

Synthetic Approaches to Fluoroalkyltelluryl-Substituted Compounds

Kévin Grollier, Alexis Taponard, Thierry Billard

► To cite this version:

Kévin Grollier, Alexis Taponard, Thierry Billard. Synthetic Approaches to Fluoroalkyltelluryl-Substituted Compounds. European Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2020, 2020 (45), pp.6943-6954. 10.1002/ejoc.202000839 . hal-03043875

HAL Id: hal-03043875 https://hal.science/hal-03043875

Submitted on 7 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Synthetic Approaches to Fluoroalkyltelluryl-Substituted Compounds

Kevin Grollier,^{#[a]} Alexis Taponard^{#[a]} and Thierry Billard^{*[a] [b]}

 K. Grollier[#], A. Taponard[#], Dr T. Billard Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry (ICBMS-UMR CNRS 5246) Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, CPE, INSA 43 Bd du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne, France E-mail: thierry.billard@univ-lyon1.fr www.FMI-Lyon.fr
 Dr T. Billard CERMEP-In vivo imaging 59 Bd Pinel, 69677 Lyon, France

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Fluorinated substituents confer specific properties to molecules. Merging of chalcogenes with trifluoromethyl or fluoroalkyl moieties has known, these last years, a growing interest. However, the last non-radioactive family member, namely tellurium, has relatively been forgotten. This review will present and discuss a state of the art of R_FTe chemistry to describe the already available methods and to bring to light the future developments to consider.

1. Introduction

Because of the specific properties of fluorine atom, fluorinated compounds have known a growing interest these last decades.^[1] Because of this huge interest, these last years have seen a high development in the seeking of new emerging fluorinated groups to brought new, modulative, properties to substrates for various applications.^[2] In this new quest, merging of fluoroalkyl moieties with heteroatoms has blossomed, with a particular attention to chalcogen family because of the peculiar obtained properties. For instance, CF₃E (E = O, S, Se) substituents are known, among other things, to increase lipophilicity of molecules, as illustrated by their Hansch-Leo parameters (CF₃O: $\pi_R = 1.04$, CF₃S: $\pi_R = 1.44$, CF₃Se: $\pi_R = 1.29$),^[3] which can contribute to favor bioavailability of bioactive compounds.

If the association of R_F groups with O, S, Se begins to be well documented, with recent elegant and efficient strategies and reagents,^[4] the last, non-radioactive, chalcogen, namely tellurium, appears as the "forgotten element".

Contrary to its congeners, tellurium has no apparent role in biology.^[5] However, tellurium derivatives have found these last years some applications in materials and electronics.^[6] Furthermore, tellurolated compounds have been also developed in medicinal chemistry.^[7]

Consequently, molecules bearing a CF₃Te, and more generally R_FTe , group could be pertinent to develop, not only to determine properties of theses substituents in comparison of their other chalcogen analogues, but also to study the relevance and potentialities of the obtained compounds.

In this objective, this review will propose a state-of-art concerning R_FTe chemistry to expose the synthetic possibilities already available and, maybe, to underline the "missing reactions" to develop to enlarge the available toolbox.

Kevin Grollier is born in 1995 in Nîmes (France). He graduated his Technical University Degree in Montpellier then obtained his Bachelor and Master's degree in organic chemistry at the University of Lyon in 2018. Currently in Ph.D under the supervision of Dr. Thierry Billard at the university of Lyon. His main Ph.D research topic is about developing new accesses to trifluoromethylselenolated molecules.



Alexis Taponard graduated his Technical University Degree in chemistry in 2016 at the University of Lyon and then his Chemical Engineering Degree in 2019 at the ECPM engineering school, a component of the University of Strasbourg. He is currently finishing his Master's Degree in organic chemistry by doing his end-of-studies internship under the supervision of Dr. Thierry Billard at the University of Lyon.

Dr. Thierry Billard is CNRS Research Director. He carried out his Ph.D. on fluorine chemistry (University of Lyon, France), a postdoctoral research in medicinal chemistry (University of Louvain, Belgium) and has completed an industrial postdoctoral stay (Rhone-Poulenc). His research activities are interdisciplinary involving chemistry and medicine with a focus on fluorine chemistry, medicinal chemistry, radiochemistry and their applications in medical imaging and neurosciences.



2. De novo construction

Few fluoroalkyltellurolated compounds have been obtained by fluoro tellurolation of tetrafluoroethylene. Thus, reactions between C_2F_4 and solid $Te_4(AsF_6)_2$ or $Te_6(AsF_6)_2$ led to mixture of $(C_2F_5)_2Te$, $(C_2F_5)_2Te_2$ and $C_4F_9TeC_2F_5$. Similar results were observed with SO_2F_2 as solvent. In presence of SO_2 as solvent, $C_2F_5TeC_3F_6C(O)F$ was obtained in addition of previous

products.^[8] In these reactions, tellurium (hexafluoroarsenate) derivatives act as tellurolating and fluorinating reagents.

However, the lone preparative method, with products isolation, described is the neat reaction between C_2F_4 and solid $Te_4(AsF_6)_2$ (Scheme 1).^[8b] Nevertheless, this method appears to be very specific and cannot, reasonably, be considered as a "standard" synthetic procedure.

Noteworthy, ditelluride $((C_2F_5)_2Te_2)$ readily decomposes in light into monotelluride $((C_2F_5)_2Te)$ through extrusion of tellurium metal. $^{[8b]}$

$$\begin{array}{rrr} \text{Te}_4(\text{AsF}_6)_2 \ + \ \text{C}_2\text{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{100^\circ\text{C}} & (\text{C}_2\text{F}_5)_2\text{Te} \ + \ (\text{C}_2\text{F}_5)_2\text{Te}_2 \\ (\text{excess}) & 47\% & 10\% \end{array}$$

Scheme 1. Fluoro tellurolation of tetrafluoroethylene.

3. Indirect Approach

Another strategy to achieve fluroalkyltellurolated molecules is based on the R_F -TeR bond disconnection via the fluoroalkylation of tellurolated substrates.

3.1. Radical fluoroalkylation

The first synthesis of a trifluoromethyltellurolated derivative was described in early sixties by trapping trifluoromethyl radical, generated by pyrolysis of hexafluoroacetone, with a tellurium metal mirror. Thus bis(trifluoromethyl)ditelluride was formed, but no yields were provided (Scheme 2).^[9]

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ F_3C \xrightarrow{\qquad } CF_3 \xrightarrow{\qquad }$$

Scheme 2. Radical trifluoromethylation of tellurium metal mirror.

Reactive trifluoromethyl radicals can be generated by using a plasma source. In these conditions, homolytic C-C bond scission of hexafluoroethane produced CF₃[•] radical which reacted with tellurium metal or TeBr₄ to yield ditelluride (CF₃)₂Te₂ and monotelluride (CF₃)₂Te (Scheme 3a).^[10]

$$F_{3}C-CF_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{radiofrequency}} [^{*}CF_{3}] \xrightarrow{\text{TeBr}_{4}} (CF_{3})_{2}Te_{2} + (CF_{3})_{2}Te \text{ (a)}$$

$$F_{3}CS-SCF_{3} \xrightarrow{\text{Te}} (CF_{3}S)_{2}Te + (CF_{3}S)TeCF_{3} \qquad (b)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{"radiofrequency"}}{\text{"plasma conditions"}} 17\% \qquad 16\%$$

 $\label{eq:Scheme 3. Trifluoromethylation of tellurium derivatives under plasma conditions.$

Similar reaction has been also described starting with CF₃SSCF₃. In this example, the expected (CF₃S)₂Te was obtained along with (CF₃S)TeCF₃ coming from generation of trifluoromethyl radical from CF₃SSCF₃ (Scheme 3b).^[11]

Even if this method is conceptually original, it requires very specific technical equipment to provide expected compounds in

low yields and with a very limited scope. That reasonably precludes such an approach as preparative and synthetic tool. Photochemical reactions between R_FI and dimethyl telluride have been also described. ^[12] CF_3I and $(CH_3)_2Te$ react at -78°C under irradiation to form [$(CH_3)_2TeCF_3$]I salt. This species decomposes from 70°C, or slowly in highly polar solvents, to CH_3TeCF_3 and MeI (Scheme 4).^[12b, 12c]

$$CF_{3}I + (CH_{3})_{2}Te \xrightarrow{hv} H_{3}C, Te^{-1}$$

$$H_{3}C^{-}CF_{3}$$

Scheme 4. Photochemical reaction of CF₃I with (CH₃)₂Te.

In the cases of pentafluoroethyl iodide (C_2F_5I) and heptafluoroisopropyl iodide (iC_3F_7I) , equilibrated reaction was rather observed to directly provide MeTeR_F and MeI. The addition of triethyl amine, which traps the formed MeI, favored the formation of MeTeR_F, by equilibrium displacement. If irradiation is required with C_2F_5I , the more reactive iC_3F_7I , thermal reaction was preferred to avoid complex reaction (Scheme 5).^[12b]

$$C_2F_5I + (CH_3)_2Te + Et_3N \xrightarrow{\text{IV}} C_2F_5TeCH_3 + Et_3MeN^+I^-$$
(1.2 equiv.) (0.4 equiv.) 3h 63%

$$iC_3F_7I + (CH_3)_2Te + Et_3N \xrightarrow{0^\circ C \text{ to r.t.}} iC_3F_7TeCH_3 + Et_3MeN^+I^-$$

(1 equiv.) (0.6 equiv.) 18h 79%

Scheme 5. Reaction between R_FI and (CH₃)₂Te.

Nevertheless, the preparation of di(perfluoroalkyl)-telluride or ditelluride with this method by using an excess of R_FI is not recommended because mixtures, difficult to separate, were generally obtained.

Similar reaction was also performed in aromatic series with C₆F₅I. In photochemical conditions, mixture of C₆F₅TeCH₃ and (C₆F₅)₂Te was obtained. However, both compounds are easy to separate by sublimation (Scheme 6). Thus, C₆F₅TeCH₃ had been successfully prepared with medium yield. Under thermal conditions, monotelluride is the major obtained product (Scheme 6).^[12b]

$$C_{6}F_{5}I + (CH_{3})_{2}Te + Et_{3}N \xrightarrow{hv} C_{6}F_{5}TeCH_{3} + (C_{6}F_{5})_{2}Te$$
(1 equiv.)
$$(1 \text{ equiv.}) \xrightarrow{75\text{min}} (40\%) \downarrow \text{sublimation}$$

$$C_{6}F_{5}TeCH_{3}$$

$$C_{6}F_{5}TeCH_{3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_6 F_5 I + (CH_3)_2 Te + Et_3 N & \xrightarrow{130 \text{ C}} & (C_6 F_5)_2 Te \\ \hline (2 \text{ equiv.}) & (2 \text{ equiv.}) & 78\% \end{array}$$

Scheme 6. Reaction of (C₆F₅)I with (CH₃)₂Te.

The proposed mechanism for these reactions is a SET process between R_FI and telluride species (Scheme 7).^[12b]



Scheme 7. Mechanism of the reaction of R_FI with (CH₃)₂Te.

Aryltelluride anions, easily generated by reduction of corresponding ditellurides, can also react with R_FI , through a SET process, to give expected fluoroalkyltellurolated compounds (Scheme 8).^[13]

ArTeTeAr
$$\xrightarrow{\text{NaBH}_4}_{\text{EtOH}}$$
 ArTeNa $\xrightarrow{\text{R}_F\text{I}}_{\text{THF}}$ ArTeR_F
or MeOH overnight (55-84%)
R_F = CF₃, C₂F₅
SET process :
ArTeNa + R_FI \longrightarrow ArTe[•] + R_F[•] + Na⁺I⁻

Scheme 8. Reaction of R_FI with aryItelluride anions.

Noteworthy, a similar reaction was also performed with CF_3Br instead of CF_3I , but with a longer reaction time (2 days).

Similar reaction was performed with disodium ditelluride and trifluoromethyl or pentafluoroethyl halogenides.^[14] Thus, CF₃I or CF₃Br react with Na₂Te₂ in liquid ammoniac to give a mixture of mono- and ditelluride (Scheme 9). In the case of C₂F₅I, better results were obtained in THF under irradiation (Scheme 9). Even if, no mechanism is suggested, we can also reasonably suppose a SET process.^[14]

Na₂Te₂ + CF₃X
$$\xrightarrow{0^{\circ}C}$$
 Te(CF₃)₂ + Te₂(CF₃)₂
X = I, Br 37% 15%
Na₂Te + C₂F₅I \xrightarrow{THF} Te(C₂F₅)₂
Hg vapor lamp 20°C, 2h 38%

Scheme 9. Preparation of fluoroalkyltellurides from R_FI and Na₂Te_{2.}

This concept of SET process was also applied to the addition of fluoroalkyl and phenyltelluryl moieties onto terminal alkynes (Scheme 10).^[15]



Scheme 10. Addition onto triple bond of R_F and PhTe.

Bis(pentafluorophenyl)ditelluride was prepared and isolated in pure form by irradiation of bis(pentafluorophenyl)monotelluride through a radical process (Scheme 11).^[16]

$$(C_6F_5)_2$$
Te \xrightarrow{hv} $\left[C_6F_5$ Te $+ C_6F_5^{\bullet}\right] \longrightarrow (C_6F_5)_2$ Te₂ $+ C_6F_5$ -furan derivatives

Scheme 11. Synthesis of bis(pentafluorophenyl)ditelluride.

Unsymmetrical tellurides were obtained by mixing two different fluoroalkyl tellurides (Scheme 12). However, no yields were reported. Mechanistically, this cross fluorinated groups exchange can be envisaged through radical or nucleophilic pathway, but no precisions were provided.^[16]

Scheme 12. Unsymmetrical tellurides synthesis.

3.2. Electrophilic fluoroalkylation

Pentafluorophenyl iodide and bromide were reacted with disodium ditelluride to form the corresponding telluride in moderate yields (Scheme 13). Noteworthy, the same reaction was done with disodium telluride but only traces of the expected product were formed, mainly due to the poor solubility of Na₂Te in liquid ammoniac.^[14]

Na₂Te₂ + 2 C₆F₅X
$$\xrightarrow{NH_3 (liq.)}$$

r.t., 20min $X = I : 55\%$
X = Br : 48%

Scheme 13. Preparation of Te(C₆F₅)₂ from C₆F₅X and Na₂Te₂.

To circumvent this solubility issue, the same reaction was optimized in THF. Better yields were obtained under white lamp irradiation (Scheme 14a). A modified version of this procedure, without any irradiation, was applied to provide other fluoroaryl tellurides. The mandatory presence of both fluorine atoms in ortho-positions of bromine was demonstrated, which would lead to envisage an aromatic nucleophilic substitution mechanism. However, other evidences (blue color in liquid NH₃, influence of

irradiation) could also advocate for a SET pathway, as previously suggest in aliphatic series (Scheme 14b).^[17]

$$Na_{2}Te + 2 C_{6}F_{5}X \xrightarrow{THF, hv} Te(C_{6}F_{5})_{2}$$
(a)

$$X = I : 71\% (49\%^{*})$$

$$X = Br : 57\% (34\%^{*})$$
* without irradiation

$$Na_{2}Te + Ar_{F}Br \xrightarrow{THF} Te(Ar_{F})_{2} + NaBr$$
(b)

$$Ar_{F} = C_{6}F_{2}H_{3} : 68\%$$

 $CF_3C_6F_4$: 80% $CF_3C_6F_4OC_6F_4$: 41% C_6F_5 : 68%

Scheme 14. Reaction between Ar_FX and Na_2Te .

An interesting approach to obtain mono-difluoromethyl telluride was based on the electrophilic reactivity of difluorinated carbenoid species with di(methyl)telluride. Metallic carbenoid species is generated by fluorine abstraction with BF₃ from trifluoromethylated metallic complexes (Cd(CF₃)₂; 2 MeCN or Zn(CF₃)Br; 2 MeCN). This electrophilic species can then react with di(methyl)telluride, which acts as a Lewis base, to afford a (difluoromethyl)tellurium cation intermediate. This last one leads to the expected difluoromethyl methyl telluride by a sequence: (i) protonation, (ii) abstraction of methyl group by $Te(CH_3)_2$ to generate a trimethylchalcogenium ion. Noteworthy this reaction sequence can also be inverted (Scheme 15). Nevertheless, no yields were given for this original reaction.^[18]



Scheme 15. Preparation of CH₃TeCF₂H from carbenoid species.

If substitution reactions onto CF₃ carbon are generally extremely difficult to perform, the insertion of a CH₂ spacer can facilitate such reactions. Thus, trifluoromethyl phenyl telluride was prepared through nucleophilic substitution of tosylate group by lithium telluride species. Unfortunately, only 18% of the expected product was obtained, certainly due to the low electrophilicity of TsOCH₂CF₃ (Scheme 16).^[19]

Scheme 16. Preparation of PhTeCH₂CF₃ from TsOCH₂CF₃ and PhTeLi.

One of the most common electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagent was developed by the Togni group and is based on a hypervalent iodide structure. Recently, they shown the possible attack of this reagent onto diphenyl telluride to form PhTeCF₃. Nevertheless, no yield was specified because a hypervalent tellurium compound was concomitantly formed and has focused more attention from the authors (Scheme 17).^[20]



Scheme 17. Preparation of PhTeCF₃ from a Togni's reagent.

3.3. Nucleophilic fluoroalkylation

Perfluoroaryl tellurides were obtained by reaction of perfluoroaryl iodide and tellurium metal at high temperature. If bis(pentafluorophenyl)telluride was only obtained in very low yield, cyclic perfluorodibenzotellurophene was prepared in 66% yield. No mechanism details were provided and both radical pathway and transient organometallic species formation could be considered (Scheme 18).^[21]



Scheme 18. Reaction of perfluoroaryl iodides with Te.

Such reactions were favored in presence of Cu, as catalyst and iodine scavenger, and Te(C₆F₅)₂ was then obtained in 46% yield (Scheme 19a).^[22] The method was also extended to perfluoroalkyl iodides to afford various Te(R_F)₂ in moderate to good yields. In some cases, the formation of Te₂(R_F)₂ as byproduct was observed (Scheme 19b).^[23] The authors suggest the formation of "R_FCu" intermediates.

$$C_6F_5I + Te + Cu \xrightarrow{190 \text{°C}, 24h} (C_6F_5)_2Te$$
 (a)
46%

$$\begin{array}{c} 150\text{-}180^\circ\text{C} \\ \hline \text{R}_F\text{I}+3\ \text{Te}+3\ \text{Cu} & \overbrace{15\text{-}60h}^{15\text{-}60h} \quad \text{Te}(\text{R}_F)_2 + \text{Te}_2(\text{R}_F)_2 \quad (b) \\ \hline \text{R}_F=\text{C}_2\text{F}_5\colon 58\text{-}68\% \quad 0\text{-}16\% \\ \text{R}_F=\text{(CF}_3)_2\text{CF}\colon 30\% \quad 10\% \\ \text{R}_F=\text{C}_3\text{F}_7\colon 43\% \quad - \\ \text{R}_F=n\text{-}C_4\text{F}_9\colon 33\% \quad - \end{array}$$

Scheme 19. Copper catalyzed reactions between $\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{F}\mathsf{I}$ and Te.

The use of mercurial derivatives as effective nucleophilic organometallic reagents was more efficient to form $Te(C_6F_5)_2$, in quantitative yield (Scheme 20).^[21, 24]

This reaction was also applied to prepare aliphatic fluoroalkyltellurated compounds, but in presence of elemental copper as catalyst. Depending on the starting fluoroalkyl mercurial reagent and the reaction conditions, ditelluride can be observed as by product (up to 20% after 60h at 200-220°C). However, with the appropriate procedure only the expected monotelluride can be obtained in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 20). According to the authors, a R_FCu intermediate would seem to be the transient reactive species.^[23]

Te + Hg(C₆F₅)₂
$$\xrightarrow{225-230^{\circ}\text{C}}$$
 Te(C₆F₅)₂ (a)
guant.

$$\begin{array}{rl} \text{Hg}(\text{R}_{\text{F}})_{2} + 3 \text{ Te} & \underbrace{\text{Cu (cat.)}}_{180\text{-}200^{\circ}\text{C}} & \text{Te}(\text{R}_{\text{F}})_{2} & + \text{Te}_{2}(\text{R}_{\text{F}})_{2} & \text{(b)} \\ & 15\text{-}48\text{h} & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\sf R}_{\sf F}={\sf C}{\sf F}_3:\ 40\% & - \\ {\sf R}_{\sf F}={\sf C}_2{\sf F}_5:\ 85\% & 10\% \end{array}$$

$$Hg(C_2F_5)I + 3 \text{ Te} \xrightarrow{Cu (cat.)} Te(C_2F_5)_2$$
(c)
200°C, 15h 93%

Scheme 20. Reaction of fluorinated mercurial reagents and Te.

To avoid tellurium metal, tellurium tetrahalides were also used. Thus, Te₂(C₆F₅)₂ was obtained by reaction between C₆F₅HgCl and TeCl₄ followed by a zinc mediated dechlorination. However, a low yield is revendicated by the authors without any other precisions (Scheme 21a).^[25]

Nevertheless, similar procedures afforded the preparation of di(methyl)telluride with excellent yields. In this case, tellurium tetrabromide is more reactive than chloride derivative and provides better results in milder conditions (Scheme 21b-c).^[26]

$$TeCl_{4} \xrightarrow{C_{6}F_{5}HgCl}_{CCl_{4}} \xrightarrow{C_{6}F_{5}TeCl_{3}} \xrightarrow{Zn}_{EtOH} (C_{6}F_{5})_{2}Te_{2} \quad (a)$$

$$Hg(CF_{3})_{2} + TeBr_{4} \xrightarrow{155^{\circ}C}_{2.5h} \xrightarrow{Te(CF_{3})_{2}} (b)$$

$$Hg(CF_{3})_{2} + TeCl_{4} \xrightarrow{250^{\circ}C}_{60h} \xrightarrow{Te(CF_{3})_{2}} (c)$$

Scheme 21. Reaction of fluorinated mercury reagents with TeX4.

Cadmium derivatives are also known as fluoroalkylating reagents. Therefore, they can also react with TeCl₄ to give the corresponding tetra(fluoroalkyl)tellurides (Scheme 22a). Unfortunately, no yields were mentioned.^[27]

Tetra(trifluoromethyl)telluride was formed by reaction of bis(trifluoromethyl) cadmium ; glyme complex with (CF₃)₂TeCl₂ (obtained by chlorination of (CF₃)₂Te^[28]) with good yields.^[26b, 27, 29] Noteworthy, (CF₃)₄Te appeared to be sensitive to light and temperature. Similar procedure was extended to higher fluorinated homologs but no yields were reported (Scheme 22b).^[27]

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{TeCl}_4 + \text{Cd}(\text{R}_{\text{F}})_2 & \underbrace{\text{MeCN/DMSO}}_{\text{r.t.}} & \text{Te}(\text{R}_{\text{F}})_4 & (a) \\ \\ \text{R}_{\text{F}} = \text{C}_2\text{F}_5, \, \text{C}_3\text{F}_7, \, \text{C}_4\text{F}_9 \end{array}$$

$$Te(CF_3)_2CI_2 + Cd(CF_3)_2; S \xrightarrow{MeCN} Te(CF_3)_4 (b)$$

S = Givme 70%

Scheme 22. Synthesis of Te(R_F)₄ from Cd(R_F)₂.

Cd(CF₃)₂ was also used as difluorocarbene generator to perform carbene insertion within (CH₃)₂Te. These two reagents were in situ generated from Te(CF₃)₂ and Cd(CH₃)₂ (Zn(CH₃)₂ is also mentioned) by ligand exchanges between tellurium and cadmium. This process requires low temperature (0°C) because the reaction is violent in absence of a complexing agent of cadmium reagent (Scheme 23).^[30] Same reactions can be performed at higher temperature in presence of a complexing agent (diglyme, glyme, pyridine or acetonitrile). Formation of difluorocarbene occurred between -20°C and 50°C with an optimal temperature around 30-40°C with Cd(CF₃)₂; diglyme complex (or Zn equivalent).^[30] Nevertheless, no yields were provided for this reaction.

3 (CF₃)₂Te + 2 (CH₃)₂Cd
$$\xrightarrow{0^{\circ}C}$$
 (CH₃)₂Te + 2 (CF₃)₂Cd + 2 CH₃TeCF₃
 \downarrow CdF₂
CH₃TeCF₂CH₃ \leftarrow CdF₂

Scheme 23. Difluorocarbene insertion within (CH₃)₂Te.

Silver salts are well-known to stabilize fluoroalkyl anions, and in particular CF3⁻ anion. Trifluoromethyl silver can react with tellurium metal to provide the corresponding bis(trifluoromethyl)telluride (Scheme 24). The authors described the formation of a complex with solvent. No precisions about isolation of this compound were specified.^[31] Similar reaction was performed with C₂F₅Ag (Scheme 24). However, as with CF₃, the obtained (C₂F₅)₂Te appears to be difficult to isolate in pure form, because of Lewis acid-base interactions with the solvent.[32] With perfluoroaryl silver reagents, it would seem that pure compounds were obtained (Scheme 24).^[31, 33]

$$2 R_FAg + Te \xrightarrow{EtCN} Te(R_F)_2 \cdot EtCN$$

$$R_F = CF_3, F_F = \int_{r.t.}^{F} Te(R_F)_2 \cdot EtCN$$

$$2 Ar_FAg + Te \xrightarrow{F} Te(Ar_F)_2$$

$$2 Ar_FAg + Te \xrightarrow{F} Te(Ar_F)_2$$

$$12-60\%$$

$$Ar_F = C_6F_5, F_R + F_R$$

Scheme 24. Preparation of (RF)2Te from RFAg.

Tetra(perfluorophenyl)telluride was also obtained starting from tellurium chloride derivatives. However, even if good yields are claimed, no values are clearly mentioned (Scheme 25).^[34]

$$\frac{\text{Te}(C_6F_5)_2\text{Cl}_2 + 2 \text{ AgC}_6F_5}{\text{Te}\text{Cl}_4 + 4 \text{ AgC}_6F_5} \xrightarrow{\text{EtCN}, -78^\circ\text{C}} \frac{\text{Te}(C_6F_5)_4}{\text{Te}\text{C}_6F_5)_4}$$

Scheme 25. Preparation of Te(C₆F₅)₄.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ie} \\ \text{Ar_FLi} \xrightarrow{\text{PBu_3 (10 mol\%)}} \text{THF, -20^{\circ}C, 15h} \\ \end{array} \text{Ar_FTeLi} \xrightarrow{\text{I}_2} \text{Ar_FTeTeAr_F} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Ar_F} = \text{C}_6\text{F}_5 & : 33\% \\ \text{Ar_F} = 4\text{-}C\text{F}_3\text{C}_6\text{F}_4 : 46\% \end{array}$$

Scheme 29. Preparation of Te₂(Ar_F)₂ from Ar_FLi.

Perfluoroaryl lithium reagents are easy to access and can be used as nucleophilic reagents. Thus, they can react with tellurium tetrachloride to provide corresponding bis(perfluoroaryl)telluride.^[21, 25, 35] Nevertheless, low yields or no yields were described. Same conditions were applied to 2,2'-(perfluorobiphenyl)dilithium to synthesize perfluorodibenzotellurophene but again with low yield (Scheme 26).^[21]



Scheme 26. Synthesis of perfluorodibenzotellurophene.

Unsymmetrical telluride was obtained by reaction between 3,5dichloro-2,4,6-trifluorophenyllithium and diphenylditelluride, in presence of bromide, with a good yield (Scheme 27).^[36]



Scheme 27. Preparation of 3,5-dichloro-2,4,6-trifluorophenyl phenyl telluride.

Formation of bis(perfluorophenyl)ditelluride was envisaged by forming, in situ, C_6F_5Tel as intermediate which was expected to decompose into the ditelluride. However, it reacted mainly with remaining C_6F_5Li to provide, finally, the corresponding $Te(C_6F_5)_2$ with a good yield (Scheme 28).^[37]

$$C_6F_5Li \xrightarrow{1) Te, Et_2O, -70^{\circ}C}{2) I_2, Et_2O, 25^{\circ}C} \xrightarrow{Te(C_6F_5)_2 + (C_6F_5)TeTe(C_6F_5)}{60-70\%}$$

Scheme 28. Preparation of $Te(C_6F_5)_2$ from C_6F_5Li and elemental tellurium.

A few years later, the same authors developed a new strategy to prepare Te₂(Ar_F)₂ compounds with improved yields. The first step was always the reaction of Ar_FLi with tellurium metal. This insertion was described as rather difficult which could explain the disappointing yield of ditelluride formation in their previous work. Consequently, they used phosphines to catalyze this tellurium insertion. The intermediate formation of phosphane tellurides as soluble tellurium sources is revendicated. In a second step, oxidation of Ar_FTel with iodine led to the desired ditellurides in moderate yields (Scheme 29).^[38]

Stability of lithium perfluoroaryltellurolates differs depending on the solvent. In THF, the solution is stable under reflux but quite sensitive to hydrolysis. In Et₂O, in the case of C₆F₅Li, a significant amount of another fluorinated compound is detected, even at -20°C. This latter was identified as octafluorotelluranthrene and is formed by dimerization of two molecules of C₆F₅TeLi with elimination of LiF, through a suspected SN_{Ar} reactions (Scheme 30).^[38] Under the same conditions, p-CF₃C₆F₄TeLi provided only a complex mixture.



Scheme 30. Synthesis of octafluorotelluranthrene.

Like lithium compounds, perfluoroaryl Grignard reagents were also used as nucleophilic sources. Thus, $Te(C_6F_5)_2$ was prepared from C_6F_5MgBr and $TeCl_4$ (Scheme 31). Only crude yield was mentioned.^[39]

TeCl₄ + C₆F₅MgBr
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Benzene}}$$
 Te(C₆F₅)₂
reflux, 2h $\xrightarrow{22\%}$

Scheme 31. Preparation of Te(C₆F₅)₂ from C₆F₅MgBr and TeCl₄.

Unsymmetrical C₆F₅TePh was obtained with excellent yield from C₆F₅MgBr and Te₂Ph₂ in presence of bromine, through the intermediate formation of PhTeBr (Scheme 32).^[36]

Te₂Ph₂ + C₆F₅MgBr
$$\xrightarrow{Br_2}$$
 C₆F₅TePh
0°C to r.t., 30min 93%

Scheme 32. Synthesis of C₆F₅TePh from C₆F₅MgBr and Te₂Ph₂.

Finally, the synthesis of Te(C₆F₅)₄ was investigated with various Grignard reagents as well as different tellurium sources. Apart with iodide derivatives which led to Te(C₆F₅)₂, the different methods are claimed to give good results. However, the exact yields are often not clearly mentioned (Scheme 33). According to the authors, reaction between C₆F₅MgBr and TeCl₄ would be the cheapest and most interesting strategy.^[34]



Scheme 33. Synthesis of $Te(C_6F_5)_4$ with Grignard reagents.

Interestingly, Te(C₆F₅)₄ was then used as nucleophilic C₆F₅ donor. In some reactions, Te(C₆F₅)₂ was formed as co-product. More anecdotally, thalium derivative was also used as nucleophilic source of C₆F₅ to react with tellurium metal under thermic conditions. Te(C₆F₅)₂ was then formed by with a low vield (Scheme 34).^[40]

$$(C_6F_5)_2$$
TIBr + Te $\xrightarrow{190^\circ C}_{3 \text{ days}}$ Te $(C_6F_5)_2$
18%

Scheme 34. Synthesis of Te(C₆F₅)₂ from (C₆F₅)₂TIBr.

The most known and maybe used today as nucleophilic source of CF3 is the Ruppert-Prakash reagent (CF3SiMe3) and by extension its higher homologs. Their reactivity with disulfide and diselenide was already described.^[4f, 4h] Recently, Togni et al. prepared ArTeCF3 in good yields from the corresponding ditellurides (Scheme 35).^[13b] Noteworthy, using cesium fluoride instead of potassium fluoride led to unexpected formation of a non-fluorinated telluride via the nucleophilic attack of CNCH2-(arising from deprotonation of CH₃CN by fluoride anion) on the ditelluride. This method was extended to few other fluoroalkyl groups by using the corresponding fluoroalkylsilylated reagents. In these cases, CsF was successfully used by using DMF as solvent (Scheme 35).^[13b] With perfluorophenyl reagent, ditellurated by-product was also observed through an SNAr reaction of in situ generated aryltelluryl anion onto perfluorophenyl telluride (Scheme 35).[13b]



Scheme 35 Synthesis of RFTeAr with RFSiMe3 reagents.

Togni et al. succeeded also to obtain the tellurated analogs of their electrophilic trifluoromethylating hypervalent iodine reagent.^[41] These new compounds were synthesized by nucleophilic trifluoromethylation with Ruppert-Prakash reagent of fluoro tellurium (III) substrates, in situ formed by fluorination of the corresponding iodinated molecules (Scheme 36).^[20]



Scheme 36. Synthesis of trifluoromethyl hypervalent tellurium compounds.

3.4. Reaction with difluorocarbene

As for other chalcogenes, difluoromethyl aryl tellurides were obtained by reaction of aryltelluride anions with in situ generated difluorocarbene.^[42] This last one was classically formed by deprotonation of chlorodifluoromethane with sodium hydroxide (Scheme 37).



Scheme 37. Reaction of ArTe⁻ anion with difluorocarbene.

4. Direct Approach

From a retrosynthetic point of view, R_FTe-C bond disconnection is, maybe, the more elegant approach. In particular such strategy opens the possibility of late stage fluoroalkyltellurolation of molecules.

4.1. Electrophilic fluoroalkyltellurolation

Direct electrophilic perfluoroalkyltellurolation is one of the least developed direct pathways. Indeed, only three publications describe the synthesis and the utilization of the electrophilic reagents R_FTel obtained from the reaction between (R_F)₂Te₂ and I₂ (Scheme 38). However, even if the resulting solution can be stored at room temperature (preventing exposure to moisture and light) over a long period, pure R_FTel reagent cannot be isolated.^[43]

$$R_{F}Te - TeR_{F} \xrightarrow{I_{2} (1 \text{ equiv.})} 2 R_{F}Te - I$$

$$R_{F} = C_{3}F_{7}, (CF_{3})_{2}CF, C_{4}F_{9}$$
quant.

Scheme 38. Preparation of R_FTel.

The in situ prepared R_FTel can react with nucleophilic reagents such as organomercury, organosilver or organolithium derivatives (Scheme 39). Reaction of CF₃Tel with Hg(SeCF₃)₂ affords CF₃TeSeCF₃ with a good NMR yield but with an isolated yield, by preparative gas chromatography, of only 48% (Scheme 39b).^[44] However, the resulting orange oil decomposes slowly at room temperature into F₃CSeSeCF₃ and F₃CTeTeCF₃. Syntheses of CF₃TeNSO and R_FTeCN were also described with modest to excellent yields starting from Hg(NSO)₂ and AgCN salts respectively (Scheme 39c-d).^[43a, 44] Concerning the reactivity of CF₃TeI with organolithiums (Scheme 39a), the products characterizations were given, but no information concerning the reactions conditions and yields were described.^[43b]



Scheme 39. Reaction of RFTel with various organometallic reagents.

A single example of direct electrophilic pentafluorophenyltellurolation was also described in 1999.^[22] Thus, $(C_6F_5)_2TeCl_2$ reacts with AgNSO in mild conditions leading to $(C_6F_5)_2Te(NSO)_2$ in moderate yield (Scheme 40).

$$(C_6F_5)_2\text{TeCl}_2 \xrightarrow[5]{\text{AgNSO} (1.8 equiv.)}_{\text{THF, r.t., 30min}} (C_6F_5)_2\text{Te(NSO)}_2$$

Scheme 40. Pentafluorophenyltellurolation using (C₆F₅)₂TeCl₂ reagent.

4.2. Radical fluoroalkyltellurolation

The homolytic scission of TeR₂ derivatives under light irradiation or thermic activation was described to finally lead to the Te atom extrusion (Scheme 41).^[12b, 45]

RTeR \xrightarrow{hv} [RTe' + R'] \xrightarrow{hv} 2 R' + Te

Scheme 41. Homolytic scission of RTeR.

However, the intermediate RTe[•] radical was used to prepare some trifluoromethyltellurolated molecules via a radical pathway. Irradiation of two different symmetric tellurides led to the formation of unsymmetric tellurides through a radical recombination (Scheme 42). In this process both direct radical trifluoromethyltellurolation and radical trifluoromethylation of tellurated compounds have to be considered.^[46]



Scheme 42. Synthesis of CF₃TeR from Te(CF₃)₂.

A similar approach was described with the bis(pentafluorophenyl)telluride but, in this case, the formation of unsymmetric ditelluride was supposed (Scheme 43).^[47] Unfortunately, no characterization and yield were given.

$$(C_6F_5)_2Te_2 \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)_2Te_2} 2(p-MeOC_6H_4)TeTe(C_6F_5)_2Te_2 \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)} 2(p-MeOC_6H_4)TeTe(C_6F_5)_2Te_2 \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)_2Te_2} 2(p-MeOC_6H_4)TeTe(C_6F_5)_2Te_2} \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)_2Te_2} 2(p-MeOC_6H_4)TeTe(C_6F_5)_2Te_2} \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)_2Te_2} x \xrightarrow{(p-MeOC_6H_4)_2Te_2} x$$

Scheme 43. Preparation of PhSTeCF₃.

Radical trifluoromethyltellurolation of thiophenol was also described (Scheme 44).^[48] The reaction proceeds in DMF for 10 days under ultraviolet irradiation and gives the desired compound with 67% yield.

$$(F_3C)_2$$
Te + PhSH \xrightarrow{hv} PhS-TeCF₃
DMF, 10 days $\overrightarrow{67\%}$

Scheme 44. Preparation of PhSTeCF₃.

Radical addition of Te(CF₃)₂ onto cyclohexene through light or thermic activation afforded a mixture of cis/trans 1trifluoromethyltelluryl-2-trifluoromethylcyclohexane (**A**).^[45] Due to photochemical instability, the product degrades into 1,2di(trifluoromethyl)cyclohexane (**B**) leading to a mixture of the two products under light activation (Scheme 45a). Under thermic activation, only expected 1-trifluoromethyltelluryl-2trifluoromethylcyclohexane (**A**) was obtained at 80°C with excellent yields (Scheme 45b). Nevertheless, at higher temperature (155°C) only the di(trifluoromethyl) product **B** was obtained.

With under (CF₃)₂TeCl₂, thermic activation. 1trifluoromethyldichlorotelluryl-2-trifluoromethyl-cyclohexane was obtained quantitatively (Scheme 45c).[49] This compound can also be prepared by direct chlorination of the previous 1trifluoromethyltelluryl-2-trifluoromethylcyclohexane (A). Noteworthy, when (CF₃)₂TeBr₂ was used. only 1,2dibromocyclohexane was observed.



Scheme 45. Radical additions onto cyclohexene.

Finally, this radical process was also applied to prepare different CF_3Te complexes with some metals (Hg, Cd, Cu or Ag).^[12b]

4.3. Nucleophilic fluoroalkyltellurolation

The nucleophilic perfluoroalkyltellurolation is the most studied direct pathway. Different sources of R_FTe⁻ anions were described (Scheme 46). They were prepared from different tellurium sources such as tellurium metal or $(CF_3)_2Te_2$. Tellurium metal reacts with Ruppert-Prakash reagent in presence of [NMe4]F to form [NMe4]TeCF₃ with 60% yield (Scheme 46a).^[50] A recent publication described the synthesis of [K(18-c-6)]TeCF₃ from Te and a [borazine-CF₃] derivative (Scheme 46b) with an excellent yield.^[51] Di(trifluoromethyl)ditelluride can react with metallic reagents, such as Me₃SnH or Hg, to provide corresponding Me₃SnTeCF₃ or Hg(TeCF₃)₂ (Scheme 46c-d).^[44, 52] Finally, di(trifluoromethyl)ditelluride was also reduced by TDAE in mild conditions to form (TDAE)(TeCF₃)₂ with 96% yield (Scheme 46e), but no reactions using this compound were described.^[53]

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} \mathsf{CF}_3\mathsf{SiMe}_3 &+ & \mathsf{Te} &+ & [\mathsf{Me}_4\mathsf{N}]\mathsf{F} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{glyme}} & \mathsf{CF}_3\mathsf{Te}[\mathsf{NMe}_4] & (a) \\ & (1.5 \ \mathsf{equiv.}) & & 60\% \end{array}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} & CF_3 \\ N & B \\ B & N \\ B & B \\ N \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H} \begin{bmatrix} F(10-C-6) \\ Te \\ THF, r.t., 72h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K(18-C-6) \\ 97\% \end{bmatrix} TeCF_3$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Me}_3\text{SnH} (2 \text{ equiv.}) \\ \hline \text{Et}_2\text{O}, -196^\circ\text{C to r.t.} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Me}_3\text{SnTeCF}_3 \\ 95\% \end{array} \tag{c}$$

(b)

$$(CF_3)_2Te_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Hg (3 equiv.)}} Hg(TeCF_3)_2 \qquad (d)$$

$$\frac{\text{TDAE (1 equiv.)}}{\text{Et}_2\text{O}, -30^{\circ}\text{C}} \text{ (TDAE)}[\text{TeCF}_3]_2 \qquad (e)$$

Scheme 46. Preparation of R_FTe⁻ anions.

First described in 2004 by Tyrra et al, [NMe₄]TeCF₃ salt is certainly the most used reagent to perform direct nucleophilic trifluoromethyltellurolation.^[54] This reagent was easily prepared from Ruppert-Prakash reagent (Scheme 47a), and this method was also extended to C₂F₅SiMe₃.

While reacting with bis(dialkylthiocarbamyl) disulfides, symmetric complexes between two thiocarbamato moieties and TeRF, with [NMe4]⁺ as counter cation, were formed in nearly quantitative yields. Noteworthy, these complexes were also prepared via an indirect pathway using [TeSC(S)NR2]2, [NMe4]F and Ruppert-Prakash derivatives. The addition of Ag[BF4] onto these complexes led to the formation of corresponding {[(trifluoromethyl)tellanyl]sulfanyl} carbothioamide (Scheme 47a).^[55] However these compounds couldn't be isolated due to their decomposition in solution.

Reaction between MeI and $[NMe_4]TeR_F$ in solvent free conditions (under ultrasonic irradiation) or in solution yielded MeTeR_F quantitatively (Scheme 47b).^[56] While the trifluoromethyl derivative decomposes quickly, the C₂F₅ one is

stable for several months at 28°C. Noteworthy, $MeTeR_F$ can be oxidized leading to $MeTe(R_F)Y_2$ (Y = F, CI, Br or OCOCF₃) with excellent yields.^[56]

One example of substitution reaction with alkylbromide was reported, leading to corresponding $RTeCF_3$ with 50% yield (Scheme 47c).^[57] The resulting $RTeCF_3$ molecule could then be post-functionalized.

A recent publication of Schoenebeck et al. described a palladium coupling between aryl iodides and $[NMe_4]TeCF_3$ (Scheme 47d).^[58] After oxidative addition of palladium, CF₃Te⁻ is inserted onto palladium via a nucleophile exchange with the iodine anion. The reductive elimination, then, affords the expected ArTeCF₃. The reaction conditions are compatible with a wide range of functional groups (halogens, aldehyde, ketone, ester and triflate). Sixteen examples were described with a yields range of 13-88%.



Scheme 47. Reactivity of RFTe[NMe4].

[NMe₄]TeCF₃ reagent was also used to prepare different complexes with transition metals such as Cu, Ag, Au or Pt.^[59] It also underwent cation exchange with bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium bromide ([PNP]Br) or [K(dibenzo-18-c-6)]Br .[54] These salts in solution remain stable in MeCN or DMF but they react with dichloromethane. Indeed, [NMe₄]TeCF₃ shows a two hours half-life time in CH₂Cl₂ and [PNP]TeCF₃ degrades quickly while reacting with the solvent at room temperature (Scheme 48).

$$[PNP]TeCF_3 \xrightarrow{CH_2Cl_2} CICH_2TeCF_3 + [PNP]CI$$

Scheme 48. Reaction of $[PNP]TeCF_3$ with CH_2CI_2 .

 $Me_3SnTeCF_3$ was used as source of CF_3Te^- anion which was trapped with acyl chlorides (Scheme 49). $^{[60]}$



Scheme 49. Reaction of Me₃SnTeCF₃ with acyl chlorides.

With cyanuric chloride, only the disubstituted compound was formed, despite the use of 3 equivalents of Me₃SnTeCF₃ (Scheme 49). Unfortunately, this product was not isolated and only mass spectrometry proved its formation.

Only one example of nucleophilic substitution with [K(18-c-6)]TeCF₃ was described with 2-bromomethylnaphtalene (Scheme 50).^[51] It should be noticed that the anion was preliminary formed in situ with 96% yield in 3 days (Scheme 46).



Scheme 50. Reactivity of [K(18-c-6)]TeCF $_3$ (in parentheses crude yield determined by ^{19}F NMR).

4.4. Reactions with di(perfluoroalkyl)tellurocarbonyls

Fluorotellurophosgene was first synthesized in 1991, by reaction of Hg(TeCF₃)₂ with Et₂All in solvent free conditions at 20°C under vacuum.^[61] The thermally unstable TeCF₂ was isolated with 10% yield at low temperature (Scheme 51a). Other di(perfluoroalkyl)tellurocarbonyls were prepared by thermal decomposition of perfluoroalkyltellurostannane derivatives (Scheme 51b).^[23, 43a, 44, 52, 62]



Scheme	51.	Synthesis	OT	fluorotellurophosgene	and
di(perfluoro)tellurocarbonyls.					

These compounds were involved in [2+2] cycloadditions with other telluro- or selenocarbonyl derivatives, leading to the symmetric and non-symmetric corresponding cycloadducts (Scheme 52).^[23, 43a, 44, 52, 61-62]



Scheme 52. Different dimers resulting from [2+2] cycloadditions.

These cyclic dimers can be post-functionalized by halogen exchange using BX₃ (X= CI or Br) or by direct halogenation of tellurium using Cl_2 .^[23, 43a, 44]

Some examples of [4+2] cycloadditions of di(perfluoro)tellurocarbonyls with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene were also reported (Scheme 53).^[23, 43a, 44] The tellurocarbonyls derivatives were in situ prepared by thermal degradation of (Me₃)SnTeR_F and various cyclic perfluoroalkyl compounds were obtained with moderate to good yields.



Scheme 53. [4+2] cycloaddition with di(perfluoro)tellurocarbonyls.

Finally, another approach was described. In this case a fluoroalkyltelluryl motif was also directly added onto organic substrates, corresponding formally well to a direct approach. However, a C-CF₂Te bond is formed instead of a C-TReR_F one. Thus, the addition of in situ generated PhTeCF₂⁻ anion to aldehydes and ketones derivatives was also described (Scheme 54).^[63] This anion was obtained by deprotonation with *t*BuOK of PhTeCF₂H (prepared as described in Scheme 37). Eleven examples, with yields ranging from 28 to 76% using aliphatic or aromatic aldehydes and ketones were described.



Scheme 54. Reaction of aldehydes and ketones with PhTeCF₂H and a base.

5. Conclusion

From sixties to nowadays, some methods to obtain fluoroalkyltellurolated molecules have already been described.

Nevertheless, only a few ones turn out to be effective in a practical point of view and can be considered as efficient approaches to synthesized elaborated R_FTe-compounds. Consequently, development of new strategies to introduce RFTe moieties, and more especially CF3Te, onto organic substrates challenging. particular, remains In direct trifluoromethyltellurolation (and fluoroalkyltellurolation) is clearly underdeveloped and progresses in this field would open the way to late-stage functionalizations of various molecules, as recently illustrated by the seminal work of Schoenebeck.^[58] Development of new strategies to perform direct trifluoromethyltellurolation, easy to realize even for non-specialized chemists, would broaden the toolbox of trifluoromethylchalcogenation reactions to propose all the non-radioactive group 16 elements in the conception of new emerging fluorinated groups with dedicated properties.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the French National Research Agency (ANR). The authors are grateful to the CNRS and the French Ministry of Research for financial support. The French Fluorine Network is also acknowledged for its support.

Keywords: Fluorine • Tellurium • Trifluoromethyl • Trifluoromethyltellurolation • Fluoroalkyl

- a) B. E. Smart, J. Fluor. Chem. 2001, 109, 3-11; b) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Wheineim, 2013; c) Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Aceña, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa, H. Liu, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 422-518; d) N. A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 5822-5880; e) H. Mei, J. Han, S. Fustero, M. Medio-Simon, D. M. Sedgwick, C. Santi, R. Ruzziconi, V. A. Soloshonok, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 11797-11819; f) M. Cheng, C. Guo, M. L. Gross, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 5880-5889; g) P. Jeschke, Pest Manage. Sci. 2010, 66, 10-27; h) T. Fujiwara, D. O'hagan, J. Fluor. Chem. 2014, 167, 16-29; i) M. Pagliaro, R. Ciriminna, J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 4981-4991; j) R. Berger, G. Resnati, P. Metrangolo, E. Weber, J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3496-3508; k) D. Chopra, T. N. G. Row, CrystEngComm 2011, 13, 2175-2186.
- [2] in Emerging Fluorinated Motifs: Synthesis, Properties and Applications (Eds.: D. Cahard, J. A. Ma), Wiley, Weinheim, Germany, 2020.
- a) A. Leo, C. Hansch, D. Elkins, *Chem. Rev.* **1971**, *71*, 525-616; b) Q.
 Glenadel, E. Ismalaj, T. Billard, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, 530-533.
- [4] a) F. R. Leroux, B. Manteau, J.-P. Vors, S. Pazenok, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 4, 13; b) A. Tilili, F. Toulgoat, T. Billard, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 11726-11735; c) T. Besset, P. Jubault, X. Pannecoucke, T. Poisson, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2016, *3*, 1004-1010; d) X.-H. Xu, K. Matsuzaki, N. Shibata, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 731-764; e) H.-Y. Xiong, X. Pannecoucke, T. Besset, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 16734-16749; f) F. Toulgoat, T. Billard in *Modern Synthesis Processes and Reactivity of Fluorinated Compounds: Progress in Fluorine Science* (Eds.: H. Groult, F. Leroux, A. Tressaud), Elsevier Science, London, United Kingdom, 2017, pp. 141-179; g) A. Tlili, E. Ismalaj, Q. Glenadel, C. Ghiazza, T. Billard, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, *24*, 3659-3670; h) T. Billard, F. Toulgoat in *Emerging Fluorinated Motifs: Synthesis, Properties and Applications* (Eds.: D. Cahard, J. A. Ma), Wiley, Weinheim, Germany, 2020, pp. 691-721.
- [5] T. Řezanka, K. Sigler, *Phytochemistry* **2008**, 69, 585-606.
- a) J. Wachter, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 1367-1378; b) B. Zhang, W.
 Hou, X. Ye, S. Fu, Y. Xie, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2007, 17, 486-492; c) L.
 Zhang, C. Wang, D. Wen, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 3291-3297; d) H.
 Chen, V. Lesnyak, N. C. Bigall, N. Gaponik, A. Eychmüller, *Chem. Mater.* 2010, *22*, 2309-2314.

- [7] a) B. Sredni, R. R. Caspi, A. Klein, Y. Kalechman, Y. Danziger, M. Benya'akov, T. Tamari, F. Shalit, M. Albeck, *Nature* **1987**, *330*, 173-176; b) G. I. Giles, K. M. Tasker, R. J. K. Johnson, C. Jacob, C. Peers, K. N. Green, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2490-2491; c) G. I. Giles, N. M. Giles, C. A. Collins, K. Holt, F. H. Fry, P. a. S. Lowden, N. J. Gutowski, C. Jacob, *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 2030-2031; d) Z. Deng, Y. Zhang, J. Yue, F. Tang, Q. Wei, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 12024-12031; e) R. L. O. R. Cunha, I. E. Gouvea, L. Juliano, *An. Acad. Bras. Cienc.* **2009**, *81*, 393-407; f) E. R. T. Tiekink, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 6390-6395.
- [8] a) H. L. Paige, J. Passmore, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **1973**, *9*, 277-281;
 b) C. D. Desjardins, H. L. Paige, J. Passmore, P. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1975**, 488-490.
- [9] T. N. Bell, B. J. Pullman, B. O. West, Aust. J. Chem. 1963, 16, 722-724.
- a) R. J. Lagow, L. L. Gerchman, R. A. Jacob, J. A. Morrison, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 518-522; b) T. J. Juhlke, R. W. Braun, T. R. Bierschenk, R. J. Lagow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 3229-3231; c) M. Schmeisser, R. Walter, D. Naumann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1980**, *464*, 233-239.
- [11] T. R. Bierschenk, R. J. Lagow, Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 359-360.
- a) M. L. Denniston, D. R. Martin, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1975**, *37*, 1871-1873; b) J. Kischkewitz, D. Naumann, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1987**, *547*, 167-172; c) D. Naumann, J. Fischer, B. Wilkes, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1989**, *576*, 225-228.
- a) T. Umemoto, S. Ishihara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2156-2164;
 b) E. Pietrasiak, A. Togni, Organometallics 2017, 36, 3750-3757.
- [14] R. Kasemann, C. Lichenheim, G. Nowicki, D. Naumann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1995, 621, 213-217.
- [15] Y. Ueda, M. Kanai, K. Uneyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1994, 67, 2273-2277.
- [16] R. Kasemann, D. Naumann, J. Fluorine Chem. 1990, 48, 207-217.
- [17] T. M. Klapoetke, B. Krumm, P. Mayer, K. Polborn, O. P. Ruscitti, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 5169-5176.
- [18] a) D. Naumann, R. Möckel, W. Tyrra, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1994, 33, 323-325; b) M. Hein, R. Miethchen, Trifluoromethylzinc Bromide in Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis [Online], 2004, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/047084289X.rn00412
- [19] T. Fuchigami, T. Fujita, A. Konno, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4153-4156.
- [20] E. Pietrasiak, A. F. Baxter, B. Jelier, N. Santschi, A. Togni, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2019, 102, e1900079
- [21] S. C. Cohen, M. L. N. Reddy, A. G. Massey, J. Organometal. Chem. 1968, 11, 563-566.
- [22] J. Aust, A. Haas, M. Kauch, A. Pritsch, B. Stange, J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 96, 147-157.
- [23] J. Beck, A. Haas, W. Herrendorf, H. Heuduk, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 4463-4470.
- [24] C. H. W. Jones, R. D. Sharma, D. Naumann, Can. J. Chem. 1986, 64, 987-990.
- [25] E. S. Kostiner, M. L. N. Reddy, D. S. Urch, A. G. Massey, J. Organometal. Chem. 1968, 15, 383-395.
- [26] a) E. A. Ganja, C. D. Ontiveros, J. A. Morrison, *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 4535-4538; b) A. Y. Il'chenko, *Sci. Synth.* **2005**, *18*, 1135-1201.
- [27] D. Naumann, H. Butler, J. Fischer, J. Hanke, J. Mogias, B. Wilkes, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1992**, 608, 69-72.
- [28] S. Herberg, D. Naumann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1982, 494, 151-158.
- [29] D. Naumann, B. Wilkes, J. Fluorine Chem. 1985, 27, 115-117.
- [30] H. Lange, D. Naumann, J. Fluorine Chem. 1985, 27, 299-308.
- [31] W. E. Tyrra, J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 149-152.
- [32] D. Naumann, W. Tyrra, A. Von Foullon, I. Pantenburg, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2009, 635, 862-868.
- [33] a) S. Benz, A. I. Poblador-Bahamonde, N. Low-Ders, S. Matile, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5408-5412; b) W. Tyrra, M. S. Wickleder, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 1841-1847; c) S. Aboulkacem, D. Naumann, W. Tyrra, I. Pantenburg, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1559-1565.
- [34] D. Naumann, W. Tyrra, R. Hermannn, I. Pantenburg, M. S. Wickleder, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2002, 628, 833-842.
- [35] L. N. Zelenina, T. P. Chusova, Y. G. Stenin, V. V. Bakovets, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 2008, 92, 723-727.
- [36] Y. Masutomi, N. Furukawa, Heteroat. Chem. 1995, 6, 19-27.
- [37] T. M. Klapotke, B. Krumm, P. Mayer, K. Polborn, O. P. Ruscitti, J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 207-212.

- [38] T. M. Klapotke, B. Krumm, P. Mayer, D. Naumann, I. Schwab, J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 997-1005.
- [39] a) D. Naumann, W. Tyrra, H. T. M. Fischer, S. Kremer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2007, 633, 527-528; b) W. R. Mcwhinnie, M. G. Patel, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 199-202.
- [40] G. B. Deacon, J. C. Parrott, J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 22, 287-295.
- [41] J. Charpentier, N. Früh, A. Togni, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650-682.
- [42] H. Suzuki, M. Yoshinaga, K. Takaoka, Y. Hiroi, Synthesis 1985, 497-499.
- [43] a) M. Baum, J. Beck, A. Haas, W. Herrendorf, C. Monse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 11-15; b) W. Dukat, F. Gall, C. Meyer, D. Mootz, D. Naumann, G. Nowicki, K. Schulz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996, 622, 617-621.
- [44] R. Boese, A. Haas, C. Limberg, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 2547-2556.
- [45] D. Naumann, B. Wilkes, J. Kischkewitz, J. Fluorine Chem. 1985, 30, 73-87.
- [46] a) D. C. Gordon, R. U. Kirss, D. W. Brown, *Organometallics* 1992, *11*, 2947-2949; b) D. W. Brown, R. U. Kirss, D. Gordon (Advanced Technology Materials, Inc.), US5312983, 1994 [*Chem. Abstr.* 121:301076]
- [47] N. S. Dance, W. R. Mcwhinnie, C. H. W. Jones, J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 125, 291-302.
- [48] D. Naumann, S. V. Pazenok, V. Turra, Zh. Org. Khim. 1993, 29, 152-155.
- [49] D. Naumann, J. Kischkewitz, B. Wilkes, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1988, 560, 141-146.
- [50] N. Szymczak, J. Geri (The Regents of the University of Michigan), WO2017223406, 2017 [Chem. Abstr. 168:113407]
- [51] J. B. Geri, M. M. Wade Wolfe, N. K. Szymczak, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1381-1385.
- [52] A. Haas, C. Limberg, *Chimia* **1992**, *46*, 78.
- [53] W. Tyrra, D. Naumann, H. Pohl, I. Pantenburg, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2003, 629, 1039-1043.
- [54] W. Tyrra, N. V. Kirij, D. Naumann, Y. L. Yagupolskii, J. Fluorine Chem. 2004, 125, 1437-1440.
- [55] W. Tyrra, D. Naumann, S. Buslei, S. Kremer, I. Pantenburg, H. Scherer, Dalton Trans. 2007, 1829-1837.
- [56] W. Tyrra, D. Naumann, S. Kremer, J. Hermle, V. Treutlein, I. Pantenburg, H. T. M. Fischer, H. Scherer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2012, 638, 580-588.
- [57] H. Park, L. J. Edgar, M. A. Lumba, L. M. Willis, M. Nitz, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 7027-7033.
- [58] T. Sperger, S. Guven, F. Schoenebeck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16903-16906.
- [59] a) N. V. Kirij, W. Tyrra, D. Naumann, Y. L. Yagupolskii, I. Pantenburg, M. Schaefer, *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2004, 125, 1933-1938; b) N. V. Kirij, W. Tyrra, I. Pantenburg, D. Naumann, H. Scherer, D. Naumann, Y. L. Yagupolskii, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2006, 691, 2679-2685.
- [60] A. Haas, H. Heuduk, C. Monse, L. M. Yagupolskii, J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 94, 195-198.
- [61] R. Boese, A. Haas, C. Limberg, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1378-1379.
- [62] M. Baum, H. Bock, A. Haas, Z. Havlas, C. Monse, B. Solouki, Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1997, 124 & 125, 413-417.
- [63] M. Hu, F. Wang, Y. Zhao, Z. He, W. Zhang, J. Hu, J. Fluorine Chem. 2012, 135, 45-58.

Key Topic Trifluoromethyltellurolation

Entry for the Table of Contents

Insert graphic for Table of Contents here. ((Please ensure your graphic is in one of following formats))



The missing element: CF_3O , CF_3S and CF_3Se chemistries have been widely described these last years. Tellurium is the following chalcogen but the CF_3Te chemistry remains poorly described. This review presents the different strategies and methods already existing and described in literature.