

Nature of active forces in tissues: how contractile cells can form extensile monolayers

Lakshmi Balasubramaniam, Amin Doostmohammadi, Thuan Beng Saw, Gautham Hari Narayana Sankara Narayana, Romain Mueller, Tien Dang, Minnah Thomas, Shafali Gupta, Surabhi Sonam, Alpha Yap, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Lakshmi Balasubramaniam, Amin Doostmohammadi, Thuan Beng Saw, Gautham Hari Narayana Sankara Narayana, Romain Mueller, et al.. Nature of active forces in tissues: how contractile cells can form extensile monolayers. 2020. hal-03040997

HAL Id: hal-03040997 https://hal.science/hal-03040997v1

Preprint submitted on 18 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nature of active forces in tissues: how contractile cells can form extensile monolayers

3

Lakshmi Balasubramaniam,^{1*} Amin Doostmohammadi,^{2,3*} Thuan Beng Saw,^{4,5} Gautham Hari Narayana Sankara Narayana,¹ Romain Mueller,³ Tien Dang,¹ Minnah Thomas,⁴ Shafali Gupta,⁶ Surabhi Sonam,^{1,7} Alpha S. Yap,⁶ Yusuke Toyama,⁴ René-Marc Mège,¹ Julia Yeomans,³ Benoît Ladoux¹

 ¹Institut Jacques Monod (IJM), CNRS UMR 7592 et Université de Paris, 75013 Paris, France,
 ²Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
 ³The Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK
 ⁴Mechanobiology Institute (MBI), National University of Singapore, Singapore, 117411
 ⁵ National University of Singapore, Department of Biomedical Engineering, 4 Engineering Drive 3, Engineering Block 4, # 04-08, Singapore, 117583
 ⁶Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia
 ⁷Present address: D Y Patil International University, Akurdi, Pune, India

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Actomyosin machinery endows cells with contractility at a single cell level. 4 However, at a tissue scale, cells can show either contractile or extensile be-5 haviour based on the direction of pushing or pulling forces due to neighbour interactions or substrate interactions. Previous studies have shown that a monolayer of fibroblasts behaves as a contractile system¹ while a monolayer 8 of epithelial cells^{2,3} or neural crest cells behaves as an extensile system.⁴ How 9 these two contradictory sources of force generation can coexist has remained 10 unexplained. Through a combination of experiments using MDCK (Madin 11 Darby Canine Kidney) cells, and in-silico modeling, we uncover the mecha-12 nism behind this switch in behaviour of epithelial cell monolayers from ex-13 tensile to contractile as the weakening of intercellular contacts. We find that 14 this switch in active behaviour also promotes the buildup of tension at the cell-15 substrate interface through an increase in actin stress fibers and higher trac-16 tion forces. This in turn triggers a mechanotransductive response in vinculin 17 translocation to focal adhesion sites and YAP (Yes-associated protein) tran-18 scription factor activation. Our studies also show that differences in extensility 19 and contractility act to sort cells, thus determining a general mechanism for 20 mechanobiological pattern formation during cell competition, morphogenesis 21 and cancer progression. 22

23 Main text

The ability of cell monolayers to self-organize, migrate and evolve depends crucially on the interplay between cell-matrix and cell-cell interactions^{5–10} which controls various phenomena including tissue morphogenesis,^{11,12} epithelial-mesenchymal transition,⁵ wound healing and tumor progression.¹³ Cells are active systems, engines that operate away from thermal equilib-

rium, transducing chemical energy into motion. Single isolated cells generate contractile force 28 dipoles: the resultant of the forces due to actomyosin contraction, pulling on focal adhesion 29 sites on the substrate, is typically a pair of approximately equal and opposite forces acting 30 inwards along the cellular long axis¹⁴ (Figure 1a). It is reasonable to expect that contractile 31 particles also generate contractile behaviour in the monolayer.¹ However, at the collective cell 32 level, epithelial monolayers display extensile behaviour² i.e. the net force from the neighbours 33 and substrate interaction act to elongate the cell further along its long axis (Figure 1b inset). 34 This immediately poses the question of how such a crossover occurs as the emergence of such 35 differences in active behaviour may be crucial in understanding biological processes such as 36 tissue homeostasis, cell competition and self organization.¹⁵ 37

The extensility or contractility within cell populations are based on force balance as shown in 38 Figure 1b and this can be determined by looking at the structure of flow fields around topological 39 defects. Topological defects are singular points in the orientation field of the cell monolayers, 40 where the orientation of cells were defined as the direction of their long axis (see Methods). 41 Having identified the orientation of cells, we use the winding number parameter to identify 42 the location of topological defects, using an automated defect detection method.² In a cellular 43 monolayer two types of topological defects predominate: comet-shaped defects and trefoils 44 (Figure 1c), which correspond to topological defects in nematic liquid crystals with charges 45 +1/2 and -1/2, respectively.^{1-4,16} 46

Of relevance here in active systems, the active nature of cells results in a directed motion of the comet shaped defects. For extensile systems, the defects move in the direction of the head of the comet, while topological defects in contractile systems move towards the comet tail (Figure 1b). Thus, we measured the average flow field around the comet defects in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney Wild-Type (MDCK WT) monolayers using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV).

The flow and orientation field were obtained from time lapse imaging after they reached con-52 fluency and before the cells became isotropic as the monolayers grew too dense. The results 53 show clearly that the comet-shaped defects move in the tail-to-head direction (Figure 1d,e, Sup-54 plementary Figure 1a, c, Video 1), indicating that at a collective level the MDCK monolayer 55 behaves as an extensile active system despite forming contractile dipoles at a single cell level 56 (Figure 1a). The extensile behaviour of comet-shaped defects has been recently reported for 57 Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells (HBEC) as well,³ indicating it to be a property of epithelial 58 monolayers. By contrast, the flow field around comet shaped defects in a monolayer of fibrob-59 lasts has an opposite flow direction - from head-to-tail of the comet - indicating that fibroblasts 60 behave as a contractile system at the collective level (Supplementary Figure 2a), in agreement 61 with previous studies.¹ This difference in the direction of motion of defects is also reflected 62 in the patterns of strain rates around the defects. While strain rate along the tail-to-head direc-63 tion (yy-strain rates) show negative values at the head of a comet-shaped defect in MDCK WT 64 monolayers indicating the presence of compression (Figure 1d), this is reversed for a monolayer 65 of fibroblasts, where the yy-strain rate at the defect head is positive, indicating extensional de-66 formation (Supplementary Figure 2a). But what causes epithelial cells to behave as an extensile 67 system at the collective level, and mesenchymal cells as a contractile system, and what are the 68 consequences during tissue organization are not well understood. 69

One fundamental difference between epithelial and mesenchymal cells is the ability of epithelial cells to form strong cell-cell adhesions through E-cadherin based junctional complexes, responsible for active intercellular force transmission.¹⁷ In order to discern the origin of extensile behaviour at a collective level, we performed laser ablation experiments on MDCK WT monolayers (Supplementary Figure 3a,b), where we observed higher recoil at shorter junctions in comparison to long junctions. These results highlight that the smaller cortical tension along long junctions gives rise to a tension distribution that leads to an extensile stress on the cell fur-

ther elongating it. We therefore asked if weakening this intercellular adhesion in epithelial cells 77 results in a (mesenchymal-like) contractile behaviour at the collective level. To test this, we in-78 activated the E-cadherin gene in MDCK cells using CRISPR-Cas9 which was validated through 79 immunostaining and western blot analysis (Supplementary Figure 4a,b). MDCK E-cadherin 80 Knock-Out (E-cad KO) cells can still maintain their contacts through another form of cadherin 81 (cadherin 6),¹⁸ albeit with a significantly weaker adhesion strength as observed through the re-82 duced level of β -catenin at adherens junctions (Supplementary Figure 4a,b), while still being 83 able to form tight junctions (Supplementary Figure 4a). Strikingly, in these E-cad KO monolay-84 ers, the average flow field around comet defects switches direction compared to WT monolayers 85 (Figure 1d and e, Supplementary Figure 1a,d, Video 2), indicating a contractile behaviour at the 86 collective level similar to that of fibroblasts where the comet shaped defects move towards the 87 tail direction (Supplementary Figure 2). This change in direction of the flow field around the 88 defect was accompanied by changes in the average strain rate patterns which are positive (ex-89 tensile deformation) around the head of a comet shaped defect in E-cadherin KO monolayers 90 in comparison to WT monolayers where the strain rate is negative (compressive deformation) 91 around the head of the defect (Figure 1d). Therefore, epithelial monolayers behave as an ex-92 tensile system due to the presence of strong cell-cell adhesions and loosening this adhesion by 93 removing E-cadherin results in a contractile behaviour. 94

⁹⁵ In order to check that this switch from extensile to contractile behaviour is not only specific ⁹⁶ to MDCK cells, we further validated the results by perturbing cell-cell contacts in the human ⁹⁷ breast cancer cell line MCF7A, where depleting E-cadherin by RNAi changed the behaviour ⁹⁸ from an extensile to a contractile system (Supplementary Figure 5). We then validated that ⁹⁹ this switch was not a clonal effect by re-expressing E-cadherin which restored collective ex-¹⁰⁰ tensile behaviour to MDCK E-cad KO cells (Supplementary Figure 6a). Moreover, the total ¹⁰¹ defect density within the monolayer of MDCK WT and MDCK E-cad KO cells did not reveal

changes in the density of defects between WT and E-cad KO monolayers (Supplementary Fig-102 ure 6b) indicating that the average distance between the defects and defect-defect interactions 103 are not affected by E-cadherin removal. Furthermore, measuring average flows around -1/2104 (trefoil) defects did not show any significant difference between WT and E-cad KO monolayers 105 (Supplementary Figure 2b, and 6c). This is consistent with both simulations (Supplementary 106 Figure 6d) and theories of active nematics,^{19,20} which show that difference in activity affects the 107 self-propulsion of +1/2 defects, while not altering the velocity field of -1/2 defects. Indeed, 108 comparing the Mean-Square-Displacement (MSD) from defect trajectories in WT and E-cad 109 KO monolayers clearly indicates that while +1/2 defects have propulsive behaviour and move 110 faster in WT monolayers, the motion of -1/2 defects is diffusive in both conditions (Supple-111 mentary Figure 6e). 112

We next checked whether the extensile to contractile crossover could be the impact of a change 113 in the behaviour of individual cells. However, based on our traction force data, both single 114 isolated WT and single isolated E-cad KO cells showed contractile behaviour with the forces 115 directed inwards along their elongation axes as cells pulled on the substrate (Figure 1a). This 116 indicates that removing E-cadherin does not change the contractile pattern (intracellular stress) 117 of single cells (Supplementary Figure 7a). Therefore, the change from contractile to extensile 118 behaviour at the collective level can be linked to the presence of E-cadherin which mediates 119 force transmission between neighbouring cells through intercellular interactions. 120

In order to better discern the competition between intracellular contractile stresses (generated by the actomyosin machinery throughout the cell) and the intercellular stresses (due to neighbour interactions), we varied these two stresses independently using a cell-based model. The model is based on a phase-field formulation²¹ that captures the deformation of individual cells, and has recently been shown to reproduce the formation of topological defects in MDCK mono-

layers, along with their associated flow field and stress patterns.²² In a similar manner as in the 126 experimental analysis, where the orientation of cells were identified through their long axis, in 127 the model a shape tensor, S, characterizes the magnitude and direction of cell elongation (Fig-128 ure 2a). This parameter continuously evolves with the deformation of cells as they push/pull 129 on their neighbours within the monolayer. Following our recent work,²² intercellular stresses 130 are defined to be proportional to the shape tensor which allows us to model extensile stresses 131 at the cell-cell contacts (Figure 2a). This form of modeling was inspired by previous studies 132 on adherens junctions and actomyosin interaction which showed that force transduction at the 133 junction can modify the actomyosin network and in turn the cell shape²³ and was experimen-134 tally validated on MDCK WT monolayers through laser ablation experiments where shorter 135 junctions were under higher tension (higher recoil velocity) in comparison to longer junctions 136 which were under lower tension (lower recoil velocity) (Supplementary Figure 3a,b). In ad-137 dition, an intracellular stress is defined to mimic internal stresses generated by acto-myosin 138 complexes within the individual cells (see Methods for the details of the model). The effect 139 of E-cadherin removal is thus captured in the model by tuning down the intercellular stresses. 140 Just as in the experiments both comet-shaped and trefoil topological defects (+1/2 and -1/2141 charges, respectively) are found in the orientation field of the monolayer (Figure 2b) and the 142 average flow fields and strain rate maps around comet shaped defects match those measured 143 for the WT cells (Figure 2c). More importantly, we found that lowering intercellular stresses 144 switched flow direction around comet-shaped topological defects and strain rates in agreement 145 with experimental results of E-cad KO (Figure 2b and c). Quantitative analysis of the simu-146 lations showed that reducing the intercellular stresses results in slower dynamics characterized 147 by a smaller root mean square (rms)-velocity (Figure 2d) and generates less correlated patterns 148 of motion characterized by a smaller velocity correlation length (Figure 2e). Moreover, due to 149 the dipolar symmetry of intercellular stresses in the model, simulation results predict that the 150

switch from extensile to contractile behaviour does not alter the isotropic stress patterns, i.e.,
tension (positive isotropic stress) and compression (negative isotropic stress) around the defects
(Figure 3a), when intercellular stresses are reduced.

To test the predictions of the model we first experimentally studied the effect of E-cad KO on the 154 stress patterns around topological defects and collective motion of cells. Using traction force 155 microscopy, we obtain traction forces in the monolayer, from which we infer the associated 156 stress patterns using Bayesian Inversion Stress Microscopy (BISM).²⁴ Using a similar approach 157 as strain rate measurements around defects, we are able to compute the average stress fields 158 around comet shaped defects. Our experiments agreed with the simulations in showing no 159 difference in the average isotropic stress patterns around comet shaped defects between the 160 WT (Figure 3b) and E-cad KO monolayers (Figure 3c), while they still show a difference in 161 their flow field (Supplementary Figure 7b),² indicating that the tension and compression around 162 defects are primarily controlled by local cellular organization and elongation, and not the flow 163 field around them. Moreover, measuring the velocity correlation function,²⁵ we found it to be 164 consistent with the numerical predictions whereby removing E-cadherin reduces the correlation 165 length compared to the WT monolayers (Figure 3d). This is also in agreement with previous 166 reports which demonstrate a reduction in velocity correlation length of mesenchymal cells with 167 respect to epithelial cells.²⁵ Interestingly, by performing rescue experiments to put E-cadherin 168 back, we found an increase in velocity correlation length (Figure 3d) which was very close to 169 that of WT monolayers. This indicates that the perturbation of junctional protein E-cadherin can 170 be used as an effective way of tuning the collective contractility and extensility of the epithelial 171 monolayer. 172

¹⁷³ Comparing the average velocities in the monolayers with and without E-cadherin also agreed ¹⁷⁴ with the model's prediction that the velocity of the monolayer is reduced upon E-cadherin de-

pletion (Figure 3e) at similar density. Interestingly, traction force microscopy measurements re-175 vealed that this reduction in velocity is accompanied by a significant (about three fold) increase 176 in the average traction forces that E-cad KO monolayers exert on their underlying substrate 177 in comparison to WT monolayers (Figure 3f). Furthermore, we compared average cell areas 178 within the monolayer for both WT and E-cad KO monolayers and did not notice an appreciable 179 difference in spreading area, although for both WT and E-cad KO monolayers the average cell 180 spreading area reduced over time (Figure 3g). In contrast, the aspect ratio of cells within the 181 WT monolayers reduced over time while the aspect ratio of cells within E-cad KO monolayers 182 did not change over time (Figure 3g). These measurements of velocity reduction, traction force 183 increase, and changes in aspect ratio in the monolayers without E-cadherin, combined together, 184 hinted that the cell-substrate interaction increased as the cell-cell interaction was weakened, 185 indicating a possible cross-talk between intracellular and intercellular interactions as reported 186 previously²⁶⁻²⁹. 187

To test this, and based on previous studies that showed changes in cellular response to substrate 188 adhesions 30,31, we asked if the increase in the average traction force of E-cad KO monolay-189 ers was a result of changes in their mechanotransductory response. Using actin staining we 190 first checked for changes in the organization of stress fibers in the cells within a monolayer, 191 as stress fiber formation is an important determinant of force generation by cells on a sub-192 strate.^{32,33} Indeed, comparing actin staining of WT and E-cad KO monolayers, we found a 193 considerable increase in stress fibers in the absence of E-cadherin (Figure 4a). Concomitantly, 194 phosphomyosin staining of WT and E-cad KO monolayers showed an increase in the number 195 of phosphomyosin light chain (pMLC2) fibers (Figure 4a) generated at the basal surface within 196 E-cad KO cells. Western blot analyses further revealed an increase in the total level of myosin 197 light chains (MLC2) (Supplementary Figure 4c). Considering these results we reasoned that 198 inhibiting cell contractility in E-cad KO cells may alter their active behaviour. Upon treatment 199

with a mild dose of blebbistatin (5 μ M), an inhibitor of Myosin II (Supplementary Figure 8a) 200 E-cad KO monolayers still behave as a contractile system. However, a higher dose (20 µM) 201 of blebbistatin (Supplementary Figure 8b) or 25 µM of Y27632, an inhibitor of ROCK 1 and 202 2 (Supplementary Figure 8c), resulted in a switch in behaviour from a contractile to that of 203 an extensile system as summarized in Table1. As control, we showed that similar treatments 204 did not affect the extensile behaviour of the WT monolayers (Table 1, Supplementary Fig-205 ure 8d and e). We then measured the traction forces exerted by cells when treated with 20 206 µM blebbistatin. As reported previously,³⁴ treatment of both WT and E-cad KO monolayers 207 with 20 µM of blebbistatin results in a drastic reduction of traction forces (Figure 4b). This 208 reinforces the importance of cell substrate interaction in dictating the contractile behaviour of 209 E-cad KO monolayers. Thus, removing E-cadherin not only reduces the extensile intercellular 210 stresses, it also increases the intrinsic contractility (intracellular stress) generated by cells at the 211 cell-substrate interface. 212

Since focal adhesions (FAs) are known to be mechanosensors at cell-matrix interface,³⁵ we then 213 investigated the assembly of FAs in E-cad KO and WT monolayers. By using paxillin staining 214 to determine changes in FAs, we showed a marked increase both in length, and area within 215 the cells (Figure 4c) in the E-cad KO monolayers in comparison to the WT monolayers. More 216 importantly, we found that the E-cad KO modified the subcellular localization of vinculin, a 217 protein which is known to respond and transmit force from both integrin and cadherin based 218 adhesion complexes.^{36,37} While the total level of vinculin remained unchanged in both WT 219 and E-cad KO monolayers (Supplementary Figure 4d), the localization of vinculin was altered, 220 whereby vinculin was mostly present at the cell-cell junctions in WT monolayers, but basally 221 located in E-cad KO monolayers (Figure 4d). We further verified if all paxillin positive focal 222 adhesions were vinculin positive in both WT and E-cad KO monolayers and observed a strong 223 correlation between them (Pearson's coefficient of 0.8842 and 0.8843 for WT and E-cad KO) as 224

shown in Supplementary Figure 9, reiterating our observed increase in cell-substrate interaction
in the absence of E-cadherin.

Since Yes-associated protein (YAP) transcriptional activity is also known to modify cell me-227 chanics, force development and FA strength,^{38,39} we investigated the localization of YAP within 228 E-cad KO monolayers. Interestingly, we found that YAP was predominantly localized to the nu-229 cleus in E-cadherin KO monolayers (Figure 4e), which corresponds to the active state of YAP. 230 This is in agreement with previous studies that reported an activation of YAP through nuclear 231 accumulation in the absence of E-cadherin or in well spread cells.^{30,40,41} Taken together, our 232 results show that removing E-cadherin enhances the formation of stress fibers, promotes YAP 233 activation, alters viculin localization, and leads to a marked increase in the formation of focal 234 adhesions and their linkage to the substrate, in turn triggering a contractile behaviour. 235

Our force measurements together with acto-myosin activity and adhesion patterns establish that 236 the extensile or contractile nature of epithelial cells at a collective level relies on the interplay 237 between active stresses at cell-cell and cell-matrix interfaces. To further explore this crossover 238 we plated cells on a soft (2.3 kPa) polyacrylamide (PA) gels, recalling that cellular responses on 239 soft substrates leads to lower contractility and less stable focal adhesions.⁴² MDCK WT mono-240 layers remained extensile regardless of substrate stiffness (Supplementary Figure 10a), while 241 E-cad KO cells switched from contractile to extensile behaviour on a soft substrate (around 2.3 242 kPa) (Supplementary Figure 10b). Taken together, these experiments show that tuning cell-cell 243 and cell-substrate adhesion can result in a switch between extensile and contractile behaviour 244 of cell monolayers further validating our observatin that blebbistatin treatment drastically re-245 duced traction forces (Figure 4d) and switched the behaviour of E-cad KO monolayers from 246 contractile to extensile. It is possible in the simulations to further explore this crossover by con-247 tinuously varying the strength of intra- and inter-cellular stresses, independently. The results are 248

summarized in a stability-phase diagram that classifies the monolayer behaviour as extensile or 249 contractile based on the direction of the defect motion (Supplementary Figure 11a). The non-250 symmetric structure of the stability-diagram further highlights the different impacts of intra-251 and inter-cellular stresses on the direction of defect motion. In our simulations, while intracel-252 lular stresses act within single cells and are along the direction of cell polarity, the intercellular 253 stresses arise in between neighboring cells and are proportional to the cell deformation. As 254 such, intercellular stresses can reinforce themselves: small cell deformations lead to intercellu-255 lar stresses that further enhance cell deformation, generating stronger intercellular stresses. We 256 conjecture that this bootstrap mechanism results in intercellular stresses to more strongly affect 257 the collective behavior of the monolayer compared to their intracellular counterparts. 258

Based on this difference in contractile and extensile behaviour we then used the model to sim-259 ulate the interaction between the extensile and contractile systems. The results showed that 260 cells were able to separate out into two different phases over time when mixed at 50-50 ratio 261 (Figure 5a and Supplementary Figure 11b, Video 3), where extensile cells were surrounded by 262 contractile ones. We were able to replicate this experimentally (Figure 5b and Supplementary 263 Figure 11c, Video 4) whereby WT and E-cad KO cells separate out into two different phases 264 with WT (extensile) cells surrounded by E-cad KO (contractile) cells when plated at a 50-50 265 ratio (Figure 5a). While thermodynamic mechanisms such as differential adhesion and differ-266 ence in line tension between two cell types have been shown to govern phase separation in 3D 267 cell aggregates,⁴³⁻⁴⁵ active cell sorting in monolayers with strong substrate adhesion, has not 268 been directly observed to the best of our knowledge. We, therefore, sought to further explore 269 the possible distinctions between the cell sorting, as observed here, and the well-established 270 differential adhesion and differential line tension hypotheses. To this end, we first quantified 271 the degree of phase separation by measuring the mixing-index of a mixture of WT and E-cad 272 KO cells defined as the number of homotypic neighbours over the total number of cells.^{46,47} 273

In the segregation mechanism based on differential line tension this mixing-index grows with 274 a power-law exponent with time and approaches one.⁴⁷ However, as evident from both experi-275 ments and simulations, the mixing-index in our system saturates and complete phase separation 276 is never obtained (Figure 5a and b). We conjecture that this is partly because of strong cell-277 substrate adhesion that dominates over any possible difference in line tensions and also due to 278 a fundamental difference between activity-driven phase separation and thermodynamic mecha-279 nisms. In addition, phase separation based on differential line tension posits that – independent 280 of the asymmetry of the binary mixture - the phase with higher line tension always forms ag-281 gregates that are enveloped by the cells with lower line tension to minimize the free energy of 282 the mixture.^{43,45} 283

To test this, we performed mixing experiments by varying the percentage of WT versus E-cad 284 KO cells, (30/70 and 70/30, respectively; Figure 6a and Supplementary Figure 11d,e). In the 285 latter case, we could even observe E-cad KO colonies surrounded by WT cells which could not 286 be simply explained by the differential adhesion hypothesis and was not observed in previous 287 adhesion based studies governed by cortical/line tension.^{43,45–49} We were able to replicate this 288 in our simulations (Figure 6b). Moreover, to further test the unmixing phase we thought to 289 probe the unmixing of two cell types with and without E-cadherin, but both showing extensile 290 behaviour. Since 20 µM blebbistatin was shown to reverse the contractile behaviour of E-cad 291 KO monolayers from contractile to extensile (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 8), we treated 292 a mixture of WT and E-cad KO plated at 50/50 ratio with blebbistatin after unmixing. Upon 293 blebbistatin treatment, we see a drop in the mixing index (Figure 6c, Video 5). In addition, the 294 clear boundaries formed in an untreated sample were lost characterized by the loss of circularity 295 of WT colonies upon blebbistatin treatment (Figure 6c). 296

²⁹⁷ Taken together, these results reinforce the fundamental distinctions between phase separation

in systems with differences in activity in comparison to well-established differential line ten-298 sion or differential adhesion mechanisms. Even though tissue segregation was first exemplified 299 based on differences in cadherin-mediated surface tension,^{43,48,50} it was later shown that inter-300 cellular adhesion is not the only mechanism that triggers cell sorting.⁵¹ Theoretical predictions 301 have suggested that cell sorting could be driven by a combination of cell surface tension and 302 contractility.^{45,46} While, we cannot completely rule out the contribution of differential adhesion 303 or differential line tension towards the sorting between WT and E-cad KO cells, our results 304 clearly demonstrate the importance of cell-substrate interaction and intracellular stresses as key 305 regulators of cell sorting in cellular monolayers with strong adhesion to substrate. 306

The results presented in this work show that epithelial cells are able to maintain their collective 307 behaviour through a coordination of intercellular and intracellular stresses. Intercellular stresses 308 are mediated through adherens junctions, while intracellular stresses could be mediated through 309 changes in substrate interaction and actomyosin machinery. Using a combination of in-silico 310 modelling and extensive experimental studies we have shown that perturbation of E-cadherin in 311 MDCK cells, increases their substrate interaction in addition to changing their active nematic 312 behaviour from extensile (WT) to contractile (E-cad KO) similar to a monolayer of fibroblast 313 which behaves as a contractile unit. Our experimental results also show that perturbation of 314 adherens junctions are accompanied by molecular level changes, including reduced levels of 315 vinculin at cell-cell contacts, together with an increase in focal adhesion size and area in the ab-316 sence of E-cadherin, and increase in the number of actin stress fibers on the basal layer. While, 317 using our numerical model we were able to study how varying inter and intracellular stresses 318 impacts the active behaviour of cells. In addition, mixing the two different systems revealed 319 that these differences in active behaviour were sufficient to drive sorting of these domains into 320 an unmixed phase over time. Comparing our observations of sorting with previously observed 321 studies and hypothesis⁴³⁻⁴⁵ highlights fundamental distinctions that arise due to the difference 322

in the nature of active forces. These observations bring in a new understanding to the existing 323 models of differential adhesion. Having understood the role of extensility and contractility in 324 dictating demixing (sorting) of cells, this approach could be expanded to studying other biolog-325 ical processes such as tissue growth, development and tissue homeostasis. For instance, recent 326 studies demonstrated the importance of nematic organization of actin cytoskeleton in Hydra 327 during morphogenesis,⁵² while other studies have begun to explore the role of liquid-crystal 328 ordering during morphogenesis⁵³ and in - vivo epithelial tissue patterning.⁵⁴ These findings 329 highlight the importance of active nematic behaviours at a collective level to understand tis-330 sue shape and organization, factors central to morphogenesis.^{52, 53, 55–58} As such, the adaptation 331 of cellular systems from extensile to contractile behaviours might be a crucial mechanism by 332 which a collective living system undergoes morphological changes (sorting or tissue organiza-333 tion) based on a transition from a cohesive to a less coordinated organization. Such a transition 334 relying on the cross-talk between cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions may provide a new mech-335 anism to understand cell migration during development, wound healing, and collective cancer 336 cell invasion. 337

338 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Research Council (Grant No. CoG-617233), LABEX 339 Who Am I? (ANR-11-LABX-0071), the Ligue Contre le Cancer (Equipe labellisée), and the 340 Agence Nationale de la Recherche ('POLCAM' (ANR-17-CE13-0013 and 'MechanoAdipo' 341 ANR-17-CE13-0012). We acknowledge the ImagoSeine core facility of the IJM, member of 342 IBiSA and France-BioImaging (ANR-10-INBS-04) infrastructures. A. D. acknowledges sup-343 port from the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grant No. NNF18SA0035142), Villum Fonden (Grant 344 no. 29476), and funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation pro-345 gram under the MarieSklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 847523 (INTERACTIONS). LB 346

has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation pro-347 gramme (Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement 665850-INSPIRE). T.B.S. acknowledges 348 support from the Lee Kuan Yew (LKY) Postdoctoral fellowship and Singapore Ministry of Ed-349 ucation Academic Research Fund Tier 1 (R-397-000-320-114). S.G and A. Y were supported 350 by project grants and fellowships from the National Health and Medical Research Council of 351 Australia (1123816 and 1139592) and Australian Research Council (DP190102871). We would 352 like to thank Phillipe Marcq for help with implementation of BISM code, Marina A. Glukhova 353 for providing the vinculin antibody and Sylvie Robine for the ZO1 antibody. AD and JMY 354 acknowledge Guanming Zhang for helpful discussions regarding the model. We also thank the 355 members of cell adhesion and mechanics team at Institut Jacques Monod, Matthieu Piel and 356 Francois Gallet for insightful discussions. 357

358 Author contributions

L.B, T.B.S, A.D, J.Y, R.M.M and B.L designed the research. G.H.N.S.N and T.D developed
the MDCK E-cadherin KO cell line. L.B performed experiments and analysed the results. S.S
helped in the PA gel experiments. M.T performed and quantified laser ablation experiments.
S.G performed the MCF7A experiments. A.D, R.M, performed the in silico simulations. T.B.S
contributed to the analysis tools. A.S.Y, Y.T, R.M.M, A.D, J.Y, and B.L supervized the project.

Materials and Correspondence

³⁶⁵ Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.D (doostmohammadi@nbi.ku.dk),
 ³⁶⁶ R.M.M (rene-marc.mege@ijm.fr), and B.L (benoit.ladoux@ijm.fr)

367 Code Availability

Nematic analysis was performed using a custom made code which has been published in Saw et al, Nature 2017. This is available upon request. Numerical analyses were performed using a custom made code "CELADRO: Cells as Active Droplets", which is an open source code that we have deposited on GitHub (https://github.com/rhomu/celadro). All the analysis tools are available upon request.

373 References

- ³⁷⁴ [1] Duclos, G., Erlenkämper, C., Joanny, J.-F., and Silberzan, P. <u>Nature Physics</u> 13, 58–62
 ³⁷⁵ January (2017).
- ³⁷⁶ [2] Saw, T. B., Doostmohammadi, A., Nier, V., Kocgozlu, L., Thampi, S., Toyama, Y., Marcq,
 ³⁷⁷ P., Lim, C. T., Yeomans, J. M., and Ladoux, B. Nature **544**(7649), 212–216 April (2017).
- [3] Blanch-Mercader, C., Yashunsky, V., Garcia, S., Duclos, G., Giomi, L., and Silberzan, P.
 Physical Review Letters 120(20), 208101 May (2018).
- ³⁸⁰ [4] Kawaguchi, K., Kageyama, R., and Sano, M. <u>Nature</u> **545**(7654), 327–331 April (2017).
- [5] Barriga, E. H., Franze, K., Charras, G., and Mayor, R. <u>Nature</u> 554(7693), 523–527 February (2018).
- [6] Avizienyte, E., Wyke, A. W., Jones, R. J., McLean, G. W., Westhoff, M. A., Brunton,
 V. G., and Frame, M. C. Nature Cell Biology 4(8), 632 August (2002).
- [7] Onodera, T., Sakai, T., Hsu, J. C.-f., Matsumoto, K., Chiorini, J. A., and Yamada, K. M.
 Science 329(5991), 562–565 July (2010).

$ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \bullet$	387	[8]	Chen	X. L.	Nam.	JO.	Jean.	C	Lawson.	C	Walsh.	С. Т.	. Goka	. E.	Lim.	. ST	. Tor
---	-----	-----	------	-------	------	-----	-------	---	---------	---	--------	-------	--------	------	------	------	-------

- A., Tancioni, I., Uryu, S., Guan, J.-L., Acevedo, L. M., Weis, S. M., Cheresh, D. A., and
- Schlaepfer, D. D. <u>Developmental Cell</u> 22(1), 146–157 January (2012).
- ³⁹⁰ [9] Guo, W.-h., Frey, M. T., Burnham, N. A., and Wang, Y.-l. <u>Biophysical Journal</u> **90**(6), ³⁹¹ 2213–2220 March (2006).
- ³⁹² [10] Malinverno, C., Corallino, S., Giavazzi, F., Bergert, M., Li, Q., Leoni, M., Disanza, A.,
- ³⁹³ Frittoli, E., Oldani, A., Martini, E., Lendenmann, T., Deflorian, G., Beznoussenko, G. V.,
- Poulikakos, D., Ong, K. H., Uroz, M., Trepat, X., Parazzoli, D., Maiuri, P., Yu, W., Ferrari,
- ³⁹⁵ A., Cerbino, R., and Scita, G. <u>Nature Materials</u> **16**(5), 587–596 May (2017).
- [11] Cetera, M., Ramirez-San Juan, G. R., Oakes, P. W., Lewellyn, L., Fairchild, M. J.,
 Tanentzapf, G., Gardel, M. L., and Horne-Badovinac, S. <u>Nature Communications</u> 5, 5511
 November (2014).
- [12] Wang, S., Matsumoto, K., and Yamada, K. M. <u>bioRxiv</u>, 2020.06.24.165795 June (2020).
 Publisher: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Section: New Results.
- [13] De Pascalis, C. and Etienne-Manneville, S. Molecular Biology of the Cell 28(14), 1833–
 1846 July (2017).
- ⁴⁰³ [14] Schwarz, U. S. and Safran, S. A. Physical Review Letters **88**(4), 048102 January (2002).
- 404 [15] Xi, W., Saw, T. B., Delacour, D., Lim, C. T., and Ladoux, B. <u>Nature Reviews Materials</u>
 405 4(1), 23–44 January (2019).
- ⁴⁰⁶ [16] Gruler, H., Dewald, U., and Eberhardt, M. The European Physical Journal B **11**, 6 (1999).
- [17] Ladoux, B. and Mège, R.-M. <u>Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology</u> 18(12), 743–757
 December (2017).

- ⁴⁰⁹ [18] Marthiens, V., Padilla, F., Lambert, M., and Mege, R. M. <u>Molecular and Cellular</u>
 ⁴¹⁰ Neuroscience 20(3), 458–475 July (2002).
- 411 [19] Giomi, L., Bowick, M. J., Mishra, P., Sknepnek, R., and Cristina Marchetti,
- M. <u>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and</u>
 Engineering Sciences 372(2029), 20130365 (2014).
- ⁴¹⁴ [20] Doostmohammadi, A., Ignés-Mullol, J., Yeomans, J. M., and Sagués, F. <u>Nature</u> ⁴¹⁵ communications **9**(1), 1–13 (2018).
- ⁴¹⁶ [21] Marth, W. and Voigt, A. Journal of Mathematical Biology **69**(1), 91–112 July (2014).
- 417 [22] Mueller, R., Yeomans, J. M., and Doostmohammadi, A. <u>Physical Review Letters</u> 122(4),
 418 048004 February (2019).
- ⁴¹⁹ [23] Ng, M. R., Besser, A., Brugge, J. S., and Danuser, G. eLife **3**, e03282 December (2014).
- ⁴²⁰ [24] Nier, V., Jain, S., Lim, C. T., Ishihara, S., Ladoux, B., and Marcq, P. <u>Biophysical Journal</u>
 ⁴²¹ **110**(7), 1625–1635 April (2016).
- [25] Petitjean, L., Reffay, M., Grasland-Mongrain, E., Poujade, M., Ladoux, B., Buguin, A.,
 and Silberzan, P. Biophysical Journal 98(9), 1790–1800 May (2010).
- [26] Garcia, S., Hannezo, E., Elgeti, J., Joanny, J.-F., Silberzan, P., and Gov, N. S. <u>Proceedings</u>
 <u>of the National Academy of Sciences</u> 112(50), 15314–15319 December (2015). Publisher:
- ⁴²⁶ National Academy of Sciences Section: Physical Sciences.
- ⁴²⁷ [27] Muhamed, I., Wu, J., Sehgal, P., Kong, X., Tajik, A., Wang, N., and Leckband, D. E.
 ⁴²⁸ Journal of Cell Science 129(9), 1843–1854 May (2016). Publisher: The Company of
 ⁴²⁹ Biologists Ltd Section: Research Article.

430	[28]	Maruthamuthu, V., Sabass, B., Schwarz, U. S., and Gardel, M. L. Proceedings of the
431		National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108(12), 4708-4713
432		March (2011).
433	[29]	Goodwin, K., Lostchuck, E. E., Cramb, K. M. L., Zulueta-Coarasa, T., Fernandez-
434		Gonzalez, R., and Tanentzapf, G. Molecular Biology of the Cell 28(10), 1301–1310 March
435		(2017). Publisher: American Society for Cell Biology (mboc).
436	[30]	Dupont, S., Morsut, L., Aragona, M., Enzo, E., Giulitti, S., Cordenonsi, M., Zanconato, F.,
437		Le Digabel, J., Forcato, M., Bicciato, S., Elvassore, N., and Piccolo, S. Nature 474(7350),
438		179–183 June (2011).
439	[31]	Giannone, G., Dubin-Thaler, B. J., Döbereiner, HG., Kieffer, N., Bresnick, A. R., and
440		Sheetz, M. P. <u>Cell</u> 116 (3), 431–443 February (2004).
441	[32]	Zemel, A., Rehfeldt, F., Brown, A. E. X., Discher, D. E., and Safran, S. A. Nature Physics
442		6 (6), 468–473 June (2010).
443	[33]	Gupta, M., Sarangi, B. R., Deschamps, J., Nematbakhsh, Y., Callan-Jones, A., Margadant,
444		F., Mège, RM., Lim, C. T., Voituriez, R., and Ladoux, B. Nature Communications 6(1),
445		7525 November (2015).
446	[34]	Saraswathibhatla, A. and Notbohm, J. <u>Physical Review X</u> 10 (1), 011016 January (2020).
447	[35]	Riveline, D., Zamir, E., Balaban, N. Q., Schwarz, U. S., Ishizaki, T., Narumiya, S., Kam,
448		Z., Geiger, B., and Bershadsky, A. D. The Journal of Cell Biology 153(6), 1175-1186
449		(2001).
450	[36]	Yonemura, S., Wada, Y., Watanabe, T., Nagafuchi, A., and Shibata, M. Nature Cell
451		Biology 12 (6), 533–542 June (2010).

- [37] Bays, J. L. and DeMali, K. A. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 74(16), 2999–3009 452 (2017).453
- [38] Halder, G., Dupont, S., and Piccolo, S. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 13(9), 454 591–600 September (2012). 455
- [39] Nardone, G., Oliver-De La Cruz, J., Vrbsky, J., Martini, C., Pribyl, J., Skládal, P., Pešl, 456
- M., Caluori, G., Pagliari, S., Martino, F., Maceckova, Z., Hajduch, M., Sanz-Garcia, A., 457 Pugno, N. M., Stokin, G. B., and Forte, G. Nature Communications 8(1), 15321 May 458 (2017).459
- [40] Benham-Pyle, B. W., Pruitt, B. L., and Nelson, W. J. Science (New York, N.Y.) 348(6238), 460 1024–1027 May (2015). 461
- [41] Kim, N.-G., Koh, E., Chen, X., and Gumbiner, B. M. Proceedings of the National 462 Academy of Sciences 108(29), 11930–11935 July (2011). 463
- [42] Discher, D. E., Janmey, P., and Wang, Y.-l. Science **310**(5751), 1139–1143 (2005). 464
- [43] Maître, J.-L., Berthoumieux, H., Krens, S. F. G., Salbreux, G., Jülicher, F., Paluch, E., and 465 Heisenberg, C.-P. Science 338(6104), 253-256 October (2012).
- [44] Steinberg, M. S. Journal of Experimental Zoology 173(4), 395–433 (1970). _eprint: 467 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/jez.1401730406. 468
- [45] Manning, M. L., Foty, R. A., Steinberg, M. S., and Schoetz, E.-M. Proceedings of the 469 National Academy of Sciences 107(28), 12517-12522 (2010). 470
- [46] Sahu, P., Sussman, D. M., Rübsam, M., Mertz, A. F., Horsley, V., Dufresne, E. R., Niessen, 471
- C. M., Marchetti, M. C., Manning, M. L., and Schwarz, J. M. Soft Matter 16(13), 3325-472
- 3337 April (2020). Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry. 473

466

21

- [47] Krajnc, M. <u>Soft Matter</u> 16(13), 3209–3215 April (2020). Publisher: The Royal Society of
 Chemistry.
- [48] Thomas, W. A., Thomson, J., Magnani, J. L., and Steinberg, M. S. <u>Developmental Biology</u>
 81(2), 379 385 (1981).
- ⁴⁷⁸ [49] Foty, R. A. and Steinberg, M. S. <u>The International Journal of Developmental Biology</u>
 ⁴⁷⁹ **48**(5-6), 397–409 (2004).
- ⁴⁸⁰ [50] STEINBERG, M. S. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United</u>
 ⁴⁸¹ States of America 48(9), 1577–1582 September (1962).
- ⁴⁸² [51] Niessen, C. M. and Gumbiner, B. M. The Journal of Cell Biology **156**(2), 389–400 (2002).
- ⁴⁸³ [52] Maroudas-Sacks, Y., Garion, L., Shani-Zerbib, L., Livshits, A., Braun, E., and Keren, K.
 ⁴⁸⁴ Publisher: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Section: New Results, March (2020).
- [53] Comelles, J., Soumya, S. S., Anvitha, S., Salbreux, G., Jülicher, F., Inamdar, M. M., and
 Riveline, D. Publisher: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Section: New Results, April
 (2020).
- ⁴⁸⁸ [54] Morales-Navarrete, H., Nonaka, H., Scholich, A., Segovia-Miranda, F., de Back, W.,
 ⁴⁸⁹ Meyer, K., Bogorad, R. L., Koteliansky, V., Brusch, L., Kalaidzidis, Y., Jülicher, F.,
 ⁴⁹⁰ Friedrich, B. M., and Zerial, M. eLife **8**, e44860 jun (2019).
- ⁴⁹¹ [55] Aigouy, B., Farhadifar, R., Staple, D. B., Sagner, A., Röper, J.-C., Jülicher, F., and Eaton,
 ⁴⁹² S. Cell 142(5), 773–786 (2010).
- ⁴⁹³ [56] He, B., Doubrovinski, K., Polyakov, O., and Wieschaus, E. <u>Nature</u> 508(7496), 392–396
 ⁴⁹⁴ April (2014).

- ⁴⁹⁵ [57] Hannezo, E., Prost, J., and Joanny, J.-F. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>
 ⁴⁹⁶ **111**(1), 27–32 January (2014).
- 497 [58] Morales-Navarrete, H., Nonaka, H., Scholich, A., Segovia-Miranda, F., de Back, W.,
- ⁴⁹⁸ Meyer, K., Bogorad, R. L., Koteliansky, V., Brusch, L., Kalaidzidis, Y., Jülicher, F.,
- ⁴⁹⁹ Friedrich, B. M., and Zerial, M. eLife **8**, e44860 June (2019). Publisher: eLife Sciences
- ⁵⁰⁰ Publications, Ltd.

Figure 1, which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



Figure 1| Active nematic behaviour of epithelial cellular systems changes from extensile to contractile in the absence of E-cadherin. a) Top, left and right: typical examples of traction force magnitude maps for a single MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO cell cultured on deformable PDMS surfaces. Bottom, left and right; vectorial maps of traction forces for a single MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO cell on a soft PDMS substrate. Scale bars, 20µm. b) Schematic showing the defect movement based on force balance for an extensile active nematic system (left) and contractile active nematic system (right) with an inset of forces exerted on neighbours by an extensile (left) and contractile (right) nematic particle. c) Schematic (left) and experimental (right) images of +1/2 defect (left, comet configuration) and -1/2 defect (right, trefoil configuration). Scale bars, 20µm. d) Average yy- and xycomponents of strain rate map around + 1/2 defect obtained from experiments (left and middle respectively) and corresponding average flow field (right) for MDCK WT cells (top) (*n* = 1934 defects from 2 independent experiments) and MDCK E-cadherin KO cells (bottom) (n = 1,884 defects from 2 independent experiments). Schematic on the extreme right illustrates the movement of defects. Colour code is positive for stretching and negative for shrinkage. e, f) Experimental data for MDCK WT (e) and MDCK E-cadherin KO (f) monolayers. Top panels: phase contrast images of the cells overlaid with the average local orientation of the cells (red lines). Bottom panels: average local orientation of the cells (red lines). The blue circle shows the location of a +1/2 defect and the corresponding arrow indicates the direction of motion of this defect over time. Dashed lines have been added for better reading of defect movement. Scale bars, $40\mu m$.

Figure 2



Figure 2| Intercellular stresses change the contractile behaviour of a 2D nematic system a) Schematic illustrating the model used in numerical simulations which incorporates cell-cell interaction through active intercellular forces. The direction of cell elongation is denoted by the headless vector \hat{s} , which is found from the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the shape tensor **S** for each cell. b) Numerical simulations for the case without active intercellular stresses, showing: Top, phase contrast images of the cells overlaid with the average local orientation of the cells (red lines). And bottom, average local orientation of the cells (red lines). The blue circle shows the location of a +1/2 defect and the corresponding arrow indicates the direction of motion of this defect over time. c) Average yy- and xy-components of strain rate map around +1/2 defect obtained from simulations (left and middle respectively) and corresponding average velocity flow field (right: n = 2,083 defects) for the control condition (top) and the condition without active intercellular forces. Colour code is positive for stretching and negative for shrinkage. d) RMS velocity, and e) the velocity correlation length in the monolayer normalized to the individual cell size obtained from n=30 different simulations for the control condition and the condition without active intercellular forces.

Figure 3



Figure 3| **Knocking out E-cadherin increases cell-substrate interactions.** a) Average isotropic stress around a +1/2 defect obtained from simulations for the control condition (left) and condition without intercellular forces (right) (n = 2,083 defects). b,c) Average *yy* (left)-, *xy* (middle)- and isotropic (right) components of stress around a + 1/2 defect obtained from experiments for (b) MDCK WT (n = 1,899 defects) and (c) E-cadherin KO (n = 1,428 defects) from 2 independent experiments. For a and b colour code represents the strength of the stress with positive for tensile state, negative for compression. d, e, f) velocity correlation length (d) (n=10), velocity (e) (n=10) and mean traction force (f) (n=12) of cells within a monolayer for both MDCK WT and MDCK E-cadherin KO cells. g, h) Cell spreading area (g) and aspect ratio (h) of cells within the monolayer obtained from n=10 different *images* for MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO cells as a function of time from 2 independent experiments. The error bars represent the standard deviation. Unpaired t-test was performed resulting in *p<0.05, **p<0.01, **p<0.001 and ****p<0.0001.

Figure 4



Figure 4| **E-cadherin removal triggers mechanotransductive changes within the monolayer.** a) pMRLC (left), zoom of pMRLC (middle), actin (right) staining of MDCK WT (top) and E-cadherin KO (bottom) monolayers. b) Evolution of mean traction force of MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO monolayers before and after 20μ M blebbistatin treatment (n=10 from 2 independent experiments). c, d, e) actin and paxillin (c), vinculin (d), YAP (green), and nucleus (blue) (e), staining within a monolayer for both MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO cells. c) Area of focal adhesion (left) and length of focal adhesion within the monolayer for *n=106* focal adhesions. d) Mean intensity of vinculin at the cell-cell junction in the middle plane (*n=54*). e) Distribution of YAP in nucleus, cytoplasm, or uniform distribution calculated for *n=1162* cells (MDCK WT) and *n=1008* cells (MDCK E-cadherin KO). Error bars represent the standard deviation. Unpaired t-test was performed leading to **p<0.05*, ***p<0.01*, ****p<0.001* and *****p<0.001*. Scale bars, 20µm.

Figure 5

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.28.358663; this version posted October 28, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.





Experiment

WT E-cad KO

0

10

20

Time (hours)

40

30

Figure 5| Cell sorting triggered by change in nematic behaviour of monolayers. a,b)

Time lapse sorting of extensile and contractile cells observed over time represented by mixing index in simulations (a) and experiments (b) of MDCK WT (magenta) and E-cadherin KO cells tagged with LifeAct GFP (green). In (a) $\zeta_s/R\alpha = 0.042$, $\zeta_Q/R\alpha = -0.062$ for the extensile cells and $\zeta_s/R\alpha = 0.0$, $\zeta_Q/R\alpha = -0.062$ for the contractile cells. Mixing index was obtained from two independent simulations and the error bars mark the standard deviation. Mixing index in experiments (b) was obtained from n=5 different clusters from 2 independent samples. c, d, e, f, g) Demixing (left) and isotropic stress field (right) for different conditions tested in the simulations, line tension (c), steric repulsion (d), adhesion (e), activity based extensile and contractile (f) and experimentally obtained phase separation (left) and isotropic stress field (right). Error bars represent the standard deviation. Scale bars: *100µm*.

Figure 6



Figure 6| **Cell sorting is governed by activity of the system.** a) Demixing of MDCK WT and E-cadherin KO at different starting densities, WT (30%) and E-cadherin KO (70%) (left) and WT (70%) and E-cadherin KO (30%) (right). b) Demixing of extensile and contractile particles obtained from simulations at different starting densities. Extensile and contractile particles are mixed at 50-50 (left), 30-70 (middle) and 70-30 (right) respectively. In (b) $\zeta_s/R\alpha = 0.016$, $\zeta_Q/R\alpha = -0.016$ for the extensile cells and $\zeta_s/R\alpha = 0.0$, $\zeta_Q/R\alpha = -0.016$ for the contractile cells. c) Demixing phase observed before and after the addition of 20µM blebbistatin characterized by mixing index (left) (n=5) and circularity of several colonies (right) (n=5). Error bars represent the standard deviation. Scale bars: $100\mu m$.