C., Wilken, L.R., 2018. Green microalgae biomolecule separations and recovery. Bioresour. Bioprocess. 5, 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-018-0199-3.

- Doughman, S.D., Krupanidhi, S., Sanjeevi, C.B., 2007. Omega-3 fatty acids for nutrition and medicine: considering microalgae oil as a vegetarian source of EPA and DHA. Curr. Diabetes Rev. 30 (3), 198–203.
- Dragone, G., Fernandes, B.D., Abreu, A.P., Vicente, A.A., Teixeira, J.A., 2011. Nutrient limitation as a strategy for increasing starch accumulation in microalgae. Appl. Energy 880 (10), 3331–3335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.03.012.
- Drews, P., Colares, R.G., Machado, P., Faria, M.D., Detoni, A., Tavano, V., 2013. Microalgae classification using semi-supervised and active learning based on Gaussian mixture models. J. Braz. Comput. Soc. 190 (4), 411. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s13173-013-0121-y.
- Y. Durmaz. Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) production by the marine microalgae Nannochloropsis oculata (Eustigmatophyceae) in nitrogen limitation. Aquaculture, 2720 (1):717–722, 2007. Novdoi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.07. 213.
- Edelmann, M., Aalto, S., Chamlagain, B., Kariluoto, S., Piironen, V., 2019. Riboflavin, niacin, folate and vitamin B12 in commercial microalgae powders. J. Food Compos. Anal. 82, 103226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2019.05.009. Sep.
- Ejike, C.E.C.C., Collins, S.A., Balasuriya, N., Swanson, A.K., Mason, B., Udenigwe, C.C., 2017. Prospects of microalgae proteins in producing peptide-based functional foods for promoting cardiovascular health. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 59, 30–36. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.026. Jan.
- F. a. A. O. o. t. U. Nations, 2010. Fats and fatty acids in human nutrition: report of an expert consultation. FAO Food Nutr. Pap. 91, 1–166.
- Fabregas, J., Herrero, C., 1990. Vitamin content of four marine microalgae. Potential use as source of vitamins in nutrition. J. Ind. Microbiol. 50 (4), 259–263. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF01569683. June.
- Fábregas, J., Maseda, A., Domínguez, A., Otero, A., 2004. The cell composition of Nannochloropsis sp. changes under different irradiances in semicontinuous culture. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 200 (1), 31–35. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:WIBI. 0000013288.67536.ed. Feb.
- FAO. FAO fisheries and aquaculture report no. 978. URL http://www.fao.org/3/ ba0136e/ba0136e00.pdf.
- Fasaei, F., Bitter, J.H., Slegers, P.M., van Boxtel, A.J.B., 2018. Techno-economic evaluation of microalgae harvesting and dewatering systems. Algal Res. 31, 347–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2017.11.038. Apr.
- Ferreira, V., Pinto, R., Sant'Anna, C., 2016. Low light intensity and nitrogen starvation modulate the chlorophyll content of Scenedesmus dimorphus. J. Appl. Microbiol. 1200 (3), 661–670. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13007. Mar.
- Galasso, C., Gentile, A., Orefice, I., Ianora, A., Bruno, A., Noonan, D.M., Sansone, C., Albini, A., Brunet, C., 2019. Microalgal Derivatives as Potential Nutraceutical and Food Supplements for Human Health: A Focus on Cancer Prevention and Interception. Nutrients 110 (6), 1226. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11061226. June.
- García, J.L., de Vicente, M., Galán, B., 2017. Microalgae, old sustainable food and fashion nutraceuticals. Microb. Biotechnol. 100 (5), 1017–1024. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1751-7915.12800. Aug.
- Gargouch, N., Karkouch, I., Elleuch, J., Elkahoui, S., Michaud, P., Abdelkafi, S., Laroche, C., Fendri, I., 2018. Enhanced B-phycoerythrin production by the red microalga Porphyridium marinum: A powerful agent in industrial applications. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 120, 2106–2114. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.09.037.
- Geresh, S., Arad, S., 1991. The extracellular polysaccharides of the red microalgae: Chemistry and rheology. Bioresour. Technol. 380 (2), 195–201. Jan. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0960-8524(91)90154-C.
- Gifuni, I., Pollio, A., Safi, C., Marzocchella, A., Olivieri, G., 2019. Current Bottlenecks and Challenges of the Microalgal Biorefinery. Trends Biotechnol. 370 (3), 242–252. Mar. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.09.006.
- Gnouma, A., Sadovskaya, I., Souissi, A., Sebai, K., Medhioub, A., Grard, T., Souissi, S., 2017. Changes in fatty acids profile, monosaccharide profile and protein content during batch growth of Isochrysis galbana (T.iso). Aquac. Res. 480 (9), 4982–4990. https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13316.
- Goiris, K., Muylaert, K., Fraeye, I., Foubert, I., De Brabanter, J., De Cooman, L., 2012. Antioxidant potential of microalgae in relation to their phenolic and carotenoid content. J. Appl. Phycol. 240 (6), 1477–1486. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-012-9804-6.
- Gómez-Guzmán, M., Rodríguez-Nogales, A., Algieri, F., Gálvez, J., 2018. Potential Role of Seaweed Polyphenols in Cardiovascular-Associated Disorders. Marine Drugs 160 (8), 250. Aug. https://doi.org/10.3390/md16080250.
- Goncalves, A.L., Pires, J.C., Simoes, M., 2017. A review on the use of microalgal consortia for wastewater treatment. Algal Res. 24, 403–415.
- Gonçalves, V.D., Fagundes-Klen, M.R., Goes Trigueros, D.E., Kroumov, A.D., Módenes, A.N., 2019. Statistical and optimization strategies to carotenoids production by Tetradesmus acuminatus (LC192133.1) cultivated in photobioreactors. Biochem. Eng. J. 152, 107351. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2019.107351.
- Gong, M., Bassi, A., 2016. Carotenoids from microalgae: A review of recent developments. Biotechnol. Adv. 340 (8), 1396–1412. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv. 2016.10.005.
- Gong, Y., Hu, H., Gao, Y., Xu, X., Gao, H., 2011. Microalgae as platforms for production of recombinant proteins and valuable compounds: progress and prospects. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 380 (12), 1879–1890. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-011-1032-6.
- González-Fernández, C., Ballesteros, M., 2012. Linking microalgae and cyanobacteria culture conditions and key-enzymes for carbohydrate accumulation. Biotechnol. Adv. 300 (6), 1655–1661. Nov. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2012.07.003.
- Gouveia, L., Evangelista Marques, A., Sousa, J.M., Moura, P., Bandarra, N., 2010. Microalgae – source of natural bioactive molecules as functional ingredients. Food

Sci. Technol. Bull. 7, 21–37. June. https://doi.org/10.1616/1476-2137.15884.

- Gris, B., Morosinotto, T., Giacometti, G.M., Bertucco, A., Sforza, E., 2014. Cultivation of Scenedesmus obliquus in Photobioreactors: Effects of Light Intensities and Light–Dark Cycles on Growth, Productivity, and Biochemical Composition. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 1720 (5), 2377–2389. Mar. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-013-0679-z.
- Groendahl, S., Kahlert, M., Fink, P., Feb. 2017. The best of both worlds: A combined approach for analyzing microalgal diversity via metabarcoding and morphologybased methods. PLoS One 120 (2), e0172808. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0172808.
- Guiry, M., Guiry, G., 2020. AlgaeBase. URL. https://www.algaebase.org.
- Guiry, M.D., 2012. How many species of algae are there? J. Phycol. 480 (5), 1057–1063. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01222.x.
- Hamed, I., 2016. The Evolution and Versatility of Microalgal Biotechnology: A Review. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 150 (6), 1104–1123. Nov. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1541-4337.12227.
- Han, F., Pei, H., Hu, W., Han, L., Zhang, S., Ma, G., 2016. Effect of high-temperature stress on microalgae at the end of the logarithmic phase for the efficient production of lipid. Environ. Technol. 370 (20), 2649–2657. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330. 2016.1158867.
- Han, P.-p., Sun, Y., Jia, S.-r., Zhong, C., Tan, Z.-l., 2014. Effects of light wavelengths on extracellular and capsular polysaccharide production by Nostoc flagelliforme. Carbohydr. Polym. 105, 145–151. May. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.01. 061.
- Harun, R., Singh, M., Forde, G.M., Danquah, M.K., 2010. Bioprocess engineering of microalgae to produce a variety of consumer products. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 140 (3), 1037–1047. Apr. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.11.004.
- He, Q., Yang, H., Wu, L., Hu, C., 2015. Effect of light intensity on physiological changes, carbon allocation and neutral lipid accumulation in oleaginous microalgae. Bioresour. Technol. 191, 219–228. Sept. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015. 05.021.
- Heimann, K., Huerlimann, R., Jan. 2015. Chapter 3 Microalgal Classification: Major Classes and Genera of Commercial Microalgal Species. In: Kim, S.-K. (Ed.), Handbook of Marine Microalgae. Academic Press, Boston, 978-0-12-800776-1, pp. 25–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800776-1.00003-0.
- Hempel, F., Bozarth, A.S., Lindenkamp, N., Klingl, A., Zauner, S., Linne, U., Steinbüchel, A., Maier, U.G., 2011. Microalgae as bioreactors for bioplastic production. Microb. Cell Factories 10, 81. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-10-81.
- Ho, S.-H., Chen, C.-Y., Chang, J.-S., 2012. Effect of light intensity and nitrogen starvation on CO2 fixation and lipid/carbohydrate production of an indigenous microalga Scenedesmus obliquus CNW-N. Bioresour. Technol. 113, 244–252. June. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.11.133.
- Ho, S.-H., Chen, C.-Y., Lee, D.-J., Chang, J.-S., 2011. Perspectives on microalgal CO2emission mitigation systems—a review. Biotechnol. Adv. 290 (2), 189–198.
- Ho, S.-H., Huang, S.-W., Chen, C.-Y., Hasunuma, T., Kondo, A., Chang, J.-S., 2013. Characterization and optimization of carbohydrate production from an indigenous microalga Chlorella vulgaris FSP-E. Bioresour. Technol. 135, 157–165. May. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.100.
- Ho, S.-H., Liao, J.-F., Chen, C.-Y., Chang, J.-S., 2018. Combining light strategies with recycled medium to enhance the economic feasibility of phycocyanin production with Spirulina platensis. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 669–675. Jan. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.biortech.2017.09.165.
- Hong, S.-J., Park, Y.S., Han, M.-A., Kim, Z.-H., Cho, B.-K., Lee, H., Choi, H.-K., Lee, C.-G., 2017. Enhanced production of fatty acids in three strains of microalgae using a combination of nitrogen starvation and chemical inhibitors of carbohydrate synthesis. Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng. 220 (1), 60–67. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12257-016-0575-9.
- J. F. E. C. on Fats and Fatty Acids in Human Nutrition. Interim Summary of Conclusions and Dietary Recommendations on Total Fat & Fatty Acids. URL https://www.who. int/nutrition/topics/FFA summary rec conclusion.pdf.
- int/nutrition/topics/FFA\_summary\_rec\_conclusion.pdf. Jho, D.H., Cole, S.M., Lee, E.M., Espat, N.J., 2004. Role of Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation in Inflammation and Malignancy. Integr. Cancer Ther. 30 (2), 98-111. June. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534735404264736.
- KaiXian, Q., Borowitzka, M.A., 1993. Light and nitrogen deficiency effects on the growth and composition ofPhaeodactylum tricornutum. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 380 (1), 93–103. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02916415.
- Kaplan, D., Cohen, Z., Abeliovich, A., 1986. Optimal growth conditions for Isochrysis galbana. Biomass 90 (1), 37–48. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-4565(86) 90011-9.
- Kermanshahi-pour, A., Sommer, T.J., Anastas, P.T., Zimmerman, J.B., 2014. Enzymatic and acid hydrolysis of Tetraselmis suecica for polysaccharide characterization. Bioresour. Technol. 173, 415–421. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014. 09.048.
- Khanra, S., Mondal, M., Halder, G., Tiwari, O.N., Gayen, K., Bhowmick, T.K., 2018. Downstream processing of microalgae for pigments, protein and carbohydrate in industrial application: A review. Food Bioprod. Process. 110, 60–84. July. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2018.02.002.
- Khatoon, H., Kok Leong, L., Abdu Rahman, N., Mian, S., Begum, H., Banerjee, S., Endut, A., 2018. Effects of different light source and media on growth and production of phycobiliprotein from freshwater cyanobacteria. Bioresour. Technol. 249, 652–658. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.052.

Khattar, J.S., Singh, D.P., Kaur, G., 2009. Algal biology and biotechnology. IK International Publishing House Pvt Limited93-80026-19-6.

Kim, G., Mujtaba, G., Lee, K., Kim, G., Mujtaba, G., Lee, K., 2016. Effects of nitrogen sources on cell growth and biochemical composition of marine chlorophyte \textlessitalic\textgreaterTetraselmis\textless\italic\textgreater sp. for lipid production. ALGAE 310 (3), 257-266. Sept. https://doi.org/10.4490/algae.2016.31.8.18.

- H.-J. Kim, X. Fan, C. Gabbi, K. Yakimchuk, P. Parini, M. Warner, and J.-Å. Gustafsson. Liver X receptor β (LXRβ): A link between β-sitosterol and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis–Parkinson's dementia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 1050 (6):2094–2099, Feb2008. doi: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711599105.
- Kim, J.H., Affan, A., Jang, J., Kang, M.-H., Ko, A.-R., Jeon, S.-M., Oh, C., Heo, S.-J., Lee, Y.-H., Ju, S.-J., Kang, D.-H., Feb. 2015. Morphological, molecular, and biochemical characterization of astaxanthin-producing green microalga Haematococcus sp. KORDI03 (Haematococcaceae, Chlorophyta) isolated from Korea. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 250 (2), 238–246. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1410.10032.
- Kim, S.-K., Kang, K.-H., 2011. Chapter 25 Medicinal Effects of Peptides from Marine Microalgae. In: Kim, S.-K. (Ed.), Advances in Food and Nutrition Research. volume 64 of Marine Medicinal Foods. Academic Press, pp. 313–323. https://doi.org/10. 1016/B978-0-12-387669-0.00025-9. Jan.
- Ko, S.-C., Kang, N., Kim, E.-A., Kang, M.C., Lee, S.-H., Kang, S.-M., Lee, J.-B., Jeon, B.-T., Kim, S.-K., Park, S.-J., Park, P.-J., Jung, W.-K., Kim, D., Jeon, Y.-J., 2012. A novel angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptide from a marine Chlorella ellipsoidea and its antihypertensive effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats. Process Biochem. 470 (12), 2005–2011. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2012.07. 015.
- Ko, S.-C., Kim, D., Jeon, Y.-J., 2012. Protective effect of a novel antioxidative peptide purified from a marine Chlorella ellipsoidea protein against free radical-induced oxidative stress. Food Chem. Toxicol. 500 (7), 2294–2302. July. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.fct.2012.04.022.
- Koller, M., Muhr, A., Braunegg, G., 2014. Microalgae as versatile cellular factories for valued products. Algal Res. 6, 52–63. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2014.09. 002.
- Kose, A., Ozen, M.O., Elibol, M., Oncel, S.S., 2017. Investigation of in vitro digestibility of dietary microalga Chlorella vulgaris and cyanobacterium Spirulina platensis as a nutritional supplement. Biotech 70 (3), 170. June. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-0832-4.
- Koyande, A.K., Chew, K.W., Rambabu, K., Tao, Y., Chu, D.-T., Show, P.-L., 2019. Microalgae: A potential alternative to health supplementation for humans. Food Sci. Human Wellness 80 (1), 16–24. Mar. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2019.03.001.
- Kritchevsky, D., Chen, S.C., 2005. Phytosterols—health benefits and potential concerns: a review. Nutr. Res. 250 (5), 413–428. yMa. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2005. 02.003.
- Le Goff, M., Le Ferrec, E., Mayer, C., Mimouni, V., Lagadic-Gossmann, D., Schoefs, B., Ulmann, L., 2019. Microalgal carotenoids and phytosterols regulate biochemical mechanisms involved in human health and disease prevention. Biochimie 167, 106–118. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2019.09.012.

Lee, R.E., 2018. Phycology. Cambridge University Press978-1-107-55565-5 Mar.

- Levasseur, W., Taidi, B., Lacombe, R., Perré, P., Pozzobon, V., 2018. Impact of seconds to minutes photoperiods on Chlorella vulgaris growth rate and chlorophyll a and b content. Algal Res. 36, 10–16. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2018.10.007.
   Li, H.-B., Cheng, K.-W., Wong, C.-C., Fan, K.-W., Chen, F., Jiang, Y., 2007. Evaluation of
- Li, H.-B., Cheng, K.-W., Wong, C.-C., Fan, K.-W., Chen, F., Jiang, Y., 2007. Evaluation of antioxidant capacity and total phenolic content of different fractions of selected microalgae. Food Chem. 1020 (3), 771–776. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodchem.2006.06.022.
- Li, J., Zhu, D., Niu, J., Shen, S., Wang, G., 2011. An economic assessment of astaxanthin production by large scale cultivation of Haematococcus pluvialis. Biotechnol. Adv. 290 (6), 568–574. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.04.001.
- Lim, D.K., Schenk, P.M., 2017. Microalgae selection and improvement as oil crops: GM vs non-GM strain engineering. AIMS Bioeng 40 (1), 151–161.
- Lin, J.-H., Lee, D.-J., Chang, J.-S., 2015. Lutein production from biomass: Marigold flowers versus microalgae. Bioresour. Technol. 184, 421–428. May. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.099.
- Lu, Y., Xu, J., 2015. Phytohormones in microalgae: a new opportunity for microalgal biotechnology? Trends Plant Sci. 200 (5), 273–282. May. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2015.01.006.
- Lupi, F.M., Fernandes, H.M.L., Tomé, M.M., Sá-Correia, I., Novais, J.M., 1994. Influence of nitrogen source and photoperiod on exopolysaccharide synthesis by the microalga Botryococcus braunii UC 58. Enzym. Microb. Technol. 160 (7), 546–550. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-0229(94)90116-3.
- Lv, J.-M., Cheng, L.-H., Xu, X.-H., Zhang, L., Chen, H.-L., 2010. Enhanced lipid production of Chlorella vulgaris by adjustment of cultivation conditions. Bioresour. Technol. 1010 (17), 6797–6804. Sept. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.03.120.
- Madkour, F.F., Kamil, A.E.-W., Nasr, H.S., 2012. Production and nutritive value of Spirulina platensis in reduced cost media. Egypt. J. Aqu. Res. 380 (1), 51–57. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2012.09.003.
- Mahdy, A., Mendez, L., Ballesteros, M., González-Fernández, C., 2014. Enhanced methane production of Chlorella vulgaris and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii by hydrolytic enzymes addition. Energy Convers. Manag. 85, 551–557.
- Malcata, F.X., Pinto, I.S., Guedes, A.C., Pinto, I.S., Guedes, A.C., 2018. Marine Macro- and Microalgae : An Overview. CRC Presshttps://doi.org/10.1201/9781315119441. Dec.
- Manach, C., Scalbert, A., Morand, C., Rémésy, C., Jiménez, L., 2004. Polyphenols: food sources and bioavailability. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 790 (5), 727–747. May. https://doi. org/10.1093/ajcn/79.5.727.
- Manirafasha, E., Ndikubwimana, T., Zeng, X., Lu, Y., Jing, K., 2016. Phycobiliprotein: Potential microalgae derived pharmaceutical and biological reagent. Biochem. Eng. J. 109, 282–296. May. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.01.025.
- R. A. Markets. Astaxanthin Market Analysis By Source (Natural [Yeast, Krill/Shrimp, Microalgae] And Synthetic), By Product (Dried Biomass/Powder, Oil, Soft gels, Liquid), By Application, And Segment Forecasts, 2014 - 2025. URL https://www. researchandmarkets.com/reports/4375419/astaxanthin-market-analysis-by-source-natural.

- Markou, G., Angelidaki, I., Georgakakis, D., 2012. Microalgal carbohydrates: an overview of the factors influencing carbohydrates production, and of main bioconversion technologies for production of biofuels. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 960 (3), 631–645. Nov. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4398-0.
- Martins, A., Vieira, H., Gaspar, H., Santos, S., Martins, A., Vieira, H., Gaspar, H., Santos, S., 2014. Marketed Marine Natural Products in the Pharmaceutical and Cosmeceutical Industries: Tips for Success. Marine Drugs 120 (2), 1066–1101. Feb. https://doi.org/10.3390/md12021066.
- Masojidek, J., Koblizek, M., Torzillo, G., 2013. Photosynthesis in Microalgae. In: Handbook of Microalgal Culture: Biotechnology and Applied Phycology, pp. 20–39. Apr. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118567166.ch2.
- Matos, J., Cardoso, C.L., Falé, P., Afonso, C.M., Bandarra, N.M., 2019. Investigation of nutraceutical potential of the microalgae Chlorella vulgaris and Arthrospira platensis. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 55 (1), 303–312.
- Matos, P., 2017. The Impact of Microalgae in Food Science and Technology. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 940 (11), 1333–1350. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-017-3050-7.
- Milledge, J.J., 2011. Commercial application of microalgae other than as biofuels: a brief review. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 100 (1), 31–41. Mar. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11157-010-9214-7.
- Mohsenpour, S.F., Richards, B., Willoughby, N., 2012. Spectral conversion of light for enhanced microalgae growth rates and photosynthetic pigment production. Bioresour. Technol. 1250 (Supplement C), 75–81. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2012.08.072.
- Molino, A., Iovine, A., Casella, P., Mehariya, S., Chianese, S., Cerbone, A., Rimauro, J., Musmarra, D., 2018. Microalgae Characterization for Consolidated and New Application in Human Food, Animal Feed and Nutraceuticals. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 150 (11), 2436. Nov. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112436.
- Molino, A., Rimauro, J., Casella, P., Cerbone, A., Larocca, V., Chianese, S., Karatza, D., Mehariya, S., Ferraro, A., Hristoforou, E., Musmarra, D., 2018. Extraction of astaxanthin from microalga Haematococcus pluvialis in red phase by using generally recognized as safe solvents and accelerated extraction. J. Biotechnol. 283, 51–61. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.07.010.
- Montero, L., del Pilar Sánchez-Camargo, A., Ibáñez, E., Gilbert-López, B., 2018. Phenolic compounds from edible algae: Bioactivity and health benefits. Curr. Med. Chem. 250 (37), 4808–4826.
- Morris, H.J., Almarales, A., Carrillo, O., Bermúdez, R.C., 2008. Utilisation of Chlorellavulgaris cell biomass for the production of enzymatic protein hydrolysates. Bioresour. Technol. 990 (16), 7723–7729. Nov. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech. 2008.01.080.
- Mourelle, M.L., Gómez, C., Legido, J.L., 2017. The Potential Use of Marine Microalgae and Cyanobacteria in Cosmetics and Thalassotherapy. Cosmetics 4, 46. Nov. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cosmetics4040046.
- Muhaemin, M.M.M., Kaswadji, R.F., 2010. Biomass Nutrient Profiles of Marine Microalgae Dunaliella salina. Jurnal Penelitian Sains 130 (3) Sept. URL. http://www. ejurnal.mipa.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jps/article/view/142.
- Muñoz, R., Guieysse, B., 2006. Algal-bacterial processes for the treatment of hazardous contaminants: A review. Water Res. 400 (15), 2799–2815. Aug. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.watres.2006.06.011.
- Niccolai, A., Chini Zittelli, G., Rodolfi, L., Biondi, N., Tredici, M.R., 2019. Microalgae of interest as food source: Biochemical composition and digestibility. Algal Res. 42, 101617. Sept. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101617.
- MU, N., Mehar, J.G., Mudliar, S.N., Shekh, A.Y., 2019. Recent Advances in Microalgal Bioactives for Food, Feed, and Healthcare Products: Commercial Potential, Market Space, and Sustainability. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 18 (6), 1882–1897.
- de la Noue, J., de Pauw, N., 1988. The potential of microalgal biotechnology: A review of production and uses of microalgae. Biotechnol. Adv. 60 (4), 725-770. https://doi. org/10.1016/0734-9750(88)91921-0. Jan. ISSN 0734-9750. http://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0734975088919210.
- Nwoba, E.G., Ogbonna, C.N., Ishika, T., Vadiveloo, A., 2020. Microalgal Pigments: A Source of Natural Food Colors. In: Alam, M.A., Xu, J.-L., Wang, Z. (Eds.), Microalgae Biotechnology for Food, Health and High Value Products. Springer, Singapore, pp. 81–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0169-2\_3.
- O. US EPA 2017, 2015. EPA-FDA Advice about Eating Fish and Shellfish. July. URL. https://www.epa.gov/fish-tech/2017-epa-fda-advice-about-eating-fish-and-shellfish.
- Odjadjare, E.C., Mutanda, T., Olaniran, A.O., 2017. Potential biotechnological application of microalgae: a critical review. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 370 (1), 37–52. Jan. https:// doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2015.1108956.
- Oliveira, M.D., Monteiro, M., Robbs, P., Leite, S., 1999. Growth and Chemical Composition of Spirulina Maxima and Spirulina Platensis Biomass at Different Temperatures. Aquac. Int. 70 (4), 261–275. July. https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1009233230706.
- Paes, C.R.P.S., Faria, G.R., Tinoco, N.A.B., Castro, D.J.F.A., Barbarino, E., Lourenço, S.O., 2016. Growth, nutrient uptake and chemical composition of Chlorella sp. and Nannochloropsis oculata under nitrogen starvation. Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res. 440 (2), 275–292. https://doi.org/10.3856/vol44-issue2-fulltext-9.
- I. Pancha, K. Chokshi, B. George, T. Ghosh, C. Paliwal, R. Maurya, and S. Mishra. Nitrogen stress triggered biochemical and morphological changes in the microalgae Scenedesmus sp. CCNM 1077. Bioresour. Technol., 156:146–154, Mar. 2014. doi: 10. 1016/j.biortech.2014.01.025.
- Park, J.-J., Wang, H., Gargouri, M., Deshpande, R.R., Skepper, J.N., Holguin, F.O., Juergens, M.T., Shachar-Hill, Y., Hicks, L.M., Gang, D.R., Feb. 2015. The response of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii to nitrogen deprivation: a systems biology analysis. Plant J. 810 (4), 611–624. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12747.
- Perin, G., Bellan, A., Bernardi, A., Bezzo, F., Morosinotto, T., 2019. The potential of quantitative models to improve microalgae photosynthetic efficiency. Physiol. Plant.

1660 (1), 380-391. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12915.

- Pignolet, O., Jubeau, S., Vaca-Garcia, C., Michaud, P., 2013. Highly valuable microalgae: biochemical and topological aspects. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 400 (8), 781–796. Aug. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-013-1281-7.
- Ponis, E., Probert, I., Véron, B., Le Coz, J.R., Mathieu, M., Robert, R., 2006. Nutritional value of six Pavlovophyceae for Crassostrea gigas and Pecten maximus larvae. Aquaculture 2540 (1), 544–553. Apr. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005. 11.017.

Priyadarshani, I., Rath, B., 2012. Commercial and industrial applications of micro algae – A review. pp. 12.

- Pulz, O., Gross, W., 2004. Valuable products from biotechnology of microalgae. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 650 (6), 635–648. Nov. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1647-x.
- Quinn, J.C., Davis, R., 2015. The potentials and challenges of algae based biofuels: a review of the techno-economic, life cycle, and resource assessment modeling. Bioresour. Technol. 184, 444–452. May. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014. 10.075.
- Raghukumar, S., 2008. Thraustochytrid Marine Protists: Production of PUFAs and Other Emerging Technologies. Mar. Biotechnol. 100 (6), 631–640. Dec. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10126-008-9135-4.
- Rahman, A., Miller, C.D., 2017. Chapter 6 Microalgae as a Source of Bioplastics. In: Rastogi, R.P., Madamwar, D., Pandey, A. (Eds.), Algal Green Chemistry. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 121–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63784-0.00006-0. Jan.
- Rahman, K.M., 2020. Food and High Value Products from Microalgae: Market Opportunities and Challenges. In: Alam, M.A., Xu, J.-L., Wang, Z. (Eds.), Microalgae Biotechnology for Food, Health and High Value Products. Springer, Singapore, pp. 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0169-2\_1.
- Raja, R., Hemaiswarya, S., Kumar, N.A., Sridhar, S., Rengasamy, R., 2008. A perspective on the biotechnological potential of microalgae. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 340 (2), 77–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408410802086783.
- Ramesh Kumar, B., Deviram, G., Mathimani, T., Duc, P.A., Pugazhendhi, A., 2019. Microalgae as rich source of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 17, 583–588. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.01.017.
- M. N. Rammuni, T. U. Ariyadasa, P. H. V. Nimarshana, and R. A. Attalage. Comparative assessment on the extraction of carotenoids from microalgal sources: Astaxanthin from H. pluvialis and β-carotene from D. salina. Food Chem., 277:128–134, Mar2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.066.
- Raposo, M.F.D.J., de Morais, A.M.M.B., de Morais, R.M.S.C., 2015. Carotenoids from Marine Microalgae: A Valuable Natural Source for the Prevention of Chronic Diseases. Marine Drugs 130 (8), 5128–5155. Aug. https://doi.org/10.3390/ md13085128.
- Raposo, M.F.D.J., De Morais, R.M.S.C., de Morais, A.M.M. Bernardo, 2013. Bioactivity and Applications of Sulphated Polysaccharides from Marine Microalgae. Marine Drugs 110 (1), 233–252. Jan. https://doi.org/10.3390/md11010233.
- Razzak, S.A., Hossain, M.M., Lucky, R.A., Bassi, A.S., de Lasa, H., 2013. Integrated CO2 capture, wastewater treatment and biofuel production by microalgae culturing—a review. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 27, 622–653.
- Rebolloso Fuentes, M.M., Acién Fernández, G.G., Sánchez Pérez, J.A., Guil Guerrero, J.L., 2000. Biomass nutrient profiles of the microalga Porphyridium cruentum. Food Chem. 700 (3), 345–353. Aug. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00101-1.
- Renaud, S.M., Thinh, L.-V., Parry, D.L., 1999. The gross chemical composition and fatty acid composition of 18 species of tropical Australian microalgae for possible use in mariculture. Aquaculture 1700 (2), 147–159. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00399-8.
- Research, T.M., 2018. Beta-carotene market (source—fruits & vegetables, algae & fungi, synthetic; end use—food, aquaculture feed, poultry & pet feed, dietary supplements, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics)—global industry analysis, size, share, growth, trends, and forecast 2019–2027.
- Rizwan, M., Mujtaba, G., Memon, S.A., Lee, K., Rashid, N., 2018. Exploring the potential of microalgae for new biotechnology applications and beyond: A review. Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 92, 394–404. Sept. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.034.
- Roberts, J.E., Dennison, J., 2015. The photobiology of lutein and zeaxanthin in the eye. J. Ophthalmol. 2015.
- Rockwell, N.C., Lagarias, J.C., Bhattacharya, D., 2014. Primary endosymbiosis and the evolution of light and oxygen sensing in photosynthetic eukaryotes. Front. Ecol. Evol. 2, 66.
- Ruggiero, M.A., Gordon, D.P., Orrell, T.M., Bailly, N., Bourgoin, T., Brusca, R.C., Cavalier-Smith, T., Guiry, M.D., Kirk, P.M., 2015. A Higher Level Classification of All Living Organisms. PLoS One 100 (4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119248. Apr.
- Ruiz, J., Olivieri, G., Vree, J.D., Bosma, R., Willems, P., Reith, J. Hans, Eppink, M.H.M., Kleinegris, D.M.M., Wijffels, R.H., Barbosa, M.J., 2016. Towards industrial products from microalgae. Energy Environ. Sci. 90 (10), 3036–3043. https://doi.org/10.1039/ C6EE01493C.
- Sabzi, S., Mehrgan, M.S., Islami, H.R., Shekarabi, S.P.H., 2018. Changes in biochemical composition and fatty acid accumulation of Nannochloropsis oculata in response to different iron concentrations. Biofuels 1–7https://doi.org/10.1080/17597269.2018. 1489672. Oct.
- Sadeghi, S., Jalili, H., Ranaei Siadat, S.O., Sedighi, M., 2018. Anticancer and Antibacterial Properties in Peptide Fractions from Hydrolyzed Spirulina Protein. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 200 (4), 673–683 Oct.
- Sahin, D., Tas, E., Altindag, U.H., 2018. Enhancement of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) production from Schizochytrium sp. S31 using different growth medium conditions. AMB Express 8https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0540-4. Jan.
- Sahu, A., Pancha, I., Jain, D., Paliwal, C., Ghosh, T., Patidar, S., Bhattacharya, S., Mishra, S., 2013. Fatty acids as biomarkers of microalgae. Phytochemistry 89, 53–58. May.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.02.001.

- Salama, E.-S., Kim, H.-C., Abou-Shanab, R.A.I., Ji, M.-K., Oh, Y.-K., Kim, S.-H., Jeon, B.-H., 2013. Biomass, lipid content, and fatty acid composition of freshwater Chlamydomonas mexicana and Scenedesmus obliquus grown under salt stress. Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng. 360 (6), 827–833. June. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-
- 013-0919-1. Sánchez-Saavedra, M.D.P., Castro-Ochoa, F.Y., Nava-Ruiz, V.M., Ruiz-Güereca, D.A., Villagómez-Aranda, A.L., Siqueiros-Vargas, F., Molina-Cárdenas, C.A., 2018. Effects of nitrogen source and irradiance on Porphyridium cruentum. J. Appl. Phycol. 300 (2), 783–792. Apr. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-017-1284-2.
- Scaglioni, P.T., de Oliveira Garcia, S., Badiale-Furlong, E., 2019. Inhibition of in vitro trichothecenes production by microalgae phenolic extracts. Food Res. Int. 124, 175–180. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.008.
- Shah, M.M.R., Liang, Y., Cheng, J.J., Daroch, M., 2016. Astaxanthin-Producing Green Microalga Haematococcus pluvialis: From Single Cell to High Value Commercial Products. Front. Plant Sci. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00531.
- Sharma, N., Rai, A., 2011. Biodiversity and biogeography of microalgae: Progress and pitfalls. Environ. Rev. 19https://doi.org/10.1139/a10-020. Dec.
- Sheih, I.-C., Wu, T.-K., Fang, T.J., 2009. Antioxidant properties of a new antioxidative peptide from algae protein waste hydrolysate in different oxidation systems. Bioresour. Technol. 1000 (13), 3419–3425. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2009.02.014.
- Shilton, A., Guieysse, B., 2010. Sustainable sunlight to biogas is via marginal organics. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 210 (3), 287–291. June. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio. 2010.03.008.
- Sialve, B., Bernet, N., Bernard, O., 2009. Anaerobic digestion of microalgae as a necessary step to make microalgal biodiesel sustainable. July. URL. https://hal.inria. fr/hal-00854465.
- Sierra, L.S., Dixon, C.K., Wilken, L.R., 2017. Enzymatic cell disruption of the microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii for lipid and protein extraction. Algal Res. 25, 149–159. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2017.04.004.
- Silva Benavides, A.M., Torzillo, G., Kopecký, J., Masojídek, J., 2013. Productivity and biochemical composition of Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Bacillariophyceae) cultures grown outdoors in tubular photobioreactors and open ponds. Biomass Bioenergy 54, 115–122. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.03.016.
- da Silva Ferreira, V., Sant'Anna, C., 2016. Impact of culture conditions on the chlorophyll content of microalgae for biotechnological applications. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 330 (1), 20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-016-2181-6. Dec. ISSN 1573-0972.
- Singh, J., Saxena, R.C., 2015. Chapter 2 An Introduction to Microalgae: Diversity and Significance. In: Kim, S.-K. (Ed.), Handbook of Marine Microalgae. Academic Press, Boston, pp. 11–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800776-1.00002-9. Jan.
- Singh, M., 2005. Essential fatty acids, DHA and human brain. The Indian Journal of Pediatrics 720 (3), 239–242. Mar. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02859265.
- Siqueira, S.F., Queiroz, M.I., Jacob-Lopes, L.Q.Z.A.E., 2018. Introductory Chapter: Microalgae Biotechnology - A Brief Introduction. In: Microalgal Biotechnology, https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73250. June.
- Sosik, H.M., Olson, R.J., 2007. Automated taxonomic classification of phytoplankton sampled with imaging-in-flow cytometry. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 50 (6), 204–216. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2007.5.204.
- Sousa, I., Gouveia, L., Batista, A.P., Raymundo, A., Bandarra, N.M., 2008. Microalgae in novel food products. In: Food Chemistry Research Developments, pp. 75–112. URL. https://www.repository.utl.pt/handle/10400.5/2434.
   Specht, E., Miyake-Stoner, S., Mayfield, S., 2010. Micro-algae come of age as a platform
- Specht, E., Miyake-Stoner, S., Mayfield, S., 2010. Micro-algae come of age as a platform for recombinant protein production. Biotechnol. Lett. 320 (10), 1373–1383. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0326-5.
- Spolaore, P., Joannis-Cassan, C., Duran, E., Isambert, A., 2006. Commercial applications of microalgae. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 1010 (2), 87–96. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1263/jbb. 101.87.
- Stark, M., O'Gara, I., 2012. An Introduction to Photosynthetic Microalgae. Disruptive Sci. Technol. 10 (2), 65–67. June. https://doi.org/10.1089/dst.2012.0017.
- Stolz, P., 2005. Manufacturing microalgae for skin care. Cosmetics Toiletries 120, 99–106. Mar. URL. http://www.saci-cfpa.com/site/upload/fiches/ 104795944447a9b48331b3f.pdf.
- Suetsuna, K., Chen, J.-R., 2001. Identification of Antihypertensive Peptides from Peptic Digest of Two Microalgae, Chlorella vulgaris and Spirulina platensis. Mar. Biotechnol. 30 (4), 305–309. July. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-001-0012-7.
- Sun, X.-M., Geng, L.-J., Ren, L.-J., Ji, X.-J., Hao, N., Chen, K.-Q., Huang, H., 2018. Influence of oxygen on the biosynthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids in microalgae. Bioresour. Technol. 250, 868–876. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.11. 005.
- Tarento, T.D.C., McClure, D.D., Vasiljevski, E., Schindeler, A., Dehghani, F., Kavanagh, J.M., 2018. Microalgae as a source of vitamin K1. Algal Res. 36, 77–87. Dec. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2018.10.008.
- Templeton, D.W., Quinn, M., Van Wychen, S., Hyman, D., Laurens, L.M.L., 2012. Separation and quantification of microalgal carbohydrates. J. Chromatogr. A 1270, 225–234. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.10.034.
- Torzillo, G., Pushparaj, B., Bocci, F., Balloni, W., Materassi, R., Florenzano, G., 1986. Production of Spirulina biomass in closed photobioreactors. Biomass 110 (1), 61–74. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/0144-4565(86)90021-1.
- Trabelsi, L., Ben Ouada, H., Bacha, H., Ghoul, M., 2009. Combined effect of temperature and light intensity on growth and extracellular polymeric substance production by the cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis. J. Appl. Phycol. 210 (4), 405–412. Aug.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-008-9383-8.

- Vadiveloo, A., Moheimani, N.R., Cosgrove, J.J., Bahri, P.A., Parlevliet, D., 2015. Effect of different light spectra on the growth and productivity of acclimated Nannochloropsis sp. (Eustigmatophyceae). Algal Res. 8, 121–127. Mar. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. algal.2015.02.001.
- Valverde, F., Romero-Campero, F.J., León, R., Guerrero, M.G., Serrano, A., 2016. New challenges in microalgae biotechnology. Eur. J. Protistol. 55, 95–101.
- Vasileva, I., Ivanova, J., 2019. Biochemical profile of green and red algae–A key for understanding their potential application as food additives. Trakia J. Sci. 170 (1), 1.
- Vaz, B.D.S., Moreira, J.B., Morais, M.G.D., Costa, J.A.V., 2016. Microalgae as a new source of bioactive compounds in food supplements. Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 7, 73–77. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2015.12.006.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Devi, M.P., 2014. Salinity stress induced lipid synthesis to harness biodiesel during dual mode cultivation of mixotrophic microalgae. Bioresour. Technol. 165, 288–294. Aug. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.02.103.
- Venkata Subhash, G., Rohit, M.V., Devi, M.P., Swamy, Y.V., Venkata Mohan, S., 2014. Temperature induced stress influence on biodiesel productivity during mixotrophic microalgae cultivation with wastewater. Bioresour. Technol. 169, 789–793. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.07.019.
- Venkatesan, J., Manivasagan, P., 2015. Chapter 1 Marine Microalgae Biotechnology: Present Trends and Future Advances. In: Kim, S.-K., Kim, S.-K. (Eds.), Handbook of Marine Microalgae. Academic Press, Boston, pp. 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800776-1.00001-7. Jan.

Venugopal, V., 2016. Marine polysaccharides: Food applications. CRC Press1-4398-1527-5.

Vo, T.-S., Ryu, B., Kim, S.-K., 2013. Purification of novel anti-inflammatory peptides from enzymatic hydrolysate of the edible microalgal Spirulina maxima. J. Funct. Foods 50 (3), 1336–1346. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2013.05.001.

Volkman, J., 2003. Sterols in microorganisms. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 600 (5), 495–506. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1172-8.

- Wang, A., Yan, K., Chu, D., Nazer, M., Lin, N.T., Samaranayake, E., Chang, J., 2020. Microalgae as a Mainstream Food Ingredient: Demand and Supply Perspective. In: Alam, M.A., Xu, J.-L., Wang, Z. (Eds.), Microalgae Biotechnology for Food, Health and High Value Products. Springer, Singapore, pp. 29–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-981-15-0169-2\_2.
- Wang, X., Fosse, H.K., Li, K., Chauton, M.S., Vadstein, O., Reitan, K.I., 2019. Influence of nitrogen limitation on lipid accumulation and EPA and DHA content in four marine microalgae for possible use in aquafeed. Frontiers in Marine Sciencehttps://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmars.2019.00095. Mar.
- Ward, A.J., Lewis, D.M., Green, F.B., 2014. Anaerobic digestion of algae biomass: A review. Algal Res. 5, 204–214. ISSN 2211-9264. July. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal. 2014.02.001.
- Ward, O.P., Singh, A., 2005. Omega-3/6 fatty acids: Alternative sources of production. Process Biochem. 400 (12), 3627–3652. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio. 2005.02.020.
- Whyte, J.N.C., 1987. Biochemical composition and energy content of six species of phytoplankton used in mariculture of bivalves. Aquaculture 600 (3), 231–241. Feb. https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(87)90290-0.
- Wijffels, R.H., 2008. Potential of sponges and microalgae for marine biotechnology. Trends Biotechnol. 260 (1), 26–31. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2007.10. 002.
- Wijffels, R.H., Kruse, O., Hellingwerf, K.J., 2013. Potential of industrial biotechnology with cyanobacteria and eukaryotic microalgae. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 240 (3), 405–413. June. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.04.004.
- Wild, K.J., Steingaß, H., Rodehutscord, M., 2018. Variability in nutrient composition and in vitro crude protein digestibility of 16 microalgae products. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 1020 (5), 1306–1319. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12953.
- R. J. Winwood. Recent developments in the commercial production of DHA and EPA rich oils from micro-algae. OCL, 200 (6):D604, Nov2013. doi: 10.1051/ocl/2013030.
- Woyengo, T.A., Ramprasath, V.R., Jones, P.J.H., 2009. Anticancer effects of phytosterols. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 630 (7), 813–820. July. https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2009.29.
- Xiao, R., Zheng, Y., 2016. Overview of microalgal extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) and their applications. Biotechnol. Adv. 340 (7), 1225–1244. Nov. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.08.004.
- Yaakob, Z., Ali, E., Zainal, A., Mohamad, M., Takriff, M.S., May 2014. An overview: biomolecules from microalgae for animal feed and aquaculture. J. Biol. Res. Thessaloniki 210 (1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/2241-5793-21-6.
- Yen, H.-W., Hu, I.C., Chen, C.-Y., Ho, S.-H., Lee, D.-J., Chang, J.-S., 2013. Microalgaebased biorefinery – From biofuels to natural products. Bioresour. Technol. 135, 166–174. May. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.099.
- Zeller, M.A., Hunt, R., Jones, A., Sharma, S., 2013. Bioplastics and their thermoplastic blends from Spirulina and Chlorella microalgae. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1300 (5), 3263–3275. Dec. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.39559.
- Zhang, F., Endo, T., Kitagawa, R., Kabeya, H., Hirotsu, T., 2000. Synthesis and characterization of a novel blendof polypropylene with Chlorella. J. Mater. Chem. 100 (12), 2666–2672. Jan. https://doi.org/10.1039/B004489J.
- Zhang, F., Kabeya, H., Kitagawa, R., Hirotsu, T., Yamashita, M., Otsuki, T., 1999. Preparation and Characterization of a Novel Polyethylene-Chlorella Composite. Chem. Mater. 110 (8), 1952–1956. Aug. https://doi.org/10.1021/cm990041y.
- Zhu, C.J., Lee, Y.K., Chao, T.M., 1997. Effects of temperature and growth phase on lipid and biochemical composition of Isochrysis galbana TK1. J. Appl. Phycol. 90 (5), 451–457. Oct. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007973319348.