



HAL
open science

Reconstruction of the Tiber Deltaic stratigraphic successions near Ostia using the PADM chart and tracking of the bedload-derived facies (Rome, Italy)

Ferréol Salomon, Cécile Vittori, Brice Noirot, Elisa Pleuger, Carlo Rosa, Ilaria Mazzini, Pierre Carbonel, Hatem Djerbi, Piero Bellotti, Jean-Philippe Goiran

► To cite this version:

Ferréol Salomon, Cécile Vittori, Brice Noirot, Elisa Pleuger, Carlo Rosa, et al.. Reconstruction of the Tiber Deltaic stratigraphic successions near Ostia using the PADM chart and tracking of the bedload-derived facies (Rome, Italy). *Geomorphology*, 2020, 365, pp.107227. 10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107227 . hal-03045715

HAL Id: hal-03045715

<https://hal.science/hal-03045715>

Submitted on 21 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Reconstruction of the Tiber Deltaic stratigraphic successions near Ostia using
2 the PADM chart and tracking of the bedload-derived facies (Rome, Italy)

3 Ferréol SALOMON¹, Cécile VITTORI¹, Brice NOIROT², Elisa PLEUGER³, Carlo ROSA⁴, Ilaria MAZZINI⁵,
4 Pierre CARBONEL⁶, Hatem DJERBI⁷, Piero BELLOTTI⁸, Jean-Philippe GOIRAN⁹

5 ¹ French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS) / Université de Strasbourg, Laboratoire Image
6 Ville Environnement (UMR 7362), 3 rue de l'Argonne, 67083 Strasbourg Cedex (France). -
7 ferreol.salomon@live-cnrs.unistra.fr, cecile.vittori.villette@gmail.com

8 ² French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS) / Université de Lyon, Environnement Ville Société
9 (UMR 5600), 18, rue Chevreul, 69362 Lyon Cedex (France). - brice.noirot@gmail.com

10 ³ Université de Liège, Département de Géologie, UR Argiles, Géochimie et Environnements
11 sédimentaires, Liège, Belgium - elisa.pleuger@ulg.ac.be

12 ⁴ Istituto Italiano di Paleontologia Umana (IsIPU), Museo Civico di Zoologia, Via Aldrovandi 18, 00197
13 Rome, Italy; carlorosa62@gmail.com

14 ⁵ CNR - Institute of Environmental Geology and Geoengineering - Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Via
15 Salaria km 29,300, 00015 Montelibretti (RM) Italy - ilaria.mazzini@igag.cnr.it

16 ⁶ French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), UMR 5508-EPOC, 16, rue de Mégret F-33400
17 Talence, France - carbonel@free.fr

18 ⁷ Études et valorisation archéologiques Srl (Éveha), 87 avenue des bruyères, 69 150 Décines-Charpieu,
19 France; hatem.djerbi@eveha.fr

20 ⁸ Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, SAPIENZA Università di Roma, Piazzale A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma,
21 Italy - piero.bellotti@gmail.com

22 ⁹ French National Center for Scientific Research (CNRS), UMR 5133-Archéorient, MOM, 7 rue Raulin,
23 69007 Lyon, France - jean-philippe.goiran@mom.fr

24 **Keywords:** chronostratigraphy; palaeoenvironments; PADM chart; Tiber delta.

25 **Highlights**

- 26 • Reconstruction of coastal transgression and progradation at the transition between the inner
27 and outer delta plain near the archaeological site of Ostia;
- 28 • High sedimentation rates and deepening facies coeval to sea level jumps from the 9-8 k cal.
29 BP;
- 30 • Strong progradational phases are recorded around 4 k cal. BP, and from 2.8 to 2.6 k cal. BP;
- 31 • Identification of the Tiber River influence below and near the area of the archaeological site
32 of Ostia: 4.2 to 4 k, 2.9 to 2.5 k, 2.5 to 1.7 k cal. BP;
- 33 • Synthesis of chronostratigraphic data and delineation of the envelope of the fluvial lateral
34 mobility of the Tiber River since 6 k cal. BP (channel belt);
- 35 • PADM chart demonstrates great efficiency in interpreting stratigraphic successions in a river
36 delta, in identifying evidence of coastline-trajectories and should help in constraining
37 decompaction methods for deltaic sequences.

38 **Abstract**

39 Located between the deltaic plain and the subaqueous delta, base level is one of the most
40 important factors that affect depositional systems and the sedimentary architecture of river deltas.
41 In this respect, its changes are essential to reconstruct delta evolution during the Holocene. In this
42 paper, we study three cores drilled in the Tiber delta (Italy). Palaeoenvironmental analyses were
43 performed and included new sedimentological data (laser grain size, loss-on-ignition, magnetic
44 susceptibility), new data from bioindicators (ostracods and macrofauna), and 11 new radiocarbon
45 dates. The three cores were analysed and replaced in a cross section between the Inner and Outer

46 Tiber delta, i.e., in the palaeolagoon and in the progradational delta plain. First, we have mapped the
47 Holocene transgression and progradation of the Ostia area using palaeoenvironmental age-depth
48 modelling techniques (PADMs). PADM charts help to interpret a stratigraphic succession in a river
49 delta. They contribute to the understanding of the links between depositional environments,
50 sedimentation rate, and sea level rise and to reconstruct coastline trajectories. More precisely, they
51 contribute to the interpretation of the consequences of the sea level jumps dated to the 9000-8000
52 cal. BP period on coastal environments and help to identify progradational phases (around 4 k, and
53 from 2.8 to 2.6 k cal. BP). Second, we identify indirect (freshwater bioindicators) and direct (bedload-
54 derived facies) evidence of fluvial activity in the studied cross section. The studied deep cores
55 indicate that at least one palaeochannel of the Tiber River was already flowing in the
56 middle/southern part of the delta from 4 k cal. BP. Finally, a first map of the lateral mobility of the
57 palaeochannels of the Tiber River is proposed for the last 6 k cal. BP using the new data and a
58 synthesis of all the data available at the scale of the delta.

59 **INTRODUCTION**

60 Geomorphologically, river deltas are composed of a subaerial plain and a subaqueous part
61 separated by the sea level or base level (Wright and Coleman, 1973; Wright, 1977, 1985; Coleman,
62 1982; Stanley and Warne, 1994; Hori and Saito, 2007; Anthony et al., 2014). The existence of deltas
63 depends primarily on the sediment load transported by the rivers to the sea and on the coastal and
64 marine conditions. River channels are essential to route sediment to the coastlines and contribute to
65 shape the deltas. In parallel, the sedimentary architecture of river deltas is mainly controlled by the
66 base level that changes over time. It is a key factor that affects the characteristics and the location of
67 fluvial, coastal, and marine facies. The reconstruction of the formation of river deltas during the
68 Holocene depends on an integrated approach, taking into account a large range of data such as
69 sediment facies, facies distribution, unconformities, relative sea level change, and accommodation
70 space. In this regard, methods, notions, concepts, and visualisation tools developed in sequence

71 stratigraphy are essential (Posamentier and James, 1993; Catuneanu, 2006; Catuneanu et al., 2009;
72 Embry et al., 2007). The time-stratigraphic context is also crucial to interpret sediment deposits in
73 sequence stratigraphy. We suggest testing the Palaeoenvironmental-Age Depth Model (PADM chart)
74 to visualise and interpret links between sedimentary facies, the relative sea level change rate, and
75 the sedimentation rate (Salomon et al., 2016a). It corresponds to a classic age-depth model, but
76 instead attempts to integrate a wide range of relevant data to interpret deltaic sediment deposits by
77 using concepts developed in sequence stratigraphy.

78 In this study, we not only consider coastal and marine sediments and their relation to the
79 Holocene base level, but also consider river deposits even though they follow different trends. It is
80 very easy to spot the current channels, but multiple channels have existed throughout the Holocene
81 that are less easy to identify. The palaeogeographical reconstruction of river mobility in deltas
82 through the Holocene is a challenge. During the Early Holocene transgressive phase, the
83 identification of river mouth palaeochannels is based on sedimentary cores. Their locations are
84 mostly because of chance or a large chronostratigraphic database with many cores,
85 palaeoenvironmental analyses and dates. In this case, palaeogeographical reconstruction of the
86 Holocene transgression of the river mouth area of the Rhine is remarkable (Hijma and Cohen, 2011).
87 The locations of the deltaic river courses or the river mouths are easier for younger stages of delta
88 formation: when base level rise stalls, a high stand is established and the delta system becomes
89 progradational. The progradational phase started around 6500 cal. BP in the Mediterranean area
90 because of sea level rising more slowly (Nile delta: Stanley and Warne, 1993, 1994; Po delta: Amorosi
91 et al., 2017; Stefani and Vincenzi, 2005; Rhone delta: Vella and Provansal, 2000; Vella et al., 2005;
92 Ebro delta: Sornoza et al., 1998; Cearreta et al., 2016). From these youngest millennia, some
93 morphological changes are noticeable on the ground surface. Palaeochannels, beach ridges, and
94 palaeolagoons can be traceable through aerial photography, satellite imagery, old maps, or LiDAR
95 data. Palaeochannels can be characterised by their morphologies (levees, ridges and swales, cut-off
96 channels) or their location is inferred when they cut pre-existing beach ridges (Pranzini, 2007;

97 Ullmann et al., 2018; Gebremichael et al., 2018). Alternatively, palaeoriver mouths can be located
98 using beach ridges in cuspidate deltas (Stefani and Vincenzi, 2005; Vella et al., 2005) or by producing
99 submarine topographic/geophysical profiles of subaqueous lobe deltas (Shaw et al., 2016). However,
100 through time, floodplain deposits and coastal dynamics, respectively, contribute to cover and rework
101 morphological evidence.

102 Typically, studies on coastal palaeodynamics are more numerous than studies on fluvial
103 palaeodynamics of the adjacent delta plain inland. This can be attributed to a better record of coastal
104 morphologies on aerial photography/satellite imagery (beach-ridges), and also because coastal
105 dynamics are better expressed vertically in relation to the base level (RSL - Relative Sea Level).
106 Progradational beach ridges can extend widely along the coast and can be studied using
107 perpendicular cross sections (dates of the progradational phases and identification of potential
108 erosional or stability phases) (Bicket et al., 2009 for the Tiber delta). The location of palaeochannels
109 is more difficult to predict (especially when it involves avulsion processes), and river systems often
110 rework older alluvial morphologies. In recent years, this discrepancy between coastal and fluvial
111 studies tends to be filled by an increasing number of sedimentary drillings, as well as the
112 development of LiDAR data. For example, the recurrent discussion about the identification of Nile
113 River branches is currently reexamined by LiDAR data from TamDEM-X (Gebremichael et al., 2018;
114 Ullmann et al., 2018).

115 This paper focuses on cores drilled between 2011 and 2013 down to 25m in the area of the
116 archaeological site of Ostia (Figs. 1 and 2). The studied cross section includes the turning point
117 between the last phase of the transgression and the early phases of the progradation (Figs. 2 and 3).
118 Palaeoenvironmental Age-Depth Models (PADM charts) are made to clarify the interpretation of this
119 coastal area and display the effect of river erosion on the sedimentary sequences.

120 **GEOLOGICAL AND GEOMORPHOLOGICAL SETTINGS**

121 The Tiber delta is located in the Tyrrhenian extensional continental margin. This
122 configuration started during the Miocene and shaped the landscape with northwest/southeast
123 normal faulting and northeast/southwest transverse systems in the lower Tiber (Funciello, 1995).
124 The Tiber delta is developing near Upper-Middle Pleistocene volcanoes on the east of the Tyrrhenian
125 Sea back arc basin (Karner et al., 2001b) and takes part of the Quaternary succession starting in the
126 Late Pliocene near Rome (Milli, 1997; Karner et al., 2001a). General uplift of the area is related to
127 volcanic activity and isostasy (De Rita et al., 1994; Ferranti et al., 2006; Mantovani et al., 2009).
128 Active faults in the Tiber delta during the Holocene are still discussed by different research teams
129 (Bigi et al., 2014; Ciotoli et al., 2016; Marra et al., 2019) (Fig. 1).

130 The Tiber delta is a wave dominated delta (Bellotti et al., 1994). The Tiber River is 405 km
131 long with a spring at 524 m a.s.l. The watershed area is 17,375 km² (Autorità di Bacino del Fiume
132 Tevere, 2006). Today, the regime of the Tiber River is pluvio-nival with maximum mean discharge in
133 winter (February) and minimum mean discharge in summer (August). During the twentieth to
134 twenty-first centuries the annual water discharge is 213 m³/s, with a minimum at 62 m³/s (August
135 1986) and a maximum at 2750 m³/s (December 1937) (Bersani and Bencivenga, 2001). The first
136 palaeogeographical reconstructions date to the 1950s and 1960s with the aerial-photo interpretation
137 of J. Bradford (1957 – Fig. 23) and a geological map (Segre in Dragone et al., 1967). In the context of
138 the construction of the International Airport of Rome – Fiumicino, Segre (1986) hypothesized the
139 presence of many palaeochannels in the Tiber delta. The first palaeogeographical reconstruction,
140 based on sedimentary cores and radiocarbon dates, was proposed in the 1980s (Belluomini et al.,
141 1986). Later, reconstructions based on an integrated approach of sedimentary cores,
142 sedimentological analysis, palaeoenvironmental data, and sequential stratigraphy were coordinated
143 by Bellotti (Bellotti et al., 1994, 1995, 1989, 2007, 2018), and more recently by Milli (Amorosi and
144 Milli, 2001; Milli et al., 2013, 2016). These studies contributed in reconstructing the formation of the
145 prodelta, the delta front, and the deltaic plain during the Holocene. Traditionally, the Tiber delta
146 plain is divided into two main geomorphological units; the inner delta plain occupied by the

147 palaeolagoon of Ostia and Maccarese, and the outer delta plain corresponding to the prograded
148 deltaic plain.

149 Similar to other river deltas across the world, two periods characterise the evolution of the
150 Tiber river mouth since the Last Glacial Maximum. Following the quick sea level rise starting around
151 16,500 cal. BP (Lambeck et al., 2014), transgression affected the Tiber River mouth and contributed
152 to the formation of a specific sedimentary sequence: the Transgressive Systems Tract (TST).
153 Afterwards, since 7000-6000 cal. BP, sea level rise slowed down and the Tiber delta started to form a
154 large prograded plain (Bellotti et al., 2007). During this second period, sedimentary deposits belong
155 to the Highstand Systems Tract (HST). More detailed analysis of the phases of progradation and
156 erosion are proposed by Giraudi (2004) and Bicket et al. (2009). For the last 2000 yr, archaeological
157 and historical data can be used to reconstruct fluvial and coastal mobility (Le Gall, 1953; Bersani and
158 Moretti, 2008) and can be combined with sedimentary cores, ¹⁴C and OSL dates (Salomon, 2013).

159 Many hypotheses exist for the location of the river channels or channel belts in the Tiber
160 delta during the Holocene (Dragone et al., 1967; Segre, 1986; Bellotti et al., 2007; Giraudi et al.,
161 2009). The topography of the unconformity at the base of the Tiber Depositional Sequence confines
162 the lateral instability of the river channels in the centre of the Tiber delta during the early stages of
163 the transgression (13,000 – 9000 cal. BP - Bellotti et al., 2007; Milli et al., 2013). Main phases of
164 evolution suggest a channel belt of the Tiber in the central axis of the delta during the Early Holocene
165 (> 9000 cal. BP - Bellotti et al., 2007; Milli et al., 2013, 2016), and a displacement of the channel belt
166 towards the south until today (< 9000 cal. BP - Bellotti et al., 2007). The identification of
167 palaeochannels visible in aerial photography, satellite imagery, and old maps makes it possible to
168 reconstruct the evolution of the lateral mobility of the Tiber during at least the last 2500 yr
169 (Arnoldus-Huyzendveld and Paroli, 1995; Arnoldus-Huyzendveld and Pellegrino, 1999; Salomon et al.,
170 2017; 2018). The first detailed description of the bedload-derived facies for the Tiber delta is
171 proposed for the bottom of the channels of the meander of Ostia dated between the end of the first

172 millennium BCE and 1557 CE (bedload-derived deposits at the bottom of the point bar and at the
173 bottom of the oxbow - Salomon et al., 2017). Studies based on cores drilled in the coastal area
174 (Goiran et al., 2010; Salomon, 2013; Goiran et al., 2014), in the palaeolagoon of Ostia (Bellotti et al.,
175 2011; Vittori et al., 2015) and in palaeochannels of the Tiber River of Ostia (Salomon et al., 2017,
176 2018), suggest a migration of the last section of the course of the Tiber in its delta towards the south
177 between 2800 cal. BP and 2300-1700 cal. BP. Most of the evidence is from indirect fluvial influence
178 suggested by bioindicators (Bellotti et al., 2011; Goiran et al., 2014). Recently, coarse bedload-
179 derived facies from this period have been dated just north of Ostia (Hadler et al., in press, Core
180 TEV2A/TEV DP8), and could be product of the initial phase of formation of the palaeomeander of
181 Ostia (Salomon et al., 2017, 2018). Earlier phases of the fluvial evolution are still to be tracked and
182 dated with precision.

183 **METHODS**

184 This paper includes new chrono-stratigraphical and palaeoenvironmental data from Cores
185 PO-1 and 2, CAT-3 and MO-2. The upper parts of these cores were previously published and studied
186 with a geoarchaeological perspective. These upper stratigraphic sequences were interpreted in
187 regards to the evolution of the Roman city of Ostia (Core MO-2 in Salomon et al., 2017; Cores CAT-2
188 and CAT-3 in Salomon et al., 2018) and its harbours (PO-1 and 2 in Goiran et al., 2014). Core LOA-1
189 (Vittori et al., 2015), Core CAT-2 (Salomon et al., 2018) and Core OST-4 (Hadler et al., 2015)
190 complement the cross section.

191 Cores PO-1 / PO-2, CAT-2 / CAT-3, and MO-2 were drilled between 2010 and 2013.
192 Stratigraphies record a large range of sedimentary facies and were analysed using
193 palaeoenvironmental indicators classically used in such context (Figs. 4, 6, and 8). Before any
194 destructive analysis, the magnetic susceptibility of the core sequences were measured in CGS using a
195 Bartington MS2E1 (Dearing, 1999). In the Tiber delta, the magnetic susceptibility records content of
196 clinopyroxenes and magnetites coming from the volcanic areas of the watershed (Belfiore et al.,

197 1987). High magnetic susceptibility is primarily observed in the sand fraction of the fluvial bedload-
198 derived facies or in coastal sandy placers formed along the coast. Magnetic susceptibility is mainly
199 used here to support the delineation of stratigraphical units. Palaeohydrodynamic context is
200 deduced from grain-size analyses. Wet sieving at 63 μ m and 2mm was systematically applied to the
201 sediments sampled from the different units of the core stratigraphies. For selected samples
202 distributed in all stratigraphical units, wet sieving was completed with detailed grain size analysis
203 conducted on a laser particle analyzer from Malvern Panalytical. Common grain size indicators such
204 as sorting and median were calculated (Folk and Ward, 1957; Cailleux and Tricart, 1959). Loss-on-
205 ignition measurements were also conducted on sediments heated at 550°C for four hours (for
206 organic matter) and 950°C for two hours (for carbonates) following the method proposed by Heiri et
207 al. (2001).

208 Palaeoecological context is based on the analysis of macrofauna and ostracods. Macrofauna
209 was extracted from sieved samples >2 mm (Perès and Picard, 1964; Bellan-Santini et al., 1994). In the
210 sieved sediments (63 μ m < x < 1 mm), all ostracods (small bivalved crustaceans) were picked and
211 normalised to 10 g of sediment weight (Carbonel, 1988; Frenzel and Boomer, 2005; Mazzini et al.,
212 2011; Ruiz et al., 2005; Vittori et al., 2015). Macrofauna and ostracods were identified in order to
213 deduce, in particular, the freshwater and marine influences and the depositional context (Goiran,
214 2001; Marriner et al., 2006; Goiran et al., 2011). Ostracods from Core PO-2 presented here were also
215 published in Sadori et al. (2016).

216 Palaeoenvironmental Age-Depth Models (PADM charts) are used to interpret chronometric
217 and integrated stratigraphy data (Salomon et al., 2016a) (Figs. 5, 7 and 9). Developed to interpret
218 ancient coastal harbours and to cross datasets of different types and disciplines, this PADM chart is
219 related to geohistory diagrams, also called backstrip diagrams (Van Hinte, 1978; Allen and Allen,
220 2013). The PADM chart is based on a classic age-depth model, with stratigraphical and
221 palaeoenvironmental context recorded on the y-axis, and palaeogeographical and chronological

222 information transferred to the x-axis. The standardised PADM chart integrates all relevant data to
223 interpret the stratigraphical sequences. A quick glance at the charts offers an overview of the local
224 modelled sea level curve, the different apparent sedimentation curves, the results of the
225 palaeoenvironmental analyses and their interpretations. Most importantly, this chart simplifies the
226 identification of sediments related to the Transgressive Systems Tract or the Highstand Systems
227 Tract. Additionally, the systematic combination of the sea level curve with a sedimentation curve
228 exposes clear correlations to their respective evolutions or the variability of the accommodation
229 space through time.

230 The sedimentation curve is reconstructed with no vertical adjustments – i.e., without any
231 decompaction, subsidence or uplift corrections. The calibration of radiocarbon ages has been
232 performed using the curve proposed by Reimer et al. (2013) with the software OxCal (Ramsey, 1995;
233 Ramsey and Lee, 2013) (Table 1). No model was used to calibrate and narrow down age ranges (e.g.,
234 Bayesian model). Interpretative sedimentation curves are proposed based on radiocarbon dates.
235 Between dates the sedimentation curves can be adjusted depending on the processes hypothesised
236 (e.g., sediment starvation, condensed section). Only the *apparent sedimentation curve* and the
237 *apparent accommodation space* are reported here. Interpretations will be proposed consequently.
238 Many papers suggest decompaction methods taking into account the stratigraphy, porosity, grain
239 size, organic matter content, deposition rate, and overload weight (water or sediment) (Van Hinte,
240 1978; van Asselen et al., 2009; Kominz et al., 2011; Allen and Allen, 2013; Johnson et al., 2018). A
241 decompaction method was also applied to the Tiber delta (Marra et al., 2013). However, the
242 unconformity at the base of the Tiber Depositional Sequence is not precisely known in the studied
243 area (only estimations are proposed in Milli et al., 2013, between 30 and 40 m below Ostia) and
244 stratigraphies of the Late Pleistocene / Early Holocene are not known for the cores presented here. If
245 the interplay between sedimentation rate, compaction, and tectonics can be discussed, the main
246 phases of the chronology proposed is not affected by this vertical instability. The sea level curve used
247 in this paper is an eustatic curve with glacio-hydro-isostatic predictions proposed by Lambeck et al.

248 (2011) for the Tiber delta. In Fig. 3, this local modelled curve is compared to the best estimate of the
249 ice-volume equivalent global sea level function (Lambeck et al., 2014) and the modelled sea level
250 curve for the Tiber delta area from Vacchi et al. (2016) (ICE-5G VM2 Model). The age-depth models
251 (apparent sedimentation rates) and the palaeoenvironments will be interpreted taking into account
252 their relations to the local sea level curve prediction. The sedimentation curves proposed in this
253 paper are not taking into account the elevation loss caused by sediment compaction (van Asselen et
254 al., 2009; Marra et al., 2013). Possible vertical changes (compaction, neotectonic) will be considered
255 in regards to several parameters: it is suggested that the aspect of the *apparent sedimentation curve*
256 is constrained by the palaeoenvironmental characteristics of the deposits (e.g., subaerial and
257 subaqueous bioindicators, shallow or deep water sediment characteristics), the depositional
258 processes involved, the geometry and the temporal development of the transgressive/progradational
259 sequences ((Tamura et al., 2003; Tanabe et al., 2006; Milli et al., 2016), and to a certain extent by the
260 modelled local sea level curve (Lambeck et al., 2011 for the present paper).

261 **ANALYSES**

262 Cores **PO-2**, **CAT-3** and **MO-2** are described in detail (Figs. 4 to 9), and observations in the
263 other cores are used as supporting information. The upper sequences above the bold erosional
264 boundaries lines in Fig. 10 are already published: PO-2 in Goiran et al. (2014) and Sadori et al. (2016)
265 (Harbour of Ostia Sequence); CAT-3 in Salomon et al. (2018) (stratigraphy of a palaeochannel of the
266 Tiber River); and MO-2 in Salomon et al. (2017) (stratigraphy of a palaeochannel of the Tiber River).
267 In Group 1, Core PO-2 will be completed by cores PO-1 (new data for the lower part of the sequence)
268 and Core OST-4 (Hadler et al., 2015). In Group 2, Core CAT-3 will be completed by Core CAT-2. Core
269 MO-2 is the only one forming Group 3, and Core LOA-1 is the only one for Group 4.

270 **Core PO-2** is the deepest reaching core in the Ostia study area (25 m b.s.l.) and the
271 sedimentation refers to a long period of time between 8000 and 2000 cal. BP (Figs. 4 and 5). Four
272 main units were observed below the Roman harbour of Ostia, Units A to D. Unit A is composed of

273 bedded grey silty sand. Around 22 m b.s.l., few cm-layers are composed of silts or organic material.
274 Ostracods mainly reveal a coastal assemblage, mostly brackish lagoonal, but with a large amount of
275 marine and phytal coastal species. No date is available for this unit. Silty sands are still deposited in
276 Sub-unit B1 but interbedded with grey silty clay. In Sub-unit B2, the deposits are compact grey silty
277 clay with no more sandy layers visible (96% of silt and clay). Ostracod assemblage is similar to Unit A.
278 Organic material was radiocarbon dated at 7677 to 7588 cal. BP (6790±30 BP). Silty sand layers are
279 observed again in Unit C (30% of sand). This includes small cm-layers in Unit C1 and sandy deposits in
280 Unit C2 over several decimetres thick. Sub-unit C3 is back to grey silty clay deposits. Interestingly,
281 freshwater ostracods are identified at the bottom of Unit C, but brackish lagoonal deposit
282 assemblages increase in this layer. Organic matter was dated to 4520 to 4296 cal. BP (3955 ± 30 BP)
283 at 14.34 m b.s.l. Bedded grey sand with silty layers are deposited in Unit D with higher value for
284 magnetic susceptibility. Magnetic susceptibility values rise slowly from 5-10 CGS to 10-20 x10⁻⁶ CGS
285 but never reached 100 x10⁻⁶ CGS in Units A to C (mean value = 7 CGS). Values rise in Unit D and reach
286 more than 1000 x10⁻⁶ CGS in Sub-unit D2. Carbonate content is generally rising (up to 30% in Sub-unit
287 D2) while organic matter content decreases in the sedimentary sequence. Additionally, freshwater
288 species are more represented in the ostracods identified in Sub-Unit D1 than in the lower units. Unit
289 D2 contains more *Posidonia*, and some fibers were dated to 2786 to 2686 cal. BP (2955 ± 25 BP). The
290 harbour of Ostia is composed of compact dark grey silts mainly with freshwater ostracods. The
291 harbour is finally sealed by coarse fluvial deposits (Unit E) and fine fluvial deposits (Unit F) (see
292 Goiran et al., 2014 for a detailed description).

293 Fours layers were identified in Core PO-1 below the Roman harbour sequence (Fig. 10), but
294 the core only reaches 18 m b.s.l. Grey silty clay of Core PO-1/Unit A and Unit C are most probably
295 similar to deposits of Sub-unit B2 and C3 in Core PO-2. Surprisingly, dark coarse sand and small
296 gravels were drilled between 15 and 17 m b.s.l. in Core PO-1. Organic matter in the layer was dated
297 to 2715 to 2363 cal. BP (2455 ± 30 BP), but it is covered by grey silty clay in Unit C, which was dated
298 to 4151 to 3981 cal. BP (3720 ± 30 BP) on a piece of wood laying at -14.64 m b.s.l. PO-1/Unit D

299 corresponds to laminated grey sand similar to Core PO-1/Unit D. A similar period was obtained for
300 these two layers with a radiocarbon date on plant material at 8.49 m b.s.l. in Core PO-1/Unit D (2845
301 to 2748 cal. BP, 2670 ± 30 BP). A similar harbour sequence was obtained in Cores PO-1 and 2.

302 Core OST-4 (Hadler et al., 2015) reveals the upper part of the natural sedimentary sequence
303 that was truncated by harbour excavation during the Roman Republic period, between 2400 and
304 2100 cal. BP (Units E in cores PO-1 and 2) (Fig. 10). Medium sands are still observed in Unit A and still
305 dated between 2800 and 2500 cal. BP at 2.30 m b.s.l. (2752 to 2547 cal. BP / 2562 ± 19 BP). Unit B is
306 a fine deposit of grey silt dated to 2326 to 2157 cal. BP (2229 ± 17 BP) and covered again by sand in
307 Unit C.

308 **Core CAT-3** reaches 16 m b.s.l. (Figs. 6 and 7). Laminated silty fine sands are drilled at the
309 bottom in Unit A. Almost 1 m of grey silt is deposited in Unit B, with low magnetic susceptibility (<10
310 $\times 10^{-6}$ CGS). Some ostracods were observed in this unit and are associated with brackish environments
311 (*Cyprideis torosa*) or environments without freshwater (*Palmoconcha turbida*, *Leptocythere* sp.,
312 *Costa batei*). Charcoals trapped in this protected environment are dated to 6858 to 6677 cal. BP
313 (5985 ± 30 BP). From 13.5 to 6.5 m b.s.l., Unit C is composed of laminated silty sand and the upper
314 part is dated to 3717 to 3573 cal. BP (3400 ± 30 BP). Magnetic susceptibility rises slowly from the
315 bottom to the top of this unit. Sands are mainly fine. Comparatively, these laminated sands are more
316 sorted and finer than in Core PO-2. Ostracods were identified at the bottom of these units and
317 indicate a coastal environment with freshwater influence (*Palmoconcha turbida*, *Costa batei*,
318 *Pontocythere turbida*). An important change in the grain size occurs at 6.51 m b.s.l. Unit D is 1 m thick
319 and composed of 15% to 40% of coarse material. This unit is then covered by almost 3 m of silts (Unit
320 E). Heterometric anthropic material constitutes the upper unit F.

321 The core sequence of CAT-2 is only reaching 8 m b.s.l. (Salomon et al., 2018), but reveals the
322 upper sedimentation eroded by fluvial mobility between 2800 and 2200 cal. BP in Core CAT-3. Units B
323 and C in CAT-2 are finer deposits in between medium sand in Units A, C and E. Four radiocarbon

324 dates are distributed from the bottom to the top of this stratigraphic sequence. In Core CAT-2 / Unit
325 B two radiocarbon dates (4151 to 3981 cal. BP – 3720 ± 30 on organic matter - and 3333 to 3135 cal.
326 BP – 3365 ± 30 BP on *Posidonia*) include the time span of the last date obtained in the upper part of
327 Core CAT-3 / Unit C (3717 to 3573 cal. BP).

328 Finally, between 21.30 and 12 m b.s.l., **Core MO-2** reveals Early Holocene deltaic deposits
329 settled before the development of the palaeomeander of Ostia between 2400 to 1700 cal. BP (Figs. 8
330 and 9). The upper 12 m are described in detail in Salomon et al. (2017). The oldest radiocarbon dates
331 of the area are recorded in Unit B and C and covered the period between 8500 and 7900 cal. BP. Unit
332 A (21.30-19.39 m b.s.l.) is mainly composed of silt and clay (56%) with high content of sand (43%).
333 The organic content is only 3% (loss-on-ignition). The macrofauna is particularly interesting. Shells of
334 *Zonites nitidus* were observed, which usually live along shores of lakes and riverbanks. *Oxychilidae* sp.
335 were also identified, which live in terrestrial contexts in wet environments, generally near lakes.
336 Other terrestrial species were observed like *Mediterranea depressa* or other gastropods. No
337 ostracods were identified. The magnetic susceptibility is low, around 10×10^{-6} CGS on average.
338 However, magnetic susceptibility rises in Unit B correlatively with the grain size. Unit B is composed
339 of very well sorted sand with very low organic matter content (1%). A lot of macrofauna fragments
340 were collected including many bivalves sp., gastropods, and other shells difficult to identify. The
341 shells identified are mainly characteristic of sandy or rocky environments (*Bittium reticulatum*,
342 *Cerastoderma edule*, *Rissoa venusta* etc.). *Lentidium mediterraneum* suggests a sandy/clayey bottom
343 close to a river. A marine shell was dated at 8525-8345 cal. BP at 19 m b.s.l. (7965 ± 40 BP).
344 Ostracods identified include *Cyprideis torosa* and *Loxoconcha elliptica*, revealing a brackish
345 environment with high salinity variability, *Loxoconcha rhomboidea*, *Xestoleberis nitida*, *Leptocythere*
346 sp. and *Propontocypris cf. prifera*, characterising lagoonal-coastal environments (euryhaline) with low
347 salinity changes (polyhaline), and *Cushmanidea* (or *Pontocythere*) *elongata*, *Urocythereis* possibly
348 *favosa*, and *Heterocythereis albomaculata*, typical of a dynamic coastal environment, but with
349 ostracods sometimes hiding in *Posidonia*. Similar to Unit A, deposition in a calm environment is again

350 observed in Unit C, with 95% of silts and clay. Specific diversity reduces in this unit and only some
351 species of *Rissoa Linoelata* and *Bittium reticulatum* living in algae, *Posidonia*, or rocks were observed.
352 Some *Posidonia* fibers were observed at the top of this unit, which confirms marine influence in this
353 unit. Ostracods reveal a brackish environment, indicating some contact with the sea, but not directly
354 open to the sea (*Loxoconcha rhomboidea*, *Xestoleberis* sp., to infralittoral often with algae,
355 *Cytheridea*, *Paracytheridea*, *Carinocythereis carinata*). Several dates were performed on this unit,
356 from the bottom to the top. At 18.25 m b.s.l. a piece wood was dated to 8070 ± 40 BP and calibrated
357 at 9125-8780 cal. BP. This date is followed at 17.15 m b.s.l. by a date on wood calibrated at 8540-
358 8390 cal. BP (7655 ± 30 BP). In the upper part of this unit, *Posidonia* fibers are dated to 8525-7925
359 cal. BP (7545 ± 35 BP) and 8155-7965 cal. BP (7600 ± 40 BP). Lastly, laminated silty sands are
360 deposited in Unit D. A sand content of 94% was measured at the bottom but with an average of 76%
361 for all the samples analysed in this unit. Macrofauna exposes species from different environments,
362 from a sandy bottom (*Cerastoderma edule*, *Macra* sp., *Neverita Josephina*...), or an area near the
363 river mouth (*Zonites nitidus*). Ostracods reveal lagoonal-brackish (*Cyprideis torosa*) to marine
364 environments (*Aurila woodwardii*) with coastal species (*Cushmanidea elongata* and *Urocythereis*
365 *favosa*). The bottom of Unit D reveals many shell fragments. Most of the shell fragments cannot be
366 identified. A fragment of shell was dated in this unit but should be rejected for the interpretation
367 (9370 ± 45 BP at 16m b.s.l. – 10,340-10,130 cal. BP). In Unit E, very coarse pebbles were recorded at
368 the bottom with black coarse to very coarse sand. These deposits are the coarsest ever recorded in a
369 Middle/Late Holocene channel of the Tiber in the delta (coarsest pebbles are 2.5 cm (A-axis) \times 2 cm
370 (B-axis) \times 1 cm (C-axis)). Unit F is an intercalation of sand and grey silty clay deposited in the Roman
371 period. Finally, unit G is sub-modern deposits of grey silty clay.

372 **DISCUSSION**

373 **Interpretation of the depositional systems**

374 The study area considered in this paper straddles the inner and the outer delta plain. It
375 crosses part of the palaeolagoon and the area of the archaeological site of Ostia (Fig. 2). Fig. 10
376 presents a synthetic cross section interpreting the depositional systems of the studied cores. Core
377 LOA-1 studied in Vittori et al. (2015) is located in the inner deltaic plain and all other studied cores
378 are located in the outer deltaic plain. Sandy deposits identified at the bottom of Cores PO-2 (Unit A)
379 and MO-2 (Unit B) and Unit B in Core LOA-1 are issued from a transgressive sandy coast. Several ¹⁴C
380 and OSL dates confirm that Unit A / Core LOA-1 goes back from the Pleistocene (Vittori et al. in
381 prep.). Units D in Cores PO-1 and PO-2, Unit C in Core CAT-3, Units A, B, C, D, E in Core CAT-2, and
382 Unit D in Core MO-2 are shallowing upward successions related to the progradational delta front.
383 Fine marine sediments deposited in the prodelta are observed in Core PO-1 / Units A and C, Core PO-
384 1 / Units B and C, Core CAT-3 / Unit B, and Core MO-2 / Unit C.

385 Transitions between these three depositional systems can also be observed. The location of
386 Core PO-2 is the most seaward and the core better records changes in its stratigraphy. The fine
387 interstratification of sand and silty clay in Sub-unit B1 demonstrates change from the transgressive
388 sandy coast (Unit A) to the prodelta (Sub-unit B2). It demonstrates a normal regression of the
389 coastline during the TST. The sandy layers within the grey silty clay in Core PO-2/Unit C corresponds
390 to the transition between the prodelta to the delta front. It is the distal delta front part of the
391 progradational system during the HST.

392 Based on our data, the switch from TST to HST, called the maximum flooding surface (mfs), is
393 not always easy to locate precisely. According to the chronostratigraphy, it seems to happen in the
394 Prodelt in Core PO-2 (Sub-unit B2 - Fig. 4). More analyses would be necessary to identify a slower
395 rate of deposition and a condensed layer of fauna (condensed section). In Core CAT-3, the mfs could
396 be on top of Unit B or not reached by the core. A deeper core, bioindicators, and additional dates
397 would have helped to define it better (e.g., condensed section, sediment starvation, hiatus). The
398 abrupt deepening facies in Core MO-2 / Unit C (marine grey silty clay) is covered by a small layer

399 characterised by sediment starvation. It is expressed by high macrofaunal density (hiatus) and can be
400 associated to the mfs (Fig. 8). Finally, the mfs in Core LOA-1 is probably at the limit between Unit B
401 and C.

402 Late Holocene lateral fluvial mobility removed part of the delta front deposits of the HST in
403 Cores MO-2 (Sequence 2 – Palaeochannel - Units E, F G) and CAT-1 (Sequence 2 –
404 Palaeochannel/Harbour – Units D and E). In addition, the excavation of the harbour of Ostia during
405 the Roman period also removed progradational sands of the HST in Group 1 area (2400-2000 cal. BP
406 in PO-1 and PO-2 Units E).

407 In the interpreted cross section between groups 1 and 4, there is currently no clear evidence
408 of fault activity. Deeper cores and complementary dates in the stratigraphies would be necessary to
409 interpret the sequences to examine this further.

410 **From the TST to the HST: use of the interpretative PADM chart for single core** 411 **interpretations**

412 According to the PADM charts of Cores PO-2 (Fig. 5), Core CAT-3 (Fig. 7) and Core MO-2 (Fig.
413 9), the stratigraphies overlap the end of the Transgressive phase and the Progradational phases until
414 2500 cal. BP.

415 Amongst the analysed cores, the transgressive coastal sand is only dated in Core MO-2. The
416 sedimentation rate in Units B and C seems to roughly follow the rate of the modelled local sea level
417 curve between 9000 and 8000 cal. BP, which would confirm the validity of the modelled curve of
418 Lambeck et al. (2011). It should be noticed that these four dates at the bottom of Core MO-2 dates
419 sediments from periods often lacking in deltaic sequences or displaying a change of the facies (River
420 deltas worldwide: Stanley and Warne, 1994; Po delta: Amorosi et al., 2017 and Bruno et al., 2017;
421 Rhine delta: Hijma and Cohen, 2011, 2019; Asian deltas: Hori and Saito, 2007; Mississippi delta: Yu et
422 al., 2012). The period 9000-8500 cal. BP is characterised by facies changes related to a sea level jump
423 in Asian deltas (Hori and Saito, 2007). This change is characterised by a shift from coastal/estuarine

424 sand or mud to prodelta mud. A sea level jump would also occur later between 8500 and 8200 cal.
425 BP, possibly in two phases according to the data from the Rhine delta (Hijma and Cohen, 2019). The
426 marine silty clay from MO-2 / Unit C could be related to a rapid deepening facies similar to the
427 observations made by Hory and Saito (2007) for Asian deltas. The modelled curve of Lambeck et al.
428 (2011) for the Tiber delta is correct, but too smoothed to show these sea level jumps. Core MO-2 /
429 Unit C offers sedimentation for the period between 9000 and 8000 cal. BP. To support such a
430 sediment rate in a deeper context, the sediment load transported by the Tiber River was probably
431 very important. This strong sedimentation (sandy and fine) could be linked to the Sapropel S1
432 deposits in the Tyrrhenian Sea (9500-6600 cal BP in Emeis et al., 2000; 8900-7300 cal. BP in Zanchetta
433 et al., 2007; 10,800-6100 cal BP in De Lange et al., 2008). On top of Unit C, sediment starvation
434 occurs with a hiatus (mfs). The sedimentation rate probably stopped to be consistent with the
435 relative sea level rise, but the chronology is lacking.

436 At the beginning this sea level jump period, Core MO-2 shows very quickly changing environments
437 from freshwater and terrestrial context in Unit A to a protected environment with high marine
438 influence in Unit C, intercalated with two units of coastal sand in Units B and D. Terrestrial,
439 freshwater, coastal and marine palaeoenvironments seem to be very close, suggesting a high
440 mobility of the coastline and closely controlled by the rising sea level. Unit A can be associated to a
441 coastal lake or an estuarine environment.

442 The upper part of Core MO-2 shows a channel-fill sequence (Sequence 2) related to the activity (2400
443 cal. BP and 1557 CE) and the infill of the palaeomeander of Ostia (1557 CE to the reclamation in the
444 Late nineteenth/ Early twentieth century CE - Salomon et al., 2017). Cores S6 and S1 in Bellotti et al.
445 (2011) and Cores E, D and A farther south in Bellotti et al. (2007) demonstrate that sandy deposits
446 continued to be deposited in the upper sequence of Core MO-2 before their removal by the
447 palaeomeander.

448 The grey silty clay deposits of Core CAT-3 / Unit B are dated from 6858 to 6677 cal. BP. Unit B
449 could be just before or contemporary with the transition from the TST to the HST (mfs). A deeper
450 core and complementary analyses would be necessary to identify the mfs. In Fig. 7, two hypothetical
451 sedimentation rates are proposed between Unit A and B. Unit A is either related to the transgressive
452 coast or an instability of the delta front during the TST-HST transition. Unit B is possibly a condensed
453 prodelta layer with a low sedimentation rate (mfs facies similar to Unit B2 in Core PO-2).

454 The stratigraphic sequence of Core PO-2 records both the transition from the delta front to
455 the prodelta during the transgression phase, and the transition from the prodelta to the prograded
456 plain after the sea level rise slows down around 7000 yr ago. The coastal sands identified at the
457 bottom of Core PO-2 might coincides with dates obtained in the TST deposits inland, pre-dating 7000
458 cal. BP. No dates are available for Unit A but we estimate that these transgressive coastal sands were
459 deposited around 10,000 and 9000 cal. BP relying on the local sea level curve proposed by Lambeck
460 et al. (2011). Sub-unit B1 shows the transition from the delta front (Unit A) to the prodelta (Unit B
461 and C) while the coastline should be moving towards the east near Core MO-2 (retrogradation). The
462 water-filled space (accommodation space) expands quickly right after the coastline in Core PO-2 is
463 transgressed, but tends to reduce when the sea level rise slows down and the progradation occurs.
464 Even if part of the slow sedimentation observed in Units B and C is because of compaction, such
465 geometry and temporal evolution are expected in this geomorphological context. The mfs facies
466 might also occur during this period (Unit B2). Units B to D expose the transition from the prodelta to
467 the delta front while the Tiber delta plain is prograding. Sub-unit C1 records the first sandy layers
468 since Sub-unit B1 was deposited in the Early Holocene. Interestingly, freshwater ostracods species
469 were identified in Sub-unit C1 along with brackish, coastal, and marine species. According to these
470 palaeoenvironmental data and chronology obtained, a prograding palaeoriver mouth of the Tiber
471 was most likely not far from Core PO-2 between 4500 and 4200 cal. BP. However, a stronger delta
472 front influence is recorded just afterwards in Sub-unit C2. The delta front definitely progrades
473 towards PO-2 between 4000 and 2500 cal. BP according to Unit D. The higher sedimentation rate in

474 the upper level of Unit C and Unit D is probably because of less compaction but also to local factors
475 linked to the closer coastline and adjustment of the slope of the delta front. This Sub-unit C2 could
476 be related to the period of drier conditions between 4300-3800 cal. BP with intercalated phases of
477 increased moisture recorded in lakes from Central Italy (Magny et al., 2007, 2009, 2012; Sadori et al.,
478 2011). Similar progradational phases are also recorded in the Ombrone River delta (Bellotti et al.,
479 2004). Anthropogenic factors, especially stronger human impact in the watershed, also most likely
480 affected this coastal progradation from 4400 cal. BP (Bronze Age - Magri, 1999; Sadori et al., 2011).
481 More ostracods usually living in freshwater were observed in Unit D suggesting closer river influence
482 in this coastal area. According to Core OST-4 (Hadler et al., 2015), the area of Core PO-2 definitely
483 turns into the subaerial deltaic plain between 2.8 k and 2.5 k cal. BP.

484 **Integrated age-depth model and interpretations**

485 Fig. 11 is a synthesis of all of the apparent sedimentation curves from the cores drilled along
486 the studied cross section from the archaeological site of Ostia to the palaeolagoon. This diagram
487 integrates all of the PADMs presented above. Subsidence may have affected the sedimentation curve
488 of the Outer subzone (Group 1) more than the curves of Inner subzone (Group 2 and 3), because of a
489 deeper Holocene sequence to the west (see the unconformity at the base of the Tiber Depositional
490 Sequence - Milli et al., 2013) and the thicker fine prodelta deposits recorded in Cores PO-1 and 2.
491 However, despite the lack of dates at the bottom of each core, this chart confirms the succession of
492 transgressed coastlines from west to east. The first coastline to be transgressed is in Group 1 (Core
493 PO-2 – Outer subzone), then Group 2 (Core CAT-3), and finally Group 3 (Core MO-2 – Inner subzone).
494 Consequently, the apparent accommodation space for the Outer subzone is deeper than for the
495 Inner subzone between 8000 and 3000 cal. BP. This difference is also partly related to a higher
496 subsidence in the Outer subzone. The apparent sedimentation rate is very low between 8000 and
497 4000 cal. BP in the Outer subzone, and accelerates since 4000 cal. BP to the definitive progradation
498 of the Outer subzone (Group 1) around 2800-2700 cal. BP. This quicker sedimentation rate observed

499 from 4000 cal. BP can be related to the lower compaction of these sandier layers, but also to delta
500 front progradation in the Outer subzone. Additionally, it can also be a response to the aridification
501 and stronger erosion happening across the Mediterranean since 4.2 k cal. BP.

502 The apparent sedimentation curves in the upper parts are a combination by groups of cores.
503 Several radiocarbon dates were rejected to produce coherent sedimentation curves for each group
504 and are crossed out on the diagram. Deepest and more recent radiocarbon dates were generally
505 selected to plot the sedimentation curve (especially for Cores CAT-2 and 3). When dates were very
506 close in date and depth, we combined them within the sedimentation curve (Cores PO-1, PO-2 and
507 OST-4). However, for the deepest date performed in Core PO-1 / Unit B we adopted a different
508 strategy because of the possible effect of the river, and two scenarios may be considered for now
509 (see discussion below). In any case, it results in a meeting of the sedimentation curves of Groups 1
510 and 2 around 2.8-2.5 k cal. BP. This observation confirms the quick progradation happening at that
511 period (Salomon et al., 2018). Unfortunately, the HST coastline related to Core MO-2 is not known
512 because of the erosion of the sediment from the upper part of the core by the palaeomeander of
513 Ostia.

514 Considering (1) the succession of transgressed coastline from west to east observed in the
515 integrated age-depth model (retrogradation), (2) the slower sedimentation in the prodelta
516 comparing to the delta front, and (3) the sandy deposits reaching the modelled sea level curve during
517 the HST (progradation), the model proposed fits into theoretical trends expected from a Holocene
518 transgressed/prograded coast (see also Stanley and Warne, 1994; Tamura et al., 2003; Tanabe et al.,
519 2006). The PADM chart seems relevant to identify evidence to reconstruct coastline-trajectories. The
520 distinction between the apparent sedimentation curve of Groups 1, 2, and 3 might be amplified by
521 the effect of compaction, but does not reassess the model proposed. Additionally, no strong chrono-
522 topographical inversions suggest faulting activity below Ostia. This is confirmed by the fact that the
523 dates in the lower part of Core MO-2 match the trend of evolution of the modelled local sea level

524 curve. This approach considering geomorphological processes and their effect on sedimentation
525 could contribute to improve decompaction methods for Late Pleistocene / Holocene deltaic
526 sequences.

527 **Fluvial bedload-derived facies within coastal sand near Ostia**

528 The cross section presented in Fig. 10 shows the presence of facies interpreted such as fluvial
529 bedload-derived deposit in very different palaeoenvironmental contexts: (1) in the prodelta in Core
530 PO-1/Unit B; (2) in the progradational delta front in Core MO-2 / Unit E, CAT-3 / Unit D; and (3) in the
531 Roman harbour in Core PO-1 and 2 - upper part of Units E. The bedload-derived facies of the Tiber
532 River in its delta results in a distinct facies, composed of medium/coarse black sand with gravels and
533 pebbles. Similar bedload-derived facies were also found in the Roman *Portus* canals (Salomon et al.,
534 2014, 2016b) and in Core ISF-1 at the river mouth of the Tiber between 2500 and 2000 cal. BP
535 (Salomon et al., 2018). Indirect fluvial influence is recorded on the coast and in the palaeolagoon
536 with bioindicators such as ostracods and macrofauna. For information, the current maximum depth
537 of the Tiber channel in the delta can reach 12 m (Castellano and Colatosti, 2003).

538 Initially, only coarse fluvial deposits from fluvial bedload-derived facies were assured to be
539 found in the palaeomeander of Ostia (Core MO-1, 2 and 3). The aerial photography taken in 1911 by
540 balloon and sixteenth-seventeenth century texts and maps clearly revealed the position of the
541 palaeomeander cut-off in 1557-1562 CE (Shepherd, 2006; Pannuzi, 2009). Other bedload-derived
542 deposits were drilled by chance since Core CAT-2 was covered by a thick archaeological layer within
543 the Roman city of Ostia. The fluvial harbour of Ostia was supposed to reveal only fine harbour muds,
544 and only fine prodelta deposits were expected in the lower part of Core PO-1.

545 The bedload-derived facies of Unit B from Core PO-1 is related to a strong progradation in
546 either 4000 cal. BP or around 2800-2700 cal. BP. The date of this fluvial deposit depends on the
547 acceptance or the rejection of the date of 2715-2365 cal. BP dated on organic matter sampled in this
548 unit. First, it was quite surprising to identify two meters of coarse fluvial deposits between 15 and 17

549 m b.s.l. between two units of grey silty clay. This seems to be related to a quick and strong fluvial
550 event. Second, Core PO-2 (located only 20m away from Core PO-1) does not record a similar deposit.
551 However, in Core PO-2 / Sub-unit C1 around 15 m b.s.l. combined with the sandy layers deposited in
552 the prodelta, few ostracods characteristic of a freshwater environment were identified. Third, the
553 date of the bedload influx is similar to the quick progradation of 2800-2700 cal. BP recorded at the
554 mouth of the Tiber delta (Salomon et al., 2018), but the date just on top could be related to the 4.2 k
555 BP event (Magny et al., 2007; Sadori et al., 2011). Consequently, if the date is rejected, this bedload-
556 derived deposit in PO-1 / Unit B could be attributed to 4500-4000 cal. BP and be coeval with PO-2 /
557 Unit C. Alternatively, if the date of 2715-2363 cal. BP is accepted, this bedload-derived deposit would
558 be part of the major change happening at the mouth of the Tiber River at 2800-2700 cal. BP.

559 The very coarse material issued from the bedload-derived deposit in the palaeomeander of
560 Ostia in Core MO-2 / Unit E was supposed to originate from the *Ponte Galeria* formation upstream of
561 the Tiber delta and brought by a strong flood event (Salomon et al., 2017). The re-interpretation of
562 the lower part of Core LOA-1 / Unit A suggests that it could also belong to outcrops of Pleistocene
563 deposits buried a few metres below the palaeolagoon of Ostia (Vittori et al. in prep.). Some pebbles
564 from the Pleistocene outcrops could have been more locally eroded and trapped in the pool drilled in
565 MO-2. The bedload-derived deposit found in Core CAT-3 / Unit D is related to the palaeodynamic of
566 the same palaeomeander of Ostia (Salomon et al., 2018). More surprising are the coarse deposits
567 settled high over the sediment of the harbour of Ostia (Goiran et al., 2014). Their deposition in such a
568 position could suggest shoals at the mouth of the Tiber River around 2000 cal. BP.

569 **Tiber river mobility in the Tiber delta during the last 6000 years cal. BP**

570 The last figure is a map of the lateral mobility of the Tiber River during the last 6000 yr in its
571 delta with data available for now (Fig. 12). This timespan covers most of the period of the HST and
572 the progradation of the Tiber delta. Several data have been collected to produce this map : (1) beach
573 ridge and fluvial features observed in satellite imagery, old aerial photography, and geophysical

574 surveys (Keay et al., 2005; Keay and Paroli, 2011); and (2) stratigraphic sequences with coarse fluvial
575 deposits. Only the upper 30 m of the cores were considered. Beach ridge features are important data
576 because they express progradation phases not removed by river mobility. The limit of this indicator is
577 in cases of strong coastal erosion. In this case, stratigraphic sequences can be of great help. This
578 dataset is completed by the new data published in this paper.

579 Recently, several papers suggested the existence of a palaeochannel of the Tiber below the
580 Roman harbour of Portus between 3000 and 2400 cal. BP and a strong avulsion towards the south
581 (Giraudi et al., 2009). However, for now no clear evidence of bedload-derived deposits supports such
582 a hypothesis. Data from Cores PO-1 and 2 demonstrate that a branch of the Tiber River in the
583 southern side of the delta existed since 4500-4000 cal. BP. Nevertheless, an area void of surficial
584 features and sedimentary drilling remains between the southern part of the International airport of
585 Fiumicino and the north of the harbour of the Roman emperor Trajan (Fig. 1).

586 Most of the coarse fluvial deposits identified in the upper 30 m of the cores were drilled in
587 the northern part of the Palaeolagoon of Ostia and the current Tiber (Bellotti et al., 2007). Cores
588 drilled at Ostia, especially Cores CAT-2 and CAT-3, expose a clear southern limit for the fluvial
589 mobility of the Tiber during the Late Holocene. Less clear is the central and southern part of the
590 palaeolagoon of Ostia. Finally, a recent magnetic survey conducted in the Isola Sacra revealed fluvial
591 features in the southern part (Germoni et al., 2018; Keay, Strutt et al. in prep.). A migration of the
592 Tiber from the middle of the Isola Sacra to Ostia could have occurred in the between 2800 and 1700
593 cal. BP. During the last 2000 yr, the lateral mobility of the Tiber in the new prograded delta plain was
594 mainly constrained along the Fiumara. The main change seems to be related to the cut-off of the
595 Tiber River near Ostia and the construction of the Roman canals, including the Fiumicino.

596 **Conclusion**

597 This paper demonstrates that PADM charts (Palaeoenvironmental Age-Depth Models) are
598 very well adapted to interpret deltaic stratigraphic sequences and to distinguish transgressive and

599 progradational sequences. During the Early Holocene, the transgression of the coastline from east to
600 west is clearly observed in the studied cross section. Single and combined PADM charts for different
601 group of cores also expose the different progradation phases affecting the Tiber delta. The
602 integration of different apparent sedimentation curves in a single age-depth model demonstrates the
603 effect of the the sedimentation context (e.g., higher sedimentation rates in the delta front comparing
604 to the prodelta) and clearly expose the succession of transgressed and prograded coastlines during
605 the Holocene. It gives clear evidence to reconstruct coastline-trajectories. This integrated model
606 could contribute to adjust decompaction methods for deltaic sequences.

607 Within the PADM chart, fluvial mobility makes the interpretations more complex. River
608 dynamics erode part of the coastal stratigraphic sequences. Nevertheless, the PADM chart produces
609 a clear view of the palaeoenvironmental context in which fluvial sediments are deposited
610 (prodelta/deltafront, incision/deposition). It also exposes the relation between the fluvial deposits
611 and the modelled sea level curve. Indirect evidence of fluvial activity based on bioindicators
612 (freshwater influence) recorded in the prodelta or delta front are very informative. They suggest that
613 the Tiber flowed towards the south of its delta from 4500-4200 cal. BP. Additionally, the Tiber
614 bedload-derived facies recorded in the prodelta reveals complex depositional processes and
615 interplays between the river and the delta formation.

616 The reconstruction of the coastal and fluvial mobility in deltaic contexts during the Holocene remains
617 a difficult task. The reconstruction of the Holocene relative sea level curves remains one of the most
618 important data in producing reliable interpretations. A more detailed and less smoothed modelled
619 relative sea level curve is essential and would bring more reliable interpretations. This is particularly
620 true to study the consequence of the sea level jumps between 9000-8000 cal. BP on coastal
621 depositional contexts.

622 **Acknowledgments**

623 We gratefully acknowledge financial and logistical support of the École française de Rome
624 and the British School at Rome, as well as financial support from ANR-Poltevere (ANR-11-JSH3-0002)
625 from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme
626 (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement n°339123. We would also like to thank the *Soprintendenza*
627 *Speciale Archeologia Belle Arti e Paesaggio di Roma* and the *Parco Archeologico di Ostia Antica*. A
628 thank you to Leah Holguin for proofreading the English text.

629 Bibliography

- 630 Allen, P.A., Allen, J.R., 2013. Basin analysis: Principles and application to petroleum play assessment.
631 John Wiley & Sons.
- 632 Amenduni, G., 1884. Sulle opere di bonificazione della plaga litoranea dell'Agro Romano che
633 comprende le paludi e gli stagni di Ostia, Porto, Maccarese e delle terre vallive di
634 Stracciaccappa, Baccano, Pantano e Lago dei Tartari. Relazione del progetto generale 15, 36.
- 635 Amorosi, A., Bruno, L., Campo, B., Morelli, A., Rossi, V., Scarponi, D., Hong, W., Bohacs, K.M., Drexler,
636 T.M., 2017. Global sea-level control on local parasequence architecture from the Holocene
637 record of the Po Plain, Italy. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*.
638 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2017.01.020>
- 639 Amorosi, A., Milli, S., 2001. Late Quaternary depositional architecture of Po and Tevere river deltas
640 (Italy) and worldwide comparison with coeval deltaic successions. *Sedimentary Geology* 144,
641 357–375.
- 642 Anthony, E.J., Marriner, N., Morhange, C., 2014. Human influence and the changing geomorphology
643 of Mediterranean deltas and coasts over the last 6000 years: From progradation to
644 destruction phase? *Earth-Science Reviews* 139, 336–361.
645 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.10.003>
- 646 Arnoldus-Huyzendveld, A., Paroli, L., 1995. Alcune considerazioni sullo sviluppo storico dell'ansa dell
647 Tevere presso Ostia e sul porto-canale. *Archeologia Laziale* 12, 383–392.
- 648 Arnoldus-Huyzendveld, A., Pellegrino, A., 1999. Traces of historical landscapes preserved in the
649 coastal area of Rome. *Memorie Descrittive della Carta Geologica d'Italia* 65, 219–226.
- 650 Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Tevere, 2006. Il Tevere a Roma - Portolano. Edizioni Ambiente, Milano.
- 651 Belfiore, A., Bellotti, P., Carboni, M.G., Chiari, R., Evangelista, S., Tortora, P., Valeri, P., 1987. Il delta
652 del Tevere: le facies sedimentarie della conoide sommersa. Un'analisi statistica dei caratteri
653 tessiturali, microfaunistici e mineralogici. *Boll. Soc. Geol. It* 106, 425–445.
- 654 Bellan-Santini, D., Lacaze, J.C., Poizat, C., Pérès, J.M., 1994. Les biocénoses marines et littorales de
655 Méditerranée, synthèse, menaces et perspectives. *Collection patrimoines naturels* 19, 246.
- 656 Bellotti, P., Calderoni, G., Carboni, M.G., Di Bella, L., Tortora, P., Valeri, P., Zernitskaya, V., 2007. Late
657 Quaternary landscape evolution of the Tiber River delta plain (Central Italy): new evidence
658 from pollen data, biostratigraphy and 14C dating. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie* 51, 505–
659 534.
- 660 Bellotti, P., Calderoni, G., Di Rita, F., D'Orefice, M., D'Amico, C., Esu, D., Magri, D., Martinez, M.P.,
661 Tortora, P., Valeri, P., 2011. The Tiber river delta plain (central Italy): Coastal evolution and
662 implications for the ancient Ostia Roman settlement. *The Holocene* 21, 1105–1116.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683611400464>
- 664 Bellotti, P., Caputo, C., Davoli, L., Evangelista, S., Garzanti, E., Pugliese, F., Valeri, P., 2004. Morpho-
665 sedimentary characteristics and Holocene evolution of the emergent part of the Ombrone

666 River delta (southern Tuscany). *Geomorphology, Hazards of Mass Movements* 61, 71–90.
667 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2003.11.007>

668 Bellotti, P., Carboni, M.G., Milli, S., Tortora, P., Valeri, P., 1989. La piana deltizia del Fiume Tevere:
669 analisi di facies ed ipotesi evolutiva dell'ultimo "low stand" glaciale all'attuale. *Giornale di*
670 *Geologia* 51, 71–91.

671 Bellotti, P., Chiocci, F.L., Milli, S., Tortora, P., Valeri, P., 1994. Sequence stratigraphy and depositional
672 setting of the Tiber delta: integration of high-resolution seismics, well logs, and archeological
673 data. *Journal of Sedimentary Research-Section B-Stratigraphy and Global Studies* 64, 416–
674 432.

675 Bellotti, P., Davoli, L., Sadori, L., 2018. Landscape diachronic reconstruction in the Tiber delta during
676 historical time: A holistic approach. *Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria* 41, 3–21.

677 Bellotti, P., Milli, S., Tortora, P., Valeri, P., 1995. Physical stratigraphy and sedimentology of the Late
678 Pleistocene-Holocene Tiber Delta depositional sequence. *Sedimentology* 42, 617–634.

679 Belluomini, G., Iuzzolini, P., Manfra, L., Mortari, R., Zalaffi, M., 1986. Evoluzione recente del delta del
680 Tevere. *Geologica Romana* 25, 213–234.

681 Bersani, P., Bencivenga, M., 2001. *Le Piene del Tevere a Roma dal V secolo a.C. all'anno 2000*,
682 Servizio Idrografico e Mareografico Nazionale. ed. Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri
683 Dipartimento per i Servizi Tecnici Nazionali, Rome.

684 Bersani, P., Moretti, D., 2008. Evoluzione storica della linea di costa in prossimità della foce del
685 Tevere. *L'Acqua* 5, 77–88.

686 Bicket, A.R., Rendell, H.M., Claridge, A., Rose, P., Andrews, J., Brown, F.S.J., 2009. A multiscale
687 geoarchaeological approach from the Laurentine shore (Castelporziano, Lazio, Italy).
688 *Géomorphologie: Relief. Processus. Environnement* 4, 257–270.

689 Bigi, S., Beaubien, S.E., Ciotoli, G., D'Ambrogi, C., Doglioni, C., Ferrante, V., Lombardi, S., Milli, S.,
690 Orlando, L., Ruggiero, L., Tartarello, M.C., Sacco, P., 2014. Mantle-derived CO₂ migration
691 along active faults within an extensional basin margin (Fiumicino, Rome, Italy).
692 *Tectonophysics* 637, 137–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2014.10.001>

693 Bradford, J., 1957. *Ancient Landscapes. Studies in Field Archaeology*. G. Bell, London.

694 Bruno, L., Amorosi, A., Severi, P., Costagli, B., 2017. Late Quaternary aggradation rates and
695 stratigraphic architecture of the southern Po Plain, Italy. *Basin Res* 29, 234–248.
696 <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12174>

697 Cailleux, A., Tricart, J., 1959. *Initiation à l'étude des sables et des galets*. Centre de documentation
698 universitaire, Paris.

699 Carbonel, P., 1988. Ostracods and the transition between fresh and saline waters. De Deccker P.,
700 Colin J.-P., Peyrrouquet J.-P. (eds.) *Ostracoda in the earth sciences* 157–173.

701 Castellano, F., Colatosti, G., 2003. I rilievi topografici dell'alveo del Tevere nell'area metropolitana
702 romana. *Tevere* 23–24, 80–73.

703 Catuneanu, O., 2006. *Principles of sequence stratigraphy*. Elsevier.

704 Catuneanu, O., Abreu, V., Bhattacharya, J.P., Blum, M.D., Dalrymple, R.W., Eriksson, P.G., Fielding,
705 C.R., Fisher, W.L., Galloway, W.E., Gibling, M.R., others, 2009. Towards the standardization of
706 sequence stratigraphy. *Earth-Science Reviews* 92, 1–33.

707 Cearreta, A., Benito, X., Ibáñez, C., Trobajo, R., Giosan, L., 2016. Holocene palaeoenvironmental
708 evolution of the Ebro Delta (Western Mediterranean Sea): Evidence for an early construction
709 based on the benthic foraminiferal record. *The Holocene* 26, 1438–1456.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683616640048>

711 Ciotoli, G., Etiope, G., Marra, F., Florindo, F., Giraudi, C., Ruggiero, L., 2016. Tiber delta CO₂-CH₄
712 degassing: A possible hybrid, tectonically active Sediment-Hosted Geothermal System near
713 Rome. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 121, 2015JB012557.
714 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012557>

715 Coleman, J.M., 1982. *Deltas: processes of deposition & models for exploration*. International Human
716 Resources Development Corporation, Boston.

717 De Lange, G.J., Thomson, J., Reitz, A., Slomp, C.P., Principato, M.S., Erba, E., Corselli, C., 2008.
718 Synchronous basin-wide formation and redox-controlled preservation of a Mediterranean
719 sapropel. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 606–610. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo283>
720 De Rita, D., Bertagnini, A., Carboni, G., Ciccacci, S., Di Filippo, M., Faccenna, C., Fredi, P., Funiciello, R.,
721 Landi, P., Sciacca, P., 1994. Geological-petrological evolution of the Ceriti Mountains area
722 (Latium, central Italy). *Mem Des Carta Geol It* 49, 291–322.
723 Dearing, J.A., 1999. Environmental magnetic susceptibility. Using the Bartington MS2 System 32, 54.
724 Dragone, F., Mano, A., Malatesta, A., Segre, A., 1967. Note illustrative del Foglio 149 Cerveteri della
725 Carta Geologica d'Italia. Servizio Geologico d'Italia 4, 1–93.
726 Embry, A., Johannessen, E., Owen, D., Beauchamp, B., Gianolla, P., 2007. Sequence stratigraphy as a
727 “concrete” stratigraphic discipline. Report of the ISSC Task Group on sequence stratigraphy 1,
728 104.
729 Emeis, K.-C., Struck, U., Schulz, H.-M., Rosenberg, R., Bernasconi, S., Erlenkeuser, H., Sakamoto, T.,
730 Martinez-Ruiz, F., 2000. Temperature and salinity variations of Mediterranean Sea surface
731 waters over the last 16,000 years from records of planktonic stable oxygen isotopes and
732 alkenone unsaturation ratios. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 158, 259–
733 280. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182\(00\)00053-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(00)00053-5)
734 Ferranti, L., Antonioli, F., Mauz, B., Amorosi, A., Dai Pra, G., Mastronuzzi, G., Monaco, C., Orr, P.,
735 Pappalardo, M., Radtke, U., Renda, P., Romano, P., Sanso, P., Verrubbi, V., 2006. Markers of
736 the last interglacial sea-level high stand along the coast of Italy : Tectonic implications.
737 *Quaternary international* 145–46, 30–54.
738 Folk, R.L., Ward, W.C., 1957. Brazos River bar [Texas]; a study in the significance of grain size
739 parameters. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 27, 3–26.
740 Frenzel, P., Boomer, I., 2005. The use of ostracods from marginal marine, brackish waters as
741 bioindicators of modern and Quaternary environmental change. *Palaeogeography,*
742 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 225, 68–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.02.051>
743 Funiciello, R., 1995. La geologia di Roma. Il centro storico. Memorie descrittive della Carta Geologica
744 d'Italia, Servizio Geologico Nazionale 50.
745 Gebremichael, E., Sultan, M., Becker, R., El Bastawesy, M., Cherif, O., Emil, M., 2018. Assessing land
746 deformation and sea encroachment in the Nile Delta: A radar interferometric and inundation
747 modeling approach. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 123, 3208–3224.
748 Germoni, P., Keay, S., Millett, M., Strutt, K., 2018. Ostia beyond the Tiber : recent archaeological
749 discoveries in the Isola Sacra, in: Cébeillac-Gervasoni, M., Laubry, N., Zevi, F. (Eds.), *Ricerche*
750 *Su Ostia e Il Suo Territorio : Atti Del Terzo Seminario Ostiense (Roma, École Française de*
751 *Rome, 21-22 Ottobre 2015)*, Collection de l'École Française de Rome. Publications de l'École
752 française de Rome, Rome.
753 Giraudi, C., 2004. Evoluzione tardo-olocenica del delta del Tevere. *Il Quaternario* 17, 477–492.
754 Giraudi, C., Tata, C., Paroli, L., 2009. Late Holocene evolution of Tiber river delta and geoarchaeology
755 of Claudius and Trajan Harbor, Rome. *Geoarchaeology* 24, 371–382.
756 <https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.20270>
757 Goiran, J.-P., 2001. Recherches géomorphologiques dans la région littorale d'Alexandrie en Egypte
758 (PhD thesis in Physical Geography). Université de Provence, Aix-en-Provence.
759 Goiran, J.-P., Salomon, F., Mazzini, I., Bravard, J.-P., Pleuger, E., Vittori, C., Boetto, G., Christiansen, J.,
760 Arnaud, P., Pellegrino, A., Pepe, C., Sadori, L., 2014. Geoarchaeology confirms location of the
761 ancient harbour basin of Ostia (Italy). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 41, 389–398.
762 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.08.019>
763 Goiran, J.-P., Salomon, F., Tronchère, H., Djerbi, H., Carbonel, P., Ognard, C., Oberlin, C., 2011.
764 Géoarchéologie des ports de Claude et de Trajan, Portus, delta du Tibre. *MEFRA - Antiquité*
765 123, 157–236. <https://doi.org/10.4000/mefra.491>
766 Goiran, J.-P., Tronchère, H., Salomon, F., Carbonel, P., Djerbi, H., Ognard, C., 2010.
767 Palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of the ancient harbors of Rome: Claudius and Trajan's

768 marine harbors on the Tiber delta. *Quaternary International* 216, 3–13.
769 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2009.10.030>

770 Hadler, H., Fischer, P., Obrocki, L., Heinzlmann, M., Vött, A., n.d. River channel evolution and
771 tsunami impacts recorded in local sedimentary archives – The ‘Fiume Morto’ at Ostia Antica
772 (Tiber River, Italy). *Sedimentology* 0. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12599>

773 Hadler, H., Vött, A., Fischer, P., Ludwig, S., Heinzlmann, M., Rohn, C., 2015. Temple-complex post-
774 dates tsunami deposits found in the ancient harbour basin of Ostia (Rome, Italy). *Journal of*
775 *Archaeological Science* 61, 78–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.05.002>

776 Heiri, O., Lotter, A.F., Lemcke, G., 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and
777 carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results. *Journal of*
778 *Paleolimnology* 25, 101–110. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008119611481>

779 Hijma, M.P., Cohen, K.M., 2019. Holocene sea-level database for the Rhine-Meuse Delta, The
780 Netherlands: Implications for the pre-8.2 ka sea-level jump. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 214,
781 68–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.05.001>

782 Hijma, M.P., Cohen, K.M., 2011. Holocene transgression of the Rhine river mouth area, The
783 Netherlands/Southern North Sea: palaeogeography and sequence stratigraphy.
784 *Sedimentology* 58, 1453–1485. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2010.01222.x>

785 Hori, K., Saito, Y., 2007. An early Holocene sea-level jump and delta initiation. *Geophysical Research*
786 *Letters* 34.

787 Johnson, C.S., Miller, K.G., Browning, J.V., Kopp, R.E., Khan, N.S., Fan, Y., Stanford, S.D., Horton, B.P.,
788 2018. The role of sediment compaction and groundwater withdrawal in local sea-level rise,
789 Sandy Hook, New Jersey, USA. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 181, 30–42.
790 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.11.031>

791 Karner, D.B., Marra, F., Florindo, F., Boschi, E., 2001a. Pulsed uplift estimated from terrace elevations
792 in the coast of Rome: evidence for a new phase of volcanic activity? *Earth and Planetary*
793 *Science Letters* 188, 135–148. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(01\)00325-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00325-9)

794 Karner, D.B., Marra, F., Renne, P.R., 2001b. The history of the Monti Sabatini and Alban Hills
795 volcanoes: groundwork for assessing volcanic-tectonic hazards for Rome. *Journal of*
796 *Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 107, 185–215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00258-4)
797 [0273\(00\)00258-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0273(00)00258-4)

798 Keay, S., Millett, M., Paroli, L., Strutt, K., 2005. *Portus : An Archaeological Survey Of The Portus Of*
799 *Imperial Rome*, Archaeological Monographs of the British School at Rome. British School at
800 Rome, London.

801 Keay, S., Paroli, L., 2011. *Portus and its Hinterland: Recent Archaeological Research*, Archaeological
802 *Monographs of the British School at Rome*. British School at Rome, London.

803 Kominz, M.A., Patterson, K., Odette, D., 2011. Lithology Dependence of Porosity In Slope and Deep
804 Marine Sediments. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 81, 730–742.
805 <https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2011.60>

806 Lambeck, K., Antonioli, F., Anzidei, M., Ferranti, L., Leoni, G., Scicchitano, G., Silenzi, S., 2011. Sea
807 level change along the Italian coast during the Holocene and projections for the future.
808 *Quaternary International*, Tectonic Contribution to Relative Sea Level Change 232, 250–257.
809 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2010.04.026>

810 Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y., Sambridge, M., 2014. Sea level and global ice volumes
811 from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *PNAS* 111, 15296–15303.
812 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411762111>

813 Le Gall, J., 1953. *Le Tibre, fleuve de Rome dans l’antiquité*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris.

814 Magny, M., De Beaulieu, J.-L., Drescher-Schneider, R., Vannière, B., Walter-Simonnet, A.V., Miras, Y.,
815 Millet, L., Bossuet, G., Peyron, O., Brugiapaglia, E., others, 2007. Holocene climate changes in
816 the central Mediterranean as recorded by lake-level fluctuations at Lake Accesa (Tuscany,
817 Italy). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 1736–1758.

818 Magny, M., Peyron, O., Sadori, L., Ortu, E., Zanchetta, G., Vanni re, B., Tinner, W., 2012. Contrasting
819 patterns of precipitation seasonality during the Holocene in the south- and north-central
820 Mediterranean. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27, 290–296.

821 Magny, M., Vanni re, B., Zanchetta, G., Fouache, E., Touchais, G., Petrika, L., Coussot, C., Walter-
822 Simonnet, A.V., Arnaud, F., 2009. Possible complexity of the climatic event around 4300—
823 3800 cal. BP in the central and western Mediterranean. *The Holocene* 19, 823–833.
824 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683609337360>

825 Magri, D., 1999. Late Quaternary vegetation history at Lagaccione near Lago di Bolsena (central Italy).
826 *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 106, 171–208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-6667(99)00006-8)
827 [6667\(99\)00006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-6667(99)00006-8)

828 Mantovani, E., Babbucci, D., Tamburelli, C., Viti, M., 2009. A review on the driving mechanism of the
829 Tyrrhenian–Apennines system: Implications for the present seismotectonic setting in the
830 Central-Northern Apennines. *Tectonophysics* 476, 22–40.
831 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.10.032>

832 Marra, F., Bozzano, F., Cinti, F.R., 2013. Chronostratigraphic and lithologic features of the Tiber River
833 sediments (Rome, Italy): Implications on the post-glacial sea-level rise and Holocene climate.
834 *Global and Planetary Change* 107, 157–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.05.002>

835 Marra, F., Milana, G., Pecchioli, L., Roselli, P., Cangini, G., Famiani, D., Mercuri, A., Carlucci, G., 2019.
836 Historical faulting as the possible cause of earthquake damages in the ancient Roman port
837 city of Ostia. *J Seismol.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09844-z>

838 Marriner, N., Morhange, C., Doumet-Serhal, C., 2006. Geoarchaeology of Sidon’s ancient harbours,
839 Phoenicia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 33, 1514–1535.

840 Mazzini, I., Faranda, C., Giardini, M., Giraudi, C., Sadori, L., 2011. Late Holocene palaeoenvironmental
841 evolution of the Roman harbour of Portus, Italy. *J Paleolimnol* 46, 243–256.
842 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-011-9536-7>

843 Milli, S., 1997. Depositional setting and high-frequency sequence stratigraphy of the Middle-Upper
844 Pleistocene to Holocene deposits of the Roman Basin. *Geologica Romana* 33, 99–136.

845 Milli, S., D’Ambrogi, C., Bellotti, P., Calderoni, G., Carboni, M.G., Celant, A., Di Bella, L., Di Rita, F.,
846 Frezza, V., Magri, D., Pichezzi, R.M., Ricci, V., 2013. The transition from wave-dominated
847 estuary to wave-dominated delta: The Late Quaternary stratigraphic architecture of Tiber
848 River deltaic succession (Italy). *Sedimentary Geology* 284–285, 159–180.
849 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2012.12.003>

850 Milli, S., Mancini, M., Moscatelli, M., Stigliano, F., Marini, M., Cavinato, G.P., 2016. From river to
851 shelf, anatomy of a high-frequency depositional sequence: The Late Pleistocene to Holocene
852 Tiber depositional sequence. *Sedimentology* n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sed.12277>

853 Pannuzi, S., 2009. Il Castello di Giulio II ad Ostia Antica, *Documenti di archeologia postmedievale*. Ed.
854 All’Insegna del Giglio, Firenze.

855 Per s, J.M., Picard, J., 1964. *Nouveau manuel de bionomie benthique de la Mer M diterran e*. Rec.
856 Trav. Station marine d’Endoume, Marseille.

857 Posamentier, H.W., James, D.P., 1993. An overview of sequence-stratigraphic concepts: uses and
858 abuses. *Sequence stratigraphy and facies associations* 3–18.

859 Pranzini, E., 2007. Airborne LiDAR survey applied to the analysis of the historical evolution of the
860 Arno River delta (Italy). *Journal of Coastal Research* 50, 400–409.

861 Ramsey, C.B., 1995. Radiocarbon calibration and analysis of stratigraphy: the OxCal program.
862 *Radiocarbon* 37, 425–430.

863 Ramsey, C.B., Lee, S., 2013. Recent and planned developments of the program OxCal. *Radiocarbon*
864 55, 720–730.

865 Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Cheng, H.,
866 Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hafliadason, H., Hajdas, I., Hatt ,
867 C., Heaton, T.J., Hoffmann, D.L., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., Manning,
868 S.W., Niu, M., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Scott, E.M., Southon, J.R., Staff, R.A., Turney,

869 C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0-
870 50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* 55, 1869–1887.

871 Ruiz, F., Abad, M., Bodergat, A.M., Carbonel, P., Rodríguez-Lázaro, J., Yasuhara, M., 2005. Marine and
872 brackish-water ostracods as sentinels of anthropogenic impacts. *Earth-Science Reviews* 72,
873 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2005.04.003>

874 Sadori, L., Jahns, S., Peyron, O., 2011. Mid-Holocene vegetation history of the central Mediterranean.
875 *The Holocene* 21, 117–129.

876 Sadori, L., Mazzini, I., Pepe, C., Goiran, J.-P., Pleuger, E., Ruscito, V., Salomon, F., Vittori, C., 2016.
877 Palynology and ostracodology at the Roman port of ancient Ostia (Rome, Italy). *The Holocene*
878 26, 1502–1512. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683616640054>

879 Salomon, F., 2013. *Géoarchéologie du delta du Tibre : Evolution géomorphologique holocène et*
880 *contraintes hydrosédimentaires dans le système Ostie - Portus (Thèse de doctorat en*
881 *Géographie Physique / Géoarchéologie)*. Université Lyon 2.

882 Salomon, F., Goiran, J.-P., Bravard, J.-P., Arnaud, P., Djerbi, H., Kay, S., Keay, S., 2014. A harbour-
883 canal at Portus: a geoarchaeological approach to the Canale Romano: Tiber delta, Italy.
884 *Water Hist* 6, 31–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12685-014-0099-1>

885 Salomon, F., Goiran, J.-P., Noirod, B., Pleuger, E., Bukowiecki, E., Mazzini, I., Carbonel, P., Gadhoum,
886 A., Arnaud, P., Keay, S., Zampini, S., Kay, S., Raddi, M., Ghelli, A., Pellegrino, A., Morelli, C.,
887 Germoni, P., 2018. Geoarchaeology of the Roman port-city of Ostia: Fluvio-coastal mobility,
888 urban development and resilience. *Earth-Science Reviews* 177, 265–283.
889 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.10.003>

890 Salomon, F., Goiran, J.-P., Pannuzi, S., Djerbi, H., Rosa, C., 2017. Long-Term Interactions between the
891 Roman City of Ostia and Its Paleomeander, Tiber Delta, Italy. *Geoarchaeology* 32, 215–229.
892 <https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.21589>

893 Salomon, F., Keay, S., Carayon, N., Goiran, J.-P., 2016a. The Development and Characteristics of
894 Ancient Harbours—Applying the PADM Chart to the Case Studies of Ostia and Portus. *PLOS*
895 *ONE* 11, e0162587. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162587>

896 Salomon, F., Keay, S., Strutt, K., Goiran, J.-P., Millett, M., Germoni, P., 2016b. Connecting Portus with
897 Ostia: preliminary results of a geoarchaeological study of the navigable canal on the Isola
898 Sacra. *Revue Archéologique de Narbonnaise - Supplément* 44, 293–303.

899 Segre, A.G., 1986. Considerazioni sul Tevere e sull’Aniene nel Quaternario. In: *Il Tevere e le altre vie*
900 *d’acqua del Lazio antico*. *Archeologia Laziale* VII, 9–17.

901 Shaw, J.B., Ayoub, F., Jones, C.E., Lamb, M.P., Holt, B., Wagner, R.W., Coffey, T.S., Chadwick, J.A.,
902 Mohrig, D., 2016. Airborne radar imaging of subaqueous channel evolution in Wax Lake
903 Delta, Louisiana, USA. *Geophysical Research Letters* 43, 5035–5042.
904 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068770>

905 Shepherd, E.J., 2006. Il “Rilievo Topofotografico di Osita dal Pallone.” *AArea* II 15–38.

906 Sornoza, L., Barnolas, A., Arasa, A., Maestro, A., Rees, J.G., Hernandez-Molina, F.J., 1998.
907 Architectural stacking patterns of the Ebro delta controlled by Holocene high-frequency
908 eustatic fluctuations, delta-lobe switching and subsidence processes. *Sedimentary Geology*
909 117, 11–32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738\(97\)00121-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0037-0738(97)00121-8)

910 Stanley, D.J., Warne, A.G., 1994. Worldwide Initiation of Holocene Marine Deltas by Deceleration of
911 Sea-Level Rise. *Science* 265, 228–231. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.265.5169.228>

912 Stanley, D.J., Warne, A.G., 1993. Nile Delta: recent geological evolution and human impact. *Science*
913 260, 628–634.

914 Stefani, M., Vincenzi, S., 2005. The interplay of eustasy, climate and human activity in the late
915 Quaternary depositional evolution and sedimentary architecture of the Po Delta system.
916 *Marine Geology* 222, 19–48.

917 Tamura, T., Masuda, F., Sakai, T., Fujiwara, O., 2003. Temporal development of prograding beach-
918 shoreface deposits: the Holocene of Kujukuri coastal plain, eastern Japan. *Marine Geology*
919 198, 191–207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(03\)00123-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(03)00123-3)

920 Tanabe, S., Saito, Y., Lan Vu, Q., Hanebuth, T.J.J., Lan Ngo, Q., Kitamura, A., 2006. Holocene evolution
921 of the Song Hong (Red River) delta system, northern Vietnam. *Sedimentary Geology* 187, 29–
922 61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.12.004>
923 Ullmann, T., Lange-Athinodorou, E., Göbel, A., Büdel, C., Baumhauer, R., 2018. Preliminary results on
924 the paleo-landscape of Tell Basta /Bubastis (eastern Nile delta): An integrated approach
925 combining GIS-Based spatial analysis, geophysical and archaeological investigations.
926 *Quaternary International*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.12.053>
927 Vacchi, M., Marriner, N., Morhange, C., Spada, G., Fontana, A., Rovere, A., 2016. Multiproxy
928 assessment of Holocene relative sea-level changes in the western Mediterranean: Sea-level
929 variability and improvements in the definition of the isostatic signal. *Earth-Science Reviews*
930 155, 172–197.
931 van Asselen, S., Stouthamer, E., van Asch, Th.W.J., 2009. Effects of peat compaction on delta
932 evolution: A review on processes, responses, measuring and modeling. *Earth-Science*
933 *Reviews* 92, 35–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.11.001>
934 Van Hinte, J.E., 1978. Geohistory analysis—application of micropaleontology in exploration geology.
935 *AAPG Bulletin* 62, 201–222.
936 Vella, C., Fleury, T.-J., Raccasi, G., Provansal, M., Sabatier, F., Bourcier, M., 2005. Evolution of the
937 Rhône delta plain in the Holocene. *Marine Geology, Mediterranean Prodelta Systems* 222–
938 223, 235–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.028>
939 Vella, C., Provansal, M., 2000. Relative sea-level rise and neotectonic events during the last 6500 yr
940 on the southern eastern Rhône delta, France. *Marine Geology* 170, 27–39.
941 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(00\)00063-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(00)00063-3)
942 Vittori, C., Mazzini, I., Salomon, F., Goiran, J.-P., Pannuzi, S., Rosa, C., Pellegrino, A., 2015.
943 Palaeoenvironmental evolution of the ancient lagoon of Ostia Antica (Tiber delta, Italy).
944 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 54, 374–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2014.06.017>
945 Wright, L.D., 1985. River Deltas, in: Jr, R.A.D. (Ed.), *Coastal Sedimentary Environments*. Springer New
946 York, pp. 1–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5078-4_1
947 Wright, L.D., 1977. Sediment transport and deposition at river mouths: a synthesis. *Geological*
948 *Society of America Bulletin* 88, 857–868.
949 Wright, L.D., Coleman, J.M., 1973. Variation in morphology of the river deltas as function of ocean
950 wave and river discharge regimes. *Bull. A.A.P.G.* 57, 370–398.
951 Yu, S.-Y., Törnqvist, T.E., Hu, P., 2012. Quantifying Holocene lithospheric subsidence rates underneath
952 the Mississippi Delta. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 331–332, 21–30.
953 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.02.021>
954 Zanchetta, G., Drysdale, R.N., Hellstrom, J.C., Fallick, A.E., Isola, I., Gagan, M.K., Pareschi, M.T., 2007.
955 Enhanced rainfall in the Western Mediterranean during deposition of sapropel S1: stalagmite
956 evidence from Corchia cave (Central Italy). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 279–286.
957 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.12.003>
958

960 Figure 1. – Study area location. The map exposes the geomorphology of the Tiber delta and core
961 locations. Other factors possibly affected the geomorphology of the Tiber delta like the depth of the
962 unconformity at the base of the Tiber Depositional Sequence available in Milli et al. (2013), or faults
963 hypothesized in Bigi et al. (2014), Ciotoli et al. (2016), Marra et al. (2019). However, there is no
964 consensus yet related to the activity of the faults in the Tiber delta during the Holocene.

965 Figure 2. – Location of the studied cores and other boreholes drilled in the area of Ostia.
966 Archaeological remains of Ostia and neighboring structures (white lines) are reported along with the
967 main geo-features identified in this area of the Tiber delta (strandlines, fluvial ridges and swales, and
968 the location of the palaeolagoon of Ostia according to Amenduni, 1884)

969 Figure 3. – Reconstructed relative sea level curves and best estimations at local, regional and global
970 scales for the Holocene (Lambeck et al., 2011, 2014; Vacchi et al., 2016). The modelled eustatic and
971 glacio-hydro-isostatic prediction for the Tiber delta will be used (Lambeck et al., 2011).

972 Figure 4. – Sedimentological and palaeoenvironmental analyses of Core PO-2

973 Figure 5. – PADM chart of Core PO-2

974 Figure 6. – Sedimentological and palaeoenvironmental analyses of Core CAT-3

975 Figure 7. – PADM chart of Core CAT-3

976 Figure 8. - Sedimentological and palaeoenvironmental analyses of Core MO-2

977 Figure 9. – PADM chart of Core MO-2


978 Figure 10. – Cross section of sedimentary cores drilled in the neighboring area of Ostia from the
979 Roman palaeo-river mouth to the palaeolagoon of Ostia (new data and published data from Goiran
980 et al., 2014; Vittori et al., 2015; Salomon et al., 2017, 2018)

981 Figure 11. – Synthetic PADM chart for the final transgression phase and progradation until the
982 Roman period. Sedimentation curves were merged into three studied area: Group 1 in green –

983 Roman harbor area (Cores PO-1, PO-2 and OST-4); Group 2 in yellow – Area of the *Castrum* of Ostia
984 (Cores CAT-2 and 3); and Group 3 – Area of the lobe of the palaeomeander of Ostia (Core MO-2). This
985 diagram show evidence to reconstruct coastline-trajectories.

986 Figure 12. – Map of the possible channel belt of the Tiber in its delta for the last 6000 yr.

987 Table 1 – Radiocarbon dates - calibrated with the IntCal13 curve - Reimer et al., 2013 (Materials in
988 blue and with an asterisk are calibrated with the Marine13 curve - Reimer et al., 2013).



12°16'30"E

12°17'00"E

12°17'30"E

12°18'00"E

12°18'30"E

41°46'0"N


41°45'30"N

41°45'0"N

41°46'0"N

41°45'30"N

41°45'0"N



- Main deep studied cores
- Secondary cores
- Other cores

Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community


12°16'30"E

12°17'00"E


12°17'30"E


12°18'00"E

12°18'30"E




Core PO-2 - Analysis






Core CAT-3 - Analysis



Core CAT-3

Stratigraphy

Palaeoenvironmental Age-Depth Model




= Eustatic and glacio-hydro-isostatic prediction for the Tiber delta (Lambeck et al. 2011)

Radiocarbon date (calib. with IntCal13 curve)

Core MO-2

Stratigraphy

Palaeoenvironmental Age-Depth Model



* see Salomon et al., 2017 for the discussion regarding the possible erosion of the Via Ostiense by the palaeomeander of Ostia between 2500 and 1500 cal. BP

WEST


OUTER DELTA PLAIN

PROGRADED PLAIN

INNER DELTA PLAIN


PALAEOLAGOONS

EAST



TST

HST



= Eustatic and glacio-hydro-isostatic prediction for the Tiber delta (Lambeck et al. 2011)

Calibrated radiocarbon dates


Rejected dates

Apparent sedimentation curves of the three studied areas

Erosional surfaces

Evidence for reconstructing the coastline-trajectories

- 1 - Transgressive coastline near Group 1 Outer subzone
- 2 - Transgressive coastline near Groups 2 and 3 Inner subzone
- 3 - Coastline near Groups 1 and 2 Outer subzone



Core	Sample	Depth below surface (m)	Depth below sea level (s.l.m - Genoa) (m)	Lab. sample	Dating support	¹⁴ C yr B.P.	±	Age calibrated BCE-CE (Reimer et al., 2013) - 2σ	Age calibrated cal. BP (Reimer et al., 2013) - 2σ	Reference
Area of the palaeolagoon of Ostia										
LOA-1 (+0.45m)	LOA-1 / 1308	13.08	-12.63	Lyon-10104	Plant material	175	30	AD 1656 to 1950	294 to 0	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 503	5.03	-4.58	Lyon-10105	Shell*	2660	30	506 to 336 BCE*	2456 to 2286	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 584	5.84	-5.39	Lyon-10106	Peat	2560	30	805 to 553 BCE	2755 to 2503	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 596	5.96	-5.51	Lyon-10107	Peat	2535	30	798 to 546 BCE	2748 to 2496	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 644	6.44	-5.99	Lyon-10108	Peat	2535	30	798 to 546 BCE	2748 to 2496	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 677	6.77	-6.32	Lyon-10109	Peat	2940	30	1257 to 1044 BCE	3207 to 2994	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 747	7.47	-7.02	Lyon-10110	Peat	2835	30	1107 to 911 BCE	3057 to 2861	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 783	7.83	-7.38	Lyon-10097	Peat	3175	30	1506 to 1402 BCE	3456 to 3352	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 823	8.23	-7.78	Lyon-10098	Peat	3240	30	1611 to 1439 BCE	3561 to 3389	Vittori et al., 2015
LOA-1	LOA-1 / 1595	15.95	-15.5	Lyon-9323	Organic matter	6800 <i>Rejected</i>	30	5576 to 5623 BCE	7526 to 7573	Vittori et al., 2015
Area of the palaeomeander of Ostia										
MO-1 (+1.79m)	MO-1 / 76	2.55	-0.76	Ly-8040	Organic matter	Modern	-	Modern	Modern	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-1	MO-1 / 1143	11.43	-9.64	Ly-8041	Bone	355	25	AD 1454 to 1634	496 to 316	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-2 (+1.7m)	MO-2 / 260	2.6	-0.9	Ly-8788	Wood	Modern	-	Modern	Modern	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-2	MO-2 / 8.88 m	8.88	-7.18	Ly-8780	Wood	2035	30	159 BC to AD 50	2109 to 1900	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-2	MO-2 / 9.70m	9.7	-8	Ly-8044	Wood	2160	25	356 to 112 BC	2306 to 2062	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-2	MO-2 / 17.70 m	17.7	-16	Lyon-8807	Shell*	9370 <i>Rejected</i>	45	8390 to 8180 BC	10340 to 10130	<i>New date</i>
MO-2	MO-2 / 17.80m	17.8	-16.1	Lyon-8042	Posidonia*	7545	35	6160 to 5975 BC	8525 to 7925	<i>New date</i>
MO-2	MO-2 / 17.80m / 2	17.8	-16.1	Lyon-8043	Posidonia*	7600	40	6205 to 6015 BC	8155 to 7965	<i>New date</i>
MO-2	MO-2 / 18.85 m	18.85	-17.15	Lyon-8790	Wood	7655	40	6590 to 6440 BC	8540 to 8390	<i>New date</i>
MO-2	MO-2 / 19.95 m	19.95	-18.25	Lyon-8789	Wood	8070	40	7175 to 6830 BC	9125 to 8780	<i>New date</i>
MO-2	MO-2 / 20.70 m	20.7	-19	Lyon-8808	Shell*	7965	40	6575 to 6395 BC	8525 to 8345	<i>New date</i>
MO-3 (+2m)	MO-3 / 3.35 m	3.35	-1.35	Ly-8781	Wood	780	30	AD 1210 to 1281	740 to 669	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-3	MO-3 / 6 to 6.05 m	6.025	-4.025	Ly-8793	Charcoal	2120	30	344 to 51 BC	2294 to 2001	Salomon et al., 2017
MO-3	MO-3 / 10 m	10	-8	Ly-8792	Bone	2230	30	384 to 204 BC	2334 to 2154	Salomon et al., 2017

MO-3	MO-3 / 14.25 m	14.25	-12.25	Ly-8799	<i>Shell*</i>	10070	50	<i>9250 to 8951 BC*</i>	11200 to 10901	Salomon et al., 2017
Area of the Castrum in Ostia										
CAT-1 (+3.82m)	OST-1 / 632	6.32	-2.5	Lyon-11777(SacA 40124)	Organic matter	3325	30	1687 to 1527 BC	3637 to 3477	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2 (+4.34m)	OST-2 / 1150	11.50	-7.16	Lyon-11781(SacA 40128)	<i>Posidonia*</i>	3365	30	<i>1383 to 1185 BC*</i>	3333 to 3135	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2	OST-2 / 1150	11.50	-7.16	Lyon-11195(SacA 37181)	Wood	6805 <i>Rejected</i>	30	5738 to 5638 BC	7688 to 7588	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2	OST-2 / 635	6.35	-2.01	Lyon-11197(SacA 37183)	Organic matter	3220 <i>Rejected</i>	30	1605 to 1425 BC	3555 to 3375	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2	OST-2 / 1124	11.24	-6.9	Lyon-11780(SacA 40127)	Organic matter	3720	30	2201 to 2031 BC	4151 to 3981	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2	OST-2 / 885	8.85	-4.51	Lyon-11196 (SacA37182)	Organic matter	2500	30	738 to 537 BC	2688 to 2487	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-2	OST-2 / 613	6.13	-1.79	Lyon-11779 (SacA40126)	Wood	2530	30	797 to 543 BC	2747 to 2493	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3 (+4.48m)	OST-3 / 748	7.48	-3	Lyon-11778(SacA 40125)	Charcoal	2170	30	360 to 116 BC	2310 to 2066	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3	OST-3 / 780	7.8	-3.32	Lyon-11782 (SacA40129)	Wood	2190	30	361 to 178 BC	2311 to 2128	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3	OST-3 / 830	8.30	-3.82	Ly-16569	Wood	2445	35	755 to 409 BC	2705 to 2359	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3	OST-3 / 960	9.6	-5.12	Lyon-11783 (SacA40130)	Charcoal	2205	30	370 to 196 BC	2320 to 2146	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3	OST-3 / 1110	11.10	-6.62	Lyon-11198 (SacA37184)	Charcoal	3400	30	1767 to 1623 BC	3717 to 3573	Salomon et al., 2018
CAT-3	OST-3 / 1906	19.06	-14.58	Lyon-13721 (SacA48504)	Org. matter	5945	30	4908 to 4727 BC	6858 to 6677	<i>New date</i>
Area of the Roman harbour of Ostia										
PO-2 (+2.40m)	NA	3.78	-1.38	Ly-8059 (GrA)	Wood	2040	25	160 BC to AD 25	2110 to 1925	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	4	-1.6	Ly-8060 (GrA)	Wood	2040	25	160 BC to AD 25	2110 to 1925	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	4.9	-2.5	Ly-8061 (GrA)	Charcoal	1990	25	44BC to 63AD	1994 to 1887	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	4.9	-2.5	Ly-8062 (GrA)	Organic matter	2050	25	164 BC to 16 AD	2114 to 1934	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	5.26	-2.86	Ly-8063 (GrA)	Plant material	2025	25	98 BC to AD 52	2048 to 1898	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	5.26	-2.86	Ly-8064 (GrA)	Wood	2050	25	164 BC to AD 16	2114 to 1934	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	6.045	-3.645	Ly-9096 (GrA)	Wood	2160	30	358 to 108 BC	2308 to 2058	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	7.035	-4.635	Ly-9095 (GrA)	Charcoal	2185	30	361 to 172 BC	2311 to 2122	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	8.15	-5.75	Ly-9094 (GrA)	Charcoal	2350	40	729 to 361 BC	2679 to 2311	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	8.53	-6.13	Ly-9093 (GrA)	Charcoal	2125	30	348 to 52 BC	2298 to 2002	Goiran et al., 2014
PO-2	NA	8.705	-6.305	Ly-9092 (GrA)	Wood	2165	30	359 to 112 BC	2309 to 2062	Goiran et al., 2014

PO-2	NA	10.5	-8.1	Ly-8066 (GrA)	<i>Posidonia*</i>	2955	25	<i>836 to 736 BC</i>	2786 to 2686	Goiran <i>et al.</i> , 2014
PO-2	PO-2 / 1668- 1679	16.74	-14.335	Lyon- 13728(SacA 48511)	Org. matter	3955	30	2570 to 2346 BC	4520 to 4296	New date
PO-2	PO-2 / 2141- 2144	21.43	-19.025	Lyon- 13729(SacA 48512)	Org. matter	6790	30	5727 to 5638 BC	7677 to 7588	New date
PO-1 (+2.36m)	NA	5.3	-2.94	Ly-8045 (GrA)	Wood	2295	30	406 to 231 BC	2356 to 2181	Goiran <i>et al.</i> , 2014
PO-1	NA	5.73	-3.37	Ly-8046 (GrA)	Wood	2055	25	165 BC to AD 4	2115 to 1946	Goiran <i>et al.</i> , 2014
PO-1	NA	10.85	-8.49	Ly-8047 (GrA)	Plant material	2670	30	895 to 798 BC	2845 to 2748	Goiran <i>et al.</i> , 2014
PO-1	PO-1 / 1680- 1720	17.00	-14.64	Lyon- 13722(SacA 48505)	Wood	3720	30	2201 to 2031 BC	4151 to 3981	New date
PO-1	PO-1 / 1850	18.50	-16.14	Lyon- 13723(SacA 48506)	Org. matter	2455 <i>Rejected?</i>	30	756 to 413 BC	2715 to 2363	New date
OST-4 (+4.38m)	OST 4/14 HK	5.72	-1.34	MAMS- 19753	Charcoal	2229	17	376 to 207 BC	2326 to 2157	Hadler <i>et al.</i> , 2015
OST-4	OST 4/19 + PR	6.68	-2.30	MAMS- 19754	Unident. plant remain	2562	19	802 to 597 BC	2752 to 2547	Hadler <i>et al.</i> , 2015

1 Table 1 – Radiocarbon dates - calibrated with the IntCal13 curve - Reimer *et al.*, 2013 (Materials in
2 blue and with an asterisk are calibrated with the Marine13 curve - Reimer *et al.*, 2013