

# PRELIMINARY NOTE ON THE GENETIC ISOLATION OF CIONA SPECIES (ASCIDIACEA, UROCHORDATA)

C. Lambert, F. Lafargue, G. Lambert

# ▶ To cite this version:

C. Lambert, F. Lafargue, G. Lambert. PRELIMINARY NOTE ON THE GENETIC ISOLATION OF CIONA SPECIES (ASCIDIACEA, UROCHORDATA). Vie et Milieu / Life & Environment, 1990, pp.293-295. hal-03036352

HAL Id: hal-03036352

https://hal.science/hal-03036352

Submitted on 2 Dec 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# PRELIMINARY NOTE ON THE GENETIC ISOLATION OF CIONA SPECIES (ASCIDIACEA, UROCHORDATA)

C. LAMBERT (1), F. LAFARGUE (2) and G. LAMBERT (1)

(1) Biology Dept. California State University, Fullerton, California 92634, USA (2) Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Laboratoire Arago, UA 117 CNRS, Banyuls-sur-Mer, France

CIONA SPECIES
ASCIDIACEA
CROSSES
GENETICS

ABSTRACT – Ciona intestinalis is a solitary ascidian found in virtually all seas of the world. C. savignyi, originally described from Japanese seas is now also found in Long Beach and Los Angeles Harbors (California, USA). C. edwardsi and C. roulei are presently known from the Mediterranean Sea where they occur at deeper depths than C. intestinalis. We have removed sperm and eggs from C. intestinalis and C. savignyi collected from Long Beach Harbor and attempted heterologous and homologous fertilizations (homologous fertilizations used sperm and eggs from different individuals). Normal development was obtained from the homologous crosses but none of the eggs cleaved from the heterologous crosses in either direction. Similar crosses were attempted with C. intestinalis, C. edwardsi and C. roulei collected from the Mediterranean near Banyuls-sur-Mer (France). All of the homologous fertilizations resulted in normal cleavage but none of the six possible heterologous fertilizations resulted in cleavage except C. intestinalis sperm crossed with C. roulei eggs. The reciprocal cross failed to develop. From these studies we conclude that these species of Ciona are genetically isolated where they co-occur.

ESPÈCES DE CIONA ASCIDIACEA CROISEMENTS GÉNÉTIQUE

RÉSUMÉ - Ciona intestinalis est une Ascidie solitaire qui vit dans pratiquement toutes les mers du monde. C. savignyi, originellement décrite des mers japonaises se rencontre actuellement aussi dans les ports de Long Beach et de Los Angeles (California, USA). C. edwardsi et C. roulei sont actuellement connues de la mer Méditerranée où elles apparaissent à des profondeurs supérieures à celles de C. intestinalis. Nous avons recueilli le sperme et les oeufs de C. intestinalis et C. savignyi récoltées dans le port de Long Beach, et tenté de réaliser des fécondations hétérologues et homologues (pour les fécondations homologues nous avons utilisé le sperme et les oeufs de différents individus). Les croisements homologues ont donné un développement normal chez les deux espèces dans l'une et l'autre direction. Des croisements similaires ont été tentés avec C. intestinalis, C. edwardsi et C. roulei récoltées en Méditerranée dans la région de Banyuls-sur-mer (France). Toutes les fécondations homologues ont donné des segmentations normales mais aucune des six fécondations hétérologues possibles n'a donné de divisions, excepté le sperme de C. intestinalis croisé avec les oeufs de C. roulei. Le croisement réciproque n'a pas été suivi de développement. Nous concluons de ces études que ces espèces de Ciona sont génétiquement isolées là où elles vivent conjointement.

#### INTRODUCTION

Ciona is a genus of large conspicuous solitary ascidians which while of global distribution contains only a few species. Opinions concerning speciation in this wide-spread genus have varied through time. C. intestinalis (Linné, 1767) is found in virtually all the seas of the world and has been widely used in physiological and

developmental research. In the Mediterranean C. intestinalis is widely distributed. C. roulei Lahille, 1890 is rarer but clearly distinguishable on morphological grounds (Harant and Vernières, 1933). C. edwardsi (Roule, 1886), originally described from the Mediterranean, was synonymized with C. intestinalis (Harant and Vernières, 1933) but subsequently shown to be a distinct species based upon the structure of the branchial basket and very large egg size (Copello, Devos and Lafargue,

1981). All three species can be collected from the Mediterranean in the region around Banyuls-sur-Mer, France (Fiala-Médioni, 1974). Ciona intestinalis is generally found at shallower depths than C. roulei and C. edwardsi.

In addition to the ubiquitous C. intestinalis, Japanese waters also contain dense populations of C. savignyi Herdman, 1882 (Hoshino and Nishikawa, 1985). In their reconsideration of the genus Hoshino and Nishikawa (1985) concluded that C. edwardsi was indistinguishable from C. intestinalis and suggested that C. intestinalis and C. savigny were the only species present in temperate onshore waters of the world. We have now found that C. savignyi co-exists with C. intestinalis in Long Beach Harbor, California. Hybridization was attempted between the California species and also between the Mediterranean species. The preliminary evidence from these in vitro studies shows that all of the species are genetically isolated where they co-occur. Based on this evidence we suggest that there are actually a total of four Ciona species found in temperate near shore habitats.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. intestinalis and C. savignyi were collected from floating docks in Long Beach (Los Angeles County, California) and held at 15 °C until used. C. intestinalis, C. edwardsi and C. roulei were collected in the Mediterranean Sea by SCUBA near the Laboratoire Arago in Banyuls-sur-Mer, France. Eggs and sperm were removed from the gonoducts by dissection and the eggs washed several times in filtered sea water. Sperm were stored «dry» on ice until diluted just before use. Fertilizations were performed at 23 °C using heterologous and homologous inseminations using gametes from two different individuals. Development was assessed at 50 minutes when homologously fertilized controls had divided.

#### RESULTS

# California Species

The eggs of the two species are similar in size and morphology. Neither the C. intestinalis sperm x C. savignyi eggs nor C. savignyi sperm x C. intestinalis eggs resulted in cleavage, although homologous fertilizations between two individuals yielded essentially 100 % normal development.

# Mediterranean Species

The eggs of *C. intestinalis* and *C. roulei* are quite similar in appearance and about half the diameter of *C. edwardsi* eggs which are about 200 µm in diameter exclusive of the vitelline coat (chorion). Furthermore the follicle cells of *C. edwardsi* eggs have up to 6 birefringent bodies while the other 3 species each have only a single birefringent body. All of the homologous inseminations gave high proportions of normal two cell stages. All heterologous crosses yielded no hybrids except *C. intestinalis* sperm x *C. roulei* eggs, which developed into normal appearing tadpoles. The reciprocal cross failed to fertilize.

#### DISCUSSION

Most ascidian species have effective barriers to interspecific hybridization at the level of the vitelline coat (Minganti, 1959; 1974). However, Corella inflata and C. willmeriana can be hybridized easily in both directions in the laboratory with no morphological intermediates being found in nature where the two species co-occur (Lambert, Lambert and Abbott 1981). Thus the fact that two species can be hybridized in the laboratory does not necessarily place them in the same species. The situation is different in the converse; demonstrated interspecific sterility in the laboratory constitutes excellent evidence that one is dealing with distinct species. In the hybridization experiments reported here we support the conclusion that C. intestinalis and C. savignyi are distinct species as reported by Hoshino and Nishikawa based upon morphological grounds (1985). We disagree with their not distinguishing C. edwardsi from C. intestinalis on the basis of both possessing the endostylar appendage. The fact that this structure is missing in C. savignyi does not mean that all Ciona with it are C. intestinalis. Also, in addition to the hybridization data, these two species have been distinguished by branchial basket structure and egg size (Copello, Devos and Lafargue, 1981) as well as the differences in follicle cell morphology in this report.

The failure of *C. roulei* sperm to fertilize *C. intestinalis* and *C. edwardsi* eggs also argues for discrimination of this species from the other two. That *C. intestinalis* sperm could fertilize *C. roulei* eggs while not being able to fertilize those of *C. edwardsi* may indicate that *C. roulei* and *C. intestinalis* diverged more recently than the other species pair.

Although the experiments reported here are limited in scope and need many more replicates, they clearly show that genetic isolation is well developed between these species. This study

clearly supports the concept of four species of *Ciona* in temperate shallow seas and serves as a starting point for a more extensive study including morphology and behavior of the larvae as well as physiological differences in the adults.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We are grateful to Dr. M. Wahl for assistance in collecting and valuable suggestions; M. J. Mabit, G. Boyer and the other fishermen and staff of the Laboratoire Arago have our thanks for help in collecting and in providing a congenial place where the work could be carried out. We thank Dr. T. Nishikawa for confirming our identification of C. savignyi and K. Kotzan for help in the collection and hybridization studies of this species in California.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIE**

COPELLO M., L. DEVOS et F. LAFARGUE, 1981. Ciona edwardsi (Roule, 1886) espèce littorale de

- Méditerranée distincte de Ciona intestinalis Linné, 1767. Vie Milieu 31: 243-253.
- FIALA-MEDIONI A., 1974. Ascidies du benthos rocheux de Banyuls-sur-Mer. Inventaire faunistique et notes écologiques. Vie Milieu 24: 193-207.
- HARANT H. and P. VERNIERES, 1933. Tuniciers. Faune de France 27: 1-93.
- HOSHINO Z. and T. NISHIKAWA, 1985. Taxonomic studies of *Ciona intestinalis* L. and its allies. *Publ. Seto Mar. Biol. Lab.* 30: 61-79.
- LAMBERT G., C. LAMBERT and D. ABBOTT, 1981. Corella species in the American Pacific Northwest: distinction of C. inflata Huntsman, 1912 from C. willmeriana Herdman, 1898 (Ascidiacea, Phlebobranchiata). Can. J. Zool. 59: 1493-1504.
- MINGANTI A., 1959. Lo sviluppo embrionale il comportamento dei cromosomi in ibridi tra 5 specie di Ascidie. Acta Embryol. Morphol. Exptl. 2: 269-301.
- MINGANTI A., 1974. Hybrid larvae between Ascidia callosa Stimpson and Ascidia paratropa (Huntsman). Acta Embriol. Exptl. 1: 43-50.

Reçu le 29 mai 1989; received May 29, 1989 Accepté le 3 septembre 1990; accepted September 3, 1990