

KEY AGREEMENT BASED ON AUTOMATON GROUPS

Rostislav Grigorchuk, Dima Grigoriev

▶ To cite this version:

Rostislav Grigorchuk, Dima Grigoriev. KEY AGREEMENT BASED ON AUTOMATON GROUPS. journal of Groups, Complexity, Cryptology, 2019. hal-03043346

HAL Id: hal-03043346 https://hal.science/hal-03043346

Submitted on 7 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

KEY AGREEMENT BASED ON AUTOMATON GROUPS

ROSTISLAV GRIGORCHUK AND DIMA GRIGORIEV

ABSTRACT. We suggest several automaton groups as platforms for Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld key agreement metascheme. They include Grigorchuk and universal Grigorchuk groups, Hanoi 3-Towers group, the Basilica group and a subgroup of the affine group $Aff_4(\mathbb{Z})$.

INTRODUCTION

Typically abelian groups are involved in cryptography, say in RSA and Diffie-Hellman schemes (see e. g. [21], [22] and the references there). But they are vulnerable with respect to quantum machines. Thus, for post-quantum cryptography one tries to use non-abelian groups (some attempts one can find in e. g. [16], [17], [18] and in the references there). In this paper we suggest several groups G as candidates for platforms for Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld key agreement metascheme [1] (section 1).

To break Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld scheme over a group G an adversary needs to solve a system of simultaneous conjugacies of the form $xu_ix^{-1} = v_i$, $1 \le i \le m$ for given $u_i, v_i \in G$, $1 \le i \le m$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in G$ and unknown $x \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$. On the other hand, to perform a communication between Alice and Bob via a public channel, the word problem in G should have a small (say, polynomial) complexity. We suggest some automaton groups [9], [2], [10] (see section 2) as G for which the word problem is known to have the polynomial complexity The conjugacy problem for automaton groups was studied in [27], [6], [13]. Observe that automaton groups are convenient for algorithmic representation.

In section 2.1 we consider Grigorchuk group [9]. Note that in [19], [25] algorithms (without complexity analysis) for the conjugacy problem in Grigorchuk group were proposed, later in [20] a polynomial complexity algorithm for the conjugacy problem in Grigorchuk group was exhibited. But the problem of simultaneous conjugacies seems difficult in Grigorchuk group. Also mention that there was an attempt to use Grigorchuk group in cryptography in a different way [7] which was later broken [24]. In section 2.2 we discuss the Basilica group [14] which is defined by an automaton with 3 states. In section 2.3 we consider the universal Grigorchuk group [9], [3]. In section 2.4 we discuss the group of Hanoi Towers on 3 pegs [12]. Finally, in section 2.5 we consider a subgroup of the affine group $Aff_4(\mathbb{Z})$ with unsolvable conjugacy problem.

1. ANSHEL-ANSHEL-GOLDFELD KEY AGREEMENT METASCHEME

We recall the key agreement scheme from [1] (cf. [16] where its extension to multiparty communications is exhibited, also [23]). Let G be a group and $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_m \in G$ be some publicly given elements. Alice chooses her private element $a = a_{p_1}^{\pm 1} \cdots a_{p_s}^{\pm 1} \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$, while Bob chooses his private element

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 15A80, 94A60

 $b = b_{q_1}^{\pm 1} \cdots b_{q_t}^{\pm 1} \in \langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$. Alice transmits (via a public channel) elements $a^{-1}b_ia$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, while Bob transmits ba_jb^{-1} , $1 \leq j \leq n$. After that Alice computes

$$bab^{-1} = ba_{p_1}^{\pm 1}b^{-1}\cdots ba_{p_s}^{\pm 1}b^{-1},$$

while Bob computes

$$a^{-1}ba = a^{-1}b_{q_1}^{\pm 1}a \cdots a^{-1}b_{q_t}^{\pm 1}a.$$

Finally, the commutator $a^{-1}(bab^{-1}) = (a^{-1}ba)b^{-1}$ computed by both Alice and Bob, is treated as their common secret key.

So, an adversary has to find $A \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$, $B \in \langle b_1, \ldots, b_m \rangle$ such that $A^{-1}b_iA = a^{-1}b_ia$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $Ba_jB^{-1} = ba_jb^{-1}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ (note that the right-hand sides of the latter equalities are known). Then one can verify that $a^{-1}bab^{-1} = A^{-1}BAB^{-1}$. We emphasize that an adversary has to search a solution A of the problem $A^{-1}b_iA = a^{-1}b_ia$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ in the subgroup $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ which makes the task even harder than the customary simultaneous conjugacy problem. Thus, our goal is to exhibit groups with the polynomial complexity of the word problem and difficult problem of solving systems of conjugacies.

We produce several candidates for such groups among automaton groups (see e. g. [2], [9], [10]).

2. Automaton groups

Denote by $X = \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ an alphabet and by S a finite set that we will call a set of the states. An automaton of Mealy type on X with a set S of states is defined by a transition function $\tau : S \times X \to S$ and an output function $\pi : S \times X \to X$. If for each $s \in S$ the function $\pi(s, \cdot)$ is a permutation in Sym(k) then the automaton is called invertible.

Denote by T a rooted k-regular tree and by T_0, \ldots, T_{k-1} , respectively, the rooted subtrees of T with their roots at the children of the root of T. The paths (without back tracking) in T starting at its root correspond to the words in the alphabet X. Denote by X^l the set of the words of the length l over X, by X^* the set of all the words, and by X^{∞} the set of all the right-infinite words over X. Each state $s \in S$ provides an action on T being its automorphism: s acts by a permutation $\pi(s, \cdot)$ on the roots of subtrees T_0, \ldots, T_{k-1} , and in its turn s acts recursively as $\tau(s, i)$ on the subtree $T_i, 0 \leq i < k$.

Thus, for an invertible automaton $A = (S, X, \tau, \pi)$, this defines a group G(A)of automatically defined automorphisms of T with the operation of composition. The group G(A) (see e. g, [2], [9], [10]) is generated by the words over $S \cup S^{-1}$ where for the state corresponding to s^{-1} the permutation $\pi(s^{-1}, \cdot) = (\pi(s, \cdot))^{-1}$ and $\tau(s^{-1}, i) = (\tau(s, i))^{-1}$. We refer to the length |g| of an element $g \in G(A)$ as its length in the generators $S \cup S^{-1}$ (clearly, the length depends on a representation in the generators, we'll be interested in upper bounds on the length, so no misunderstanding would emerge). For an element $g \in G(A)$ we define its portait (see e. g. [2], [9]) of a depth d as the collection of the following data:

(i) a permutation of the action of g on X^d and

(ii) for every word $x = x_1 \cdots x_d \in X^d$ the action $g_x \in G(A)$ of g on the subtree T_x of T with the root x.

In all the examples of automaton groups G(A) considered below (except for the last one) two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G(A)$ are equal iff their portraits of depth $\log(|g_1| + |g_2|)$ coincide. Moreover, the sections of all the words of this length over X have constant size O(1) (we'll refer to it as the *portrait property*). This is due to the *contracting property* established for the groups G(A) considered below (except for the last one): there exist $\lambda < 1, c, l$ such that $|g_x| < \lambda |g| + c$ for all $g \in G(A), x \in X^l$. The contracting property immediately allows one to solve the word problem in G(A) within the polynomial complexity. On the other hand, it seems that the problem of solving a system of simultaneous conjugacies is difficult in all the automaton groups under consideration, the key agreement scheme from section 1 based on any of these groups seems hard to be broken.

Thus, one can compute the portrait within the polynomial complexity, and the portrait (or its binary encoding) will be used as a common secret key by Alice and Bob.

2.1. Grigorchuk group. Grigorchuk group G (see e. g. [9]) can be defined by an automaton with 5 states a, b, c, d, e acting on $X^* = \{0, 1\}^*$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a,0) &= 1, \, \pi(a,1) = 0, \, \pi(b,x) = \pi(c,x) = \pi(d,x) = x; \, \tau(a,x) = \tau(e,x) = e, \\ \tau(b,0) &= \tau(c,0) = a, \, \tau(d,0) = e, \, \tau(b,1) = c, \, \tau(c,1) = d, \, \tau(d,1) = b \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in X$. In particular, $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = bcd = e$ (where e denotes the identity). Note that G is not finitely presented. Observe that the complexity upper bound for the word problem for G is $O(n \log n)$ [9]. It is known (see e. g. [9]) that the portrait property (see section 2) holds for G.

In [20] an algorithm is designed to test whether for given $u, v \in G$ there exists $x \in G$ such that $xux^{-1} = v$. In fact, one can extend this algorithm to produce such x, provided it does exist. On the other hand, it seems to be a difficult problem to test whether there exists $x \in G$ such that $xu_ix^{-1} = v_i$, $1 \le i \le m$ for given $u_i, v_i \in G$, $1 \le i \le m$ (and even more, to find such x).

One could also use the generalizations G_{ω} [8], [9] of G where $\omega \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\infty}$. Observe that the word problem in G_{ω} has a complexity upper bound polynomial in the complexity of computing a prefix of ω of a logarithmic length, while for a generic ω already the single conjugacy equation problem is more difficult than the similar problem in G [8], [9].

2.2. **Basilica group.** Consider an automaton group *B* (sometimes called the Basilica group) defined by the following automaton with 3 states *a*, *b*, *e* (again, *e* is the identity of *B*) over the alphabet $X = \{0, 1\}$ [14], [15]:

$$\pi(e, x) = \pi(a, x) = x, \ \pi(b, 0) = 1, \ \pi(b, 1) = 0;$$

$$\tau(e, x) = \tau(a, 0) = \tau(b, 0) = e, \ \tau(a, 1) = b, \ \tau(b, 1) = a$$

for any $x \in X$.

It is proved in [14] that the group B also satisfies the portrait property. Note that for B only an exponential complexity algorithm is known for the problem of a single conjugacy equation.

2.3. Universal Grigorchuk group. One can represent each group G_{ω} as F_4/N_{ω} where N_{ω} is a normal subgroup of 4-free group F_4 (with the generators a, b, c, d). Denote $N = \bigcap_{\omega} N_{\omega}$ where the intersection ranges over all the infinite words $\omega \in \{0, 1, 2\}^{\infty}$. The universal group is defined $U = F_4/N$ [3]. Similar to G (see section 2.1) $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = bcd = e$ (and again, U is not finitely presented).

One can represent U as an automaton group [3] defined by an automaton with 5 states a, b, c, d, e (again, e is the identity of U) over an alphabet $X = \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}$ of size 6 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(e,(x,y)) &= \pi(b,(x,y)) = \pi(c,(x,y)) = \pi(d,(x,y)) = (x,y), \\ \pi(a,(0,y)) &= (1,y), \ \pi(a,(1,y)) = (0,y); \\ \tau(e,(x,y)) &= \tau(a,(x,y)) = \tau(b,(0,2)) = \tau(c,(0,1)) = \tau(d,(0,0)) = e, \\ \tau(b,(0,0)) &= \tau(b,(0,1)) = \tau(c,(0,2)) = \tau(d,(0,1)) = \tau(d,(0,2)) = a, \\ \tau(b,(1,y)) &= b, \ \tau(c,(1,y)) = c, \ \tau(d,(1,y)) = d \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$

Similar to the group G (cf. section 2.1) the group U also satisfies the portait property [9], [3].

Apparently, the simultaneous conjugacy problem for U (cf. section 1) is not easier than the same problem for G, for G_{ω} and for B.

2.4. Hanoi 3-Towers group. We describe Hanoi Towers group $H^{(3)}$ on 3 pegs as an automaton group [11], [12], [5]. The alphabet $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ consists of 3 letters which corresponds to the pegs. Actually, one can generalize to the group $H^{(k)}$ of Hanoi Towers on $k \ge 3$ pegs, then |X| = k [12], [5]. A word $x_1 \cdots x_n \in X^n$ has a meaning that the disc *i* is placed on x_i -th peg. According to the rules of the game in each peg the discs of sizes $1, 2, \ldots$ are placed in the decreasing order of their sizes from the bottom to the top.

The automaton of $H^{(3)}$ contains 3 states: a_{01}, a_{02}, a_{12} . For any word $w \in X^n$ we have

$$a_{ij}(iw) = jw, a_{ij}(jw) = iw, a_{ij}(xw) = xa_{ij}(w), x \notin \{i, j\}.$$

This means that a_{ij} takes the disc from the top of either peg *i* or *j* being minimal among these two and puts it on the other peg among *i* and *j*. Clearly, $a_{01}^2 = a_{02}^2 = a_{12}^2 = e$ (again, $H^{(k)}$ is not finitely presented). In [5] the portrait property is proved for $H^{(3)}$. Note that the complexity bound

In [5] the portrait property is proved for $H^{(3)}$. Note that the complexity bound $\exp(O(\log^{k-2} n))$ [5] for the word problem in the group $H^{(k)}$ is not polynomial for $k \ge 4$.

2.5. A group with unsolvable problem of conjugacy. In Proposition 7.5 [4] a group $F' \subset GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ is constructed with generators $M_1, \ldots, M_s \in GL_4(\mathbb{Z})$ having unsolvable orbit problem, i. e. whether for a pair of vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ there exists $f \in F'$ such that fu = v. In [26] it is proved that the semidirect product $G' = \mathbb{Z}^4 \rtimes F' \subset Aff_4(\mathbb{Z})$ has unsolvable conjugacy problem. Moreover, in Proposition 1.5 [26] this construction is modified to make a group $F \subset GL_6(\mathbb{Z})$ free, also having unsolvable orbit problem and $G = \mathbb{Z}^6 \rtimes F \subset Aff_6(\mathbb{Z})$ having unsolvable conjugacy problem.

On the other hand, the word problem in G' (as well as in G) can be solved within the polynomial complexity. Indeed, an element of G' one can represent as a composition of affine transformations in $Aff_4(\mathbb{Z})$ of \mathbb{Z}^4 of the form $v \to u + M_i v$, $1 \le i \le s$ for vectors $u \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. One can explicitly compute such a composition.

Note that in [26] G is represented as an automaton group. Mention that unlike the groups from the previous sections G does not fulfil the portrait property. It looks reasonable to use both G and G' as platforms for Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld scheme (see section 1). Acknowledgements. The frst author graciously acknowledges support from the Simons Foundation through Collaboration Grant 527814, is partially supported by the mega-grant of the Russian Federation Government (N14.W03.31.0030) and is grateful to Max-Planck Institut fuer Mathematik, Bonn during staying in which the paper was conceived. The second author is grateful to the grant RSF 16-11-10075 and to MCCME for inspiring atmosphere.

References

- I. Anshel, M. Anshel, D. Goldfeld, An algebraic method for public-key cryptography, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999) 287–291.
- [2] L. Bartholdi, R. Grigorchuk, Z. Sunik, Branch groups, Handbook of algebra 3, Elsevier (2003) 989–1112.
- [3] M. Benli, R. Grigorchuk, T.Nagnibeda, Universal groups of intermediate growth and their invariant random subgroups, Funct. Anal. Appl. 49, 3 (2015) 159–174.
- [4] O. Bogopolski, A. Martino, E. Ventura, Orbit decidability and the conjugacy problem for some extensions of groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362, 4 (2010), 2003–2036.
- [5] I. Bondarenko, The word problem in Hanoi Towers groups, Algebra Discr. Math. 17, 2 (2014) 248–255.
- [6] I. Bondarenko, N. Bondarenko, S. Sidki, F. Zapata, On the conjugacy problem for finite-state automorphisms of regular rooted trees. With an appendix by R. Jungers, Groups Geom. Dyn. 7 (2013) 323–355.
- [7] M. Garzon, Y. Zalcstein, The complexity of Grigorchuk group with applications to cryptography, Theor. Comput. Sci. 88 (1991) 83–98.
- [8] R. Grigorchuk, Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means. Math. USSR Izvestiya 25 (1985) 939–985.
- [9] R. Grigorchuk, Solved and unsolved problems around one group, Progr. Math. 248, Birkháser (2005) 117–218.
- [10] R. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevych, V. Sushchnskii, Automata, dynamical systems, and groups, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 231 (2000) 128 -203.
- [11] R. Grigorchuk, Z. Sunik, Asymptotic aspects of Schreier graphs and Hanoi Towers groups, Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 342, 8 (2006) 545–550.
- [12] R. Grigorchuk, Z. Sunik, Schreier spectrum of the Hanoi Towers group on three pegs. Analysis on graphs and its applications, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 77, AMS (2008) 183–198.
- [13] R. Grigorchuk, J. Wilson, The conjugacy problem for certain branch groups, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 231 (2000) 204–219.
- [14] R. Grigorchuk, A. Zuk, Spectral properties of a torsion-free weakly branch group defined by a three state automaton. Computational and statistical group theory, Contemp. Math. 298, AMS (2002) 57–82.
- [15] R. Grigorchuk, A. Zuk, On a torsion-free weakly branch group defined by a three state automaton, Int. J. Alg. Comput. 12, 1-2 (2002) 223–246.
- [16] D. Grigoriev, I. Ponomarenko, Constructions in public-key cryptography over matrix groups, Contemp. Math. 418, AMS (2006) 103–119.
- [17] D. Grigoriev, V. Shpilrain, Authentication from matrix conjugation, Groups, Compl., Cryptology 1 (2009) 199–205.
- [18] M. Habeeb, D. Kahrobaei, C. Koupparis, V. Shpilrain, Public key exchange using semidirect product of (semi)groups, Lecture Notes Comp. Sci. 7954 (2013), 475–486.
- [19] Yu. Leonov, The conjugacy problem in a class of 2-groups, Math. Notes, 64 (1999) 496-505.
- [20] I. Lysonok, A. Myasnikov, A. Ushakov, The conjugacy problem in the Grigorchuk group in polynomial time decidable, Groups, Geom., Dyn. 4 (2010) 813–833.
- [21] A. Menezes, P. van Oorschot, S. Vanstone, Handbook of Applied Cryptography, CRC-Press, 1996.
- [22] A. Myasnikov, V. Shpilrain, A. Ushakov, Group-based cryptography, Birkhäuser, 2008.
- [23] A. Myasnikov, A. Ushakov, Cryptanalysis of the Anshel-Anshel-Goldfeld-Lemieux Key Agreement Protocol, Groups, Compl., Cryptology 1, 1 (2009) 63–76.
- [24] G. Petrides, Cryptanalysis of the public key cryptosystem based on the word problem on the Grigorchuk groups. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 2898, Springer (2003) 234–244.

- [25] A. Rozhkov, The conjugacy problem in an automorphism group of an infinite tree, Math. Notes 64 (1999) 513–517.
- [26] Z. Sunik, E. Ventura, The conjugacy problem in automaton groups is not solvable, J. Algebra 364 (2012) 148–154.
- [27] J. Wilson, P. Zalesskii, Conjugacy separability of certain torsion groups, Arch. Math. 68 (1997) 441–449.

Mathematics Department, Texas A & M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

Email address: grigorch@math.tamu.edu

CNRS, MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ, 59655, FRANCE *Email address*: Dmitry.Grigoryev@univ-lille.fr